



Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2022, 10, 5835

Stability of single-atom catalysts for electrocatalysis

Hao Hu,^{†a} Jiale Wang,^{†a} Peng Tao,^{id}^a Chengyi Song,^{id}^a Wen Shang,^a Tao Deng^{id}^{ab} and Jianbo Wu^{id}^{*abcd}

Single-atom catalysts (SACs) have recently attracted significant attention due to their maximum atom utilization and high efficiency in a series of electrocatalytic reactions. However, the atomically dispersed metal atoms have intrinsically extreme mobility due to their high surface energy. Besides, the harsh reaction conditions of electrocatalysis also challenged the catalytic stability of SACs. The excellent electrocatalytic performance of SACs always degrades under long-term operating conditions. Most previous studies of SACs have focused more on the activity and selectivity of SACs in electrocatalysis, while catalytic stability has received little attention as a more critical factor limiting their large-scale industrial application. In this review, we provide an overview of the recent advances in SACs in terms of selecting the metal and support materials, synthetic strategies, and electrocatalytic applications with a focus on catalytic stability. A deep understanding of the instability behaviors of SACs under different electrocatalytic conditions contributes to the design of effective synthetic strategies to further optimize their catalytic stability, which is particularly discussed. Finally, we present the challenges and prospects for the future development of stable SACs in electrocatalysis.

Received 4th October 2021
Accepted 5th December 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ta08582d

rsc.li/materials-a

1. Introduction

To meet the growing energy demand and solve the worldwide environmental issue, developing electrocatalytic technologies, such as water electrolysis,¹ fuel cells,² and CO₂ reduction,³ has been identified as one of the most promising and renewable approaches to ensure sustainable and environmentally friendly energy conversion. For these electrocatalytic reactions, the design and development of economic and efficient electrocatalysts are crucial to achieving high efficiency and stable energy conversion. Although noble metals like Pt,⁴ Pd,⁵ Ru,⁶ Ir,⁷ and their derived materials have exhibited excellent properties

^aState Key Laboratory of Metal Matrix Composites, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Rd, Shanghai 200240, China. E-mail: jianbowu@sjtu.edu.cn

^bCenter of Hydrogen Science, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

^cMaterials Genome Initiative Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

^dFuture Material Innovation Center, Zhangjiang Institute for Advanced Study, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.



Hao Hu received his B.S. and M.S. degrees from the Faculty of Materials Science and Chemistry, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan in 2017 and 2020, respectively. Then he joined the School of Materials Science and Engineering at Shanghai Jiao Tong University to pursue his PhD degree under the direction of Professor Jianbo Wu. His current research focuses on the design of Pt-based elec-

trocatalysts for fuel cells utilizing in situ TEM characterization.



Jiale Wang received his B.S. degree from the College of Materials Science and Engineering, Hunan University in 2019. Then he joined the School of Materials Science and Engineering at Shanghai Jiao Tong University to pursue his M.S. degree under the direction of Professor Jianbo Wu. His current research focuses on the design and preparation of CO₂ reduction electrocatalysts.

in a variety of electrocatalytic reactions, the disadvantages of high cost and low natural abundance hindered their large-scale practical applications.⁸ The catalytic activity of the electrocatalysts typically depends on two aspects, including the intrinsic activity of the individual active site and the number of active sites.⁹ Numerous efforts have been made to increase the surface area by reducing the size of metallic nanomaterials to nanoclusters and single atoms,^{10,11} of which a larger number of active sites are adequately exposed and hence improve the electrocatalytic performance.^{12–14} Single-atom catalysts (SACs) have gained considerable attention as superior electrocatalysts due to their maximum atom utilization and the improved intrinsic catalytic activity since Zhang's group reported the fabrication of isolated Pt atoms anchored on iron oxide (Pt₁/FeO_x) and exhibited excellent performance for CO oxidation in 2011.¹⁵ Moreover, SACs with the individual metal atoms dispersed on a substrate generally display many superior properties, such as quantum size effects, unsaturated coordination configuration, and strong metal–support interactions (SMSI).¹⁶ In the last decade, SACs have achieved rapid development, and numerous studies have focused on the activity and selectivity of SACs in electrocatalysis. However, the stability of SACs in the catalytic reactions has been given considerably less attention in comparison to activity and selectivity issues. The single metallic atoms are likely to migrate and aggregate under synthetic and catalytic conditions due to their extremely high surface energy. Besides, owing to the harsh reaction conditions of different electrocatalyses, such as strong acid and alkaline solutions,¹⁷ high and low working potentials,^{18,19} and the gas environment,^{20,21} the dissolution, peeling off, and poisoning of SACs frequently occur during the catalytic reaction and lead to the degradation of the catalysts, hence hindering their long-term durability.²² Therefore, synthesizing SACs with excellent catalytic stability is crucial for their large-scale industrial applications.

To suppress the instability behavior of SACs during the catalytic reactions, a comprehensive understanding of the

coordination configuration and the electronic structure is significant, which is generally associated with the coactions between the isolated metallic atoms and support. The concept of strong metal–support interactions (SMSI) was initially demonstrated by Garten *et al.* in 1978, indicating that the adsorption capacity for H and CO of precious metals supported on TiO₂ refers to the chemical bonds between metallic species and the relevant interface.²³ Guided by SMSI, on the one hand, building strong bonds between the metal atoms and the support can inhibit the mobility of individual metal atoms; on the other hand, regulating the local electronic structure of the electroactive sites *via* charge transfer between metal and coordination atoms can also effectively increase the resistance of SACs to harsh conditions.²⁴ Therefore, the rational design of the synthetic strategy is critical to improving their resistance to harsh catalytic conditions. Up to now, the major synthetic strategies have included spatial confinement,²⁵ defect engineering,^{26,27} and coordination environment design strategies.²⁸ SACs with single atoms stably dispersed on the support can be easily achieved with these synthetic strategies. However, owing to the different operating conditions of different electrocatalytic reactions, the mechanism of catalyst degradation also differs. Therefore, fully understanding the instability behaviors of SACs under different electrocatalytic conditions is essential for designing effective synthetic strategies to further optimize their catalytic stability. However, as far as we know, a dedicated and comprehensive overview of the stability of SACs in electrocatalytic applications is rarely reported.

In this review, we first focus on selecting the metal and support materials of SACs for electrocatalysis. Subsequently, the main synthetic strategies to enhance the stability of SACs in both synthetic and catalytic processes are summarized. Furthermore, the catalytic stability of SACs under different harsh catalytic conditions is discussed in depth. Finally, the



Dr Jianbo Wu has served as a tenured professor in the School of Materials Science and Engineering (MS&E) at Shanghai Jiao Tong University since 2014. He received his B.S. and M.S. degrees from Zhejiang University, China in 2007, his PhD degree in Chemical Engineering from the University of Rochester, USA in 2012, and two years' post-doctoral training in the Department of MS&E at the University

of Illinois. His current research focuses on shape-controlled nanocrystals, fuel cells, electrocatalysis, functional materials for energy and environmental applications, and *in situ* TEM characterization to understand the catalyst formation and degradation during catalysis.



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the stability of SACs, including metals and supports, synthetic strategies, and electrocatalytic stability.^{29–36,123}

current challenges and the prospects for the development of stable SACs in electrocatalysis are proposed (Fig. 1).

2. Selection of metals and supports

Seeking a suitable metal and support material for the fabrication of SACs is a prerequisite for achieving excellent performance in electrocatalysis.³⁷ However, the single metal atoms tend to aggregate easily due to their high surface energy during the preparation process. Besides, SACs are also likely to suffer from adverse structural evolution during the catalytic reactions leading to degradation. Therefore, designing and synthesizing stable SACs have become a significant challenge to achieve excellent electrocatalytic performance. Up to now, constructing SMSI has been the central principle for the synthesis of stable SACs, as strong surface interactions can efficiently avoid aggregation while modifying the electronic structure of the electroactive sites to further improve the catalytic stability *via* electronic metal–support interactions (EMSI). In general, the interaction can be regulated by modifying the supports such as defect construction and the introduction of a functional group to obtain more anchoring sites since the properties of supports determine the coordination configuration, chemical bonding, and electronic structure that influence the activity and stability of SACs. Therefore, the deliberate selection of a suitable combination of metal and support materials is the basis for the preparation of stable SACs. Numerous types of support material have been investigated.^{38–40} Based on MSI, we will review the recent progress in the rational selection of metal and support materials for stable SACs.

2.1. Selection of metals

First, the selection of supported metal atoms is essential to all the electrocatalytic reactions, as the metal atoms usually are part of the active sites assisting in the catalysis. In general, transition metal elements are primarily selected in electrocatalysis and have been widely investigated.⁴¹ This is mainly due to the alternative d orbitals of the transition metals that allow easy access to obtain the optimal electronic structure favorable for the reactions. In addition, transition metals have many other unique properties, such as high melting/boiling point, high rigidity, excellent electrical/thermal conductivity, and multiple redox potentials, which also contribute to their excellent performance. However, owing to the large surface energy and low-coordination environment of single metals, SACs always aggregate over time, which leads to the loss of activity and stability. Gates *et al.* prepared a sequence of MgO-supported catalysts with different metal nanoclusters, including Ir, Pt, and Au.⁴² Through the same treatment in H₂ and an electron beam, most of the Ir species became clusters with a diameter of approximately 1 nm, while the Pt and Au nanoparticles had diameters ranging from 2 to 5 nm. The results demonstrated that different metals have different resistances to sintering, and Ir is probably an inherently sinter-resistant metal compared to the others. Therefore, understanding the fundamental properties of transition metal

elements helps investigate the stability mechanism during the preparation and under the reaction conditions, and thus in designing stable catalysts. For SACs, the appropriate selection of single-atom metals will facilitate the fabrication of stable SACs by adjusting the coordination number and the strength of the chemical bonds between the single metal and support. Zheng *et al.* designed a sequence of 3d-transition metals (Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn) supported on graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) SACs.⁴³ By calculating the binding energies of the g-C₃N₄ support with different metals, Fe, Ni, and Co possess the highest M–N₂ binding energies, indicating their highest structural stabilities. Therefore, the design of stable SACs in electrocatalysis requires the rational selection of metals.

2.2. Selection of supports

Except for selecting the supported atom, the selection of support materials is much more essential for stabilizing isolated metallic atoms on a substrate and the resistance to unstable behaviors in electrocatalysis. Ideal supports could offer abundant immobilizing sites to firmly anchor the isolated atoms and construct strong bonding to prevent the aggregation of isolated metallic atoms during preparation. More importantly, a suitable support can efficiently enhance the stability of SACs under harsh catalytic conditions. The properties of support materials determine the coordination number, steric environment, and chemical bonding, which result in different electronic and morphological structures of SACs. Therefore, rational selection and design of supports are significant for synthesizing stable SACs in electrocatalytic reactions. Until now, many kinds of support have been reported, including carbon, metals, and metal (hydr)oxides/nitrides/carbides/sulfides.

2.2.1. Carbon supports. Carbon-based materials were the most frequently applied as supports for SACs, such as conductive carbon,⁴⁴ graphene,⁴⁵ covalent organic frameworks (COFs),⁴⁶ metal–organic frameworks (MOFs),⁴⁷ and heteroatom-doped carbon materials.⁴⁸ They have many unique and essential properties for electrocatalysis, such as cost-effectiveness, high surface area, excellent electrical conductivity, and high thermal stability.⁴⁹ In particular, the traditional carbon-based materials are not the ideal support due to the weak chemical bonding of carbon with almost all metal atoms. Besides, carbon corrosion owing to the high potential cycling of electrocatalysts also contributes to the dissolution of the metal species during the catalytic reactions, thus resulting in the degradation of SACs.⁵⁰ Therefore, the introduction of defects is generally required for carbon-based SACs. Defects like heteroatoms (such as N, O, P, and S) provide numerous anchoring sites to immobilize the isolated metal atoms while tuning the local electronic structures of the electroactive sites to stabilize carbon-based nanomaterials.

Zhang and co-workers successfully synthesized almost all the transition metal atoms on a conductive carbon support with a metal loading of over 1.8 wt% *via* the low-temperature pyrolysis method (Fig. 2a).⁷² The X-ray absorption near-edge structure spectroscopy (XANES) spectra and X-ray absorption fine




Fig. 2 Selection of the support for SACs. (a) The synthetic process of M-SACs. Reproduced with permission from ref. 72. Copyright Springer Nature 2019. (b) STM images of H and CO on a Pt–Cu (111) surface. Reproduced with permission from ref. 63. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society. (c) Schematic illustration of SA Ni–PtNWs. Reproduced with permission from ref. 60. Copyright 2019 Springer Nature. (d) Schematic illustration of the synthetic procedure for SA Co–D 1T MoS₂. Reproduced with permission from ref. 71. Copyright Springer Nature 2019.

structure (EXAFS) suggested that the as-prepared Ni-SACs contained Ni²⁺, corresponding to Ni–N₄ coordination. The modified carbon support tightly bound the metal cations and prevented the agglomeration of metal atoms into clusters and nanoparticles. Recently, an emerging class of porous carbon-based materials, MOFs, with ultra-high surface area, flexible structural stability, and well-defined ordered structures, have been considered the most promising candidates for the SAC substrate. MOFs have numerous anchoring sites, defects, and porosities to stabilize the single metal atoms. In general, utilizing MOFs as precursors for MOF-derived SACs improves not only the loading of metals in SACs but also the stability of SACs due to the formation of stable metal–C, O, N, and S coordination bonds. Since MOFs were firstly reported as an efficient support to stabilize single Pt atoms by Jiang and co-workers,⁷⁶ numerous studies have been widely performed in the last ten years. For instance, Li's group developed an effective method to synthesize Ru-SACs with Ru species anchored on MOFs.⁵¹ The spatial separation of Ru single atoms was precisely controlled by cages of ZIF-8 by the formation of strong bonding between Ru and ZIF-8 after thermal reduction treatment to prevent active species from migrating and aggregating, thus contributing to the excellent stability and recyclability of Ru-SACs.

2.2.2. Metal supports. In contrast with other kinds of SAC, isolated metallic atoms anchored on the metallic substrate are thermodynamically more stable owing to the stronger metal–metal binding.^{52,53} To avoid the migration and agglomeration of isolated metallic atoms on a metal support, ensuring stronger binding energy between the monatomic metal and the metal support than that between the monatomic metals is critical. Furthermore, the electronic structure of active sites could be easily controlled by changing the metal supports, thus improving the activity and stability of SACs in electrocatalysis.⁵⁴

The key to achieving a stable isolated atom on a metal support is enhancing the bonding strength between the metal and support. To suppress migration and agglomeration of isolated metal atoms to form clusters and nanoparticles, the bonding energy between the support and single atoms should be larger than that of the single atoms themselves.⁵⁵ Metal atoms like Pt, Pd, Ni, Cu, and Au are the popular active elements in SACs for electrocatalysis.^{54,56} Michaelides *et al.* have studied the stability of SACs with different alloy combinations *via* the DFT calculation method.⁵⁷ They showed that the Gibbs free energy of aggregation (ΔG_{agg}) of PdAu, PtCu, NiAu, and PdCu is positive, which means that they could form the SACs. But the ΔG_{agg} of NiCu is near zero, indicating the existence of single metal atoms and clusters. It provided an effective approach to design stable SACs. Subsequently, Liu *et al.* successfully synthesized Pt SACs on a Cu support with a Pt/Cu ratio of 1 : 125 without forming metal clusters (Fig. 2b).⁵⁸ Besides, Zhang's group selected Pd and Cu supports to synthesize Pd SACs.⁵⁹ Due to the electron transfer between Cu and Pd, the as-prepared Pd SACs exhibited a high ethylene selectivity and especially showed excellent durability with stable operation for 24 hours. To lower the catalytic cost and scarcity of catalysts, Duan *et al.* anchored single Ni atoms on Pt nanowires *via* an electrochemical dealloying method (Fig. 2c).⁶⁰ The synthesized single Ni modified Pt nanowires exhibited enhanced durability compared to pure Pt nanowires and a commercial Pt/C catalyst for the HER. The enhanced stability may be attributed to multipoint line contacts between the nanowires and the carbon carrier, thus preventing physical movement and aggregation.

2.2.3. Metallic (hydr)oxide/sulfide/nitride/carbide supports. Due to the great advantages in terms of large surface area, abundant vacancies, surface OH groups, and strong corrosion resistance, the metallic (hydr)oxide/sulfide/nitride/carbide supports are considered as suitable supports to firmly stabilize the isolated metal atoms.⁶¹ Significant electron transfer between isolated atoms and metallic (hydr)oxide/sulfide/nitride/carbide supports could induce charge redistribution, which is essential to enhance the stability of SACs.

As one of the metal (hydr)oxides, Fe (hydr)oxide is a typical 3d transition metal-based oxide that could stabilize the isolated metallic atoms by the intense metal–metal bonding.⁶² For instance, Zhang *et al.* first successfully synthesized a Pt₁/FeO_x SAC with a Pt loading of 0.06 wt% by a co-precipitation method.¹⁵ Afterwards, owing to the large surface area (290 m² g^{−1}) of the FeO_x support and strong interactions between Pt and FeO_x, they increased the Pt content in Pt/FeO_x (0.17 wt%) without detecting Pt aggregation. Besides, Au is easily aggregated in the high-temperature synthetic process. A good approach to this issue is to utilize the interaction between Au and an appropriate support to select a suitable support.⁶³ Liu and co-workers found that Au dispersed on FeO_x has larger resistance to sintering than Au nanostructures; thus they successfully synthesized a Au₁/FeO_x SAC by anchoring single Au atoms on FeO_x.⁶³ The formation of a strong covalent bond between Au and the FeO_x support resulted in the excellent stability of Au₁/FeO_x SACs for over 100 hours. In addition, CeO₂

and Al_2O_3 have also been reported as effective support materials for stabilizing single metal atoms.^{64–66}

Except for metal (hydr)oxides, metal nitrides/carbide/sulfides are also frequently chosen as the support materials. DFT calculations have demonstrated that single Pt atoms can be stably fixed on a TiN support.⁶⁷ Lee *et al.* successfully synthesized single Pt atoms supported on TiN *via* an incipient wetness impregnation method,⁶⁸ which showed high mass activity and unique selectivity in selective electrochemical reactions. Significantly, the corrosion resistance of TiN enhanced the durability of Pt SACs on TiN. W_xC , as a popular metal carbide support, has been demonstrated to be an excellent support for Pt SACs *via* DFT calculations.⁶⁹ By comparing the stabilities of Pt atoms on different W_xC low-index facets, they discovered that the W_xC (100) surface could strongly adsorb Pt atoms and resist clustering. Besides, MoC could also stabilize the isolated Pt atoms due to the intense interactions between isolated Pt atoms and MoC after a high-temperature activation.⁷⁰ In addition, Liu's group designed an interface Co SAC supported on distorted 1T MoS_2 .⁷¹ Due to the lattice mismatch and the covalent bonding between Co and S, the isolated Co atoms could be firmly anchored on the support and exhibited long-term durability for over 10 days (Fig. 2d).

3. Synthetic strategies of stable SACs

Due to the large surface energy of single metal atoms, they spontaneously tend to migrate and aggregate under synthesis.⁶² Therefore, the rational design of synthetic strategies to stably anchor the atomically dispersed metal atoms on the support plays a key role in achieving remarkable electrocatalytic performance. Besides, the instability behaviors of SACs under harsh electrocatalytic conditions could also be suppressed by the reasonable synthetic strategy.⁷³ Therefore, a deep understanding of synthetic strategies is essential to the design and fabrication of stable SACs. At present, constructing strong bonding and regulating the electronic interaction between single metal atoms and the support are the most effective strategies to develop stable SACs, which could be divided mainly into the spatial confinement, defect engineering, and coordination environment design strategies. Herein, the recent advances in synthetic strategies for stable SACs will be discussed.⁷⁴

3.1. Spatial confinement strategy

The spatial confinement strategy was considered an efficient method to synthesize stable SACs.²⁵ Using porous materials, the isolated atoms could be confined in molecular-scale cages to avoid migration and agglomeration of target metal atoms into nanoparticles.⁷⁵ The synthetic strategy was applied to the preparation of numerous stable SACs due to its irreplaceable advantages in predictable anchor sites, locations, and extremely durable structures under harsh conditions. Moreover, the rational spatial confinement strategy can efficiently avoid the structural and compositional evolution, thus causing instability during the catalytic reaction. Specific substrates with a porous

structure can provide strong metal–support interactions to protect the isolated atoms from migration, agglomeration, and peeling off. Meanwhile, the electronic interaction between isolated metal sites and coordination atoms can regulate the electronic structure of electroactive species to enhance the resistance to dissolution and poisoning which frequently occur in catalytic reactions.⁷⁶

Up to now, porous carbon-based materials like zeolites, MOFs, and COFs have been widely used as templates in the spatial confinement strategy for the preparation of stable SACs.⁷⁷ In these materials, MOF-derived SACs have achieved great progress in the past few years. MOFs are constructed with metal ions as connection points and organic ligands as supports to form three-dimensional networks. Furthermore, due to the features of large specific area, adjustable aperture size, high porosity, and diverse structure configurations, MOFs could enable the stabilization and homogeneity of single metal atoms on the anchor sites and make it possible to achieve high loading SACs. Therefore, MOFs are considered as the ideal support materials for single metal atoms.

Jiang *et al.* firstly proposed the successful synthesis of single Pt atoms confined in a MOF with Pt loading of 0.07 and 0.29 wt%.⁷⁶ The EXAFS spectra showed the prominent peak at about 1.5 Å attributed to Pt–N, confirming that the single-atom Pt was stabilized by nitrogen atoms. Afterward, W-SACs with a higher metal content (1.21 wt%) were also reported.⁷⁸ The W-SACs were synthesized by confining the W atoms in the N-doped carbon matrix derived from a MOF (UiO-66-NH_2). As illustrated in Fig. 3a and b, metal precursor WCl_5 was encapsulated in the skeleton of the MOF, and a further pyrolysis process was performed to form isolated W atoms stabilized by the N-doped carbon frame. In the atom trapping process, the uncoordinated amine groups in the MOF are vital in limiting the aggregation of W species. The W-SACs showed excellent HER




Fig. 3 Spatial confinement strategies for the preparation of stable SACs. (a) The schematic diagram for the preparation, and (b) atomic model of the W-SAC. Reproduced with permission from ref. 78. Copyright John Wiley and Sons 2018. (c) Schematic diagram of the preparation of different Pt nanomaterials in an unconfined/confined system and with/without POMs condition. Reproduced with permission from ref. 31. Springer Nature 2021. (d) Schematic diagram of the nanocasting-assisted preparation of $\text{Fe}_{\text{SA}}\text{-N-C}$. Reproduced with permission from ref. 79. Copyright Springer Nature 2020.

stability in both alkaline and acidic media with no appreciable activity degradation after 10 000 CV cycles, mainly due to the unique structure of the $W_1N_1C_3$ moiety resulting in the strong interaction between the isolated W atoms and the N doped carbon substrate. Recently, Liu *et al.* introduced a modified spatial confinement strategy for the fabrication of SACs, which is suitable for various MOF systems (Fig. 3c).³¹ Typically, classical Keggin-type polyoxometalates (POMs) were used to immobilize single Pt atoms in the square-planar sites on the surface of monodisperse POMs in different MOFs, and the subsequent pyrolysis caused the formed isolated Pt atoms to be stabilized by oxygen species in the support. Further characterization proved the isolated Pt coordinated with four bridging O atoms, implying the intense interactions between isolated Pt atoms and the substrate. For the high loading of MOF-derived SACs, Jiang's group designed a high Fe content (3.46 wt%) implanted N-doped porous carbon.⁷⁹ During the pyrolysis as illustrated in Fig. 3d, Fe atoms were spatially isolated and firmly immobilized by nitrogen atoms in porphyrin linkers which was the essential protective barrier to avoid the aggregation of Fe atoms. Furthermore, the $Fe_{SA}-N-C$ showed excellent durability and methanol tolerance, shown by the LSV curves after 20 000 CV cycles and methanol addition.

3.2. Defect engineering

The defect engineering strategy is also an effective strategy to stabilize the SACs.²⁷ The precursors are firmly captured by the defect sites against sintering *via* the strong bonding and electronic interactions between isolated metal atoms and defective sites.²⁶ Moreover, the adverse structural evolution during the electrocatalytic reactions could be inhibited by the regulation of the local electronic structure and coordination environment. Till now, defects like intrinsic defects, heteroatoms, and anion/cation vacancies have been reported to synthesize and stabilize SACs.

Due to the high surface energy and high activity of isolated atoms, many studies have been devoted to avoiding aggregation in both the synthetic and catalytic processes. For example, Wang *et al.* applied intrinsic defects to construct a thermodynamically stable structure of SACs (Fig. 4a and b).³⁶ By means of DFT calculations, they calculated the binding energy of FeN_4S_2 to be -10.57 eV while that of FeN_3S_1 is only -5.01 eV, which means that FeN_4S_4 is more stable than FeN_3S_1 . Similarly, they further showed that CoN_3S_1 and NiN_3S_1 are thermodynamically more stable than CoN_4S_2 and NiN_4S , respectively. Thus, the defective atoms play a key role in the durability of SACs, which could inhibit the tendency to aggregate. In addition, adverse structural evolution, such as dissolution and oxidation, is also a key issue in the stability of SACs. Pt-free catalysts like Ni-based catalysts suffer from low stability under acidic conditions.³³ Chen's group utilized the orbital charge transfer between carbons and doped Ni to enhance the durability of Ni SACs.³³ The overlap of the partial density of states (pDOS) projected onto the Ni atom and the three surrounding C atoms indicated a strong C–Ni chemical binding, which improved the resistance of Ni SACs to dissolution in acidic solution (Fig. 4c and d).




Fig. 4 Defect engineering for the preparation of stable SACs. (a) The schematic illustration of the formation, (b) EXAFS data of Fe–SAs/NSC, Co–SAs/NSC and Ni–SAs/NSC. Reproduced with permission from ref. 36. Copyright American Chemical Society 2019. (c) Ni 2p XPS data of pristine graphene@np-Ni and Ni/np-G for 3 h and 6 h Ni dissolution, respectively. (d) H adsorption sites and configuration of the nickel doped graphene. Reproduced with permission from ref. 33. Copyright John Wiley and Sons 2015. (e) Synthetic process of a single Au atom on defective TiO_2 with oxygen vacancies. Reproduced with permission from ref. 80. Copyright John Wiley and Sons 2018.

Therefore, the Ni SACs showed superior HER cycling stability in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 solutions. Except for the intrinsic defects, the external introduction of defects could also contribute to stabilizing the isolated metal atoms. Oxygen vacancy in the metal oxide support is a superior medium to form a stable configuration of SACs. Li and co-workers introduced oxygen vacancies in TiO_2 nanosheets by a thermal treatment method (Fig. 4e).⁸⁰ Through the DFT calculations, isolated Au atoms could form a stable three-center Ti–Au–T structure on the defective TiO_2 (001) surface, while it could only constitute a four-center Ti–Au–O–Ti structure when immobilized on the pristine TiO_2 (001). The binding energy of the Ti–Au–T structure is smaller than that of Ti–Au–O–Ti, demonstrating the better durability of Ti–Au–T than Ti–Au–O–Ti.

3.3. Coordination environment

The isolated atoms in SACs are generally anchored on a support which contains unsaturated coordination atoms. Numerous studies have demonstrated that the stability of SACs is sensitive to the local coordination configuration, and thus constructing an appropriate coordination environment of SACs is essential to bind metal atoms while hindering the migration and aggregation of SACs.²⁸ Till now, the coordination atoms have included O, N, and Se, and dual atoms.⁸¹ Optimizing the coordination configuration (including the coordination numbers and coordination atoms) of electroactive sites is a promising and

essential approach to adjust the bond strength and the electronic structure, thus enhancing the stability of SACs.⁸²

O is the common coordination atom in SACs. Ren *et al.* have designed variable valence state Pt SACs on Fe_2O_3 by a controllable rapid thermal treatment method (Fig. 5a).⁸³ It was found that the coordination number of Pt to O and the oxidation state of Pt decreased with increasing the treatment temperature (Fig. 5b). Based on this conclusion, the optimized $\text{Pt}_1/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ -600 catalyst remained in the isolated state without any aggregation during the catalytic reaction, indicating that the isolated Pt atoms were stably anchored. Besides, the N atom has also been utilized as a coordination agent for single metal atoms. Co SACs could be designed in Co-N_2 , Co-N_3 , and Co-N_4 coordination structures by tuning the pyrolysis temperature (Fig. 5c).⁸⁴ The results showed that the Co-N_2 structure possesses the highest catalytic stability with negligible decay in current density and almost invariable CO faradaic efficiency during a 60 h operation. The TEM images showed that the morphology and the atomic dispersion of Co atoms were well preserved. This excellent catalytic stability was attributed to the lower oxidation state and binding energy of Co-N_2 . In addition, by substituting the Co atom with Pt, the coordination number of Se could increase in the $\text{np-Co}_{0.85}\text{Se}$ catalyst.⁸⁵ The corresponding XPS and XAS experiments illustrated that Pt atoms were strongly anchored by six Se atoms, forming a stable octahedral structure (Fig. 5d). It presented an excellent stability without showing obvious structural changes after 40 hours of long-term stability testing in the acid electrolyte. Except for controlling the

coordinate number, changing the coordinate atom species like forming dual metallic SACs is also an effective approach to stabilize SACs. Sun's group found that Pt-Ru dual metal atom catalysts with 6H on N-doped carbon nanotubes (NCNTs) possessed excellent stability.⁸⁶ To confirm the stability of Pt-Ru with 6H on NCNTs, they performed first-principles molecular dynamics calculations on the Pt-Ru SACs at 300 K for 5 ps. The results indicated that no obvious structural change was observed in Pt-Ru with 6H.

4. Stability of SACs in electrocatalytic applications

As novel electrocatalysts, SACs possess unique properties, including maximized atom utilization and highly active sites. The excellent electrocatalytic activity of SACs has been widely confirmed by numerous relevant studies, while the stability of SACs during the catalytic process was frequently neglected. In addition to the instability of the single metal atoms in the synthetic process, the instability of SACs under actual reaction conditions is more significant for achieving industrial applications. Owing to the large surface energy and the low coordination number of single metal atoms, they intrinsically possess a high degree of mobility. Besides, the varying external potential and the strong acidic and alkaline environments always promote adverse structural evolution, such as migration, aggregation, dissolution, and peeling off, resulting in the degradation of SACs. Currently, the main principle to enhance the catalytic stability under harsh conditions is to construct strong bonding and tune the electronic structure of active sites *via* MSI. Guided by this, representative studies, as well as recent advances in the catalytic stability of SACs, are summarized in this section.

4.1. ORR

The oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) generally occurs at the cathode of electrochemical energy conversion devices through a two-electron ($2e^-$) or four-electron ($4e^-$) pathway.⁸⁷ Herein, we mainly discuss the $4e^-$ ORR for its wide application in electrocatalysis, such as fuel cells and metal-air batteries. The wide range of external potential, as well as the acidic and alkaline electrolytes in the ORR, frequently promotes the oxidation and reduction of the metal and support, resulting in a variety of structural evolution, such as migration, aggregation, dissolution, and peeling off.⁸⁸ Moreover, CO poisoning also leads to the degradation of electrocatalysts.⁸⁹ Therefore, it places strict requirements on the stability of SACs under harsh reaction conditions.

During the ORR process, isolated metal atoms tend to move and subsequently aggregate due to the high surface energy. When the bonding between the metal and support is not strong enough, the single metal atoms will aggregate rapidly into clusters or nanoparticles, resulting in the loss of ECSA and performance degradation. Therefore, SMSI are critical for the excellent durability of SACs. The main approach is to steadily immobilize the single metal atoms on the support to form




Fig. 5 Coordination environment design for the synthesis of stable SACs. (a) Schematic diagram of different synthetic strategies, (b) the normalized XANES data of $\text{Pt}_1/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts. Reproduced with permission from ref. 83. Copyright Springer Nature 2019. (c) The formation process of Co-N_4 and Co-N_2 . Reproduced with permission from ref. 84 Copyright John Wiley and Sons 2018. (d) HER mechanism illustration with the assistance of *in situ* and operando XAS technologies of $\text{Pt}/\text{np-Co}_{0.85}\text{Se}$. Reproduced with permission from ref. 85. Copyright Springer Nature 2019.

strong bonding. Meanwhile, establishing the stable chemical bond between the metal and anchoring site is also an efficient approach to suppress the migration and aggregation of metal atoms. For example, Song *et al.* synthesized MOF-derived Pt SACs *via* the spatial confinement strategy, which exhibited excellent durability during the 10 000 cycle ADT (Fig. 6a and b).⁹⁰ The HAADF-STEM image indicated that most Pt species maintained the isolated single atoms and no crystalline Pt particles could be detected, which was because the MOF-derived N-doped carbon support with abundant N-anchoring sites can strongly interact with the Pt atoms to avoid Pt atoms from moving and gathering. Besides, Li's group designed and synthesized a MOF-derived Co₁-N₃PS/HC catalyst. They firstly constructed a Co-N₄ structure, and then two heteroatoms were introduced into Co-N₄ to form CoN₄@P₂ and CoN₄@S₂ structures. By comparing the doping energy in CoN₄@P₂ and CoN₄@S₂, they found that P was more stable than S. Thus, based on CoN₄@P₂, they further introduced 2 S atoms to form CoN₄@P₂S₂ and CoN₃S@P₂S. Interestingly, they found that these two structures (CoN₄@P₂S₂ and CoN₃S@P₂S) would distort to form the more stable CoN₃PS@PS structure.⁹¹ Guided by DFT calculations and the optimized structure, they synthesized a Co₁-N₃PS/HC catalyst which exhibited excellent acidic stability compared to Co₁-N₄/HC and NPS/HC catalysts.

Furthermore, the HAADF-STEM image revealed that the structure and atomic-level dispersion of Co species in Co₁-N₃PS/HC are well preserved after the ADT (Fig. 6c), indicating the remarkable capability to suppress the migration and aggregation. It was attributed to the unique atomic configuration of single Co atoms with coordination atoms.

The dissolution and subsequent redeposition of metal atoms are the major issues for the degradation of these materials in the ORR, especially in acid environments. The anchored single atoms are easily leached during the catalytic reaction due to the breaking of the chemical bonds between metallic species and the surrounding ligands in the support with the continuous high potential cycles.⁹² Moreover, the saturated oxygen environment further accelerates the oxidation and dissolution of the catalysts. O₂, as the reactant of the ORR, is adsorbed in large quantities on the surface of the catalyst, resulting in the monoatomic metals being more susceptible to oxidation and dissolution. Such dissolution decreases the ECSA and utilization of the metal, thus leading to the degradation of SACs. Moreover, support materials such as carbon-based materials are unstable and easily oxidized in an oxidizing environment, which also promotes the dissolution of the metal sites.⁹³ Regulating the electronic structure of electroactive sites through EMSI between the anchored single metal and ligand was considered efficient to suppress the dissolution. For instance, Holby and co-workers investigated the dissolution of Fe at a given potential in an acid ORR environment by DFT calculation.¹⁸ They calculated the stability of FeN₄, OO-FeN₄, and HO-FeN₄ *vs.* dissolution as a function of pH and potential. Combining the stability diagrams, they found that the relative stability plots for ligated FeN₄ structures (OO-FeN₄C₁₃₈ with O₂ binding and HO-FeN₄C₁₃₈ with OH binding) are more stable than ligand-free FeN₄ structures, especially in low pH situations. They speculated that the free radicals formed in the ORR system would attack the C/N support matrix or Fe directly and cause the activity and stability to drop, and with the existence of protective ligands, these free radicals may interact with ligands and leave the FeN₄. Thus, they indicated that the existence of ligands could help to stabilize Fe against dissolution, and without the interaction with the ligand or ORR intermediate, the FeN₄ structure will be thermodynamically driven to dissolve. Moreover, Li *et al.* synthesized Mn-NC SACs through the carbonization of ZIF-8 and further acid leaching.⁹³ The as-prepared catalyst exhibited excellent cycling stability in acid solution, as demonstrated by a loss of only 17 mV in *E*_{1/2} after 30 000 cycles shown in Fig. 6d, and remarkable durability, maintaining 88% of the initial current density and exhibiting an *E*_{1/2} loss of 29 mV after 100 hours (Fig. 6e). Further characterization confirmed that the atomic Mn sites are in the oxidation state coordinating with four N atoms. The DFT calculation of the possible MnN_xC_y configurations proved that the MnN₄ structure is the most stable site for the ORR in acids, which may be attributed to the formation of strong coordination bonds between the Mn and pyridinic-N *via* EMSI. Meanwhile, the corrosion resistance of carbon-based supports in SACs was further evaluated by cycling tests at a high potential range (1.0–1.5 V). After 5000 cycles, the 20Mn-NC-second and 30Mn-NC-




Fig. 6 Electrocatalytic stability of SACs in the oxygen reduction reaction. (a) Schematic diagram after the stability test, (b) the oxygen reduction reaction polarization data before BOL and after the stability test for Pt SACs-ZIF-NC. Reproduced with permission from ref. 90. Copyright John Wiley and Sons 2020. (c) ORR polarization curves before and after the durability test. The inset image is the HAADF-STEM image of Co₁-N₃PS/HC after the stability test. Reproduced with permission from ref. 91. Copyright Elsevier 2015. (d) Steady-state oxygen reduction reaction polarization data before and after durability measurements, (e) *i*-*t* curves at 0.7 V, (f) HRTEM images before (top) and after (bottom) stability tests for 20Mn-NC-second. Reproduced with permission from ref. 93. Copyright Springer Nature 2018. (g) Oxygen reduction reaction polarization data for various catalysts before and after CO-tolerance tests. (h) The comparison of mass activities at 0.9 V before and after CO-tolerance tests, (i) CO-poisoning effect for the three catalysts in the presence of oxygen. Reproduced with permission from ref. 89. Copyright American Chemical Society 2018.

second catalysts exhibited fewer capacitance increments than the 0Mn-NC-second and the 20Fe-NC-second catalyst, indicating the enhanced carbon stability of the as-prepared SACs. As illustrated in Fig. 6f, the TEM images after 5000 cycles showed no change in morphology, further proving the stability of carbon under the reaction conditions, which is attributed to the relatively high degree of graphitization that enhanced the corrosion resistance of the carbon.

As Pt-based catalysts have been widely investigated to show remarkable performance in the ORR, another long-standing problem regarding the CO tolerance for the ORR emerged as a major limitation to the actual utilization of fuel cells. Due to the strong adsorption of precious metals to CO, these ORR catalysts are easily poisoned by the presence of CO in the electrocatalytic reactions. Even the presence of 0.001 vol% CO impurities in oxygen and fuel would lead to loss of activity. The main principle to solve this issue is also to modify the electronic structure of electroactive sites that weaken the Pt-CO bond. For instance, Wu *et al.* synthesized neighboring dispersed Pt atoms anchored on ultrathin FePt nanosheets for the ORR with a loading of 6.7 wt%.⁸⁹ The FePt NSs exhibited excellent durability performance with a retention of 73.9% mass activity after a 20 000 cycle durability test, and especially strong CO tolerance (Fig. 6g–i). Further characterization indicated that the isolated Pt atoms have an oxidation valence between Pt⁰ and Pt⁴⁺ with the coordination of Pt-O and Pt-Fe bonds. The electronic interaction between Pt and FePt NSs effectively downshifted the Pt d band, which weakened the interaction between Pt atoms and the CO p orbital and thus decreased the adsorption of CO on the Pt atom surface. The DFT calculation revealed that the adsorption energy of the CO species on the FePt surface is smaller than that on a pure Pt surface, further indicating that the adsorption of CO on the FePt surface was weakened. But the FePt surface shows many OH species close to the Fe, thus promoting the elimination of CO by electro-oxidation. Except for the CO poisoning, methanol poisoning is also a key factor in catalyst deactivation. Li *et al.* designed Cu SACs with the single Cu atoms immobilized on the ultrathin nitrogenated 2D carbon matrix with a high loading of 20.9 wt%. The doped N atoms firmly coordinated with Cu atoms with the Cu-N₂ and Cu-N₄ structures during the synthetic process, which not only formed the strong bonding but also regulated the electronic structure. The prepared Cu-N-C catalyst exhibited superb resistance to methanol poisoning, as evidenced by the absence of obvious current loss after 2 mL methanol was added, and it also showed superior CO tolerance.⁹⁴

4.2. OER

The oxygen evolution reaction (OER) is a half reaction in multiple electrochemical techniques,⁹⁵ such as water analysis and rechargeable metal-air batteries. In general, the OER is limited by sluggish kinetics⁹⁶ and requires a very high working potential,⁹⁷ thus raising the need for high-performance and stable catalysts under harsh conditions. Although non-monatomic metallic catalysts such as Ir or Ru show remarkable OER activity, they suffer from low stability in catalytic

environments. For instance, Ru is likely to react with oxygen in the lattice to form unstable RuO₄, and the further escape of the RuO₄ intermediate from the electrode will lead to the dissolution of the catalyst.^{98,99} The instability issues of the Ir electrode are very similar to those of Ru. The IrO₃ intermediate formed in the OER will further react to give IrO₄²⁻, thus resulting in the transient dissolution and the peeling off of metals into the electrolyte and the degradation of catalysts.¹⁰⁰ Due to the large surface energy of single metal atoms, the stability of SACs is relatively poor. Hence, a reasonable approach to suppress the dissolution of metals is anchoring the single metal atoms in a conductive support to construct a robust structure and enable precise control over the electronic structure of electroactive metal atoms associated with the coordination environment. For example, Cao *et al.* synthesized a single Ru atom confined in an acid-resistant N-C support as an efficient and stable catalyst for the OER in acidic solutions.¹⁰¹ In a chronoamperometry test at a high potential of 1.5 V, negligible degradation (5%) of Ru-N-C was detected after the 30 h operation, while the Ru dissolution ratio was only 5% shown in Fig. 7b, indicating the excellent stability of the Ru SACs. Besides, the as-prepared catalyst can operate continuously for 24 h without obvious performance degradation (Fig. 7c). The XAFS spectrum confirmed that Ru atoms mostly coordinated with four N atoms to form the Ru₁-N₄ structural configuration (Fig. 7a). To investigate the structural



Fig. 7 Electrochemical stability of SACs in the oxygen evolution reaction. (a) Fourier transform data for different catalysts, (b) Data of current density and Ru dissolution mass ratio with time for Ru-N-C (at 1.49 V vs. RHE) in 0.5 M H₂SO₄, (c) Time distributions of O₂ and H₂ evolution in overall water splitting. Reproduced with permission from ref. 101. Copyright Springer Nature 2019. (d) Chronoamperometry data for Ru₁-Pt₃Cu and RuO₂ at 10 mA cm⁻². Reproduced with permission from ref. 102. Copyright Springer Nature 2019. (e) The EXAFS data of Ru-N-C before and after the electrochemical test. Reproduced with permission from ref. 101. Copyright Springer Nature 2019. (f) The durability of A-Ni@DG and A-Ni@G at 5 mA cm⁻² in 1 M KOH. Reproduced with permission from ref. 103. Copyright Elsevier 2018. (g) DFT calculation of the preferred Ir atom embedded in the NiFeOOH layered structure under operating conditions. (h) EXAFS data for Ir foil and Ir_{0.1}/Ni₉Fe. (i) Durability test of the Ir_{0.1}/Ni₉Fe SAC at 1.43 V (vs. RHE) for 100 hours. Reproduced with permission from ref. 104. Copyright PNAS 2021.

evolution of Ru–N–C during the OER, the operando XAFS was utilized to show that the average chemical valence state of Ru increased slightly between +3 and +4 valence states, and there was a slight constriction in Ru–N bonds under the working conditions, which further immobilized the Ru atom on the support surface, preventing dissolution under the working potentials, thus enhancing the stability of Ru SACs. To engineer the electronic structure of Ru atoms, Li's group reported a series of Ru SACs by utilizing different PtCu alloys as supports. The prepared catalysts exhibited great resistance to oxidation and subsequent dissolution of Ru₁ (Fig. 7d).¹⁰² The DFT calculation implied that the Pt–Cu alloys could provide electrons to the reaction intermediates and hence avoided the over-oxidation and subsequent dissolution of Ru₁.

Moreover, the single atom agglomeration also deactivates the SACs. For this purpose, Cao *et al.* also tested the morphology and structure of Ru–N–C before and after long-term operation, as detected by TEM, XRD, and XAFS (Fig. 7e).¹⁰¹ No obvious change was observed, indicating the good resistance of the Ru–N–C catalyst to agglomeration. Zhang *et al.* reported graphene defects to trap isolated Ni SACs through a defect engineering strategy.¹⁰³ The carbon defects efficiently trapped atomic Ni atoms in electrochemical reactions as anchoring sites. The relevant experiment indicated that the unique vacancy and coordination environment could tune the electronic structure and strong charge transfer between the single Ni atoms and the carbon atoms, thus improving its stability in both acidic and alkaline solutions (Fig. 7f). Recently, Cui and co-workers reported Ir SACs in which Ir single-atom sites are immobilized on NiFe oxyhydroxides through an *in situ* reduction method (Fig. 7g). The synthesized Ir SACs exhibited superior OER performance, especially long-term durability for 100 h of operation in 1 M KOH solution (Fig. 7i). The *in situ* EXAFS spectra shown in Fig. 7h indicated that Ir retained its atomic dispersion under catalytic conditions.¹⁰⁴

4.3. HER

As one of two half-reactions in water splitting, the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) is regarded as one of the promising methods to produce hydrogen.¹⁰⁵ HER generally occurs at a relatively low potential, so the oxidation of active sites may not happen as easily as in the ORR and OER. Besides, the HER process usually happens under acid/alkaline conditions, which may cause structural instability such as aggregation, dissolution, and electronic structure change, thus reducing the instability of SACs during the catalytic reaction.

Due to the high activity of isolated metal atoms and harsh conditions (commonly in acid or alkaline solution), SACs, especially Pt-free catalysts, would aggregate and dissolve, making them suffer from low stability. Designing a stable structure and stabilizing the components are essential to improve the stability of SACs. Chen and co-workers have devised a single Ni-doped nanoporous graphene catalyst that exhibited an excellent stability of more than 120 hours, and the overpotential was about 45 mV in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ (Fig. 8a–c).³³ As evidenced by the overlapped projected density of states (pDOS)



Fig. 8 Electrochemical stability of SACs in the hydrogen evolution reaction. (a) Stability test of nickel doped graphene at 150 mV for 120 hours. XPS spectra of Ni-doped graphene (b) before and (c) after 1000 cycle measurement. Reproduced with permission from ref. 33. Copyright John Wiley and Sons 2015. (d) Structure and electron localization function for Co–2N–A and Co–2N–Z, respectively, (e) pDOS of Co in Co–2N–A, Co–2N–Z and Co–4N–P, respectively, and (f) stability test of E–Co SAs and Pt/C at -500 mA cm^{-2} for 200 h. Reproduced with permission from ref. 112. Copyright John Wiley and Sons 2021. (g) Schematic illustration of charge density on the Ni/Cr₂CO₂ surface, (h) charge transfer in Ni/Cr₂CO₂, and the adsorption structures and energy of (i) top: NiCl₂, and bottom: Ni₃ cluster, and (j) top: Ni single atom, and bottom: Ni₄ clusters on the Cr₂CO₂ surface. Reproduced with permission from ref. 110. Copyright American Chemical Society 2019.

projection, the charge transfer between nickel and the neighboring carbon atoms indicated the strong bonding of nickel and carbon atoms, thus resulting in the excellent stability of Ni SACs in acidic solutions. But compared to non-monatomic Ni, it indicated that once the residual Ni metallic particle existed in Ni-doped graphene, the stability would decrease, which may be attributed to the dissolution of metallic Ni.³³ Recently, some studies have reported that the doping of transition metal phosphides (TMPs) with appropriate elements can improve stability.¹⁰⁶ For instance, Guan and co-workers have constructed a W-doped CoP nanoarray (W–CoP) catalyst. In order to investigate the stability of W–CoP, they performed the HER tests in different pH solutions, and the results showed that W–CoP has an excellent stability.¹⁰⁷ The following SEM image after HER measurements indicated that W–CoP could maintain the initial morphological structure.

Much attention has also been paid to control the electronic structure of SACs in electrocatalysis. Recently, defect engineering was utilized to design stable HER SACs through vacancies or dopants. Mu *et al.* designed an isolated MoRu pair (rGO–MoO_{3–x}–MoRu) catalyst, which could be firmly stabilized by graphene and MoO_{3–x}.¹⁰⁸ The existence of ion holes distorting the neighboring atoms and the obvious electron delocalization guaranteed the stability of rGO–MoO_{3–x}–MoRu, which eventually exhibited stability for more than 35 hours in both acid and alkaline solutions. Because O with fewer bound Ti is more stable and the formation of vacancies is beneficial to localize

the structure of TiO_x and promote the local electron transfer. Wang *et al.* designed a single atom doped TiO_2 (TM_1/TiO_2) and controlled the charge transfer of lattice oxygen in TM_1/TiO_2 for HER application.¹⁰⁹ Owing to the promising chemical stability of MXenes, Zhang's group¹¹⁰ used Cr_2CO_2 MXenes to design a single Ni catalyst. According to AIMD simulations at 600 K, Ni atoms anchored on Cr_2CO_2 would not aggregate into clusters, and using CI-NEB technology, they further indicated that the diffusion of Ni was difficult on anchor sites. Both AIMD simulations and CI-NEB results showed that Cr_2CO_2 MXenes are very stable (Fig. 8g–j). Similarly, some researchers have proposed a Co replaced MXene catalyst. The EXAFS results confirmed that Co atoms occupied Mo sites in the Mo_2CT_x . After the stability test, the oxidation state and morphology of Mo_2CT_x : Co were negligibly changed, indicating its stable electronic structure and morphology.¹¹¹ For Co SACs, Lee *et al.*¹¹² discovered that the zigzag and armchair edge sites are beneficial in stabilizing the Co sites (E-Co SAs) by DFT calculations. The unique and optimized electronic structures of E-Co SAs promoted the durability to 480 h under alkaline conditions (Fig. 8d–f). Moreover, Pt is typically a thermodynamically stable element compared to other transition metals due to its higher redox potential in electrocatalysis. However, several adverse structural evolutions may also occur after the long-term stability test; thus, some efforts have been devoted to solving this issue. For example, the isolated Pt SACs on the NiO/Ni support ($\text{Pt}_{\text{SA}}\text{-NiO/Ni}$) were reported as stable SACs for the HER in alkaline solutions. After a long HER test in 1 M KOH, no obvious changes in morphology and elemental distribution were detected. The Pt atoms remained dispersed on an atomic level which may be attributed to the existence of the charge delocalization of Pt toward O atoms and adjacent Ni atoms.¹¹³

4.4. CO_2RR

The carbon dioxide reduction reaction (CO_2RR) is a promising approach to convert carbon into value-added fuels and chemicals.³ Different from the ORR, OER, and HER, it usually occurs under neutral conditions (such as CO_2 saturated 0.1 M KHCO_3 ; pH is about 6.8) with a relatively more negative potential.¹¹⁴ Actually, single-atom metals are not in the 0 valent state due to the coordinated binding with ligands, and thus they are likely reduced to a metallic state at a negative potential.¹¹⁵ Recently, SACs have shown remarkable specific activity and selectivity for the CO_2RR . However, they still suffer from insufficient stability. The instability behaviors of SACs such as aggregation, peeling off, and the change in electronic structure generally lead to the decrease in the current density and FE, which results in catalyst degradation in the CO_2RR .

Typically, because of the high surface energy in SACs, the isolated metal atoms are likely to aggregate during catalytic reactions. Constructing stable bonding between the single metal atoms and support is a valid solution. Liu and co-workers have calculated the binding energies between the different transition metal-tetracyanoquinodimethanes (TM-TCNQs) and the support. It was proved that the weak binding energy could induce the agglomeration of single metal atoms.¹¹⁶ Thus, the

strong binding between the metal and support plays a key role in suppressing the aggregation issue. Liang *et al.* have developed a catalyst of Ni phthalocyanine molecules supported on carbon nanotubes (NiPc-OMe MDE).¹¹⁷ The catalyst exhibited excellent durability without aggregation issues (Fig. 9a and b). Compared to electron-withdrawing CN groups (NiPc MDE and NiPc-CN MDE), the enhanced stability in the electron-donating OMe group (NiPc-OMe MDE) may be attributed to the strong Ni-N bonds and accelerated CO desorption process (Fig. 9c). Besides, due to the strong bonding strength of Zn- N_4 (Fig. 9d and e), SA-Zn/MNC showed a remarkable stability (Fig. 9f) with no obvious change in performance and morphology after 30 hours of operation.³⁸ In addition, the instability of SACs is also reflected in CO tolerance.⁸⁹ Some computational studies have indicated that the instability of FePc/CNT may be attributed to the strong binding ability with CO.¹¹⁸ For example, the FE_{CO} of FePc/CNT was larger than 98% from -0.44 to -0.52 V; however, the durability of the catalyst was poor, as evidenced by the change in potential from -0.58 to -0.78 V after 40 min catalytic reaction at -4.0 mA cm^{-2} .¹¹⁹

Except for the aggregation issue, other instability behaviors should also be considered, such as the change in electronic configuration of the electroactive sites and peeling off of isolated metal atoms. Cao *et al.* designed a Ni-phthalocyanine-based COF (NiPc-COF) catalyst.¹²⁰ The Ni SACs can maintain their CO_2RR activity for more than 10 hours. After the long-term operation, there was no change in the crystallinity and morphology of NiPc-COF and valence state of Ni. The above



Fig. 9 Electrocatalytic stability of SACs in the CO_2 reduction reaction. (a) Schematic illustration of the NiPc MDEs, (b) the stability of the NiPc-OMe MDE, NiPc MDE, and NiPc-CN MDE via chronoamperometry, respectively. (c) Long-term durability test of the NiPc-OMe MDE. Reproduced with permission from ref. 117. Copyright Springer Nature 2020. (d) FT-EXAFS spectra, and (e) the Zn K-edge plot of SA-Zn/MNC. The inset image illustrates the atomic structure of Zn- N_4 . (f) Durability measurement of the ERC for SA-Zn/MNC at -1.8 V (vs. SCE). Reproduced with permission from ref. 38. Copyright American Chemical Society 2020. (g) Digital images and stress-strain plot of the NiSA/PCFM membrane. (h) Long-term durability measurements in the GDE cell and (i) H cell at -1.0 V (vs. RHE). Reproduced with permission from ref. 121. Copyright Springer Nature 2020.

results fully manifested the high stability of NiPc-COF anchored by pyrazine units. Although lots of SACs have exhibited excellent CO₂RR performances, they are still a long way from the large-scale practical applications due to the poor long-term stability, especially at high current density. He *et al.* reported single Ni atom adorned carbon membranes (NiSA/PCFM), which showed a large current density of 308 mA cm⁻². Significantly, the activity and selectivity of the NiSA/PCFM membrane were almost unchanged for about 120 hours (retaining 95% of the original value in Fig. 9g–i).¹²¹ In addition, they utilized the integrated carbon fiber membrane to synthesize SACs *via* the electrospinning method, which exhibited sufficient mechanical strength and flexibility. Compared to the facile coating method, the integrated SACs exhibited greater mechanical stability, enough to prevent the single metal atom from peeling off from the support, especially at large current density. In addition, due to the relatively negative reduction potential in the CO₂RR, SACs are easily reduced to metallic clusters. For instance, the structure of Cu-N_x could be reduced to Cu nanoparticles.¹¹⁵ Compared to Cu-N_x in Cu₁-N/CNT, FE_{CO} in Ni₁-N/CNT could reach nearly 100%, and Ni₁-N/CNT exhibited an outstanding stability at a full-cell voltage of 2.8 V. Interestingly, the bond length between isolated atoms and anchored sites might also play an important role in stability. Xie and co-workers constructed a relatively shorter Sn–N bond of Sn SACs, which maintained its structure during a 200 h durability test.¹²²

5. Conclusions and prospects

Developing renewable energy techniques to meet energy requirements urgently requires the extensive development of low-cost, high-performance, and robust electrocatalysts. Downsizing the nanoparticle to the atomic level to increase the utilization of metal atoms can impart unique and attractive properties to electrocatalysts. For SACs in practical electrocatalytic applications, the high performance of SACs containing a large number of active sites has been proven, while the catalytic stability under the harsh reaction conditions has rarely been investigated. Therefore, a deep understanding of instability behaviors of SACs in different electrocatalytic reactions can guide the design of rational synthesis strategies to enhance catalytic stability. Up to now, the main principle for improving the stability of SACs has been to build strong bonds between the metal atoms and support to stabilize the single metal atoms. Meanwhile, the appropriate electron transfer between isolated metal atoms and the coordination ligands could also suppress the adverse structural evolution of SACs, which leads to the degradation of SACs. Herein, we summarized the recent advances in stable SACs in terms of metal and support materials, synthetic strategies, and catalytic stability in electrocatalysis.

Although SACs have achieved attractive advances, there are still many challenges, including the following.

(1) The common problem is the focus on increasing the metal loading through controllable and facile synthetic methods. In general, the high performance of SACs depends on the high content of individual metal atoms to ensure plenty of active sites. However, the individual metal atoms are likely to

aggregate due to their high surface energy, which also frequently occurs in the catalytic reactions, which result in the deactivation of SACs. To prevent aggregation of single metal atoms, current studies mainly focus on preparing SACs with low metal loading *via* rational synthetic methods, which hinders the development of SACs in large-scale applications. As a result, for practical applications, it is essential to explore facile, economical, and scalable synthetic strategies for the synthesis of SACs with a high loading amount.

(2) Investigating the structural and compositional evolution of SACs during the synthetic and electrocatalytic process is crucial for the design of stable SACs. An in-depth understanding of the evolution of SACs requires advanced *in situ* characterization technologies with a higher spatial and temporal resolution. In recent decades, *in situ* TEM has been rapidly developed due to the advances in nanofabrication technology, which offers a platform for investigating the structures and compositions of materials. *In situ* TEM characterization of SACs may be a convincing approach to offer a clear view of the evolution of SACs during the reaction, improving the understanding of the instability mechanism of SACs. Besides, the theoretical calculation is also an effective way to predict the dynamic behavior and possible structural evolution during catalysis. The binding energy between the metal atoms and support can be calculated to reflect the MSI, which is helpful for the design of stable SACs.

(3) Understanding the interaction between the single metal atoms and the support is beneficial for optimizing the activity and durability of SACs. In supported metal catalysts, all the active metal atoms generally directly interact with the support and coordinate with the surface ligands. Therefore, the properties of the support materials play a key role in determining the activity and stability of the SACs. SMSI can stabilize the single metal atoms by anchoring metal atoms on the support. Meanwhile, EMSI will efficiently tune the electronic structure of the electroactive sites to enhance the stability of SACs in electrocatalysis. However, the understanding of the MSI remains in its infancy owing to the challenge in exploring their effects from inner reaction parameters guided by their natures. The investigation of SMSI is critical to revealing their catalytic and stabilization mechanisms.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The work was sponsored by the Thousand Talents Program for Distinguished Young Scholars from the Chinese government, the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2017YFB0406000), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21875137, 51521004, and 51420105009), the Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (Project No. 2019-01-07-00-02-E00069), the 111 Project (Project No. B16032), and the fund from the Center of Hydrogen Science and Joint Research Center for Clean Energy Materials at Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

Notes and references

- X. Zou and Y. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 5148–5180.
- J. Wu and H. Yang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 1848–1857.
- L. Wang, W. Chen, D. Zhang, Y. Du, R. Amal, S. Qiao, J. Wu and Z. Yin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2019, **48**, 5310–5349.
- J. N. Tiwari, S. Sultan, C. W. Myung, T. Yoon, N. Li, M. Ha, A. M. Harzandi, H. J. Park, D. Y. Kim, S. S. Chandrasekaran, W. G. Lee, V. Vij, H. Kang, T. J. Shin, H. S. Shin, G. Lee, Z. Lee and K. S. Kim, *Nat. Energy*, 2018, **3**, 773–782.
- H. Liao, C. Wei, J. Wang, A. Fisher, T. Sritharan, Z. Feng and Z. J. Xu, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2017, **7**, 1701129.
- Y.-H. Fang and Z.-P. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 18214–18222.
- F. Li, G.-F. Han, H.-J. Noh, J.-P. Jeon, I. Ahmad, S. Chen, C. Yang, Y. Bu, Z. Fu, Y. Lu and J.-B. Baek, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 4060.
- B. Wu and N. Zheng, *Nano Today*, 2013, **8**, 168–197.
- X. Huang, Z. Zhao, L. Cao, Y. Chen, E. Zhu, Z. Lin, M. Li, A. Yan, A. Zettl, Y. M. Wang, X. Duan, T. Mueller and Y. Huang, *Science*, 2015, **348**, 1230–1234.
- S. Yang, R. Du, Y. Yu, Z. Zhang and F. Wang, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **77**, 105057.
- D. Wu, X. Wang, L. Shi, K. Jiang, M. Wang, C. Lu, Z. Chen, P. Liu, J. Zhang, D. Tranca, Y. Hou, Y. Chen and X. Zhuang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 21661–21667.
- H. Mistry, R. Reske, Z. Zeng, Z.-J. Zhao, J. Greeley, P. Strasser and B. R. Cuenya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 16473–16476.
- X. Li, X. Yang, Y. Huang, T. Zhang and B. Liu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1902031.
- L. Liu, H. Su, F. Tang, X. Zhao and Q. Liu, *Nano Energy*, 2018, **46**, 110–116.
- B. Qiao, A. Wang, X. Yang, L. F. Allard, Z. Jiang, Y. Cui, J. Liu, J. Li and T. Zhang, *Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3**, 634–641.
- C. Zhu, S. Fu, Q. Shi, D. Du and Y. Lin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2017, **56**, 13944–13960.
- X. Li, A. E. Surkus, J. Rabeah, M. Anwar, S. Dastagir, H. Junge, A. Bruckner and M. Beller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2020, **59**, 15849–15854.
- E. F. Holby, G. Wang and P. Zelenay, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 14527–14539.
- J. Wang, S. Qi and M. Zhao, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**, 17675–17683.
- R. Bliem, J. van der Hoeven, A. Zavodny, O. Gamba, J. Pavelec, P. E. de Jongh, M. Schmid, U. Diebold and G. S. Parkinson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2015, **54**, 13999–14002.
- G. S. Parkinson, Z. Novotny, G. Argentero, M. Schmid, J. Pavelec, R. Kosak, P. Blaha and U. Diebold, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**, 724–728.
- R. Qin, P. Liu, G. Fu and N. Zheng, *Small Methods*, 2018, **2**, 1700286.
- S. F. SJ Tauster and R. L. Garten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 170–175.
- J. Li, Q. Guan, H. Wu, W. Liu, Y. Lin, Z. Sun, X. Ye, X. Zheng, H. Pan, J. Zhu, S. Chen, W. Zhang, S. Wei and J. Lu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 14515–14519.
- A. Han, B. Wang, A. Kumar, Y. Qin, J. Jin, X. Wang, C. Yang, B. Dong, Y. Jia, J. Liu and X. Sun, *Small Methods*, 2019, **3**, 1800471.
- K. Qi, M. Chhowalla and D. Voiry, *Mater. Today*, 2020, **40**, 173–192.
- Z. Geng, Y. Liu, X. Kong, P. Li, K. Li, Z. Liu, J. Du, M. Shu, R. Si and J. Zeng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1803498.
- P. Liu, Y. Zhao, R. Qin, S. Mo, G. Chen, L. Gu, D. M. Chevrier, P. Zhang, Q. Guo, D. Zang, B. Wu, G. Fu and N. Zheng, *Science*, 2016, **352**, 797–800.
- X. Wang, W. Chen, L. Zhang, T. Yao, W. Liu, Y. Lin, H. Ju, J. Dong, L. Zheng, W. Yan, X. Zheng, Z. Li, X. Wang, J. Yang, D. He, Y. Wang, Z. Deng, Y. Wu and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 9419–9422.
- Y. Zuo, T. Li, N. Zhang, T. Jing, D. Rao, P. Schmuki, Š. Kment, R. Zbořil and Y. Chai, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 7790–7798.
- Y. Liu, X. Wu, Z. Li, J. Zhang, S. X. Liu, S. Liu, L. Gu, L. R. Zheng, J. Li, D. Wang and Y. Li, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 4205.
- L. Zhang, D. Liu, Z. Muhammad, F. Wan, W. Xie, Y. Wang, L. Song, Z. Niu and J. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1903955.
- H. J. Qiu, Y. Ito, W. Cong, Y. Tan, P. Liu, A. Hirata, T. Fujita, Z. Tang and M. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 14031–14035.
- Z. Lu, B. Wang, Y. Hu, W. Liu, Y. Zhao, R. Yang, Z. Li, J. Luo, B. Chi and Z. Jiang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, 2648–2652.
- Y. Zhu, J. Sokolowski, X. Song, Y. He, Y. Mei and G. Wu, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2020, **10**, 1902844.
- J. Zhang, Y. Zhao, C. Chen, Y.-C. Huang, C.-L. Dong, C.-J. Chen, R.-S. Liu, C. Wang, K. Yan, Y. Li and G. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 20118–20126.
- J. Zhang, C. Liu and B. Zhang, *Small Methods*, 2019, **3**, 1800481.
- L. Han, S. Song, M. Liu, S. Yao, Z. Liang, H. Cheng, Z. Ren, W. Liu, R. Lin, G. Qi, X. Liu, Q. Wu, J. Luo and H. L. Xin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 12563–12567.
- F. D. Speck, M. T. Y. Paul, F. Ruiz-Zepeda, M. Gatalo, H. Kim, H. C. Kwon, K. J. J. Mayrhofer, M. Choi, C. H. Choi, N. Hodnik and S. Cherevko, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 15496–15504.
- R. Lang, W. Xi, J. C. Liu, Y. T. Cui, T. Li, A. F. Lee, F. Chen, Y. Chen, L. Li, L. Li, J. Lin, S. Miao, X. Liu, A. Q. Wang, X. Wang, J. Luo, B. Qiao, J. Li and T. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 234.
- H. Sun, Z. Yan, F. Liu, W. Xu, F. Cheng and J. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1806326.
- C. Aydin, J. Lu, N. D. Browning and B. C. Gates, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2012, **51**, 5929–5934.
- Y. Zheng, Y. Jiao, Y. Zhu, Q. Cai, A. Vasileff, L. H. Li, Y. Han, Y. Chen and S.-Z. Qiao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 3336–3339.
- C. Lu, R. Fang and X. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1906548.

- 45 Q. Jiang, J. Zhang, H. Huang, Y. Wu and Z. Ao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 287–295.
- 46 S. Wei, Y. Wang, W. Chen, Z. Li, W.-C. Cheong, Q. Zhang, Y. Gong, L. Gu, C. Chen, D. Wang, Q. Peng and Y. Li, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 786–790.
- 47 Z. Song, L. Zhang, K. Doyle-Davis, X. Fu, J.-L. Luo and X. Sun, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2020, **10**, 2001561.
- 48 M. Wang, H. Ji, S. Liu, H. Sun, J. Liu, C. Yan and T. Qian, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **393**, 124702.
- 49 H. Zhong, Q. Zhang, J. Wang, X. Zhang, X. Wei, Z. Wu, K. Li, F. Meng, D. Bao and J. Yan, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 3965–3970.
- 50 C. H. Choi, C. Baldizzone, J.-P. Grote, A. K. Schuppert, F. Jaouen and K. J. J. Mayrhofer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 12753–12757.
- 51 S. Ji, Y. Chen, S. Zhao, W. Chen, L. Shi, Y. Wang, J. Dong, Z. Li, F. Li, C. Chen, Q. Peng, J. Li, D. Wang and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2019, **58**, 4271–4275.
- 52 G. Giannakakis, M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos and E. C. H. Sykes, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 237–247.
- 53 W. Chen, Y. Ma, F. Li, L. Pan, W. Gao, Q. Xiang, W. Shang, C. Song, P. Tao, H. Zhu, X. Pan, T. Deng and J. Wu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1904278.
- 54 M. T. Greiner, T. E. Jones, S. Beeg, L. Zwiener, M. Scherzer, F. Girgsdies, S. Piccinin, M. Armbrüster, A. Knop-Gericke and R. Schlögl, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 1008–1015.
- 55 L. Zhang, Y. Ren, W. Liu, A. Wang and T. Zhang, *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, 2018, **5**, 653–672.
- 56 G. Kyriakou, M. B. Boucher, A. D. Jewell, E. A. Lewis, T. J. Lawton, A. B. Ber, H. L. Tierney, M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos and E. Sykes, *Science*, 2012, **335**, 1209.
- 57 M. T. Darby, E. C. H. Sykes, A. Michaelides and M. Stamatakis, *Top. Catal.*, 2018, **61**, 428–438.
- 58 J. Liu, F. R. Lucci, M. Yang, S. Lee, M. D. Marcinkowski, A. J. Therrien, C. T. Williams, E. C. H. Sykes and M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 6396–6399.
- 59 G. X. Pei, X. Y. Liu, X. Yang, L. Zhang, A. Wang, L. Li, H. Wang, X. Wang and T. Zhang, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 1491–1500.
- 60 M. Li, K. Duanmu, C. Wan, T. Cheng, L. Zhang, S. Dai, W. Chen, Z. Zhao, P. Li, H. Fei, Y. Zhu, R. Yu, J. Luo, K. Zang, Z. Lin, M. Ding, J. Huang, H. Sun, J. Guo, X. Pan, W. A. Goddard, P. Sautet, Y. Huang and X. Duan, *Nat. Catal.*, 2019, **2**, 495–503.
- 61 R. Shen, W. Chen, Q. Peng, S. Lu, L. Zheng, X. Cao, Y. Wang, W. Zhu, J. Zhang, Z. Zhuang, C. Chen, D. Wang and Y. Li, *Chem*, 2019, **5**, 2099–2110.
- 62 A. Wang, J. Li and T. Zhang, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2018, **2**, 65–81.
- 63 B. Qiao, J.-X. Liang, A. Wang, C.-Q. Xu, J. Li, T. Zhang and J. J. Liu, *Nano Res.*, 2015, **8**, 2913–2924.
- 64 Y. Lu, S. Zhou, C.-T. Kuo, D. Kunwar, C. Thompson, A. S. Hoffman, A. Boubnov, S. Lin, A. K. Datye, H. Guo and A. M. Karim, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 8701–8715.
- 65 E. J. Peterson, A. T. DeLaRiva, S. Lin, R. S. Johnson, H. Guo, J. T. Miller, J. Hun Kwak, C. H. F. Peden, B. Kiefer, L. F. Allard, F. H. Ribeiro and A. K. Datye, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 4885.
- 66 Z. Zhang, Y. Zhu, H. Asakura, B. Zhang, J. Zhang, M. Zhou, Y. Han, T. Tanaka, A. Wang, T. Zhang and N. Yan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 16100.
- 67 R. Q. Zhang, C. E. Kim, B. D. Yu, C. Stampfl and A. Soon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 19450–19456.
- 68 S. Yang, J. Kim, Y. J. Tak, A. Soon and H. Lee, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2058–2062.
- 69 C. K. Poh, S. H. Lim, J. Lin and Y. P. Feng, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 13525–13538.
- 70 L. Lin, W. Zhou, R. Gao, S. Yao, X. Zhang, W. Xu, S. Zheng, Z. Jiang, Q. Yu, Y.-W. Li, C. Shi, X.-D. Wen and D. Ma, *Nature*, 2017, **544**, 80–83.
- 71 K. Qi, X. Cui, L. Gu, S. Yu, X. Fan, M. Luo, S. Xu, N. Li, L. Zheng, Q. Zhang, J. Ma, Y. Gong, F. Lv, K. Wang, H. Huang, W. Zhang, S. Guo, W. Zheng and P. Liu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 5231.
- 72 H. Yang, L. Shang, Q. Zhang, R. Shi, G. I. N. Waterhouse, L. Gu and T. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 4585.
- 73 J. Li, L. Zhang, K. Doyle-Davis, R. Li and X. Sun, *Carbon Energy*, 2020, **2**, 488–520.
- 74 J. Yang, W. Li, D. Wang and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 2003300.
- 75 C. Zhao, X. Dai, T. Yao, W. Chen, X. Wang, J. Wang, J. Yang, S. Wei, Y. Wu and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 8078–8081.
- 76 X. Fang, Q. Shang, Y. Wang, L. Jiao, T. Yao, Y. Li, Q. Zhang, Y. Luo and H.-L. Jiang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705112.
- 77 E. Zhang, T. Wang, K. Yu, J. Liu, W. Chen, A. Li, H. Rong, R. Lin, S. Ji, X. Zheng, Y. Wang, L. Zheng, C. Chen, D. Wang, J. Zhang and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 16569–16573.
- 78 W. Chen, J. Pei, C.-T. He, J. Wan, H. Ren, Y. Wang, J. Dong, K. Wu, W.-C. Cheong, J. Mao, X. Zheng, W. Yan, Z. Zhuang, C. Chen, Q. Peng, D. Wang and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1800396.
- 79 L. Jiao, R. Zhang, G. Wan, W. Yang, X. Wan, H. Zhou, J. Shui, S.-H. Yu and H.-L. Jiang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 2831.
- 80 J. Wan, W. Chen, C. Jia, L. Zheng, J. Dong, X. Zheng, Y. Wang, W. Yan, C. Chen, Q. Peng, D. Wang and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705369.
- 81 H.-J. Zhu, M. Lu, Y.-R. Wang, S.-J. Yao, M. Zhang, Y.-H. Kan, J. Liu, Y. Chen, S.-L. Li and Y.-Q. Lan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 497.
- 82 J. Zhang, H. Yang and B. Liu, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **11**, 2002473.
- 83 Y. Ren, Y. Tang, L. Zhang, X. Liu, L. Li, S. Miao, D. Sheng Su, A. Wang, J. Li and T. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 4500.
- 84 X. Wang, Z. Chen, X. Zhao, T. Yao, W. Chen, R. You, C. Zhao, G. Wu, J. Wang and W. Huang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 1962–1966.
- 85 K. Jiang, B. Liu, M. Luo, S. Ning, M. Peng, Y. Zhao, Y.-R. Lu, T.-S. Chan, F. M. F. de Groot and Y. Tan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1743.
- 86 L. Zhang, R. Si, H. Liu, N. Chen, Q. Wang, K. Adair, Z. Wang, J. Chen, Z. Song, J. Li, M. N. Banis, R. Li, T.-K. Sham, M. Gu,

- L.-M. Liu, G. A. Botton and X. Sun, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 4936.
- 87 H. A. Gasteiger, S. S. Kocha, B. Sompalli and F. T. Wagner, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2005, **56**, 9–35.
- 88 F. T. Wagner, B. Lakshmanan and M. F. Mathias, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **1**, 2204–2219.
- 89 W. Chen, W. Gao, P. Tu, T. Robert, Y. Ma, H. Shan, X. Gu, W. Shang, P. Tao, C. Song, T. Deng, H. Zhu, X. Pan, H. Yang and J. Wu, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 5905–5912.
- 90 Z. Song, Y.-N. Zhu, H. Liu, M. N. Banis, L. Zhang, J. Li, K. Doyle-Davis, R. Li, T.-K. Sham, L. Yang, A. Young, G. A. Botton, L.-M. Liu and X. Sun, *Small*, 2020, **16**, 2003096.
- 91 Y. Chen, R. Gao, S. Ji, H. Li, K. Tang, P. Jiang, H. Hu, Z. Zhang, H. Hao, Q. Qu, X. Liang, W. Chen, J. Dong, D. Wang and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 3212–3221.
- 92 D. Banham, S. Ye, K. Pei, J.-i. Ozaki, T. Kishimoto and Y. Imashiro, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, **285**, 334–348.
- 93 J. Li, M. Chen, D. A. Cullen, S. Hwang, M. Wang, B. Li, K. Liu, S. Karakalos, M. Lucero, H. Zhang, C. Lei, H. Xu, G. E. Sterbinsky, Z. Feng, D. Su, K. L. More, G. Wang, Z. Wang and G. Wu, *Nat. Catal.*, 2018, **1**, 935–945.
- 94 F. Li, G.-F. Han, H.-J. Noh, S.-J. Kim, Y. Lu, H. Y. Jeong, Z. Fu and J.-B. Baek, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 2263–2269.
- 95 Q. Zhang, H. Zhong, F. Meng, D. Bao, X. Zhang and X. Wei, *Nano Res.*, 2018, **11**, 1294–1300.
- 96 H. Zhong, J. Wang, F. Meng and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 10091–10095.
- 97 J. Wang, H.-x. Zhong, Y.-l. Qin and X.-b. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 5248–5253.
- 98 S. Cherevko, *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.*, 2018, **8**, 118–125.
- 99 T. Reier, M. Oezaslan and P. Strasser, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 1765–1772.
- 100 P. Jovanović, N. Hodnik, F. Ruiz-Zepeda, I. Arčon, B. Jozinović, M. Zorko, M. Bele, M. Šala, V. S. Šelih, S. Hočevar and M. Gaberšček, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 12837–12846.
- 101 L. Cao, Q. Luo, J. Chen, L. Wang, Y. Lin, H. Wang, X. Liu, X. Shen, W. Zhang, W. Liu, Z. Qi, Z. Jiang, J. Yang and T. Yao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 4849.
- 102 Y. Yao, S. Hu, W. Chen, Z.-Q. Huang, W. Wei, T. Yao, R. Liu, K. Zang, X. Wang, G. Wu, W. Yuan, T. Yuan, B. Zhu, W. Liu, Z. Li, D. He, Z. Xue, Y. Wang, X. Zheng, J. Dong, C.-R. Chang, Y. Chen, X. Hong, J. Luo, S. Wei, W.-X. Li, P. Strasser, Y. Wu and Y. Li, *Nat. Catal.*, 2019, **2**, 304–313.
- 103 L. Zhang, Y. Jia, G. Gao, X. Yan, N. Chen, J. Chen, M. T. Soo, B. Wood, D. Yang, A. Du and X. Yao, *Chem*, 2018, **4**, 285–297.
- 104 X. Zheng, J. Tang, A. Gallo, J. A. Garrido Torres, X. Yu, C. J. Athanitis, E. M. Been, P. Ercius, H. Mao, S. C. Fakra, C. Song, R. C. Davis, J. A. Reimer, J. Vinson, M. Bajdich and Y. Cui, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2021, **118**, e2101817118.
- 105 Y. Wu, F. Li, W. Chen, Q. Xiang, Y. Ma, H. Zhu, P. Tao, C. Song, W. Shang, T. Deng and J. Wu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1803151.
- 106 T. Liu, X. Ma, D. Liu, S. Hao, G. Du, Y. Ma, A. M. Asiri, X. Sun and L. Chen, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 98–102.
- 107 J. Wu, N. Han, S. Ning, T. Chen, C. Zhu, C. Pan, H. Wu, S. J. Pennycook and C. Guan, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **8**, 14825–14832.
- 108 S. Liu, C. Chen, Y. Zhang, Q. Zheng, S. Zhang, X. Mu, C. Chen, J. Ma and S. Mu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 14466–14472.
- 109 D. Yi, F. Lu, F. Zhang, S. Liu, B. Zhou, D. Gao, X. Wang and J. Yao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 15855–15859.
- 110 Y. Cheng, J. Dai, Y. Song and Y. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 6851–6859.
- 111 D. A. Kuznetsov, Z. Chen, P. V. Kumar, A. Tsoukalou, A. Kierzkowska, P. M. Abdala, O. V. Safonova, A. Fedorov and C. R. Müller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 17809–17816.
- 112 X. Liu, L. Zheng, C. Han, H. Zong, G. Yang, S. Lin, A. Kumar, A. R. Jadhav, N. Q. Tran, Y. Hwang, J. Lee, S. Vasimalla, Z. Chen, S.-G. Kim and H. Lee, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2100547.
- 113 K. L. Zhou, Z. Wang, C. B. Han, X. Ke, C. Wang, Y. Jin, Q. Zhang, J. Liu, H. Wang and H. Yan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 3783.
- 114 Q. Xiang, F. Li, J. Wang, W. Chen, Q. Miao, Q. Zhang, P. Tao, C. Song, W. Shang, H. Zhu, T. Deng and J. Wu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 10837–10844.
- 115 S. Jin, Y. Ni, Z. Hao, K. Zhang, Y. Lu, Z. Yan, Y. Wei, Y.-R. Lu, T.-S. Chan and J. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 21885–21889.
- 116 J.-H. Liu, L.-M. Yang and E. Ganz, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 3805–3814.
- 117 X. Zhang, Y. Wang, M. Gu, M. Wang, Z. Zhang, W. Pan, Z. Jiang, H. Zheng, M. Lucero, H. Wang, G. E. Sterbinsky, Q. Ma, Y.-G. Wang, Z. Feng, J. Li, H. Dai and Y. Liang, *Nat. Energy*, 2020, **5**, 684–692.
- 118 W. Ju, A. Bagger, G.-P. Hao, A. S. Varela, I. Sinev, V. Bon, B. Roldan Cuenya, S. Kaskel, J. Rossmeisl and P. Strasser, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 944.
- 119 Z. Jiang, Y. Wang, X. Zhang, H. Zheng, X. Wang and Y. Liang, *Nano Res.*, 2019, **12**, 2330–2334.
- 120 M.-D. Zhang, D.-H. Si, J.-D. Yi, S.-S. Zhao, Y.-B. Huang and R. Cao, *Small*, 2020, **16**, 2005254.
- 121 H. Yang, Q. Lin, C. Zhang, X. Yu, Z. Cheng, G. Li, Q. Hu, X. Ren, Q. Zhang, J. Liu and C. He, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 593.
- 122 X. Zu, X. Li, W. Liu, Y. Sun, J. Xu, T. Yao, W. Yan, S. Gao, C. Wang, S. Wei and Y. Xie, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1808135.
- 123 J. He, N. Li, Z. -G, M. Zhong, Z. -X. Fu, M. Liu, J. -C. Yin, Z. Shen, W. Li, J. Zhang, Z. Chang and X. -H. Bu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2103597.