

REVIEW

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, 15, 5482

Improving the efficiency of quantum dot-sensitized solar cells by increasing the QD loading amount

Zhengyan Zhang,[†] Wenran Wang,[†] Huashang Rao,^{ID} Zhenxiao Pan^{ID} *
and Xinhua Zhong^{ID} *

In quantum dot-sensitized solar cells (QDSCs), optimized quantum dot (QD) loading mode and high QD loading amount are prerequisites for great device performance. Capping ligand-induced self-assembly (CLIS) mode represents the mainstream QD loading strategy in the fabrication of high-efficiency QDSCs. However, there remain limitations in CLIS that constrain further enhancement of QD loading levels. This review illustrates the development of various QD loading methods in QDSCs, with an emphasis on the outstanding merits and bottlenecks of CLIS. Subsequently, thermodynamic and kinetic factors dominating QD loading behaviors in CLIS are analyzed theoretically. Upon understanding driving forces, resistances, and energy effects in a QD assembly process, various novel strategies for improving the QD loading amount in CLIS are summarized, and the related functional mechanism is established. Finally, the article concludes and outlooks some remaining academic issues to be solved, so that higher QD loading amount and efficiencies of QDSCs can be anticipated in the future.

Received 23rd December 2023

Accepted 4th March 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d3sc06911g

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

The massive consumption of fossil energy has led to a global energy crisis and environmental pollution, urging people to explore high-performance, cost-effective, and renewable energy. Solar cells based on colloidal quantum dots (QDs) have been regarded as a promising candidate among the new generation of photovoltaic devices due to the superior optoelectronic properties

of the light-harvesting materials, facile solution-processable fabrication, superior stability, and low cost.^{1–5} They can be mainly classified into heterojunction QD solar cells and QD-sensitized solar cells. Heterojunction QD solar cells resemble conventional p–n junction solar cells, in which the electron hole is separated by a heterojunction.⁶ In this type of solar cell, lead chalcogenide QDs (PbS and PbSe) were mainly used as promising photovoltaic materials before 2015.^{7–10} Immediately, perovskite-based QDs (PQDs) became the dominant absorber material accompanied by the emergence of PQDs synthesized by hot-injection.¹¹ Although PQDs combine many of the lead chalcogenide characteristics of traditional QDs with the optoelectronic properties of perovskite semiconductors, allowing researchers to manipulate QD properties by changing their composition, size,

Key Laboratory for Biobased Materials and Energy of Ministry of Education, Guangdong Laboratory for Lingnan Modern Agriculture, College of Materials and Energy, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China. E-mail: zxpan@scau.edu.cn; zhongxh@scau.edu.cn

[†] These authors contributed to this work equally.



Zhengyan Zhang

Zhengyan Zhang received her MS degree from South China Agriculture University (SCAU) in 2022. She is pursuing a PhD degree from the College of Materials and Energy at SCAU. Her current research interests are focused on quantum dot solar cells.



Wenran Wang

Wenran Wang is currently a postdoctor at SCAU, China. He received his B.E. and PhD degrees from the East China University of Science and Technology (ECUST) in 2014 and 2020, respectively. His current research interest lies in the design and modification of nanocrystals, as well as the related applications in perovskite solar cells.

and shape, they are incompatible with solvent (water).^{7,12} Hence, PQDs are rarely used in QD-sensitized solar cells (QDSCs). Compared to heterojunction solar cells that are mostly composed of environmentally hazardous cadmium (Cd) and lead (Pb), the light-harvesting materials in QDSCs are mostly green without Cd or Pb, which is one of the key factors for guaranteeing competitiveness. In addition, in QDSCs, the electrons are rapidly injected into the TiO₂ substrate, and the rate of electron injection is mainly related to the properties of TiO₂ rather than the properties of the QD light absorber. Consequently, it relaxes the high-quality requirement of QDs, permitting some of the transport restrictions to be bypassed in heterojunction solar cells.¹³

QDSCs are derived from the prototype of dye-sensitized solar cells (DSCs), consisting of a photoanode, electrolyte, and counter electrode. The photoanode is composed of a metal oxide (typically TiO₂) substrate loaded with QD sensitizers. The metal oxide substrate collects and transports the photogenerated electrons to the external circuit. The electrolyte redox couples (typically sulfur/polysulfide) reduce the leftover photogenerated holes and regenerate QDs. The counter electrode, which is usually composed of a catalytic material (typically carbon and metal sulfides) and conductive substrate, is used to catalyze and reduce the electrolyte. A schematic device structure is shown in Fig. 1.

Loading the amount of QD sensitizers on a photoanode plays a vital role in harvesting incident sunlight and determining the

efficiency of solar cells. High coverage of QD sensitizers on the TiO₂ photoanode not only ensures increased light absorption capacity that benefits high J_{sc} levels but also blocks TiO₂/electrolyte interfaces that suppress undesired interfacial charge recombination, which is beneficial for the improvement of V_{oc} and FF. Besides, the high QD loading amount can reduce the necessary thickness of the photoanode, indicating that the probability of charge recombination decreases due to the shorter electron transport distance.^{14,15} Therefore, the fabrication of photoanodes with high QD loading amounts is a viable and economical way to further improve device efficiency. In the early years, the application and development of mesoscopic TiO₂ with large specific areas and moderate porous structures is a milestone, because it provides abundant channels and sites for the penetration and deposition of QDs.^{14,16–18} Correspondingly, suitable QD loading strategies adapting to the feature of mesoscopic substrates are developed in order to realize high QD loading levels. In 2012, Zhong's group developed a capping ligand-induced self-assembly (CLIS) QD deposition strategy.¹⁹ In this method, QDs with high quality are synthesized first by the organometallic high-temperature synthesis method. The undesired inert long carbon chain ligands are then replaced by short and bifunctional ones, such as 3-mercaptopropionic acid (MPA). The strong interactions of the terminal carboxyl group in MPA with TiO₂ act as strong loading driving forces. As a result, high QD loading amount can be realized within a dramatically decreased deposition time. Since then, CLIS has become the mainstream method for the construction of photoanodes in QDSCs. Accompanied by the development of various other strategies, such as the design and tailoring of QD light-harvesting materials,^{20–26} control of charge recombination kinetics,²⁷ additive engineering of electrolyte,^{28–30} catalytic ability enhancement of the counter electrode, *etc.*,^{31–34} the efficiencies of QDSCs have rapidly increased from less than 5% in 2012 to over 16% in 2023.^{20,21,35–40}

However, the current efficiencies of QDSCs are still far below the theoretical level of 44%. It is recognized that there remains room to enhance efficiencies by further increasing the QD loading amount. Unfortunately, QD loading seems to be restricted by conventional CLIS methods. In a QD loading process, QDs leave the solution phase and adsorb on specific



Huashang Rao

Huashang Rao is an associate professor at SCAU, China. He received his B.E. and PhD degrees from Sun Yat-sen University in 2012 and 2017, respectively. His current research interest lies in the field of new energy materials and their applications in perovskite solar cells (PSCs), especially in carbon-based perovskite solar cells (C-PSCs).




Zhenxiao Pan

Zhenxiao Pan received his PhD degree in applied chemistry from the ECUST in 2015. Currently, he is a Professor at SCAU. His research interests are focused on quantum dot solar cells and carbon-based perovskite solar cells.



Xinhua Zhong

Xinhua Zhong is a Professor at SCAU, China. Before his current position, he worked at the ECUST, China. His research interests mainly focus on quantum dot solar cells and perovskite solar cells.






Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the structure and working principle of QDSCs.

sites of TiO_2 substrates. Effective loading should be facilitated by strong enough driving forces, while the presence of resistance hinders QD loading. In CLIS, the attractive interactions between QDs and the substrate, such as electrostatic forces and covalent bonds, serve as effective driving forces. The related action mode and strength dictate QD loading behavior. Meanwhile, a series of undesired factors prevent QDs from approaching loading sites to form a dense QD adsorption layer. For example, strong interactions between QDs and solvent molecules (solvation) prevent QDs from leaving the solution phase. In addition, regarding surface physicochemistry, there are repulsive interactions between QDs and substrates that weaken QD loading driving forces. Furthermore, the comparable size of QDs to the micropores in the TiO_2 substrate prevents the penetration of QDs deeply into the substrates, and the interdot repulsions hinder the formation of compact QD deposition layers. These are conceived as QD loading resistances. As a consequence, to further promote QD loading, there remains room for increasing loading driving forces and, particularly, reducing loading resistance. Understanding the origin of driving forces and resistances both in thermodynamic and kinetic behaviors is the requirement for the design and development of novel modification strategies.

In the past years, a series of effective modification methods have been reported to promote QD loading, and the related investigations are systematic. There have been excellent comprehensive reviews in the field of QDSCs and thematic reviews in the aspects of device construction, the design of QD materials, control of charge recombination, exploration of new counter electrodes, *etc.*^{4,6,13,27,41} Since high QD loading amount is essential for the enhancement of QDSC performances, a specific review to summarize these studies and related loading mechanisms is necessary. This review begins with an analysis of the QD loading process, unraveling the QD loading mechanism with an emphasis on loading driving forces and energy effects. Subsequently, the reported diverse strategies to improve the QD loading amount, including solvent engineering, QD ligand design, TiO_2 photoanode surface modification, secondary QD deposition, *etc.*, are summarized. The relations among boosted QD loading amount, device performance, and the related optimized QD loading mechanism are elaborately established. Finally, upon summarizing the review, some limitations and

issues that have been less understood in QD deposition are addressed. This review is helpful for the understanding, design, and optimization of photoanodes with high QD loading amounts so that QDSCs with higher efficiencies can be realized in the near future. Additionally, the knowledge related to efficient QD deposition is also illuminative in many other fields, such as nanocrystal assembly, nanodevice fabrication, *etc.*

Development of QD loading strategies

QD loading amount is determined by QD synthesis and assembly processes. The development and features of various QD deposition methods are discussed in this section.

In situ QD synthesis

QD deposition modes can be divided into *in situ* and *ex situ* approaches (Fig. 2a). In *in situ* methods, QDs are directly synthesized on TiO_2 surfaces. The TiO_2 substrate facilitates the heterogeneous nucleation of QDs, and subsequent QD growth is viable. Conventional solution-processable strategies based on ionic precursors, such as chemical bath deposition (CBD) and successive ionic layer adsorption and reaction (SILAR), represented the popular *in situ* methods.^{23,42,43} In the CBD method, the TiO_2 film is immersed in the mixed solution simultaneously containing cationic and anionic precursors. The size of QDs can be controlled by adjusting the immersion time and the physicochemical properties of the precursor solution.^{44,45} Considering the fact that the cationic and anionic precursors cannot coexist in a solution in some cases, the developed SILAR deposition is also a widely used method of *in situ* approach, in which the TiO_2 mesoporous films are alternately immersed into the precursor solutions containing cations or anions, to grow QDs. The size and loading amount of QDs can be effectively regulated by controlling the number of SILAR cycles. Both CBD and SILAR methods permit QDs to grow directly on the surface of TiO_2 mesoporous films, thereby achieving a high QD loading amount and bearing the advantages of facile processability and reproducibility.¹³ Besides, the direct growth of QDs on TiO_2 mesoporous films can enhance the interfacial electronic communication between QDs and TiO_2 . This is conducive to facilitating charge separation and transport. However, some unavoidable problems are hindering the development of *in situ* approaches. In *in situ* methods, the related QD nucleation and growth kinetics are unoptimized due to the uncontrollability of ionic reaction processes. As a result, the low crystalline quality, high defect concentrations, and uncontrollable morphology of QDs account for severe charge recombination.^{46,47} Therefore, the performance of QDSCs based on CBD or SILAR is fundamentally low, with power conversion efficiency (PCE) generally below 7%.^{23,46,48}

Ex situ QD loading

It is recognized that if QDs can be pre-synthesized through high-temperature synthetic methods with low defect concentrations, followed by being assembled into mesoporous TiO_2 film electrodes from colloidal QD solutions, the limitations of






Fig. 2 (a) Schematic diagram of different QD deposition approaches of *in situ* (SILAR and CBD) and *ex situ* QD loading (DA, EPD, and LAA) approaches. Scheme of the (b) *in situ* ligand exchange linker-assisted and (c) the CLIS for QD deposition. This figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 41 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2015.

undesired charge dynamics can be overcome, and the efficiencies of QDSCs can be enhanced fundamentally.^{20–22} The related QD deposition methods are referred to as *ex situ* methods, including direct adsorption (DA), electrochemical deposition (EPD), and linker-assisted assembly (LAA) (Fig. 2a). However, the feature of pre-synthesized QDs brought in challenges in terms of efficient QD loading. In DA, QD deposition is realized by immersing TiO_2 in purified QD solutions.^{14,49} Unfortunately, lacking efficient loading driving forces, the DA of QD is faced with great steric and kinetic resistance, and the high QD loading amount is still obstructed. The size of QDs is comparable to the micro pores and channels within the TiO_2 mesoporous film, leading to a troublesome QD penetration. In addition, the bulky and insulating ligands from synthesis (oleylamine, oleic acid, phosphine, *etc.*) make them highly soluble in nonpolar solvents, and it is difficult for the QDs to establish strong enough affinity with the TiO_2 substrate. Besides, the DA approach results in QD agglomeration that deteriorates the PCE of the resulting cells.⁵⁰ EPD is also a viable method to load the pre-synthesized QDs on the metal oxide substrates. In EPD, the charged particles in the liquid are deposited on the electrode driven by an electric field.⁵¹ The mass of the deposited particles or the thickness of the films can be controlled by the concentration of the suspension, applied potential, and deposition time.^{52–54} Besides, the high dielectric constant helps the movement of nanoparticles and the relatively smaller particles. The lower potential benefits the uniform and rigid film deposition of nanoparticles during the deposition in EPD. Compared with the method of DA, the EPD notably decreases the loading period and increases the loading amount of QDs.⁵⁵ Correspondingly, the QDSCs fabricated by the EPD method exhibit higher PCE and J_{sc} values. However, in the EPD process, the applied voltage cannot be very large, or else undesired side electrolytic reactions can occur at the electrodes.

Unfortunately, the pre-synthesized QDs in nonpolar solvents are almost neutral. As a result, the permitted small voltages provide limited QD loading driving forces in practice. Correspondingly, the efficiencies based on EPD are typically lower than 5%.^{56–58}

Linker-assisted assembly (LAA) is another method to deposit pre-synthesized QDs on the TiO_2 substrate. Linkers are molecules containing bifunctional groups with two terminals, represented by MPA,^{19,59–61} thioglycolic acid (TGA),^{62,63} 4-mercaptobenzoic acid (MBA), *etc.*,^{62,64,65} which indicates that they can simultaneously bind with QDs and TiO_2 surfaces. As a result, linkers can induce QD loading by providing chemical bonds as loading driving forces. The LAA methods can be divided into *in situ* and *ex situ* modes. As shown in Fig. 2b, in *in situ* mode, the surface of mesoporous TiO_2 is first functionalized by soaking TiO_2 films in a solution containing linker molecules, and then the functionalized TiO_2 films are immersed in the QD solution to realize QD loading. In this process, the linker molecules on the TiO_2 substrate display the role of loading sites and directly coordinate with cationic ions on the surface of QDs. Relative to the DA method, the interactions between TiO_2 electrodes and QDs are enhanced by the bifunctional linkers, which effectively enhance the QD loading amount. However, the characteristic disadvantages of DA, such as steric hindrance, long deposition period, irreproducibility of experiments, *etc.*, remain unsolved. The *ex situ* LAA approach, also termed CLIS, has been developed to avoid the above shortcomings. Different from the *in situ* LAA approach, the linker molecules are functionalized on the QD surface before loading, rather than on the TiO_2 surface (Fig. 2c). In detail, the original ligands on the surface of QDs are first replaced by the bifunctional linker molecules (such as MPA) *via* ligand exchange strategies.^{41,66} Solution phase ligand exchange in CLIS results in robust ligand exchange with almost no residue of

original ligands.⁶⁷ The thiol groups at the end of the linker molecule can strongly bind with the QD surface during the process of ligand exchange, while the carboxyl group terminal is exposed as the cohesive ends.⁶⁸ Besides, the QDs are eventually dispersed in polar solvents such as water, and the charged QD surface can effectively prevent QD agglomeration. Subsequently, the QDs capped with linkers are loaded on the TiO₂ substrate *via* a self-assembly process. The surface bifunctional ligands not only relieve steric hindrance for QD loading but also serve as linker molecules that strongly bind with the TiO₂ substrate by forming chemical bonds, which provides efficient loading driving forces.⁶⁹ As a consequence, CLIS significantly enhances the surface coverage of QDs on TiO₂ to as high as 34%; meanwhile, the time required for efficient QD loading is significantly reduced.¹⁹ Since then, the CLIS deposition method has been successfully applied to a series of QDs (CdSe_{0.45}Te_{0.55}, CuInS₂, Zn-Cu-In-Se, Zn-Cu-In-S-Se, *etc.*) with narrower bandgaps to increase the efficiency of QDSCs, which greatly promoted the development of QDSCs with a record PCE of 16.2% currently.^{20–22} Despite the great potential for the CLIS deposition method in improving the QD loading, the underlying limited QD coverage (34%) on the TiO₂ surface remains a problem. This indicates that QD loading driving force and resistance demand further optimization in the CLIS process to further promote QD loading. In the following sections, the QD deposition mechanism is analyzed to illustrate the remaining limitations in CLIS.

QD loading mechanism: driving forces, resistances, and energy effects

QD loading is accompanied by the state change of QDs from the colloidal dispersed state to an adsorbed immobile state. Understanding thermodynamic and kinetic factors in the QD loading process is important for the design and development of pertinent modification strategies. In this section, the related QD loading driving forces, resistances, and energy effects are illustrated.

Evaluation of QD loading amount and an ideal QD deposition model

The ideal deposition of QDs on the substrate film aims to achieve full coverage on the film surface with monolayer adsorption. For a fixed thickness of the photoanode, the QD loading amount can be semi-quantified by the absorbance from adsorption spectra. To further analyze QD loading behavior, the QD loading amount is evaluated by the coverage of the substrate, and an ideal QD deposition model is proposed. As shown in Fig. 3, the following conditions are presumed in this model. (1) The substrate for QD deposition is flat; (2) QDs are equally spheres with identical circular projections (the radius is denoted as r); (3) deposited QDs form a monolayer; (4) deposited QDs are uniformly distributed and closely packed, and the distance between two adjacent sphere centers (interdot distance) is described by a nondimensional coefficient a ($a \geq 1$) with the interdot distance of $2ar$. As shown in Fig. 3a, for close-




Fig. 3 (a) Schematic of ideal close-packed QDs (red spheres, $a = 1$) with the maximum coverage of 0.906. The triangle marks a unit cell area to calculate coverage. (b) For nonclose-pack QDs, the coverage decreases over increased interdot space ($a > 1$). (c) The critical value of a . (d) Inverse square relation of QD coverage over parameter a .

packed QDs, the maximum coverage at $a = 1$ ($\theta_{\max}$) is calculated to be 0.906 according to geometry. As the interdot distances increase with increased value (Fig. 3b), QD coverage (θ) can be further estimated according to the following equation:

$$\theta = S_{\text{pro}}/S_{\text{tot}} = 0.906/a^2$$

where S_{pro} is the QD projected area, and S_{tot} is the total area of the substrate. The relation of coverage (θ) over interdot space (a) is summarized and depicted in Fig. 3d. Practically, the S_{pro} value can be converted from absorbance, film thickness, and extinction coefficient, and S_{tot} can be obtained by measuring the specific area of the substrate.

It should be noted that a real QD deposition circumstance usually violates the above ideal assumptions, *e.g.* size distribution of QDs, mesoscopic substrates, even the formation of QD multilayers, *etc.* Therefore, evaluating a real QD loading amount based on QD coverage can lead to error. However, the ideal QD loading model still guides the design and construction of photoanodes with high loading amount. Meanwhile, a critical a value of 1.732 and the corresponding critical value θ of 0.302 indicate that the QD layer is sparse enough to accommodate foreign QDs (Fig. 3c). Note that the reported highest θ value is about 0.37,³⁵ which is rather close to the critical θ value but lags far behind the geometrical maximum coverage (0.906). Based on the model, two methodologies to improve the QD loading amount can be applied. (1) The increase in QD loading amount can be translated to the construction of a QD deposition layer with enhanced compactness. To increase the QD compactness, the reduction of the value of a can contribute to the significant increase of θ values, especially at a small a region, as indicated by the steep slope of the inverse square curve (Fig. 3d). (2) If QD distribution is sparse enough with a relatively large a value, an additional QD loading step to supplement QD loading is beneficial.



Influence of driving forces, resistances, and energy effects on the QD deposition process

QD deposition is, in essence, a solid-liquid adsorption process, where QDs dissolve out from the solution and deposit at specific adsorption sites. In this process, QDs should overcome solvation energy (E_{sol}) to leave the solution phase, and eventually deposit on the TiO_2 substrate by releasing the potential energy (U). In principle, the interactions of QD-solvent, QD- TiO_2 , and QD-QD synthetically account for QD loading driving forces and energy effects, which need to be considered comprehensively. High QD loading levels rely on the following factors: (1) the destruction of interaction between QDs and solvent molecules, (2) the excavation of the driving forces between QDs and TiO_2 substrates, and (3) the appropriate interactions among QDs. In the following subsections, the major types of microscopic interactions and their influence on QD loading are discussed.

Solvation effect

The solvation effect plays two roles. The first one is the establishment of strong interactions between the QD solute and solvent molecules so that QDs can be dispersed in solvent. In addition, after solute dissolution, the attractive intermolecular forces among dispersed solute particles trigger solute precipitation. Therefore, the second role of the solvation effect is to provide sufficient repulsive forces among QD particles to maintain the dispersity of a solution. The solvation effect of colloidal QDs is dependent on surface ligands and solvent molecule properties. In a nonpolar solvent, QDs are capped with a layer of surface-tethered hydrocarbon chains (oleylamine, oleic acid, trioctylphosphine, *etc.*). In this situation, the free energy of hydrocarbon chain-solvent mixing is negative, so the ligands adopt a stretched configuration to increase the contact with the liquid environment (Fig. 4a). As a consequence, the hydrocarbon chains provide elastic repulsion forces, penalizing the overlap of ligand coronas and stabilizing QD dispersions. In a polar solvent, the surface of the solute must be charged to accommodate polar solvent molecules, and MPA-capped QDs dispersed in water are a typical example. The high polarity of solvent with a large dielectric constant can

effectively screen interparticle electrostatic attractions. In addition, the charged surface must provide sufficient interparticle repulsions to maintain colloidal stability. The interaction potential between a pair of charge-stabilized QDs, including both the double layer repulsion and van der Waals attraction, can be treated using Derjaguin, Landau, Verwey and Overbeek (DLVO) theory. Well-solvated solutes exhibit large enough solvation energies. The destruction of the solvation effect can be applied by disturbing the interactions of solute with solvent molecules, accompanied by the reduction of solvation energy. For QDs, desolvation can be realized by changing the polarity of solvent and altering the properties of capping ligands. In nonpolar solvents, the introduction of polar orthogonal solvents will reduce the affinity of hydrocarbon chains with solvent, and partial detachment of ligands can also promote the reduction of solvation energy. In polar solvents, the introduction of a nonpolar orthogonal solvent will significantly reduce the screening effect from solvent (Fig. 4b). Besides, the introduction of ionic electrolytes will destroy the surface charged layer of QDs. As a result, the interdot repulsions are weakened, and van der Waals attractions predominate the dissolution of QDs. To conclude, although solvation is important for the synthesis of colloidal QD solutions, it acts as the resistance for QD loading. To improve QD loading, it is highly desired to overcome solvation but prevent excessive QD-QD attractions.

Electrostatic forces

Electrostatic forces are based on the coulombic interactions of charged species, which is universal in a charged system. Due to the coexistence of cations and anions, both attractive and repulsive electrostatics exist. Therefore, electrostatic forces can serve as driving forces and resistances under different circumstances, while the predominant one depends on the electrostatic properties of the system. For example, QDs capped with charged ligands contain surface charge when dissolving in solutions due to the ionization effect. Generally, cations (Na^+ , K^+ , NH_4^+ , *etc.*) are mobile and serve as counterions, while the remaining negatively charged molecule shows strong affinity with the QD surface, contributing to the negative charge in the electric double layer.⁷⁰ The charged QD surface maintains QD dispersity in solution, as discussed above. Attractive electrostatic forces can serve as effective QD loading driving forces by reducing the potential energy. For example, in the EPD method, applying an appropriate electric field facilitates QD loading.^{46,51,71} In CLIS mode, when QDs are surrounded by negatively charged, electrostatic forces can serve as non-negligible resistances that enhance the potential energy. For example, it is found that both the QDs and the acidic TiO_2 substrate immersed in QD solution are negatively charged, which limits the QD loading amount. In addition, the charged QD surface will induce interdot repulsions, which serve as the resistance that spoils the construction of a compact QD layer.^{69,72,73} Therefore, the modulation of charge both on the QD surface and TiO_2 substrate represents a major way to improve QD loading.




Fig. 4 (a) Gibbs free energy (G) diagram of QDs in the QD deposition process. (b) Interaction potential U with interparticle distance r for NCs in the good solvent and nonsolvent that are also termed as polar and non-polar solvent. This figure has been adapted from ref. 70 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2019.

amount. The ligands are usually terminated by the carboxyl group, and the pH of the solvent determines deprotonation. This can not only determine the dispersion of QDs but also influence the strength of chemical bonds, thus affecting the adsorption of QDs. Lower pH (typically <7) of aqueous QD solution has been demonstrated against the efficient loading of QDs on the TiO₂ film due to the formation of undesirable QD agglomeration.^{59,73} This accounts for a weak interaction between QDs and TiO₂ and blocks the channels for further diffusion of QDs. In comparison, higher pH values (pH > 10.5) have been demonstrated to support the loading of QDs on the TiO₂ film.^{69,81,85} Although the coulombic repulsion between QDs and TiO₂ (TiO₂ is an acidic oxide, whose isoelectric point is around 6.6–7.0) would prevent the binding of QDs to the oxide surface,⁸⁶ the negatively charged QDs can persistently diffuse into the *meso*-TiO₂ films. At the same time, high pH values also benefit the formation of coordination bonds between QDs and Ti, which contributes to the formation of sub-monolayer surface coverage of QDs on the TiO₂ substrate as well as a high QD loading amount. Zhong's group has systematically investigated and optimized the basicity of aqueous QD solution.⁸⁰ As shown in Fig. 5a and b, the higher pH value of the QD solution benefits the QD loading on the TiO₂ substrate and QD dispersion in solution. At high pH, the deprotonation of ligands is effective which facilitates the formation of chemical bonds, and the undesired QD agglomeration can be effectively prevented due to strong interdot repulsion. Comprehensively, monodisperse QDs can effectively penetrate mesoporous TiO₂ film electrodes, and an optimized large QD loading amount can be realized.

Design of TiO₂ and surface engineering

The properties of TiO₂ (such as surface area, inner pore structure, isoelectric point, *etc.*) have an indispensable impact on QD

loading. The strategies to improve the QD loading amount can be divided into physical and chemical methods, including increasing the surface area to provide enough QD adsorption sites, enlarging the pore size of the TiO₂ particles to avoid QD accumulation, and modifying the TiO₂ surface. Unlike dye molecules, QDs have a larger size (diameter of several nanometers), indicating that the penetration and loading of QDs in mesoscopic TiO₂ are accompanied by resistances. In the early stage, large-size TiO₂ particles (300 nm) were introduced into the TiO₂ nano-structure to increase the TiO₂ void structure. The wider pore size distribution is supposed to inhibit the phenomenon of QDs blocking the channel of TiO₂, thus increasing the loading amount of QDs.⁴³ However, the introduction of large TiO₂ particles also reduces the specific surface area of the TiO₂ substrate, thus reducing the adsorption sites of QDs.⁸⁷ Therefore, the application of small TiO₂ particles (such as Degussa P25) with large specific areas is the wise choice for the construction of photoanodes. The properties of TiO₂ can also be modified by introducing suitable reagents. Wang *et al.* developed a facile technique for enhancing the loading amount of QDs by treating the TiO₂ film with metal salt aqueous solutions (Fig. 5c).⁷⁹ The increased isoelectric point is believed to relieve undesired electrostatic repulsions and promote QD loading. Combined with high-QD loading amount and retarded charge recombination, the champion cell based on Mg²⁺ treatment exhibited an efficiency of 9.73%, which is significantly higher than that of the untreated cells with the highest efficiency of 8.85% (Fig. 5d).

QD surface ligand design

Surface ligands around QDs can control the dispersion stability and the agglomeration of QDs in the solvent, affecting the solvation effect during the deposition of QDs on the TiO₂ substrate. In addition, the interaction between the functional groups of the surface ligands and the mesoporous TiO₂ surface is an important factor that determines the driving force of QD adsorption. Therefore, the choice and optimization of QD surface ligands play an important role in the deposition of QDs. However, the use of insulating long-chain alkanes ensures the optical properties of QD in the process of QD synthesis, but the weak interaction between these long-chain ligands and the TiO₂ substrate, and the large steric hindrance also contribute to the poor QD loading. Therefore, one of the crucial components of high-loading QDSCs is the replacement of long-chain organic ligands with atomic/inorganic/small organic molecular ligands.⁷

There are mainly two ligand exchange methods: solid-state ligand exchange and solid-state ligand exchange, which have been widely used on heterojunction QD solar cells.^{88,89} The method of ligand exchange brings the QDs closer, enhancing the conductivity of QD films and leading to a dense solid with increased optical absorption.⁹⁰ In solid-state heterojunction solar cells, it is the thickness of the light-harvesting layer that determines the ability to harvest the incident light, and thus there is no problem with the QD loading. However, in QDSCs, the porous TiO₂ is very thick (tens of microns), and thus QD loading inevitably becomes the problem that hinders the




Fig. 5 (a) Absorption spectra of CdSe QD-sensitized TiO₂ films under different pH conditions and (b) dynamic light scattering graphs of CdSe QD aqueous solutions of different pH values. This figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 80 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry, copyright 2015. (c) Schematic illustration of the Mg²⁺ treatment for fabricating QDSCs and (d) J–V curves of QDSCs with and without Mg²⁺ treatment.⁷⁹ This figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 79 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2016.

improvement of the corresponding solar cell. Thus, we mainly discussed methods for how to improve the loading amount based on solution-phase ligand exchange in QDSCs below.

Zhong's group studied the influence of different lengths of linker molecules (TGA, MPA, and cysteine (Cys)) on the QD loading amount of CLIS (Fig. 6a).⁹¹ Experimental results indicate that the loading amount of CdSe QDs follows the order of TGA > MPA > Cys. The higher QD loading amount can be attributed to the factor that small ligands provide stronger intermolecular forces, which can lead to minor QD aggregation in solution that leads to larger domains in the film state. Furthermore, tightly packed QD films with short QD ligands exhibit higher thermal transport, which is an important factor in the preparation of high-performance QDSCs. In all, small ligands would allow for higher QD packing density. The introduction of inorganic ligands (ILs) is also a method to form covalent bonds to improve the QD loading amount.⁹² Initially, a hybrid passivation strategy is employed to modify the surface of colloidal CdSe QDs for QDSCs. The experimental results show that the introduction of iodide anions not only can effectively remedy the surface defects but also effectively increase the coverage of QDs on TiO₂ electrodes.⁹³ Zhong's group employed thiosulfate (S₂O₃²⁻) and sulfide (S²⁻) as ligands to get access to the IL capped CdSe QDs and constructed the QDSCs as shown in Fig. 6b.⁹⁴ The terminal group of S₂O₃²⁻ (soft chalcogenide) shows high binding affinity

toward Cd²⁺ surface on CdSe QDs, and the other terminal group (hard oxoanion) also has strong interaction with TiO₂ surface. Therefore, S₂O₃²⁻ QDs have a higher loading amount on the TiO₂ film in comparison with those from the OAm and S²⁻ capped QDs.⁹⁴ As shown in Fig. 6d, Kim's group has also introduced inorganic ligands (such as SnS₄⁴⁻ and S²⁻) for CdSe QDs and successfully deposited them onto TiO₂.⁷⁴ Recently, a facile and effective ligand design strategy has been developed to improve the loading amount by decorating the QD surface with dual ligands (MPA and ILs) by Zhong's group. The report suggested that the coexistence of ILs (such as SCN⁻) with MPA can serve as effective cross-linkers. Besides, the existence of ILs can reduce solvation energy that facilitates QD loading. They investigated the effects of a series of ILs on the adsorption capacity of QDs (Fig. 6e). The coordination strength between ILs and QD surface metal atoms can be predicted based on the established HSAB principle.⁹⁵ Relatively soft species (Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, SCN⁻, S²⁻, and S₂O₃²⁻ ions) can form coordination bonds using halide or terminal sulfur atoms. Therefore, they form strong coordination bonds with soft chalcogenide QDs, and thus can effectively crosslink adjacent QDs, reducing the QD-QD distance. Among them, the linear SCN⁻ ligand is special because it is bidentate with the ability to form coordinate bonds simultaneously by using soft sulfur and nitrogen atoms (Fig. 6f and g), considering that the cyan group is soft.^{96,97} Based on the dual ligands of SCN/




Fig. 6 (a) Absorption spectra of TGA-, MPA-, and Cys-capped CdSe QD sensitized TiO₂ films. (Inset): photographs of films sensitized with different molecules linked to CdSe.⁹¹ This figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 91 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry, copyright 2014. (b) Schematic diagram and (c) absorption spectra of OAm-, S²⁻-, and S₂O₃²⁻-capped CdSe QDs adsorbed on TiO₂.⁹⁴ This figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 94 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2017. (d) Illustration of the SnS₄⁴⁻ and S²⁻ ligand-capped QD anchored TiO₂ photoanode.⁷⁴ This figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 74 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2014. (e) Summary of absorbance values at the wavelength of 600 nm from the absorption spectra of QD-sensitized TiO₂ films, and (f) the possible bidentate bonding motif of the SCN⁻ ligand acting as a crosslinker for two adjacent QDs. (g) Schematics of the molecular structure and charge distribution of MPA and SCN⁻ ligands on the QD surface.³⁵ The figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 35 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2022.

MPA, ZCISse QDSC ligands delivered a certified efficiency of 16.10%, which is a new record efficiency for liquid junction QD solar cells.

Co-sensitization and secondary adsorption

In CLIS, due to the presence of interdot repulsions, after primary QD loading there remain unoccupied sites that are still available for QD loading. Based on suitable strategies, foreign QDs can continue to adsorb on the surface of TiO_2 after primary QD deposition. Co-sensitization is one of the methods to improve the loading amount of QDs in QDSCs, where a different type of QD (usually with a wider bandgap) is applied. Due to the differences in physical and chemical properties, the QDs in sensitization respond to different loading sites, thereby enabling supplemented QD loading. Based on this discovery, Zhong's group adopted CdSe QDs as co-sensitizers to improve the light harvest capability of the ZCISse pre-sensitized TiO_2 (Fig. 7a). The results show that co-sensitization with the use of CdSe QDs effectively improves external quantum efficiencies compared with single QD-based QDSCs, achieving a PCE up to 12.7%.³⁶ They also employed the co-sensitization strategy with the use of ZCIS QDs as co-sensitizers. The absorption spectra show that the

ZCISse/ZCIS co-sensitized TiO_2 film notably enhances the light capture ability at the wavelength below 700 nm, which proved the effectiveness of the co-sensitization for fully occupying the adsorption sites. The increase in the QD loading amount effectively inhibits the interfacial charge recombination at the photoanode/electrolyte interface, thus improving the charge collection efficiency. In addition, the cascade energy band alignment of the two kinds of QD sensitizers can facilitate electron injection. The QDSC based on the co-sensitization strategy delivered a PCE of 13.30% (certified efficiency of 12.98%) (Fig. 7b).³⁸ Song *et al.* also deposited the black phosphorus QDs (BPQDs) onto the surface of the ZCISse QD-sensitized TiO_2 substrate as a light-harvesting material with the strategy of co-sensitization.⁹⁸ Through optimizing the size and deposition process of BPQDs, the champion PCE of ZCISse QDSCs was increased to 15.66% compared with the original 14.11%.

The approach of co-sensitization at present can be applied only to load two different types of QDs, so that the remaining QD loading sites can be taken advantage of. Obviously, the loading with the identical narrow band gap of QDs is a better choice to fully utilize incident light. Note that the homogeneous QDs in secondary deposition should respond to the




Fig. 7 (a) Schematic diagram of the co-sensitization process.³⁶ The figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 36 with permission from Wiley, copyright 2018. (b) J - V curves of QDSCs with ZCIS, ZCISe, and ZCISe/ZCIS QDs.³⁸ The figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 38 with permission from Wiley, copyright 2019. (c) Schematic diagram of the QD secondary deposition by creating new adsorption sites, and (d) J - V curves of TiO_2/QD and $\text{TiO}_2/\text{QD}/\text{Mg}/\text{QD}$ QDSCs.³⁹ The figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 39 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2021. (e) Schematic illustration of the secondary deposition strategy by surfactant-assisted deposition technology.³⁷ The figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 37 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2019. (f) Scheme of multilayer sensitizing of TiO_2 with QDs by applying negatively charged QDs and positively charged QDs, respectively.⁹⁹ The figure has been adapted/reproduced from ref. 99 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2012.

Conclusion and perspectives

The improvement of PCE allows the QDSCs to take a significant step toward industrial implementation. Nevertheless, there is still a long way to go regarding the scalable deposition technique of QDs, which is also a major factor for QDSCs competing with other established commercial solar cells. In QDSCs, the deposition of QDs is realized by completely immersing the TiO_2 substrate in the QD solution, which is the simplest and easiest method among all of the developed QD deposition methods (including blade coating and spray coating).^{101–103} Furthermore, the scalable deposition technique of QDs is analogous to that of dye sensitized solar cells, which has succeeded in large-area deposition techniques. Consequently, there should be no technical difficulties in the scalable deposition techniques of QDs. In addition,

during the process of blade coating and spray coating, the formation of solid QD films will be accompanied by solvent evaporation, which inevitably leads to the shrinkage of the films, thus affecting the uniformity and reproducibility of the film in large-area manufacturing. However, the TiO₂ substrate is manufactured by screen printing, which makes it easier to scale up QDSCs than solid-state heterojunction solar cells. Unfortunately, there is still little research on scalable deposition techniques of QDs at present, but this is a main problem that must be considered for the successful industrialization of QDSCs in the future.

Author contributions

Z. Z. and W. W. contributed equally to this work. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest


The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NFSC, No. U21A20310, 22122805, 22075090, 22278164, and 22308112).

Notes and references

- I. Mora-Sero, S. Gimenez, F. Fabregat-Santiago, R. Gomez, Q. Shen, T. Toyoda and J. Bisquert, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 1848–1857.
- P. V. Kamat, K. Tvrđy, D. R. Baker and E. J. Radich, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6664–6688.
- A. J. Nozik, M. C. Beard, J. M. Luther, M. Law, R. J. Ellingson and J. C. Johnson, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6873–6890.
- Z. Pan, H. Rao, I. Mora-Seró, J. Bisquert and X. Zhong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **110**, 7659–7702.
- Z. Du, M. Artemyev, J. Wang and J. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 2464–2489.
- S. Lin and X. Peng, *Energy Fuels*, 2021, **35**, 18928–18941.
- Q. Zhao, R. Han, A. R. Marshall, S. Wang, B. M. Wieliczka, J. Ni, J. Zhang, J. Yuan, J. M. Luther, A. Hazarika and G. R. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, e2107888.
- S. A. McDonald, G. Konstantatos, S. Zhang, P. W. Cyr, E. J. Klem, L. Levina and E. H. Sargent, *Nat. Mater.*, 2005, **4**, 138–142.
- J. Tang, K. W. Kemp, S. Hoogland, K. S. Jeong, H. Liu, L. Levina, M. Furukawa, X. Wang, R. Debnath, D. Cha, K. W. Chou, A. Fischer, A. Amassian, J. B. Asbury and E. H. Sargent, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 765–771.
- J. Tang, H. Liu, D. Zhitomirsky, S. Hoogland, X. Wang, M. Furukawa, L. Levina and E. H. Sargent, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 4889–4894.
- L. Protesescu, S. Yakunin, M. I. Bodnarchuk, F. Krieg, R. Caputo, C. H. Hendon, R. X. Yang, A. Walsh and M. V. Kovalenko, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 3692–3696.
- H. Aqoma, S.-H. Lee, I. F. Imran, J.-H. Hwang, S.-H. Lee and S.-Y. Jang, *Nat. Energy*, 2024, DOI: [10.1038/s41560-024-01450-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-024-01450-9).
- I. Mora-Seró, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2020, **10**, 2001774.
- N. Guijarro, T. Lana-Villarreal, I. Mora-Seró, J. Bisquert and R. Gómez, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 4208–4214.
- G. Hodes, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 17778–17787.
- F. Shen, Y. M. Fan, H. Li, S. P. Li, M. Xu and W. B. Dai, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2022, **922**, 166296.
- N. Singh, V. Murugadoss, S. Nemala, S. Mallick and S. Angaiah, *Sol. Energy*, 2018, **171**, 571–579.
- H. Zhang, W. Fang, W. Wang, N. Qian and X. Ji, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 6927–6936.
- H. Zhang, K. Cheng, Y. M. Hou, Z. Fang, Z. X. Pan, W. J. Wu, J. L. Hua and X. H. Zhong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 11235–11237.
- H. Song, Y. Lin, M. Zhou, H. Rao, Z. Pan and X. Zhong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **60**, 6137–6144.
- J. Du, Z. Du, J.-S. Hu, Z. Pan, Q. Shen, J. Sun, D. Long, H. Dong, L. Sun, X. Zhong and L.-J. Wan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 4201–4209.
- Z. Pan, I. Mora-Sero, Q. Shen, H. Zhang, Y. Li, K. Zhao, J. Wang, X. Zhong and J. Bisquert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 9203–9210.
- P. K. Santra and P. V. Kamat, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 2508–2511.
- W. Peng, J. Du, Z. Pan, N. Nakazawa, J. Sun, Z. Du, G. Shen, J. Yu, J. S. Hu, Q. Shen and X. Zhong, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 5328–5336.
- G. Halder, A. Ghosh, S. Parvin and S. Bhattacharyya, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **31**, 161–170.
- D. Ghosh, A. Ghosh, M. Y. Ali and S. Bhattacharyya, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 6071–6081.
- M. A. Basit, M. Aanish Ali, Z. Masroor, Z. Tariq and J. H. Bang, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 2023, **120**, 1–26.
- Y. Sun, G. Jiang, M. Zhou, Z. Pan and X. Zhong, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 29958–29966.
- J. Yu, W. Wang, Z. Pan, J. Du, Z. Ren, W. Xue and X. Zhong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 14124–14133.
- G. Jiang, Z. Pan, Z. Ren, J. Du, C. Yang, W. Wang and X. Zhong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 11416–11421.
- Z. Du, Z. Pan, F. Fabregat-Santiago, K. Zhao, D. Long, H. Zhang, Y. Zhao, X. Zhong, J.-S. Yu and J. Bisquert, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **7**, 3103–3111.
- S. Jiao, J. Du, Z. Du, D. Long, W. Jiang, Z. Pan, Y. Li and X. Zhong, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **8**, 559–564.
- W. Li, G. Long, Q. Chen and Q. Zhong, *J. Power Sources*, 2019, **430**, 95–103.
- Y. Lin, H. Song, H. Rao, Z. Du, Z. Pan and X. Zhong, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 4974–4979.
- Z. Zhang, H. Song, W. Wang, H. Rao, Y. Fang, Z. Pan and X. Zhong, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2022, **8**, 647–656.
- W. Wang, W. Feng, J. Du, W. Xue, L. Zhang, L. Zhao, Y. Li and X. Zhong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705746.
- W. Wang, L. Zhao, Y. Wang, W. Xue, F. He, Y. Xie and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 4300–4307.



- 38 Z. Pan, L. Yue, H. Rao, J. Zhang, X. Zhong, Z. Zhu and A. K. Y. Jen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1903696.
- 39 H. Song, Y. Lin, Z. Zhang, H. Rao, W. Wang, Y. Fang, Z. Pan and X. Zhong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 4790–4800.
- 40 W. Shockley and H. J. Queisser, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1961, **32**, 510–519.
- 41 W. Li and X. Zhong, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2015, **6**, 796–806.
- 42 Y.-L. Lee and Y.-S. Lo, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, **19**, 604–609.
- 43 Q. Zhang, X. Guo, X. Huang, S. Huang, D. Li, Y. Luo, Q. Shen, T. Toyoda and Q. Meng, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 4659–4667.
- 44 S. Hotchandani and P. V. Kamat, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1992, **96**, 6834–6839.
- 45 K. Yan, W. Chen and S. Yang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **117**, 92–99.
- 46 B. B. Jin, X. J. Liu, L. C. Dong, X. X. Zhong, M. Y. Liang, J. Gan, M. Chen and F. Guo, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2023, **255**, 112293.
- 47 M. Sotodeian and M. Marandi, *Sol. Energy*, 2021, **221**, 418–432.
- 48 K. E. Roelofs, S. M. Herron and S. F. Bent, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 8321–8334.
- 49 N. Fuke, L. B. Hoch, A. Y. Kuposov, V. W. Manner, D. J. Werder, A. Fukui, N. Koide, H. Katayama and M. Sykora, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 6377–6386.
- 50 J. Alberio, J. N. Clifford and E. Palomares, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **263–264**, 53–64.
- 51 M. N. Ishak, K. A. Yaacob and A. F. M. Noor, *J. Aust. Ceram. Soc.*, 2022, **58**, 1183–1198.
- 52 A. Singh, N. J. English and K. M. Ryan, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2013, **117**, 1608–1615.
- 53 N. Sato, M. Kawachi, K. Noto, N. Yoshimoto and M. Yoshizawa, *Phys. C: Supercond.*, 2001, **357–360**, 1019–1022.
- 54 R. N. Basu, C. A. Randall and M. J. Mayo, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2001, **84**, 33–40.
- 55 G. Niu, L. Wang, R. Gao, W. Li, X. Guo, H. Dong and Y. Qiu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 19595–19600.
- 56 J. H. Bang and P. V. Kamat, *ACS Nano*, 2009, **3**, 1467–1476.
- 57 P. Brown and P. V. Kamat, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 8890–8891.
- 58 M. Wang, Z. Peng, D. Huang, Z. Ning, J. Chen, W. Li and J. Chen, *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.*, 2022, **137**, 106219.
- 59 J. Tang, H. Birkedal, E. W. McFarland and G. D. Stucky, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, **18**, 2278–2279.
- 60 R. Kottayi, P. Panneerselvam, N. Singh, V. Murugadoss, R. Sittaramane and S. Angaiah, *New J. Chem.*, 2020, **44**, 13148–13156.
- 61 B. R. Hyun, A. C. Bartnik, L. Sun, T. Hanrath and F. W. Wise, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 2126–2132.
- 62 D. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Fang, D. Xie, X. Zhou and Y. Lin, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2021, **367**, 137452.
- 63 S. Krüger, S. G. Hickey, S. Tscharncke and A. Eychmüller, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 13047–13055.
- 64 D. Zhang, P. Ma, S. Wang, M. Xia, S. Zhang, D. Xie, X. Zhou and Y. Lin, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **475**, 813–819.
- 65 L.-W. Chong, H.-T. Chien and Y.-L. Lee, *J. Power Sources*, 2010, **195**, 5109–5113.
- 66 L. Liu, X. Guo, Y. Li and X. Zhong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 3768–3775.
- 67 G. H. Carey, A. L. Abdelhady, Z. Ning, S. M. Thon, O. M. Bakr and E. H. Sargent, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 12732–12763.
- 68 Y. Shen, M. Abolhasani, Y. Chen, L. Xie, L. Yang, C. W. Coley, M. G. Bawendi and K. F. Jensen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 16333–16337.
- 69 J. B. Sambur, S. C. Riha, D. Choi and B. A. Parkinson, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 4839–4847.
- 70 W. Wang, M. Zhang, Z. Pan, G. M. Biesold, S. Liang, H. Rao, Z. Lin and X. Zhong, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **122**, 4091–4162.
- 71 A. Salant, M. Shalom, I. Hod, A. Faust, A. Zaban and U. Banin, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 5962–5968.
- 72 H. Wang, C. Luan, X. Xu, S. V. Kershaw and A. L. Rogach, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 484–489.
- 73 J. Chen, D. W. Zhao, J. L. Song, X. W. Sun, W. Q. Deng, X. W. Liu and W. Lei, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2009, **11**, 2265–2267.
- 74 S. Choi, H. Jin and S. Kim, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 17019–17027.
- 75 A. Nag, M. V. Kovalenko, J.-S. Lee, W. Liu, B. Spokoyny and D. V. Talapin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10612–10620.
- 76 M. V. Kovalenko, M. Scheele and D. V. Talapin, *Science*, 2009, **324**, 1417–1420.
- 77 C. K. Lee and C. C. Hua, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132**, 224904.
- 78 M. A. Boles, D. Ling, T. Hyeon and D. V. Talapin, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, **15**, 364.
- 79 W. Wang, J. Du, Z. Ren, W. Peng, Z. Pan and X. Zhong, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 31006–31015.
- 80 X. Meng, J. Du, H. Zhang and X. Zhong, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 86023–86030.
- 81 Y. Liang, J. E. Thorne and B. A. Parkinson, *Langmuir*, 2012, **28**, 11072–11077.
- 82 W. Wang, Y. Xie, F. He, Y. Wang, W. Xue and Y. Li, *Green Energy Environ.*, 2023, **8**, 213–223.
- 83 B. B. Jin, S. Y. Kong, G. Q. Zhang, X. Q. Chen, H. S. Ni, F. Zhang, D. J. Wang and J. H. Zeng, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2021, **586**, 640–646.
- 84 S. Choi, H. Jin, J. Bang and S. Kim, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2012, **3**, 3442–3447.
- 85 J. Aldana, N. Lavelle, Y. Wang and X. Peng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 2496–2504.
- 86 D. Gumy, C. Morais, P. Bowen, C. Pulgarin, S. Giraldo, R. Hajdu and J. Kiwi, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2006, **63**, 76–84.
- 87 I.-R. Jo, Y.-H. Lee, H. Kim and K.-S. Ahn, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2021, **870**, 159527.
- 88 K. Lu, Y. Wang, Z. Liu, L. Han, G. Shi, H. Fang, J. Chen, X. Ye, S. Chen, F. Yang, A. G. Shulga, T. Wu, M. Gu, S. Zhou, J. Fan, M. A. Loi and W. Ma, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1707572.
- 89 M. Gu, Y. Wang, F. Yang, K. Lu, Y. Xue, T. Wu, H. Fang, S. Zhou, Y. Zhang, X. Ling, Y. Xu, F. Li, J. Yuan, M. A. Loi, Z. Liu and W. Ma, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 15951–15959.



- 90 A. R. Kirmani, G. Walters, T. Kim, E. H. Sargent and A. Amassian, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2020, **3**, 5385–5392.
- 91 J. Yang, T. Oshima, W. Yindeesuk, Z. Pan, X. Zhong and Q. Shen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 20882–20888.
- 92 J. Du, R. Singh, I. Fedin, A. S. Fuhr and V. I. Klimov, *Nat. Energy*, 2020, **5**, 409–417.
- 93 J. Huang, B. Xu, C. Yuan, H. Chen, J. Sun, L. Sun and H. Agren, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 18808–18815.
- 94 Z. Ren, J. Yu, Z. Pan, J. Wang and X. Zhong, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 18936–18944.
- 95 A. Shrestha, M. Batmunkh, A. Tricoli, S. Z. Qiao and S. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 5202–5224.
- 96 R. G. Pearson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **85**, 3533–3539.
- 97 R. G. Parr and R. G. Pearson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 7512–7516.
- 98 H. Song, H. Mu, J. Yuan, B. Liu, G. Bai and S. Lin, *SusMat*, 2023, **3**, 543–554.
- 99 H. Jin, S. Choi, R. Velu, S. Kim and H. J. Lee, *Langmuir*, 2012, **28**, 5417–5426.
- 100 H. Jin, J. Nam, J. Park, S. Jung, K. Im, J. Hur, J.-J. Park, J.-M. Kim and S. Kim, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 1758–1760.
- 101 A. R. Kirmani, A. D. Sheikh, M. R. Niazi, M. A. Haque, M. Liu, F. P. G. de Arquer, J. Xu, B. Sun, O. Voznyy, N. Gasparini, D. Baran, T. Wu, E. H. Sargent and A. Amassian, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1801661.
- 102 J. Yuan, C. Bi, S. Wang, R. Guo, T. Shen, L. Zhang and J. Tian, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1906615.
- 103 N. Sukharevskaya, D. Bederak, V. M. Goossens, J. Momand, H. Duim, D. N. Dirin, M. V. Kovalenko, B. J. Kooi and M. A. Loi, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 5195–5207.

