

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2020,
1, 2467

Luminescence in external dopant-free scandium-phosphorus vanadate solid solution: a spectroscopic and theoretical investigation

Fengwen Kang,^a Yongping Du,^{cd} Philippe Boutinaud,^e Guohuan Sun,^f
Xicheng Wang,^g Jian Lu^b and Sanshui Xiao^{*,a}

Spectrally tunable inorganic solid solutions discovered in the past few years generally cannot show spectral tuning properties without using either external rare earth (RE) (e.g., Eu^{2+} , Ce^{3+}) and non-RE (e.g., Mn^{2+} , Bi^{3+}) ions, or the coupling arrangement of these RE/non-RE ions as luminescent activator(s). Herein, we report a type of external dopant-free $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 1.0$) solid solution that exhibits color tuning, enhanced photoluminescence (PL) intensity, and improved thermally induced PL quenching stability. Our powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) and PL findings show that a gradual substitution of larger V^{5+} ions with smaller P^{5+} ions not only leads to the decrease of lattice parameters $a(b)c$ and Sc–O bond lengths and the shrinkage of the cell volume (V), but also causes an initial redshift of the emission position from 495 nm to 524 nm as x increases to 0.2 followed by a subsequent blueshift back to 457 nm for $0.2 < x \leq 0.9$. Meanwhile, an enhancement of $\sim 40\%$ of room temperature emission intensity at $x = 0.3$, along with improved quantum efficiency (QE) and thermal PL quenching after adding an appropriate amount of P^{5+} ions, is observed in the $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 0.9$) solid solutions. Through a combined analysis and discussion of the density functional theory (DFT) and dielectric electronegativity calculations as well as the UV-vis diffuse reflectance and temperature-dependent PL spectral measurements, we reveal that the origin of this unusual yet regular spectral tuning and the improved PL properties mostly arises from the interplay of the bandgap energy adjustment, the bond covalency regulation, and the possible presence of a significant amount of oxygen vacancies, together with the closing structural rigidity caused by the lattice microenvironment modification. This work can provide insights into designing and discovering new external dopant-free inorganic solid solutions with color tunability, improved emission intensity and thermally induced PL quenching behavior, and allow gaining better understanding on how the key parameters govern the spectral tunability in virgin (or doped) zircon crystal systems at the same time.

Received 20th June 2020,
Accepted 8th September 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ma00438c

rsc.li/materials-advances

1. Introduction

Due to the merit of the flexible spectral wavelength selection for the device designer (e.g., the phosphor-converted white LED designer), spectrally tunable inorganic solid solutions, formed by the modification of the host isostructural ions that feature the same valence yet different ion radii at the same coordination number (CN), are now receiving growing attention, but almost all of the previously reported solid solutions cannot exhibit their spectral tuning when they lack a rare-earth (RE) and non-RE ion (e.g., Eu^{2+} , Ce^{3+} , Mn^{2+} , Bi^{3+} etc.) as the luminescent center, or the activators coupled by arrangement of these RE and/or non-RE ions.^{1–7} Besides, some solid solutions, such as $(\text{La,Gd})\text{Sr}_2\text{AlO}_5:\text{Ce}^{3+}$,¹ $\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Li}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{Si}_{1+x}\text{N}_3:\text{Eu}^{2+}$,² $\text{La}_2\text{Mg}_{(1-w)}\text{Zn}_w\text{TiO}_6:\text{Bi}^{3+},\text{Mn}^{4+}$,⁴ and $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x)_{16}\text{Si}_{17}\text{N}_{34}:\text{Eu}^{2+}$,⁶ are found to show unexpected photoluminescence (PL) properties, which include, for instance, the

^a DTU Fotonik, Department of Photonics Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark. E-mail: kangfengwen0597@126.com, saxi@fotonik.dtu.dk

^b Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Department of Mechanical Engineering, City University of Hong Kong (CityU), 83 Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

^c Department of Physics, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark

^d Department of Applied Physics and Institution of Energy and Microstructure, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, Jiangsu, China

^e Université Clermont Auvergne, SIGMA Clermont, Institut de Chimie de Clermont-Ferrand, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

^f The State Key Laboratory of Experimental Hematology, SKLEH, Institute of Hematology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Tianjin 300020, China

^g Key Laboratory for Special Function Materials and Structural Design of the Ministry of Education, National and Local Joint Engineering Laboratory for Optical Conversion Materials and Technology, School of Physical Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

In this work, we have prepared and characterized structurally and spectroscopically external dopant-free scandium-phosphorus vanadate solid solutions, *i.e.*, $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 1.0$). We show that the PL properties of $\text{ScP}_x\text{V}_{1-x}\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 0.9$) are very peculiar since: (1) emission is observed at room temperature, which is not expected in zircon orthovanadates, and (2) the emission position is found to first switch to longer wavelengths as x increases up to 0.3 and then tune back to shorter wavelengths as x increases further. This unusual behavior is investigated in detail based on Rietveld XRD refinement, bandgap energies and environmental factor calculations based respectively upon density functional theory (DFT) and dielectric theory of electronegativity, as well as UV-vis diffuse reflectance and temperature-dependent PL spectral measurements. The origin of this anomaly can allow gaining better insight into the key parameters governing the spectral tunability of virgin (or doped) zircon crystal systems.

The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected from a Rigaku D/max-III A X-ray diffractometer using Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5405 \text{ \AA}$, $1.2^\circ \text{ min}^{-1}$) and a scanning rate of $1.2^\circ \text{ min}^{-1}$ in the 2θ range of $10\text{--}90^\circ$. To understand better the dependence relationship between the lattice structural variation and the P/V substitution ratio, we analyzed further the raw XRD data by using a FullProf Suite program. The excitation and emission spectra at room and high temperature were recorded on a Hitachi F-7000 spectrophotometer equipped with a 150 W xenon lamp as the excitation source. During the spectral collection, we kept the emission and excitation slits to 2.0 nm and 2.5 nm, respectively. The internal quantum efficiency (IQE) and external quantum efficiency (EQE) values were measured using a home-

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2020




Fig. 1 (a and b) Double cell X $[-1 \dots +1]$ of the ScVO₄ and ScPO₄ isostructural crystals based on the ICSD file no. 78074 and no. 74483, respectively, from which we can clearly see the coordination environments of P⁵⁺ and Sc³⁺ cations and that the [ScO₆] and [PO₄] polyhedral structures share the same oxygen atoms or the same oxygen edges; (c) The Sc–O and V–O bond lengths of ScPO₄ and ScVO₄ based on the ICSD file no. 78074 (i) and no. 74483 (vi), as well as the average lengths of Sc–O and V–O bonds achieved from the ScVO_{4-x} crystal (ii),⁴³ and the dependence of the average Sc–O and V/P–O bond length variation on the x value in the as-obtained Sc(P_xV_{1-x})O₄ (0.0 ≤ x ≤ 1) solid solutions (iii–v). Noted that the average interatomic Sc–O and V/P–O distances in (ii), (iii) and (v) were calculated using Vesta software and the atomic positions we used for establishing the model were based on the refined XRD atomic positions. Since the Vesta modeling process does not consider other factors such as the XRD pattern backgrounds, scale factors, and peak shapes, the calculated Sc–O and V/P–O distances are a little shorter than that derived from the refined data, but they are all correct and fine results.

built PL quantum yield (QY) collection system which used a 266 nm UV laser (Jewel Laser series) as the excitation source and equipped with an integrating sphere connected to an optical

spectrometer. The UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra of the solid solutions were recorded on a Hitachi U-4100 UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer by using BaSO₄ as a reference.

3. Theoretical details

Our first-principle calculations were performed within the framework of DFT using the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP).⁴⁶ A kinetic energy cutoff of 400 eV was used for the plane wave basis set expansion and $2\pi \times 0.1 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ *k*-spacing had been chosen to sample the Brillouin zone. Lattice dynamical properties were calculated with projector-augmented plane-wave (PAW) potentials⁴⁷ with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) parametrization.⁴⁸ The total energy and forces were converged to smaller than 10^{-7} eV and 10^{-2} eV $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ during the structural relaxation. To obtain an accurate bandgap, we also used the Heyd–Scuseria–Ernzerhof (HSE)⁴⁹ screened hybrid functional. We adopted virtual crystal approximation (VCA) to describe the V–P alloying.

A convenient manner to obtain information on the degree of covalency of a chemical bond in a crystal lattice is through calculating the environmental factor $he(X)$ experienced by a cation site X of interest. The methodology derived from the dielectric theory of electronegativity^{50–52} that had been applied successfully to a wide variety of physical problems in the years 60–70 before being extended to phosphors at the end of the 90's^{53–56} and more recently in ref. 57. Following these models, we have the formula:

$$he_{CN}(X) = \sqrt{\sum_1^{CN} f_{c(X-L)} \alpha_{(X-L)} Q_L^{2'}}$$

where CN is the coordination number of the cation; X, L represent ligands (*i.e.*, oxygen in our case); $f_{c(X-L)}$ and $\alpha_{(X-L)}$ represent respectively the fractional covalency and the volume polarization of each individual chemical bond separating cation X from its nearby ligands L in binary units of type X_mL_n to which the initial host lattice must first be decomposed; Q_L is the effective charge carried by ligand L in each given X_mL_n unit. Q_L is calculated as $(n/m) \cdot Q_X$, where Q_X is the effective charge of cation X. This charge was taken as the bond valence sum of atom X in its polyhedron as calculated using the facilities provided in VESTA software.⁵⁸ The necessary values of the bond valence parameters were obtained from ref. 59 *i.e.*, 1.849 for Sc^{3+} , 1.803 for V^{5+} and 1.604 for P^{5+} . The procedure for decomposing a crystal system and calculating the sum of individual chemical bonds was described in detail in ref. 53, 54 and 57. Accordingly, the $ScVO_4$ crystal was decomposed into $Sc(1/8)-O(8/3) + V-O(4/3)$, and the $ScPO_4$ crystal was decomposed into $Sc(1/8)-O(8/3) + P-O(4/3)$. All details relative to the calculation of $he(X)$ can be found in ref. 54 and 57 and will not be reproduced here for the sake of brevity.

4. Results

4.1 Analysis of structural phase-purity

The XRD patterns of $Sc(P_xV_{1-x})O_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 1.0$) (Fig. 2a) confirm the successful formation of continuous solid solutions that are crystallized in the zircon-type structure with a space group of $I4_1/amd$. As expected, the substitution of larger V^{5+}

ions with smaller P^{5+} ions causes the shift of the diffraction position to a higher angle (Fig. 2b), revealing the shrinkage of the crystal lattice cell. The d_{hkl} values corresponding to the plane spacing (020) reflection are compiled in Table 1 and Fig. 2c(i), which are evaluated by the Bragg equation of $2d \sin \theta = \lambda$ (where λ is the X-ray wavelength, and θ is the diffraction angle).^{25,26} All the raw XRD data are refined further using the Rietveld refining method, and four typical refined XRD patterns are shown in Fig. 3, *i.e.*, the $Sc(P_xV_{1-x})O_4$ ($x = 0.2$ (Fig. 3a), 0.4 (Fig. 3b), 0.7 (Fig. 3c), and 0.9 (Fig. 3d)). The refined lattice parameters $a(b)c$ and cell volumes (V) are compiled in Table 1, which, together with the refined final reliability factors, reveal that our refined results are desirable. The P^{5+} content dependent lattice parameters $a(b)c$ and V values can be linearly fitted (Fig. 2c(ii–iv)), revealing the regular variation of the crystal structure as the V^{5+} ions are replaced by the P^{5+} ions. Based on our refined parameters, we have re-drawn the crystal structure (Fig. 1b). Obviously, it is the same as that of Fig. 1a. Moreover, with Fig. 1c, we can also know how the $V \cdots O \cdots Sc \cdots O \cdots V$, $V/P \cdots O \cdots Sc \cdots O \cdots V/P$ and $P \cdots O \cdots Sc \cdots O \cdots P$ bond lengths change in the $Sc(P_xV_{1-x})O_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 1.0$) solid solutions with a substitution of larger V^{5+} ions with smaller P^{5+} ions.

4.2 Density functional theory (DFT) calculations and reflectivity spectra

Fig. 4a shows the results of density of state (DOS) calculations for the energy region associated with the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) of $Sc(P_xV_{1-x})O_4$ ($x = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8$) solid solutions. For bulk $ScPO_4$, the top of the VB and the bottom of the CB mainly consist of the O(2p) states with non-bonding character and the Sc(3d) empty states. The incorporation of V atoms results in the formation of antibonding V(3d) states at the bottom of the CB. These V(3d) states are strongly mixed with the Sc(3d) states, and their contributions become readily prominent as the V amount increases. The P(3p) states only weakly contribute to the bottom of the CB and can be neglected. The DFT-optimized parameters $a(b)c$ and cell volumes (V) are listed in Table 1, the tendency of which is consistent with that of the Rietveld refined parameters. The electronic bandgap (E_g) energies of $Sc(P_xV_{1-x})O_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 1.0$) and the corresponding DOS position maxima for Sc(3d), V(3d), P(3p) and O(2p) states are given in eV in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Our first-principles calculations reveal that a gradual substitution of V^{5+} ions with P^{5+} ions leads to a gradual increase of E_g energies (Table 2). Typically, the tendency of the E_g energies experiences a relatively flat increase with a $P(x)$ content of less or equal to 0.2. But after that the E_g energy shows a fairly rapid growth as the $P(x)$ content increases further. Although two DFT methods (*i.e.*, PBE, and HSE06) have been adopted to calculate the E_g energies and the E_g values derived from the HSE06 functional (Fig. 4b, curve 2) are larger than those from the PBE functional (Fig. 4b, curve 1), the variation tendency of E_g is on the whole the same. Besides, the VCA simulation matches well the tendency reported in our previous work²⁶ that used supercells to simulate the V–P alloying. To understand better this variation relationship and the differences of E_g values






Fig. 2 (a) XRD patterns of the Sc(P_xV_{1-x})O₄ (0.0 ≤ x ≤ 1) solid solutions; (b) Enlarged XRD patterns within the range of 25–28°; (c) Experimentally calculated d_{hkl} values for the plane spacing (020) reflection (i), Rietveld refined lattice cell parameters $a(b)$ (ii), c (iii), and cell volume (V) (iv) of the as-obtained solid solutions as a function of P⁵⁺ (x) content.

Table 1 Rietveld refined parameters $a(b)$ and c and cell volumes (V), and final reliability factors of Sc(P_xV_{1-x})O₄ (0.0 ≤ x ≤ 1) solid solutions, where the standard data, referenced from ICSD file no. 78073 (for ScVO₄) and file no. 74483 (for ScPO₄), are also incorporated. Meanwhile, the d_{hkl} values for the plane (020) reflection, the refined average Sc–O bond lengths and the corresponding covalence f_c of Sc–O bonds, as well as the DFT-optimized parameters $a(b)$ and c , have been also incorporated in this table

	Rietveld refined XRD parameters												DFT-Optimized $a(b)$ and c values	
X	$a(b)/\text{\AA}$	$c/\text{\AA}$	$V/\text{\AA}^3$	$R_p^a/\%$	$R_{wp}^b/\%$	$R_{exp}^c/\%$	$R_{Bragg}^d/\%$	$R_F^e/\%$	Chi2	(020)/°	$d_{hkl}/\text{\AA}$	Sc-O/Å	$a(b)/\text{\AA}$	$c/\text{\AA}$
No. 78073	6.78041	6.13451	282.03	— ^f	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6.81615	6.14763
0.0	6.77894	6.13225	281.80	19.6	13.3	11.63	3.279	2.764	1.41	26.265	3.3901	2.3027	6.80319	6.11607
0.1	6.75879	6.08848	278.13	19.5	12.8	12.49	2.798	2.503	1.37	26.343	3.3803	2.2932	6.79330	6.07653
0.2	6.74064	6.06569	274.95	20.9	13.1	19.73	2.833	2.691	1.92	26.430	3.3693	2.2836	6.78678	6.05859
0.3	6.71137	6.01219	270.80	20.1	14.9	13.03	2.814	2.781	1.63	26.492	3.3616	2.2735	6.78060	6.03465
0.4	6.68809	5.99231	268.04	19.6	14.4	11.72	3.952	3.887	1.51	26.615	3.3463	2.2635	6.76314	5.99310
0.5	6.66973	5.95567	264.94	15.4	16.2	11.80	3.234	1.973	1.79	26.685	3.3377	2.2551	6.74104	5.94191
0.6	6.65137	5.92461	262.11	17.0	14.8	10.39	3.015	2.161	1.68	26.762	3.3283	2.2465	6.71116	5.88822
0.7	6.62547	5.87436	257.87	16.2	14.9	10.89	3.197	1.678	1.59	26.794	3.3244	2.2356	6.66779	5.83943
0.8	6.59784	5.84598	254.48	16.7	13.6	10.87	2.917	1.459	1.57	26.869	3.3153	2.2247	6.64385	5.81420
0.9	6.58996	5.81881	252.70	13.9	12.1	11.36	1.989	1.580	1.54	27.011	3.2982	2.2177	6.62343	5.78482
1.0	6.57320	5.79160	250.24	13.2	11.6	10.08	2.697	1.946	1.48	27.135	3.2834	2.2106	6.58242	5.76767
Nno. 74483	6.57872	5.79632	250.86	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6.62504	5.81775

^a R_p : profile factor. ^b R_{wp} : weighted profile. ^c R_{exp} : factor expected weighted profile factor. ^d R_{Bragg} : Bragg factor. ^e R_F : crystallographic factor. ^f —: not given.




Fig. 3 Refined XRD patterns (—) of the typical samples of $\text{ScP}_{0.2}\text{V}_{0.8}\text{O}_4$ (a), $\text{ScP}_{0.4}\text{V}_{0.6}\text{O}_4$ (b), $\text{ScP}_{0.7}\text{V}_{0.3}\text{O}_4$ (c), and $\text{ScP}_{0.9}\text{V}_{0.1}\text{O}_4$ (d), where the Rietveld refining results ($\times$), Bragg reflections ($|$) and the profile difference between observed and calculated values (—) are also given.


achieved by different DFT calculations, we show in Fig. 4b all the E_g energies.

In addition to the above DFT calculations, we also recorded the UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra of $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 1.0$) at room temperature, and treated them using the Kubelka-Munk method through which the absorption coefficient α is estimated from the reflectance coefficient R using $\alpha = (1 - R)^2/2R$,^{7,60,61} as shown in Fig. 4c. Obviously, the samples with $0 \leq x \leq 0.5$ present the strongest absorption in the UV-NUV region, and some of them also exhibit a certain strong absorption in the visible region (e.g., violet-blue), which contributes to color the powders' body in yellow. In comparison, the samples with $x > 0.5$ only show reduced absorption in the visible region and thus appear as white body powders.

The reflectivity spectra corresponding to samples with $0 \leq x \leq 0.5$ can be decomposed into a sum of 4 Gaussian curves, as representatively illustrated in the inset of Fig. 4c for $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_{0.2}\text{V}_{0.8})\text{O}_4$. The energy positions of the first three absorption bands (i.e., Abs0,

Abs1, and Abs2 in order of increasing energy) are listed in Table 2. The fourth component was found in average at 5.22 ± 0.15 eV. In the zircon-type vanadate system, the lower absorbing band Abs0 reveals the presence of defects associated with oxygen vacancies that form in concomitance with vanadium atoms in valence state +4 or +3 located in the V^{5+} or V^{3+} crystal sites.^{62–64} Following the methodology introduced in ref. 65, we can qualitatively access the defect amounts by calculating the intensity ratio $R = \text{Abs0}/(\text{Abs0} + \text{Abs1} + \text{Abs2})$. This was made possible here by integrating the area of the corresponding Gaussian curves obtained after spectral decomposition. The values listed in Table 2 demonstrate the presence of defects in all phosphovanadates, with the amount being smaller in the end member ScVO_4 of the solid solution.

Finally, indicative E_g values were determined by plotting the relationship of $\alpha(h\nu)^2 = A(h\nu - E_g)$ where α , A and $h\nu$ represent the Kubelka-Munk absorption, the absorption constant and the photon energy, respectively. The experimental E_g data (Table 2, and the curve 3 of Fig. 4b) follow the trends obtained






Fig. 4 (a) DFT-calculated DOS for the energy region in correspondence with the VB and CB of the $\text{Sc(P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($x = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8$) solid solutions; (b) Dependence of the P^{5+} (x) content on the PBE (blue), HSE06 (purple) and Kubelka-Munk (green) calculated E_g energies, as well as the decomposed Exc1 (black) and Exc2 (red) values; (c) UV-vis reflectivity spectra of $\text{Sc(P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($x = 0-1.0$) solid solutions and a sum of 4 Gaussian decomposition for the $\text{Sc(P}_{0.2}\text{V}_{0.8})\text{O}_4$ sample (inset); (d) excitation spectra of the $\text{Sc(P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 0.9$) solid solutions upon monitoring at the room temperature $(\text{VO}_4)^{3-}$ emission intensity maxima.

by theoretical calculations, despite the contribution of band Abs0 to the absorption edge.

4.3 Photoluminescence (PL) properties

According to the archival literature studies,^{66,67} the structurally homogeneous zircon orthovanadates are not expected to show photoluminescence at room temperature. Following ref. 68, the excitation spectrum of the $(\text{VO}_4)^{3-}$ complex groups in ScVO_4 recorded at 77 K contains 3 distinct contributions in the spectral region that we have explored, *i.e.* Exc1 = 3.49 eV (355 nm), Exc2 = 3.90 eV (318 nm), and Exc3 beyond 5.14 eV (> 240 nm), respectively. These excitation signals are due to $3t_2 (\text{O}^{2-}) \rightarrow 2e (\text{V}^{5+})$ and $t_1 (\text{O}^{2-}) \rightarrow 2e (\text{V}^{5+})$ electron transitions associated with $\text{O}^{2-} (2p) \rightarrow \text{V}^{5+} (3d)$ CT. The lowest excitation is ascribed to the $^1\text{A}_1 \rightarrow ^1\text{T}_1$ transition; it corresponds to the bandgap of ScVO_4 and the excitation signal labelled as Exc1.

The emission occurs from the close-lying $^3\text{T}_{1,2}$ triplets and has a charge transfer (CT) character.^{66,69} It occurs at 483 nm (2.57 eV) in ScVO_4 at 77 K.⁶⁸ Here we observe that the $\text{Sc(P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($x < 1$) solid solutions glow in the green-blue spectral region when excited by UV light at room temperature. Since the ScPO_4 does not glow and following earlier reports dedicated to YVO_4 ,⁷⁰⁻⁷² we ascribe this unusual room temperature emission to the tetrahedral tetroxo $(\text{VO}_4)^{3-}$ complex groups perturbed by the previously evidenced lattice defects. The excitation spectra collected in correspondence with this emission are shown in Fig. 4d. A band with increasing contribution as x is lowered appears in the near-UV region. We have successfully achieved the spectral decomposition of the low energy part of the excitation spectra shown in Fig. 4d (expressing in energy) into a sum of 3 Gaussian-shaped bands. The third, higher-lying, excitation band is positioned at 5.09 ± 0.04 eV (243 ± 2 nm) and is about 4 times broader (≈ 1.06 eV) compared

Table 2 Electronic band gap energies (E_g), absorption (*i.e.*, Abs0, Abs1, and Abs2) and excitation (*i.e.*, Exc1 and Exc2) values, emission peak positions (λ_{em}), relative emission intensity at room temperature (I_{emr}), CIE chromaticity coordinates, IQE and EQE values, as well as the thermal quenching temperatures ($T_{50\%}$), and activation energy (ΔE_a) of $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 1.0$) solid solutions. All energies are in eV

	Exptl E_g^a		Calcd E_g^b		Room temperature										High temperature			
x	Previous	Here	Previous	Here	Abs0	Abs1	Abs2	R/%	Exc1	Exc2	λ_{em}	$I_{emr}/\%$	CIE	IQE/%	EQE/%	$T_{50\%}/K$	ΔE_a	
— ^c	—	—	—	PBE HSE06	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
0.0	2.80 ^{&}	2.81	2.56 [#]	2.344 3.706	3.27	3.63	4.29	12	3.67	3.94	2.50	100	(0.193, 0.240)	55.1	28.6	377.15	0.39	
0.1	—	2.87	—	2.329 3.729	2.89	3.46	4.16	30	3.68	3.95	2.37	116.2	(0.212, 0.283)	57.3	28.3	405.15	0.43	
0.2	—	2.95	—	2.348 3.721	2.73	3.42	4.33	21	3.69	3.97	2.36	131.4	(0.242, 0.365)	68.1	33.7	412.15	0.43	
0.25	—	—	2.87 [#]	2.369 3.743	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
0.3	—	2.97	—	2.407 3.785	2.70	3.51	4.42	24	3.70	4.01	2.38	139.6	(0.253, 0.353)	66.7	42.3	444.15	0.48	
0.4	—	3.20	—	2.520 3.911	2.89	3.46	4.33	27	3.73	4.03	2.42	136.2	(0.273, 0.373)	59.9	39.8	480.15	0.51	
0.5	—	3.46	2.92 [#]	2.712 4.134	2.70	3.49	4.38	19	3.76	4.05	2.43	113.9	(0.277, 0.389)	50.1	36.5	457.15	0.50	
0.6	—	3.61	—	3.008 4.484	2.97	3.49	4.13	18	3.80	4.1	2.51	74.6	(0.297, 0.402)	38.2	23.2	452.15	0.49	
0.7	—	3.94	—	3.408 4.978	3.12	3.49	4.18	35	3.86	4.15	2.55	43.9	(0.303, 0.422)	35.3	10.4	438.15	0.49	
0.75	—	—	3.20 [#]	3.636 5.271	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
0.8	—	4.18	—	3.863 5.573	3.03	3.59	—	—	3.97	4.23	2.64	17.7	(0.273, 0.386)	28.0	5.40	431.15	0.48	
0.9	—	4.24	—	4.182 6.114	2.76	3.42	—	—	3.97	4.23	2.71	13.1	(0.256, 0.350)	27.6	5.60	426.15	0.46	
1.0	7.2 ^{&}	5.07	4.11 [#]	4.361 6.547	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	

#, &, and \$ denote the electronic bandgap (E_g) values are from ref. 13, 35 and 45, respectively. ^a Exptl: experimental. ^b Calcd: calculated. ^c —: Not given or measured.

Table 3 Position of DOS maxima for Sc(3d), V(3d), P(3p), and O(2p) states in the $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 1.0$) solid solutions

x	DOS position maxima/eV			
	Sc(3d)	V(3d)	P(3p)	O(2p)
0.0	5.16	2.67	—	−3.00
0.1	5.16	2.67	−4.51	−3.04
0.2	5.14	2.67	−4.59	−3.13
0.25	5.16	2.69	−4.66	−3.20
0.3	5.14	2.73	−4.70	−3.25
0.4	5.07	2.85	−4.87	−3.43
0.5	5.08	3.06	−5.11	−3.68
0.6	4.91	3.42	−5.38	−3.99
0.7	4.85	4.91	−5.39	−4.22
0.75	4.95	5.59	−5.27	−4.27
0.8	4.68	6.46	−5.48	−4.33
0.9	4.77	9.50	−7.22	−4.25
1.0	4.89	—	−7.80	−3.65

to the two other bands. The energy positions corresponding to Exc1 (curve 4) and Exc2 (curve 5) are plotted in Fig. 4b and compiled in Table 2. In the case of ScVO_4 , the values deviate somehow from the values reported by Boulon,⁶⁸ which we ascribe to the perturbation (*i.e.*, the local distortion of the $(\text{VO}_4)^{3-}$ tetrahedral units) by lattice defects. Within the accuracy of the spectral decompositions, Exc1 and Exc2 agree with Abs1 and Abs2, respectively, while Abs3 agrees with Exc3. The agreement with DFT HSE06 calculations is also reasonably good for $x \leq 0.5$ and then shows a divergence. Interesting to note is that Exc1 is not the lower-lying absorption in the $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ system.

Despite the monotonic variation of the crystal structure and electronic properties of $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ as x increases from 0 to 1, we observe an irregular behavior of the $(\text{VO}_4)^{3-}$ emission excited at 260 nm (*i.e.*, corresponding to Abs2 or Exc2) that consists of a red shift of the position from 495 nm to 524 nm as x increases to 0.2 followed by a blue-shift back to 457 nm for $0.2 < x \leq 0.9$ (Fig. 5a–c). Concomitantly, we observe a continuous increase of the emission intensity with a maximum in the

$\text{Sc}(\text{P}_{0.3}\text{V}_{0.7})\text{O}_4$ sample and then a rapid decrease of the emitted intensity as x is increased further (Fig. 5d). Moreover, it is shown in Table 2 and Fig. 5b (curve 2) that the variation tendency of EQE values under 266 nm laser excitation basically matches that of the relative emission intensity (Fig. 5d), and it is obvious that the $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_{0.3}\text{V}_{0.7})\text{O}_4$ sample has a maximum EQE of 42.3%. This PL behavior can be ascribed to the consequence of a complex compromise between the absorption rate at 260 nm (see Fig. 4c) and radiationless losses due to reabsorption by lattice defects contributing to band Abs0 and concentration quenching. In zircon vanadates, the concentration quenching involves the migration of the excitation energy among the isolated vanadate groups followed by radiationless losses of this excitation energy by energy transfer to sinks. As demonstrated by G. Blasse in the solid solution $\text{Y}(\text{P},\text{V})\text{O}_4$,⁷³ the energy migration and the related emission quenching by the concentration effect start operating for $(\text{VO}_4)^{3-}$ doping rates of $\approx 25\%$, which can be expected to explain the PL intensity variation of the $\text{Sc}(\text{P},\text{V})\text{O}_4$ in the same manner.

In addition to the room temperature spectra, we also have investigated the temperature-dependent emission spectra of $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ solid solution up to 300 °C, with the aim to collect information on the thermal quenching process and connect these data to the room temperature behaviors. We show the temperature-dependent emission spectra in Fig. 6a for the $\text{ScP}_{0.4}\text{V}_{0.6}\text{O}_4$ sample, which is taken as a representative of the thermal evolution of emission spectra and intensity for all other samples. We also show in Table 2 the temperature $T_{50\%}$ at which the emission intensity has lost 50% of its initial room temperature emission intensity in all compounds. The $T_{50\%}$ values, as exemplarily depicted by the fitted $T_{50\%}$ process of the $\text{ScP}_{0.4}\text{V}_{0.6}\text{O}_4$ sample (Fig. 6b), were obtained by using the integrated emission intensity through the Boltzmann sigmoidal equation:^{74,75}

$$I(T) = \frac{A_1 - A_2}{1 + e^{(T-T_0)/dT}} + A_2$$






Fig. 5 (a) Normalized emission spectra of the $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 0.9$) solid solutions upon excitation at 260 nm; (b) emission peak position (curve 1) and external quantum efficiency (EQE) value (curve 2) against the P^{5+} (x) content; (c) CIE chromaticity coordinates of the $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 0.9$) solid solutions (note that the CIE values associated with the x values of 0.3, 0.4 and 0.6 are not shown, since they are close to other CIE values); (d) dependence of the P^{5+} (x) content on the room temperature emission intensity in the $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 0.9$) solid solutions.

where $I(T)$ is the emission intensity value at a given temperature; A_1 and A_2 are the initial value (left horizontal asymptote) and final value (right horizontal asymptote), respectively; T_0 and dT denote the center of the sigmoid and the change in T associated with the most significant change in $I(t)$ values, respectively; and T is the Kelvin temperature.

The temperature-dependent intensity variation of the $\text{ScP}_{0.4}\text{V}_{0.6}\text{O}_4$ sample was reproduced with a reliability above 99% using a single energy barrier model (Fig. 6c):^{2,3,6,11,60,61,73–76}

$$\ln\left(\frac{I_0}{I_T} - 1\right) = -\frac{\Delta E_a}{kT}$$

where I_0 and I_T are the integrated emission intensity at room and higher temperatures, respectively; k is the Boltzmann constant; and ΔE_a is the energy separating the emitting and quenching

states (*i.e.*, also referenced to as the activation energy). All ΔE_a values are also given in Table 2, and they follow the variation tendency analogous to the tendency of $T_{50\%}$ values (Fig. 6d), which jointly reveal that an appropriate amount of P content has improved the thermally induced PL quenching of the $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ solid solutions.

5. Discussion

As known from the archival literature,⁷⁷ the energy position of the O–V CT is related to the stabilization of the O^{2-} ions by the electric field created by the nearby cations; the smaller the radius and the higher the charge of these ions, the more stable the O^{2-} ions, the longer the O–V distance, the more ionic the character of the O–V bond, and the more the O–V CT moves to




Fig. 6 (a) Temperature-dependent emission spectra ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 260$ nm) of the $\text{ScP}_{0.4}\text{V}_{0.6}\text{O}_4$ sample; (b) relative temperature-dependent integrated emission intensity of the $\text{ScP}_{0.4}\text{V}_{0.6}\text{O}_4$ and the fitting curve based on the Boltzmann sigmoidal equation; (c) fitted ΔE_a value for the thermal PL quenching of the $\text{ScP}_{0.4}\text{V}_{0.6}\text{O}_4$ sample; and (d) dependence of the P^{5+} (x) content on $T_{50\%}$ (curve 1) and ΔE_a (curve 2) values in the $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 0.9$) solid solutions.

higher energy. Our results clearly demonstrate that this is what happens as x is increased in the $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ solid solutions. We note, however, that the upward shift of the O–V CT is not regular; it is moderate up to $x \approx 0.5$ and then accelerates, which is referred to as the bandgap bowing. The lowering of the degree of covalency of the V–O bond on going from ScVO_4 to ScPO_4 is well confirmed by the regular increase of $\text{he}(\text{Sc})$ from 0.95 to 0.97 with a concomitant increase of the bond valence sum (BVS) of Sc from +2.81 to +3.07 that accounts for a reinforcement of the degree of covalency of the Sc–O bond at the expense of that of the V–O bond. In addition to these trends, the progressive increase of the phosphorous amount contributes to enhance the maximum phonon frequency of the crystal lattice (*viz.* with 914 cm^{-1} in ScVO_4 ⁷⁸ and up to 1083 cm^{-1} in ScPO_4 ⁷⁹) and the lattice stiffness around the V

atoms as formalized by calculating the lattice distortion $D(V)$ at V sites following the below equation:^{3,60}

$$D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{l_i - l_{\text{av}}}{l_{\text{av}}}$$

where l_i and l_{av} are the distances from V to the i th coordinated O atoms and the average V–O bond lengths, respectively. Values are plotted in Fig. 7a, where the decrease of $D(V)$ values with the increase of P^{5+} (x) content reveals a closing structural rigidity.

On the above grounds, we further discuss the evolution of the optical behavior of the $(\text{VO}_4)^{3-}$ groups going from isolated to concentrated systems. As specified earlier and represented in Fig. 7b, the emission intensity maxima of the $(\text{VO}_4)^{3-}$ groups experiences a redshift on going from $\text{ScP}_{0.9}\text{V}_{0.1}\text{O}_4$ to $\text{ScP}_{0.2}\text{V}_{0.8}\text{O}_4$




Fig. 7 (a) Calculated D values for the Sc (black) and P/V (red) sites; (b) variation of emission energy and energy barrier ΔE_a in the obtained $Sc(P_xV_{1-x})O_4$ solid solutions; (c) single coordinate configurational diagrams based on the potential energy of the $(VO_4)^{3-}$ luminescent unit.

followed by a sudden blueshift going from $ScP_{0.1}V_{0.9}O_4$ to $ScVO_4$. Concomitantly, the energy barrier ΔE_a associated with the thermal quenching of the emission first increases on going from $ScP_{0.9}V_{0.1}O_4$ to $ScP_{0.6}V_{0.4}O_4$ and then decreases regularly. These variations are due to the subtle consequences of the gradual changes experienced by the crystal lattice across the solid solutions. To conveniently and qualitatively understand these evolutions, we plot a single coordinate configurational diagram based on the potential energy of the $(VO_4)^{3-}$ luminescent unit (Fig. 7c(i)). In general, the average distance between the central metal ion and its surrounding anions is taken for this purpose. Within this model, the emission occurs from the thermalized close-lying $^3T_{1,2}$ excited states to the 1A_1 ground state after that internal radiationless relaxation from the absorbing 1T_1 state

has occurred. Thermal quenching of the emission occurs by cross-over of $^3T_{1,2}$ to the 1A_1 ground state, and it depends critically on the equilibrium distance Δr of the ground and excited states. Thereby, we can understand that the decrease of the lattice stiffness as the vanadate amount is increased releases the constraints experienced by the V–O bond upon optical excitation, increases the Δr , and results in smaller values of ΔE_a and of the emission energy (Fig. 7c(i), from a black curve to a red $^3T_{1,2}$ curve). These effects are reinforced by the downward shift of the excited 1T_1 and $^3T_{1,2}$ states and by the lowering of the bonding force between the V and its nearby O atoms that results in a smaller force constant of the 1A_1 ground state potential curve (Fig. 7c(i), red 1A_1 curve) on going from $ScP_{0.9}V_{0.1}O_4$ to $ScP_{0.2}V_{0.8}O_4$. The observed blueshift of the $^3T_{1,2} \rightarrow ^1A_1$ emission

Crystal lattice	Sc–O bond/Å	he(Sc)	BVS(Sc)	V/P–O bond/Å	he(V/P)	BVS(V/P)	Ref.
ScVO ₄ – ICSD 78073	2.248	0.956	+2.86	1.704	3.316	+5.22	—
ScVO ₄ – ICSD 164837	2.252	0.951	+2.81	1.693	3.350	+5.39	—
ScVO ₄ – ICSD 169173	2.248	0.956	+2.86	1.709	3.307	+5.16	—
ScVO ₄ – ICSD 174155	2.250	0.956	+2.85	1.701	3.323	+5.26	—
ScVO _{4–x}	2.250	0.956	+2.85	1.701	3.324	+5.26	43
ScVO ₄	2.254	0.953	+2.81	1.691	3.354	+5.41	This work
ScPO ₄ – ICSD 74483	2.211	0.967	+3.04	1.534	2.666	+4.84	—
ScPO ₄ – ICSD 201132	2.207	0.966	+3.07	1.534	2.664	+4.83	—
ScPO ₄ – ICSD 275305	2.208	0.967	+3.07	1.537	2.661	+4.80	—
ScPO ₄	2.206	0.967	+3.07	1.534	2.666	+4.84	This work

Retrospecting this work, it is a little pity that the external dopant-free $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 1$) emission-tunable solid solutions do not belong to the class of phosphor materials particularly desirable for phosphor-converted white LEDs because of the following reasons: on one hand, the weakness of their excitation ranges less than the mainstream commercial UV LED chips with emission ranges of 375–410 nm and, on the other hand, their relatively low EQE ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 266$ nm laser, 5.6–42.3%) values compared to those of RE and/or non-RE doped phosphor materials such as $\text{Sr}_x\text{Ba}_{2-x}\text{SiO}_4:\text{Eu}^{2+}$ ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 405$ nm laser, $x = 43$ –73%, quantum yield (QY) = 88–90%),² $(\text{Y},\text{Lu})\text{VO}_4:0.02\text{Bi}^{3+}$ ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 330$ nm xenon lamp, EQE = 75% for $\text{YVO}_4:\text{Bi}^{3+}$, and 68% for $\text{LuVO}_4:\text{Bi}^{3+}$),²⁵ $\text{ScVO}_4:0.01\text{Bi}^{3+}$ ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 330$ nm, and 380 nm xenon lamp, EQE = 56%, and 57%, respectively),²⁸ $\text{Ca}_{0.97-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_4:0.03\text{Ce}^{3+}$ ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 437$ nm xenon lamp, $x = 0$ –1, internal QY (IQY) = 84.8–94.6%),⁶⁰ $\text{K}_2\text{MgGeO}_4:0.01\text{Bi}^{3+}$ ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 335$ nm xenon lamp, $x = 0$ –1, EQE = 66.6%),⁶¹ $\text{Y}_2\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_{15}:0.5\%\text{Eu}^{3+}$ ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 465$ nm xenon lamp, QY = 85%),⁷⁵ $\text{Sr}_2\text{YSi}_4\text{N}_{12}:0.01\text{Eu}^{2+}$ ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 365$ nm xenon lamp, QE = $\sim 41.6\%$),⁷⁶

In summary, we used a conventional high temperature solid-state reaction method to synthesize a type of external dopant-free $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$ ($0.0 \leq x \leq 1$) solid solution and reveal that they are all crystallized in the zircon-type structure with a space group of $I4_1/amd$ as confirmed by the powder XRD patterns and corresponding Rietveld refinement results. In addition, as expected, the XRD positions with the substitution of larger V^{5+} by smaller P^{5+} ions follow the Vegard's law nicely, where the shrinkage of the crystal lattice parameters $a(b)c$, cell volumes (V) and average Sc-O lengths is observed. Our PL findings show that beyond ScPO_4 , other compounds, *i.e.*, $\text{Sc}(\text{P}_x\text{V}_{1-x})\text{O}_4$

Mater. Adv., 2020, 1, 2467–2482 | 2479

- Obtain Spherical Core-Shell Phosphors, *Chem. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 1783–1791.
- 11 M. Yu, J. Lin, Z. Wang, J. Fu, S. Wang, H. J. Zhang and Y. C. Han, Fabrication, Patterning, and Optical Properties of Nanocrystalline $\text{YVO}_4\text{:A}$ ($\text{A} = \text{Eu}^{3+}$, Dy^{3+} , Sm^{3+} , Er^{3+}) Phosphor Films *via* Sol-Gel Soft Lithography, *Chem. Mater.*, 2002, **14**, 2224–2231.
 - 12 M. Yu, J. Lin and S. B. Wang, Effects of x and R^{3+} on the luminescent properties of Eu^{3+} in nanocrystalline $\text{YV}_x\text{P}_{1-x}\text{O}_4\text{:Eu}^{3+}$ and $\text{RVO}_4\text{:Eu}^{3+}$ thin-film phosphors, *Appl. Phys. A.*, 2005, **80**, 353–360.
 - 13 T. S. Michael, L. M. Martha and E. D. M. Peter, Synthesis of monoclinic monazite, LaPO_4 , by direct precipitation, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 5720–5722.
 - 14 J. W. Hou, R. Zhou, J. W. Zhang, Z. P. Wang, Z. M. Zhang and Z. J. Ding, Pressure and Temperature Study on the Structural Stability of $\text{GdNbO}_4\text{:Eu}^{3+}$, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **121**, 14787–14794.
 - 15 G. Blasse, G. J. Dirksen and L. H. Brixner, The influence of structural disorder on the luminescence of niobates: scandium niobate (ScNbO_4) and magnesium niobate (MgNb_2O_6), *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 1986, **14**, 485–494.
 - 16 O. Guillot-Noel, B. Viana, B. Bellamy, D. Gourier, G. B. Zogomoulou and S. Jandl, Spectroscopic evidence of inhomogeneous distribution of Nd^{3+} in YVO_4 , YPO_4 and YAsO_4 crystals, *Opt. Mater.*, 2000, **13**, 427–437.
 - 17 E. W. Herold, A History of Color Television Displays, *Proc. IEEE*, 1976, **64**, 1331–1338.
 - 18 C. P. Frank and K. L. Albert, $\text{YVO}_4\text{:Eu}$: a Highly Efficient, Red-Emitting Phosphor for High Pressure Mercury Lamps, *Appl. Opt.*, 1966, **5**, 1467–1468.
 - 19 L. C. Meng, L. Høgstædt, P. Tidemand-Lichtenberg, C. Pedersen and P. J. Rodrigo, GHz-bandwidth upconversion detector using a unidirectional ring cavity to reduce multilongitudinal mode pump effects, *Opt. Mater. Express*, 2017, **25**, 14783–14794.
 - 20 Y. Shiraishi, S. Takeshita and T. Isobe, Two Photoenergy Conversion Modes of $\text{YVO}_4\text{:Eu}^{3+}$ Nanoparticles: Photoluminescence and Photocatalytic Activity, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 13502–13508.
 - 21 D. M. Li, P. F. Li, Y. Li, X. X. Wu, G. Y. Fu, Z. X. Liu, X. L. Wang, Q. L. Cui and H. Y. Zhu, High-Pressure Studies of Rubidium Azide by Raman and Infrared Spectroscopies, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 16870–16878.
 - 22 R. Y. Mi, J. Chen, Y. G. Liu, M. H. Fang, L. F. Mei, Z. G. Huang, B. C. Wang and C. L. Zhao, Luminescence and energy transfer of a color tunable phosphor: Tb^{3+} and Eu^{3+} co-doped ScPO_4 , *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 28887–28894.
 - 23 J. A. Dorman, J. H. Choi, G. Kuzmanich and J. P. Chang, High-Quality White Light Using Core-Shell $\text{RE}^{3+}\text{:LaPO}_4$ ($\text{RE} = \text{Eu}$, Tb , Dy , Ce) Phosphors, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**(23), 12854–12860.
 - 24 H. J. Chang, Y. S. Zhu, J. Xie, H. Y. Li, B. T. Liu, S. L. Xu, X. J. Xie, L. Huang and W. Huang, Surfactant effect on and luminescence tuning of lanthanide-doped $\text{ScPO}_4\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ microparticles, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 12385–12389.
 - 25 F. W. Kang, M. Y. Peng, X. B. Yang, G. P. Dong, G. C. Nie, W. J. Liang, S. H. Xu and J. R. Qiu, Broadly tuning Bi^{3+} emission via crystal field modulation in solid solution compounds $(\text{Y,Lu,Sc})\text{VO}_4\text{:Bi}$ for ultraviolet converted white LEDs, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 6068–6076.
 - 26 F. W. Kang, G. H. Sun, P. Boutnaud, F. Gao, Z. H. Wang, J. Lu, Y. Y. Li and S. S. Xiao, Tuning the Bi^{3+} -photoemission color over the entire visible region by manipulating secondary cations modulation in the $\text{ScV}_x\text{P}_{1-x}\text{O}_4\text{:Bi}^{3+}$ ($0 \leq x \leq 1$) solid solution, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, **7**, 9865–9877.
 - 27 D. Y. Shen, Y. F. Zhang, X. M. Zhang, Z. L. Wang, Y. F. Zhang, S. S. Hu and J. Yang, Morphology/phase controllable synthesis of monodisperse ScVO_4 microcrystals and tunable multi-color luminescence properties in $\text{Sc}(\text{La})\text{VO}_4(\text{PO}_4)\text{:Bi}^{3+}, \text{Ln}^{3+}$ phosphors, *CrystEngComm*, 2018, **20**, 5180–5190.
 - 28 F. W. Kang, X. B. Yang, M. Y. Peng, L. Wondraczek, Z. J. Ma, Q. Y. Zhang and J. R. Qiu, Red Photoluminescence from Bi^{3+} and the Influence of the Oxygen-Vacancy Perturbation in ScVO_4 : A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 7515–7522.
 - 29 F. F. Chi, Y. G. Qin, F. F. Hu, X. T. Wei, Y. H. Chen, C. K. Duan and M. Yin, Efficient red-emitting phosphor ScVO_4 doped with Bi^{3+} and Eu^{3+} for near-ultraviolet-activated solid-state lighting, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2017, **52**, 11592–11597.
 - 30 H. L. Xu, B. Xu, R. Liu, X. W. Li, S. Q. Zhang, C. Y. Ouyang and S. L. Zhong, Facile microwave synthesis of $\text{ScPO}_4\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ flowerlike superstructures: morphology control, electronic structure and multicolor tunable luminescent properties, *CrystEngComm*, 2017, **19**, 5787–5796.
 - 31 W. O. Milligan, D. F. Mullica, G. W. Beall and L. Boatner, Structural investigations of YPO_4 , ScPO_4 , and LuPO_4 , *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1982, **60**, 39–43.
 - 32 G. Blasse and A. Bril, Luminescence of Phosphors Based on Host Lattices ABO_4 (A is Sc , In ; B is P , V , Nb), *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1969, **50**, 2974–2980.
 - 33 F. W. Kang, H. S. Zhang, L. Wondraczek, X. B. Yang, Y. Zhang, D. Y. Lei and M. Y. Peng, Band-Gap Modulation in Single Bi^{3+} -Doped Yttrium-Scandium-Niobium Vanadates for Color Tuning over the Whole Visible Spectrum, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 2692–2703.
 - 34 L. H. Ahrens, The use of ionization potentials Part 1. Ionic radii of the elements, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 1952, **2**, 155–169.
 - 35 L. Pauling, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, 1961.
 - 36 R. D. Shannon, Revised Effective Ionic Radii and Systematic Studies of Interatomic Distances in Halides and Chalcogenides, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diff., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr.*, 1976, **32**, 751.
 - 37 A. N. Trukhin, K. Shmits, J. L. Jansons and A. B. Lynn, Ultraviolet luminescence of ScPO_4 , AlPO_4 and GaPO_4 crystals, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2013, **25**, 385502.
 - 38 A. Trukhin and L. A. Boatner, Electronic Structure of ScPO_4 Single Crystals: Optical and Photoelectric Properties, *Mater. Sci. Forum*, 1997, **239–241**, 573–576.



- 39 A. A. José, T. C. María and J. M. L. María, Preparation and topotactical oxidation of ScVO_3 with bixbyite structure: a low-temperature route to stabilize the new defect fluorite $\text{ScVO}_{3.5}$ metastable phase, *Dalton Trans.*, 2004, 1294–1297.
- 40 H. J. Cong, H. J. Zhang, B. Yao, W. T. Yu, X. Zhao, J. Y. Wang and G. C. Zhang, ScVO_4 : Explorations of Novel Crystalline Inorganic Optical Materials in Rare-Earth Orthovanadate Systems, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2010, **10**, 4389–4400.
- 41 A. L. Joey, J. S. Fabian, S. W. Pamela, S. Kalpana, T. Venkataraman and B. Mario, Structure Evolution and Reactivity of the $\text{Sc}_{(2-x)}\text{V}_x\text{O}_{3+\delta}$ ($0 \leq x \leq 2.0$) System, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 5607–5614.
- 42 P. S. Shahid, J. L. Rylan, M. D. C. Lachlan and B. Mario, Formation, structure and magnetism of the metastable defect fluorite phases $\text{AVO}_{3.5+x}$ ($A = \text{In}, \text{Sc}$), *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2007, **180**, 3333–3340.
- 43 P. S. Shahid, W. K. Matthew, M. D. C. Lachlan, K. M. Vladimir, K. Scott and B. Mario, *In Situ* Powder X-ray Diffraction, Synthesis, and Magnetic Properties of the Defect Zircon Structure ScVO_{4-x} , *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 10553–10559.
- 44 F. W. Kang, Y. Zhang, L. Wondraczek, J. Q. Zhu, X. B. Yang and M. Y. Peng, Processing-dependence and the nature of the blue-shift of Bi^{3+} -related photoemission in ScVO_4 at elevated temperatures, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 9850–9857.
- 45 H. S. Zhang, F. W. Kang, Y. J. Zhao, M. Y. Peng, D. Y. Lei and X. B. Yang, The role of oxygen defects in a bismuth doped ScVO_4 matrix: tuning luminescence by hydrogen treatment, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 314–321.
- 46 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Ab initio* molecular dynamics for liquid metals, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1993, **47**, 558–561.
- 47 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1999, **59**, 1758–1775.
- 48 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865–3868.
- 49 A. V. Krukau, O. A. Vydrov, A. F. Izmaylov and G. E. Scuseria, Influence of the Exchange Screening Parameter on the Performance of Screened Hybrid Functionals, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **125**, 224106.
- 50 J. C. Phillips, Dielectric Definition of Electronegativity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1968, **20**, 11–13.
- 51 J. A. Van Vechten, Quantum Dielectric Theory of Electronegativity in Covalent Systems. I. Electronic Dielectric Constant, *Phys. Rev.*, 1969, **182**, 891–905.
- 52 B. F. Levine, *d*-Electron Effects on Bond Susceptibilities and Ionicities, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1973, **7**, 2591–2600.
- 53 J. S. Shi, Z. J. Wu, S. H. Zhou and S. Y. Zhang, Dependence of crystal field splitting of 5d levels on hosts in the halide crystals, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2003, **280**, 245–250.
- 54 J. S. Shi and S. Y. Zhang, Barycenter of Energy of Lanthanide $4f^{N-1}5d$ Configuration in Inorganic Crystals, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 18845–18849.
- 55 Q. Su, J. Wang and J. S. Shi, Prediction and assignment of site occupation and energy levels for Pb^{2+} ions in crystal hosts, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2010, **183**, 1174–1179.
- 56 L. Wang, Q. Su, Q. Liu and J. S. Shi, Investigation and application of quantitative relationship between sp energy levels of Bi^{3+} ion and host lattice, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2012, **191**, 142–146.
- 57 M. Amer and P. Boutinaud, The doping sites in Eu^{2+} -doped $\text{A}^{\text{I}}\text{B}^{\text{II}}\text{PO}_4$ phosphors and their consequence on the photoluminescence excitation spectra, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2018, **258**, 124–130.
- 58 K. Momma and F. Izumi, VESTA: a three-dimensional visualization system for electronic and structural analysis, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2008, **41**, 653–658.
- 59 N. E. Brese and M. O'Keefe, Bond-valence parameters for solids, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1991, **B47**, 192–197.
- 60 J. Yang, J. W. Zhang, Z. Y. Gao, M. X. Tao, P. P. Dang, Y. Wei and G. G. Li, Enhanced photoluminescence and thermal stability in solid solution $\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_4:\text{Ce}^{3+}$ ($x = 0-1$) via crystal field regulation and site-preferential occupation, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2019, **6**, 2004–2013.
- 61 H. M. Li, R. Pang, G. Y. Liu, W. Z. Sun, D. Li, L. H. Jiang, S. Zhang, C. Y. Li, J. Feng and H. J. Zhang, Synthesis and Luminescence Properties of Bi^{3+} -Activated K_2MgGeO_4 : A Promising High-Brightness Orange-Emitting Phosphor for WLEDs Conversion, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 12303–12311.
- 62 T. Katsumata, H. Takashima, T. Michino and Y. Nobe, Non-stoichiometry in yttrium ortho-vanadate, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 1994, **29**, 1247–1254.
- 63 N. Garces, K. Stevens, G. Foundos and L. Halliburton, Electron paramagnetic resonance and optical absorption study of V^{4+} centres in YVO_4 , *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2004, **16**, 7095–7106.
- 64 A. L. Joey, M. S. Kevin, Z. S. Arzoo, R. W. Christopher and B. Mario, Order/Disorder and *in Situ* Oxide Defect Control in the Bixbyite Phase $\text{YPrO}_{3+\delta}$ ($0 \leq \delta < 0.5$), *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 2381–2389.
- 65 L. Yang, G. Li, M. Zhao, J. Zheng, X. Guan and L. Li, Preparation and morphology sensitive luminescence properties of Eu^{3+} -doped YVO_4 : a defect chemistry viewpoint of study, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 3227–3235.
- 66 G. Blasse, The luminescence of closed-shell transition metal complexes. New developments, *Struct. Bonding*, 1980, **42**, 1–41.
- 67 C. M. Aiube, T. M. Lobo, D. Sousz-Moura, I. B. M. Ferraz, M. E. Osugi, C. K. Grisolia, R. Oliveira and I. T. Weber, Study of YVO_4 as a photocatalyst: correlation between synthetic route and ecotoxicity, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 2846–2854.
- 68 G. Boulon, Processus de photoluminescence dans les oxydes et les orthovanadates de terres rares polycristallins, activés par l'ion Bi^{3+} , *J. Phys.*, 1971, **32**, 333–347.
- 69 H. Ronde and G. Blasse, The nature of the electronic transition of the vanadate groups, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 1978, **40**, 215–219.
- 70 L. Yang, G. Li, W. Hu, M. Zhao, L. Sun and J. Zheng, Control over the crystallinity and defect chemistry of YVO_4



- nanocrystals for optimum photocatalytic property, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 2211–2220.
- 71 L. Qian, J. Zhu, Z. Chen, Y. Gui, Q. Gong and Y. Yuan, Self-assembled heavy lanthanide orthovanadate architecture with controlled dimensionality and morphology, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 1233–1240.
 - 72 Y. Nobe, H. Takashima and T. Katsumata, Decoloration of yttrium orthovanadate laser host crystals by annealing, *Opt. Lett.*, 1994, **19**, 1216–1218.
 - 73 G. Blasse, Some considerations and experiments on concentration quenching of characteristic broad-band fluorescence, *Philips Res. Rep.*, 1968, **23**, 344.
 - 74 W. Z. Lv, Y. C. Jia, Q. Zhao, M. M. Jiao, B. Q. Shao, W. Lü and H. P. You, Crystal Structure and Luminescence Properties of $\text{Ca}_8\text{Mg}_3\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_7\text{O}_{28}:\text{Eu}^{2+}$ for WLEDs, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2014, **2**, 183–188.
 - 75 M. Janulevicius, P. Marmokas, M. Misevicius, J. Grigorjevaite, L. Mikoliunaite, S. Sakirzanovas and A. Katelnikovas, Luminescence and luminescence quenching of highly efficient $\text{Y}_2\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_{15}:\text{Eu}^{3+}$ phosphors and ceramics, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 26098.
 - 76 X. C. Wang, T. Seto, Z. Y. Zhao, Y. Y. Li, Q. S. Qu, H. Li and Y. S. Wang, Preparation of $\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{YSi}_4\text{N}_7:\text{Eu}^{2+}$ solid solutions and their luminescence properties, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 4476–4481.
 - 77 G. Blasse and A. Bril, Photoluminescent efficiency of phosphors with electronic transitions in localized centers, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1968, **115**, 1067–1075.
 - 78 A. B. Garg, D. Errandonea, P. Rodriguez-Hernandez and A. Munoz, ScVO_4 under non-hydrostatic compression: a new metastable polymorph, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2017, **29**, 055401.
 - 79 M. Giarola, A. Sanson, A. Rahman, G. Mariotto, M. Bettinelli, A. Speghini and E. Cazzanelli, Vibrational dynamics of YPO_4 and ScPO_4 single crystals: an integrated study by polarized Raman spectroscopy and first-principles calculations, *Phys. Rev.*, 2011, **83**, 224302.
 - 80 B. I. Won, B. Stuart, H. Jerry, M. Alexander, P. D. Steven and S. Ram, $\text{Sr}_{2.975-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{Ce}_{0.025}\text{AlO}_4\text{F}$: a Highly Efficient Green-Emitting Oxyfluoride Phosphor for Solid State White Lighting, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 2842–2849.
 - 81 F. W. Kang, Y. Zhang and M. Y. Peng, Controlling the Energy Transfer via Multi Luminescent Centers to Achieve White Light/Tunable Emissions in a Single-Phased $\text{X}_2\text{-Type Y}_2\text{SiO}_5:\text{Eu}^{3+}, \text{Bi}^{3+}$ Phosphor For Ultraviolet Converted LEDs, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 1462–1473.
 - 82 F. W. Kang, G. H. Sun, P. Boutinaud, H. Y. Wu, F.-X. Ma, J. Lu, J. L. Gan, H. D. Bian, F. Gao and S. S. Xiao, Recent advances and prospects of persistent luminescent materials as inner secondary self-luminous light source for photocatalytic applications, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **403**, 126099.
 - 83 P. P. Dang, D. J. Liu, Y. Wei, G. G. Li, H. Z. Lian, M. M. Shang and J. Lin, Highly Efficient Cyan-Green Emission in Self-Activated $\text{Rb}_3\text{RV}_2\text{O}_8$ ($\text{R} = \text{Y, Lu}$) Vanadate Phosphors for Full-Spectrum White Light-Emitting Diodes (LEDs), *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 6026–6038.
 - 84 Y. L. Huang, Y. M. Yu, T. J. Tsuboi and H. J. Seo, Novel yellow-emitting phosphors of $\text{Ca}_5\text{M}_4(\text{VO}_4)_6$ ($\text{M} = \text{Mg, Zn}$) with isolated VO_4 tetrahedra, *Opt. Express*, 2012, **20**, 4360–4368.
 - 85 J. C. Zhou, F. Huang, J. Xu, H. Chen and Y. S. Wang, Luminescence study of a self-activated and rare earth activated $\text{Sr}_3\text{La}(\text{VO}_4)_3$ phosphor potentially applicable in W-LEDs, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 3023–3028.
 - 86 R. J. Yu, M. S. Yuan, T. B. Li, Q. Tu and J. Y. Wang, Preparation, structure and luminescence properties of $\text{Ba}_2\text{V}_2\text{O}_7$ microrods, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 90711–90717.
 - 87 T. Nakajima, M. Isobe, T. Tsuchiya, Y. Ueda and T. Manabe, Photoluminescence property of vanadates $\text{M}_2\text{V}_2\text{O}_7$ (M : Ba, Sr and Ca), *Opt. Mater.*, 2010, **32**, 1618–1621.
 - 88 T. Nakajima, M. Isobe, T. Tsuchiya, Y. Ueda and T. Manabe, Correlation between Luminescence Quantum Efficiency and Structural Properties of Vanadate Phosphors with Chained, Dimerized, and Isolated VO_4 Tetrahedra, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 5160–5167.
 - 89 X. Y. Huang, S. Y. Wang, S. Rtimi and B. Devakumar, $\text{KCa}_2\text{Mg}_2\text{V}_3\text{O}_{12}$: a novel efficient rare-earth-free self-activated yellow-emitting phosphor, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2020, **401**, 112765.
 - 90 W. Zheng, P. Huang, Z. L. Gong, D. D. Tu, J. Xu, Q. L. Zou, R. F. Li, W. W. You, J. C. G. Bünzli and X. Y. Chen, Near-infrared-triggered photon upconversion tuning in all-inorganic cesium lead halide perovskite quantum dots, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 3462.
 - 91 Y. N. Liu, G. C. Pan, R. Wang, H. Shao, H. Wang, W. Xu, H. N. Cui and H. W. Song, Considerably enhanced exciton emission of CsPbCl_3 perovskite quantum dots by the introduction of potassium and lanthanide ions, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 14067–14072.
 - 92 W. Y. Liu, Q. L. Lin, H. B. Li, K. F. Wu, I. Robel, J. M. Pietryga and V. I. Klimov, Mn^{2+} -Doped Lead Halide Perovskite Nanocrystals with Dual-Color Emission Controlled by Halide Content, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 14954–14961.
 - 93 L. Q. Mi, Y. L. Huang, L. Cao, Y. Y. Feng and H. J. Seo, Modified optical properties via induced cation disorder in self-activated $\text{NaMg}_2\text{V}_3\text{O}_{10}$, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 4368–4376.
 - 94 L. K. Bharat, S.-K. Jeon, K. G. Krishna and J. S. Yu, Rare-earth free self-luminescent $\text{Ca}_2\text{KZn}_2(\text{VO}_4)_3$ phosphors for intense white light-emitting diodes, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 42348.
 - 95 E. Pavitra, G. S. R. Raju, L. K. Bharat, J. Y. Park, C. H. Kwak, J. W. Chun, Y.-K. Han and Y. S. Huh, Evolution of highly efficient rare-earth free $\text{Cs}_{(1-x)}\text{Rb}_x\text{VO}_3$ phosphors as a single emitting component for NUV-based white LEDs, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 12746–12757.

