





Cite this: *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 12329

## Ru and RuO<sub>x</sub> decorated carbon nitride for efficient ammonia photosynthesis†

Hui Wang,  Xiyi Li, Qiushi Ruan  and Junwang Tang\*

Photocatalytic ammonia synthesis is a promising strategy for sustainable development compared to the energy-intensive industrial Haber–Bosch approach. Herein, a ternary heterostructure that consists of ruthenium species and carbon nitride (C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>) was rationally explored for ammonia photosynthesis. Compared to the small ammonia yield from the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> system, the Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> system represents 6 times higher activity with excellent stability under full-spectrum irradiation. Such an enhancement is not only due to efficient transfer of electrons and holes to Ru and RuO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, facilitating both the reduction and oxidation reaction, but also taking advantage of Ru for N≡N activation.

Received 30th March 2020,  
Accepted 1st May 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0nr02527e

[rsc.li/nanoscale](http://rsc.li/nanoscale)

### 1. Introduction

Ammonia is one of the most fundamental and essential feedstocks in the chemical industry due to its application in fertilizer production, medicaments and biological molecules.<sup>1,2</sup> The main industrial process of ammonia production is the Haber–Bosch process, which requires extremely high temperatures and pressures, leading to an energy-intensive and highly carbon-emitting process.<sup>3</sup> Ammonia photosynthesis is believed to be a low cost and environmentally friendly approach which could be regarded as a promising next-generation ammonia synthesis technique.<sup>4,5</sup> However, the efficiency obtained to date has been very moderate, mainly due to the high recombination of photo-induced charges and the lack of efficient activation sites for cleavage of the N≡N triple bond.

Graphitic carbon nitride (g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>), a thermally and chemically stable nitride semiconductor photocatalyst, is one of the most promising polymer photocatalysts for ammonia photosynthesis.<sup>6,7</sup> It not only has good visible light absorption ability but also has enough driving force for the reduction reaction of N<sub>2</sub>. Many g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> based photocatalysts, including g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/Cs<sub>x</sub>WO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>8</sup> g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>9</sup> g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/ZnMoCdS<sup>10</sup> and so on, have been applied in ammonia photosynthesis. However, they mainly suffered from low efficiency of charge separation, thus resulting in a low yield in photocatalytic N<sub>2</sub> reduction. Engineering heterojunctions has been proved to be one of the most effective ways for the spatial separation of photo-induced

carriers.<sup>11,12</sup> Besides, the precise design of suitable active sites and matching of functionalities during heterojunction construction certainly aid in the specific photocatalytic reaction.<sup>13</sup> Experimental and theoretical studies indicated that N<sub>2</sub> could be easily adsorbed and activated on the Ru catalyst surface to form N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>x</sub> species to facilitate the cleavage of the N≡N triple bonds in thermal catalysis.<sup>14,15</sup> Recently, Ru has been used as an efficient cocatalyst for photo-assisted ammonia synthesis in aqueous solutions such as Ru/GaN and Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> due to the electron trapping sites of Ru.<sup>16,17</sup> It is more efficient if a dual cocatalyst could be loaded for efficient trapping of both electrons and holes and also facilitate both reduction and oxidation reactions.

Ruthenium oxide (RuO<sub>2</sub>) has been widely reported to be efficient as a hole acceptor, specifically it could extract photo-generated holes from excited photocatalysts efficiently and therefore suppresses charge recombination. Hence, it is of particular interest to rationally design the Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> ternary heterojunction by coating RuO<sub>2</sub> and Ru clusters on g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> nanosheets as dual co-catalysts for efficient ammonia photosynthesis. The 2D g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> nanosheets, with short bulk-to-surface diffusion length, accelerate the transfer of photo-induced carriers. Moreover, it also provides large surface area and abundant unsaturated sites (*e.g.* –NH<sub>2</sub>) for coordination of active components. Based on this excellent platform, the suitable choice of Ru as an electron acceptor not only takes advantage of its unique interaction and activation with N≡N, but also makes good use of the formed oxide species (RuO<sub>2</sub>) as a well-known hole acceptor. This ternary heterostructure Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> exhibits a much higher NH<sub>3</sub> generation rate (13.3 μmol g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) than Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (2.5 μmol g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) and g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (almost zero). The optimised catalyst can also remain stable for at least four cycles.

Solar Energy & Advanced Materials Research Group, Department of Chemical Engineering, UCL, Torrington Place, London, WC1E 7JE, UK.

E-mail: [junwang.tang@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:junwang.tang@ucl.ac.uk)

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0nr02527e



## 2. Experimental section

$g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$  was synthesized using urea as the precursor. In detail, 10 g urea powder was calcined in a muffle furnace (Carbolite, CWF 1300) at 550 °C for 4 h with a rate of 5 °C  $\text{min}^{-1}$ . The obtained yellow product was washed with water, 0.1 M HCl, 0.1 M NaOH and water three times, respectively, then dried in an oven at 70 °C overnight.  $\text{RuO}_2$  and/or Ru loaded carbon nitride was synthesized *via* wet impregnation. Typically, 200 mg bulk  $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$  and 45 wt%  $\text{RuCl}_3\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$  were suspended in 40 ml water followed by ultra-sonication (Fisherbrand) for 30 min. After that, the suspension was dried at 100 °C and calcined at 300 °C for 2 h in air and under a 10%  $\text{H}_2/\text{Ar}$  atmosphere, and were labelled as  $\text{Ru}/\text{RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$  and  $\text{Ru}/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ , respectively. Ru loaded on  $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$  by photodeposition was labelled as  $\text{Ru}/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-p}$ . The obtained materials were washed several times with water to remove  $\text{RuCl}_3$  residues. A series of  $\text{Ru}/\text{RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$  samples were labelled as  $\text{Ru}/\text{RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-}x\%$  ( $x = 0.5\text{--}7.5$ ), where  $x$  is the Ru loading weight percentage (nominal ratio).

The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out using a Bruker D4 diffractometer equipped with a  $\text{Cu-K}\alpha$  source ( $K\alpha_1 = 1.540562 \text{ \AA}$  and  $K\alpha_2 = 1.544398 \text{ \AA}$ ). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (JEOL2010) was used to analyse the morphology and composition of the sample. The surface elemental composition analyses was characterized by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) (Thermoscientific XPS K-alpha). UV-vis-NIR diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were recorded using an Agilent Carry 3500 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer equipped with a diffuse reflectance unit. Steady-state photoluminescence (PL) and Raman spectra were examined using a Renishaw inVia Raman microscope. Photocurrent testing was carried out using a closable three-electrode electrolytic cell, using an electrochemical workstation (IVIUM) control voltage with 0.1 mol  $\text{L}^{-1}$   $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  solution as the electrolyte, where the FTO electrode coated with the catalyst was used as the working electrode, the platinum plate was used as the counter electrode, and the  $\text{Ag}/\text{AgCl}$  electrode was used as the reference electrode.

In a typical photocatalytic  $\text{N}_2$  reduction reaction, a certain amount of photocatalyst was well dispersed in 30 ml of aqueous solution containing a sacrificial electron donor and then transferred to a 100 ml reactor. An in-house-built 100 mL two-necked flat-bottomed quartz container was used as the reactor, 50 mL of deionized water was used as the solvent and proton source for the reaction, and 0.03 g of the obtained photocatalyst was added into the solution. The reactor was sealed, purged with  $\text{N}_2$  gas for 20 min and then irradiated. The  $\text{N}_2$  and Ar control experiment was irradiated under LED irradiation (365 nm). Other control and cycling experiments were irradiated under full arc using a 300 W xenon lamp. The solution was taken out at regular intervals to detect ammonia concentration using ion chromatography.

Photocurrent testing was carried out using a three-electrode electrolytic cell, using an electrochemical workstation (IVIUM) control voltage. 0.1 M  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  solution was used as the electrolyte, the FTO electrode coated with the catalyst was used as the

working electrode, the platinum plate served as the counter electrode, and the  $\text{Ag}/\text{AgCl}$  electrode acted as the reference electrode. A 150 W xenon lamp was used as the full arc light source. The photocurrent test was carried out using a potentiostatic technique with a switching period of 5 s, which mainly measured the photocurrent density of the photocatalysts. The alternating current impedance spectrum was measured using a three-electrode system with the following parameters: at  $-0.4 \text{ V vs. Ag}/\text{AgCl}$ , high frequency 10 kHz, low-frequency 1 Hz, amplitude 10 mV. The test was carried out under irradiation with 100 mL of 0.1 M  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  solution as the electrolyte.

## 3. Results and discussion

The crystal structure of the obtained samples along with pure carbon nitride was firstly examined by powder X-ray diffraction (Fig. 1a). The characteristic diffraction peak at 27.4° assigned to the interlayer stacking of  $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$  in all three samples remains unchanged, excluding the insertion of Ru species at the interlayer.<sup>18</sup> The  $\text{Ru}/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-}5\%$  sample shows a series of peaks at 38.0°, 41.9°, 43.6°, 57.8°, 68.7° and 77.7° which are ascribed to the (1 0 -1 0), (0 0 0 2), (1 0 -1 1), (1 0 -1 2), (2 -1 -1 0) and (1 0 -1 3) lattice planes of the hexagonal Ru crystal structure, which corresponds to the commercial Ru. In contrast, no peaks attributed to  $\text{RuO}_x$  species can be observed over the  $\text{Ru}/\text{RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-}5\%$  sample which may be due to the low amount or high dispersion of Ru species. FTIR and Raman spectroscopy were used to characterize the stable chemical structure of the obtained samples. For FTIR spectra (Fig. 1b), the typical signal at 810  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is assigned to the out-of-plane bending vibration characteristic of heptazine. The multiple peaks at 1200–1600  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  represent the stretching vibration of tri-s-triazine heterocyclic stretches.<sup>19</sup> Moreover, the absorption




Fig. 1 XRD patterns (a), FTIR spectra (b), Raman spectra (c), and UV-Vis spectra (d) of  $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ ,  $\text{Ru}/\text{RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-}5\%$  and  $\text{Ru}/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-}5\%$ .



band in the range of 3000–3300  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is ascribed to the  $-\text{NH}_2$  stretching.<sup>18</sup> Raman spectroscopy was carried out to examine the fine structure of  $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$  and  $\text{Ru/RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-5\%}$  in detail (Fig. 1c). In the range of 1200–1700  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , a series of peaks are attributed to C–N stretching vibrations, specifically “G” and “D” band profiles of structurally disordered graphitic carbon and other carbon/nitrogen layered compounds.<sup>20,21</sup> The peak at 980  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  could be assigned to the symmetric N-breathing mode of heptazine, whilst the peak at 690  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  corresponds to the in-plane bending vibrations of the tri/heptazine C–N–C linkages.<sup>22</sup> All the above characterizations confirm the preservation of carbon nitride’s structure after loading Ru species, while the specific peaks assigned to Ru species are not observed, again due to the highly dispersed and low amount of Ru loaded.<sup>23,24</sup>

TEM was applied to analyse the microstructures of photocatalysts after loading Ru and  $\text{RuO}_2$  (Fig. 2). The thin  $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$  nanosheets are firmly assembled with small nanoparticles, constructing stable 0D/2D heterojunctions. The size of Ru species is around 2 nm, as shown in Fig. S1.† Fig. 2b shows the high-resolution image along with the fast Fourier transform (FFT) image. The transformed lattice fringe with a spacing of 0.207 nm matches well with the (101) plane of the metallic Ru, while the spacing of 0.18 nm corresponds to the (211) lattice plane of  $\text{RuO}_2$ .<sup>25,26</sup> Ru and  $\text{RuO}_2$  formation was believed to be due to calcination of the Ru precursor on carbon nitride at 300 °C in air. Similarly, the formation of Ru and  $\text{RuO}_2$  by thermal decomposition in air was reported, where a mixture of  $\text{RuO}_2$  as the dominant phase and Ru was found in the sample to be calcined between 200 °C and 400 °C.<sup>27</sup> The reason is likely due to the organic substrate working as a reductant.<sup>28</sup> The EDS mapping (Fig. 2c) shows

the homogeneous distribution of the corresponding elements (C, N, O and Ru), indicating the high dispersion of  $\text{RuO}_x$  on the  $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ . The high dispersion of Ru species can be attributed to two reasons: (i) long duration of sonication can help disperse the Ru precursor during uniform precipitation on the carbon nitride; (ii) carbon nitride possesses rich  $\text{NH}_2$  groups, which are widely reported to coordinate and anchor transition metals, avoiding the aggregation. Furthermore, Ru and O are ubiquitously dispersed in the selected region of  $\text{Ru/RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-5\%}$ , indicating successful formation of  $\text{RuO}_x$ .

To further investigate the chemical states of Ru species in the as-prepared sample, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurement was carried out (Fig. 3). The C 1s spectra overlap with Ru 3d spectra (Fig. 3a), illustrating three main peaks at 288.0 eV, 286.2 eV and 284.6 eV corresponding to the  $\text{sp}^2$ -bonded carbon (N–C=N), C–O and adventitious C–C bond, respectively.<sup>29</sup> The signals at 280.2 eV and 281.7 eV are ascribed to  $\text{Ru}^0$  and  $\text{RuO}_2$ , respectively.<sup>30</sup> The peaks in N 1s spectra (Fig. 3b) at 398.5 eV and 400.0 eV are assigned to heptazine C–N–C and N–(C)<sub>3</sub>, while the signal centred at 401.2 eV corresponds to the C–N–H bond. In order to unravel the coexistence of Ru and  $\text{RuO}_2$ , we further analysed the Ru species by XPS (Fig. 3c). The peaks at 461.9 eV and 484.1 eV are assigned to metallic Ru, while those at 463.7 eV and 485.9 eV are correlated to  $\text{RuO}_2$ .<sup>31,32</sup> The average ratio of  $\text{Ru}^0/\text{RuO}_2$  in  $\text{Ru/RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$  is calculated to be 0.18 by the curve fit of Ru 3p spectra. The peaks at 531.2 eV and 532.3 eV in O 1s spectra are related to Ru–O–Ru and –C–O, respectively. The binding energy at 534 eV is assigned to the adsorbed  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Fig. 3d).<sup>33</sup> In addition, the XPS Ru 3p spectra of  $\text{Ru/RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-5\%}$  and  $\text{Ru}/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-5\%}$  are shown in Fig. S2.† Compared with the Ru 3p spectra of  $\text{Ru/RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-5\%}$ ,  $\text{Ru}/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-5\%}$  shows peaks at 461.9 eV and 484.2 eV, corresponding to  $\text{Ru } 3p_{3/2}$  and  $\text{Ru } 3p_{1/2}$  of metallic Ru. The absence of  $\text{RuO}_2$  in  $\text{Ru}/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-5\%}$  is due to




Fig. 2 TEM (a), the diffraction pattern of the selected area (b) and the corresponding elemental mapping images (c) of  $\text{Ru/RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-5\%}$  nanosheets. The inset in (b) is the corresponding fast Fourier transform image.




Fig. 3 The C 1s (a), N 1s (b), Ru 3p (c), and O 1s (d) XPS spectra of  $\text{Ru/RuO}_2/g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-5\%}$ .





the reduction condition of  $H_2$ . Combining the TEM and XPS analysis of the Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% sample, Ru species exist as Ru and RuO<sub>2</sub> clusters on carbon nitride nanosheets.

The photocatalytic activity for ammonia synthesis is shown in Fig. 4. Control experiments were conducted and are shown in Fig. 4a. No ammonia is detected from the pure g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and in the absence of illumination, indicating that the Ru species and light irradiation are both indispensable to the photocatalytic ammonia photosynthesis. A small concentration of 15  $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$  NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was initially observed in the Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% sample under an Ar atmosphere while no further increase of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> can be observed in the following 5 hours. The production of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in the first hour is likely due to the remaining NH<sub>x</sub> species adsorbed on g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> during sample preparation rather than photosynthesis of N<sub>2</sub>. In the absence of the Ru cocatalyst, this adsorbed NH<sub>x</sub> cannot be easily desorbed, while with the loading of the Ru cocatalyst, this small amount of adsorbed NH<sub>x</sub> is readily removed within one hour. This is an interesting note for ammonia synthesis if there were NH<sub>x</sub> groups produced during photocatalyst synthesis. When changing to a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, the ammonia yield on the Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% sample linearly increases, on average 13.3  $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ , indicating that N<sub>2</sub> gas is the main source of ammonia photosynthesis. Then, the Ru cocatalyst amount was investigated between 0.5 wt% and 7.5 wt% to optimise its loading. All samples present enhanced activity compared with pure C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. The optimum amount of the loaded co-catalyst has been determined to be 5%, showing the highest N<sub>2</sub> fixation activity with an NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration of 13.3  $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$  under full arc irradiation. The ammonia generation rate is

comparable to that of the reported Ru system photocatalysts which have been list in Table S1.<sup>†</sup><sup>34,35</sup> A further increase in the amount of loaded co-catalysts results in decreased activity, because excess co-catalyst may block the light absorption of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>.<sup>36</sup> In order to elucidate the important role of RuO<sub>2</sub> in ternary heterostructures, other methods including photodeposition and H<sub>2</sub> reduction have been used to load Ru species on g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (denoted Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%-p and Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%) for comparison (Fig. 4c). All samples exhibited a linear increase in ammonia production with increasing time. Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% and Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%-p present an ammonia yield of less than 10  $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$  after 3 h full arc irradiation, only one-fourth of that achieved by Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%. On the former samples, only Ru metallic species were observed as mentioned above, which can act as an electron acceptor and activation sites for N<sub>2</sub> reduction.<sup>12</sup> The extraordinary high activity of Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% can be ascribed to the synergistic effect between metallic Ru and RuO<sub>2</sub> on g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> for both electron and hole extraction as well as for N<sub>2</sub> activation as discussed below. In addition, a little change is observed from cycling experiments in Fig. 3d, which is believed to be due to the loss of a photocatalyst amount as we filtered the sample from the solution for cycling. Furthermore, the XRD and XPS of Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% before and after the reaction are presented in Fig. S3 and Fig. S4.<sup>†</sup> No obvious differences in the XRD peaks and Ru 3p XPS spectra of the used photocatalyst are observed compared with that of the fresh photocatalyst. The ratio of the Ru metal and RuO<sub>2</sub> before and after the reaction has been compared to further confirm the stability of Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%. The Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub> ratio after the reaction is estimated to be 0.19, which is similar to that before the reaction (0.20). All these results prove that the two cocatalysts remain very stable.

UV-Vis DRS analysis was implemented to investigate the light absorption of all samples (Fig. 1d). g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> exhibits light absorption from the UV to visible range, and the sharp edge suggests that the visible light absorption is due to the band gap transition. After loading Ru species, there is no obvious difference in the absorption edge compared with the pristine g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, indicating that loading Ru and RuO<sub>2</sub> does not have a great influence on the band structure of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. In the visible light region, the light absorption intensities of Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% and Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% samples are strengthened gradually as Ru species increase, which may be due to the light scattering of the Ru species. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the samples were recorded to study the behaviour of photogenerated charge carriers, shown in Fig. 5a. The g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> exhibits broad and robust doublet peaks at 440 nm and 500 nm. The emission centre at around 440 nm is ascribed to  $\pi-\pi^*$  transitions, which are usually observed in conjugated ring systems including heterocyclic aromatic compounds. The peak at around 500 nm is explained as the  $n-\pi^*$  transition,<sup>37</sup> which involves lone pairs on the N atom on the edge of the triazine/heptazine ring. After introducing Ru species, Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% exhibits a similar emission peak profile to that of pure g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> with decreased intensity. Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% also shows the same trend but the lowest intensity compared with Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>





Fig. 4 The photocatalytic N<sub>2</sub> reduction and control experiments by Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% under 365 nm LED illumination (a), photocatalytic ammonia yield of Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> with co-catalyst loading (0.5 wt%–7.5 wt%) in N<sub>2</sub> purged water under full arc irradiation (b), photocatalytic activity of Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%, Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%-p and Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% (c), and photocatalytic cycling tests for Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% in N<sub>2</sub> purged water under full arc irradiation (d). All experiments were carried out under neutral conditions with a pH value of 7.





**Fig. 5** The photoluminescence (a) and EIS (b) of Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% and g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (inset is the interface charge transfer by fitting the impedance plots). A potential mechanism for the ammonia photosynthesis activity of Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% (c).

and g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, indicating the least charge carrier recombination in the Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> sample.

To investigate charge transfer kinetics among different samples, *in situ* photoelectrochemical and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy measurements were performed on all three samples in N<sub>2</sub> purged solution. From Fig. S5,† Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% exhibits higher reduction photocurrent density (*e.g.* 2 μA cm<sup>-2</sup>) compared to Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% (0.6 μA cm<sup>-2</sup>). It is consistent with the enhanced NH<sub>3</sub> evolution rate on Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% compared with that on Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%. Pure g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> has shown negligible photocurrent density, which also maintains consistency with its negligible NH<sub>3</sub> synthesis performance. The interface charge transfer of all three samples was described by fitting the impedance scan plots to the equivalent circuit shown in the inset of Fig. 5b. The equivalent circuit model consists of two components: the electrode/electrolyte interface impedance ( $R_{ct}$  and  $CPE_1$ ) and solid-state interface impedance ( $R_{solid-state}$  and  $CPE_2$ ).  $R_s$  is the system resistance,  $R_{ct}$  is the electrode/electrolyte interface charge transfer resistance and  $R_{solid-state}$  is the solid-state interface (*e.g.* g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/RuO<sub>2</sub> and g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/Ru) charge transfer resistance, respectively. The results summarized in Table 1 show that all three samples have a similar system resistance around 40 Ω cm<sup>2</sup>. However, charge transfer resistance ( $R_{ct}$ ) dramatically differs among different samples. Obviously, co-catalyst (Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub> or Ru) loading has essentially reduced the electrode/electrolyte interface charge transfer resistance, as  $R_{ct}$  has decreased from  $2.46 \times 10^6$  Ω cm<sup>2</sup> of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> to  $9.8 \times 10^3$  Ω cm<sup>2</sup> of Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% and  $3.38 \times 10^4$  Ω cm<sup>2</sup> of Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%. The reduced charge transfer resistance or enhanced charge transfer is attributed to the presence of metallic Ru and RuO<sub>2</sub>. In consequence, g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> has shown negligible NH<sub>3</sub> production and

**Table 1** The interface charge transfer resistances and CPE of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% and Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%

	g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	Ru/g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> -5%	Ru/RuO <sub>2</sub> /g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> -5%
$R_s$ (Ω cm <sup>2</sup> )	42.5	45.9	36.8
$R_{ct}$ (Ω cm <sup>2</sup> )	$2.46 \times 10^6$	$9.8 \times 10^3$	$3.38 \times 10^4$
$CPE_1$ (S <sup>n</sup> Ω <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	$1.02 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.63 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.02 \times 10^{-4}$
$R_{solid-state}$ (Ω cm <sup>2</sup> )	$2.21 \times 10^2$	$1.82 \times 10^3$	$1.74 \times 10^3$
$CPE_2$ (S <sup>n</sup> Ω <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	$2.12 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.63 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.25 \times 10^{-4}$

photocurrent in part due to a high charge transfer resistance, while Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% and Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% exhibit significant NH<sub>3</sub> synthesis activities of 13.3 μmol g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> and 2.5 μmol g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Apart from the solid-solution interface, charge transfer at the solid-state interface is also imperative in deciding the photocatalytic activity. To be precise, the solid-state interface charge transfer resistances ( $R_{solid-state}$ ) are  $2.21 \times 10^2$  Ω cm<sup>2</sup> on g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>,  $1.74 \times 10^3$  Ω cm<sup>2</sup> on Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> 5% and  $1.82 \times 10^4$  Ω cm<sup>2</sup> on Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%. As  $R_{solid-state}$  represents the resistance between g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> or Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> particle boundaries, their smaller values compared to the solid-solution interface resistance confirmed over 5 times faster solid–solid charge transfer than the solid-solution charge transfer. One can see the increased  $R_{solid-state}$  value on Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5%, indicating that the enhanced activity is in part due to the improved charge transfer between the catalyst and solution instead of between C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> particles.

Based on the above characterization and experimental results, a possible ammonia synthesis mechanism is proposed on the best sample of Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-5% (Fig. 5c). When irradiated, electrons can be excited from the valence band to the conduction band of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, which are further trapped by metallic Ru as the electrons sink. Then, these electrons are transferred from the Ru to the π antibonding orbital of N<sub>2</sub>, facilitating the cleavage of the N≡N triple bonds, thus activating N<sub>2</sub>.<sup>12,38</sup> The activated N<sub>2</sub> on the Ru catalyst surface further reacts with H<sup>+</sup> in water to form NH<sub>3</sub>, and finally forms NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in water. Meanwhile, the left holes are transported to RuO<sub>2</sub> and scavenged by methanol, leading to a decrease of the electron–hole recombination.

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, the ternary heterostructure Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> system was explored and it exhibited excellent photocatalytic N<sub>2</sub> reduction activity and stability under full arc irradiation. It was found that g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> has shown negligible activity, while Ru-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> yielded 2.5 μmol g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> and 13.3 μmol<sup>-1</sup> g h<sup>-1</sup> ammonia under full arc irradiation, respectively. The resulting structure was characterized by XRD, Raman, FTIR and TEM. The electron transfer mechanism was confirmed by spectroscopy including PL and PEC. The higher activity of Ru/RuO<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> over Ru-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> illustrated the





- 21 A. B. Jorge, D. J. Martin, M. T. S. Dhanoa, A. S. Rahman, N. Makwana, J. Tang, A. Sella, F. Corà, S. Firth, J. A. Darr and P. F. McMillan, H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> Evolution from Water Half-Splitting Reactions by Graphitic Carbon Nitride Materials, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 7178–7185.
- 22 P. J. Larkin, M. P. Makowski and N. B. Colthup, The form of the normal modes of s-triazine: Infrared and Raman spectral analysis and ab initio force field calculations, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 1999, **55**, 1011–1020.
- 23 M. Xiao, L. Gao, Y. Wang, X. Wang, J. Zhu, Z. Jin, C. Liu, H. Chen, G. Li, J. Ge, Q. He, Z. Wu, Z. Chen and W. Xing, Engineering energy level of metal center: Ru single-atom site for efficient and durable oxygen reduction catalysis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **141**, 19800–19806.
- 24 X. Wang, X. Peng, W. Chen, Gu. Liu, A. Zheng, L. Zheng, J. Ni, C. Au and L. Jiang, The Insight into dynamic and steady-state active sites for nitrogen activation to ammonia by cobalt-based catalyst, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 1–10.
- 25 H. Li, X. Li, J. Liang and Y. Chen, Hydrous RuO<sub>2</sub>-decorated MXene coordinating with silver nanowire inks enabling fully printed micro-supercapacitors with extraordinary volumetric performance, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2019, **9**, 1–13.
- 26 P. Jiang, Y. Yang, R. Shi, G. Xia, J. Chen, J. Su and Q. Chen, Pt-like electrocatalytic behavior of Ru-MoO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites for the hydrogen evolution reaction, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 5475–5485.
- 27 S. Musić, S. Popović, M. Maljković, K. Furić and A. Gajović, Formation of RuO<sub>2</sub> and Ru by thermal decomposition of ruthenium(III)-acetylacetonate, *J. Mater. Sci. Lett.*, 2002, **21**, 1131–1134.
- 28 M. L. Green, M. E. Gross, L. E. Papa, K. J. Schnoes and D. Brasen, Chemical Vapor Deposition of Ruthenium and Ruthenium Dioxide Films, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1985, **132**, 2677.
- 29 D. J. Martin, K. Qiu, S. A. Shevlin, A. D. Handoko, X. Chen, Z. Guo and J. Tang, Highly efficient photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> evolution from water using visible light and structure-controlled graphitic carbon nitride, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 9240–9245.
- 30 Z. Ma, S. Zhao, X. Pei, X. Xiong and B. Hu, New insights into the support morphology-dependent ammonia synthesis activity of Ru/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **7**, 191–199.
- 31 B. Feng, C. Chen, H. Yang, X. Zhao, L. Hua, Y. Yu, T. Cao, Y. Shi and Z. Hou, Ionic liquid-promoted oxidant-free dehydrogenation of alcohols with water-soluble ruthenium nanoparticles in aqueous phase, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2012, **354**, 1559–1565.
- 32 D. J. Morgan, Resolving ruthenium: XPS studies of common ruthenium materials, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 2015, **47**, 1072–1079.
- 33 D. Briggs and G. Beamson, XPS studies of the oxygen 1s and 2s levels in a wide range of functional polymers, *Anal. Chem.*, 1993, **65**, 1517–1525.
- 34 A. Awati, H. Maimaiti, S. Wang and B. Xu, Photo-derived fixation of dinitrogen into ammonia at ambient condition with water on ruthenium/coal-based carbon nanosheets, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2019, **695**, 133865.
- 35 Y. Liao, J. Lin, B. Cui, G. Xie and S. Hu, Well-dispersed ultrasmall ruthenium on TiO<sub>2</sub> (P25) for effective photocatalytic N<sub>2</sub> fixation in ambient condition, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2010, **387**, 112100.
- 36 J. Ran, J. M. Aroniec and S. Z. Qiao, Cocatalysts in semiconductor-based photocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction: achievements, challenges, and opportunities, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1–31.
- 37 A. B. Jorge, D. J. Martin, M. T. S. Dhanoa, A. S. Rahman, N. Makwana, J. Tang, A. Sella, F. Corà, S. Firth, J. A. Darr and P. F. McMillan, H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> evolution from water half-splitting reactions by graphitic carbon nitride materials, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 7178–7185.
- 38 M. Kitano, Y. Inoue, Y. Yamazaki, F. Hayashi, S. Kanbara, S. Matsuishi, T. Yokoyama, S. Kim, M. Hara and H. Hosono, Ammonia synthesis using a stable electride as an electron donor and reversible hydrogen store, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 934–940.

