



PAPER

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2023,
11, 8231Photostability of amine-free CsPbBr₃ perovskite
nanocrystals under continuous UV illumination†Luiz Gustavo Bonato, ^a Guilherme Dal Poggetto, ^{‡b}
Raphael Fernando Moral, ^b Brenner Rodrigo de Carvalho Vale, ^a
José Carlos Germino, ^c Diogo Burigo Almeida, ^d Patrícia Santiago,^b
Pablo Sebastian Fernandez, ^b Claudio Francisco Tormena, ^b
Lázaro A. Padilha ^{*a} and Ana Flávia Nogueira ^{*b}

Despite all the recent progress on understanding the optoelectronic and structural properties of the CsPbX₃ perovskite nanocrystals (PNCs), the impact of the ligands on their photostability, under continuous UV light soaking, is still ambiguous. To address this subject, in this work, we have investigated the influence of the organic ligand on the photophysics of PNCs by comparing the emission behaviour of CsPbBr₃ PNCs capped with oleate (OA) and oleylphosphate (OPA). Based on our experimental observations, we propose two different mechanisms to explain their photostability under continuous UV illumination. We hypothesize that the UV illumination promotes a reconstruction of the nanocrystals' surface, and this process depends on the equilibrium between desorption and adsorption of the surface ligands. In the case of oleate-capped PNCs, this reconstruction is delayed, and even hampered, due to the steric hindered Pb–oleate that desorbs from the surface. In contrast, for the oleylphosphate-capped PNCs, the detached Pb–oleylphosphate can re-adsorb to the surface soon after it leaves because the steric effects are less critical. The results reported here suggest that alkylphosphate ligands can be used to improve the photostability of colloidal PNCs dispersions, an important step towards applications that require continuous excitation, such as back-illuminated LEDs and solar cells.

Received 21st November 2022,
Accepted 1st March 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d2tc04953h

rsc.li/materials-c

10th anniversary statement

The *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* is a leading journal for researchers in the field of metal halide perovskite materials for solar cells, light emitting diodes and other optoelectronic applications. Personally it is an honor to be part of the *Journal of Materials Chemistry* community, for many years as an author where we had the chance to showcase the science in emerging photovoltaics developed in South America and more recently as a member of the editorial board.

Introduction

Lead halide perovskite nanocrystals (PNCs) have attracted much attention due to their outstanding optoelectronic properties,¹ becoming one of the most studied semiconductor nanostructures of the past decade. These materials are appealing for applications

in lighting devices,^{2–7} X-ray scintillators,⁸ down-shifting fluorescent panels,⁹ as well as can be used for fundamental studies in physics and chemistry of luminescent nanomaterials.^{10–14} Nonetheless, their poor thermal- and photostability are bottlenecks to their practical application in optoelectronic devices.¹⁵ Thus, much effort has been made to understand the origin of the poor photostability aiming to produce PNCs with improved stability for future utilization of such materials in technological applications.

Different from metal chalcogenide quantum dots,^{16,17} CsPbX₃ perovskite nanocrystals exhibit lower photostability, which also is strongly dependent on their chemical composition,^{18,19} morphology,²⁰ temperature,²¹ and excitation energy.^{22,23} Chen *et al.*²⁴ have shown that CsPbBr₃ PNCs dispersed in toluene undergo a decrease in their photoluminescence (PL) intensity after long-term storage, depending on the storage conditions.

^a Instituto de Física “Gleb Wataghin”, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil. E-mail: padilha@ifi.unicamp.br^b Instituto de Química, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil. E-mail: anafla@unicamp.br^c Department of Physics, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal^d Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André, Brazil† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2tc04953h>

‡ These authors contributed equally.

Using time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) measurements and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images, the authors assigned the changes in PL dynamics and the formation of larger nanocrystals to the light-induced loss of surface ligands. Thus, long-term light exposure induces surface decomposition, and, consequently, the formation of trap states. Light-induced ligand loss has also been observed by An *et al.*²⁵ for CsPbI₃ PNCs dispersed in hexane under continuous white-light exposure. Using ex-situ Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and TEM images, they showed that continuous light illumination induces ligand detachment and changes in the PNC morphology, promoting the formation of surface defect states (undercoordinated Pb atoms) and the deposition of metallic Pb clusters on their surfaces. Under-coordinated Pb atoms and metallic Pb clusters act like charge trap states, changing charge-carrier recombination dynamics and quenching PL emission intensity of the PNCs. Such detaching of ligands induced by light can be related to the dynamic equilibrium of the surface ligands in PNCs passivated by oleyl-ammonium and oleate, as observed by De Roo *et al.*²⁶ Thus, the so-called amine-free PNCs are prone to exhibit better photostability, since they are credited to present lowered surface ligand dynamics, as first proposed by Yassitepe *et al.*² However, the surface ligand dynamics in amine-free PNCs is still not reported, which hamper the utilization of the mechanisms proposed by Chen *et al.*²⁴ and An *et al.*²⁵ to explain the observations about their photostability behavior during long light-exposure experiments.

Considering these still-open questions, in this work, we proposed two different mechanisms, based on light-induced ligand loss, to explain the photostability behavior of amine-free CsPbBr₃ PNCs passivated by oleate (OA) and oleylphosphate (OPA) ligands. To support the proposed mechanisms, we performed experiments focusing on the dynamics of the interaction between the organic moieties at the surface of the PNCs and the inorganic core and how these interactions are perturbed under photoexcitation. Using Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (one- and two-dimensional ¹H NMR techniques), we demonstrate that the ligands are tightly bounded to the NC surface at dark conditions, as proposed by Yassitepe *et al.*² On the other hand, using *in situ* Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), Photoluminescence (PL) Spectroscopy, and Time-Correlated Single Photon Counting (TCSPC) measurements, all as a function of UV illumination time, we demonstrate that the surface ligands become dynamic under continuous UV illumination.

The mechanisms proposed in this work, which are based on the geometry of the molecular species that detach from the NC surface, allow better descriptions of the distinct photostability behavior exhibited by OA- and OPA-capped CsPbBr₃ PNCs. PL-decay and integrated-PL measurements, both as a function of illumination time, suggest, for oleate-capped PNCs, the formation of hole-trap and electron-trap states, which are dependent on the concentration of the components detached from the NC surface. In contrast, the same experiments performed for oleylphosphate-capped PNCs suggest the redistribution of the

hole-trap states across the ensemble surface due to a self-healing phenomenon since the early stages of UV illumination. The results reported here suggest that alkylphosphate ligands can be used to improve the photostability of colloidal PNCs dispersions and can be used to enhance the photostability of PNCs in applications that require continuous excitation, such as back-illuminated LEDs and solar cells.

Experimental

Chemicals

1-Octadecene (ODE, tech. grade 90%, Sigma Aldrich), oleic acid (OA, tech. grade 90%, Sigma Aldrich), oleylphosphoric acid (OPA, mono- and di-ester mixture 60:40 wt%, TCI), Cs₂CO₃ (99%, Sigma Aldrich), Pb(acetate)₂·3H₂O (≥99%, Sigma Aldrich), tetraoctylammonium bromide (TOABr 98%, Sigma Aldrich), methylacetate (MeOAc, anhydrous 99%, Sigma Aldrich), deuterated benzene (C₆D₆, 99.99%, Sigma Aldrich). All chemicals were purchased and used without further purification procedures.

Preparation of Br-precursor solution

1 mmol of TOABr (550 mg) was loaded into a 25 mL vial, along with 1 mL of ODE and 1 mL of OA. After sealing with a rubber septum, the mixture was kept under reduced pressure for 30 minutes at 100 °C. This step is necessary to remove the water from the stock solution and avoid degradation of the perovskite nanocrystals. The stock solutions were kept under N₂ atmosphere and mild temperature (about 50 °C) before use. For the synthesis of OPA-capped NCs, OA was exchanged by OPA, following the same that was described above.

Synthesis of OA-capped CsPbBr₃ perovskite nanocrystals

80 mg of Cs₂CO₃ (0.5 mmol of Cs⁺) and 380 mg of Pb(acetate)₂·3H₂O (1 mmol of Pb²⁺) were loaded into a 50 mL 3-neck flask, along with 2 mL of OA and 5 mL of ODE. The mixture was kept under reduced pressure for 1 h at 100 °C. After the complete removal of water and acetic acid from the mixture, the system was kept under nitrogen flowing and cooled down until the chosen reaction temperature (*T* = 75 °C). Then, the TOABr precursor in OA/ODE stock solution was swiftly injected. The mixture was immediately placed into a water bath until room temperature. The PNCs were purified from the crude solution by adding 7 mL of anhydrous MeOAc, followed by centrifugation at 13 500 rpm for 5 minutes, and the solid was re-suspended with anhydrous hexane before further experiments.

Synthesis of OPA-capped CsPbBr₃ perovskite nanocrystals

80 mg of Cs₂CO₃ (0.5 mmol of Cs⁺) and 380 mg of Pb(acetate)₂·3H₂O (1 mmol of Pb²⁺) were loaded into a 50 mL 3-neck flask, along with 2 mL of OPA and 5 mL of ODE. The mixture was kept under reduced pressure for 1 h at 100 °C. After the complete removal of water and acetic acid from the mixture, the system was kept under nitrogen flowing and cooled down until the reaction temperature of 75 °C. Then, the TOABr precursor in OPA/ODE stock solution was swiftly injected. The mixture was

immediately placed into a water bath until room temperature. The PNCs were purified from the crude solution by adding 7 mL of anhydrous MeOAc, followed by centrifugation at 13 500 rpm for 5 minutes, and the solid was re-suspended with anhydrous hexane. After this, the suspension was centrifuged once again at 13 500 rpm for 5 minutes, then the solid was discarded, and the supernatant was stocked for further experiments.

Optical characterizations

Absorption spectroscopy was performed in a Carry 60 UV-Vis Agilent Technologies spectrophotometer, using 10 mm optical path length quartz cuvettes.

Photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy measurements were performed on an Ocean Optics QEPro spectrofluorimeter along with a 365 nm LED as an excitation light source, using 10 mm optical path length quartz cuvettes. For long-time illumination measurements, the samples were placed in a sealed cuvette along with continuous stirring over the entire experiment.

Relative PLQY values were measured using Rhodamine B in methanol as a reference chromophore ($\text{PLQY}_{\text{ref}} \sim 0.70$). All PNC samples were measured using hexane as a solvent.

PL dynamics were carried out using time-correlated single photon-counting (TCSPC) collected with a Horiba Nanolog Spectrometer equipped with a photon-counting detector (Horiba PPD850) and TCSPC electronics; The excitation was performed through a femtosecond laser (Spectra-physics, Spirit), which pumps a noncolinear optical parametric amplifier (NOPA) delivering pulses of 120 fs centered at (400 ± 20) nm. The time resolution of the TCSPC system is about 70 ps. For long-time illumination measurements, an LED centered at 365 nm, 2.6 mW cm^{-2} was collimated and directed to the sample. The fluorescence emerging from the sample was collected by a lens and focalized into a fiber, which is coupled to a spectrometer (Ocean Optics, 2000+). Each spectrum was recorded after every two minutes. The samples were placed in a sealed cuvette along with continuous stirring over the entire experiment. Each PL-decay curve was performed by turning the LED off and collecting the signal after every 10 minutes unblocking the LED. By using this instrumentation, we were able to record both steady-state and PL decay curves without changing the sample position. Fig. S1 in the ESI† illustrates the experimental setup.

Morphological and structural characterizations

X-ray Diffraction (XRD) data were obtained through a Shimadzu XRD 7000 Diffractometer using $\text{Cu-K}\alpha$ radiation ($15\,414 \text{ \AA}$); Both samples were deposited by drop-cast on top of common-glass slides before data acquisition.

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were obtained using a Jeol 2100F; both samples were placed on sample holders composed of copper grids coated with amorphous carbon.

Surface ligands characterizations

In situ Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (*in situ* FTIR) experiments were performed on a Shimadzu Prestige 21

spectrometer with a HgCdTe (MCT) detector. Attenuated total reflectance (ATR) was performed in a Teflon cell adapted to a ZnSe prism in the bottom and with a quartz window as cell cover (for further details, see Fig. S2 in the ESI†). The cover was used to avoid solvent evaporation and allowed to irradiate the solution with a 365 nm LED. The spectra of the perovskite dispersion were collected with a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} and an accumulation of 256 interferograms. The perovskite dispersion spectra are presented as (R/R_0) where R_0 is the perovskite dispersion spectra in the dark. The spectra of the capping agent, the capping agent with lead, and perovskite dispersion, all dissolved/dispersed in hexane ("blank spectra"), were performed with a 4 cm^{-1} resolution and accumulation of 100 interferograms. The spectra are presented as (R/R_0) where R_0 is the hexane.

^1H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) and Nuclear Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy (NOESY) spectra were recorded in a Bruker Avance III HD 250 MHz spectrometer, using benzene- d_6 (C_6D_6) as a solvent, except for the NOESY for OPA, which was acquired in a 500 MHz; chemical shifts were compared with residual proton signal assigned to benzene ($\delta = 7.16 \text{ ppm}$). ^1H Diffusion NMR experiments (Diffusion Ordered Spectroscopy, DOSY) were recorded using a Bruker Avance III 500 MHz. DOSY data were processed using the General NMR Analysis Toolbox (GNAT).

Results and discussion

We prepared one sample using oleic acid (OA) and one sample using oleylphosphoric acid (OPA), both synthesized at the injection temperature of 75°C . More details about the experimental procedures can be found in the Experimental section. Following previous works,^{1,2} bright-green colloidal dispersions that glow under UV excitation were obtained for both PNCs samples (OA- and OPA-capped CsPbBr_3 NCs). Spectral and structural data for the OA- and OPA-capped CsPbBr_3 PNCs synthesized at 75°C are presented in Fig. 1.

As depicted in Fig. 1a, absorbance and PL spectra for OPA-capped PNCs are red-shifted compared to those for OA-capped PNCs. Additionally, XRD diffractograms for both samples, shown in Fig. 1b, are consistent with an orthorhombic lattice,²⁷ with some changes in the relative intensity and width of the main diffraction peaks between them. Both spectral red-shift and XRD divergence can be justified by the difference in size distributions as observed from TEM images (Fig. 1c and d). The mean edge size for OPA-capped PNCs is $11 \pm 3 \text{ nm}$, while the mean value for the OA-capped sample is $7.5 \pm 1.1 \text{ nm}$. Note that the relative size distribution for the OPA-capped PNCs is about twice as broad as for OA-capped nanoparticles, indicating that further systematic studies on the synthesis of OPA-capped PNCs is necessary to have better control over their size and size distribution. More detailed morphological analysis based on TEM data for OA- and OPA-capped PNCs can be found in the ESI† (Fig. S3 and S4).

The photostability behavior under long-term UV radiation is strikingly different depending on the type of the capping




Fig. 1 (a) Absorption and PL emission spectra for OA-capped and OPA-capped CsPbBr₃ PNCs (OA: oleic acid, OPA: oleylphosphoric acid). (b) Diffraction patterns for OA-capped and OPA-capped CsPbBr₃ PNCs with diffraction patterns corresponding to an orthorhombic. TEM images for (c) OA- and (d) OPA-capped CsPbBr₃ PNCs.

ligand, as depicted in Fig. 2. Both sample solutions were kept in a 1 mm cuvette at constant stirring under UV excitation at 365 nm. The irradiance was set to be 2.6 mW cm⁻², and a new PL

spectrum was recorded every 2 minutes during 240 minutes of continuous UV illumination (for further details, see Optical characterizations in the Experimental section and Fig. S1 in the ESI†). For OA-capped PNCs, a simple inspection at Fig. 2a and b reveals that the PL intensity decreases, with a subtle narrowing of the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) and no shift of the PL-peak across the illumination time. Besides, one can see important changes in the absorbance spectra, as depicted in Fig. 2c. The red-shift in the onset absorbance, together with the scattering observed at longer wavelengths, could be assigned to the formation of a solid film composed of agglomerated NCs. In contrast, for OPA-capped PNCs, PL intensity increases across the illumination time, as shown in Fig. 2d. Furthermore, the narrowing of the FWHM, along with the red-shift of the PL-peak (Fig. 2e) indicates the passivation of the surface defects.²⁸ Finally, contrary to what was observed for OA-capped PNCs, the absorbance spectra for OPA-capped PNCs, shown in Fig. 2f is nearly unchanged (the absorption peak shifts by less than 1 nm, see ESI†), suggesting the absence of degradation or aggregation during the experiment.

For better visualization of the photostability behavior presented by PNCs, we plotted the integrated PL as a function of illumination time (Fig. 2g), where the first registered spectrum of each sample was used as the normalization factor for each set of spectra. As, for both samples, the changes in the absorption spectra near the excitation wavelength are small, variations in the integrated PL can be approximately linked to the variations in the photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY). As a result, in Fig. 2g it is possible to observe two distinct behaviors of the PLQY as a function of the illumination time. For OA-capped PNCs, PLQY monotonically decreases over 240 minutes of continuous illumination, reaching about 66% of the initial PLQY (*i.e.*, PLQY_{initial} = 0.66, PLQY_{final} = 0.44). Thus, such decrease in the PLQY across the illumination time indicates the formation of additional surface defects due to the light-induced ligand loss. Differently, OPA-capped PNCs exhibited a monotonic increase in PLQY throughout the entire illumination time, reaching about 148% of the initial PLQY after 240 minutes of exposure to the UV light (*i.e.*, PLQY_{initial} = 0.44, PLQY_{final} = 0.65). Therefore, opposing what is observed for OA-capped PNCs, the increase in the PLQY and the narrowing in the PL linewidth for OPA-capped PNCs indicate a redistribution of surface defects across the surface of the NCs ensemble induced by the UV light exposure during the analyzed time window, without the formation of additional surface defect states.

Based on the PL evolution as a function of illumination time (Fig. 2), we propose a mechanism for the light-induced loss of surface ligands. Due to the low dielectric constant of hexane, we consider that the species desorbed from the NCs surfaces must be neutral. Consequently, the loss of ligands for OA-capped NCs should occur through the formation of lead oleate species (Pb(OA)₂), as illustrated in Fig. 3a, whereas the loss of ligands for OPA-capped NCs should form lead oleylphosphate (Pb(OPA)), as depicted in Fig. 3b. The loss of surface ligands, for both samples, must occur through the formation of surface defects, which directly impacts the charge carrier



Fig. 2 PL emission spectra as a function of illumination time for (a) OA-capped and (d) OPA-capped CsPbBr₃ PNCs. Each PL spectra was registered every 2 min at continuous illumination and stirring, using excitation of 365 nm with irradiation of 2.6 mW cm⁻². Analysis of FWHM and PL-peak for (b) OA- and (e) OPA-capped PNCs over the data depicted in (a) and (d). Absorbance profiles before and after the light soaking period for (c) OA- and (f) OPA-capped PNCs. (g) Integrated PL as a function of illumination time over the data depicted in (a) and (d). Both plots were normalized at the first PL spectra for each sample.

recombination, and consequently the PLQY. Hence, the nature and the density of the surface traps are important to understand the variations in PL intensity as a function of UV illumination time.

The interaction of defect sites with charge carriers and their relative energy positions depends on the type of point defect formed. According to theoretical calculations performed by ten Brinck *et al.*,²⁹ considering cubic CsPbBr₃ perovskite nanocrystals as archetypal to perform the calculations, only interstitial defects are energetically favorable to form spontaneously, whereas vacancy and anti-site defects are mostly endothermic in nature. Due to energetic reasons and the high surface-to-volume ratio in these nanostructures, it is expected that the trap states-forming defects are located at the surface of the

nanocrystals. As a general observation in different theoretical works,^{29–34} point defects that yield mid-gap trap states have higher formation energies, while point defects that result in shallower traps have low formation energies. For instance, calculations performed by Li *et al.*³³ in α -CsPbI₃ have shown that the defects that present the lowest formation energies are V_{Pb} (Pb vacancy), Cs_X (antisite Cs), Pb_i (interstitial Pb), Pb_X (antisite Pb), Cs_i (interstitial Cs), and V_X (halide vacancy), respectively (considering Pb-rich conditions and the Fermi energy located at valence band maximum). Similar trends were observed for the defect energy formations in CsPbBr₃, but not for CsPbCl₃, as this last one forms primarily deep traps.³⁵

To further discuss the role of the light-induced ligand loss in the formation of surface defects in CsPbBr₃ PNCs, we consider



Fig. 3 Proposed mechanisms for the light-induced loss of surface components in hexane for (a) OA- and (b) OPA-capped CsPbBr₃ PNCs (k_{on} : adsorption rate constant; k_{off} : desorption rate constant). (c) Molecular structures of components lost from the surface during light exposure, showing the hemidirected geometry and the p-like lone-pairs for Pb(OPA), as well as the holodirected geometry and the s-like lone-pairs for Pb(OA)₂.

in Fig. 3a and b that k_{off} is a constant rate assigned to the desorption of species from the NC surface, whereas k_{on} is a constant rate assigned to the adsorption of species on the NC surface. According to the schemes proposed in Fig. 3a and b, the loss of ligands through the formation of neutral compounds for both OA- and OPA-capped PNCs leads to the formation of two types of point defects. The detachment of Pb(OA)₂ generates bromide vacancy (V_{Br}^+) and lead vacancy (V_{Pb}^{2-}), while the detachment of Pb(OPA) generates only V_{Pb}^{2-} . V_{Br}^+ point defects, which correspond to the Pb-dangling bond, act like electron traps, as under-coordinated Pb²⁺ cations lead to the formation of shallow trap states near the conduction band minimum (CBM).^{28,29,36} On the other hand, V_{Pb}^{2-} point defects, which corresponds to the Br-dangling bond, act like hole traps. However, the relative position of such defect levels generated by undercoordinated Br⁻ anions is still under debate.^{28,29,36}

In a recent work by du Fossé *et al.*,³⁴ calculations using density-functional theory depict that the nonbonding Br⁻

orbitals can be pushed into the bandgap depending on the local electrostatic potential. Thus, dangling bonds from surface Br⁻ sites can be destabilized by different local electrostatic potentials, creating deep-trap states. In a nutshell, theoretical models suggest that the way the density of trap-states on the NCs' surface is changed under UV illumination is dependent on the type of the surface ligand. For OA-capped PNCs (Fig. 2g), PLQY decreases with a time constant of about 40 min, which is assigned to an increase in the density of surface trap-states (Fig. 3a, $k_{on,1} \ll k_{off,1}$). The density of trap-states saturates as some previously formed surface defects start being passivated by Pb(OA)₂ species (Fig. 3a, $k_{on,2} > k_{off,2}$) and the system reaches an equilibrium regime. This trend suggests a concentration-dependent light-induced resurfacing for OA-capped PNCs.

The reason for such concentration-dependent light-induced resurfacing can be explained in terms of the steric barrier presented by the holodirected geometry of Pb(OA)₂ species (Fig. 3c).^{37–39} In Pb(OA)₂ species, bonds of the ligand atoms

are isotropically distributed around Pb atom, which hinder the effective approach of Pb^{2+} to Br-dangling bonds ($\text{V}_{\text{Br}}^{2-}$) and of oleate to Pb-dangling bonds (V_{Br}^{+}) when the concentration of the lead oleate is low. Hence, high concentration of $\text{Pb}(\text{OA})_2$ is requested to overcome the steric barrier and favor the passivation of some fraction of surface defects. As a result, one can see a reduction in the decrease rate of the PLQY due to a resurfacing of the OA-capped PNCs.³⁷ On the other hand, $\text{Pb}(\text{OPA})$ ligands, which are desorbed from the surface of the OPA-capped PNCs, presents a molecular geometry called hemidirected (Fig. 3c),^{37–39} in which bonds of the ligand atoms are directed throughout only a part of the space around Pb^{2+} . Consequently, in those complexes Pb-site is less sterically hindered. Thus, as soon as $\text{Pb}(\text{OPA})$ desorbs the NC surface, they can passivate the Br-dangling bonds ($\text{V}_{\text{Br}}^{2-}$) without the formation of Pb-dangling bonds (V_{Br}^{+}) (Fig. 3b, $k_{\text{on},1} < k_{\text{off},1}$). Also, the red-shift of the PL peak (Fig. 2d) indicates that larger NCs become brighter, suggesting that the ligands desorbed from smaller NCs adsorb on the surface of the largest ones. So, $\text{V}_{\text{Br}}^{2-}$ defects are homogeneously distributed through the NCs surfaces ensemble, resulting in an increased PLQY during the entire experiment (Fig. 3b, $k_{\text{on},2} > k_{\text{off},2}$). Therefore, the OPA-capped CsPbBr_3 PNCs exhibit a non-concentration-dependent light-induced resurfacing, which acts like a self-healing effect under the UV illumination.

It is important to point that the hemi- and holodirected geometry presented by $\text{Pb}(\text{II})$ complexes have a dependence on the nature of the ligands. According to Shimon-Livny *et al.*,³⁷ the formation of holodirected $\text{Pb}(\text{II})$ complexes is favored by higher coordination numbers and soft ligands, yielding more covalent metal–ligand bonds and s-character of the $\text{Pb}(\text{II})$ lone-pair. Contrarily, the formation of hemidirected $\text{Pb}(\text{II})$ complexes is favored by lower coordination numbers and hard ligands, yielding more ionic metal–ligand bonds and an increase in the p-character of the $\text{Pb}(\text{II})$ lone-pair.

To correlate the nature of the ligand-NC interaction with each proposed mechanism we performed various NMR spectroscopy techniques, focusing on the organic moieties of the PNCs (for further details, see Fig. S5–S12 in ESI†). Briefly, diffusion-ordered spectroscopy (DOSY) experiments performed for both OA- and OPA-capped NC dispersions, as well as for neat-OA and neat-OPA (see Fig. S7–S10), showed that the diffusion coefficients, assigned as free- and bound-ligands, cannot be related to an equilibrium involving the adsorption/desorption of the ligands on the surface of the NCs.⁴⁰ Our findings are analogous to those reported by Bonilla *et al.*,⁴¹ where they observed a large decrease in the diffusion coefficient for thioglycolic acid (TGA) ligands when they are attached to the surface of CdTe quantum dots (QD), in comparison with free TGA molecules (both in deuterated water). Therefore, there is strong evidence that oleate and oleylphosphate are tightly bound to the CsPbBr_3 NC surface,^{26,40,42} but the equilibrium between bound (adsorbed) and unbound (desorbed) forms is too slow in comparison with NMR time-scale (typically seconds).

It is important to notice, however, that the NMR measurements were carried out in the absence of light and, therefore,

do not reflect the conditions of the light exposure experiments summarized in Fig. 2. Our findings on surface-ligand dynamics for amine-free CsPbBr_3 PNCs are analogous to those observed for oleate ligands tightly bound to the surface of PbSe NCs, as well as trioctylphosphine oxide ligands tightly bound to the surface of InP NCs, both deeply detailed by Moreels *et al.*^{43,44} A detailed description of the bimolecular exchange process used to define the dynamics of the ligands on the amine-free PNC surface is presented in the ESI† (see Section 4).

Differently from the dark conditions employed on the ¹H NMR measurements, we developed a spectrophotocatalytic cell and performed *in situ* FTIR experiments under continuous illumination to access the light-induced surface modification (for further details, see Experimental section and Fig. S2 in the ESI†). Fig. 4a shows the standard spectra for OA, $\text{Pb}(\text{OA})_2$, and OA-capped PNC, all of them suspended in hexane. The spectrum of OA-capped PNC shows three distinct bands (1750, 1370, and 1240 cm^{-1}) that are not observed on the spectra of OA and $\text{Pb}(\text{OA})_2$. As the uncapped perovskite (macroscopic CsPbBr_3 crystals) does not demonstrate a response to infrared irradiation, and these bands are not present in the oleic acid and lead oleate spectra, we concluded that they are related to the organic capping bounded to the perovskite surface.^{45–47} Subsequently, Fig. 4c shows spectra of OA-capped PNC obtained under irradiation, collected across the experiment time. Two upwards (1370 and 1240 cm^{-1}) and two downward bands (1120 and 1010 cm^{-1}) emerge during the experiment. The upwards bands indicate that the concentration of a given species is reducing in the suspension. As those bands match the ones related to the OA attached to the PNC surface (Fig. 4a), they indicate that the irradiation promotes the detachment of the organic capping from the PNC structure. The downward bands suggest an increase in the concentration of a certain species in the suspension. However, we were not able to clearly identify which species are being formed and generating positive bands. Using literature data to identify those frequencies is less than ideal as most of the textbooks and handbook tables contain the frequency of specific vibrations in solid state (KBr pallet) or for the neat molecule (ATR acquisition); therefore, these standard frequencies may change completely in our system because of the interactions of the molecules with the NC core and solvation with hexane. Nevertheless, the downward band at 1120 cm^{-1} in Fig. 4c agrees with the weak signal observed in Fig. 4a for OA and $\text{Pb}(\text{OA})_2$.

Similarly, Fig. 4b shows the standard spectra for OPA, $\text{Pb}(\text{OPA})$, and OPA-capped PNC, whereas Fig. 4d shows the results obtained under irradiation for OPA-capped PNC dispersion, all of them dispersed in hexane. The upward bands presented in Fig. 4d match those for the capped perovskite (1750, 1366, and 1240 cm^{-1} , Fig. 4b), meaning that the organic capping leaves the nanocrystal.^{48,49} The positive bands (1140, 1015, and 980 cm^{-1}) match those of the complex lead oleylphosphate, indicating that the oleylphosphate leaves the perovskite surface carrying a Pb^{2+} ion. In summary, the *in situ* FTIR experiments indicate that UV irradiation promotes the detachment of the organic ligands from the perovskite surface. While



Fig. 4 ATR-FTIR spectra of (a) oleic acid, lead oleate, and OA-capped CsPbBr₃ PNC dispersion in hexane; (b) oleylphosphoric acid, lead oleylphosphate, and OPA-capped CsPbBr₃ PNC dispersion in hexane. *In situ* ATR-FTIR of (c) OA-capped and (d) OPA-capped perovskite dispersions in hexane. *In situ* measurements were performed for around 120 minutes under continuous illumination at 365 nm, with an irradiance of 2.6 mW cm⁻². *R* is the spectra obtained at a given time and *R*₀ is the spectra obtained at the beginning of the experiment (time zero).

in the OA-capped we were not able to identify which are the formed species, the results with the OPA-capped material clearly show the formation of a complex between the oleylphosphate and Pb²⁺.

To understand the trap sites formation (passivation) as the ligands are desorbed (adsorbed) to the PNCs surface, we performed time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) measurements as a function of illumination time (for further details, see Experimental section and Fig. S1 in the ESI†). According to An *et al.*,²⁵ recombination of the photo-excited charge carriers

in PNCs follows a multi-exponential decay, which considers fast defect-assisted recombination (*i.e.*, hole-trap and electron-trap states), a slower component related to excitonic-state decay, and, for nanoparticles larger than the exciton Bohr radius, an even slower component due to free-carrier recombination. Unbounded free carriers are expected to emit at higher energies as compared to exciton recombination, as they are not subjected to the exciton binding energy, which is above tens of meV for CsPbBr₃ PNCs.¹ This shift is not observed in the spectrally resolved PL dynamics data as shown in the ESI† (Fig. S13).

Consequently, free carrier recombination is neglected here, and all PL decay data were fitted considering a 2-channel decay as described by Equation 1:

$$I(t) = A_{\text{Trap}} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{\text{Trap}}}\right) + A_{\text{exc}} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{\text{exc}}}\right) \quad (1)$$

in which Trap refers to hole (or electron)-trap-assisted recombination and *exc* to excitonic recombination. In this picture, A_{Trap} (A_{exc}) refers to the fraction of PNCs with (without) active trap sites.

Fig. 5a and b illustrate that the PL dynamics change through the illumination time for both OA- and OPA-capped PNCs samples (the complete set of PL-decay spectra as a function of illumination time for both samples are presented in Fig. S14a and b, in the ESI†). Normalized PL dynamics for OA-capped PNCs exhibit an increase in the short lifetime decay channels, assigned to trap-assisted recombination, as the sample is kept under illumination (Fig. 5a), whereas an opposite trend is exhibited by OPA-capped PNCs (Fig. 5b). Indeed, this is in qualitative agreement with the changes on the integrated PL intensity shown in Fig. 2. To analyze properly the contribution of each recombination channel during the illumination time and obtain a quantitative relationship between the changes on the dynamics and on the PLQY, we fit all the PL decay plots (Fig. S14a and b) using eqn (1). For the OA-capped sample the involved decay lifetimes, τ_{Trap} and τ_{exc} do not change over the

course of the experiment. Consequently, a global fitting considering τ_{Trap} and τ_{exc} as constants and allowing the free variation of amplitudes, A_i , could be applied. Note that this is in agreement with the results shown in Fig. 2a, which indicates no spectral changes on the PL for the OA-capped samples. The best fit has resulted in $\tau_{\text{Trap}} = 5 \pm 1$ ns and $\tau_{\text{exc}} = 13 \pm 2$ ns in good agreement with previously reported values for similar PNCs.²⁵ Conversely, for OPA-capped PNCs, a global fitting, which considers constant lifetimes, is not possible, as we observe that both τ_{Trap} and τ_{exc} become longer during the course of UV irradiation. Fig. 5c shows how the decay lifetimes evolve under UV illumination. It shows that τ_{exc} doubles within the first ~120 min of irradiation and then it is nearly constant through the rest of the exposure time. It is interesting to point out that similar trend is observed on the evolution of the PL spectra for the OPA-capped PNCs, as shown in Fig. 2e. In that case, the PL peak red shifts and the linewidth become narrow in the first 120 min of irradiation and no change is observed afterwards. This indicates that the change in PL spectra and PL lifetimes are directly connected phenomena and they suggest that the irradiation favors the passivation of larger nanoparticles, as in those the delocalization of the exciton wavefunction results on slower dynamics. Note, however, that the observed changes in PL dynamics and spectra do not indicate the formation of aggregation as the linear absorption is nearly unchanged upon illumination (Fig. 2f).



Fig. 5 PL dynamics as a function of illumination time for (a) OA-capped and (b) OPA-capped CsPbBr₃ PNCs. (c) Calculated lifetimes (τ) from PL decay data for OPA-capped PNCs. Calculated amplitudes (A) from PL decay data for (d) OA-capped and (e) OPA-capped PNCs (Trap: trap-assisted recombination; exc: excitonic recombination). (f) Calculated photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) from PL decay data for OA- and OPA-capped PNCs.

According to the data from Fig. 5c, τ_{Trap} varies from 6.3 ± 0.5 ns to 9.3 ± 0.7 ns during the UV exposure. It is possible that the redistribution of ligands results on a lower density of defects per nanocrystal, reducing the trapping rate. From the theoretical work by du Fossé *et al.*,³⁴ trap assisted PL quenching in PNCs is more likely when PbBr_2 is stripped from the PNCs surface, leading to the creation of hole-trap states, in agreement with the proposed model in Fig. 3. For CdSe NCs, decreasing in the PLQY has been also attributed to the presence of surface hole traps.^{50,51}

The values obtained for A_{Trap} and A_{exc} as a function of illumination time, for both OA- and OPA-capped PNCs, are depicted in Fig. 5d and e, respectively. One can note that initially both samples are dominated by PNCs with active trap sites. Upon illumination, the redistribution of the ligands increases the fraction of OA-capped PNCs with active trap sites, which reaches up to 80% of those particles. On the other hand, for OPA-capped PNCs, the fraction of passivated particles increases, and it becomes the dominating species after 100 min of UV irradiation.

Finally, we use the decay data to estimate how the PLQY changes upon the UV exposure time. Following the picture described in Equation 1, the values for PLQY are calculated by:

$$\text{PLQY} = \frac{A_{\text{Trap}}\tau_{\text{Trap}} + A_{\text{exc}}\tau_{\text{exc}}}{(A_{\text{Trap}} + A_{\text{exc}})\tau_{\text{exc}}} \quad (2)$$

The results are shown in Fig. 5f for both samples. The changes in PLQY are in agreement with the one obtained by the evolution of the integrated PL (Fig. 2g), confirming that the UV-irradiance-assisted ligand redistribution in the OPA-capped PNCs works towards passivating the nanocrystals. Indeed, after 200 min of UV-irradiance, the PLQY reach values as high as 75%, compared to the 50% from the not-irradiated sample.

Although the mechanism proposed for OPA-capped PNCs (Fig. 3) suggests that the total number of hole-trap sites does not vary during the illumination time, the increase of the ensemble PLQY and of τ_{Trap} can occur due to passivation of specific defect sites over the PNC surface, reducing the number of active hole-trap sites. According to du Fossé *et al.*,³⁴ defects formed at the edges and at the corners of the PNCs are more likely to generate deep-trap states than those formed at the middle of the facets. Hence, our data for OPA-capped PNCs suggest that light promotes the passivation of hole traps at the edges and at the corners, which makes the larger NCs brighter even at the ligand loss under UV illumination.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we demonstrated that oleylphosphate-capped (OPA) CsPbBr_3 PNCs present improved photostability, under UV radiation, when compared to oleate-capped (OA) ones. We proposed a mechanism to explain this improvement by considering the light-mediated process of ligand attaching and detaching to the PNC surface. While the sterically hindered lead oleate species favor the formation of surface defects that

are concentration-dependent, the oleylphosphate species allow the reconstruction of the surface already at early times of illumination. So, our set of data indicates that the organic coverage becomes dynamic under UV illumination, which can directly impact the PLQY. Our findings give insights into the impact of the light-induced trap-states formation in amine-free perovskite nanocrystals, as well as provide strategies for the suppression of such defects toward the increase in the PLQY through the utilization of hemidirected Pb-alkylphosphates as passivating ligands.

Author contributions

L. G. B., L. A. P. and A. F. N. designed the experiments, conceived the presented idea and crucial parts of the interpretation. L. G. B. and R. F. M. synthesized the perovskite nanocrystals. R. F. M. carried out the X-ray diffraction measurements and characterization. L. G. B., B. R. C. V., and J. C. G. carried out the absorbance, PL, and TCSPC experiments. D. B. A. was the responsible for the HR-TEM images. The NMR spectroscopy experiments was carried out and analysed by G. D. P. and C. F. T. *In Situ* FTIR spectroscopy was conducted and analysed by P. S., R. F. M., and P. S. F. All authors provided critical feedback and helped writing the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), National Council for Scientific Research (CNPq), and the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) for the financial support. L. G. B. (grant no. 2021/13262-0), B. R. C. V. (grant no. 2020/16077-6), and D. B. A. (grant no. 2019/22576-8) acknowledge FAPESP for their fellowship. R. F. M. acknowledges FAPESP (process no. 2019/25765-6) for him scholarship. J. C. G. acknowledges FCT/i3N/UA for (UIDB/50025/2020 & UIDP/50025/2020) and for the CEEC-ind grant (2021.02056.CEECIND). G. D. P. and C. F. T. thanks the support from FAPESP (grant no. 2020/10246-0). P. S. F. acknowledges for the support from FAPESP (grant no. 2020/04431-0). L. A. P. thanks FAPESP grant no. 2018/15574-6. A. F. N. gratefully acknowledges support from FAPESP (Grant no. 2017/11986-5) and Shell and the strategic importance of the support given by ANP (Brazil's National Oil, Natural Gas, and Biofuels Agency) through the R&D levy regulation. All authors acknowledge LNNano at CNPEM for the permission to acquire HR-TEM images.

References

- 1 L. Protesescu, S. Yakunin, M. I. Bodnarchuk, F. Krieg, R. Caputo, C. H. Hendon, R. X. Yang, A. Walsh and M. V. Kovalenko, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 3692–3696.

- 2 E. Yassitepe, Z. Yang, O. Voznyy, Y. Kim, G. Walters, J. A. Castañeda, P. Kanjanaboos, M. Yuan, X. Gong, F. Fan, J. Pan, S. Hoogland, R. Comin, O. M. Bakr, L. A. Padilha, A. F. Nogueira and E. H. Sargent, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 8757–8763.
- 3 G. Li, F. W. R. Rivarola, N. J. L. K. Davis, S. Bai, T. C. Jellicoe, F. De La Peña, S. Hou, C. Ducati, F. Gao, R. H. Friend, N. C. Greenham and Z. K. Tan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 3528–3534.
- 4 G. Nagamine, J. O. Rocha, L. G. Bonato, A. F. Nogueira, Z. Zaharieva, A. A. R. Watt, C. H. De Brito Cruz and L. A. Padilha, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **9**, 3478–3484.
- 5 C. Y. Huang, C. Zou, C. Mao, K. L. Corp, Y. C. Yao, Y. J. Lee, C. W. Schlenker, A. K. Y. Jen and L. Y. Lin, *ACS Photonics*, 2017, **4**, 2281–2289.
- 6 Y. Xu, Q. Chen, C. Zhang, R. Wang, H. Wu, X. Zhang, G. Xing, W. W. Yu, X. Wang, Y. Zhang and M. Xiao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 3761–3768.
- 7 K. J. Singh, X. Fan, A. S. Sadhu, C.-H. Lin, F.-J. Liou, T. Wu, Y.-J. Lu, J.-H. He, Z. Chen, T. Wu and H.-C. Kuo, *Photonics Res.*, 2021, **9**, 2341.
- 8 Y. Zhang, R. Sun, X. Ou, K. Fu, Q. Chen, Y. Ding, L. J. Xu, L. Liu, Y. Han, A. V. Malko, X. Liu, H. Yang, O. M. Bakr, H. Liu and O. F. Mohammed, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 2520–2525.
- 9 A. K. Srivastava, W. Zhang, J. Schneider, J. E. Halpert and A. L. Rogach, *Adv. Sci.*, 2019, **6**, 1–20.
- 10 J. A. Castañeda, G. Nagamine, E. Yassitepe, L. G. Bonato, O. Voznyy, S. Hoogland, A. F. Nogueira, E. H. Sargent, C. H. B. Cruz and L. A. Padilha, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 8603–8609.
- 11 R. F. Moral, L. G. Bonato, J. C. Germino, W. X. Coelho Oliveira, R. Kamat, J. Xu, C. J. Tassone, S. D. Stranks, M. F. Toney and A. F. Nogueira, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 9472–9479.
- 12 R. F. Moral, J. C. Germino, L. G. Bonato, D. B. Almeida, E. M. Thérézio, T. D. Z. Atvars, S. D. Stranks, R. A. Nome and A. F. Nogueira, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2020, **8**, 1–8.
- 13 A. Liu, L. G. Bonato, F. Sessa, D. B. Almeida, E. Isele, G. Nagamine, L. F. Zagonel, A. F. Nogueira, L. A. Padilha and S. T. Cundiff, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **151**, 1–6.
- 14 A. Liu, D. B. Almeida, L. G. Bonato, G. Nagamine, L. F. Zagonel, A. F. Nogueira, L. A. Padilha and S. T. Cundiff, *Sci. Adv.*, 2021, **7**, 1–7.
- 15 B. W. Boote, H. P. Andaraarachchi, B. A. Rosales, R. Blome-Fernández, F. Zhu, M. D. Reichert, K. Santra, J. Li, J. W. Petrich, J. Vela and E. A. Smith, *ChemPhysChem*, 2019, **20**, 2647–2656.
- 16 G. Nagamine, B. G. Jeong, T. A. C. Ferreira, J. H. Chang, K. Park, D. C. Lee, W. K. Bae and L. A. Padilha, *ACS Photonics*, 2020, **7**, 2252–2264.
- 17 L. Duan, L. Hu, X. Guan, C. H. Lin, D. Chu, S. Huang, X. Liu, J. Yuan and T. Wu, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **11**, 1–23.
- 18 H. Huang, M. I. Bodnarchuk, S. V. Kershaw, M. V. Kovalenko and A. L. Rogach, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2017, **2**, 2071–2083.
- 19 P. Ijaz, M. Imran, M. M. Soares, H. C. N. Tolentino, B. Martín-García, C. Giannini, I. Moreels, L. Manna and R. Krahne, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 2079–2085.
- 20 T. H. Le, S. Lee, H. Jo, G. Jeong, M. Chang and H. Yoon, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **12**, 5631–5638.
- 21 B. T. Diroll, G. Nedelcu, M. V. Kovalenko and R. D. Schaller, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, **27**, 1606750.
- 22 W. Nie, J. C. Blancon, A. J. Neukirch, K. Appavoo, H. Tsai, M. Chhowalla, M. A. Alam, M. Y. Sfeir, C. Katan, J. Even, S. Tretiak, J. J. Crochet, G. Gupta and A. D. Mohite, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 1–9.
- 23 Y. Tian, M. Peter, E. Unger, M. Abdellah, K. Zheng, T. Pullerits, A. Yartsev, V. Sundström and I. G. Scherblykin, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 24978–24987.
- 24 J. Chen, D. Liu, M. J. Al-Marri, L. Nuuttila, H. Lehtivuori and K. Zheng, *Sci. China: Mater.*, 2016, **59**, 719–727.
- 25 R. An, F. Zhang, X. Zou, Y. Tang, M. Liang, I. Oshchapovskyy, Y. Liu, A. Honarfar, Y. Zhong, C. Li, H. Geng, J. Chen, S. E. Canton, T. Pullerits and K. Zheng, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 39222–39227.
- 26 J. De Roo, M. Ibáñez, P. Geiregat, G. Nedelcu, W. Walravens, J. Maes, J. C. Martins, I. Van Driessche, M. V. Kovalenko and Z. Hens, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 2071–2081.
- 27 P. Cottingham and R. L. Brutchey, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 5246–5249.
- 28 Y. J. Yoon, Y. S. Shin, C. B. Park, J. G. Son, J. W. Kim, H. S. Kim, W. Lee, J. Heo, G. H. Kim and J. Y. Kim, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 21695–21702.
- 29 S. Ten Brinck, F. Zaccaria and I. Infante, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2019, **4**, 2739–2747.
- 30 W. Yin, T. Shi, Y. Yan, W. Yin, T. Shi and Y. Yan, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **104**, 063903.
- 31 J. Kang and L. W. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **8**, 489–493.
- 32 Y. Huang, W. J. Yin and Y. He, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 1345–1350.
- 33 Y. Li, C. Zhang, X. Zhang, D. Huang, Q. Shen, Y. Cheng and W. Huang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2017, **111**, 1–6.
- 34 I. du Fossé, J. T. Mulder, G. Almeida, A. G. M. Spruit, I. Infante, F. C. Grozema and A. J. Houtepen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**(25), 11059–11063.
- 35 D. P. Nenon, K. Pressler, J. Kang, B. A. Koscher, J. H. Olshansky, W. T. Osowiecki, M. A. Koc, L. W. Wang and A. P. Alivisatos, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 17760–17772.
- 36 R. E. Brandt, J. R. Poindexter, P. Gorai, R. C. Kurchin, R. L. Z. Hoye, L. Nienhaus, M. W. B. Wilson, J. A. Polizzotti, R. Sereika, R. Žaltauskas, L. C. Lee, J. L. Macmanus-Driscoll, M. Bawendi, V. Stevanović and T. Buonassisi, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 4667–4674.
- 37 L. Shimoni-Livny, J. P. Glusker and C. W. Bock, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 1853–1867.
- 38 M. Thamim, K. Senthilkumar, N. Job, N. Palanisami and K. Thirumoorthy, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2020, **34**, 12.
- 39 M. Imran, A. Mix, B. Neumann, H. G. Stammer, U. Monkowius, P. Gründlinger and N. W. Mitzel, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **44**, 924–937.
- 40 A. Hassinen, I. Moreels, C. De Mello Donegá, J. C. Martins and Z. Hens, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **1**, 2577–2581.
- 41 C. A. M. Bonilla, M. H. T. Flórez, D. R. Molina Velasco and V. V. Kouznetsov, *New J. Chem.*, 2019, **43**, 8452–8458.

- 42 I. Moreels, Y. Justo, B. De Geyter, K. Hastraete, J. C. Martins and Z. Hens, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 2004–2012.
- 43 I. Moreels, B. Fritzinger, J. C. Martins and Z. Hens, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 15081–15086.
- 44 Z. Hens, I. Moreels and J. C. Martins, *ChemPhysChem*, 2005, **6**, 2578–2584.
- 45 Y. Lu and J. D. Miller, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2002, **256**, 41–52.
- 46 L. M. Bronstein, X. Huang, J. Retrum, A. Schmucker, M. Pink, B. D. Stein and B. Dragnea, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 3624–3632.
- 47 F. Söderlind, H. Pedersen, R. M. Petoral, P. Käll and K. Uvdal, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2005, **288**, 140–148.
- 48 Y. Zhang, T. Zhang, G. Lv, G. Zhang, Y. Liu and W. Zhang, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2016, **166**, 87–93.
- 49 A. A. Ahmed, S. Gypser, P. Leinweber, D. Freese and O. Kühn, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **21**, 4421–4434.
- 50 A. Veamatahau, B. Jiang, T. Seifert, S. Makuta, K. Latham, M. Kanehara, T. Teranishi and Y. Tachibana, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 2850–2858.
- 51 D. P. Morgan and D. F. Kelley, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 25661–25667.