


Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2023, **11**, 2627Received 27th November 2022
Accepted 4th January 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d2ta09227a

rsc.li/materials-a

A novel strategy of constructing an artificial light-harvesting system based on a supramolecular organic framework for photocatalysis†

Ying Wang, Chao-Qun Ma, Xin-Long Li, Rui-Zhi Dong, Hui Liu, Rong-Zhou Wang, Shengsheng Yu and Ling-Bao Xing *

A supramolecular organic framework (SOF) was fabricated based on the tetraphenylethylene derivative MV-TPE with four methylated viologen units, tetraphenylethylene derivative NA-TPE with four methoxynaphthyl units, and cucurbit[8]uril (CB[8]) through encapsulation-enhanced donor–acceptor interaction. When the hydrophobic dye 4,7-bis(thien-2-yl)-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole (DBT) is introduced into the aqueous solution of a SOF, an efficient energy transfer process can take place from the SOF to DBT. In addition, after the addition of amphoteric sulforhodamine 101 (SR101), a two-step sequential energy transfer process can occur from the SOF to DBT and then to SR101. Furthermore, a white emission with the CIE coordinate of (0.31, 0.32) can be realized by the direct addition of SR101 to the SOF. Moreover, to utilize the harvested energy from the SOF + DBT + SR101 system, we tried to apply the SOF-based light-harvesting systems (LHSs) as a catalyst to advance the aerobic cross-dehydrogenative coupling (CDC) reaction in water. To our delight, under the promotion of 0.45 mol% catalyst, the yield of the reaction reached 87%, which exhibited the promising potential of SOF-based LHSs in the promotion of the CDC reaction.

Introduction

Supramolecular organic frameworks (SOFs), which were first constructed in the solution phase by Li *et al.* in 2013,¹ not only have the advantages of periodic pore structure, homogeneous solubility, synthetic diversity, easy synthesis, and functional modification, but also have the intrinsic cavity of the supramolecular large ring with multi-dimensional pores, and have been widely reported in recent years.^{2–5} Non-covalent interactions, including host–guest interactions,^{6–11} electrostatic interactions,^{12,13} coordination interaction,^{14–16} hydrophobic interactions, and hydrogen bonding,^{17,18} are the main driving

forces during the construction of supramolecular assemblies. Among them, host–guest interaction is commonly used as the driving force for the construction of SOFs due to its high bonding strength.^{19–23} For example, in 2013, Li *et al.* reported a SOF with a honeycomb pore driven by the host–guest interaction between CB[8] and 4,4′-bipyridin-1-ium units.¹ In 2018, Cao *et al.* fabricated a two-dimensional (2D) SOF through CB[8]-based host–guest interaction.²⁴

In 2001, Kim *et al.* reported the encapsulation-enhanced donor–acceptor interaction, that is, the hydrophobic cavity of CB[8] can selectively accommodate electron-deficient and electron-rich guests to form a 1 : 1 : 1 ternary complex with high stability.²⁵ Based on this classical model, several SOFs have been constructed in these years. For example, in 2015, Feng *et al.* reported a 2D monolayer SOF based on the encapsulation-enhanced donor–acceptor interaction.²⁶ In 2016, Li *et al.* reported two single-layer SOFs based on the encapsulation-enhanced donor–acceptor interaction with pH responsiveness.²⁷ The above reports greatly enriched the research of SOFs and aroused people's great research interest.

Up to now, there are many reports on the application of SOFs, such as ion and explosive detection,^{28–30} cell imaging,^{5,20} visible-light-driven hydrogen evolution,^{31,32} drug delivery,³³ and photodynamic therapy.^{34,35} However, the application of SOFs still needs to be further expanded. To expand the application of SOFs and effectively utilize the ordered porous structure, water solubility, and luminescence properties of SOFs, we proposed the strategy of employing SOFs to construct artificial light-harvesting systems (LHSs). To date, the construction of artificial LHSs has received extensive attention. In recent years, examples of supramolecular assemblies that were employed to construct artificial LHSs have been widely reported. For example, in 2017, Liu *et al.* reported an artificial LHS based on nanoparticles through the self-assembly method.³⁶ In 2016, Zhou and co-workers fabricated a highly efficient LHS based on nanotubes constructed through the host–guest interaction.³⁷ However, examples of applying SOFs to construct artificial LHSs have rarely been reported. Therefore, it is a promising method

School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo 255000, P. R. China. E-mail: lbxing@sdu.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ta09227a>

to introduce acceptor dyes into fluorescent SOF systems to construct artificial LHSs. In addition, to fully utilize the energy obtained from artificial LHSs, many reports introduced the application of LHSs in photocatalysis. The artificial LHSs showed good catalytic performance for many photocatalytic reactions, including the cross-coupling hydrogen evolution reaction,³⁸ aerobic cross-dehydrogenative coupling (CDC) reaction,^{39,40} and the dehalogenation reaction.⁴¹ Therefore, it is also innovative to employ SOF-based artificial LHSs as the catalyst to advance photocatalytic reactions.

In this work, a tetraphenylethylene derivative MV-TPE with four methylated viologens (MV) units and a tetraphenylethylene derivative NA-TPE with four methoxynaphthyl units (NA) units were designed and synthesized (Scheme 1). The MV units of MV-TPE and the NA units of NA-TPE can co-encapsulate by the cavity of CB[8] to form a stable three-component SOF. After the SOF is formed, the mixed solution still has a strong emission at 489 nm, which is beneficial to the fabrication of artificial LHSs. After the addition of the acceptor (4,7-bis(thien-2-yl)-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole, DBT), the obvious energy transfer process from the SOF to DBT can be observed. When the ratio of SOF to DBT was 100 : 1, the energy transfer efficiency was determined to be 70.2%. In addition, the second step energy transfer process can be realized by adding the second acceptor (sulforhodamine 101, SR101) into the SOF + DBT system, and the energy transfer efficiency was calculated to be 44.5% (SOF : DBT : SR101 = 300 : 3 : 20). Interestingly, a bright white light emission (0.31, 0.32) can be obtained by adding SR101 into the solution of SOF directly. Furthermore, the SOF + DBT + SR101 system was used as the catalyst to promote the CDC reaction of *N*-phenyl tetrahydroisoquinoline and indole. The yield of the model reaction reached 87% after 18 h of UV irradiation, indicating that the SOF + DBT + SR101 system has a good promotion effect on the CDC reaction. And the SOF + DBT + SR101 system also showed a good promotion effect on the reaction of various *N*-phenyl tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives and indole

derivatives, indicating that this strategy is suitable for promoting a wide range of CDC reactions in water.

Results and discussion

A detailed description of the synthesis and characterization of MV-TPE and NA-TPE is listed in the ESI (Scheme S1, S2 and Fig. S1, S2†). We first investigated the host-guest interaction between MV-TPE, NA-TPE, and CB[8] using UV-vis absorption spectra. Due to the extremely poor solubility of NA-TPE in water, we set the concentration of the sample at 2.0×10^{-5} M. As shown in Fig. 1a, the absorption curve of MV-TPE showed two absorption peaks at 278 nm and 365 nm, which can be attributed to the absorption of the MV unit and the TPE unit, respectively. NA-TPE has absorption peaks at 320 nm and 365 nm in organic solution solvent and water, respectively (Fig. 1a and S3†). After the addition of NA-TPE and CB[8] (MV-TPE : NA-TPE : CB[8] = 1 : 1 : 4), the absorption of the mixed solution was enhanced obviously. This result can be attributed to the charge transfer between the donor (MV unit) and the acceptor (NA unit), which are co-encapsulated by the cavity of CB[8].³⁵ Subsequently, the fluorescence emission spectra of MV-TPE and NA-TPE are also employed to investigate the self-





Fig. 1 (a) UV-vis absorption spectra of MV-TPE (black trace, 2.0×10^{-5} M), NA-TPE (red trace, 2.0×10^{-5} M), and MV-TPE + NA-TPE + CB[8] (blue trace, 1 : 1 : 4) in aqueous solution; (b) fluorescence emission spectra of MV-TPE (black trace, 2.0×10^{-5} M), NA-TPE (red trace, 2.0×10^{-5} M), and MV-TPE + NA-TPE + CB[8] (blue trace, 1 : 1 : 4) in aqueous solution when excited at 276 nm. (c) Fluorescence emission spectra of MV-TPE (black trace, 2.0×10^{-5} M), NA-TPE (red trace, 2.0×10^{-5} M), and MV-TPE + NA-TPE + CB[8] (blue trace, 1 : 1 : 4) in aqueous solution when excited at 365 nm. (d) Fluorescence emission spectra NA-TPE (2.0×10^{-5} M) in CH_3OH , THF, CH_2Cl_2 , and H_2O . inset: photographs of NA-TPE in CH_3OH , THF, CH_2Cl_2 , and H_2O under UV light. DLS images of (e) MV-TPE, (f) MV-TPE + NA-TPE + CB[8] (1 : 1 : 4).



Scheme 1 Illustration of the artificial light-harvesting systems based on MV-TPE, NA-TPE, and CB[8].

assembly properties of these three compounds. As shown in Fig. 1b and c, MV-TPE has an extremely weak fluorescence emission when excited at 276 nm and 365 nm. In contrast, NA-TPE has strong emission at 350 nm–500 nm in some organic solvents and showed strong blue-green emission at 400 nm–600 nm in water (Fig. 1d). When excited at 276 nm, the mixed solution of MV-TPE, NA-TPE, and CB[8] (MV-TPE : NA-TPE : CB[8] = 1 : 1 : 4) also has emission at 400 nm–600 nm. However, the emission intensity of the solution is much lower than that of a single NA-TPE at the same concentration (Fig. 1b). This phenomenon may be attributed to the charge transfer process between the electron-rich MV unit and the electron-deficient NA unit since they are both encapsulated in the cavity of CB[8].^{35,36} The fluorescence spectra of MV-TPE and NA-TPE have also been investigated when excited at 365 nm. As shown in Fig. 1c, under the excitation at 365 nm, the system showed similar fluorescence changes. The fluorescence quantum yield of the NA-TPE in water was determined to be 18.5%. In contrast, the fluorescence quantum yield of the mixed solution (MV-TPE : NA-TPE : CB[8] = 1 : 1 : 4) was determined to be 7.5%, which is consistent with fluorescence measurements.

The dynamic light scattering (DLS) experiments were then performed in water to investigate the self-assembly process. As shown in Fig. 1e and f, the hydrodynamic diameter (D_H) values of the solution of MV-TPE in water were determined to be about 200 nm. After the addition of 1 equiv. NA-TPE and 4 equiv. CB[8], a larger size structure with an average diameter of about 600 nm was detected, which indicates that a three-component SOF with a larger size structure was successfully assembled. And the D_H value of the SOF increases with increasing concentration of the solution (Fig. S4†). Furthermore, the mixed solution of MV-TPE, NA-TPE, and CB[8] also exhibited higher zeta potential than that of the solution of MV-TPE (Fig. S5†).

¹H NMR titration experiments were further used to prove the assembly process between MV-TPE, NA-TPE, and CB[8]. Fig. 2a shows the changes in the ¹H NMR spectra of MV-TPE and NA-TPE in D₂O with an increasing amount of CB[8]. Due to the low resolution of NA-TPE in D₂O, almost no change in the spectra of MV-TPE was observed. However, after the addition of 2 equiv. of CB[8] in the mixed solution of MV-TPE and NA-TPE in D₂O, the signals of MV units of MV-TPE shift downfield and the peaks of MV units are significantly broadened. When the amount of CB[8] is increased to 4 equiv., the signals of the MV unit continue to shift downfield and the signals of H_{4–6} protonated disappeared completely, which indicates that the binding ratio of MV-TPE, NA-TPE, and CB[8] was 1 : 1 : 4. In addition, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed in water to investigate the self-assembly structure of the SOF. As shown in Fig. 2b, a complete large-size sheet structure can be observed clearly. The above results proved that the three-component SOF was successfully obtained through the self-assembly between MV-TPE, NA-TPE, and CB[8].

Although the emission of the SOF in water is lower than that of NA-TPE, there is still strong emission at 450 nm–600 nm. In addition, the formation of the SOF significantly improves the solubility of NA-TPE in water. The fluorescence quantum yield of the SOF in water was determined to be 7.5%, which indicates




Fig. 2 (a) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O, 298 K) spectra of MV-TPE + NA-TPE, MV-TPE + NA-TPE + 2 equiv. CB[8], MV-TPE + NA-TPE + 4 equiv. CB[8] and CB[8]. (b) TEM image of MV-TPE + NA-TPE + CB[8] (1 : 1 : 4, 2.0 × 10⁻⁵ M).

that it can be used as a suitable platform to construct artificial LHSs. Hydrophobic fluorescent dye DBT has a wide absorption range from 390 nm to 600 nm, which greatly overlaps with the emission region of the SOF (450 nm–600 nm), and can be used as a suitable energy acceptor to fabricate an artificial LHS (Fig. S6a†). As shown in Fig. 3a, with the continuous addition of DBT, the emission of the SOF at 487 nm gradually decreased. The emission of DBT at 558 nm gradually increased with the addition of DBT. When the molar ratio of SOF : DBT = 100 : 1, the emission color of the mixed solution was changed from cyan to yellow through the naked eye. And the CIE coordinate diagram also shows similar fluorescence changes (Fig. 3e). The energy transfer efficiency and the antenna effect of the energy transfer process from the SOF to DBT were calculated to be 70.2% and 12.3, respectively, which proved that the energy transfer process between the SOF and DBT is quite efficient among the most reported LHSs (Fig. S8a and S9a†).

In recent years, the construction of a two-step sequential energy transfer LHS has been reported, which has attracted the most research interest. Therefore, we tried to realize the second energy transfer by introducing the second acceptor (SR101) into the SOF + DBT system. As shown in Fig. S6b and 3b,† due to the good spectral overlap between the emission of SOF + DBT and




Fig. 3 Fluorescence emission spectra of (a) SOF in water with different concentrations of DBT, inset: photographs of SOF (left) and SOF + DBT (right) under UV light. (b) SOF + DBT in water with different concentrations of SR101, inset: photographs of SOF + DBT (left) and SOF + DBT + SR101 (right) under UV light. (c) SOF in water with different concentrations of SR101, inset: photographs of SOF (left), SOF + SR101 (white light emission, middle), and SOF + SR101 (right) under UV light. (d) Fluorescence emission spectra and the emission color of the SOF + SR101 system at different ratios. (e) CIE chromaticity coordinates of the SOF + DBT + SR101 system at different ratios. (f) CIE chromaticity coordinates of the SOF + SR101 system at different ratios.

SR101, an efficient sequential energy transfer occurred from the SOF to DBT and then to SR101. The energy transfer efficiency of the second energy transfer was 44.5% and the antenna effect was 1.8 (Fig. S8b and S9b,† SOF : DBT : SR101 = 100 : 1 : 10), and the emission color of the mixed solution was changed from yellow to orange through the naked eye. In addition, the energy transfer between the SOF and SR101 was also investigated. There is a small overlap between the emission of the SOF and the absorption of SR101 (Fig. S7†). As shown in Fig. 3c, with the direct addition of SR101 to the SOF, the energy transfer process between the SOF and SR101 can be observed. When the ratio of SOF and SR101 is 10 : 1, the energy transfer efficiency was calculated to be 21.5%, which is much lower than the result between the SOF and DBT. This may be due to the poor energy matching between the emission of the SOF and the absorption of SR101 (Fig. S7†). More importantly, during the titration, the CIE coordinates of the SOF + SR101 system pass through the white light region (0.31, 0.32), which is close to the CIE coordinates of standard white light (0.33, 0.33) (Fig. 3f). A bright white light emission can be obtained by adjusting the ratio between the SOF and SR101 (Fig. 3d, SOF : SR101 = 100 : 8). The

result proves that the SOF + SR101 system can be employed as a white light-emitting material with potential application value.

To investigate the potential application value of the SOF + DBT + SR101 system, we try to use the harvested energy obtained by the artificial LHS to catalyze the organic reactions. The aerobic CDC reaction promoted by noble-metal catalysts is commonly reported in recent years. However, noble metal catalysts are often expensive and difficult to synthesize. Therefore, it is meaningful to realize the promotion of the CDC reaction by metal-free catalysts. Therefore, we attempt to apply the SOF + DBT + SR101 system as a catalyst for the aerobic CDC reaction in water. The UV lamp was selected as the excitation source, and the reaction was irradiated in water under air conditions for 18 h. As shown in Table S1,† with the participation of a 0.45 mol% catalytic system, the yield of the reaction reached 87%, which indicates that the SOF + DBT + SR101 system has a good catalytic effect on the CDC reaction. By comparison, as shown in Table 1, the yield of the reaction promoted by the SOF was only 50%, which indicates that SOF has a much poor catalytic effect than the SOF + DBT + SR101 system without the participation of the dyes. The efficient two-step energy transfer process greatly improves the light-use efficiency, leading to a significant increase in reaction yield. The yields of the reaction catalyzed by DBT and SR101 are 30% and 41%, which are much lower than those of the SOF + DBT + SR101 group. In addition, the CDC reaction was also carried out under a N₂ atmosphere and the yield was extremely low, which indicates the importance of the participation of O₂.

To investigate the universality of the SOF + DBT + SR101 system as a photocatalyst to promote the CDC reaction in an aqueous solution, various substrates were employed to carry out the CDC reaction. The yields of the reaction were determined by the ¹H NMR of the reaction system in CDCl₃ (Fig. S10–S21†). As shown in Table 2, the *N*-phenyl tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives bearing electron-donating groups (–CH₃ and –OCH₃) can achieve high yields (72% for **3b** and 83% for **3c**), and the *N*-

Table 1 Control experiments for the aerobic CDC reaction in water

Entry	Conditions	Light irradiation	Yield ^a [%]
1	None	Yes	23%
2	SOF	Yes	50%
3	DBT	Yes	30%
4	SR101	Yes	41%
5	SOF + DBT	Yes	78%
6	SOF + SR101	Yes	80%
7	SOF + DBT + SR101	Yes	87%
8	SOF + DBT + SR101	No	4%
9 ^b	SOF + DBT + SR101	Yes	Trace

^a Isolated yields. ^b Under a N₂ atmosphere.

artificial LHS based on a SOF and further applies it to photocatalytic reactions, which provides a new strategy for constructing artificial LHSs for photocatalysis.

Author contributions

Ying Wang: investigation, methodology, data curation, writing – original draft. Chao-Qun Ma: methodology, data curation. Xin-Long Li: methodology, data curation. Rui-Zhi Dong: methodology. Hui Liu: methodology, funding acquisition, resources. Rong-Zhou Wang: funding acquisition, resources. Shengsheng Yu: methodology, funding acquisition, resources. Ling-Bao Xing: conceptualisation, funding acquisition, project administration, resources, supervision, writing – review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (52205210 and 22005179) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2020MB018, ZR2022QE033, ZR2021QB049, and ZR2020QB113).

References

- 1 K. D. Zhang, J. Tian, D. Hanifi, Y. Zhang, A. C. Sue, T. Y. Zhou, L. Zhang, X. Zhao, Y. Liu and Z. T. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 17913–17918.
- 2 J. Tian, T. Y. Zhou, S. C. Zhang, S. Aloni, M. V. Altoe, S. H. Xie, H. Wang, D. W. Zhang, X. Zhao, Y. Liu and Z. T. Li, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 5574.
- 3 B. Yang, S. B. Yu, P. Q. Zhang, Z. K. Wang, Q. Y. Qi, X. Q. Wang, X. H. Xu, H. B. Yang, Z. Q. Wu, Y. Liu, D. Ma and Z. T. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 26268–26275.
- 4 Y. Li, Q. Li, X. Miao, C. Qin, D. Chu and L. Cao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 6744–6751.
- 5 Y. P. Wu, B. Yang, J. Tian, S. B. Yu, H. Wang, D. W. Zhang, Y. Liu and Z. T. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 13367–13370.
- 6 X. H. Wang, N. Song, W. Hou, C. Y. Wang, Y. Wang, J. Tang and Y. W. Yang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1903962.
- 7 Y. Sun, F. Guo, T. Zuo, J. Hua and G. Diao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 1–13.
- 8 H. Wu, Y. Chen, X. Dai, P. Li, J. F. Stoddart and Y. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 6583–6591.
- 9 W. C. Geng, Y. C. Liu, Y. Y. Wang, Z. Xu, Z. Zheng, C. B. Yang and D. S. Guo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **53**, 392–395.
- 10 H. Wu, Y. Chen, X. Dai, P. Li, J. F. Stoddart and Y. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 6583–6591.
- 11 H. Bai, Z. Liu, T. Zhang, J. Du, C. Zhou, W. He, J. H. C. Chau, R. T. K. Kwok, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 7552–7563.
- 12 Y. Liu, S. Li, K. Li, Y. Zheng, M. Zhang, C. Cai, C. Yu, Y. Zhou and D. Yan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 9394–9397.
- 13 I. Shulov, S. Oncul, A. Reisch, Y. Arntz, M. Collot, Y. Mely and A. S. Klymchenko, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 18198–18210.
- 14 P. Wei, X. Yan and F. Huang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 815–832.
- 15 K. Acharyya, S. Bhattacharyya, H. Sepehrpour, S. Chakraborty, S. Lu, B. Shi, X. Li, P. S. Mukherjee and P. J. Stang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 14565–14569.
- 16 P. P. Jia, L. Xu, Y. X. Hu, W. J. Li, X. Q. Wang, Q. H. Ling, X. Shi, G. Q. Yin, X. Li, H. Sun, Y. Jiang and H. B. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 399–408.
- 17 H.-Q. Peng, C.-L. Sun, L.-Y. Niu, Y.-Z. Chen, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung and Q.-Z. Yang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 5483–5489.
- 18 H. Q. Peng, J. F. Xu, Y. Z. Chen, L. Z. Wu, C. H. Tung and Q. Z. Yang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 1334–1337.
- 19 H. Liu, Z. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Y. Zhou, B. Xue, Y. Han, Y. Wang, X. Mu, S. Zang, X. Zhou and Z. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2019, **7**, 1435–1441.
- 20 J. Li, Y. Zhao, Y. Dong, Y. Yu, L. Cao and B. Wu, *CrystEngComm*, 2016, **18**, 7929–7933.
- 21 H. Liu, Q. Pan, C. Wu, J. Sun, T. Zhuang, T. Liang, X. Mu, X. Zhou, Z. Li and Y. Zhao, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2019, **3**, 1532–1537.
- 22 L. Zhang, T.-Y. Zhou, J. Tian, H. Wang, D.-W. Zhang, X. Zhao, Y. Liu and Z.-T. Li, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 4715–4721.
- 23 Y. Li, C. Qin, Q. Li, P. Wang, X. Miao, H. Jin, W. Ao and L. Cao, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2020, **8**, 1902154.
- 24 Y. Li, Y. Dong, X. Miao, Y. Ren, B. Zhang, P. Wang, Y. Yu, B. Li, L. Isaacs and L. Cao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 729–733.
- 25 J. H. H. J. Kim, W. S. Jeon, E. Lee, J. Kim, S. Sakamoto and K. Kim, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 1526–1529.
- 26 M. Pfeiffermann, R. Dong, R. Graf, W. Zajackowski, T. Gorelik, W. Pisula, A. Narita, K. Mullen and X. Feng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 14525–14532.
- 27 L. Zhang, Y. Jia, H. Wang, D.-W. Zhang, Q. Zhang, Y. Liu and Z.-T. Li, *Polym. Chem.*, 2016, **7**, 1861–1865.
- 28 X. Zhang, G. Ren, Z. He, W. Yang, H. Li, Y. Wang, Q. Pan and X. Shi, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2020, **20**, 6888–6895.
- 29 Y. Zhang, T. G. Zhan, T. Y. Zhou, Q. Y. Qi, X. N. Xu and X. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 7588–7591.
- 30 J. Liu, Y.-Q. Fan, S.-S. Song, G.-F. Gong, J. Wang, X.-W. Guan, H. Yao, Y.-M. Zhang, T.-B. Wei and Q. Lin, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2019, **7**, 11999–12007.
- 31 H. J. Lee, H. J. Kim, E. C. Lee, J. Kim and S. Y. Park, *Chem.–Asian J.*, 2018, **13**, 390–394.
- 32 J. Tian, Z. Y. Xu, D. W. Zhang, H. Wang, S. H. Xie, D. W. Xu, Y. H. Ren, H. Wang, Y. Liu and Z. T. Li, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11580.
- 33 Y. C. Zhang, P. Y. Zeng, Z. Q. Ma, Z. Y. Xu, Z. K. Wang, B. Guo, F. Yang and Z. T. Li, *Drug Delivery*, 2022, **29**, 1–9.
- 34 Z. Y. Xu, W. Mao, Z. Zhao, Z. K. Wang, Y. Y. Liu, Y. Wu, H. Wang, D. W. Zhang, Z. T. Li and D. Ma, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2022, **10**, 899–908.
- 35 W. Wang, B. Qi, X. Yu, W. Z. Li, Z. Yang, H. Zhang, S. Liu, Y. Liu and X. Q. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 2004452.

- 36 J. J. Li, Y. Chen, J. Yu, N. Cheng and Y. Liu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1701905.
- 37 D. Zhang, Y. Liu, Y. Fan, C. Yu, Y. Zheng, H. Jin, L. Fu, Y. Zhou and D. Yan, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 7652–7661.
- 38 Z. Zhang, Z. Zhao, Y. Hou, H. Wang, X. Li, G. He and M. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 8862–8866.
- 39 W.-J. Li, X.-Q. Wang, D.-Y. Zhang, Y.-X. Hu, W.-T. Xu, L. Xu, W. Wang and H.-B. Yang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 18761–18768.
- 40 C.-Q. Ma, X.-L. Li, N. Han, Y. Wang, R.-Z. Wang, S. Yu, Y.-B. Wang and L.-B. Xing, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2022, **10**, 16390–16395.
- 41 M. Hao, G. Sun, M. Zuo, Z. Xu, Y. Chen, X. Y. Hu and L. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 10095–10100.
- 42 C. J. Wu, J. J. Zhong, Q. Y. Meng, T. Lei, X. W. Gao, C. H. Tung and L. Z. Wu, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 884–887.
- 43 J.-J. Zhong, Q.-Y. Meng, G.-X. Wang, Q. Liu, B. Chen, K. Feng, C.-H. Tung and L.-Z. Wu, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 6443–6450.