



Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2024, 12, 24878

Received 4th June 2024
Accepted 6th September 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ta03864a

rsc.li/materials-a

Enhanced ORR performance with biomass-derived freestanding catalyst layers: advancing mass transport in gas diffusion electrodes†

Mengnan Wang,^a Jianguang Zhang,^b Simon Kellner,^a Ifan E. L. Stephens^c and Maria-Magdalena Titirici^{*a}

Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFCs) are increasingly recognized as a pivotal technology for transitioning the energy sector towards net-zero emissions. The efficiency of PEMFCs largely hinges on the development of catalysts, especially for the Oxygen Reduction Reaction (ORR) at the cathode. Currently, commercial PEMFCs predominantly utilize Pt-based catalysts for their exceptional activity and stability, highlighting the need for high catalytic efficiency to mitigate Pt scarcity issues. However, beyond intrinsic activity enhancements in catalyst development, the architecture of the catalyst layer—typically carbon-based—emerges as a critical, yet under-explored, factor. Here, we introduce a novel catalyst layer derived from lignin, a plentiful biomass resource in woody materials, crafted through a dual templating strategy to yield an interconnected hierarchical structure. Our evaluation of this unique catalyst layer within a gas diffusion electrode setup revealed significant improvements in mass transport. These advancements offer a sustainable and effective pathway for next-generation catalyst layer innovations in PEMFCs, potentially accelerating the widespread commercialization of this green technology to decarbonize the energy sector.

Introduction

Catalysts, particularly for the ORR at the cathode, are pivotal for the efficiency of PEMFCs, which are crucial for achieving net-zero emissions in the energy sector. Despite their importance, current PEMFC designs predominantly rely on Pt-based catalysts for their exceptional activity and stability.¹ Not only is it essential to use high-quality active materials such as nano-structured Pt^{2,3} and Pt based alloys,^{4–6} achieving optimal

efficiency in PEMFCs also depends on the strategic organization and integration of active materials within the electrode structure.⁷ The architecture of the catalyst layer, often overlooked, is as critical as the catalysts themselves.⁸

Traditional PEMFC catalysts layers combine carbon-supported platinum catalysts and an ionomer, serving both as proton conductor and binder. These components are combined to form an ink slurry that is subsequently deposited onto a membrane or a gas diffusion layer, resulting in a porous electrode structure. This ‘top-down’ methodology produces a stochastic electrode architecture, characterized by a network of ionomer and pore channels with a high degree of tortuosity.⁹ Such a configuration poses considerable challenges for mass transport, and consequently, results in sub-optimal catalyst utilization. Remarkably, the structure of electrodes used in today’s commercial PEMFCs shows a significant degree of continuity with the original design introduced by Wilson and Gottesfeld, dating back over three decades.^{10,11} This persistence of conventional designs, despite their inherent limitations, underscores the challenge of implementing radical innovations in electrode architecture. A critical limitation in the preparation of these catalyst layers is the control over their microscopic architecture, particularly with respect to pore structures within the catalyst layer. The dielectric constant of the solvent and ionomer content in the catalyst ink profoundly influence the microstructure of PEMFC catalyst layers by affecting particle agglomeration and ink rheology, which are critical for optimizing layer porosity and integrity.^{12,13} In response to these challenges, a number of investigations have been dedicated to advancing alternative electrode structures.^{14–16}

Enabled by breakthroughs in microfabrication and nanofabrication techniques, these studies exemplify the ongoing efforts in pioneering innovative electrode designs that could surpass traditional MEA architectures. One significant family of such structures developed is inverse opals, which offers outstanding interconnected structures and low tortuosity.^{17,18} However, this kind of structure usually suffers from the lack of a hierarchy to host and protect the active catalytic site, as well as

^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, SW7 2AZ London, UK. E-mail: m.titirici@imperial.ac.uk

^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Lincoln, Bayford Pool, Lincoln LN6 7TS, UK

^cDepartment of Materials, Imperial College London. White City Campus, 80 Wood Ln, London, W12 7TA, UK

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ta03864a>

to facilitate the multi scale transportation. Particularly, mesopores around 4–6 nm have been demonstrated to enhance catalyst-ionomer interactions by preventing the detrimental direct contact between them, while still facilitating efficient local transport near the active sites.¹⁹ This concept of utilizing mesopores has also been endorsed by industry advancements. For instance, Toyota has innovatively adopted mesoporous carbon nano dendrites (MCND), a form of carbon with inherent small mesopores that are impermeable to the ionomer. This strategic choice ensures that a significant portion of the Pt catalyst is embedded within the MCND, thereby minimizing the direct interaction between Pt and the ionomer and reducing the risk of sulfonic acid cover poisoning. By integrating this novel material with a platinum-cobalt (PtCo) alloy catalyst, Toyota has reported a commendable increase in catalytic activity, estimated at around 50%.⁷ Hence, the development of a hierarchical structure within the electrode catalysts layer holds great importance by interconnecting the catalytic sites, ensuring a rapid delivery of reactants and a swift removal of products.

Moreover, assessing the performance gains due to enhanced mass transport cannot be effectively achieved with the conventional rotating disk/ring electrode technique, hindered by the low oxygen solubility in the electrolyte. This results in a significant deviation in catalytic efficiency from that observed in membrane electrode assemblies (MEAs) within actual PEMFCs, where the operational current is substantially higher, up to a hundredfold, with the transport of oxygen, protons, electrons, and water being essential. The considerable amount of catalyst needed and the extensive time required for MEA evaluations have limited their application in the development and refinement of new catalyst layers. Nonetheless, advancements in the use of gas diffusion electrode (GDE) half-cell setups have proven to be a promising approach for investigating the effects of catalyst layer attributes on ORR performance, offering a closer approximation to the conditions within fuel cells and enhancing our comprehension of catalyst durability in practical electrochemical energy conversion systems.²⁰

Last but not least, although carbon is commonly used as the main support for electrocatalysts due to its widespread availability and superior electrical conductivity, the production of standard carbon supports like carbon black and activated carbon predominantly depends on petroleum-based resources.²¹ This dependence stands in contrast to the broader objective of achieving decarbonization in future fuel cell technologies. Consequently, there is an urgent requirement to source carbon materials from more renewable resources, with biomass presenting itself as a viable and plentiful option. Lignin, a residual product from the paper and bio-refinery sectors, represents one such biomass source. Currently, only a minimal portion (<10% of 500–3600 million tonnes) of the industry's total lignin output is employed for chemical and material applications.²² Therefore, leveraging lignin as a precursor for electrode material synthesis not only promotes the utilization of biomass but also supports the PEMFC advancements, creating a mutually beneficial scenario for both sectors.

This study introduces a novel catalyst layer derived from lignin, utilizing a dual templating strategy to enhance mass transport and catalytic efficiency, aiming to address the limitations of current PEMFC catalyst designs.

Results and discussion

Nanostructure development

In the quest to develop nanostructured carbons, the study employed a dual templating process involving two distinct techniques to introduce mesoporosity and macroporosity in the carbon structure (Fig. 1). Initially, soft templating, using block copolymers, specifically PluronicTM F127, was adopted to induce mesoporous structures into the synthesized carbons. This choice was guided by previous studies that have demonstrated the effectiveness of mesopores around 4 nm, templated by PluronicTM F127, in improving the interactions between catalysts and ionomers, crucially eliminating harmful direct contact while still ensuring effective local transport around active sites.^{19,23} The process commenced with dissolving the polymer template in methanol, followed by the addition of organosolv lignin, phloroglucinol, and glyoxal to the dispersion.²⁴ These carbon precursors, replete with hydroxy moieties, interact non-covalently with the PEO sequence of the template *via* hydrogen bonding, governing the ensuing organic-organic self-assembly process. The formation of micelles, guided by the incompatibility between hydrophilic and hydrophobic polymer blocks, led to the creation of a robust mesophase. The evaporation induced self-assembly (EISA) process then facilitated microphase separation within the block copolymers. Subsequent thermopolymerization at 85 °C stabilizes this ordered mesophase through cross-linking reactions with glyoxal, creating a three-dimensional network of covalently bridged phenols. This robust network supports the successful removal of the template, without compromising the structural integrity of the mesopores. The process concludes with pyrolysis at 1000 °C to yield the final mesoporous carbon.

To further enhance mass transport throughout the catalyst layer, the strategic incorporation of macropores is essential. These macropores are designed to facilitate efficient flow and access within the layer. We identified polystyrene particles as particularly effective for this purpose due to their availability in various sizes and the simplicity of their removal by thermal decomposition during pyrolysis. The synthesis of polystyrene was undertaken employing an emulsion method,²⁵ utilizing a 5% styrene solution in water. Following synthesis, the resulting emulsion was subjected to DLS measurements and SEM imaging after drying. Fig. S1† conspicuously illustrates that the synthesized polystyrene has narrow distributed size around 500 nm, exhibiting a polydispersity of merely 1.4%. This controlled synthesis underscores the suitability of polystyrene as a macropore template, aligning with the targeted design criteria for enhanced mass transport within the catalyst layer. To fabricate the inverse opal structure utilizing polystyrene, the precursor mixtures were prepared as described previously, with in-house synthesized polystyrene nanospheres being dispersed into the solution *via* probe sonication. This method produced






Fig. 1 Schematic synthetic procedure for lignin derived carbon with mesoporous, macroporous and hierarchical structure.

a stable and homogeneous suspension, conducive to subsequent cross-linking and carbonization processes, ultimately resulting in the formation of a carbon structure embedded with both mesopores and macropores. Additionally, a control sample with only macropores was prepared using a similar approach but without employing soft templating.

Characterisation of the carbon films

After obtaining the 3 different types of freestanding carbon films, gas adsorption analysis using N_2 was applied to evaluate the porosity of their structures. From the results shown in Fig. 2, it was observed that all three carbon structures shared a similar microporous volume, for pores smaller than 2 nm. This commonality underlined a consistent formation of microporosity across the various templating processes employed. A noteworthy distinction emerged when studying the

mesoporosity. The pore size distribution plots, complemented by the characteristic isotherm hysteresis, clearly delineated the presence of ~ 4 nm mesopores for both the hierarchical carbon and the mesoporous-only carbon. These features are consistent with the previously identified optimal pore size for ionomer interactions.¹⁹

While the BET method could not resolve macropores explicitly, indirect evidence of their existence was inferred from the adsorption trends observed at high relative pressures (P/P_0). This observation hinted at the presence of macropores within the carbon structure, specifically for the hierarchical and macroporous-only carbon. To verify the meso- and macropore structure established with the gas sorption results, SEM and TEM were used to image the morphology of the carbon materials (Fig. 3). Mesoporous channels are clearly shown on the TEM images for hierarchical (Fig. 3e and S2†) and mesoporous-only sample (Fig. 3d), while macropores with size of about 400 nm are observed in the SEM images for hierarchical (Fig. 3b and S3†) and macroporous-only carbon (Fig. 3c), supporting the conclusions from gas sorption analysis. It is worth noting that for the hierarchical materials, the bimodal porous structure is interconnected to form a continuous architecture, posing great potential for the mass transportation.

Understanding the electrochemical accessibility of the carbon film

To further probe the electrochemical attributes of the distinct carbon structures developed in this research, we employed CV analysis using the carbon films as gas diffusion electrodes, in a 1 M $HClO_4$ solution tested in GDE half-cell. As depicted in Fig. 4a, CV currents were normalized to the mass of each specific nanostructured carbon substrate. This standardization enables an effective comparative assessment between our carbon samples and benchmark data from the existing body of literature. An interesting observation emerged from the analysis – both the hierarchical and mesoporous-only carbon structures displayed comparable capacitance values. Contrarily, the material with only macroporous structure demonstrated a notably diminished capacitance.




Fig. 2 (a) N_2 sorption isotherm, (b) NLDFT pore size distribution and (c) micropore and mesopore volume comparison for the three different carbon film.




Fig. 3 (a–c) SEM and (d–f) TEM images display yielded carbons after pyrolysis at 1000 °C.

In a further effort to explore the electrochemical accessibility of these materials, we scrutinized the capacity retention at high scan rates, an investigative approach commonly employed within the field. Significantly, materials incorporating macroporous structures, namely, the hierarchical and macroporous-only materials, exhibited outstanding capacity retention. A marginal loss of 20% (Fig. 4b) implies a swift ion transport rate within the macropores, a testament to the advantageous characteristics intrinsic to macroporous structures.

Attempting to correlate capacitance to surface area for these materials, a definitive conclusion remained elusive when employing the BET surface area. Conversely, upon eliminating

the microporous surface area, a strong correlation was achieved with an r^2 of 0.998 (Fig. 4c). This result is in agreement with the literature which suggests that electrochemical accessibility can be challenging for microporous structures below 2 nm.²⁶

In conclusion, our investigations suggest the potential of hierarchical carbon materials for future applications. These materials boast an ideal, ultra-low tortuosity microstructure, devoid of binders, and exhibit superior electrochemical accessibility. The findings of this study highlight the promise of these carbon materials as effective substrates for the deposition of Pt NPs, heralding a significant leap forward in the development of innovative catalyst layers for use in PEMFCs.

Improved ORR performance for catalysts layer with hierarchical architecture

Pt NPs were introduced to the free-standing electrodes as the active materials to investigate the effect of the catalyst architecture onto the mass transport for ORR. The resulting catalyst layers, with their deposited Pt, were then analysed *via* TEM, demonstrating successful preparation of Pt NPs on all three carbon substrates. The findings presented in Fig. 5 and S4† reveal that the Pt NPs, when deposited onto the hierarchical carbon material, exhibit remarkable dispersion. Meanwhile, a moderate degree of aggregation is observed on the alternative carbon substrates. These observations further underscore the beneficial influence of the hierarchical structure in enhancing diffusion phenomena.

The loading of Pt was regulated by the volume of precursor solution applied to the carbon film. The precise Pt loading was determined by extracting a circular 0.5 cm² sample from the catalyst layer, followed by microwave-assisted digestion using aqua regia, with subsequent quantification *via* ICP-MS.

Prior to incorporating the previously characterized catalyst layers into the GDE half-cell (Fig. 6a), the electrode preparation entailed the application of a dilute Nafion ionomer solution (0.05 wt% in IPA) onto the catalyst layer, achieving a balanced 1:1 ionomer to carbon mass ratio. Subsequently, polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) dissolved in *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) was dispensed onto the rear of the catalysts layers.




Fig. 4 (a) CVs at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ for various carbon-based materials, recorded in a gas diffusion half-cell setup using a 1 M HClO₄ electrolyte at room temperature with 200 mL per min N₂. Pt wire was used as the counter electrode in the same electrolyte chamber without membrane separation, RHE was used as the reference electrode in a different electrolyte chamber connected with Luggin capillary. Potential was corrected for IR compensation post measurements. (b) Their capacitance retention as a function of the scan rate. (c) The correlation between meso/macropore surface area and capacitance at 5 mV s⁻¹.






Fig. 5 TEM images of the three catalyst layers with Pt nanoparticles deposited.

This treatment endowed the back of the electrode with hydrophobic properties to prevent electrolyte flooding, an essential feature that boosts the overall performance of the catalyst layer.

Prior to evaluating the freestanding catalysts layers, the electrochemical cell was benchmarked using HiSPEC4000 commercial Pt/C catalysts as a standard reference. The results obtained from our experiments aligned closely with findings documented in existing literature (Fig. S5 and S6†).

When subjecting the prepared electrodes to the GDE half-cell under N_2 , the CVs presented in Fig. S7† displayed distinct hydrogen underpotential deposition (H_{UPD}) peaks for all three catalysts representing the successful loading of Pt. The Electrochemical Surface Area (ECSA), determined H_{UPD} , yielded values of $48.81 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for Pt/hierarchicalC, $43.51 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for Pt/macroporousC, and $21.91 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for Pt/mesoporousC. The notably lower ECSA observed for the Pt supported on mesoporous carbon is attributed to the aggregation of Pt nanoparticles within the mesoporous channels, which significantly diminishes the accessibility of Pt active sites. The double-layer capacitance aligns with that of the pristine carbon film without Pt introduction. The changes of specific capacitance across three samples are all below 13% suggesting that the integration of Pt did not significantly alter the accessibility of the nanostructures (Table S2†). Furthermore, Fig. 6b delineates the ORR performance of the various catalyst layers, highlighting pronounced disparities in the polarization curves. Given that at low current densities, where kinetics will dominate, the polarisation curves all coincide as illustrated in Fig. 6b inset, we attribute the differences at high current densities transport effects within the distinct porous architectures of the carbon materials.




Fig. 6 (a) The cross-sectional diagram of the GDE half-cell modified based on commercial Gaskatel FlexCell. (b) ORR polarization curves for all three catalyst layers. Inset: plot of logarithm of mass activity vs. potential, highlighting the similarity at low current densities. Measurements were done in 1 M $HClO_4$ electrolyte at room temperature with 200 mL per min O_2 and 10 mV s^{-1} scanning rate for LSV. Pt wire was used as the counter electrode in the same electrolyte chamber without membrane separation, RHE was used as the reference electrode in a different electrolyte chamber connected with Luggin capillary. Potential was corrected for IR compensation after measurements. Two electrodes were prepared and measured with $\sim 20 \mu\text{gPt cm}^{-2}$ loading to generate the polarization curves.

The design of hierarchical structures, incorporating both meso- and macropores, promotes superior ion and mass transport, thereby enhancing electrochemical performance. On the other end of the spectrum, the electrode composed solely of mesoporous structures displayed pronounced transport limitations at high current region, exhibiting the least efficient ORR activity. The mesoporous structure likely poses hindrances to ion transport and the accessibility of Pt, essential for catalysing the ORR. The restrictive nature of the mesopores, coupled with their higher length-to-diameter ratio, may slow down the diffusion process and impede effective contact with the catalyst sites.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated a significant advancement in electrochemical energy systems by developing a freestanding hierarchical electrode catalyst layer using lignin and a dual templating approach, emphasizing the critical role of electrode design in efficiency. The introduction of meso- and



Preparation of polystyrene nanoparticles

To collect and wash the polystyrene nanoparticles, the suspension was sent to centrifuge at 10 000 rpm for 15 minutes. The resulting precipitation was resuspended in DI water and centrifuged again at the same condition. The washing was repeated for 3 times and white polystyrene particles was obtained, which was subsequently dried in 80 °C for 24 hours.

To generate a hierarchical and continuous catalyst layer, a dual template consisting of soft template and hard template was incorporated and then removed. Polystyrene microparticles were used as hard templates here while Pluronic F-127 as soft template.

In a typical synthesis, 0.335 g lignin, 0.335 g phloroglucinol (purity $\geq 99\%$, Sigma-Aldrich), 1.1675 g Pluronic® F-127 (Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved in 32.5 mL methanol and along with 0.6 mL of glyoxal solution (40 wt% in H_2O , Sigma-Aldrich).²⁴ Afterwards, the mixture was sent to sonication bath until the Pluronic® F-127 was dissolved completely to present a brown suspension. Then 25 mL of suspension was transferred to a container and 1.015 g polystyrene nanoparticles was added into the suspension. Probe sonication was applied to ensure the polystyrene was well dispersed and a brown milky slurry was obtained.

The original slurries were then divided into two parts, one was left to slow evaporate and the self-assembly is induced during the evaporation, and the other part was air sprayed on the surface of carbon fibre paper (Freudenberg H23C8) using airbrush (Iwata CN gravity feed, 0.35 mm nozzle). And slurries with additives were all left to evaporated on the carbon fibre paper. After that, all of the samples were put under 85 °C for 24 hours to induce crosslinking, after which a polymeric film was obtained. In the end, all dried samples were sent to a tube furnace in 300 mL per min N₂ flow with a heating rate of 1 °C min⁻¹ from room temperature to 1000 °C, followed by maintenance at 1000 °C for 2 hours to carbonise the film and remove all the templates. Afterwards, the samples were cooled to near-ambient temperature in N₂ flow and a catalyst layer of hierarchal mesopores was then obtained.

To produce carbon films with exclusively macropores or mesopores as control samples, the method described above was employed with slight modifications. For the sample containing only macropores, Pluronic® F-127 was omitted, whereas for the mesoporous-only sample, the use of PS as hard templates was excluded.

After obtaining the hierarchical carbon film from previous step, the preparation of Pt/C electrocatalysts on this freestanding hierarchical carbon film commenced with the formulation of a platinum precursor solution at a concentration of 1 mg_{Pt} mL⁻¹ using H₂PtCl₆·xH₂O (~38% Pt basis, Sigma-Aldrich). This solution was meticulously sprayed onto the carbon film using an airbrush (Iwata CN gravity feed, 0.35 mm nozzle), taking great care to ensure a comprehensive penetration of the precursor into the structure of the carbon film. The spraying process was performed gradually, allowing the precursor to dry with each application, ensuring an even distribution across the surface and within the pores of the carbon film.

Following the application and impregnation process, the carbon films were subjected to a reduction treatment to convert the platinum precursor into metallic platinum. This reduction was conducted at 350 °C for a duration of 2 hours, utilizing a controlled atmosphere with a 5% hydrogen in nitrogen gas flow.

Nitrogen isothermal adsorption/desorption was conducted on a Micromeritics 3flex system at 77 K. Before measurements, ~50 mg samples were degassed at 200 °C for 16 h under vacuum. Gases used were nitrogen (N5.2 CP grade) for adsorption/desorption and He (N5.0 CP grade) for the free-space measurement. The BET equation was used to estimate the total surface area, where the fitting region was determined by the Rouquerol method on 3Flex software version 5.02.²⁷ The pore size distribution was calculated using slit geometry and heterogeneous surface-2D-NLDFT model in-built with 3Flex

References

- 1 Y. Sun, S. Polani, F. Luo, S. Ott, P. Strasser and F. Dionigi, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 1–14.
- 2 M. Li, Z. Zhao, T. Cheng, A. Fortunelli, C. Y. Chen, R. Yu, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, B. V. Merinov, Z. Lin, E. Zhu, T. Yu, Q. Jia, J. Guo, L. Zhang, W. A. Goddard, Y. Huang and X. Duan, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 1414–1419.
- 3 C. Chen, Y. Kang, Z. Huo, Z. Zhu, W. Huang, H. L. Xin, J. D. Snyder, D. Li, J. A. Herron, M. Mavrikakis, M. Chi, K. L. More, Y. Li, N. M. Markovic, G. A. Somorjai, P. Yang and V. R. Stamenkovic, *Science*, 2014, **343**, 1339–1343.
- 4 V. R. Stamenkovic, B. S. Mun, M. Arenz, K. J. J. Mayrhofer, C. A. Lucas, G. Wang, P. N. Ross and N. M. Markovic, *Nat. Mater.*, 2007, **6**, 241–247.
- 5 X. Tian, X. Zhao, Y. Q. Su, L. Wang, H. Wang, D. Dang, B. Chi, H. Liu, E. J. M. Hensen, X. W. Lou and B. Y. Xia, *Science*, 2019, **366**, 850–856.
- 6 C. Kim, F. Dionigi, V. Beermann, X. Wang, T. Möller and P. Strasser, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1805617.
- 7 S. Mizuno, T. Hayashi*1, H. Kubo, M. Okumura, T. Kurihara and K. Mori, *Toyota Tech. Rev.*, 2021, **66**, 22.
- 8 J. Fan, M. Chen, Z. Zhao, Z. Zhang, S. Ye, S. Xu, H. Wang and H. Li, *Nat. Energy*, 2021, **6**, 475–486.
- 9 H. Wang, R. Wang, S. Sui, T. Sun, Y. Yan and S. Du, *Automot. Innov.*, 2021, **4**, 144–164.
- 10 M. S. Wilson and S. Gottesfeld, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1992, **139**, L28–L30.
- 11 C. Zawodzinski, M. S. Wilson and S. Gottesfeld, *ECS Proc. Vol.*, 1995, **1995–23**, 57.
- 12 K. B. Hatzell, M. B. Dixit, S. A. Berlinger and A. Z. Weber, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 20527–20533.
- 13 Y. Guo, D. Yang, B. Li, D. Yang, P. Ming and C. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 27119–27128.
- 14 A. D. Taylor, E. Y. Kim, V. P. Humes, J. Kizuka and L. T. Thompson, *J. Power Sources*, 2007, **171**, 101–106.
- 15 J. K. Koh, Y. Jeon, Y. Il Cho, J. H. Kim and Y. G. Shul, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 8652–8659.
- 16 C. H. Lee, W. J. M. Kort-Kamp, H. Yu, D. A. Cullen, B. M. Patterson, T. A. Arman, S. Komini Babu, R. Mukundan, R. L. Borup and J. S. Spendelow, *Nat. Energy*, 2023, **8**, 685–694.
- 17 O. H. Kim, Y. H. Cho, S. H. Kang, H. Y. Park, M. Kim, J. W. Lim, D. Y. Chung, M. J. Lee, H. Choe and Y. E. Sung, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 2473.
- 18 M. Atwa, X. Li, Z. Wang, S. Dull, S. Xu, X. Tong, R. Tang, H. Nishihara, F. Prinz and V. Birss, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2021, **8**, 2451–2462.
- 19 M. Wang, J. Zhang, S. Favero, H. Luo, L. Higgins, I. E. L. Stephens and M. Titirici, *ChemRxiv*, 2023, preprint, DOI: [10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-t446q](https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-t446q).
- 20 K. Ehelebe, N. Schmitt, G. Sievers, A. W. Jensen, A. Hrnjić, P. Collantes Jiménez, P. Kaiser, M. Geuß, Y. P. Ku, P. Jovanović, K. J. J. Mayrhofer, B. Etzold, N. Hodnik, M. Escudero-Escribano, M. Arenz and S. Cherevko, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2022, **7**, 816–826.
- 21 C. M. Long, M. A. Nascarella and P. A. Valberg, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2013, **181**, 271–286.
- 22 W. Zhang, X. Qiu, C. Wang, L. Zhong, F. Fu, J. Zhu, Z. Zhang, Y. Qin, D. Yang and C. C. Xu, *Carbon Res.*, 2022, **11**(1), 1–39.
- 23 V. Yarlagaadda, M. K. Carpenter, T. E. Moylan, R. S. Kukreja, R. Koestner, W. Gu, L. Thompson and A. Kongkanand, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2018, **3**, 618–621.
- 24 S. Herou, M. C. Ribadeneyra, R. Madhu, V. Araullo-Peters, A. Jensen, P. Schlee and M. Titirici, *Green Chem.*, 2019, **21**, 550–559.
- 25 A. B. D. Nandiyanto, A. Suhendi, T. Ogi, R. Umemoto and K. Okuyama, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2014, **256**, 421–430.
- 26 B. Kastening and M. Heins, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2005, **50**, 2487–2498.
- 27 J. Rouquerol, P. Llewellyn and F. Rouquerol, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.*, 2007, **160**, 49–56.

