

Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2024, 12, 15580

Recent progress and perspectives of liquid organic hydrogen carrier electrochemistry for energy applications

Jinyao Tang,  Rongxuan Xie, Parsa Pishva, Xiaochen Shen, Yanlin Zhu and Zhenmeng Peng*

Amidst the global pursuit of clean and sustainable energy, the transition towards a hydrogen economy holds immense promise, yet is encumbered by significant storage challenges. Liquid organic hydrogen carrier (LOHC) electrochemistry emerges as a promising solution to enable efficient and sustainable hydrogen storage, meanwhile broadening the horizons of LOHCs for use as clean, renewable, and intense energy carriers to store and generate electricity. In this perspective, we embark on a review of recent trends and progress in LOHC redox electrochemistry, properties, and applications. We categorize electrochemically active, regenerable LOHCs into alcohols, amines, aromatic compounds, aminoxyl species, and others, based on their functional groups, with a keen emphasis on their electrochemical properties. Our examination of electrochemically redoxable LOHCs, with a particular focus on secondary alcohols such as isopropanol and cyclohexanol, primary amines such as ethylamine, aromatic compounds such as quinones, and aminoxyl species such as TEMPO, unveils their vast potential across diverse applications including ambient hydrogen storage, regenerative fuel cells for electricity generation, and rechargeable batteries and flow batteries for intensive electricity storage. Through this exploration, we discern promising avenues for advancing sustainable hydrogen and energy storage solutions by harnessing the diverse capabilities of different LOHC categories, thus paving the way towards a greener and more resilient energy landscape.

Received 20th March 2024
Accepted 21st May 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ta01893a

rsc.li/materials-a

1. Introduction

The global demand for sustainable energy solutions has prompted a transition towards cleaner energy sources such as hydrogen. However, the challenges associated with hydrogen storage and transportation hinder its widespread application. To address these challenges, liquid organic hydrogen carriers (LOHCs) have emerged as a promising alternative, offering efficient and sustainable hydrogen storage and extending energy storage possibilities even beyond hydrogen storage.

LOHCs offer significant advantages for hydrogen storage and energy applications by facilitating the release and uptake of hydrogen through chemical reactions.¹ Previous research efforts mainly focused on LOHC thermal dehydrogenation/hydrogenation processes for completing a hydrogen storage cycle. However, the thermal chemistry approach poses challenges for reaction conditions, typically requiring elevated pressure and temperature (10–50 bar and 100–250 °C for hydrogenation, atmospheric pressure and 150–400 °C for endothermic dehydrogenation).² In contrast, LOHC electrochemistry presents compelling perks over its thermal

chemistry. The utilization of electrode potentials overcomes the thermodynamics of endothermic reactions and promotes kinetics, allowing operations at ambient pressure and temperature. Electrification of LOHC chemistry can not only enhance energy efficiency but also enable the direct use of LOHCs in electrical energy storage devices, representing a significant improvement over conventional thermal methods. Moreover, the working principle of LOHC electrochemical cells helps minimize the need for hydrogen separation after release, enhancing the overall efficiency.³

Certain alcohols such as isopropanol (IPA)^{4–6} and cyclohexanol⁷ have attracted significant research interest as LOHCs due to their ease of acquisition from industrial processes. These alcohols can undergo dehydrogenation to yield carbonyl derivatives as H-lean LOHCs (LOHC₋), which can subsequently be rehydrogenated to H-rich LOHCs (LOHC₊), establishing the basis for an alcohol-based LOHC economy. Besides alcohols, some amines^{8–10} and aromatic compounds^{11,12} serve as potential LOHC candidates. Noteworthy, ethylamine has been demonstrated as a promising LOHC, boasting an impressive 8.9 wt% hydrogen storage content and exhibiting highly reversible merit.¹⁰ In a broader sense, any chemicals configured with H-lean form and H-rich counterparts, existing in a liquid phase during use, qualify as LOHCs. For instance, the *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI) redox couple switches

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA. E-mail: zmpeng@sc.edu




between the hydroxylamine and aminoxyl radical. This redox couple undergoes a one-proton transfer reaction without the need for catalysts and is typically dissolved in water or other solvents for flow batteries.^{13,14} Therefore, this category extends to various chemicals acting as energy sources, enabling hydrogen storage, contributing to electricity generation through fuel cells, and functioning as the anolyte or catholyte in flow batteries. With the field of sustainable energy storage continuously evolving, there is growing research interest in LOHCs and diverse unrecognized LOHC categories. As recent reviews predominantly concentrate on the application of LOHCs solely in fuel cells, it becomes imperative to summarize recent advancements and trends in the broader field of LOHCs. This includes highlighting the emerging role of LOHCs in hydrogen storage, flow batteries and other innovative energy storage technologies, as well as identifying future research directions in LOHC development.

In this perspective, our focus is directed towards electrochemically active and regenerable LOHCs. We classify these compounds according to their distinct functional groups and delve into their electrochemical characteristics. Furthermore, the perspective offers insights into the diverse applications of LOHC electrochemistry, encompassing hydrogen storage, regenerative fuel cells, batteries, and flow batteries. It culminates by proposing avenues for future research aimed at enhancing electrochemical properties of LOHCs and facilitating their widespread integration into sustainable energy solutions.

2. LOHC redox electrochemistry

Electrochemically active and regenerable LOHCs represent a class of compounds which can undergo redox electrochemistry, either with or without a catalyst. The LOHC electrochemical redox reactions under acidic and basic conditions can be depicted with eqn (1) and (2), respectively.^{15,16}



In brief, LOHC_+ undergoes electrochemical oxidation above its thermodynamic redox potential, resulting in dehydrogenation to

LOHC_- . When the electrode potential is below the redox potential, the reverse process, *i.e.*, electrochemical reduction of LOHC_- , occurs that leads to its hydrogenation back into LOHC_+ . Table 1 summarizes some representative LOHC chemicals that have been investigated for their electrochemical properties.

2.1. Fundamentals of LOHC electrochemistry

The underlying principles of LOHC electrochemistry stem from straightforward reaction pathways that can be triggered by low overpotentials. These reactions primarily involve facile activation and cleavage of H-bonds, such as O–H, N–H and C–H bonds. As a result, the activation energy (E_a) associated with LOHC electrochemistry typically falls within a low to moderate range. For instance, the E_a of ethylamine oxidation was measured to be 52.4 kJ mol^{−1} at 0.5 V vs. RHE, and the E_a of acetonitrile reduction was 11.6 kJ mol^{−1}.¹⁰ The relatively low E^0 values of LOHC pairs, as shown in Table 1, facilitate these reactions, when coupled with hydrogen electrochemistry reactions, to be driven by minimal energy input, an important feature to enable efficient hydrogen storage.

The LOHC electrochemistry can follow various mechanisms, primarily governed by catalysts and reaction conditions. Scheme 1a depicts a typical reaction pathway for LOHC electrooxidation on transition metal catalysts.¹⁷ The absorbed reactant forms bonds with the metal catalyst during electro-oxidation, and the rate determining step (RDS) is the desorption of the product. Another reaction pathway for LOHC electro-oxidation proposed by Fleischmann *et al.* is the indirect oxidation mechanism as shown in Scheme 1b.^{18–20} In this mechanism, catalysts such as NiOOH act as chemical oxidizing agents, initiating a reaction with the amine/alcohol *via* a rate-limiting hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) step. This step transfers a hydrogen radical from the α -carbon of the alcohol/amine to NiOOH, reducing it to Ni(OH)₂. This regeneration occurs rapidly, even at low overpotentials, and the overall rate of oxidation remains unaffected by the applied potential, mainly governed by the chemical HAT step. For LOHCs such as anthraquinone (AQ), the reaction pathway depends on the metal ions in the electrolytes.²¹ It undergoes a two-step single-electron reductive reaction in metal ion electrolytes with a low charge-to-radius ratio. Initially, AQ forms a radical anion $[\text{AQ}]^{\cdot-}$,

Table 1 Representative electrochemically active and regenerable LOHCs and some physiochemical properties

LOHC ₊ /LOHC _−	E^0 (V vs. RHE)	H wt% C)	Boiling point (°C)	Water solubility (/100 mL)
Ethylamine/acetonitrile	0.13 (ref. 10)	8.9	16.6/82.0	Miscible/miscible
Isopropanol/acetone	0.12 (ref. 29)	3.3	82.6/56.1	Miscible/miscible
Cyclohexanol/cyclohexanone	0.15 (ref. 29)	2.0	161.8/155.6	3.6 g/8.6 g
Methylcyclohexane/toluene	0.15 (ref. 30)	6.1	101/110.6	1.4 mg/51.9 mg
9,10-Dihydroxyanthracene-2,7-disulfonic acid/anthraquinone-2,7-disulfonic acid (AQDS)	0.2 (ref. 31)	0.5	—/—	Soluble/>1 M
1-Hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (TEMPOH)/2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine N-oxyl (TEMPO)	−0.38 vs. NHE ²²	0.6	208.8/193	Soluble/1.0 g
N-Hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI)/phthalimide N-oxyl (PINO)	1.30 vs. NHE ³²	0.6	—/—	Slightly soluble/<100 mg



which pairs with metal ions to create stable $[AQ-M^{n+}]^{(n-1)+}$ molecules (Scheme 1c). Spin-density analysis reveals delocalization of spin densities for certain metal ions, facilitating dissociation from the AQ crystal and formation of red species in the electrolyte. Additionally, metal ions such as Al^{3+} , Mg^{2+} , and Zn^{2+} with larger charge-to-radius ratios form unstable radical species due to higher spin densities localized on the metal centers. A proton-assisted one-step two-electron reductive reaction mechanism is proposed for certain aqueous solutions (Scheme 1c). High binding energies between M^{n+} ions and $[AQ]^{2-}$ anions indicate favorable metathesis reactions between AQ-H2 and metal ions, leading to the formation of AQ metal products (AQ-M). Another example is aminoxyl radicals, which exhibit distinctive redox properties (Scheme 1d).²² Aminoxyl radicals (1) undergo a reversible one-electron oxidation to form oxoammonium species (2) and a quasi-reversible one-electron/one-proton reduction to hydroxylamines (3).


2.2. Categories of LOHCs

2.2.1. Alcohol-based LOHCs. Primary alcohols such as methanol and ethanol have long been utilized in fuel cells owing to their promising electrochemical activity and low oxidation potential.²³ However, their oxidation pathway typically results in a complete conversion to CO_2 , raising the regenerability challenge and undermining their intended role as LOHCs.²⁴ The onset potentials for electrooxidation of these alcohols are comparable, hovering around -0.8 V vs. SCE, when using Pd/ TiO_2 -MWCNTs catalysts (Fig. 1a).²⁵ While CO_2 is the predominant oxidation product, there exists potential for partial oxidation of methanol and ethanol to formate and acetate by discovering selective catalysts (Fig. 1b and c).²⁶ Methanol electrooxidation can proceed *via* generation of either CO-like, formaldehyde, or formic acid intermediates (Fig. 1e).²⁷ Pd catalysts were reported to exhibit interesting selectivity in converting alcohols to aldehydes and subsequently to acids, rather than all the way to CO_2 .²⁶

IPA, classified as a secondary alcohol, emerges as a more promising LOHC due to its distinctive electrochemical and physical attributes. The reversible nature inherent in secondary alcohols renders them highly suitable for LOHC electrochemistry. Specifically, IPA electro-oxidation produces sole liquid product acetone (ACE) that can be selectively reduced back to IPA,²⁸ enhancing its competitiveness as an LOHC (Fig. 1d). The reported low onset potential for IPA oxidation, approximately 0.2 V vs. RHE using a Pd catalyst, and excellent selectivity towards the ACE product underscore its electrochemical viability, ensuring a controlled and efficient process.²⁹ Moreover, the physical properties of IPA as well as ACE, including low cost, low toxicity, 3.3 wt% hydrogen storage capacity, moderate boiling point, and miscibility with water, contribute to its status as a promising LOHC candidate under ambient conditions. However, catalyst poisoning due to strong ACE adsorption was reported in previous studies, which led to a decay in the IPA electro-oxidation activity.⁴ Addressing the catalyst stability problem is thus crucial for IPA oxidation to ACE. Further research endeavors are warranted to identify advanced catalysts capable of catalyzing selective IPA oxidation and meanwhile enhancing both reaction efficiency and catalyst stability.

Some other secondary alcohols also exhibit remarkable electro-redox activity and selectivity. For instance, cyclohexanol electro-oxidation shows a low onset potential of about 0.2 V vs. RHE when using a Pt catalyst and produces cyclohexanone as the only LOHC₂ product. Notably, Pt can also effectively catalyze electrochemical reduction of cyclohexanone, which regenerates cyclohexanol.²⁹ The high selectivity appears to be a distinct advantage of secondary alcohols, as compared to primary ones. This interesting characteristic, coupled with their physical merits and electrochemical properties, positions secondary alcohols as promising candidates for efficient and reversible LOHC systems in hydrogen storage and energy applications.

2.2.2. Amine-based LOHCs. Amines constitute another compelling category of LOHCs, attracting significant research interest due to their high theoretical hydrogen storage capacity and regenerative properties. For instance, ethylamine boasts an impressive 8.9 wt% hydrogen capacity under ambient conditions.



Scheme 1 Reaction pathways of LOHCs. (a) The schematic reaction pathway for 2-propanol electrooxidation on a Pd/Ni-foam electrode in an alkaline medium with acetone as the sole product. Reproduced with permission from ref. 17. Copyright 2011 Elsevier. (b) Proposed mechanism for the indirect oxidation of amines at NiOOH electrodes in alkaline aqueous media. Reproduced with permission from ref. 18. Copyright 2022 American Chemical Society. (c) Proposed redox mechanism of AQ in different aqueous metal ion electrolytes. Reproduced with permission from ref. 21. Copyright 2020 Elsevier. (d) Simplified redox chemistry of aminoxyl radicals. Reproduced with permission from ref. 22. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.




Fig. 1 Electrochemistry of LOHCs. (a) $\text{Pd}/\text{TiO}_2\text{-MWCNTs}$ catalysts in $0.5\text{ M NaOH} + 1.0\text{ M CH}_3\text{OH}$ ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ or $\text{C}_3\text{H}_7\text{OH}$) at a scanning rate of 50 mV s^{-1} . Adapted with permission from ref. 25. Copyright 2015 Elsevier. Potential sweeps and formed oxidation products of (b) methanol, (c) ethanol and (d) iso-propanol on a palladium electrode. The solid lines represent the potential sweep with sample collection and the dashed ones without. Reproduced with permission from ref. 26. Copyright 2011 Elsevier. (e) Schematic of the parallel pathways for the electrocatalytic oxidation of methanol. Reproduced with permission from ref. 27. Copyright 2022 Elsevier. (f) CV of a commercial Pt black catalyst-loaded working electrode in 1 M NaOH aqueous solution with the addition of 1 M ethylamine, 1 M acetonitrile, and 1 M ethylamine + 1 M acetonitrile. (g) ^1H NMR spectrum of the liquid product from ethylamine dehydrogenation obtained by applying 0.7 V vs. RHE for 10 h , with acetonitrile as

Wu *et al.* investigated ethylamine–acetonitrile electrocatalytic redox properties and revealed low onset potentials of 0.19 V in ethylamine electro-oxidation and 0.09 V *vs.* RHE in reverse acetonitrile electro-reduction, respectively, using a commercial Pt catalyst (Fig. 1f).¹⁰ The liquid product analysis confirms acetonitrile as the sole liquid product of ethylamine dehydrogenation (Fig. 1g), which was further validated by the detection of hydrogen gas using a gas analyzer (Fig. 1h). In another significant finding, Zhang *et al.* reported efficient electrocatalytic hydrogenation of acetonitrile to ethylamine using a Cu catalyst in the presence of dissolved CO₂, with remarkable selectivity (99%) and faradaic efficiency (94%) achieved.⁸ It was proposed that the Cu catalyst favors nitrile adsorption and dissolved CO₂ helps protect primary amine generation from undesirable condensation reactions. These findings demonstrate the potential of ethylamine as a robust candidate for LOHC applications, owing to its highly reversible electrochemical redox properties. Besides, its water miscibility and low cost further enhance its suitability for diverse hydrogen storage and energy applications.

2.1.3. Aromatic compound-based LOHCs. Some aromatic chemicals were recognized for their reversible electro-redox reactions, presenting them as promising LOHC candidates. Among them, methylcyclohexane (MCH) stands out with a hydrogen capacity exceeding 6.0 wt% and a low thermodynamic MCH/toluene redox potential of 0.15 V *vs.* SHE. Previous studies reported dehydrogenation of MCH to toluene and benzene with a molar ratio of 94 : 6 in product distribution in a solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) at 420 °C.³⁰ Imada *et al.* investigated toluene electrochemical hydrogenation and reported the interesting catalytic properties of Rh_x/Pt/C that are 2.7 times as high compared to pure Pt.³⁴ Quinones, representing another typical class of aromatic compounds as possible LOHCs, possess interesting attributes due to their inherent ability to undergo redox electrochemistry without the need for catalysts. Moreover, their redox potential can be finely tuned by introducing various modifications to the aromatic ring.³⁵ Yan *et al.* investigated the rocking-chair electrochemical behavior of 9,10-anthraquinone (AQ) with different ions in the electrolyte (Fig. 1i), revealing the highly reversible nature of AQ's redox electrochemistry.²¹ Notably, it was proposed that AQ undergoes a two-step redox process with a poor reversibility in neutral or alkaline aqueous electrolytes and undergoes a reversible one-step two-electron redox process facilitated by protons in acidic electrolytes. In general, aromatic compound-based LOHCs hold potential to be applied, given their interesting redox electrochemistry properties and even requiring no catalyst. It needs to be noted that this category of LOHCs typically has a low water solubility that may restrict their applications. Incorporating water-solubilizing groups through modifications can enhance the solubility of such LOHCs, thereby improving their performance.

2.1.4. Aminoxyl-based LOHCs. Aminoxyl species, such as 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine *N*-oxyl (TEMPO) and phthalimide *N*-oxyl (PINO), represent a unique class of potential LOHCs with interesting electro-redox behavior facilitated by protons.²² *N*-Hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI) exhibits reversible oxidation/reduction peaks in an aprotic acetonitrile electrolyte, generating PINO at 1.5 V that can be reduced back to NHPI at 1.3 V *vs.* Ag/AgCl (Fig. 1j).³³ The introduction of water induces a negative shift in redox potentials, suggesting hydrogen-bonding interactions and a concerted electron-proton transfer mechanism. TEMPO exhibits an electrochemical redox to corresponding hydroxylamine (TEMPOH) at −0.38 V *vs.* NHE, displaying a pronounced pH dependence under acidic conditions.²² Similar to quinones, these species can engage in catalyst-free redox electrochemistry, posing a significant merit for use as LOHCs in flow battery applications.

2.1.5. Other LOHCs. There exist other categories of organic compounds that can be potentially applied as LOHCs but have not garnered significant attention from researchers, primarily due to the observed irreversible oxidation during their electro-oxidation processes. Taking formic acid as an example, although it has a decent hydrogen capacity and low toxicity, it remains less competitive among other LOHCs because it undergoes direct oxidation to CO₂ whereas efficient CO₂ reduction to formic acid is still challenging.³⁶ Similarly, the *L*-ascorbic acid (AA)/dehydroascorbic acid (DHA) pair can undergo redox electrochemistry. However, DHA is not stable and gets rapidly oxidized to irreversible products particularly when the pH exceeds 8, limiting its practical viability.¹⁶ These instances highlight the crucial necessity for a comprehensive understanding of these underappreciated LOHC candidates to unlock their full potential and address the challenges hindering their adoption.

2.3. Electrocatalysts for LOHC redox electrochemistry

Electrocatalysts play a crucial role in the electrochemical redox processes of alcohol/amine-based and certain aromatic compound-based LOHCs by lowering overpotentials and accelerating kinetics. As of now, the design of these electrocatalysts predominantly centers on transition metal-based nanomaterials, known for their intriguing catalytic properties in a broad range of redox reaction systems.

2.3.1. Catalysts for LOHC₊ electro-oxidation. Platinum group metal (PGM) catalysts are frequently employed for the electro-oxidation of LOHC₊. When designing these catalysts, it is essential to consider the operational pH environment of the LOHC system. For example, amines typically require alkaline conditions for efficient oxidation. Additionally, catalyst selectivity is crucial to ensure the production of a single desired LOHC_− product, streamlining the reverse hydrogenation process to LOHC₊. While pure Pt exhibits excellent catalytic activity, it is often prone to degradation due to strong intermediate/product

a reference. (h) Mass spectrum of the gas product from the counter electrode in the CH₃CH₂NH₂ dehydrogenation experiment. Reproduced with permission from ref. 10. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society. (i) CV curves of AQ at 5 mV s^{−1} in different 1 M HCl. Reproduced with permission from ref. 21. Copyright 2020 Elsevier. (j) CVs of 2 mmol L^{−1} NHPI at 20 mV s^{−1} with increasing amounts of H₂O, c[H₂O] (mol L^{−1}): 0 (black), 0.28 (red), 2.6 (blue), and 5.1 (dark cyan). Reproduced with permission from ref. 33. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.



absorption.^{10,28,29} Consequently, Pt-based alloys are emerging as viable alternatives for LOHC₊ electro-oxidation.

Catalyst selection for different LOHCs can be guided by theories such as d-band (ϵ_d) theory³⁷ or descriptors such as the work function³⁸ to predict the performance, which can then be validated experimentally. For instance, integrating non-noble metals such as Cu with Pt alters the electronic structure of Pt through ensemble and ligand effects.^{39,40} Additionally, less noble Cu provides OH[−] species at lower potentials⁴¹ and effectively adjusts the d-band center of Pt, preventing its oxidation⁴² and thereby enhancing the LOHC₊ oxidation activity. Furthermore, engineering the morphology and geometry of the Pt–Cu alloy catalyst can lead to higher performance, achieved through techniques such as morphological tailoring and polyhedral structures.^{43,44}

2.3.2. Catalysts for LOHC_− electro-reduction. The electro-reduction of LOHC_− back to LOHC₊ involves a hydrogenation process. Recently, Pt and Pd have been discovered as potential hydrogenation catalysts for nitrile and alcohol-based LOHC_− systems.^{9,10,28,29,45} Similar to LOHC₊ electro-oxidation catalysts, the design of LOHC_− electro-reduction catalysts prioritizes compatibility with the operational environment and high selectivity towards a single LOHC₊ product, with activity and stability being a secondary concern. Pt and Pd-based alloys are considered promising candidates for LOHC_− reduction catalysts, with their selection guided by the d-band theory and confirmed through experimental screening.

2.3.3. Challenges and future directions. While notable progress has been made in achieving excellent selectivity, catalyst stability remains a persistent challenge in many LOHC redox electrochemistry systems. Future efforts should concentrate on exploring stable catalysts devoid of poisoning effects, with theoretical calculations playing a crucial role in predicting catalyst behaviour. Ultimately, the advancement of bifunctional electrocatalysts capable of facilitating both LOHC₊ oxidation and LOHC_− reduction processes will advance the evolution of LOHC technology.

3. Applications of LOHC redox electrochemistry

The LOHC redox electrochemistry, when coupled with other electrochemical reactions, can be utilized to fulfil a variety of applications, particularly hydrogen storage and electrical energy generation and storage applications. Different LOHCs possess their own unique traits that would render them well-suited for specific applications. For instance, ethylamine stands out as an excellent choice for onboard hydrogen storage and electric energy generation, thanks to its impressive hydrogen capacity. Conversely, IPA demonstrates excellent suitability for stationary hydrogen and energy storage applications due to its low cost and low toxicity. LOHCs that have a good hydrogen capacity and do not require catalysts may well suit batteries and flow batteries for energy storage. Table 2 summarizes the applications of selected LOHCs and their respective performance.

Table 2 Applications of selected LOHCs and their performance

LOHC ₊	Catalyst	Application	OCV (V)	Max power density (mW cm ^{−2})	Specific capacity	Temperature (°C)	Stability	Reference
Isopropanol	Pt–Ru	Fuel cell	0.782	254	—	100	—	4
Cyclohexanol	Pt/C	Fuel cell	0.93	74.7	—	80	—	7
Ethanol	Pd–(Ni–Zn)/C	Fuel cell	0.80	170	—	80	217 h	46
Quinone	—	Fuel cell	0.85	~215	—	80	>8 h	31
1,2-Dihydrobenzoquinone-3,5-disulfonic acid (BQDS)	—	Fuel cell	0.86	122	—	RT	50 cycles	47
PEG-substituted anthraquinones (PEGAQs)	—	Flow battery	1.0	220	25.2 W h L ^{−1}	RT	220 cycles/>18 days	48
Methylene blue (MB)	—	Battery	0.9	4.706	1324 μ A h cm ^{−2}	RT	8000 cycles	49
N-Hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI)	—	Battery	1.15	—	270 mA h L ^{−1}	0	>20 cycles	32
4-Carboxylic-7-sulfonate fluorene	—	Flow battery	1.10	—	~80% theoretical capacity	RT	780 cycles	50
(4C7SFL–OH)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Isopropanol	Pt/CC	Battery	—	—	525 mA h g ^{−1}	RT	50 cycles	29
Cyclohexanol	Pt/CC	Battery	0.96	—	374 mA h g ^{−1}	RT	250 cycles	29
(2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl (TEMPO)	—	Fuel cell	0.7	90	—	30	200 min	51
3,3'-(Phenazine-1,8-diyl)dipropionic acid (1,8-PFP)	—	Flow battery	1.15	94	~135C	45	53 days	52



3.1. Criteria for LOHCs in various applications

The applications of LOHCs span various domains, including hydrogen storage, regenerative LOHC fuel cells, rechargeable LOHC batteries, and LOHC flow batteries. While rapid and reversible LOHC redox electrochemistry is universally desired, different applications have different priorities and thus place varying emphasis on the specific requirements of LOHCs for effective implementation.

In hydrogen storage applications, paramount attention is directed towards storage efficiency and capacity. Attaining a low E_{cell}^0 for LOHC electrolysis is vital to ensure an effective hydrogen uptake and release cycle. Additionally, a high hydrogen storage density, both in terms of LOHC mass and volume, holds significant importance, especially in onboard hydrogen storage applications. Conversely, for stationary, large-scale applications, stringent demands on mass and volume are somewhat relaxed, with cost and safety emerging as predominant factors.

Regenerative LOHC fuel cells are engineered for emission-free, efficient electricity generation through the coupling of LOHC electro-oxidation and oxygen reduction reactions. Therefore, greater emphasis is placed on fuel cell energy and power density. LOHCs with lower E^0 values facilitate higher E_{cell}^0 values and increased power output. Furthermore, a higher hydrogen density in LOHCs results in more available hydrogen carriers for utilization, thereby enhancing electrical energy generation.

In battery applications, the primary considerations for LOHCs revolve around reversibility and specific capacity. Given the need for *in situ* charge and discharge within a single device, LOHC pairs must demonstrate high stability and selectivity between LOHC₊ and LOHC₋ chemicals to deter capacity decay. Furthermore, specific capacity holds particular significance, particularly in portable applications where energy density is a primary concern. Therefore, a high hydrogen carrier density of LOHCs would be preferred.

In the realm of flow batteries, primarily utilized for stationary energy storage, more emphasis is placed on reversibility and rechargeability rather than specific capacity. Flow batteries favor LOHCs that do not necessitate catalysts, aligning with their intended purpose of providing large-scale and cost-effective energy storage solutions. Consequently, low-cost LOHCs devoid of catalysts emerge as good options for flow battery applications.

3.2. Hydrogen storage

Hydrogen storage represents the foremost application of LOHC electrochemistry. In a pivotal contribution, Wu *et al.* introduced a framework for a sustainable hydrogen economy, centering on the electrochemical ethylamine/acetonitrile redox method for hydrogen storage (Fig. 2a).¹⁰ Employing a custom-made 10 cm² electrochemical cell, they probed the H₂ uptake and release processes, effectively simulating a complete H₂ storage cycle. The electrochemical cell exhibited commendable durability in H₂ uptake through acetonitrile electrochemical hydrogenation, maintaining notable current levels and showcasing an augmented H₂ uptake rate at higher cell voltages. The

efficacy of H₂ release was underscored by continuous H₂ generation with nearly 100% faradaic efficiency (FE). Energy consumption analysis validated the method's efficiency, particularly at slower rates, highlighting its potential for sustainable hydrogen storage (Fig. 2b). Notably, the electrochemical ethylamine/acetonitrile redox method outperformed existing approaches by its capability to achieve an impressive 8.9 wt% hydrogen storage capacity under ambient conditions, aligning with the 2025 Department of Energy (DOE) onboard hydrogen storage target. The cell durability was assessed through chronoamperometry experiments conducted at various voltages. Initially, the current exhibited a sharp decline within the first few minutes, followed by a more stable behavior for the remainder of the experiment at constant voltage, indicating favorable cell durability. However, when the voltage was intermittently toggled on and off to simulate startup and shutdown operations, the current decayed more rapidly. Moreover, as the voltage increased, the decay rate intensified. This phenomenon is likely attributed to the gradual deactivation of the Pt black catalyst under these stability test conditions. The discovery of a more robust catalyst is imperative to improve the stability.

In a follow-up study, Li *et al.* focused on the electrolysis of ethylamine and showcased a remarkable H₂ production rate of 309 μmol h⁻¹ with an impressive 97% FE at room temperature.⁹ Moreover, the process yielded acetonitrile with a 100% FE at a cell voltage of 0.6 V. This signifies a notable advancement in ethylamine electrolysis for H₂ release, demonstrating both speed control and excellent selectivity under ambient conditions. The stability of ethylamine electrolysis was evaluated *via* chronoamperometry, revealing rapid current decay from 81 mA cm⁻² to 9 mA cm⁻² within 15 minutes, indicating catalyst deactivation. *In situ* attenuated total reflectance Fourier-transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) characterization showed stronger adsorption of primary amine-related peaks over time, suggesting intermediate accumulation on the catalyst surface. A regeneration cycling experiment involving a negative voltage sweep effectively recovered initial current density, with subsequent linear sweep voltammetry indicating a 14% drop in final current density compared to an 80% drop without treatment. FTIR spectra demonstrated desorption of accumulated species with negative voltage treatment, regenerating active sites and alleviating performance degradation.

3.3. Regenerative LOHC fuel cells

The electrochemical redox properties of LOHCs lay the foundation for their direct utilization as regenerative fuels in fuel cells for electrical energy generation. Unlike traditional liquid fuel cells, such as direct methanol fuel cells (DMFCs), which encounter issues related to their complete oxidation to emit CO₂, the electro-oxidation of LOHC₊s produces their corresponding LOHC₋ that can be readily hydrogenated to regenerate the original LOHC₊s, forming a closed loop and having no emissions.






Fig. 2 LOHC electrochemistry for hydrogen storage and regenerative fuel cells. (a) Schematic drawing of a full-cell system that realizes a complete cycle of hydrogen storage under ambient conditions by coupling the electrochemical ethylamine/acetonitrile redox method with the HER/HOR, with hydrogen production from renewable energy and hydrogen applications included to illustrate a sustainable hydrogen economy. (b) Full-cell with ethylamine/acetonitrile *I*–*V* curves for H₂ uptake and release steps, and corresponding power consumption. Reproduced with permission from ref. 10. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society. (c) Power density curves of a direct quinone fuel cell. Reproduced with permission from ref. 31. Copyright 2023 American Chemical Society. (d) Conversion of H18-DBT-bound hydrogen to electricity in a sequence of transfer hydrogenation of ACE to IPA in a transfer hydrogenation reactor (THR) followed by use of the formed 2-propanol as organic fuel in a subsequent PEM fuel cell. (e) Current–voltage curves of different fuels (IPA, methanol, and hydrogen (dry and wet)). Reproduced with permission from ref. 3. Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Taking regenerative IPA fuel cells as an example, IPA reacts with air to generate electricity and meanwhile produces ACE and water as products. Then ACE can be hydrogenated back to IPA on-board, by coupling ACE electro-reduction and water oxidation reactions. Alternatively, the produced ACE can be collected and centralized to regenerate IPA for re-use. In a study by Sievi *et al.*, they presented a concept using perhydro-dibenzyltoluene (H18-DBT) as the hydrogen transport vector to reduce ACE to IPA. Subsequently, they employed IPA as the fuel in a proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) for electricity generation (Fig. 2d).³ The initial validation confirmed the selective formation of IPA from ACE with H18-DBT as the hydrogen source and Pt/C as the catalyst, demonstrating the feasibility of the reaction under relatively mild conditions (190 °C). In a semi-continuous experiment under ambient pressure conditions with a 0.3 wt% Pt/AlO_x catalyst at 170 °C, they achieved a 13.6% yield of IPA after 1 h, affirming the viability of acetone hydrogenation with the assistance of H18-DBT. The performance of the IPA fuel cell was then evaluated with a commercial DMFC setup with a PtRu/C anode and compared with other established fuel cells such as

hydrogen and methanol. Fig. 2e illustrates the corresponding *I*–*V* curves of the fuel cells, indicating that the performance of the IPA fuel cell is three to four times lower than that of hydrogen but significantly better than that of methanol. Importantly, this process requires no external heat input and demonstrates promising potential for over 50% efficiency, surpassing traditional dehydrogenation/fuel cell approaches.

Quinone was demonstrated as another candidate for the regenerative fuel cell application, offering a cost-effective alternative by eliminating the need for catalysts. Yurko *et al.* reported an anthraquinone-2,7-disulfonic acid (AQDS) fuel cell, which delivered three times peak power density of a DMFC without the application of any catalyst at the anode (Fig. 2c).³¹ The cell demonstrated reversibility when switched into electrolyzer mode, with the oxidized AQDS solution being completely regenerated within 25 min. The chemical stability of AQDS was evaluated over 35 cycles, revealing a slight decrease in total charge passed during AQDS oxidation cycles, possibly indicating crossover or self-discharge mechanisms. Material degradation from acidic conditions may promote AQDS



disproportionation, while cathode degradation and poisoning by leaked AQDS could further impact performance. Enhancing material strength and selecting improved membranes can reduce crossover and enhance stability.

3.4. Rechargeable LOHC batteries

With the capacity for *in situ* regeneration, LOHC redox electrochemistry can be utilized in rechargeable battery application, with LOHCs being integrated for use as active electrode materials. Building upon the approach of hydrogen gas batteries, Tang *et al.* introduced a new concept employing LOHCs as anodes instead of H₂ gas in batteries.²⁹ Their study focused on two LOHC redox couples, *i.e.*, cyclohexanol (CHOL)/cyclohexanone (CHON) and IPA/ACE, and investigated their electrochemical redox properties under half-cell conditions in a 1 M KOH electrolyte. CV and ¹H NMR analysis confirmed the reversible interconversion between CHOL and CHON and between IPA and ACE. Coin cells employing LOHC-loaded Pt/CC as the anode and Ni(OH)₂/NF as the cathode exhibited promising performance (Fig. 3a). The CHOL cell achieved a maximum specific capacity of 374 mA h g⁻¹ (Fig. 3b), while the IPA cell reached 525 mA h g⁻¹. Notably, both cells showed high

cell voltage comparable to hydrogen gas batteries, while exhibiting significantly higher specific capacities (Fig. 3c). Cycling tests revealed fair cyclability for the CHOL cell and a rapid decay in discharge capacity for the IPA cell (Fig. 3d), attributed to catalyst deactivation caused by poisoning. Further electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and distribution of relaxation times (DRT) analysis provided insights into the mechanistic aspects of LOHC batteries, indicating slower reaction kinetics for both CHOL/CHON and IPA/ACE anode reactions compared to Li-ion batteries. The study highlighted the potential of LOHCs for energy storage applications in rechargeable batteries, with opportunities for improving catalyst stability and performance in the future.

In another study, a hydronium-ion battery employing a soluble methylene blue (MB) anode and a MnO₂@graphite felt cathode was introduced (Fig. 3e).⁴⁹ The anode undergoes a –C=N–C–N–H functional group transition, demonstrating a maximum capacity of 1324 μA h cm⁻² at 2 mA cm⁻² and exceptional cycle stability with 93% retention over 7500 cycles. *In situ* and *ex situ* characterization studies reveal MB's highly reversible interfacial redox reaction and robust ring skeleton stability. When coupled with the MnO₂@graphite felt cathode, the MB//MnO₂ batteries achieved an energy density of 198 μW h




Fig. 3 LOHC electrochemistry of rechargeable batteries. (a) Schematic drawing of the assembly of a LOHC coin cell. (b) GCD profiles of CHON/CHOL coin cells at 1C from 0.8 to 1.43 V from the 1st cycle to the 6th cycle. (c) Comparison of the voltage and specific capacity of ACE/IPA and CHON/CHOL to other hydrogen batteries and proton batteries. (d) Cycling life and efficiency of the Ni(OH)₂/NF-LOHC/Pt/CC batteries. Reproduced from ref. 29. Copyright 2023 American Chemical Society. (e) Schematic illustration of the operation mechanism of MB//MnO₂ hydronium-ion batteries. (f) GCD curves of MB//MnO₂ hydronium-ion batteries at various current densities. (g) Cycle stability of MB//MnO₂ hydronium-ion batteries tested at a current density of 3 mA cm⁻². Reproduced with permission from ref. 49. Copyright 2024 Elsevier.

cm^{-2} (Fig. 3f) and outstanding long cycle stability exceeding 8000 cycles (Fig. 3g). These findings paved the way for high-stability LOHC hydronium-ion batteries, leveraging the advantages of high conductivity, economic benefits, and environmental friendliness.

3.5. LOHC flow batteries

Aqueous flow batteries are gaining recognition for their safety, cost-effectiveness, and scalability, positioning them as a promising option for next-generation energy storage and conversion. The growing popularity of LOHC flow batteries stems from their structural diversity, tailorability, and potential for low cost.^{13,53} Fig. 4a depicts the reactions for benzoquinone, phenazine, and naphthalenediimide in acidic aqueous electrolytes, highlighting their ability to undergo deprotonation, a working principle shared among LOHCs. These organic compounds can be strategically paired with other organic or inorganic redox couples to form a flow battery to store electricity.⁵⁴ For example, Jin *et al.* exploited the potential of a water-miscible quinone, specifically 1,8-bis(2-(2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)anthracene-9,10-dione (AQ-1,8-3E-OH), by constructing a full cell using concentrated AQ-1,8-3E-OH negolyte and 0.31 M ferrocyanide posolyte. The negolyte achieved a record volumetric capacity of 80.4 A h L^{-1} , with a theoretical limit of 120.1 A

h L^{-1} when AQ-1,8-3E-OH transitions into a liquid at temperatures exceeding 35°C . Polarization experiments showed higher OCV across all state-of-charge (SOC) levels compared to a low-concentration cell (Fig. 4b and c). Though the high-concentration cell exhibited increased viscosity that impacts mass-transfer rates, a peak power density of 0.17 W cm^{-2} was maintained. Cycling experiments demonstrated a capacity fade rate of 0.5% per day or 0.043% per cycle over 220 cycles, with a current efficiency averaging 99.90% (Fig. 4d). They attributed the capacity fade solely to the decomposition of the active material in the negolyte by identifying the decomposition products, which may be controlled by adjusting the electrolyte environment and inert atmosphere. To enhance energy density, the study paired the 1.5 M negolyte with a more concentrated posolyte, achieving a peak power density of 0.22 W cm^{-2} and accessing 94% of theoretical capacity. These findings hint at the potential of LOHCs for high-stability hydronium-ion batteries with remarkable energy density.

4. Outlook

In this perspective, our exploration of LOHC redox electrochemistry involved categorizing LOHCs based on their distinct functional groups, alongside an introduction and summary of their electrochemical properties and applications, particularly




Fig. 4 LOHC electrochemistry for flow batteries. (a) Schematic illustration of a two-electron transfer for benzoquinone, phenazine, and naphthalenediimide in acidic aqueous electrolytes. Reproduced with permission from ref. 53. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society. (b) Cell voltage and power density versus current density at room temperature at 10%, 30%, 50%, and ~100% SOC. Electrolytes comprised 7 mL of 1.5 M AQ-1,8-3E-OH (negolyte) in 1 M KCl and 150 mL of 0.31 M potassium ferrocyanide and 0.31 M potassium ferricyanide (posolyte) in 1 M KCl. (c) OCV, high frequency, and polarization ASR versus SOC. (d) Current efficiency and charge-discharge capacities versus time and the cycle number. Reproduced with permission from ref. 48. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.



for hydrogen storage and electrical energy storage and generation. We mainly focused on organic molecules, either in liquid form or dissolved in liquid when utilized as carriers that can reversibly take up and release hydrogen through electrochemical redox reactions. This would include previously underexplored candidates such as PINO and phenazine. Previous studies have clearly demonstrated great potential and unique advantages of LOHC redox electrochemistry in different applications, for instance, the capability to take up and release H₂ for its storage under ambient conditions, the merit of enabling regenerative fuel cells that generate clean electricity without emission, and the feasibility of constructing energy-intensive rechargeable batteries and flow batteries for electricity storage.

4.1. Challenges at the fundamental level

Meanwhile, there are remaining research challenges that are hindering understanding and application of LOHC redox electrochemistry. At the fundamental level, gaining a deeper knowledge of LOHC redox electrochemistry mechanisms is imperative. Advanced approaches such as density functional theory (DFT) and *in situ* spectroscopy can unveil intricate details, assisting in elucidating intermediate species and reaction pathways, understanding reaction reversibility, kinetics, selectivity and stability properties, and identifying governing factors to guide catalyst discovery. These are especially crucial in the case of LOHC electrochemical reactions that require a catalyst, in which catalyst selectivity and stability often pose a significant challenge. Research efforts need to prioritize the discovery of robust catalysts to address issues such as side reactions and poisoning to enhance selectivity and stability performance. Furthermore, establishing structure–property relationships is essential in catalyst research to identify superior catalysts with high activity, selectivity, and stability.

4.2. Challenges at the application level

At the application level, other physiochemical properties of a LOHC, including but not limited to gravimetric and volumetric hydrogen capacity, cost, safety, eco-friendliness, storage and operating conditions, solubility, *etc.*, are also important factors to consider besides its electrochemical redox properties. It is challenging to obtain a LOHC with ideal properties from every perspective. Prioritization and trade-offs are often needed to apply LOHC electrochemistry for specific applications. Different categories of LOHCs, owing to their characteristics, offer distinct advantages tailored to various applications. For onboard hydrogen storage and electrical energy generation that typically demand intense energy, LOHCs with high gravimetric and volumetric hydrogen capacities, such as ethylamine, render them promising candidates. For stationary hydrogen storage and energy storage and generation scenarios that impose fewer mass and volume restrictions, LOHCs with low cost and eco-friendliness and meanwhile decent hydrogen capacity, such as isopropanol, serve as promising candidates. Those that require inexpensive or even no catalyst materials would be even more

ideal candidates for such applications, paving the way for cost-effective systems that eliminate dependency on precious metals.

4.3. Potential future directions

The integration of LOHC redox electrochemistry with existing electrochemical redox reactions has been emerging to transform LOHCs as clean energy carriers, beyond their hydrogen carrier role, for electricity storage and generation using regenerative fuel cells, rechargeable batteries and flow batteries. LOHCs hold a great promise for these energy applications with advantages such as low cost, high charge capacity, and safe operation. The research and development in this field is still at the rudimentary stage. Therefore, extensive studies, at both fundamental and applied levels, are crucial for deepening our understanding and performance control of LOHC-based regenerative fuel cells, rechargeable batteries and flow batteries. For example, in the realm of flow batteries, the use of redox couples such as TEMPO as active materials for the electrolyte often leads to higher costs associated with the flow battery strategy. Therefore, there is substantial potential for cost reduction in flow batteries by adopting readily available LOHCs such as IPA and ethylamine as active materials, sourced directly from industry.

Overall, the continuous exploration of LOHC electrochemistry and its diverse applications, propelled by the quest for sustainability and enhanced efficiency, signifies it as a dynamic and burgeoning field. With their multifaceted potential, LOHC electrochemical technologies stand at the forefront of innovation, poised to redefine the landscape of hydrogen and energy storage solutions for the future. As researchers delve deeper into the intricacies of LOHC systems, uncovering novel electrochemistry mechanisms and unlocking new avenues for practical implementation, the significance of this field is expected to continue growing exponentially. Indeed, the trajectory of LOHC research points towards a transformative impact on the energy sector, promising to revolutionize how we store, transport, and utilize renewable energy in a sustainable and environmentally conscious manner.

Author contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support of the US National Science Foundation (NSF and 1955452) and University of South Carolina.

References

- 1 P. C. Rao and M. Yoon, *Energies*, 2020, **13**, 6040.



- 2 E. Rakić, M. Grilc and B. Likozar, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, **144**, 144836.
- 3 G. Sievi, D. Geburtig, T. Skeledzic, A. Bösmann, P. Preuster, O. Brummel, F. Waidhas, M. A. Montero, P. Khanipour and I. Katsounaros, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**, 2305–2314.
- 4 P. Hauenstein, D. Seeberger, P. Wasserscheid and S. Thiele, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2020, **118**, 106786.
- 5 F. Waidhas, S. Haschke, P. Khanipour, L. Fromm, A. Görling, J. Bachmann, I. Katsounaros, K. J. Mayrhofer, O. Brummel and J. r. Libuda, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 6831–6842.
- 6 R. Bayat, A. El Attar, M. Akin, M. Bekmezci, M. El Rhazi and F. Sen, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2024, **51**, 1577–1586.
- 7 J. Li, J. Tang, P. Pishva and Z. Peng, *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2023, 4548072.
- 8 D. Zhang, J. Chen, Z. Hao, L. Jiao, Q. Ge, W.-F. Fu and X.-J. Lv, *Chem Catal.*, 2021, **1**, 393–406.
- 9 J. Li, J. Tang, D. Wu, L. Yao and Z. Peng, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2023, **48**, 36286–36294.
- 10 D. Wu, J. Li, L. Yao, R. Xie and Z. Peng, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 55292–55298.
- 11 K. Müller, R. Aslam, A. Fischer, K. Stark, P. Wasserscheid and W. Arlt, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2016, **41**, 22097–22103.
- 12 L. Shi, S. Qi, J. Qu, T. Che, C. Yi and B. Yang, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2019, **44**, 5345–5354.
- 13 C. G. Cannon, P. A. Klusener, N. P. Brandon and A. R. Kucernak, *ChemSusChem*, 2023, **16**, e202300303.
- 14 C. Yang, L. A. Farmer, D. A. Pratt, S. Maldonado and C. R. Stephenson, *J. Appl. Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **143**, 10324–10332.
- 15 H. Shuang, H. Chen, F. Wu, J. Li, C. Cheng, H. Li and J. Fu, *Fuel*, 2020, **275**, 117896.
- 16 J. Cho, B. Kim, S. Venkateshalu, D. Y. Chung, K. Lee and S.-I. Choi, *J. Appl. Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **145**, 16951–16965.
- 17 Y. Cheng, Y. Liu, D. Cao, G. Wang and Y. Gao, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 3124–3128.
- 18 M. T. Bender and K.-S. Choi, *JACS Au*, 2022, **2**, 1169–1180.
- 19 M. Fleischmann, K. Korinek and D. Pletcher, *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.*, 1971, **31**, 39–49.
- 20 M. Fleischmann, K. Korinek and D. Pletcher, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1972, 1396–1403.
- 21 L. Yan, C. Zhao, Y. Sha, Z. Li, T. Liu, M. Ling, S. Zhou and C. Liang, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **73**, 104766.
- 22 J. E. Nutting, M. Rafiee and S. S. Stahl, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 4834–4885.
- 23 F. Vigier, S. Rousseau, C. Coutanceau, J.-M. Leger and C. Lamy, *Top. Catal.*, 2006, **40**, 111–121.
- 24 M. J. Farias, W. Cheuquepán, A. A. Tanaka and J. M. Feliu, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **10**, 543–555.
- 25 H. An, L. Pan, H. Cui, D. Zhou, B. Wang, J. Zhai, Q. Li and Y. Pan, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2015, **741**, 56–63.
- 26 A. Santasalo-Aarnio, Y. Kwon, E. Ahlberg, K. Kontturi, T. Kallio and M. T. Koper, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2011, **13**, 466–469.
- 27 A. Toghan, M. Khairy, M. M. Mohamed and A. A. Amer, *J. Mater. Res. Technol.*, 2022, **16**, 362–372.
- 28 C. Li, A. M. Sallee, X. Zhang and S. Kumar, *Energies*, 2018, **11**, 2691.
- 29 J. Tang, J. Li, P. Pishva, R. Xie and Z. Peng, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2023, **8**, 3727–3732.
- 30 A. Fukunaga, A. Kato, Y. Hara and T. Matsumoto, *Appl. Energy*, 2023, **348**, 121469.
- 31 Y. Yurko and L. Elbaz, *J. Appl. Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **145**, 2653–2660.
- 32 Y. Tian, K.-H. Wu, L. Cao, W. H. Saputera, R. Amal and D.-W. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 2154–2157.
- 33 Y. Li, Y. Wei and W. Zhang, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2020, **870**, 114251.
- 34 T. Imada, M. Chiku, E. Higuchi and H. Inoue, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 13718–13728.
- 35 M. T. Huynh, C. W. Anson, A. C. Cavell, S. S. Stahl and S. Hammes-Schiffer, *J. Appl. Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **138**, 15903–15910.
- 36 C. Kim, Y. Lee, K. Kim and U. Lee, *Catalysts*, 2022, **12**, 1113.
- 37 B. Hammer and J. K. Nørskov, *Surf. Sci.*, 1995, **343**, 211–220.
- 38 X. Shen, Y. Pan, B. Liu, J. Yang, J. Zeng and Z. Peng, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 12628–12632.
- 39 R. G. dos Reis and F. Colmati, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, 2016, **20**, 2559–2567.
- 40 Y. Liao, G. Yu, Y. Zhang, T. Guo, F. Chang and C.-J. Zhong, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 10476–10484.
- 41 J. Maya-Cornejo, R. Carrera-Cerritos, D. Sebastián, J. Ledesma-García, L. Arriaga, A. Aricò and V. Baglio, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2017, **42**, 27919–27928.
- 42 K. Wang, R. Sriphathoorat, S. Luo, M. Tang, H. Du and P. K. Shen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 13425–13430.
- 43 G. Zhang, Z. Yang, W. Zhang, H. Hu, C. Wang, C. Huang and Y. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 3075–3084.
- 44 T. Liu, K. Wang, Q. Yuan, Z. Shen, Y. Wang, Q. Zhang and X. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 2963–2968.
- 45 C. Tang, C. Wei, Y. Fang, B. Liu, X. Song, Z. Bian, X. Yin, H. Wang, Z. Liu and G. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2024, **15**, 3233.
- 46 C. Bianchini, V. Bambagioni, J. Filippi, A. Marchionni, F. Vizza, P. Bert and A. Tampucci, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2009, **11**, 1077–1080.
- 47 J. Rubio-Garcia, A. Kucernak, A. Parra-Puerto, R. Liu and B. Chakrabarti, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 3933–3941.
- 48 S. Jin, Y. Jing, D. G. Kwabi, Y. Ji, L. Tong, D. De Porcellinis, M.-A. Goulet, D. A. Pollack, R. G. Gordon and M. J. Aziz, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2019, **4**, 1342–1348.
- 49 S. An, L. Hu, X. Li, S. Zhao, M. Shi and C. Yan, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2024, **64**, 103076.
- 50 R. Feng, X. Zhang, V. Murugesan, A. Hollas, Y. Chen, Y. Shao, E. Walter, N. P. Wellala, L. Yan and K. M. Rosso, *Science*, 2021, **372**, 836–840.
- 51 S.-B. Han, D.-H. Kwak, H. S. Park, J.-Y. Park, K.-B. Ma, J.-E. Won, D.-H. Kim, M.-C. Kim and K.-W. Park, *J. Power Sources*, 2018, **393**, 32–36.
- 52 J. Xu, S. Pang, X. Wang, P. Wang and Y. Ji, *Joule*, 2021, **5**, 2437–2449.
- 53 J. Cao, J. Tian, J. Xu and Y. Wang, *Energy Fuels*, 2020, **34**, 13384–13411.
- 54 C. Wang, Y. Wang, M. Tao, B. Yu, K. Zhang, J. Wei, Y. Liu, P. Zhang, G. Ding and Z. Tie, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2022, **5**, 10379–10384.

