

Breakthrough in cancer therapy: lutetium texaphyrin–celecoxib conjugate for immune and photodynamic treatment

Immuo-photodynamic therapy (IPDT) has become a promising approach for cancer treatment. Innovative photosensitizers are essential to fully realize the potential of IPDT, specifically the complete elimination of tumors without recurrence. In this context, Jong Seung Kim *et al.* introduce a small molecule photosensitizer conjugate, LuCXB. This IPDT agent combines a celecoxib (cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor) moiety with a near-infrared absorbing lutetium texaphyrin photocatalytic core. In aqueous solutions, the two components of LuCXB self-associate through inferred donor–acceptor interactions. As a result of this intramolecular association, LuCXB generates superoxide radicals ($O_2^{\bullet-}$) *via* a type I photodynamic pathway upon irradiation with 730 nm light. This serves as a primary defense against the tumor and enhances the IPDT effect. For *in vivo* applications, they developed a CD133-targeting, aptamer-functionalized exosome-based nanophotosensitizer (Ex-apt@LuCXB) aimed at targeting cancer stem cells. Ex-apt@LuCXB demonstrated excellent photosensitivity, satisfactory biocompatibility, and strong tumor-targeting capabilities. Under photoirradiation, Ex-apt@LuCXB amplifies IPDT and produces significant antitumor effects in liver and breast cancer mouse models. The therapeutic outcomes are attributed to a synergistic mechanism that combines antiangiogenesis with photoinduced cancer immunotherapy.

rsc.li/materials-b

The mechanism of LuCXB primarily relies on the type I photodynamic pathway, which generates superoxide radicals upon near-infrared light irradiation. These radicals possess high cytotoxicity within the tumor microenvironment. Additionally, LuCXB exhibits a dual mechanism of action: it inhibits tumor growth through anti-angiogenesis and enhances anti-tumor immunity *via* photoinduced immune responses. This synergistic mechanism not only directly attacks tumor cells but also activates the immune system to further eliminate residual cancer cells, thereby reducing the likelihood of tumor recurrence.

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Despite the promising potential demonstrated by LuCXB in preliminary studies, further research and optimization are required in several areas. Firstly, it is essential to enhance the photostability of LuCXB to ensure its stability and effectiveness under physiological conditions and during light exposure. Additionally, optimizing the design of the conjugate is crucial for maximizing ROS generation in hypoxic tumor environments.⁹ Detailed mechanistic studies are also necessary to elucidate the molecular pathways through which LuCXB induces tumor cell death and its role in immune responses. Understanding how LuCXB adapts and functions in hypoxic environments will further refine its application in solid tumors. On the other hand, biocompatibility and safety are crucial for clinical applications, necessitating comprehensive long-term safety studies to evaluate the potential adverse effects of LuCXB and its derivatives *in vivo*. Investigating the impact of repeated treatments on the immune system is also imperative to ensure absence of any unfavourable

immune reactions. In terms of clinical translation, developing cost-effective and scalable synthesis methods for LuCXB and related nanoparticle photosensitizers is vital to meet the demands of large-scale production. Navigating the intricate regulatory landscape to ensure compliance with safety and efficacy standards for new therapies poses another significant challenge. Lastly, conducting comparative studies to benchmark the efficacy and safety of LuCXB against existing photodynamic therapy agents and other cancer treatments is essential in establishing its advantages and clinical potential.^{10,11}

In summary, the LuCXB represents a significant innovation and potential in the field of immuno-photodynamic therapy. Its efficacy in hypoxic tumor environments and enhanced antitumor effects through dual mechanisms make it a breakthrough in cancer treatment. However, addressing challenges related to conjugate design, mechanistic studies, biocompatibility, safety, clinical translation, and comparative research is crucial for its successful development. By resolving these issues




Fig. 1 Schematic illustrates the interconversion between dominant type II and type I ROS generation, which is influenced by the surrounding medium as well as the chemical structures. Additionally, it presents a concise synthetic route to produce LuTex-derived conjugates of small molecule photosensitizers containing celecoxib (LuCXB).



comprehensively, LuCXB and its derivatives have the potential to offer a novel and effective treatment option for cancer patients, instilling new hope into cancer therapy (Fig. 1).

Data availability

This study was carried out using publicly available data from Lutetium Texaphyrin–Celecoxib Conjugate as a Potential Immuno-Photodynamic Therapy Agent Journal of the American Chemical Society at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c05978>.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the financial support from National Key R&D Programs (China) (2021YFA0910001), Guangdong Provincial Key Area R&D Program (2020B1111540001), the Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (KQTD20210811090115019), Shenzhen Basic Research (key project) (China) (JCYJ20210324120011030, JCYJ20210324115804013), the Major Instrumentation Development Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Project Number: ZDKYYQ20220008).

Notes and references

- 1 Q. Ding, Y. Luo, J. Hu, S. Zhang, W. Zhang, Y. Feng, K. Qian, X. Li, Z. Cheng and M. Gu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2024, **495**, 153395.
- 2 J. An, K. Lv, C. V. Chau, J. H. Lim, R. Parida, X. Huang, S. Debnath, Y. Xu, S. Zheng, A. C. Sedgwick, J. Y. Lee, D. Luo, Q. Liu, J. L. Sessler and J. S. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 19434–19448.
- 3 Y. Liu, M. Gu, Q. Ding, Z. Zhang, W. Gong, Y. Yuan, X. Miao, H. Ma, X. Hong, W. Hu and Y. Xiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202214875.
- 4 Q. Ding, X. Xu, Y. Li, B. Li, Q. Saiding, M. Gu, W. Tao, B. Z. Tang and J. S. Kim, *Chem*, 2024, **10**, 2031–2073.
- 5 S. Li, Q. Ding, L. Zhang, F. Shi, C. Liu, T. Li, Y. Shi, M. Qi, L. Wang, B. Dong, S. Song, J. Sun, J. S. Kim and C. Li, *J. Controlled Release*, 2024, **370**, 600–613.
- 6 L. Tu, C. Li, Q. Ding, A. Sharma, M. Li, J. Li, J. S. Kim and Y. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 8991–9003.
- 7 P. Devant and J. C. Kagan, *Nat. Immunol.*, 2023, **24**, 1064–1075.
- 8 Q. Ding, X. Wang, Y. Luo, X. Leng, X. Li, M. Gu and J. S. Kim, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2024, **508**, 215772.
- 9 Q. Ding, M. He and M. Gu, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2024, **67**, 1–2, DOI: [10.1007/s11426-024-2224-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11426-024-2224-9).
- 10 Q. Yang, X. Sun, Q. Ding, M. Qi, C. Liu, T. Li, F. Shi, L. Wang, C. Li and J. S. Kim, *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, 2024, **11**, nwa225.
- 11 Q. Ding, L. Mei, Y. Liu, S. Zhou, L. Chen, Y. Liang, M. Li, H. Zhou, C. Yin and J. S. Kim, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 8127–8130.

