

Showcasing research from Professor Wu's laboratory, College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, Jiangsu, China.

Direct observation of charge transfer between molecular heterojunctions based on inorganic semiconductor clusters

A deep understanding of the dynamics of photogenerated charge carriers is extremely important for promoting their germination in semiconductors to enhance the efficiency of solar energy conversion. We reveal the dynamics of charge transfer between inorganic semiconductor molecular heteroclusters by selecting a group of open-framework metal chalcogenides as unique structure models, which are constructed from supertetrahedral [$In_{10}S_{20}$] (residing on the four surrounding bases) and [$M_4In_{16}S_{35}$] (residing on the central base, M = Mn or Fe) clusters.





Chemical Science



EDGE ARTICLE

View Article Online



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 4085

d All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 24th January 2020 Accepted 18th March 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0sc00458h

rsc.li/chemical-science

Direct observation of charge transfer between molecular heterojunctions based on inorganic semiconductor clusters†

Chaozhuang Xue,‡^a Xing Fan,‡^b Jiaxu Zhang, D^a Dandan Hu, D^a Xiao-Li Wang,^a Xiang Wang, D^a Rui Zhou,^a Haiping Lin, D^b Youyong Li, D^b Dong-Sheng Li, D^c Xiao Wei, Daoyuan Zheng, ef Yang Yang, De Keli Han De and Tao Wu D**

A deep understanding of the dynamics of photogenerated charge carriers is extremely important for promoting their germination in semiconductors to enhance the efficiency of solar energy conversion. In contrast to that of organic molecular heterojunctions (which are widely employed in organic solar cells), the charge transfer dynamics of purely inorganic molecular heterojunctions remains unexplored. Herein, we reveal the dynamics of charge transfer between inorganic semiconductor molecular heteroclusters by selecting a group of open-framework metal chalcogenides as unique structure models constructed from supertetrahedral T3-InS ([In₁₀S₂₀]) and T4-MInS ([M₄In₁₆S₃₅], M = Mn or Fe) clusters. The staggered band gap alignment in T3-T4-MInS molecular heterojunctions enables the photogenerated charge carriers to be directionally transferred from T3-InS clusters to adjacent T4-MInS clusters upon irradiation or application of an external electric field. The simultaneous independence of and interactions between such two heteroclusters are investigated by theoretical calculations, steady- and transient-state absorption/photoluminescence spectroscopy, and surface photovoltage analysis. Moreover, the dynamics of cluster-to-cluster-to-dopant photogenerated charge transfer is deliberately elucidated. Thus, this work demonstrates the direct observation of charge transfer between molecular heterojunctions based on purely inorganic semiconductor clusters and is expected to promote the development of cluster-based semiconductors for solar cells.

Introduction

Charge transfer efficiency and direction are important kinetic factors predominantly impacting semiconductor performance in photoelectrochemical and photovoltaic applications.¹⁻⁴ On the one hand, highly efficient transfer of photogenerated charge carriers enables the long-term separation of electron-hole pairs and improves the effectiveness of carrier utilization in enhancing photoelectric performance.5-7 On the other hand, exploration of explicit charge carrier migration pathways/ directions can deepen our understanding of the complicated physical phenomena and catalytic reactions involving charge transfer processes. 1,8 The construction of semiconductor heterojunctions with staggered band gap alignment is an effective strategy to promote the separation of photogenerated charge carriers and study charge migration dynamics.9-12 However, it remains challenging to achieve the desired charge separation efficiency and deeply understand the charge migration direction based on the information available for conventional semiconductor heterojunctions commonly synthesized by multistep procedures and comprising size-variable interfacial phases with a relatively unclear interface location, complicated interface structures, and randomly positioned dopants (Scheme 1a, b and e).

Over the past decade, crystalline molecular heterojunctions,13-17 especially those with strongly bonded interfaces, have drawn much attention because of their ideal contact areas, bonding sites between adjacent molecules (or phases), and

^aCollege of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, China. E-mail: wutao@suda.edu.cn

^bJiangsu Key Laboratory for Carbon-Based Functional Materials & Devices, Institute of Functional Nano & Soft Materials (FUNSOM), Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123 China

^{&#}x27;Hubei Provincial Collaborative Innovation Center for New Energy Microgrid, Key Laboratory of Inorganic Nonmetallic Crystalline and Energy Conversion Materials, College of Materials and Chemical Engineering, China Three Gorges University, Yichang 443002, China


^dSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

State Key Laboratory of Molecular Reaction Dynamics, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Dalian 116023, China

Institute of Molecular Sciences and Engineering, Shandong University, Qingdao 266235, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional characterization, CCDC 1968156 and 1968157. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d0sc00458h

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.



Scheme 1 (a-d) Types of heterojunctions. (e and f) Comparison of charge transfer dynamics between traditional and molecular heterojunctions.

controllable compositions in ultra-small subunits. 18-21 This well-defined heterostructured configuration offers obvious advantages for photovoltaic and catalytic applications, as the sufficient hybridization of atomic orbitals permits efficient carrier delocalization and allows programmable band structures to meet the potential of catalytic reactions. The incorporation of secondary building units (SBUs) into crystalline skeletons through one-step self-assembly is an effective strategy to obtain molecular heterojunctions with periodic arrays, strongly bonded interfaces, staggered band gap alignment, and atomically precise integration (Scheme 1c and d), allowing one to assess the comprehensive impacts of molecular building blocks, energy levels, and dopants on the microscopic process of energy conversion. Interestingly, a crystalline molecular heterojunction based on covalent organic frameworks (COFs) has been recently reported (Scheme 1c), representing a diversified combination of organic SBUs with outstanding semiconduction and photoconduction properties. 18,22-26 Moreover, the systematical assembly of donor and acceptor SBUs allows one to reveal some mechanistic details (e.g. exciton formation and charge separation/migration) for such ordered atomically precise molecular heterojunctions (Scheme 1f).25,26 Although the synthesis and charge dynamics of molecular COF heterojunctions have been extensively studied, the construction of purely inorganic semiconductor molecular heterojunctions and their photoelectric dynamic behaviours at the sub-nanoscale cluster size remain underexplored (Scheme 1d). Thus, the fabrication of inorganic molecular heterojunctions from different atomically precise SBUs with periodic arrays and controllable band structures is a task of high importance. Unfortunately, such model systems seem to be aspirational rather than feasible for current hot inorganic materials, such as insulating metal-oxide-based zeolites and polyoxometalates.

Crystalline inorganic metal chalcogenides with supertetrahedral clusters (denoted as Tn, where n is the number of metal sites along the tetrahedron edge) serving as SBUs have been extensively investigated because of their fascinating architectures and the effective integration of porosity with semiconducting properties.²⁷⁻³² Recently, discrete clusters with uniform size and atomically precise crystal lattice structure have

been successfully dispersed into cluster-based quantum-dotlike nanoparticles (also called supraclusters) in solvents, and correlations between the cluster structure and function (such as electrochemical, photocatalytic and photoelectric applications) have been established.33-37 Although the roles of clusters in crystalline open frameworks remain unclear, the significance of clusters in these frameworks seems to extend beyond the beauty of a symmetrical structure and apparent functionality as nodes for open framework construction. For example, precise doping of clusters at the atomic scale allows one to study the synergistic effect of framework heteroatoms on photoluminescence and electrocatalytic properties. 31,34,38 Moreover, the incorporation of multi-metal compositions with various stoichiometric ratios allows one to control the band structure of cluster-based materials for potential applications in photocatalytic pollutant degradation and photocatalytic fuel synthesis.33,39 From a structural perspective, two types of chalcogenide Tn clusters can co-crystallize into 2D or even 3D frameworks with periodic A-B-A-B arrays. 40-44 The compositions of cluster A and cluster B can be engineered independently to result in sub-nanoscale segregation of domains for possible charge separation. Such hybrid chalcogenide-cluster-based molecular heterojunctions with ordered charge-transporting 3D channels in a periodical lattice may provide an ideal platform for investigating the dynamics of photogenerated charge carriers (Scheme 1f).

Herein, we take a significant step toward the direct observation of charge transfer between adjacent cluster-based domains in inorganic chalcogenide molecular heterojunctions (denoted as *T3-T4-MInS*, M = Mn or Fe), which feature subnanoscale supertetrahedral T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters alternately connected into an extended double-interpenetrated diamond-type framework corresponding to a sphalerite-type AB topology of two different tetrahedral clusters A and B that are connected by corner-sharing (Fig. 1). The results of theoretical calculations and UV-vis absorption spectroscopy indicate the staggered band structure of these molecular heterojunctions. In addition, the independence of and inter-cluster interactions between T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters as well as




Fig. 1 Schematics of charge transfer dynamics between sub-nanoscale hetero-clusters in 3D cluster-based open-framework chalco-qenides (A = T3-InS, B = T4-MInS, M = Mn or Fe).

the dynamics of photogenerated charge carrier transfer between these clusters are discussed in detail from experimental and theoretical perspectives.

Experimental section

Chemicals and materials

Manganese acetate tetrahydrate $(Mn(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 4H_2O, 99.9\%, powder)$, iron nitrate nonahydrate $(Fe(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O, 99.9\%, powder)$, indium (In, 99.9%, powder), sulfur (S, 99.9%, powder), 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]-7-undecene (DBU, >99%, liquid), 1,5-diazabicyclo[4.3.0]non-5-ene (DBN, 98%, liquid), *N*-aminoethylpiperazine (AEP, >99%, liquid), *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF, >99.8%, liquid) and deionized water were all used without further purification.

Syntheses of T3-T4-MInS

Mn(CH₃COO)₂·4H₂O powder (47 mg, 0.19 mmol), In powder (82 mg, 0.71 mmol), S powder (60 mg, 1.88 mmol), DBN (1 mL) and DMF (2 mL) were added into a 23 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and stirred for about 20 min. The reactors were then sealed and heated at 190 °C for 5 days. After washing with ethanol, bronzing octahedral crystals of T3-T4-MnInS were obtained (yield: 105 mg, \sim 32% based on Mn). The synthetic method for T3-T4-FeInS is the same as that of T3-T4-MnInS except for substituting Mn(CH₃COO)₂·4H₂O with 35 mg (0.09 mmol) of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (yield: 150 mg, \sim 95% based on Fe).

Synthesis of T3-InS

In powder (103 mg, 0.90 mmol), S powder (154 mg, 4.81 mmol) and AEP (2 mL) were added into a 23 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and stirred for about 20 min. The reactors were then sealed and heated at 190 °C for 7 days. After washing with ethanol, pale yellow octahedral crystals of T3-InS were obtained (yield: 120 mg, \sim 27% based on In).

Synthesis of T4-MInS

Mn(CH₃COO)₂·4H₂O powder (47 mg, 0.18 mmol), In powder (100 mg, 0.87 mmol), S powder (120 mg, 3.75 mmol), DBU (1.5 mL), AEP (3 mL) and H₂O (1 mL) were added into a 23 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and stirred for about 20 min. The reactors were then sealed and heated at 190 °C for 5 days. After washing with ethanol, pale yellow crystals *T4-MnInS* were obtained (yield: 90 mg, ~40% based on Mn). Synthetic methods of *T4-FeInS* are the same as that of *T4-MnInS* except for substituting Mn(CH₃COO)₂·4H₂O with 30 mg (0.06 mmol) Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (yield: 75 mg, ~87% based on Fe).

Structural characterization

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were performed on a Bruker Photon II CPAD diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo Ka ($\lambda=0.71073$ Å) radiation at 223 K. The structure was solved by the direct method using SHELXS-2014 and the refinement against all reflections of the compound was performed using SHELXL-2014. The protonated organic

amines located in the extra framework cannot be identified due to their serious disorder and hence the squeeze subprogram has been performed. PXRD data of all compounds were collected on a desktop diffractometer (D2 PHASER, Bruker, Germany) using Cu-K α ($\lambda=1.54056$ Å) radiation operated at 30 kV and 10 mA.

Theoretical calculations

All the theoretical calculations in this work were performed using Vienna ab initio simulation packages (VASP) with the projector-augmented wave (PAW) method. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional is used for the exchange and correlation interaction between electrons. The plane wave cutoff energy for this system was set to 500 eV. The Brillouin zone was sampled by $1 \times 1 \times 1$ Monkhorst-Pack k-point sampling for structural optimization and self-consistent calculations. The internal coordinates of each system are fully optimized until the residual Hellmann-Feynman forces are smaller than 0.02 eV $\rm \mathring{A}^{-1}$. The criterion of convergence of energy is set to 1×10^{-4} eV. The variations in vdW contributions of atoms in a local chemical environment were evaluated by the method of Tkatchenko and Scheffler (DFT-TS). Three methods have been tried to deal with the negative charges carried by the clusters. In the first approach, hydrogen atoms were added to the sulfur atoms to balance the negative charges. However, the added H atoms resulted in significant structural distortions upon structural relaxations. In the second approach, background positive charges were added to the unit cells by tuning the values of "NELECT" in the INCAR file. Nevertheless, the convergence of electronic structures becomes very poor, indicating that there are too many background charges and the obtained electronic states become unreliable. In the third approach, we did not try to balance the negative charges in calculations. The reason is that under realistic conditions, the negative charges are localized on the S atoms, while the positive charges of ligands are localized somewhere near the clusters. Thus, the experimentally measured properties are actually based on the electronic structures of clusters which carry some negative charges. We therefore select the third treatment in the present work.

UV-vis absorption

Room-temperature solid-state UV-vis diffusion reflectance spectra of all the powder samples were measured on a Shimadzu UV-3600 UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer by using BaSO₄ as the reflectance reference. The absorption spectra were obtained from reflectance spectra by using the Kubelka–Munk function: $F(R) = \alpha/S = (1 - R)^2/2R$, where R, α , and S are the reflection, the absorption and the scattering coefficient, respectively.

Photoluminescence (PL) characterization

PL and PLE measurements were measured using a HORIBA Scientific Fluorolog-3 steady state and time-resolved fluorescence spectrophotometer equipped with a 450 W xenon lamp. PL decays were measured by using a HORIBA Scientific Fluorolog-3 steady state fluorimeter with a time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) spectrometer and a pulsed

xenon lamp as the excitation source. Low temperature PL spectra were also recorded on a HORIBA Scientific Fluorolog-3 spectrophotometer with low temperature equipment.

Surface photovoltage (SPV) measurements

Surface photovoltage (SPV) measurements were carried out using a system that includes a lock-in amplifier (SR830-DSP) with a light chopper (SR540), a source of monochromatic light, a photovoltaic cell, and a computer. Monochromatic light was provided by a 500 W xenon lamp (CHFXQ500 W, Global Xenon Lamp Power) and a double-prism monochromator (Zolix SBP500). The contact between samples and the electrode of fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) was resistance-free in measurements of SPV. The configuration of the photovoltaic cell is a sandwich-like structure of FTO-sample-FTO.

Ultrafast transient absorption (TA) and emission measurements

Nanosecond transient absorption and emission measurements were carried out on powder samples by using laser flash photolysis (LFP) with a pump–probe system. The 355 nm pump pulse with a pulse width of 6 ns and energy of 30 mJ was generated by a Nd-YAG nanosecond laser system operated at a repetition rate of 3 Hz. A pulsed 450 W xenon lamp served as the probe light which scanned over the wavelength range of 360–700 nm. After a high intensity monochromator (WDG30-Z, Beijing Optical Instrument Factory), the signal was led to a photomultiplier tube (PMT, R928) for detecting transient absorption curves and emission decay curves.

Results and discussion

Construction of T3-T4-MInS molecular heterojunctions

Crystalline T3-T4-MInS samples, constructed from supertetrahedral T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters, were obtained by facile one-step solvothermal synthesis (see ESI† for details; note that italicized Tn represents the cluster-based material, while nonitalicized Tn stands for the cluster itself). To directly reflect the dynamics of charge transfer/migration in T3-T4-MInS molecular heterojunctions, Mn2+ and Fe2+ ions were selected to control the electronic structure of T4-MInS clusters. Crystalline T3-T4-MnInS and T3-T4-FeInS appeared yellow and chocolatecolored, respectively (Fig. 2). Single-crystal X-ray structural analysis revealed that tetrahedrally connected T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters were alternately linked to each other through corner-shared S atoms to afford a 3D framework with a doubleinterpenetrated diamond topology, being isostructural and isomorphous with UCR-19 (Fig. S1-S3†).42 Compared to T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters in previously reported UCR-7 and UCR-5 compounds,45 respectively, T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters in T3-T4-MInS retained their pristine atomic arrangement, i.e., each T3-InS cluster contained $\mbox{In}^{3^{+}}$ and $\mbox{S}^{2^{-}},$ and each T4-MInS cluster comprised In³⁺, S²⁻ and M²⁺ ions, with low-valent M²⁺ cations being precisely located on super-tetrahedron faces to meet Pauling's electrostatic valence rule. Theoretically, the physicochemical properties of Tn clusters (including band structure




Fig. 2 Images of T3-InS, T4-FeInS, T4-MnInS, T3-T4-FeInS and T3-T4-MnInS, as well as the corresponding structures.

and electron energy levels) depend on their compositions. Thus, the incorporation of chalcogenide heteroclusters with different compositions into the superlattice may create molecular heterojunctions with periodic arrays of domains and staggered band structure.

Theoretical calculations

To identify the spatial localization or distribution of the electronic bands of *T3-T4-MInS* for different molecular clusters and the strong charge interaction between them, theoretical calculations were performed on *T3-T4-MInS* and its contrast structures of pure *T3-InS* (UCR-7) and *T4-MInS* (UCR-5) using density functional theory (DFT) (Fig. 3). As shown in Fig. 3a and b, the densities of states (DOS) of *T3-T4-MInS* with characteristic




Fig. 3 (a) DOS of T3-InS, T4-FeInS, and T3-T4-FeInS. (b) DOS of T3-InS, T4-MnInS, and T3-T4-MnInS. Band-decomposed charge densities in energy ranges of 0.00-3.00 eV and 3.00-3.45 eV for (c) T3-T4-FeInS and (d) T3-T4-MnInS. The isosurface value is 0.001 eV Å $^{-3}$. S, In, Fe, and Mn atoms are presented with yellow, brown, blue, and violet circles, respectively.

Edge Article

semiconducting features seemed to be a simple combination of the DOS of T3-InS and T4-MInS, which suggested that the partial band structures of both T3-InS and T4-MInS were retained in T3-T4-MInS. To probe charge distribution in T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters, DOS peaks corresponding to excited states were analyzed by meticulous peak separation and resolution. For T3-T4-FeInS, the excited states in the energy window of 3.00-3.45 eV were localized at both T3-InS and T4-FeInS clusters, and when the selected energy range was shifted to <3.00 eV, the electronic states were only seen in T4-FeInS clusters (Fig. 3c). Similarly, the excited states of T3-T4-MnInS in the energy range of 3.00-3.51 eV were distributed in both T3-InS and T4-MnInS clusters, while those below 3.00 eV were only present in T4-MnInS clusters (Fig. 3d). In hybrid clusters, T4-MInS and T3-InS units were connected through corner-shared S linkers (also denoted as the interface), as highlighted in red in Fig. S4a and b.† The projected DOS (PDOS) (Fig. S4c and d†) and banddecomposed charge densities (Fig. 3c and d) show that these linker S atoms possessed the exited states of both T4-MInS and T3-InS clusters, suggesting that charge transfer between T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters was enabled by the presence of corner-

shared S atoms acting as gangplanks. Correspondingly, hot

electrons injected into empty states located 3.00 eV above the Fermi level were expected to be distributed in both T3-InS and

T4-MInS clusters. However, upon electronic relaxations, elec-

trons in T3-InS may be transferred to lower energy levels (empty

states between 0.00 and 3.00 eV) of T4-MInS via linker S atoms.

Absorption spectra and optical band gap

To verify theoretical results, solid-state UV-vis absorption measurements were carried out on as-synthesized crystalline *T3-T4-MInS* (Fig. 4 and S5†) as well as on *T3-InS* and *T4-MInS* for comparison (Fig. S1 and S6†). Fig. 4 shows the transformed Kubelka–Munk spectra of *T3-InS*, *T4-MInS* and *T3-T4-MInS*. As can be seen, band gaps of *T3-InS*, *T4-MInS* and *T4-FeInS* were determined to be equal to 3.4, 2.3, and 1.8 eV, respectively. These results strongly suggest that divalent metal ions play an important role in controlling the band structure of cluster-based chalcogenides. Interestingly, heterocluster-based *T3-T4-*




Fig. 4 Transformed Kubelka–Munk spectra of *T3-InS, T4-MInS* and *T3-T4-MInS*.

MnInS exhibited abnormal Tauc plots, which, in the ranges of 2.5-2.9 and 2.9-3.2 eV, featured double slopes assumed to originate from T4-MnInS and T3-InS & T4-MnInS clusters, respectively. Electron delocalization between T3-InS and T4-MnInS clusters led to a roughly compromised band gap in T3-T4-MnInS, as compared to pure T3-InS and pure T4-MnInS. This phenomenon was more obvious for T3-T4-FeInS, in which case a plateau at 2.6-2.7 eV observed between a double band edge suggested the existence of band alignment and the relative independence of T3-InS and T4-FeInS clusters in the band structure. In addition, T4-MnInS and T3-T4-MnInS displayed five obvious absorption peaks at 2.0, 2.3, 2.4, 2.6, and 2.9 eV, attributed to the characteristic d-d transitions of Mn2+ ions from the ground state $[^{6}A_{1}(^{6}S)]$ to excited states $[^{4}E(^{4}D), {}^{4}T_{2}(^{4}D),$ ${}^{4}E({}^{4}G)$ or ${}^{4}A_{1}({}^{4}G)$, ${}^{4}T_{2}({}^{4}G)$, and ${}^{4}T_{1}({}^{4}G)$]. No characteristic transitions of Fe^{2+} were observed in the Tauc plot of *T4-FeInS*. These results suggest that compared to Fe²⁺ ions in the T4-FeInS cluster, Mn2+ ions in the T4-MnInS cluster feature a lower hybridization degree, in agreement with DFT results (Fig. S7†).

Photoluminescence (PL) properties of T3-T4-MnInS

The simultaneous independence of and inter-cluster interactions between T3-InS and T4-MnInS clusters in the molecular heterojunctions of T3-T4-MnInS were further revealed by PL spectroscopy. The incorporation of Mn^{2+} into chalcogenide Tnclusters resulted in Mn²⁺-related PL emission based on indirect excitation via exciton charge (or energy) transfer from the host cluster lattice to Mn2+ and/or the direct excitation of Mn2+.38,47 To directly observe the photogenerated charge transfer dynamics between T3-InS and T4-MnInS clusters, temperaturedependent PL measurements were carried out on T3-InS, T4-MnInS, and T3-T4-MnInS polycrystals. As shown in Fig. 5a and S8,† T3-InS exhibited weak PL emission at room temperature (RT) upon excitation at 350, 375, and 400 nm, and the full width at half maximum of PL emission (zenith at 518 nm) increased with decreasing temperature. In contrast, high-intensity RT PL emission was observed for T4-MnInS and T3-T4-MnInS excited under the same conditions as T3-InS (Fig. 5b, c and S9†). For T4-MnInS, RT PL emission with a maximum at ~628 nm was attributed to a split d-orbital transition (${}^{4}T_{1} \rightarrow {}^{6}A_{1}$) in the 3d⁵ shell of Mn²⁺, and the possible band-edge emission was suppressed because of charge (or energy) transfer from the host cluster to Mn2+ inside of the cluster.38,48 To better understand the independence of and inter-cluster interactions between T3-InS and T4-MnInS clusters in such molecular heterojunctions, we classified the above PL emission into T3-InS emission (denoted as T3-em) and T4-MnInS emission (denoted as T4-em). Temperature-dependent PL emission spectra of T3-T4-MnInS showed single strong Mn²⁺-related emission with a maximum at \sim 620 nm at RT, while another wide emission band at 475-575 nm (zenith at 550 nm) emerged and gained intensity with decreasing temperature (Fig. 5c), in stark contrast to the behavior of T4-MnInS (Fig. 5b) and the mechanical mixture of pure T3-InS and T4-MnInS (Fig. 5d). Compared to that of T4-MnInS, the additional blue PL emission of T3-T4-MnInS was ascribed to T3-em in view of the structural difference between




Fig. 5 PL spectra of (a) T3-InS, (b) T4-MnInS, (c) T3-T4-MnInS and (d) a mechanical mixture of T3-InS and T4-MnInS (1 : 1, mol mol $^{-1}$) upon excitation at 375 nm and different temperatures. (e) Normalized PLE spectra of T4-MnInS and T3-T4-MnInS for emission at 631 nm at room temperature. (f) Temperature-dependent PL intensity of T4-MnInS and T3-T4-MnInS.

T4-MnInS and *T3-T4-MnInS*, in which half of the T4-MnInS clusters in *T4-MnInS* are substituted by T3-InS clusters. The co-existence of T3-em and T4-em in the case of *T3-T4-MnInS* further demonstrated the relatively separated electronic structures of T3-InS and T4-MnInS clusters, which possibly endowed them with relatively independent photoelectric properties. Even so, the delocalization of electrons between T3-InS and T4-MnInS clusters could still be realized through bridging S atoms, as suggested by the variation of T3-em. The T3-em of *T3-InS* at \sim 518 nm was dramatically red shifted to 550 nm in *T3-T4-MnInS*, which was ascribed to the delocalization of electrons between heteroclusters. Therefore, the PL performance of *T3-T4-MnInS* was concluded to be a concretization of the calculated results on both the independence of and inter-cluster interactions between T3-InS and T4-MnInS clusters.

The oriented transfer of photogenerated charges from T3-InS clusters to T4-MInS clusters is a fascinating dynamic feature. To further probe charge transfer between heteroclusters, we performed PL excitation (PLE) measurements for *T4-MnInS* and *T3-T4-MnInS* (Fig. 5e). The PLE spectra of *T4-MnInS* and *T3-T4-MnInS* featured five wide excitation peaks at 372, 433, 469, 502, and 535 nm. Peaks 2–5 were assigned to transitions from the $^6A_1(^6S)$ ground state to $^4T_1(^4G)$, $^4T_2(^4G)$, $^4E(^4G)$ or $^4A_1(^4G)$, and $^4T_2(^4D)$ states. This finding was consistent with peaks in the corresponding absorption spectra and suggested that Mn²⁺ ions in both *T4-MnInS* and *T3-T4-MnInS* can be directly excited. It is worth noting that for *T4-MnInS*, the strongest PLE peak at

 \sim 372 nm was attributed to charge transfer from the host cluster to Mn²⁺ ions, as these ions in the T4-MnInS cluster cannot be directly and effectively excited at this wavelength.46 It should be noted that the typical absorption peak of Mn²⁺ ions in T4-MnInS that is involved with the transition from ${}^{6}A_{1}({}^{6}S)$ to ${}^{4}E({}^{4}D)$ cannot be observed in its room-temperature PLE spectrum. This is possibly because Mn²⁺ ions in the crystal lattice possess a relatively low d-d transition possibility or absorption coefficient at room temperature, and the weak PLE peak in the range of 375-410 nm is overlapped by the strong and wide PLE peak in a wide range of 350-410 nm. This assumption was further supported by low-temperature PLE spectra, in which the transition from ⁶A₁(⁶S) to ⁴E(⁴D) was clearly observed (Fig. S10a†). In addition, charge transfer within heteroclusters was further confirmed by variation of temperature-dependent PL emission intensity. It is well known that Mn2+-related PL emission intensity increases with decreasing because of the concomitant suppression of non-radiative transitions; however, the carrier transfer rate in such semiconductors is theoretically expected to decrease with decreasing testing temperature.49 As expected, the degree of PL intensity enhancement of T4-MnInS and T3-T4-MnInS upon excitation at 375 nm increased when the temperature decreased from RT to 243 K (Fig. 5f), which suggested that the suppression of non-radiative transition dominated over the recombination dynamics of carriers. However, the degree of PL intensity enhancement decreased at temperatures below 243 K. Given that the excitation wavelength of 375 nm dominates the chargetransfer-induced Mn2+-related PL emission, the above slowdown in the degree of PL intensity enhancement was ascribed to the suppression of host-to Mn²⁺ carrier transfer at low temperature. In particular, T3-T4-MnInS showed strong PL emission intensity that was maximized at 183 K, i.e., at an equilibrium state of two kinetic controlling factors. However, for T4-MnInS, this equilibrium state was obtained at a much lower temperature of 153 K. The temperature-dependent parabolic PL intensity curve also suggested that although temperature strongly affects charge transfer dynamics, T3-InS clusters significantly contribute to Mn²⁺-related PL emission in such molecular heterojunctions constructed from T3-InS and T4-MnInS clusters.

PL decay dynamics and electron paramagnetic response signals

To further evaluate the impact of the T3-InS cluster on T4-em from the T4-MnInS cluster, we probed time-resolved PL dynamics for *T4-MnInS* and *T3-T4-MnInS* using time-correlated single photon-counting (TCSPC) experiments and fitted the obtained curves by a multi-exponential function: $I(t) = \sum A_{i^-} \exp(-t/\tau_i)$ (Table S1†), with average lifetimes determined as $\tau_{\text{ave}} = \sum A_i \tau_i^2 / \sum A_i \tau_i$. Fig. 6a presents the RT PL decay dynamics of emission at 631 nm for both samples excited at 372 nm. The decay curves were fitted by a tri-exponential method, and the long-term average decay time of *T3-T4-MnInS* was calculated as 268 µs, being almost five-fold longer than that of *T4-MnInS* (57 µs) and ten-fold longer than that of other T4-MnInS-based 0D and 2D materials reported previously (Fig. 6b). 46,50 These results

Edge Article Chemical Science




Fig. 6 (a) PL decay curves of T3-T4-MnInS, T4-MnInS, and the mechanical mixture of T3-InS and T4-MnInS obtained for pulsed 372 nm (or 469 nm) excitation and 631 nm emission at RT. (b) Average PL decay lifetimes of T3-T4-MnInS and other T4-MnInS-cluster-based chalcogenides reported previously. (c) EPR spectra of T4-MnInS and T3-T4-MnInS. (d) Schematic structural difference between T4-T4 and

indirectly suggest the existence of exciton (or charge) transfer from the T3-InS cluster to the T4-MnInS cluster, which prolongs the lifetimes of Mn²⁺-related PL. Moreover, the occurrence of the above charge transfer was further indirectly verified by the PL decay dynamics of T4-MnInS and T3-T4-MnInS excited at 469 and 504 nm. During this excitation, Mn²⁺ ions in T4-MnInS clusters were excited directly, and the possible inter-cluster charge transfer was therefore completely ruled out. The PL decay lifetimes of both T4-MnInS and T3-T4-MnInS excited at 469 and 504 nm were much shorter than those obtained upon excitation at 372 nm (Fig. 6a, b and S11†). The PL lifetime of T3-T4-MnInS excited at 469 nm was only 20% that obtained for excitation at 372 nm, which demonstrates the importance of adjacent T3-InS clusters in T3-T4-MnInS as charge donors for increasing the lifetime of T4-em decay. In addition, it should be noted that distance-directed Mn-Mn interactions (dipolardipolar interactions) can also affect the PL lifetime.⁵¹ In T4-MnInS and T3-T4-MnInS, Mn-Mn interactions between Mn²⁺ ions in adjacent clusters can be ignored because of the large Mn···Mn distance (>1.5 nm) (Fig. 6d). In addition, there is also no difference between the local coordination configuration of Mn²⁺ ions in T4-MnInS and T3-T4-MnInS because of the identical distribution of these ions in the T4-MnInS cluster, as confirmed by EPR experiments. Fig. 6c shows that the EPR spectra of both T3-T4-MnInS and T4-MnInS featured centrosymmetric broad peaks of identical width. Therefore, charge transfer from T3-InS to T4-MnInS clusters was concluded to be the main reason of the long decay lifetime of T3-T4-MnInS.

PL properties of T3-T4-FeInS

In T3-T4-FeInS, charge transfer between heteroclusters was more distinct because of the complete quenching of T3-em PL.

PL measurements of T4-FeInS and T3-T4-FeInS for excitation at 350, 375, and 400 nm at RT and low temperature (Fig. S12 and S13†) failed to detect PL emission signals, which was attributed to the incorporation of Fe²⁺ ions acting as good non-radiative recombination centers of electron-hole pairs. 52,53 Given that T3-InS and T4-FeInS clusters in T3-T4-FeInS were confirmed to be independent units by theoretical calculations and UV-vis absorption spectroscopy, the orbital hybridization of Fe2+ ions with S²⁻ anions was thought to be confined to the T4-FeInS cluster. Therefore, we inferred that the suppression of possible T3-em PL may be ascribed to the photogenerated charge carrier transfer from T3-InS clusters to T4-FeInS clusters, identical to the dynamic process occurring in T3-T4-MnInS except for the different combination channels, i.e., nonradiative transition within Fe2+ ions in the former case and radiative transition within Mn²⁺ ions in the latter case (Fig. 7).

Surface photovoltage (SPV) measurements

SPV measurements were used to investigate the performance of the photogenerated charge carriers in T3-T4-MInS molecular heterojunctions. Fig. 8 shows the SPV phase spectra of T3-InS, T4-MnInS and T3-T4-MInS powder crystals for front-side illumination (i.e. samples were first directly irradiated by monochromatic light from the top electrode side) without applied bias and the corresponding SPV spectra measured at biases of 0, 10, and −10 V. The spectra of T3-InS and T4-MnInS featured approximately straight-line phase curves, indicating the occurrence of single-phase photoresponses throughout the SPV response region. The phase values of T3-InS and T4-MnInS equaled 70° and -150° , respectively (Fig. 8a), implying that photogenerated holes were generally transported to the top electrode for T3-InS, while photogenerated electrons were transported to the top electrode for T4-MnInS because of the intrinsic band potential difference between the electrode and samples attached on the electrode surface (denoted as the Schottky potential barrier).54,55 The fact that charge carrier transfer in T4-MnInS occurs in a direction opposite to that observed in T3-InS suggests intrinsic differences in semiconducting nature of these two species. In contrast, no SPV response for T4-FeInS was observed even when bias was applied (Fig. S14†). This finding was ascribed to the intrinsic electronic properties of T4-FeInS-based chalcogenide materials, as a similar sluggish SPV response of T4-FeInS was also observed for crystalline UCR-1-FeInS, which is also constructed from T4-




Fig. 7 Dynamics of photogenerated charge carrier transfer in (a) T3-T4-MnInS and (b) T3-T4-FeInS.




Fig. 8 (a) SPV phase spectra of T3-InS, T4-MnInS, T4-FeInS, T3-T4-MnInS and T3-T4-FeInS without applied bias. (b-f) SPV spectra of (b) T3-InS, (c) T4-MnInS, (d) T3-T4-MnInS, (e) T4-FeInS and (f) T3-T4-FeInS recorded at biases of 0, 10, and -10 V.

FeInS clusters. The rather weak SPV response of UCR-1-FeInS was even independent of the external electric field, with the phase value being approximately zero (Fig. S15†). For hybrid molecular heterojunctions between T3-InS and T4-MInS, the phase values of both T3-T4-MnInS and T3-T4-FeInS were positive throughout the SPV response region. However, one could still notice the SPV response difference in phase value variation between T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters. As shown in Fig. 8a, the SPV phase curves of T3-T4-MInS could be divided into two response bands (in reference to UV-vis absorption spectra), i.e., 320-520 and 520-680 nm for T3-T4-MnInS, and 320-550 and 550-730 nm for T3-T4-FeInS. The former band of T3-T4-MInS was attributed to the synergism of T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters, and the latter band was attributed to the T4-MInS cluster on account of light absorption ability. In addition, we noticed that the T3-InS-related SPV response (320-520 nm) of T3-T4-MnInS was dramatically red-shifted compared to that of T3-InS (320-500 nm); however, the cut-off wavelength of the SPV response corresponding to the T4-MnInS cluster (~680 nm) was blueshifted compared to that of T4-MnInS (\sim 700 nm). The extended SPV response of the T3-InS cluster to long wavelengths was also observed for T3-T4-FeInS. It is worth noting that the T4-FeInS cluster in T3-T4-FeInS exhibited an obvious SPV response at 550-730 nm, which was very different from the behavior of T4-FeInS and was ascribed to the electronic structure remodeling originating from the delocalization of electrons in T3-InS and T4-FeInS clusters.

Highly efficient charge transfer between adjacent heteroclusters was also manifested through SPV performance. When

bias was applied during measurements to promote the separation and migration of photogenerated charge carriers, all samples exhibited positive phase values at a bias of 10 V bias and negative phase values at a bias of -10 V bias, which suggested that photogenerated holes accumulated on the top electrode under a strong positive electric field, while photogenerated electrons accumulated on the top electrode under a strong negative electric field (Fig. S16†). One can see that T3-InS exhibited a good positive photovoltage response (\sim 54 μ V) in the absence of applied bias despite suffering from the instantaneous recombination of photogenerated carriers, as suggested by the corresponding photoelectric response (Fig. S17†). The positive SPV signal intensity sharply increased to 191 µV when a bias of 10 V was applied, while only a small negative SPV signal of about $-23 \mu V$ was observed under a bias of -10 V(Fig. 8b and f). Inversely, T4-MnInS exhibited a weak negative signal of about $-6 \mu V$ in the absence of applied bias, an increased negative SPV response of $-66 \mu V$ at a bias of -10 V, and a small positive SPV response of about 22 µV at a bias of 10 V bias (Fig. 8c and f). The above difference between T3-InS and T4-MnInS was attributed to that in the intrinsic semiconducting nature of T3-InS and T4-MnInS clusters. Although the strong external electric field could control positive or negative charge accumulation at the top electrode, the SPV response intensity could be tuned and influenced by the type of Schottky potential barrier. Interestingly, the difference between the Schottky potential barriers of the T3-InS (and/or T4-MnInS) cluster and the top electrode was still observed for T3-T4-MnInS and impacted the SPV performance. As shown in Fig. 8d and f, under a zero external electric field, T3-T4-MnInS exhibited a weak positive SPV signal of 7 µV, which was opposite to that observed for T4-MnInS and much smaller than that observed for T3-InS. This behavior was ascribed to the local opposite surface electric fields in T3-InS and T4-MnInS cluster regions. Surface T3-InS clusters in T3-T4-MnInS dominated the transport of positively charged holes into the electrode under positive bias, whereas surface T4-MnInS clusters in T3-T4-MnInS dominated electron migration into the electrode under negative bias, which resulted in SPV responses of \sim 102 and $-84~\mu V$ under positive and negative electric fields, respectively. Notably, the negative SPV peak of T3-T4-MnInS was more intense than that $(-66 \mu V)$ of T4-MnInS, although in T3-T4-MnInS, the amount of surface T4-MnInS clusters attached on the top electrode was less than that in T4-MnInS, as half of these clusters were replaced by sluggish T3-InS clusters at the electrode surface (the T3: T4 cluster molar ratio of T3-T4-MnInS is 1:1). The enhanced SPV response was attributed to the more favorable charge transfer from T3-InS clusters to T4-MnInS clusters in T3-T4-MnInS on account of the existence of additional band alignment in T3-T4-MnInS. This SPV enhancement induced by band alignment was carried forward in the SPV performance of T3-T4-FeInS under a positive electric field. As shown in Fig. 8e and f, at a bias of 10 V, T3-T4-FeInS exhibited a very strong SPV response of \sim 1630 μV, which was eight-fold higher than that of T3-InS. This excellent SPV response was attributed to not only activated T4-FeInS clusters dominating the migration of photogenerated holes onto the top electrode, but also to charge transfer from T3InS clusters to T4-FeInS clusters, which prevented the instantaneous recombination of photogenerated charge carriers (Fig. $S17\dagger$).

The above results allowed us to rationally infer the dynamics of photogenerated charge carrier transfer between adjacent heteroclusters as well as between surface clusters and the top electrode in *T3-T4-MInS*. The accumulated charges on the top electrode were mainly derived from the photogenerated charges of surface clusters (SCs) and the charges migrated between SCs and interior adjacent clusters (ICs-1), which collectively relied on the external electric field, Schottky potential barrier, and staggered band gap alignment of *T3-T4-MInS*. The photogenerated charge could be spontaneously transferred from T3-InS clusters to adjacent T4-MInS clusters because of the encapsulated band gap alignment (Fig. 9a and d), which suppressed the instantaneous recombination of electron–hole pairs in the T3-InS cluster and thus prolonged the carrier lifetime of this T3-InS cluster and made the T4-MInS cluster charge-rich.

For T3-T4-FeInS, both T3-InS and T4-FeInS clusters dominated the transfer of holes to the top electrode because of the




Fig. 9 Schematic diagrams of photogenerated charge carrier migration under biases of (a and d) 0 V, (b and e) 10 V, and (c and f) -10 V for (a–c) $T3\text{-}T4\text{-}MnInS}$ and (d–f) $T3\text{-}T4\text{-}FeInS}$. Note: A = T3-InS cluster; B = T4-MnInS cluster; C = T4-FeInS cluster; E = external electric field. ① refers to charge transfer between adjacent clusters (solid-line arrows: permitted or encouraged transfer; dotted-line arrows: unprocurable or suppressed transfer), while ② refers to the direction of the surface local electric field (solid-line arrows: consistent with the external electric field; dotted-line arrows: opposite to the external electric field).

identical surface electric field (Fig. 9d). The synergistic effect of charge transfer within the heterocluster and the same Schottky potential barrier led to a much stronger SPV response of T3-T4-FeInS compared to that of T3-InS under a zero-electric field (Fig. 9f). However, T3-T4-MnInS featured a SPV performance dramatically different from that of T3-T4-FeInS and only exhibited a weak positive SPV signal due to the opposite local surface electric field direction in T3-InS and T4-MnInS regions (Fig. 8f and 9a). When a positive bias was applied to T3-T4-MInS, both electron transfer from T3-InS SCs to T4-MInS ICs-1 and hole transfer from T3-InS ICs-1 to T4-MInS SCs were promoted (Fig. 9b and e), while electron transfer from T3-InS ICs-1 to T4-MInS SCs and hole transfer from T3-InS SCs to T4-MInS ICs-1 were suppressed. Inversely, when a negative bias was applied, the dynamics of charge transfer between SCs and ICs-1 was opposite to that under a positive electric field, i.e., electron transfer from T3-InS SCs to T4-MInS ICs-1 and hole transfer from T3-InS ICs-1 to T4-MInS SCs were suppressed, while electron transfer from T3-InS ICs-1 to T4-MInS SCs and hole transfer from T3-InS SCs to T4-MInS ICs-1 were promoted (Fig. 9c and f). As a result, all SCs including T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters in T3-T4-MInS were hole-rich under a positive electric field and electron-rich under a negative electric field. Charge migration from SCs to the top electrode was controlled by the external electric field and the additional surface electric field derived from the Schottky potential barrier. For T3-T4-MnInS, the external electric field was in the same direction as the surface electric field in the T3-InS regions of SCs but opposed the surface electric field in the T4-MnInS regions of SCs when a positive bias was applied. When a negative bias was applied, the external electric field was oriented in the same direction as the surface electric field in the T4-MnInS regions of SCs but opposed the surface electric field in the T3-InS regions of SCs (Fig. 9b and c). So, T3-T4-MnInS exhibited an obvious SPV response at both positive and negative biases, featuring a positive SPV signal weaker than that of T3-InS and a negative SPV signal stronger than that of T4-MnInS, which was ascribed to the photo-induced spontaneous charge transfer from T3-InS clusters to T4-MnInS clusters (Fig. 8f). For T3-T4-FeInS, both T3-InS SCs and T4-FeInS SCs dominated the transport of photogenerated holes to the electrode because of the Schottky potential barrier. Therefore, T3-T4-FeInS exhibited a preeminent photovoltaic response under positive bias due to the synergistic effect of the external electric field and the surface electric field as well as to charge transfer from T3-InS clusters to T4-FeInS clusters (Fig. 9e and f). It is worth noting that charge transfer processes between heteroclusters dramatically increased the utilization of photogenerated charges of T3-InS clusters, especially under an external electric field. Charge carriers transferred from T3-InS clusters to T4-MInS clusters cannot be devitalized via recombination, as redundant charges originating from the T4-MInS clusters are unavailable. For T3-InS and T4-MnInS, in the absence of applied bias, the charges of the top electrode mainly originated from SCs because of the absence of band gap alignment between adjacent T3-InS (or T4-MnInS) clusters, which allowed photogenerated charges to be spontaneously transferred from ICs-1 to SCs (Fig. S18a and d†).

When an external bias was applied, charge transfer between SCs and ICs-1 was compulsively driven by the external electric field (Fig. S18b, c, e and f \dagger). As a result, the SPV signal of *T3-InS* was weaker than that of *T3-T4-FeInS* when measured under a positive or negative electric field, and the signal of *T4-MnInS* was weaker than that of *T3-T4-MnInS* when measured under a negative electric field.

Ultrafast transient absorption (TA) experiments

To further verify the dynamics of charge transfer from T3-InS clusters to T4-MInS clusters, we carried out nanosecond TA measurements on powdered samples of T3-T4-MInS, T3-InS, and T4-MInS using a pump pulse (355 nm)/white light continuum probe system (Fig. S19†). No TA signals were observed for T3-InS. This behavior was attributed to the instantaneous recombination of carriers and their relaxation from the exited S_n state to the S_1 state within several nanoseconds or even picoseconds, which cannot be detected by a nanosecond laser (Fig. S20†). However, the other four samples exhibited distinct TA signals due to the existence of longlifetime processes. As shown in Fig. S21,† T4-MInS showed focused TA bands at 500-650 nm (in T4-MnInS) and 395-450/ 640-700 nm (in T4-FeInS), while T3-T4-MInS showed obvious signals within the wide range of 350-700 nm. In addition, T4-FeInS exhibited strong ground-state bleaching at ~380 nm, corresponding to its large extinction coefficient at 3 eV in steady-state absorption spectra. Long-lifetime processes in T4-MInS were attributed to charge transfer from the T4-MInS host to M²⁺ ions at the cluster center, followed by radiative or nonradiative recombination of electron-hole pairs in these metal ions. Importantly, the additional consecutive absorption band of T3-T4-MInS indicated the existence of other complicated absorbing states derived from charge transfer between T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters.56

Fig. 10 shows the TA dynamical curves of *T4-MInS* and *T3-T4-MInS* recorded for the probe wavelengths of 380 and 700 nm,




Fig. 10 Representative TA kinetics of (a) *T4-MnInS* and (b) *T3-T4-MnInS* probed at 380 nm, and of (c) *T4-FeInS* and (d) *T3-T4-FeInS* probed at 700 nm. Insets show the sample structure.

and simulated via a global fit method to determine carrier lifetimes for all steps. The TA kinetic curves of the two T4-MInS were well fitted by bi-exponential functions (Fig. 10a and c), while those of the two T3-T4-MInS were well fitted by triexponential functions (Fig. 10b and d), i.e., two decay processes operated in T4-MInS and three processes operated in T3-T4-MInS (Table S2†). For T4-MInS, the two fitted lifetimes were attributed to charge transfer from the host cluster to M²⁺ ions (longer decay time) and carrier recombination within M^{2+} dopants (shorter decay time). For T3-T4-MInS, in addition to these two processes, another short-lifetime decay process was ascribed to charge transfer from T3-InS clusters to adjacent T4-MInS clusters. Moreover, the lifetime of the second decay process (corresponding to the exciton transition of Mn²⁺ from the excited state to the ground state) in T3-T4-MnInS was almost seven-fold larger than that observed for T4-MnInS, which was consistent with the results of PL dynamics obtained by nanosecond transient emission spectroscopy (Fig. S22†).

Conclusions

The dynamics of charge transfer in sub-nanoscale inorganic molecular heterojunctions was probed by deliberate selection of a group of crystalline heterocluster-based metal chalcogenides (T3-T4-MInS, M = Mn or Fe) as special structure models. Structural (size and component) differences between T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters resulted in separated band alignment, which enabled the transfer of photogenerated charge carriers between adjacent heteroclusters driven by different band potentials, as suggested by theoretical calculations. The double band edges in the UV-vis absorption spectra of T3-T4-MInS as well as the double PL emissions (T3-em and T4-em) of T3-T4-MnInS and the double SPV phase bands of T3-T4-MInS manifested the relative independence of T3-InS and T4-MInS clusters in the cluster-based frameworks, despite the existence of electron delocalization between them. The photogenerated charge carrier transfer from T3-InS clusters to T4-MInS clusters was further revealed by kinetics-dominated Mn²⁺-related PL intensity variation at different temperatures, long PL decay lifetimes of T3-T4-MnInS, and the quenching of T3-em PL in T3-T4-FeInS, and then proved by transient absorption spectroscopy. In addition, the SPV spectra of T3-T4-MInS measured at biases of 10 and -10 V demonstrated that charge carrier transfer between surface clusters and interior adjacent clusters obviously influenced charge aggregation at the top electrode. Thus, this pioneering research on the synergism of molecular building blocks, energy levels and dopants in controlling carrier dynamics provides a basis for the fabrication of next-generation photoelectric materials.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21671142 and 21875150),

Edge Article

the Jiangsu Province Natural Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (BK20160006), the Project of Scientific and Technologic Infrastructure of Suzhou (SZS201905) and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD).

Notes and references

- 1 X. Li, J. Yu and M. Jaroniec, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 2603–2636.
- 2 H. Park, H.-i. Kim, G.-h. Moon and W. Choi, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, 9, 411–433.
- 3 G. Bottari, G. de la Torre, D. M. Guldi and T. Torres, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6768–6816.
- 4 G. Xing, N. Mathews, S. Sun, S. S. Lim, Y. M. Lam, M. Grätzel, S. Mhaisalkar and T. C. Sum, *Science*, 2013, 342, 344–347.
- 5 Q. Xiang, B. Cheng and J. Yu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 11350–11366.
- 6 L. Shang, B. Tong, H. Yu, G. I. N. Waterhouse, C. Zhou, Y. Zhao, M. Tahir, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung and T. Zhang, Adv. Energy Mater., 2016, 6, 1501241.
- 7 S. Wang and X. Wang, Appl. Catal., B, 2015, 162, 494-500.
- 8 S. Meng, W. Sun, S. Zhang, X. Zheng, X. Fu and S. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 26326–26336.
- X. Zhao, J. Feng, J. Liu, J. Lu, W. Shi, G. Yang, G. Wang,
 P. Feng and P. Cheng, Adv. Sci., 2018, 5, 1700590.
- 10 T. T. Zhuang, Y. Liu, Y. Li, Y. Zhao, L. Wu, J. Jiang and S. H. Yu, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 6396–6400.
- 11 L. J. Zhang, S. Li, B. K. Liu, D. J. Wang and T. F. Xie, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 3724–3729.
- 12 C. Mao, Y. Wang, W. Jiao, X. Chen, Q. Lin, M. Deng, Y. Ling, Y. Zhou, X. Bu and P. Feng, *Langmuir*, 2017, 33, 13634–13639.
- 13 D. Jariwala, T. J. Marks and M. C. Hersam, *Nat. Mater.*, 2017, **16**, 170–181.
- 14 Z. Wei, T. Hansen, M. Santella, X. Wang, C. R. Parker, X. Jiang, T. Li, M. Glyvradal, K. Jennum and E. Glibstrup, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2015, 25, 1700–1708.
- 15 W. Huang, Q. He, Y. Hu and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 8676–8680.
- 16 H.-K. Liu, L.-J. Ren, H. Wu, Y.-L. Ma, S. Richter, M. Godehardt, C. Kübel and W. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 141, 831–839.
- 17 R. Cantu, S. Seetharaman, E. M. Babin, P. A. Karr and F. D'Souza, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2018, **122**, 3780–3786.
- 18 L. Chen, K. Furukawa, J. Gao, A. Nagai, T. Nakamura, Y. Dong and D. Jiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 9806– 9809.
- M. M. Cetin, Y. Beldjoudi, I. Roy, O. Anamimoghadam,
 Y. J. Bae, R. M. Young, M. D. Krzyaniak, C. L. Stern,
 D. Philp, F. M. Alsubaie, M. R. Wasielewski and
 J. F. Stoddart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 18727–18739.
- 20 M. Dogru, M. Handloser, F. Auras, T. Kunz, D. Medina, A. Hartschuh, P. Knochel and T. Bein, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 2920–2924.
- 21 T. Joshi, C. Chen, H. Li, C. S. Diercks, G. Wang, P. J. Waller, H. Li, J.-L. Bredas, O. M. Yaghi and M. F. Crommie, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, 31, 1805941.

- 22 F. Xiao, L. Chen, Y. Honsho, O. Saengsawang, L. Liu, L. Wang, A. Saeki, S. Irle, S. Seki and Y. Dong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 3026–3031.
- 23 S. Jin, X. Ding, X. Feng, M. Supur, K. Furukawa, S. Takahashi, M. Addicoat, M. E. El-Khouly, T. Nakamura and S. Irle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 2017–2021.
- 24 S. Jin, K. Furukawa, M. Addicoat, L. Chen, S. Takahashi, S. Irle, T. Nakamura and D. Jiang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 4505–4511.
- 25 M. Lu, J. Liu, Q. Li, M. Zhang, M. Liu, J.-L. Wang, D.-Q. Yuan and Y.-Q. Lan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 12392–12397.
- 26 W. Chen, Z. Yang, Z. Xie, Y. Li, X. Yu, F. Lu and L. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, 7, 998–1004.
- 27 P. Feng, X. Bu and N. Zheng, Acc. Chem. Res., 2005, 38, 293-303
- 28 N. Zheng, X. Bu, B. Wang and P. Feng, *Science*, 2002, **298**, 2366–2369.
- 29 D.-D. Hu, J. Lin, Q. Zhang, J.-N. Lu, X.-Y. Wang, Y.-W. Wang, F. Bu, L.-F. Ding, L. Wang and T. Wu, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 4099–4104.
- 30 H. Yang, M. Luo, L. Luo, H. Wang, D. Hu, J. Lin, X. Wang, Y. Wang, S. Wang and X. Bu, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 8774– 8780.
- 31 X. Xu, W. Wang, D. Liu, D. Hu and P. Feng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 888–891.
- 32 H.-W. Liu, K.-Y. Wang, D. Ding, M. Sun, L. Cheng and C. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 13884–13887.
- 33 D. Liu, Y. Liu, P. Huang, C. Zhu, Z. Kang, J. Shu, M. Chen, X. Zhu, J. Guo and L. Zhuge, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 5374–5378.
- 34 D. Liu, X. Fan, X. Wang, D. Hu, C. Xue, Y. Liu, Y. Wang, X. Zhu, J. Guo, H. Lin, Y. Li, J. Zhong, D. Li, X. Bu, P. Feng and T. Wu, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, 31, 553–559.
- 35 Y. Zhang, X. Wang, D. Hu, C. Xue, W. Wang, H. Yang, D. Li and T. Wu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 13413– 13424.
- 36 Z.-Q. Li, C.-J. Mo, Y. Guo, N.-N. Xu, Q.-Y. Zhu and J. Dai, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 8519–8525.
- 37 M. Hao, Q. Hu, Y. Zhang, M. Luo, Y. Wang, B. Hu, J. Li and X. Huang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 5126–5133.
- 38 J. Lin, Q. Zhang, L. Wang, X. Liu, W. Yan, T. Wu, X. Bu and P. Feng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 4769–4779.
- 39 C.-F. Du, J.-R. Li, B. Zhang, N.-N. Shen and X.-Y. Huang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 54, 5874–5878.
- 40 C. Xue, D. Hu, Y. Zhang, H. Yang, X. Wang, W. Wang and T. Wu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 14763–14766.
- 41 H. Wang, W. Wang, D. Hu, M. Luo, C. Xue, D. Li and T. Wu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 57, 6710–6715.
- 42 C. Wang, X. Bu, N. Zheng and P. Feng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 10268–10269.
- 43 L. Wang, T. Wu, F. Zuo, X. Zhao, X. Bu, J. Wu and P. Feng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 3283–3285.
- 44 Q. Zhang, Y. Liu, X. Bu, T. Wu and P. Feng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 113–116.
- 45 N. Zheng, X. Bu and P. Feng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 1138–1139.

46 Q. Zhang, J. Lin, Y.-T. Yang, Z.-Z. Qin, D. Li, S. Wang, Y. Liu, X. Zou, Y.-B. Wu and T. Wu, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2016, 4, 10435–10444.

Chemical Science

- 47 C. J. Duan, A. C. A. Delsing and H. T. Hintzen, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, 21, 1010–1016.
- 48 S. Cao, J. Zhao, W. Yang, C. Li and J. Zheng, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, 3, 8844–8851.
- 49 X. Yuan, S. Ji, M. C. De Siena, L. Fei, Z. Zhao, Y. Wang, H. Li, J. Zhao and D. R. Gamelin, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 8003– 8011
- 50 X. Xu, D. Hu, C. Xue, J. Zhang, D.-S. Li and T. Wu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 10480–10485.

- 51 J. F. Suyver, S. F. Wuister, J. J. Kelly and A. Meijerink, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, 2, 5445–5448.
- 52 L. Guo, T. Tang, L. Hu, M. Yang and X. Chen, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, 241, 773–778.
- 53 X. Kong, Y. Gong and Z. Fan, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2016, **26**, 1755–1762.
- 54 T. Jiang, T. Xie, Y. Zhang, L. Chen, L. Peng, H. Li and D. Wang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 15476–15481.
- 55 X. Wei, T. Xie, L. Peng, W. Fu, J. Chen, Q. Gao, G. Hong and D. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 8637–8642.
- 56 Y. Yang, J.-S. Chen, J.-Y. Liu, G.-J. Zhao, L. Liu, K.-L. Han, T. R. Cook, P. J. Stang and J. Phys, *Chem. Lett.*, 2015, 6, 1942–1947.