

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, 12, 929

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Thermo- and light-triggered reversible interconversion of dysprosium–anthracene complexes and their responsive optical, magnetic and dielectric properties†

Xin-Da Huang, Ge-Hua Wen, Song-Song Bao, Jia-Ge Jia and Li-Min Zheng *

Artificial smart materials with switchable multifunctionality are of immense interest owing to their wide application in sensors, displays and memory devices. Lanthanide complexes are promising multifunctional materials integrating optical and magnetic characteristics. However, synergistic manipulation of different physical properties in lanthanide systems is still challenging. Herein we designed and synthesized a mononuclear complex $[\text{Dy}^{\text{III}}(\text{SCN})_3(\text{depma})_2(4\text{-hpy})_2]$ (**1**), which incorporates 9-diethylphosphonomethylanthracene (depma) as a photo-active component and 4-hydroxypyridine (4-hpy) as a polar component. This compound shows several unusual features: (a) reversible thermo-responsive phase transition associated with the order–disorder transition of 4-hpy and SCN^- , which leads to thermochromic behavior and dielectric anomaly; (b) reversible photo-induced dimerization of anthracene groups, which leads to synergistic switching of luminescence, magnetic and dielectric properties. To our knowledge, compound **1** is the first example of lanthanide complexes that show stimuli-triggered synergistic and reversible switching of luminescence, magnetic and dielectric properties.

Received 3rd September 2020
Accepted 10th November 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0sc04851h

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Artificial smart materials that possess switchable and cooperative multifunctionality have garnered considerable attention in recent years, owing to their wide application in switches, sensors, displays and memory devices.^{1–3} The responsive dynamics of these materials often involve two distinct states, which can be optical, magnetic, conductive and dielectric, that switch upon exposure to an external stimulus.^{4–7} Among various types of multifunctional smart materials, metal–organic systems combining magnetism with optical^{8–12} and/or dielectric^{13–16} properties are of particular interest because of their importance in information storage and spintronic devices. However, despite many reports concerning the coexistence of two functions, simultaneous manipulation of different physical properties has been a challenging task. For example, luminescent lanthanide-based single molecule magnets (Ln-SMMs) are

promising bifunctional materials.^{17–20} Although their SMM behavior can be sensitive to light,²¹ solvent,^{22–24} redox,^{25,26} and mechanical force,^{27,28} it is extremely difficult to modulate the luminescence and magnetism of Ln-SMMs synergistically. In particular, switchable Ln-SMMs with additional dielectric properties remain elusive.

Chemists have succeeded in designing and synthesizing a variety of smart materials. Among them, photo-controllable materials are rather attractive not only because light can provide convenient and precise operation but also due to the change of their optical properties.^{29–32} Apart from the well-known photoswitches such as diarylethenes, azobenzene and olefins, anthracene and its derivatives have potential to exhibit a reversible [4 + 4] photocycloaddition reaction in the solid state when the anthracene groups are face-to-face $\pi \cdots \pi$ interacted.³³ The reversible photocycloaddition reaction causes remarkable structural changes leading to drastic alteration of the physical properties of the corresponding materials.^{34–36} Noting that both the luminescence and SMM behavior of Ln-SMMs can be significantly affected by the structural changes arising from photochemical reactions^{37–41} and dielectric properties are related to the charge distribution of the material, we speculate that designing lanthanide complexes containing both photo-responsive and polar components can be a good solution to achieve optical, magnetic and dielectric triple switches using light as the trigger.

State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Collaborative Innovation Centre of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China. E-mail: lmzheng@nju.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, crystallographic details, and full characterization (PXRD, photoluminescence, IR and UV-vis spectra, thermal analysis, and dielectric and magnetism measurements) of all the described compounds. CCDC 2014614 and 2014615. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d0sc04851h



In this paper, we report a mononuclear complex $[\text{Dy}^{\text{III}}(\text{SCN})_3(\text{depma})_2(4\text{-hpy})_2]$ (**1**) containing both 9-diethylphosphonomethylanthracene (depma) as a photo-active component and 4-hydroxypyridine (4-hpy) as a polar component. In our design, the neutral ligand 4-hydroxypyridine was chosen due to not only its polar nature but also its capability to provide a short axial Dy–O bond as it possesses a resonant structure with the proton bound to the pyridine nitrogen atom. Three iso-thiocyanate anions and two photoactive depma ligands can afford N or O donors to occupy the five equatorial positions to complete a coordination sphere with D_{5h} symmetry for the dysprosium ion which favors SMM behavior.⁴² Furthermore, the anthracene groups of depma from the neighboring molecules are face-to-face $\pi \cdots \pi$ interacted, favoring photocycloaddition reactions.³³ Consequently, compound **1** is unique in the following aspects: (a) it shows reversible thermo-responsive phase transition associated with the order-disorder transition of 4-hpy and SCN^- , which leads to thermochromic behavior and dielectric anomaly; (b) it shows reversible photo-induced dimerization of anthracene groups, which leads to synergistic switching of luminescence, magnetic and dielectric properties; (c) it shows SMM behavior under a zero dc field with an energy barrier of 141 K, surpassing the other known dysprosium–anthracene systems which show slow magnetic relaxation only under an external dc field.^{40,41,43} As far as we are aware, compound **1** is the first example of lanthanide complexes that display thermo- and/or light-triggered synergistic and reversible switching of luminescence, magnetic and dielectric properties.

Results and discussion

Crystal structures

Complex **1** was obtained as block yellow crystals from an acetonitrile solution of as-prepared $\text{Dy}(\text{SCN})_3$, depma and 4-hpy at room temperature. Single crystal structural analyses of **1** were performed at 300 K (**1RT**) and 193 K (**1LT**), respectively. The room-temperature phase **1RT** crystallizes in a monoclinic space group $P2_1/m$ (Table S1†). The asymmetric unit is composed of 0.5 Dy^{III} , 1.5 SCN^- , one depma and two half 4-hpy. Both 4-hpy are disordered over two sites (N3 and N3' with occupancies of 0.55 and 0.46, N4 and N4A with half occupancy, and symmetry codes: $A = x, 1/2 - y, z$). The whole molecule is bisected by a mirror plane running through the Dy^{III} ion, one disordered SCN^- (S2, S2'), and one disordered 4-hpy (N3, N3') (Fig. 1a). The Dy^{III} ion is seven-coordinated with a pentagon-bipyramidal geometry slightly deviated from the ideal one [$\text{CSHM} = 0.96(4)$, Table S2†].⁴⁴ The five equatorial positions are occupied by three nitrogen atoms (N1, N1A, and N2) from three SCN^- anions and two oxygen atoms (O1 and O1A) from two depma [$\text{Dy}-\text{N}(\text{O}): 2.312(4)$ to $2.433(5)$ Å, Table S3†]. The axial sites are filled with two oxygen atoms (O4/O4' and O5/O5A) from two 4-hpy [$\text{Dy}-\text{O}: 2.246(12)/2.188(15)$ and $2.207(6)$]. The shorter axial Dy–O distances compared with the equatorial ones and the pseudo-linear arrangement of the axial atoms [$\text{O4/O4}'-\text{Dy1}-\text{O5}$ angles: $174.9(3)$ and $173.9(4)^\circ$] can enhance the magnetic anisotropy and favor the single ion magnet behavior (*vide infra*).

Upon cooling down to 193 K, the crystals of **1** cracked. Fortunately, single crystallinity remained in a few crystals, one




Fig. 1 (a and c) Molecular structures and (b and d) packing diagrams of compound **1** at 300 K (**1RT**) and 193 K (**1LT**). Weak intermolecular interactions such as $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking, hydrogen bonding and $\text{S} \cdots \text{S}$ interactions are represented by dashes lines.



of which was subjected to the structural determination. Unlike **1RT**, the low-temperature phase **1LT** crystallizes in a triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$. The two 4-hpy ligands are completely ordered, and the molecular symmetry is lowered. As a result, the coordination geometry around the dysprosium ion in **1LT** deviates significantly from that of an ideal pentagon-bipyramid ($CShM = 2.019$). Surprisingly, both the equatorial Dy–O(N) [2.347(12)–2.489(14) Å] and axial Dy–O [2.258(13), 2.251(12) Å] bond lengths in **1LT** are slightly elongated compared with those in **1RT**.

Extensive H-bonds are present in both phases between protonated pyridine nitrogen and SCN^- anions forming supramolecular layers in the ac plane for **1RT** or the ab plane for **1LT** (Fig. 1 and Table S4[†]). The layers are stacked and further stabilized by face-to-face π – π interactions of the anthracene groups. In **1RT**, there exists only one type of π – π interaction between the anthracene groups [plane-to-plane: 3.495 Å, center-to-center: 3.796 Å and nearest intermolecular C2–C9': 3.786 Å]. But in **1LT**, there are two types (plane-to-plane distance: 3.521 and 3.556 Å, center-to-center distance: 3.910 and 3.808 Å, C21–C28': 3.877 Å, and C2–C9': 3.775 Å). In both cases, the face-to-face π – π interacted anthracene groups meet the Schmidt principle for the [4 + 4] photocycloaddition reaction.^{33,45} The closest Dy...Dy distances within the H-bonded layer and between the layers are 10.081 and 13.039 Å in **1RT**, and 9.592 and 13.152 Å in **1LT**.

Thermo-induced phase transition

The fact that **1RT** and **1LT** crystallize in two different space groups demonstrates a thermo-triggered phase transition associated with the order-disorder transition of the 4-hpy and SCN^- ligands. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements on a polycrystalline sample of **1** revealed that it underwent a phase transition with an endothermic peak at *ca.* 297.5 K on heating, and an exothermic peak at *ca.* 240.2 K on cooling (Fig. 2). The thermal hysteresis ($\Delta T = 57.3$ K) is extremely large compared with other metal–organic systems associated with order-disorder phase transition.^{7,46} To our knowledge, the only examples with wider hysteresis than **1** are perovskite compounds $(Me_3NCH_2Cl)MnCl_3$ ($\Delta T = 72$ K)⁴⁷ and $(CH_3CH_2NH_3)[Mg(HCOO)_3]$ ($\Delta T = 58$ K for the first transition).⁴⁸




Fig. 2 The DSC curves of **1** collected between 213 and 313 K at a sweeping rate of 5 K min^{−1} under a 150 mL min^{−1} N₂ flow.




Fig. 3 Structural transformation from **1RT** (a) into **1LT** (b) upon cooling. The anthracene groups are omitted for clarity.

According to the endothermic peak upon heating, the enthalpy change ΔH and entropy change ΔS are estimated to be 9.05 kJ mol^{−1} and 30.5 J mol^{−1} K^{−1}, respectively. Based on the Boltzmann equation $\Delta S = R \ln(N)$, where R is the gas constant and N represents the proportion of numbers of possible orientations for the disordered system, the value N is calculated to be 30.9. Such large values of ΔS and N indicate a severe order-disorder phase transition.

A careful structural analysis reveals that the phase transition upon cooling is concomitant with not only the disorder-to-order transition of 4-hpy and SCN^- ligands, but also the rearrangements of the SCN^- and depma ligands. As shown in Fig. 3, there appears a flipping for the disordered SCN^- accompanied by remarkable changes of Dy–N–S angles from 173.8, 155.7° (av. 164.8°) in **1RT** to 144.1° in **1LT**. The other two Dy–N–S angles are 159.4 and 159.4° for **1RT**, and 151.8 and 161.9° for **1LT**. The ethyl groups of depma also rotate somehow when the temperature is decreased, as evidenced by the torsion angles of P–O–C–C. For **1RT**, there is only one crystallographically distinguished depma. The P–O–C–C torsion angles are 136.8 and 172.4°. For **1LT**, two types of depma are found and their P–O–C–C torsion angles are 142.7 and 168.7° and 168.2 and 170.4°, respectively. The latter changes more significantly. All these structural rearrangements together with the order-disorder transition of the 4-hpy and SCN^- ligands should contribute to the wide thermal hysteresis of the DSC curve and large ΔS and N values.

Moreover, the DSC curve suggests that the isolated low temperature phase can be stable up to *ca.* 290 K, thus making the characterization of both **1RT** and **1LT** at near room temperature possible. Indeed, the powder X-ray diffraction pattern of the polycrystalline sample of **1LT** obtained at 293 K is in line with the one simulated from the single crystal data obtained at 193 K except slight shifts of 2θ angles (*ca.* +0.15°) due to the difference of measuring temperature (Fig. S1[†]), thus confirming the purity of the low temperature phase.



Thermo- and light-triggered optical switch

Structural analyses revealed that the thermo-induced phase transition led to a slight change in the $\pi\cdots\pi$ stacking of the anthracene moieties, e.g. one type in **1RT** and two types in **1LT**. The phase transition is reflected by their optical properties. As shown in Fig. 4a and S2,† **1RT** emits a broad and strong band peaking at 535 nm with a lifetime of 37.3 ns (Table S5†), stemming from excimer emission through pairing of the neighbouring anthracene.⁴⁹ An identical emission spectrum is observed for **1LT** except a small hypsochromic shift of the peak (530 nm) by 5 nm owing to slightly different arrangements of the anthracene pairs. The lifetime is 44.7 ns. The spectrum can be completely reversed after warming up **1LT** above 298 K, manifesting a reversible thermochromic behaviour.

The presence of distinct $\pi\cdots\pi$ interactions between the anthracene groups favors photocycloaddition reactions. Time-dependent photoluminescence spectra were recorded by exposing a 2 mg powder sample of **1RT** to 365 nm UV light at a power of 185 mW cm⁻² for different periods of time. The peak intensity at 535 nm declines with increasing irradiation time, concomitant with the emergence of two peaks at 424 and 446 nm and two shoulder peaks at 485 and 575 nm (Fig. S3a†). The lifetimes for the peaks at 424 and 446 nm (1.2 and 1.3 ns, Table S5†) are on the nanosecond scale. They belong to the $\pi^*\text{-}\pi$ transition of dianthracene moieties after photodimerization.^{40,50} The emission peaks at 485 and 575 nm possess a microsecond lifetime (21.2 and 20.7 μ s), and are ascribed to the characteristic transition of Dy^{III} ions from ⁴F_{9/2} to ⁶H_{15/2} and ⁶H_{13/2} (Fig. 4a). Apparently the cycloaddition of face-to-face anthracene moieties raises the energy of the ³T state of the ligand center and sensitizes the f-f transition of the dysprosium ion. After 20 min of irradiation, the intensities of all peaks become constant, indicating that the photocycloaddition reaction is complete.




Fig. 4 (a) The emission spectra excited at 365 nm for **1RT**, **1LT**, **1UV** and **1R**. (b) The IR spectra of **1RT**, **1UV** and **1R**. (c) The absorption spectra of **1RT**, **1LT**, **1UV** and **1R** in the solid state. (d) The 1931 CIE graphs of **1RT**, **1LT** and **1UV**.

The time-dependent IR spectra can provide structural information of the photocycloaddition reaction. Upon 365 nm UV light irradiation, the intensities of the vibration bands peaking at 1242 and 899 cm⁻¹, assigned to the bending vibration of C-H bonds of the anthracene moieties, decline and finally disappear after 40 min (Fig. S4†).^{51,52} In contrast, a new peak emerges at 686 cm⁻¹, characteristic of dianthracene, the intensity of which reaches a constant after 40 min of irradiation. The result again supports the occurrence of a photocycloaddition reaction.

A complete photocycloaddition reaction of **1RT** would result in a one-dimensional coordination polymer, named **1UV**. To prepare **1UV** for other physical measurements, a 20 mg polycrystalline sample of **1RT** was irradiated by UV light for 20 hours to ensure a complete photocycloaddition reaction, confirmed by luminescence and IR measurements (Fig. S3† and 4b). Unfortunately, single crystals of **1RT** were cracked after UV light irradiation with the loss of single crystallinity, making it impossible to determine the single crystal structure of **1UV** (Fig. S5†). It is noted, however, that the PXRD pattern of **1UV** is distinguished from that of **1RT** but close to that of **1LT**, suggesting that the 4-hpy ligands become ordered after the photocycloaddition reaction (Fig. S6†). This surmise is supported by DSC measurements which show no peak upon heating and cooling (Fig. S7†). The observed diffraction peaks of **1UV** can be well fitted by the TOPAS program⁵³ using the same space group *P*1̄ as that of **1LT** (Fig. S8†). The resulted parameters are *a* = 8.55 Å, *b* = 11.96 Å, *c* = 25.84 Å, α = 89.85°, β = 92.26°, γ = 112.15°, and *V* = 2731.4 Å³.

The photocycloaddition reaction was also performed for **1LT** at 273 or 253 K. The product shows an identical PXRD pattern and IR and luminescence spectra to **1UV** (Fig. S6 and S9†). The results imply that UV light irradiation of both **1RT** and **1LT** results in the same photodimerized phase **1UV**.

Obviously, the photocycloaddition reaction of **1** causes a significant structural change, accompanied by a switch of its luminescence properties. The 1931 CIE (Commission Internationale de l'Éclairage) chromaticity coordinates change from (0.33, 0.55) for **1RT** to (0.32, 0.48) for **1UV** as a consequence of




Fig. 5 TGA curves of **1** (grey) and **1UV** (yellow) measured under a nitrogen flow at a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. Inset: the DSC curves for **1UV** between 25 and 140 °C at a scan speed of 5 °C min⁻¹ for two cycles.



multiple bands in the luminescence from both the ligand and Dy^{III}. The colour is yellowish green. Interestingly, the excitation of **1UV** at 270 nm leads to a nearly complete energy transfer from the ligand to Dy^{III} with the observation of two predominant emission peaks at 485 and 575 nm (Fig. S3†). Accordingly, the color changes to yellow with CIE coordinates (0.33, 0.42) (Fig. 4d).

The UV-vis absorption spectra are also different for **1RT** and **1UV** (Fig. 4c). The former shows three peaks around 207, 271 and 398 nm giving a characteristic green-yellow color attributed to the π - π^* transition of the anthracene group. Meanwhile, one weak peak at 756 nm is ascribed to the f-f transitions of the Dy^{III} ion from ${}^6\text{H}_{15/2}$ to ${}^6\text{F}_{3/2}$. For **1UV**, the absorption band between 300 and 440 nm displays several weak structural peaks while the band around 207 and 271 nm becomes very strong, in agreement with the formation of dianthracene after photodimerization.⁵⁴

The photodimerization process can be reversed by annealing of **1UV** at 120 °C for 10 min. The resultant product, **1R**, shows identical luminescence and IR and UV-vis spectra as well as the PXRD pattern to **1RT** (Fig. 4, S10 and S11†). The thermo-induced dedimerization process can be monitored by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and DSC measurements. As shown in Fig. 5, there is no weight loss for **1UV** below 160 °C. However, a sharp exothermic peak appears at 106 °C in the DSC curve (Fig. 5, inset). The enthalpy is estimated to be $-47.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ per molecule, which is comparable to those for Dy^{III}(depma₂)(NO₃)₆(hmpa)₄ ($-46.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for both de-dimerization and conformation change of depma₂),⁴⁰ and Dy₂L₂(depma₂)Cl₂ [H₂L = N¹,N³-bis(salicylideneimino)diethylene-triamine] ($-42.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$).⁵⁵

Fig. 6 highlights the structural transformation of compound **1RT** into **1LT** by cooling and into **1UV** by light irradiation, both of which are reversible by simply warming up to room temperature or annealing at 120 °C. Light illumination of **1LT** results in the same product of **1UV**, but this process is not reversible.

It is worth mentioning that only a handful metal-anthracene complexes (Ru,⁵⁶ Re,⁵⁷ Cu,³⁶ Ag,⁵⁸ Pt,³⁵ and Ln^{40,41}) are known to undergo a [4 + 4] photocycloaddition reaction upon UV

irradiation either in solution or in the solid state. Metal-organic systems showing both reversible thermochromism and photodimerization of anthracene have never been documented thus far.

Thermo- and light-triggered dielectric switch

The thermo-induced order-disorder transition of **1** can be monitored by dielectric measurements. Variable temperature dielectric spectra were recorded on a pressed powder sample of **1** in the range 193–323 K and 1.1–1328 kHz. Fig. 7 shows clearly that the real part (ϵ') of the relative permittivity of **1** remains almost constant above 260 K with a value of 26.8, corresponding to a high-dielectric state of **1RT**. Then a rapid drop is followed to a value of 26.1 at 193 K, ascribed to a transition to the low-dielectric state of **1LT**. This dielectric anomaly is reversible upon heating. The cooling and heating processes lead to an obvious hysteresis in the temperature range of 200–290 K, in agreement with the DSC result (Fig. 2 and S12†).

The dielectric response of **1** is associated with the local dynamic changes of polar components due to the dipolar orientation transformation between ordered and disordered states, as found in many other molecular phase transition systems.^{46,59,60} For the room temperature phase **1RT**, there are two kinds of 4-hpy ligands showing rotor-like and pendulum-like motions, respectively (Fig. 6). While for the low temperature phase **1LT**, the 4-hpy ligands are in the static state without disorder. The polar motion of 4-hpy can be affected by the intermolecular hydrogen bonds involving 4-hpy as well as the temperature. As shown in Fig. 1, the 4-hpy forms N-H...S hydrogen bonds with the SCN⁻ anions from neighboring molecules. Noting that these H-bond interactions in **1RT** [N...S: 2.63–3.45 Å] are comparable to or slightly stronger than those in **1LT** [N...S: 3.26–3.42 Å] (Table S4†), the polar motion of the disordered state (**1RT**) can be mainly a result of thermal agitation. No evident frequency dependence of the dielectric constant is observed, suggesting that the polar motion is much faster than 1.3 MHz.

After the photocycloaddition reaction, the ϵ' value of **1UV** drops to *ca.* 25.2 and keeps nearly constant in the whole




Fig. 6 Structural transformation among **1RT**, **1LT** and **1UV**. A proposed structure of **1UV** is presented for clarity.




Fig. 7 Temperature-dependent dielectric constants for **1**, **1UV** and **1R** in 193–323 K at a frequency of 1328 kHz.



measured temperature range. The result manifests that the relative permittivity ϵ' of **1** is reduced and its thermo-induced dielectric transition behavior is switched off after the photochemical reaction. The DSC measurement also supports this conclusion, which shows no thermal anomaly in the range 193–323 K (Fig. S7†). Interestingly, annealing of **1UV** leads to the recovery of the pristine phase (**1R**), accompanied by the switch-on of the thermo-induced dielectric anomaly (Fig. 7).

Notably, although thermo-induced dielectric switch was observed in a number of molecular systems,^{7,46} there are only two compounds reported exhibiting light-triggered dielectric switch. One is a diarylethene derivative having imidazoline rings which isomerizes between open- and closed-ring isomers upon light irradiation,^{64a} and the other is a zinc–olefin coordination polymer showing a [2 + 2] photocycloaddition reaction.^{64b} Thus compound **1** provides the first example of metal–organic complexes showing both thermo- and light-triggered dielectric switches.

Light-triggered magnetic switch

The dc susceptibilities were measured for **1** in the temperature range of 300–2 K. The $\chi_{\text{M}}T$ product is 14.14 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 300 K (Fig. 8), in accordance with the expected value of 14.17 cm³ K mol⁻¹ for one isolated Dy^{III} ion (⁶H_{15/2}, $S = 5/2$, $L = 5$, and $g_{\text{J}} = 4/3$). Upon lowering the temperature, it slightly decreases to a minimum of 12.81 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 14 K, ascribed to the depopulation of Stark sublevels. The upturn of $\chi_{\text{M}}T$ below 14 K is indicative of dominant ferromagnetic interaction between Dy^{III} ions.⁶² Notably, the change of $\chi_{\text{M}}T$ in the temperature range 240–300 K associated with the order–disorder phase transition is not distinct. Magnetization measurement at 2 K reveals a rapid increase below 10 kOe followed by a gradual increase up to 5.21 μ_{B} (Fig. S13 and S14†). The value is far from the saturated value of 10 μ_{B} , attributed to the presence of magnetic anisotropy and/or low lying excited states which is further ensured by the non-superposition of the M vs. H/T plots at different temperatures (Fig. S14†).⁶³ Remarkably, a butterfly shaped hysteresis loop is observed at 2.5 K or lower (Fig. 9a), implying a SMM behavior.




Fig. 8 The $\chi_{\text{M}}T$ vs. T plots for **1RT**, **1UV** and **1R** measured under a 1 kOe dc field.

The alternating current (ac) susceptibility measurements of **1** demonstrate evident frequency (ν) dependent in-phase (χ') and out-of-phase (χ'') ac susceptibilities under a zero dc field (Fig. 9b and S15†). The ac susceptibility curves and Cole–Cole plots (Fig. 9c) were fitted to a generalized Debye model to extract the relaxation time (τ) and distribution coefficient α (Table S6†).^{64,65} The $\ln \tau$ vs. T^{-1} plot can be well fitted by eqn (1)⁶⁶ which contains quantum tunneling of magnetization (QTM), and Raman-like and Orbach relaxation processes, and the resultant parameters are given in Table 1.

$$\tau^{-1} = \tau_{\text{QTM}}^{-1} + CT^n + \tau^{-1} \exp(-U_{\text{eff}}/k_{\text{B}}T) \quad (1)$$

The best fit leads to an energy barrier (U_{eff}) of 141 K ($\tau_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{-9}$ s). When an external field of 1 kOe is applied, the QTM process is suppressed (Fig. S16 and S17; Tables S7 and S8†). The $\ln \tau$ vs. T^{-1} plot can be fitted by considering Raman-like and Orbach processes,⁶⁷ leading to parameters $U_{\text{eff}} = 181$ K and $\tau_0 = 4.0 \times 10^{-11}$. The energy barrier is increased by ca. 40 K compared to that obtained under a zero dc field. It is about nine times higher than that of Dy^{III}(NO₃)₃(depma)(hmpa)₂ ($U_{\text{eff}} = 20.4$ K),⁴⁰ demonstrating significantly improved SMM performance when the Dy^{III} ion is placed in a D_{5h} environment with a short Dy–O axial distance.

Dramatic magnetic changes are observed when **1** is subjected to UV light irradiation. As shown in Fig. 8, although the overall profiles of $\chi_{\text{M}}T$ vs. T are quite similar for **1UV** and **1**, the $\chi_{\text{M}}T$ value declines more quickly upon cooling for **1UV** than that for **1**. Furthermore, the hysteresis loop is not obvious for **1UV** down to 2 K (Fig. 9d), unlike compound **1** which shows butterfly hysteresis below 2.5 K. The ac susceptibilities of **1UV** also show frequency dependent signals under a zero dc field, but the peaks in the $\chi''(\nu)$ curves appear at much higher frequencies than those for **1** at the same temperature (Fig. 9e, S18–S20 and Tables S9–S11†). The energy barriers for **1UV** are 101 K under a zero dc field and 123 K at 1 kOe, both are much lower than those for **1**. Clearly, photo-dimerization of the anthracene groups in **1** results in a reduction of the magnetization reversal barrier and the acceleration of the magnetic relaxation, which can be related to the symmetry lowering around the Dy^{III} ion after the photocycloaddition reaction. Interestingly, annealing of **1UV** leads to **1R** with the recovery of the butterfly-like hysteresis loop (Fig. 9g) and the ac profile (Fig. 9h) with an energy barrier of 153 K under a zero dc field (Fig. S21 and Table S12†), implying that the photo-induced magnetic switching is reversible.

Reversible light-responsive SMMs with a high energy barrier and open magnetic hysteresis loop are anxiously desired for their applications in molecular spintronics and devices. As shown in Table S13,† photo-induced reversible magnetic switches were achieved only in three Dy complexes so far,^{21,39,40} among which [Dy(Tp^{PV})F(L_c)](PF₆) is the only one showing a light-modulable butterfly-like hysteresis loop in response to the photoisomerization of the bridging dithienylethene ligand. But the energy barrier remains the same (225.9 K, 157 cm⁻¹) before and after light irradiation ($\Delta U_{\text{eff}} = 0$).²¹ Compound **1**, which also shows a butterfly-like hysteresis loop below 2.5 K,






Fig. 9 (a, d and g) The hysteresis curves, (b, e and h) out-of-phase ac susceptibilities (χ''), and (c, f and i) corresponding Cole–Cole plots for **1** (a–c), **1UV** (d–f) and **1R** (g–i).

Table 1 The magnetic parameters for **1**, **1UV** and **1R**

Compound	$\chi_M T / \text{cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$	M / μ_B	$U_{\text{eff}} / \text{K}$	$\tau_0 / 10^{-9} \text{ s}$	$C / \text{K}^{-n} \text{ s}^{-1}$	n	$\tau_{\text{QTM}} / \text{s}$
1	14.14 (300 K)	5.21 (2 K, 7 T)	141 (0 Oe)	1.1	0.3	3.4	0.013
	12.92 (2 K)		181 (1 kOe)	0.04	2.4×10^{-4}	6.4	—
1UV	14.09 (300 K)	5.18 (2 K, 7 T)	101 (0 Oe)	1.0	1.5	3.9	0.0014
	12.50 (2 K)		123 (1 kOe)	1.0	4.7	7.2	—
1R	14.12 (300 K)	5.18 (2 K, 7 T)	153 (0 Oe)	0.4	0.18	3.6	0.013
	12.78 (2 K)		—	—	—	—	—

has an advantage over $[\text{Dy}(\text{Tp}^{\text{Py}})\text{F}(\text{Lc})](\text{PF}_6)$ in the big difference of the energy barrier before and after light irradiation ($\Delta U_{\text{eff}} = 40 \text{ K}$). Moreover, its photoluminescence and dielectric properties can be modulated by thermal or light treatment. All these characteristics make compound **1** stand out in the responsive Ln-SMMs.

Conclusions

In summary, we successfully designed a new lanthanide-based single molecule magnet, $[\text{Dy}^{\text{III}}(\text{SCN})_3(\text{depma})_2(4\text{-hpy})_2]$ (**1**), which combines the SMM behavior with photo-reactivity and polar characteristics. The compound shows thermo-responsive phase transition associated with the order–disorder transition of 4-hpy and SCN^- leading to reversible thermochromic behavior and dielectric anomaly and light-responsive photo-dimerization of anthracene groups leading to reversible and

synergistic switching of luminescence, magnetic and dielectric properties. Simultaneous manipulation of tri-functions appears extremely rare in molecular systems, and the current work provides a feasible strategy for the design of multifunctional molecular switches, especially those with more than two functions. The work may also shed light on the development of smart molecular materials with reconfigurable properties for applications in molecular devices and sensors.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFA0303203 and 2018YFA0306004) and



the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21731003). We thank Dr Diming Xu at Peking University for valuable discussions.

Notes and references

- M. A. C. Stuart, W. T. S. Huck, J. Genzer, M. Muller, C. Ober, M. Stamm, G. B. Sukhorukov, I. Szleifer, V. V. Tsukruk, M. Urban, F. Winnik, S. Zauscher, I. Luzinov and S. Minko, *Nat. Mater.*, 2010, **9**, 101–113.
- O. Sato, *Nat. Chem.*, 2016, **8**, 644–656.
- F. Bigdeli, C. T. Lollar, A. Morsali and H.-C. Zhou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 4652–4669.
- P. Chen, Q. Li, S. Grindy and N. Holten-Andersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 11590–11593.
- E. Coronado, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2020, **5**, 87–104.
- S. Garg, H. Schwartz, M. Kozłowska, A. B. Kanj, K. Mgller, W. Wenzel, U. Ruschewitz and L. Heinke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 1193–1197.
- W. Zhang and R.-G. Xiong, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 1163–1195.
- M. Arczyński, J. Stanek, B. Sieklucka, K. R. Dunbar and D. Pinkowicz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 19067–19077.
- Y.-S. Meng, O. Sato and T. Liu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 12216–12226.
- J. Yuan, S.-Q. Wu, M.-J. Liu, O. Sato and H.-Z. Kou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 9426–9433.
- M. M. Paquette, D. Plaul, A. Kurimoto, B. O. Patrick and N. L. Frank, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 14990–15000.
- J.-Y. Ge, Z. Chen, L. Zhang, X. Liang, J. Su, M. Kurmoo and J.-L. Zuo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 8789–8793.
- Y. Tian, S. Shen, J. Cong, L. Yan, S. Wang and Y. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 782–785.
- G.-C. Xu, W. Zhang, X.-M. Ma, Y.-H. Chen, L. Zhang, H.-L. Cai, Z.-M. Wang, R.-G. Xiong and S. Gao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 14948–14951.
- (a) P.-P. Shi, Q. Ye, Q. Li, H.-T. Wang, D.-W. Fu, Y. Zhang and R.-G. Xiong, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 6042–6049; (b) D. Li, X.-M. Zhao, H.-X. Zhao, L.-S. Long and L.-S. Zheng, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 655–662.
- (a) Y.-X. Wang, W. Shi, H. Li, Y. Song, L. Fang, Y. Lan, A. K. Powell, W. Wernsdorfer, L. Ungur, L. F. Chibotaru, M. Shen and P. Cheng, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 3366–3370; (b) B. Huang, J.-Y. Zhang, R.-K. Huang, M.-K. Chen, W. Xue, W.-X. Zhang, M.-H. Zeng and X.-M. Chen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 7413–7418.
- D. N. Woodruff, R. E. P. Winpenny and R. A. Layfield, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 5110–5148.
- F. Pointillart, B. Guennic, O. Cador, O. Maury and L. Ouahab, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 2834–2842.
- (a) J. Long, Y. Guari, R. A. S. Ferreira, L. D. Carlos and J. Larionova, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **363**, 57–70; (b) J.-H. Jia, Q.-W. Li, Y.-C. Chen, J.-L. Liu and M.-L. Tong, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **378**, 365–381.
- R. Marin, G. Brunet and M. Murugesu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201910299.
- M. Hojorot, H. A. Sabea, L. Norel, K. Bernot, T. Roisnel, F. Gendron, B. L. Guennic, E. Trzop, E. Collet, J. R. Long and S. Rigaut, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 931–936.
- Y. Xin, J. Wang, M. Zychowicz, J. J. Zakrzewski, K. Nakabayashi, B. Sieklucka, S. Chorazy and S.-i. Ohkoshi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 18211–18220.
- X. Zhang, V. Vieru, X. Feng, J.-L. Liu, Z. Zhang, B. Na, W. Shi, B.-W. Wang, A. K. Powell, L. F. Chibotaru, S. Gao, P. Cheng and J. R. Long, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 9861–9865.
- H. Tian, J.-B. Su, S.-S. Bao, M. Kurmoo, X.-D. Huang, Y.-Q. Zhang and L.-M. Zheng, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 6424–6433.
- J. D. Rinehart, M. Fang, W. J. Evans and J. R. Long, *Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3**, 538–542.
- C. M. Dickie, A. L. Laughlin, J. D. Wofford, N. S. Bhuvanasha and M. Nippe, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 8039–8049.
- W.-B. Chen, Y.-C. Chen, J.-L. Liu, J.-H. Jia, L.-F. Wang, Q.-W. Li and M.-L. Tong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 8730–8734.
- X.-D. Huang, M. Kurmoo, S.-S. Bao, K. Fan, Y. Xu, Z.-B. Hu and L.-M. Zheng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 3278–3281.
- D.-H. Qu, Q.-C. Wang, Q.-W. Zhang, X. Ma and H. Tian, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 7543–7588.
- A. M. Rice, C. R. Martin, V. A. Galitskiy, A. A. Berseneva, G. A. Leith and N. B. Shustova, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 8790–8813.
- J. J. Vittal and H. S. Quah, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 7120–7140.
- S.-L. Huang, T. S. A. Hor and G.-X. Jin, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **346**, 112–122.
- G. M. J. Schmidt, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1971, **27**, 647–678.
- M. Tu, H. Reinsch, S. Rodríguez-Hermida, R. Verbeke, T. Stassin, W. Egger, M. Dickmann, B. Dieu, J. Hofkens, I. F. J. Vankelecom, N. Stock and R. Ameloot, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 2423–2427.
- Q. Yu, M. Li, J. Gao, P. Xu, Q. Chen, D. Xing, J. Yan, M. J. Zaworotko, J. Xu, Y. Chen, P. Cheng and Z. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 18634–18640.
- M. Castellano, J. Ferrando-Soria, E. Pardo, M. Julve, F. Lloret, C. Mathonière, J. Pasán, C. Ruiz-Pérez, L. Cañadillas-Delgado, R. Ruiz-García and J. Cano, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 11035–11037.
- L.-F. Wang, J.-Z. Qiu, Y.-C. Chen, J.-L. Liu, Q.-W. Li, J.-H. Jia and M.-L. Tong, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **4**, 1311–1318.
- H. Meng, C. Zhao, M. Nie, C. Wang and T. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 32607–32612.
- Y.-J. Ma, J.-X. Hu, S.-D. Han, J. Pan, J.-H. Li and G.-M. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 2682–2689.
- X.-D. Huang, Y. Xu, K. Fan, S.-S. Bao, M. Kurmoo and L.-M. Zheng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 8577–8581.
- X.-D. Huang, J.-G. Jia, M. Kurmoo, S.-S. Bao and L.-M. Zheng, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 13769–13779.
- J.-L. Liu, Y.-C. Chen and M.-L. Tong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 2431–2453.
- Q. Zou, X.-D. Huang, J.-C. Liu, S.-S. Bao and L.-M. Zheng, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 2735–2740.
- (a) M. Pinsky and D. Avnir, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 5575–5582; (b) D. Casanova, P. Alemany, J. M. Bofill and S. Alvarez, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 1281–1295.



- 45 I. Zouev, D.-K. Cao, T. V. Sreevidya, M. Telzhensky, M. Botoshanskya and M. Kaftory, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 4376–4381.
- 46 C. Shi, X.-B. Ha and W. Zhang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **378**, 561–576.
- 47 Q. Wang, Y.-W. Zhang, W.-Y. Zhang, P.-P. Shi, Q. Ye and D.-W. Fu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, **7**, 2994–3002.
- 48 R. Shang, G.-C. Xu, Z.-M. Wang and S. Gao, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 1146–1158.
- 49 H.-C. Liu, Y. Gao and B. Yang, *Chin. Sci. Bull.*, 2017, **62**, 4099–4112.
- 50 P. Payamyar, K. Kaja, C. Ruiz-Vargas, A. Stemmer, D. J. Murray, C. J. Johnson, B. T. King, F. Schifmann, J. VandeVondele, A. Renn, S. Götzinger, P. Ceroni, A. Schütz, L.-T. Lee, Z. Zheng, J. Sakamoto and A. D. Schlüter, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 2052–2058.
- 51 R. Bhola, P. Payamyar, D. J. Murray, B. Kumar, A. J. Teator, M. U. Schmidt, S. M. Hammer, A. Saha, J. Sakamoto, A. D. Schlüter and B. T. King, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 14134–14141.
- 52 N. Huang, X. Ding, J. Kim, H. Ihee and D. Jiang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 8704–8707.
- 53 *TOPAS, Version 5.0*, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2014.
- 54 S. R. Jezowski, L. Zhu, Y. Wang, A. P. Rice, G. W. Scott, C. J. Bardeen and E. L. Chronister, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 7459–7466.
- 55 J.-C. Liu, X.-D. Huang, Q. Zou, S.-S. Bao, X.-Z. Wang, J.-Y. Ma and L.-M. Zheng, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2020, **8**, 7369–7377.
- 56 (a) T. D. Trouts, D. S. Tyson, R. Pohl, D. V. Kozlov, A. G. Waldron and F. N. Castellano, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2003, **13**, 398–402; (b) V. Ferri, M. Scoponi, C. A. Bigozzi, D. S. Tyson, F. N. Castellano, H. Doyle and G. Redmond, *Nano Lett.*, 2004, **4**, 835–839; (c) E. E. Karslyan, A. I. Konovalov, A. O. Borissova, P. V. Petrovskii and A. R. Kudinov, *Mendeleev Commun.*, 2011, **21**, 309–331.
- 57 A. Beyeler, P. Belser and L. De Cola, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1997, **36**, 2779–2781.
- 58 F. Spinelli, S. d'Agostino, P. Taddei, C. D. Jones, J. W. Steed and F. Grepioni, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 5725–5733.
- 59 P.-P. Shi, Y.-Y. Tang, P.-F. Li, W.-Q. Liao, Z.-X. Wang, Q. Ye and R.-G. Xiong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 3811–3827.
- 60 H.-Y. Zhang, Y.-Y. Tang, P.-P. Shi and R.-G. Xiong, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 1928–1938.
- 61 (a) M. Morimoto and M. Irie, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 4186–4188; (b) J.-Y. Sima, H.-X. Li, D. J. Young, P. Braunstein and J.-P. Lang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 3532–3535.
- 62 A. B. Canaj, M. K. Singh, E. R. Marti, M. Damjanović, C. Wilson, O. Céspedes, W. Wernsdorfer, G. Rajaraman and M. Murrie, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 5950–5953.
- 63 K. L. M. Harriman, J. L. Brosmer, L. Ungur, P. L. Diaconescu and M. Murugesu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 1420–1423.
- 64 K. S. Cole and R. H. Cole, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1941, **9**, 341–351.
- 65 Y.-N. Guo, G.-F. Xu, Y. Guo and J. Tang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 9953–9963.
- 66 G. Brunet, R. Marin, M.-J. Monk, U. Resch-Genger, D. A. Gállico, F. A. Sigoli, E. A. Sutura, E. Hemmer and M. Murugesu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 6799–6808.
- 67 K. R. McClain, C. A. Gould, K. Chakarawet, S. J. Teat, T. J. Groshens, J. R. Long and B. G. Harvey, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 8492–8503.

