


Cite this: *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2019, 1, 1791

# Tetradic phosphor white light with variable CCT and superlative CRI through organolead halide perovskite nanocrystals

Gopi C. Adhikari,  Preston A. Vargas,  Hongyang Zhu, Alexei Grigoriev and Peifen Zhu \*

In this work, the emission spectral range of halide perovskite nanocrystals is extended from violet to infrared, the widest emission range for halide perovskites to date. This range extension was made possible by a cost-effective solution-based synthesis process that only involves two halides [MAPb(Br<sub>x</sub>I<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and MA = CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>]. Furthermore, the correlated-color temperature (CCT) of white light is tuned by blending an appropriate fraction of the as-synthesized blue, green, yellow, and red emitting nanocrystals. This represents one of the first applications of a tetradic phosphor system for maximizing the color rendering index (CRI) for this material. The CCT ranges from warm to cool white (2759–6398 K) and the CRI has a maximum value of 93.95. Thus, this fourfold phosphor approach demonstrates that halide perovskites are promising alternatives to conventional phosphors in the search for low-cost and high-quality white light sources in the next generation of white lighting technology.

Received 26th February 2019

Accepted 28th February 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9na00125e

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

## Introduction

Solid-state lighting exhibits a significant reduction in power consumption compared to traditional lighting technologies.<sup>1–4</sup> In particular, III-nitride white light-emitting diodes (LEDs) have become increasingly popular due to their high energy efficiency, low energy consumption, and environmental friendliness. These commercialized white LEDs are typically fabricated by placing yellow phosphors (YAG:Ce<sup>3+</sup>) on commonly available III-nitride blue LEDs.<sup>5</sup> The photon down-conversion process that occurs when the LED is turned on yields a mixture of blue and yellow light that observers perceive to be white. The lack of red wavelength components in this approach, however, leaves the produced light with an undesirably cold hue [high correlated color temperature (CCT)] and low color rendering index (CRI).<sup>6</sup> In addition, the photon down-conversion phosphors, in this case, give rise to their optical properties from rare-earth metal doping.<sup>3,7–10</sup> As can be expected of a substance with “rare” in its name, this substantially drives up the cost of current white LED technology.<sup>1,6,11</sup> Therefore, it is imperative to search for higher-quality and lower-cost photon down-conversion materials.

Lead halide perovskites and their mixed-halide composites are emerging as a new generation of economic and scalable materials<sup>12–16</sup> due to their favourable optoelectronic properties such as strong absorption coefficients,<sup>17,18</sup> broadband excitation,<sup>19,20</sup> narrow band emission,<sup>21,22</sup> broad color gamut,<sup>23,24</sup> high quantum yield,<sup>25–28</sup> and tunable bandgap.<sup>29–33</sup> These properties

attest to their future applicability in solid-state devices and may lead to rapid improvement in the performance of optoelectronic devices such as LEDs,<sup>34–38</sup> solar cells,<sup>39–42</sup> photodetectors,<sup>43,44</sup> and lasers.<sup>45,46</sup> Many publications have reported the fabrication of white light LEDs using halide perovskites. Some used perovskites as one of the visible color emitting materials combined with a UV/blue LED chip and conventional phosphors.<sup>23,47,48</sup> Others have replaced conventional phosphors altogether, using only perovskites with various emission spectra [red, green, and blue (RGB)].<sup>49–51</sup> Recently, there has also been success in transforming halide perovskites into single-component white-light emitters.<sup>52–54</sup> Thus, there is great potential for nanocrystal integration with III-nitride UV/blue LEDs to achieve high-quality white light and colorful LEDs *via* the direct combination of materials with different emission spectra.

Here, we demonstrate a breakthrough in photon down-conversion based white LED technology *via* halide perovskite phosphors. Methylammonium lead mixed-halide MAPb(Br<sub>x</sub>I<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>3</sub> perovskite nanocrystals have been synthesized *via* a solution-based synthesis with stringent ligand-mediation and anion ratio control, resulting in a wide range of narrow line-width emission spectra. The photoluminescence (PL) emission ranges from 408 nm to 755 nm and the band gap extends from 3.04 eV to 1.51 eV. Furthermore, we have estimated white light emission using the perovskite nanocrystals with four-band emission (blue, green, yellow, and red) instead of the usual three-band emission.<sup>26,49</sup> By optimizing the contribution of each of the four components, we obtained tunable CCT ranging from 2759 to 6398 K and the CRI reached an unprecedented value of 93.95.

Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, The University of Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74104, USA; Web: [peifen-zhu@utulsa.edu](mailto:peifen-zhu@utulsa.edu)



## Experimental section

### Materials and methods

The synthesis of MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> began by adding 0.025 g of MABr and 0.05 g of PbBr<sub>2</sub> to a mixture of 0.5 mL of dimethylformamide, 8.5 mL of gamma-butyrolactone, and 15 μL of octylamine (consider, 15 μL of the ligand octylamine as 'L'). This mixture was stirred at 70–80 °C on a hot plate until the solids dissolved completely and the solution became transparent. Then, this precursor solution was slowly mixed with 5 mL of toluene under magnetic stirring at room temperature. The solution was then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min to separate the aggregate particles. The supernatant was poured into a clean vial and centrifuged again at 16 000 rpm for 15 min. The precipitate was then collected by discarding the supernatant. The MAPbI<sub>3</sub> precursor solution was prepared using the same procedure as the MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> precursor, using 0.036 g of MAI and 0.069 g of PbI<sub>2</sub> to replace the bromine components. The MAPbI<sub>3</sub> precursor was blended with the MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> precursor in the ratio required to achieve the intended composition of MAPb(Br<sub>x</sub>I<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. In order to shift the PL emission from green to violet, the ligand (octylamine) concentration was increased in the MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> precursor only. After synthesis, the nanocrystals were dispersed in toluene for storage and further characterization. This synthesis was performed in an ambient atmosphere.

### Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were taken using a Rigaku Smart Lab system with a Cu K<sub>α1</sub> ( $\lambda = 1.54 \text{ \AA}$ ) fixed anode X-ray source operating at 40 kV and 44 mA. Nanocrystal samples were placed on a glass substrate and dried in an ambient environment before XRD characterization.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements were performed using a Hitachi H-7000 TEM at 75 kV. Nanocrystal samples for TEM measurements were prepared by diluting them in toluene and sonicating to separate the individual particles. The sample was then carefully placed onto a copper grid with a carbon film and dried in an ambient atmosphere before characterization. The UV-visible absorption spectra were measured using a VARIAN Carry 50 Scan UV-Spectrophotometer with nanocrystals in a toluene colloidal suspension. The PL spectra were measured using a Spectrofluorophotometer (Shimadzu, RF-6000) with a monochromatic xenon lamp as the excitation source while the nanocrystals remained in a colloidal suspension. The LED spectra were obtained by combining four different emissions with different ratios. The calculation of CRI and CCT were performed according to equations defined by the CIE (Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage). Four different emission spectra (blue, green, yellow, and red) were combined and the spectral data were analysed to yield the CRI, CCT, and CIE coordinates.

## Results and discussion

The effects of ligand concentration and compositions of anions on the crystal structure were studied using XRD patterns (Fig. 1a). The sharp diffraction peaks confirm the high crystallinity of the nanocrystals. For MAPbBr<sub>3</sub>, the diffraction patterns are consistent with the cubic crystal structure with a *Pm* $\bar{3}m$  (no. 221) space group. For MAPbI<sub>3</sub>, however, the diffraction scan shows a tetragonal crystal structure with an *I4/mcm* (no. 140) space group.<sup>55,56</sup> No changes in the peak position of ligand-mediated MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals was observed from the XRD patterns. This indicates that the adjustment of the ligand concentration in the reaction environment has no effect on the




Fig. 1 (a) The XRD spectra of MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> with varying ligand concentration and MAPb(Br<sub>x</sub>I<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>3</sub> with varying 'Br': 'I' ratio. (b) The primitive cell of cubic-structured MAPbBr<sub>3</sub>. (c) The primitive cell of tetragonal-structured MAPbI<sub>3</sub>. L = 15 μL of ligand (octylamine).



**Table 1** Peak emission wavelengths, FWHM, and band gaps of MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> with variable ligand amount (*L*) as defined in the Experimental section

| Multiple of ligand concentration ( <i>L</i> ) | Peak emission wavelength (nm) | FWHM (nm) | Band gap (eV) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| 1                                             | 520                           | 21        | 2.36          |
| 2                                             | 510                           | 25        | 2.52          |
| 3                                             | 478                           | 26        | 2.73          |
| 3.5                                           | 458                           | 16        | 2.78          |
| 4                                             | 440                           | 15        | 2.83          |
| 6                                             | 408                           | 18        | 3.04          |

**Table 2** Peak emission wavelengths, lattice parameters, FWHM, and band gaps with 'x' in MAPb(Br<sub>x</sub>I<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>3</sub> at a fixed amount of ligand (1*L*)

| <i>x</i> | Peak emission wavelength (nm) | Lattice parameter (Å) | FWHM (nm) | Band gap (eV) |
|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------|
| 1        | 520                           | 5.930                 | 21        | 2.36          |
| 0.9      | 528                           | 5.942                 | 24        | 2.26          |
| 0.8      | 534                           | 5.961                 | 35        | 2.19          |
| 0.7      | 562                           | 6.004                 | 35        | 2.18          |
| 0.6      | 600                           | 6.044                 | 38        | 2.09          |
| 0.5      | 630                           | 6.076                 | 41        | 2.00          |
| 0        | 755                           | 6.304                 | 46        | 1.51          |


unit cell of the nanocrystals. The main diffraction peaks of the nanocrystals in the cubic phase are at approximately 15°, and 30°, that correspond to the (100) and (200) planes, respectively.<sup>22</sup> The sharp Bragg peaks reveal that these hybrid perovskites have high periodicity and good phase purity.<sup>57</sup> Furthermore, the crystal phase of MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals remains cubic for various ligand concentrations.


However, increasing the iodine content (decreasing 'x') at a fixed ligand concentration resulted in a shift of the Bragg

peaks to smaller angles (see in magnified XRD patterns, Fig. 1a). The shift in the angles occurs when bromine ions are replaced by larger iodine ions. The increased ionic radius of iodine causes the lattice parameter to increase (Table 2). At high iodine ion concentration, the crystal structure becomes tetragonal. The tetragonal phase is defined by a pseudocubic lattice;<sup>58</sup> therefore, the phase change remains a factor when considering how the amount of iodine present in the crystal structure could affect the lattice parameter. The pure MAPbI<sub>3</sub> sample has two Bragg peaks at 28.15° and 28.41° (see in magnified XRD patterns, Fig. 1a), which correspond to (004) and (220) planes in the tetragonal *I4/mcm* phase, respectively. These peaks gradually split with increasing the iodine content, which is attributed to the decrease in crystal structure symmetry. The crystal structure changes from the cubic phase to the tetragonal phase at a particular ratio of bromine to iodine content by rotating the PbX<sub>6</sub> octahedrons while maintaining corner sharing connectivity,<sup>58</sup> as depicted in Fig. 1b and c (the primitive cells of the tetragonal structure of MAPbI<sub>3</sub> and the cubic structure of MAPbBr<sub>3</sub>, respectively).

There are additional sharp peaks in XRD patterns at smaller angles (<10°) that indicate the presence of highly ordered superlattice-like arrangements of nanocrystals.<sup>59</sup> Some of these large superstructures are due to nanoplatelets stacking face-to-face and hence yielding low angle diffraction peaks.<sup>60</sup> A simple analysis of these peaks gives a spacing of ~1–4 nm that corresponds to the spacing between the nanocrystals.

The effects of the various ligand concentrations and halide compositions on the morphology of the nanocrystals were analyzed by using TEM images, which are shown in Fig. 2. The images in Fig. 2a–e show MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals with various ligand concentrations while the images in Fig. 2f–j show MAPb(Br<sub>x</sub>I<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals at a static ligand concentration. For MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals, a high ligand concentration (4*L*) results in the formation of nanoplatelets of thickness 1.73 nm and width 15.6 nm (Fig. 2a), while decreasing the ligand concentration (3.5*L* and 3*L*) allows nanocrystals grow larger and faster, which resulted in thicker nanoplatelets of thickness 2.28 nm and width 19.08 nm (Fig. 2b). In Fig. 2c, the thickness increases slightly from that in Fig. 2b to 2.34 nm and

**Fig. 2** TEM images of (a–e) MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> with decreasing ligand concentration (4*L*, 3.5*L*, 3*L*, 2*L*, and 1*L*) and (f–j) MAPb(Br<sub>x</sub>I<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>3</sub> with increasing iodine content (*x* = 0.8, *x* = 0.6, *x* = 0.5, *x* = 0.4, and *x* = 0) and fixed ligands (1*L*).



UV-visible absorption spectra (Fig. 3) were taken to study the effect of various ligand concentrations and halide compositions on the band gap of the nanocrystals. The absorption spectra of MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> with different ligand concentrations are presented in Fig. 3a. We have approximated the band gap using the peak position of the absorption spectra. The absorption spectra of mixed-halide perovskites ('Br' and 'I') at a fixed ligand concentration are shown in Fig. 3b. These spectra indicate the strong absorption of the synthesized nanocrystals in the visible region. The value of the bandgaps was calculated using Tauc plot analyses which are shown in the inset of Fig. 3b.<sup>63</sup> The bandgap ranges from 3.04 eV to 1.51 eV and these values are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The band gap tuning of MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> was

Fig. 4 The normalized PL emission spectra of halide perovskite nanocrystals over the range of 408–755 nm with varying ligand concentration for MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> and composition of 'Br' and 'I' for MAPb(Br<sub>x</sub>I<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.






Fig. 5 The normalized excitation spectra along with the corresponding normalized emission (blue, green, yellow, and red) spectra.

by using only two types of halide ions leading to successful procurement of colorful optoelectronic devices.

Fig. 4 shows the PL emission of  $\text{MAPb}(\text{Br}_x\text{I}_{1-x})_3$  perovskites synthesized at various ligand concentrations and different 'Br' to 'I' ratios. Tunable emission was observed from  $\text{MAPbBr}_3$  nanocrystals by varying the ligand concentration with an excitation wavelength of 365 nm. The peak position of the PL emission ranged from violet to green (408–520 nm). The ligand concentration affects the PL emission of the nanocrystals by restraining crystal growth as ligands bind to the outside of the nanocrystals during nucleation, thus making the structures smaller. This growth constraint gives rise to stronger quantum confinement effects, thus engineering the PL properties of the material. Then, the ratio of 'Br' and 'I' was adjusted for  $\text{MAPb}(\text{Br}_x\text{I}_{1-x})_3$  at a fixed ligand concentration to obtain wavelength ranges from green to IR (520–755 nm) and these nanocrystals are excited at 450 nm. The peak emission wavelengths are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The PL emission spectra (violet-IR) are narrow and sharp as characterized by the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM). The range of values for the FWHM from blue to red is 15–41 nm (shown in Tables 1 and 2), which are comparatively smaller values than typically found for these compounds.<sup>34,65</sup> The narrow FWHM implies high brightness, strong emission, and color purity in the PL emission of these perovskites which acts to increase the CRI of white light emission.<sup>66,67</sup> Hence, we effectively tuned the PL from violet to IR using only two halides in the perovskite nanocrystals.

The FWHM of the PL emission in ligand tuned  $\text{MAPbBr}_3$  nanocrystals noticeably changes as the spectrum shifts to the red range. The FWHM reduction towards the blue and violet end of the spectrum is due to the effects that ligands have on

crystal growth. The shorter crystal growth stage forces all nanocrystals to be smaller in size because there would not be enough time to create a significant size discrepancy between the growing nanocrystals during the shortened crystal growth stage during synthesis. As the ligand concentration decreases, the FWHM of PL emission increases. For mixed-halide perovskites, the increase in the FWHM as the iodine content increases is due to the inherently broader FWHM of  $\text{MAPbI}_3$  nanocrystals.<sup>68</sup>

The excitation spectra along with the corresponding emission spectra of the perovskites are shown in Fig. 5. These excitation spectra are broadband and extend from the UV to the visible range, which indicates the possibility of excitation by




Fig. 6 Combination of the emission spectra from four different emissions for tuning the CCT of white light.






Fig. 7 CIE color coordinate diagram showing white light quality across the obtained range of CCT values.

a wide range of wavelengths. All the nanocrystals synthesized with varying ligand concentrations or anion ratios are therefore usable as down-conversion phosphors on a wide range of III-nitride LEDs for the development of white LED technology.

Furthermore, for the first time, we have demonstrated a strategy to generate high-quality white light emission by combining nanocrystals of blue ( $\lambda = 458$  nm), green ( $\lambda = 510$  nm), yellow ( $\lambda = 562$  nm), and red ( $\lambda = 630$  nm) emissions. Then, we estimated the CCT and CRI of white light obtained from these nanocrystals. The combined emission spectra, tuned for different CCTs, are presented in Fig. 6. The combination of the four emission spectra demonstrates that a relatively high integrated intensity of the yellow and red phosphors is suitable for warm white light whereas increasing the integrated intensity of blue and green phosphors will cool the light hue. The CIE color coordinate diagram of white light emission is shown in Fig. 7. The effect of tuning the CCT of white light is plotted on the black body curve of the CIE chart. The CIE coordinates corresponding to white light nearly match the Planckian locus, which reveals that the color temperature we obtained is suitable and comfortable for human eyes. Different CCT and CRI values with corresponding CIE coordinates are presented in Table 3. The maximum CRI value we obtained is 93.95 at a CCT of 2759 K. To the best of our knowledge, the CRI value here is superior to previous reports for these materials.<sup>3,49</sup> Higher CRI values with suitable CCTs can be obtained from the

combination of nanocrystals with different emissions. In addition, one of the CIE coordinates obtained is (0.31, 0.33) which is very close to the standard neutral white light (0.33, 0.33). These results indicate that perovskite nanocrystals MAPb(Br<sub>x</sub>I<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>3</sub> have the potential to replace the conventional phosphors for next-generation light emitting devices.

## Conclusion

In summary, nanocrystals with PL emission spectra ranging from violet to IR (408–755 nm) have been synthesized by adjusting the ligand concentration in MAPbBr<sub>3</sub> and anion ratios of 'Br' to 'I' in MAPb(Br<sub>x</sub>I<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>3</sub> while keeping the ligand concentration constant. The bandgap is controllably tuned through the range of 3.04–1.51 eV. Thus, optoelectronic devices with a broad color gamut are now obtainable using two halide types rather than the traditional three, including MAPbCl<sub>3</sub> perovskites. In addition, we have demonstrated, as a first for this class of materials, a CCT estimation extending from cool to warm white emission with CRI values of up to 93.95. To our knowledge, a CRI of 93.95 is the highest value achieved using these materials. This exceptional light quality demonstrates the potential use of this material as the next generation of photon down-conversion phosphors for lighting devices.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support by The University of Tulsa through a Start-up Fund. The authors thank Dr Parameswar Harikumar's Semiconductor Characterization Laboratory for allowing the use of equipment for absorption measurements.

## Notes and references

- 1 M. G. Kibria and Z. Mi, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 2801–2820.
- 2 N. Guan, X. Dai, A. Messanvi, H. Zhang, J. Yan, E. Gautier, C. Bougerol, F. H. Julien, C. Durand, J. Eymery and M. Tchernycheva, *ACS Photonics*, 2016, **3**, 597–603.
- 3 J. McKittrick and L. E. Shea-Rohwer, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2014, **97**, 1327–1352.
- 4 N. Xu Lin, N. Thi Ngoc Nhen, C. Vinh Thang and D. Mau Chien, *Adv. Nat. Sci.: Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2010, **1**, 025015.
- 5 H. Z. Hai Guo, R. F. Wei, M. D. Zheng and LiH. Zhang, *Opt. Express*, 2011, **19**, A201–A206.
- 6 P. Zhu, H. Zhu, W. Qin, B. H. Dantas, W. Sun, C.-K. Tan and N. Tansu, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2016, **119**, 124305.
- 7 P. F. Smet, K. Korthout, J. E. Van Haecke and D. Poelman, *Mater. Sci. Eng., B*, 2008, **146**, 264–268.
- 8 W. Wang and P. Zhu, *Opt. Express*, 2018, **26**, 34820–34829.
- 9 P. Zhu, W. Wang, H. Zhu, P. Vargas and A. Bont, *IEEE Photonics J.*, 2018, **10**, 4500210.
- 10 H. Cui, P.-F. Zhu, H.-Y. Zhu, H.-D. Li and Q.-L. Cui, *Chin. Phys. B*, 2014, **23**, 057801.

Table 3 The values of CCT, CRI, CIE coordinates, and ratios between the integrated intensities of emission components

| CCT (K) | Blue | Green | Yellow | Red | CRI   | x    | y    |
|---------|------|-------|--------|-----|-------|------|------|
| 2759    | 0.15 | 0.34  | 0.45   | 1   | 93.95 | 0.46 | 0.41 |
| 3033    | 0.25 | 0.38  | 0.50   | 1   | 93.71 | 0.43 | 0.40 |
| 3307    | 0.31 | 0.45  | 0.54   | 1   | 93.53 | 0.41 | 0.39 |
| 3560    | 0.36 | 0.52  | 0.58   | 1   | 93.33 | 0.40 | 0.39 |
| 6398    | 1.17 | 1.07  | 0.85   | 1   | 90.59 | 0.31 | 0.33 |



- 11 T. Guner and M. M. Demir, *Phys. Status Solidi A*, 2018, **215**, 1800120.
- 12 M. M. Lee, J. Teuscher, T. Miyasaka, T. N. Murakami and H. J. Snaith, *Science*, 2012, **338**, 643–647.
- 13 L. Li, Y. Chen, Z. Liu, Q. Chen, X. Wang and H. Zhou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 9862–9868.
- 14 L. Zhang, Q. Zeng and K. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **8**, 3752–3758.
- 15 R. Naphade, S. Nagane, G. S. Shanker, R. Fernandes, D. Kothari, Y. Zhou, N. P. Padture and S. Ogale, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 854–861.
- 16 S. Gonzalez-Carrero, R. E. Galian and J. Pérez-Prieto, *Opt. Express*, 2016, **24**, A285–A301.
- 17 Z. Fan, K. Sun and J. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 18809–18828.
- 18 V. A. Hintermayr, A. F. Richter, F. Ehrat, M. Döblinger, W. Vanderlinden, J. A. Sichert, Y. Tong, L. Polavarapu, J. Feldmann and A. S. Urban, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 9478–9485.
- 19 G. C. Adhikari, H. Zhu, P. A. Vargas and P. Zhu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 15041–15046.
- 20 G. C. Adhikari, P. A. Vargas, H. Zhu and P. Zhu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, **123**, 1406–1412.
- 21 S. Gonzalez-Carrero, G. M. Espallargas, R. E. Galian and J. Perez-Prieto, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 14039–14045.
- 22 Y. Ling, Z. Yuan, Y. Tian, X. Wang, J. C. Wang, Y. Xin, K. Hanson, B. Ma and H. Gao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 305–311.
- 23 Q. Pan, H. Hu, Y. Zou, M. Chen, L. Wu, D. Yang, X. Yuan, J. Fan, B. Sun and Q. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 10947–10954.
- 24 F. Zhang, H. Zhong, C. Chen, X.-g. Wu, X. Hu, H. Huang, J. Han, B. Zou and Y. Dong, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 4533–4542.
- 25 I. Levchuk, A. Osvet, X. Tang, M. Brandl, J. D. Perea, F. Hoegl, G. J. Matt, R. Hock, M. Batentschuk and C. J. Brabec, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 2765–2770.
- 26 S. A. Veldhuis, P. P. Boix, N. Yantara, M. Li, T. C. Sum, N. Mathews and S. G. Mhaisalkar, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6804–6834.
- 27 D. B. Khadka, Y. Shirai, M. Yanagida, T. Noda and K. Miyano, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 22074–22082.
- 28 R. Naphade, B. Zhao, J. M. Richter, E. Booker, S. Krishnamurthy, R. H. Friend, A. Sadhanala and S. Ogale, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **4**, 1700562.
- 29 E. L. Unger, L. Kegelmann, K. Suchan, D. Sorell, L. Korte and S. Albrecht, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 11401–11409.
- 30 S. A. Kulkarni, T. Baikie, P. P. Boix, N. Yantara, N. Mathews and S. Mhaisalkar, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 9221–9225.
- 31 B. J. Bohn, Y. Tong, M. Gramlich, M. L. Lai, M. Döblinger, K. Wang, R. L. Z. Hoyer, P. Müller-Buschbaum, S. D. Stranks, A. S. Urban, L. Polavarapu and J. Feldmann, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 5231–5238.
- 32 L. Zhang, C. Liu, L. Wang, C. Liu, K. Wang and B. Zou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 11213–11217.
- 33 Y. Tong, M. Fu, E. Bladt, H. Huang, A. F. Richter, K. Wang, P. Müller-Buschbaum, S. Bals, P. Tamarat, B. Lounis, J. Feldmann and L. Polavarapu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 16094–16098.
- 34 J. Xing, F. Yan, Y. Zhao, S. Chen, H. Yu, Q. Zhang, R. Zeng, H. V. Demir, X. Sun, A. Huan and Q. Xiong, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 6623–6630.
- 35 Z.-K. Tan, R. S. Moghaddam, M. L. Lai, P. Docampo, R. Higler, F. Deschler, M. Price, A. Sadhanala, L. M. Pazos, D. Credgington, F. Hanusch, T. Bein, H. J. Snaith and R. H. Friend, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, **9**, 687–692.
- 36 X. Qin, H. Dong and W. Hu, *Sci. China Mater.*, 2015, **58**, 186–191.
- 37 H. Zhu, T. Cai, M. Que, J.-P. Song, B. M. Rubenstein, Z. Wang and O. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **9**, 4199–4205.
- 38 H. Shao, X. Bai, H. Cui, G. Pan, P. Jing, S. Qu, J. Zhu, Y. Zhai, B. Dong and H. Song, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 1023–1029.
- 39 T. Li, Y. Pan, Z. Wang, Y. Xia, Y. Chen and W. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 12602–12652.
- 40 D. B. Khadka, Y. Shirai, M. Yanagida and K. Miyano, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 162–170.
- 41 S. Pont, D. Bryant, C.-T. Lin, N. Aristidou, S. Wheeler, X. Ma, R. Godin, S. A. Haque and J. R. Durrant, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 9553–9560.
- 42 A. Polman, M. Knight, E. C. Garnett, B. Ehrler and W. C. Sinke, *Science*, 2016, **352**, 4424.
- 43 P. Wangyang, H. Sun, X. Zhu, D. Yang, X. Gao, W. Liu, Y. Chen, H. Tian and S. Huanglong, *Phys. Status Solidi A*, 2017, **214**, 1700538.
- 44 L. Li, Z. Sun, P. Wang, W. Hu, S. Wang, C. Ji, M. Hong and J. Luo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 12150–12154.
- 45 J. Zhang, X. Yang, H. Deng, K. Qiao, U. Farooq, M. Ishaq, F. Yi, H. Liu, J. Tang and H. Song, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2017, **9**, 36.
- 46 Z. Gu, K. Wang, W. Sun, J. Li, S. Liu, Q. Song and S. Xiao, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2016, **4**, 472–479.
- 47 Y. En-Ping, Y. Zhanlue, M. Lei, S. Pengyu, D. Shiqi, Y. Ye and Y. Yang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1606859.
- 48 K. T. Shimizu, M. Böhmer, D. Estrada, S. Gangwal, S. Grabowski, H. Bechtel, E. Kang, K. J. Vampola, D. Chamberlin, O. B. Shchekin and J. Bhardwaj, *Photonics Res.*, 2017, **5**, A1–A6.
- 49 S. Pathak, N. Sakai, F. Wisnivesky Rocca Rivarola, S. D. Stranks, J. Liu, G. E. Eperon, C. Ducati, K. Wojciechowski, J. T. Griffiths, A. A. Haghighirad, A. Pellaroque, R. H. Friend and H. J. Snaith, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 8066–8075.
- 50 Y.-W. Zhang, G. Wu, H. Dang, K. Ma and S. Chen, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **56**, 10053–10059.
- 51 E. R. Dohner, A. Jaffe, L. R. Bradshaw and H. I. Karunadasa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 13154–13157.
- 52 L. Mao, Y. Wu, C. C. Stoumpos, M. R. Wasielewski and M. G. Kanatzidis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 5210–5215.
- 53 Z. Zhuang, C. Peng, G. Zhang, H. Yang, J. Yin and H. Fei, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 14411–14416.
- 54 C. Peng, Z. Zhuang, H. Yang, G. Zhang and H. Fei, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 1627–1633.
- 55 R. K. Misra, L. Ciammaruchi, S. Aharon, D. Mogilyansky, L. Etgar, I. Visoly-Fisher and E. A. Katz, *ChemSusChem*, 2016, **9**, 2572–2577.



- 56 T. Baikie, Y. Fang, J. M. Kadro, M. Schreyer, F. Wei, S. G. Mhaisalkar, M. Graetzel and T. J. White, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 5628–5641.
- 57 L.-d. Tang, H. Mei, B. Wang and S. Peng, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2015, **26**, 8726–8731.
- 58 J. H. Noh, S. H. Im, J. H. Heo, T. N. Mandal and S. I. Seok, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 1764–1769.
- 59 Y. Nagaoka, K. Hills-Kimball, R. Tan, R. Li, Z. Wang and O. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1606666.
- 60 Y. Nagaoka, R. Tan, R. Li, H. Zhu, D. Eggert, Y. A. Wu, Y. Liu, Z. Wang and O. Chen, *Nature*, 2018, **561**, 378–382.
- 61 Q. A. Akkerman, S. G. Motti, A. R. Srimath Kandada, E. Mosconi, V. D'Innocenzo, G. Bertoni, S. Marras, B. A. Kamino, L. Miranda, F. De Angelis, A. Petrozza, M. Prato and L. Manna, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 1010–1016.
- 62 O. Vybornyi, S. Yakunin and M. V. Kovalenko, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 6278–6283.
- 63 B. P. Kafle, S. Acharya, S. Thapa and S. Poudel, *Ceram. Int.*, 2016, **42**, 1133–1139.
- 64 Y. Heng-Yun, L. Wei-Qiang, H. Chun-Li, Z. Yi, Y. Yu-Meng, M. Jiang-Gao, L. Peng-Fei and X. Ren-Gen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 2579–2586.
- 65 G. Li, F. W. R. Rivarola, N. J. L. K. Davis, S. Bai, T. C. Jellicoe, F. de la Peña, S. Hou, C. Ducati, F. Gao, R. H. Friend, N. C. Greenham and Z.-K. Tan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 3528–3534.
- 66 G. Li, Z.-K. Tan, D. Di, M. L. Lai, L. Jiang, J. H.-W. Lim, R. H. Friend and N. C. Greenham, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 2640–2644.
- 67 S. Zhuang, X. Ma, D. Hu, X. Dong and B. Zhang, *Ceram. Int.*, 2018, **44**, 4685–4688.
- 68 D. Li, G. Wang, H.-C. Cheng, C.-Y. Chen, H. Wu, Y. Liu, Y. Huang and X. Duan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11330.

