

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, 13, 13657

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Multipronged diagnostic and therapeutic strategies for Alzheimer's disease

Madhu Ramesh and Thimmaiah Govindaraju *

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder and a major contributor to dementia cases worldwide. AD is clinically characterized by learning, memory, and cognitive deficits. The accumulation of extracellular amyloid β ($A\beta$) plaques and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) of tau are the pathological hallmarks of AD and are explored as targets for clinical diagnosis and therapy. AD pathology is poorly understood and there are no fully approved diagnosis and treatments. Notwithstanding the gap, decades of research in understanding disease mechanisms have revealed the multifactorial nature of AD. As a result, multipronged and holistic approaches are pertinent to targeting multiple biomarkers and targets for developing effective diagnosis and therapeutics. In this perspective, recent developments in $A\beta$ and tau targeted diagnostic and therapeutic tools are discussed. Novel indirect, combination, and circulating biomarkers as potential diagnostic targets are highlighted. We underline the importance of multiplexing and multimodal detection of multiple biomarkers to generate biomarker fingerprints as a reliable diagnostic strategy. The classical therapeutics targeting $A\beta$ and tau aggregation pathways are described with bottlenecks in the strategy. Drug discovery efforts targeting multifaceted toxicity involving protein aggregation, metal toxicity, oxidative stress, mitochondrial damage, and neuroinflammation are highlighted. Recent efforts focused on multipronged strategies to rationally design multifunctional modulators targeting multiple pathological factors are presented as future drug development strategies to discover potential therapeutics for AD.

Received 14th July 2022
Accepted 13th October 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc03932j

rsc.li/chemical-science

Bioorganic Chemistry Laboratory, New Chemistry Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Jakkur P.O., Bengaluru, Karnataka 560064, India.
E-mail: tgraju@jncasr.ac.in



Madhu Ramesh received his BVSc & AH in 2015 from Veterinary College, Bidar, Karnataka and MVSc in Biochemistry from Indian Veterinary Research Institute (IVRI), Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India. He joined JNCASR in 2017 for the PhD programme under the supervision of Prof. T Govindaraju. His research interests include understanding the pathophysiology and developing diagnostic

and multifunctional therapeutic agents for Alzheimer's disease.



Thimmaiah Govindaraju is a Professor at the Bioorganic Chemistry Laboratory, New Chemistry Unit, JNCASR, Bengaluru, India. He received his MSc (2000) from Bangalore University and PhD in Chemistry (2006) from the National Chemical Laboratory and University of Pune, India. He carried out postdoctoral research at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA (2005–

2006) and the Max Planck Institute of Molecular Physiology, Dortmund, Germany (2006–2008) as an Alexander von Humboldt postdoctoral fellow. His research interests are at the interface of chemistry, biology and biomaterials science, including Alzheimer's disease, peptide chemistry, molecular probes, theranostics, molecular architectonics, nanoarchitectonics, and silk and cyclic dipeptide derived biomimetics.



1. Introduction

Dementia is a major cause of death globally and 70–80% of all cases are linked to AD.¹ There are more than 55 million people suffering from dementia worldwide, which are expected to grow to 139 million by 2050.² Over the decades, the number of deaths by leading diseases show a decreasing trend owing to the availability of reliable diagnostic and therapeutic interventions, while the deaths from AD increased by more than 145%.^{1,2} Clinically AD patients show learning and memory impairment, language problems, and cognitive deficits leading to fatality within 5 to 12 years of disease diagnosis based on behavioural and cognitive symptoms.³ Pathologically, AD is characterized by the extracellular A β senile plaques and NFTs of hyperphosphorylated tau protein, associated neurodegeneration, and brain atrophy.⁴ AD etiopathology has been described by the cholinergic hypothesis, amyloid hypothesis, and tau hypothesis over the last three decades. Recent discoveries have uncovered the complex pathobiology and showed the multifactorial nature of AD (Fig. 1).^{4–9} The accumulating evidence demonstrates the role of metal ion dyshomeostasis, reactive oxygen species (ROS), oxidative stress, mitochondrial damage, and neuroinflammation in the pathology of AD.¹⁰

A β and tau aggregation species are the hallmarks of AD and targeted for diagnosis over the last two decades using different chemical tools with positron emission tomography (PET), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and fluorescence imaging techniques. The advancements in PET probes and the technique allow the clinical detection of A β and tau biomarkers.¹¹ A β and tau PET imaging suffer from a few limitations like the requirement of clinical experts, cost, sophisticated instrumentation, radiation hazard, differential diagnosis, and failure to

provide definite disease diagnosis at the early stage. Recently, the National Institute on Aging and Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA) has set a framework, wherein the use of definitive core biomarkers like A β (A), tau (T), and neurodegeneration (N) is advocated for AD diagnosis.¹² The proposed biomarker list is left open-ended to allow the addition of newly validated biomarkers. The multiple pathological pathways of AD emphasise the potential of considering novel and multiple biomarkers associated with disease pathology for early and accurate diagnosis. The disease-associated markers circulating in the fluids like cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), blood, saliva, and urine hold potential for disease diagnosis. Thus, circulating biomarkers have been explored in recent years owing to numerous advantages over imaging techniques.¹³ Recently, many multicentric clinical studies have identified promising circulating biomarkers in CSF and blood for their utility in routine screening in large clinical cases. We proposed multiplexed detection of multiple biomarkers using multimodal imaging and detection techniques to generate a signature fingerprint of biomarkers. The signature fingerprint aids early diagnosis and categorises different clinical stages with high accuracy for personalised medication and effective therapeutic intervention.¹⁴

AD drug developments have been revolving around cholinergic and amyloid hypothesis over the last three decades.¹⁵ Currently, drugs available to treat AD provide only symptomatic relief and do not directly target the underlying disease mechanisms. The therapeutic targeting of A β met with failures due to intervention at advanced stages and the multifactorial nature of AD. Aducanumab, a monoclonal antibody (mAb), has been conditionally approved for therapeutic targeting of A β in AD patients with mixed output.¹⁶ Similarly, targeting tau




Fig. 1 Schematic representation of multiple aetiologies and multifaceted toxicity of AD, which emphasize the need to adopt multipronged approaches for the management of diagnosis and treatment (created with BioRender.com).



Table 1 Diagnostic tools and techniques targeting core and indirect biomarkers for AD^a

Sl. no.	Diagnostic markers and techniques	Chemical probe/ techniques	Characteristic features					Model tested	Ref.	
1	Aβ targeted diagnostics									
	NIRF imaging		λ_{ex}	λ_{em}	ϕ	Fold	K_{d}			
	Oligomers	BD-Oligo	530	—	—	6	0.48 μM	Tg M	20	
		F-SLOH	—	650	—	—	1.13 μM	Tg M	21	
		PTO-29	570	680	—	60	0.25 μM	Tg M	22	
	Soluble aggregates/ protofibrils	DCM-AN	500	661	0.015	—	0.85 μM	Tg M	26	
		CRANAD102	580	700	0.018	68	7.5 nM	Tg M	28	
	Fibrils	TC	537	638	0.4	30	58.4 nM	<i>In vitro</i>	23	
		CQ ^b	516	664	0.36	100	84 nM	Human	24	
		PHC4	—	741	0.78	62.2	14.1 nM	Tg M/human	27	
		QM-FN-SO ₃	500	720	—	—	170 nM	Tg M	30	
		ADlumin1	—	540	—	100	2.1 μM	Tg M	31	
		18	—	618	0.31	223	43.1 nM	Tg M	32	
		Different alloforms	QAD1	Binds to monomers, oligomers, and fibrils					Tg M	25
			Combinatorial molecular sensor	Differentiate different alloforms like monomer, oligomer, LMW, and HMW aggregates					<i>In vitro</i>	29
	PET imaging									
	Fibrils	¹¹ C-PiB	ThT based PET probe, approved for clinical use and a gold standard test					Human	33	
		¹⁸ F-flutemetamol	Fluorine-labelled analogue of PiB, approved for clinical use					Human	34	
		¹⁸ F-florbetaben	Stilbene-polyethylene glycol conjugate, selective labelling, approved for clinical use					Human	35	
		¹⁸ F-florbetapir	Highly selective, low background, good pharmacokinetics and correlates with post-mortem Aβ deposition					Human	36	
		¹⁸ F-FIBT	Imidabenzothiazole-based, high contrast and better than the reported probes					Tg M	37	
		⁶⁴ Cu-HYR17	Benzothiazole-based, Cu chelating probe with a better half-life					Tg M	38	
	MRI									
	Fibrils	USPIO-Aβ1–42	Aβ conjugated to iron oxide NPs, micron-scale resolution, and map Aβ deposition					Tg M	39	
		HMON-abAβ40	Aβ antibody conjugated with manganese NPs, image and monitor Aβ load					Tg M	40	
2	Tau targeted diagnostics									
	NIRF imaging		λ_{ex}	λ_{em}	ϕ	Fold	K_{d}			
	Fibrils	PBB3	405	520	—	—	2.55 nM	Tg M/human	42	
		2e	550	660	—	310	0.77 μM	Tg M	43	
		BD-tau	525	590	0.3	3.2	0.89 μM	Tg M	44	
		Tau 1	633	742	0.92 ^b	6.4	2.77 μM	Tg M	45	
		Tau 2	607	723	0.84 ^b	9.3	6.18 μM	Tg M		
		2c	502	632	0.82	44	6.06 nM	Tg M	46	
		Q-tau 4	424	630	0.01	3.82	16.6 nM	Human	47	
		18	—	711	0.019	50.2	33.2 nM	Tg M	32	
		pTP-TFE	450	520	0.27	—	66 nM	Tg M	48	
	PET imaging									
	Fibrils	¹⁸ F-THK5117	Arylquinoline based probe, good pharmacokinetics					Human	49	
		¹⁸ F-flortaucipir	Indole based probe, selective labelling and approved for clinical use					Human	50	
		(Tauvid)	Performs better than ¹⁸ F-flortaucipir					Tg M	51	
		¹⁸ F-JNJ64349311	Highly selective with no MAO binding					Human	52	
		¹⁸ F-GTP1	High contrast, signal to noise ratio, accurately distinguish AD from healthy					Human	53	
	MRI									
	Tau tangles and tau positive cells	Shiga-X35	¹⁸ F-MRI agent targeting tau tangles					Tg M	54	
Tau-X		Aptamer based nanoformulation targeting hyperphosphorylated tau positive neurons					Tg M	56		



Table 1 (Contd.)

Sl. no.	Diagnostic markers and techniques	Chemical probe/ techniques	Characteristic features	Model tested	Ref.
3	Neurodegeneration PET imaging MRI	¹⁸ F-DG-PET	Imaging metabolic activity of the brain	Human	59
		sMRI	Assessment of brain atrophy	Human	61
		fMRI	Mapping functional connectivity of the brain	Human	64
4	Indirect biomarker targeted diagnostics TSPO PET imaging SV2A PET imaging HOCl fluorescence imaging Metal ion MRI	¹¹ C-PK11195	First <i>in vivo</i> clinical TSPO PET imaging	Human	67
		¹¹ C-PBR28	Correlates with A β and tau accumulation	Human	68
		¹¹ C-UCB-J	First <i>in vivo</i> PET probe with biocompatibility	Human	69
		¹⁸ F-UCB-H	Correlates with cognitive decline and A β load	Human	70
		¹⁸ F-SynVest-1	Better pharmacokinetics and binding	Human	71
		¹⁸ F-SynVest-2	High brain uptake, fast kinetics, and better binding	Human	72
		CM2	Fluorescent probe detects selectively HOCl, demonstrates elevated levels and proximal localisation of HOCl with A β in the AD brain	Tg M	73
		Intrinsic Fe	Elevated Fe levels in the AD brain serve as a MRI contrast agent and its quantification	Human	76

^a Tg M – transgenic mouse model. ^b Antibody like selectivity and sensitivity to A β plaques with potential for differential diagnosis of AD from other tauopathies and neurodegenerative diseases as in the case of mixed dementia.

aggregation, modifications and clearance have drawn the attention of therapeutics development. Many of the tau-targeted therapeutic candidates are in clinical trials and their success is yet to be revealed.⁸ Recent discoveries on AD etiopathology uncovered many tangible drug targets, which are anticipated to drive drug development in a faster mode. The lesson learned from the failures of A β and tau-targeted drug developments are a guide to developing multifunctional molecules to tackle multiple AD pathologies.^{4,8,17–19} We propose rational development of multifunctional modulators targeting multiple disease mechanisms as a future therapeutics strategy to tackle multifactorial AD. In this perspective, we present multipronged diagnostic and therapeutic approaches, multiplexed and multimodal diagnosis, and rational design of multifunctional modulators of AD with a future outlook.

2. Diagnostic strategies

2.1 Clinical diagnosis of AD

Over the past two decades, there have been notable developments in identifying and validating reliable diagnostic methods and biomarkers for AD. The international working group (IWG) established a clinical-biological definition of AD, wherein the clinical phenotypes of cognitive impairment and biological biomarkers detected through *in vivo* imaging augment the diagnosis of AD. The clinical assessment of progressive decline in memory, impaired episodic memory, and cognitive changes along with support from biomarker positivity (ATN) has been employed for AD diagnosis.³ The clinical symptoms are assessed using memory and cognitive tests like Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE) and Mini Cog by clinical experts. Various chemical probes and techniques like near infrared-fluorescence (NIRF), PET, and MR

imaging are being utilised for ATN biomarker imaging (Tables 1 and 2).

2.2 A β (A) targeted diagnosis

NIR fluorescent (NIRF) probes for A β imaging. The accumulation of A β aggregates is prominently evident in the AD brain and various NIRF probes are developed for the detection and imaging of different alloforms of A β aggregates (Fig. 2A). Most of the probes target A β fibrils and few are designed as A β oligomer-targeting probes, as these oligomers play a critical role in AD pathology. The design of small molecule probes targeting oligomers is challenging due to poor structural information, and heterogenous and transient species. A Bodipy-based small molecule probe BD-Oligo was identified by the diversity-oriented fluorescence library approach and high content imaging screening to selectively target A β oligomers.²⁰ The probe was selective to oligomers ($K_d = 0.48 \mu\text{M}$) and stain A β oligomer species in the APP/PSEN1 mouse model. Fluoro-substituted cyanine dye F-SLOH selectively binds and detects A β oligomers as revealed by *ex vivo* immunofluorescence in the Tg AD brain tissue with various antibodies (A β -Oligo, 6E10, 4G8, pA β , and MC6) and the A β oligomer level was quantified by NIRF imaging.²¹ Based on the 3D structure of A β oligomers, V-shaped NIRF probes PTO-9, 18, 26, and 29 were designed to fit in a unique triangular cavity made of Phe19/Val36.²² Among all, PTO-29 successfully labels oligomers in a 4 month old APP/PSEN1 Tg mouse model. A β fibril targeting fluorescent probes have been developed as a diagnostic agent for AD (Fig. 2A). Donor-acceptor modality in molecular design has been extensively used for the development of fluorescent probes for the detection of A β aggregates. In this direction, we have developed a hemicyanine-based benzothiazole-coumarin (TC) probe as an



Table 2 Circulating biomarkers for the diagnosis of AD^a

Sl. no.	Biomarker class	Target biomarkers	Remarks	Specificity (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Sample size	Ref.
1	CSF and blood						
	A β	A β 42/40	Decreased significantly, correlates with A β positivity	100	84	45	91
		Composite model	Model from A β 42, 40 and ratio diagnose disease with 90% accuracy	81	96.7	<i>N</i> = 121 and <i>N</i> = 252	92
	Tau	t-tau and p-tau p-tau-181	Increased	80	80	97	94
			Increased, discriminate MCI and AD. Correlates with tau PET positivity, atrophy, and CSF biomarkers	87	92	<i>N</i> 1 = 182 <i>N</i> 2 = 344	95
		p-tau-217	Increased 6-fold in AD correlates with A β and tau positivity, early diagnosis of AD	91	91	194 + 32	96
		p-tau-231	Increased in the early stage, outperforms p-tau-181, correlates with A β and tau deposition	—	—	38 + 313	99
	Protein biomarkers	Neurogranin	Increased in CSF and correlates with CSF biomarkers, atrophy and brain A β load	60	79	302	100
		Synaptotagmin	Increased, discriminate MCI and AD	—	—	39 + 78	102
		sTREM	Increased, correlates with CSF A β and tau biomarkers, atrophy and brain A β load	—	—	155 + 93	103
		YKL-40	Increased, correlates with A β deposition and memory deficits	85	85	318	104
	RNA biomarkers	BACE1 lcnRNA miRNA panels	Increased, correlates with CSF t-tau and p-tau, cortical atrophy, A β deposition and brain metabolism. Discriminate MCI and AD cases	—	—	CSF-187 Ser-405 <i>N</i> = 196	106
			Increased in plasma	61.3	87.5	134	109
			Exosome 7 miRNA panel diagnoses with 89% accuracy (miR-185-5p, miR-342-3p, miR-141-3p, miR342-5p, miR-23b-3p, miR-338-3p and miR-3613-3p)	—	—	70	110
			Plasma 6 miRNA panel (miR-185-5p, miR-342-3p, miR-141-3p, miR342-5p, miR-23b-3p, miR-338-3p and miR-3613-3p)	78	75	50	111
	Protein and metabolite panel	Multiple protein panel in blood	CSF 6–7 miRNA panel, correlates with CSF biomarkers and the cognitive score	—	—	118	112
			18 biomolecule alteration was identified (2 cohorts)	93	85	<i>N</i> 1 = 961	113
		3 protein panel	Plasma A β 42/40, pTau-217 and Nf-L	85	80	<i>N</i> 2 = 170	114
			diagnose AD and correlates with cognitive changes	—	—	435	114
		4 protein panel	Blood exosomal GAP43, neurogranin, SNAP25 and synaptotagmin-1 are early diagnosis biomarkers	—	—	320	116
2	Saliva	A β 42	Increased and specific to AD over PD	—	—	22	118
			Increased	—	—	59	119
		p-tau/t-tau	Increased, no correlation with other biomarkers	50	73	148	120
	Lf	Full length Lf	Increased, outperforms CSF biomarkers. Other studies contradict and changes are inconsistent	100	100	<i>N</i> 1 = 274 <i>N</i> 2 = 127	121
				98.6	100		
3	Urine	Protein	SPP1, GSN and IGFBP7	—	—	40	125



Table 2 (Contd.)

Sl. no.	Biomarker class	Target biomarkers	Remarks	Specificity (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Sample size	Ref.
4	Tear Protein	Lipocalin-1, dermcidin, lysozyme-C and lacritin	Proteins are significantly altered in AD over healthy individuals Significant increase in the tear total protein and flow rate	77	81	23	124

^a p-tau: phosphorylated tau and t-tau: total tau.

A β selective and sensitive NIRF probe (Fig. 2A).²³ Upon binding to A β fibrillar aggregates, TC displays high fluorescence enhancement and shift in the absorbance, which provided dual responsive properties with colorimetric and fluorescence read-outs. TC exhibits selectivity and high binding affinity ($K_d = 58.43$ nM) with 30-fold fluorescence enhancement to A β fibrillar aggregates compared to other protein aggregates. TC displayed a red shift in the absorbance spectrum (117 nm) with a visible colour change from pale pink to purple. A detailed computational study and competitive binding assay revealed that the probe binds to the thioflavin T (ThT) binding pocket and a shift in the local hydrophilic to hydrophobic microenvironment results in a red shift of absorbance and fluorescence enhancement. *In silico* analysis of molecular interactions revealed that TC exhibits hydrophobic interaction with the Leu17 and Val39 residues of fibrils and engage in π - π stacking with the phenyl ring of Phe19 in the fibrils. Furthermore, we developed

a coumarin-quinoline (CQ) based NIR turn-on fluorescent probe with antibody-like selectivity and sensitivity to A β aggregates (Fig. 2A and B).²⁴ *In vitro* studies showed selective fluorescence enhancement of the CQ probe in the presence of A β aggregates compared to other biomolecules and protein aggregates (bovine serum albumin, calf thymus DNA, tau, α -synuclein and islet amyloid polypeptide) (Fig. 2B). The sensitivity of CQ was revealed by its high affinity binding to A β aggregates with $K_d = 86$ nM and 100-fold fluorescence enhancement. The nonselective dye ThT is a commonly used green fluorescent probe to stain and image A β and other amyloid aggregates *in vitro* and the tissue sections. The probe CQ exhibits 10-fold higher selectivity over ThT and binds in the proximity of the ThT binding pocket as evidenced by the displacement assay and computational study. A molecular docking study revealed possible CQ binding to multiple sites, and among them binding to the entry cleft site was strong and




Fig. 2 Molecular probe targeting A β . (A) NIR fluorescent probes targeting A β fibrils (blue and red represent donor and acceptor moieties, respectively). (B) Selective fluorescence response of the CQ probe to A β aggregates in comparison with BSA and other amyloid protein aggregates. (C) Fluorescence staining of human brain tissue with CQ and ThT shows selective A β aggregate staining by CQ. (D) Immunofluorescence staining of human AD brain tissue shows the selective binding of CQ with A β aggregates over tau aggregates as reflected by poor colocalisation with PHF1 tau antibody staining. (E) CQ stain colocalisation with Congo red staining. (F)–(H) Reproduced from ref. 24 with permission from Elsevier, copyright 2017. (F) NIRF imaging of amyloid aggregates in the Tg AD mice model using CRANAD102 at different time interval (min) distinguish AD from WT. Reproduced from ref. 28 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry, copyright 2017. (G) A β fibril targeting PET probes. (H) PiB PET images of human healthy and AD brains. Reproduced from ref. 33 with permission from the American Neurological Association, copyright 2003.



stable. The binding of the probe was largely driven by van der Waals interactions for A β fibril:CQ complex formation. CQ is non-toxic to cells and crosses BBB which underscores its *in vivo* utility for non-invasive NIR imaging. The co-staining of human AD brain samples (*ex vivo*) with CQ and ThT demonstrated the selective labelling of A β plaques by CQ over other aggregates with a significantly minimal background signal compared to the latter (Fig. 2C). The probe showed poor localisation with NFT antibodies, which demonstrates its ability to differentiate AD from other tauopathies (Fig. 2D). The probe neatly stains the congophilic aggregates and vascular amyloids (Fig. 2E). CQ has the potential to be used for differential diagnosis of AD over tauopathies and other neurodegenerative disorders as in the case of mixed dementia.

A photoinduced electron transfer (PIET) quenched NIRF probe QAD1 was designed with Bodipy as the fluorophore and tetrahydroxyquinoxaline as the quenching moiety.²⁵ The probe exhibits turn-on fluorescence upon interaction with different A β alloforms, which was used to detect and monitor A β load in a 6-month-old APP/PSEN1 Tg mouse model. Recently, DCM-AN was designed by combining the dicyanomethylene (DCM) skeleton with an A β targeting aminonaphthalene (AN) moiety, which showed selectivity towards protofibrils.²⁶ Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation of the probe with trimer (oligomers), dodecamer (protofibrils) and fibrillar structures revealed strong binding towards the dodecamer, which suggests selectivity towards protofibrils. Upon binding to protofibrils, the rotation of both ethylene and piperidine groups of the probe is restrained, which results in fluorescence enhancement. The probe detects protofibrils in the *ex vivo* brain sections at different stages in the Tg AD mouse model. The donor–acceptor (D–A) architecture was expanded by playing around with the π -bridge, donor aromatic moieties, and dicyano moiety as the acceptor.²⁷ Among them, PHC4 exhibits favourable properties with *in vivo* NIRF imaging to distinguish between WT and Tg AD mice. Ran *et al.* tuned the steric hindrance of curcumin at the phenoxy alkyl chain to make the probes selective to soluble A β aggregates.²⁸ Among them, CRANAD102 exhibited selectivity (68-fold over insoluble aggregates) to soluble aggregates with a strong binding affinity ($K_d = 7.5$ nM). The probe was successfully utilised for *in vivo* NIRF imaging of the soluble aggregates in the early stage (4 months) of the Tg AD mouse model, which allowed monitoring of the changes over 4 to 12 months (Fig. 2F).

Margulies *et al.* have reported a combinatorial sensor for the detection of various A β alloforms.²⁹ The sensor was constructed by conjugating three fluorescent probes ThT, sulforhodamine B, and sulfo Cy5 onto a proline scaffold with a KLVFF moiety. The sensor was employed to detect different alloforms *viz.*, monomers, low molecular weight (LMW) and higher molecular weight (HMW) oligomers, and aggregates, based on differential fluorescence response due to varied intramolecular FRET among fluorophores. Recently, an aggregation-induced emission (AIE) based probe QM-FN-SO₃ was developed by connecting DCM-N with dimethylaminobenzene through a π -conjugated thiophene bridge and introduced a sulphonate moiety as a substitution that keeps the probe in the off state.³⁰

The thiophene bridge with π -conjugation retains the lipophilicity to enhance the BBB permeability and NIR emission of the probe. QM-FN-SO₃ aggregates on the hydrophobic surface of A β aggregates and exhibits a turn-on fluorescence response. The AIE probe was BBB permeable and mapped A β aggregates with high fidelity in the Tg AD mouse model. A novel turn-on chemiluminescent probe ADlumin1 was designed to target A β aggregates.³¹ The discrimination of AD from WT was improved by dually amplifying the signal *via* chemiluminescence resonance energy transfer (DUS-CRET) between CRANAD-3 and ADlumin1 in a 5-month-old 5xFAD mouse model. The simultaneous detection of both A β and tau aggregates would enhance the accuracy of AD diagnosis. D–A based probe **18** with environmental sensitivity can differentially emit fluorescence in the presence of brain-derived A β and tau aggregates.³² Probe **18** successfully discriminates A β and tau aggregates in Tg mouse models and measure the load *in vivo* through NIRF imaging.

A β PET imaging. PET imaging of A β deposits has been pursued for the last two decades and currently, there are a few probes approved for clinical diagnosis (Fig. 2G). The first known A β PET tracer PiB with a ¹¹C isotope (half-life = 20.34 min) was developed by Klunck *et al.*, at the University of Pittsburgh.³³ In 2013, PiB received FDA approval for the clinical diagnosis of AD and to date, it has been considered as a gold standard for A β PET imaging that correlates with post-mortem A β deposits (Fig. 2H). Fluorine (¹⁸F) labelled radiotracers flutemetamol (¹⁸F-GE-067), florbetaben (BAY-94-9172), and florbetapir (¹⁸F-AV-45) with a better half-life (109.8 min) and the least background noise have been developed for A β plaques (Fig. 2G). Flutemetamol was successful in labelling A β plaques in AD patients and diagnosed with high sensitivity and specificity in an advanced stage of disease and approved for clinical use.³⁴ Florbetaben, a stilbene-based probe conjugated with polyethylene glycol, shows selectivity for A β plaques over other aggregates, which received FDA approval owing to its safety and diagnostic potential.³⁵ The benzene ring in the stilbene moiety was replaced with a pyridine ring to derive florbetapir, which exhibits a low background signal and high diagnostic accuracy.³⁶ An imidazobenzothiazole based ¹⁸F-labelled PET probe ¹⁸F-FIBT performed better than reported probes.³⁷ Clinical studies reveal the safety and the diagnostic utility of ¹⁸F-FIBT as a next-generation A β PET probe. Recently, copper (⁶⁴Cu) based PET probes have been designed for A β aggregates due to its high half-life (12.8 h). Benzothiazole based Cu chelating ligands bind to A β aggregates and strongly chelate Cu that acts as a PET probe.³⁸ The probes were constructed by conjugating a metal (Cu) chelating group, triazacyclononane (tacn), with A β targeting, substituted benzothiazole moiety. Among them, HYR17 showed good brain uptake and labelled A β aggregates to distinguish Tg AD mice from WT.

A β MR imaging. MR imaging was carried out to analyse the brain connectivity and atrophy that are signatures of neurodegeneration. Structural and functional MRI was adopted to assess atrophy and brain activity, respectively. MR imaging agents targeting A β are minimal unlike NIRF and PET probes for the diagnosis of AD. Ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide (USPIO) nanoparticles were conjugated with the A β 42



peptide to make a USPIO-A β 1–42 MRI probe that targets A β aggregates.³⁹ USPIO-A β 1–42 with mannitol as a contrast agent was used to image at micron resolution in an APP/PSEN1 Tg mouse model. In another study, an MRI agent was prepared by conjugating an antibody for A β (HMON-abA β 40) with manganese nanoparticles. The novel MRI agent was successfully used for imaging and monitoring A β load in the Tg AD mouse model.⁴⁰ A gadolinium-based contrast agent has been used as a non-targeted contrast and utilised for MR imaging of the Tg AD mouse brain.⁴¹ This probe was able to enhance the contrast for A β aggregates. The clinical utility of MRI agents targeting A β is yet to be evaluated and adapted for AD diagnosis.

2.3 Tau (T) targeted diagnosis

NIRF probes for tau imaging. Tau is an intrinsically disordered protein aggregate to form different alloforms. Several NIR fluorescent probes were developed targeting tau for the detection and diagnosis of AD (Fig. 3A). Inspired from ThT, a set of phenyl/pyridinyl-butadienyl-benzothiazoles/benzothiazolium probes (PBBs) were designed by introducing two π -chain bridge between aniline and benzothiazole moieties.⁴² Among all, PBB3 with optimal properties was BBB permeable and successfully utilised to label the tau aggregates in the Tg mouse model. Radiolabelled ¹¹C-PBB3 was successful in the Tg AD mouse model and clinical human subjects to discriminate between AD and healthy subjects. A series of fluorescent probes were synthesised by conjugating distinctly substituted difluoroboron β -ketonate with *N,N*-dimethylaniline.⁴³ Among them, 2e showed

310-fold fluorescence enhancement with tau aggregates. The cellular imaging with SH-SY5Y cells expressing GFP-tau and immunofluorescence in human AD brain samples shows colocalisation with phosphorylated tau (p-tau) antibodies reflecting its selective tau staining. A Bodipy-based live cell imaging probe BD-tau was evolved through a diversity-oriented fluorescence library approach (DOFLA).⁴⁴ BD-tau showed fluorescence enhancement (3.2 fold) selectively to tau aggregates and successfully stains tau tangles in the brain sections of the Tg mouse model. BAP1, an A β probe was modified with extended conjugation to develop two probes tau 1 and tau 2 to target tau aggregates.⁴⁵ Tau 1 is biocompatible, crosses BBB, and selectively stains p-tau aggregates in a tau mouse model (Fig. 3B). Molecular docking studies with the VQIVYK crystal structure revealed that the probe tightly fits in the tunnel architecture along the fibril axis. *In vivo* NIRF imaging showed that the probe could distinguish the Tg tau mouse model from age-matched WT animals (Fig. 3C). A series of Bodipy-based probes were designed using *N,N*-dimethylaminobenzene as a donor and the BF₂ benzamide group as the acceptor bridged with the π -chain.⁴⁶ Probe 2c showed good fluorescence enhancement with nanomolar binding affinity with A β and tau aggregates. *In vivo* NIRF imaging with 2c discriminates 22-month-old WT and AD Tg mouse models. Inspired by THK family PET tracers, push-pull fluorescent probes composed of dimethylaminophenyl/pyridinyl as the donor and the quinoline moiety as the acceptor group with a π -bridge were designed.⁴⁷ Among them, Q-tau 4 exhibits strong intramolecular charge




Fig. 3 Molecular probes targeting tau. (A) NIR fluorescent probes targeting tau fibrils (blue and red represent donor and acceptor moieties, respectively). (B) Immunofluorescence imaging in a 3xTg mice brain with antibodies targeting tau aggregates, A β , and APP protein shows the selective tau labelling by tau 1 (scale bar 200 μ m). (C) NIRF imaging of tau aggregates by tau 1 in a Tg mouse after 30 min of injection. (B) and (C) Reproduced from ref. 45 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2017. (D) *Ex vivo* immunofluorescence imaging of normal healthy and AD human brain tissues with Q-tau 4 and its colocalisation with the p-tau antibody (scale bar 20 μ m). (E) *Ex vivo* fluorescence imaging of normal healthy and AD human brain tissues with Q-tau 4 and A β antibodies. Quantification shows the poor colocalisation indicating the selective tau labelling by the probe (scale bar 20 μ m). (D) and (E) Reproduced from ref. 47 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2021. (F) Tau targeting PET probes. (G) PET images of control, AD, and PSP human subjects obtained by using the ¹⁸F-AV-1451 probe. Reproduced from ref. 50 with permission from Oxford University Press, copyright 2017. (H) PET images of an AD human brain acquired with ¹⁸F-PI-2620 exhibit a high contrast and signal-to-noise ratio. Reproduced from ref. 53 with permission from SNMMI, copyright 2020.

transfer and marked fluorescence response selectively in the presence of tau aggregates over A β . Molecular docking with VQIVYK revealed that Q-tau-4 interacts with the hydrophobic surface of Gln307 along the fibril axis. Further *ex vivo* staining of the AD brain tissue with Q-tau 4 reveals its selective colocalisation with tau protein antibodies over A β (Fig. 3D and E). Most of the probes developed target tau aggregates and there are limited probes targeting tau oligomers and soluble tau aggregates, which are believed to have more disease relevance. A thiophene-based ligand pTP-TFE shows turn-on fluorescence upon interaction with soluble tau aggregates, and strongly binds to tau oligomers with a K_d of 66 nM.⁴⁸ The probe is biocompatible, cell-permeable, and successfully stains early tau aggregates in the AD and PSP human brain sections.

Tau PET and MR imaging. Arylquinoline based PET probes ¹⁸F-THK5117 and ¹⁸F-THK5351 have emerged with superior *in vivo* imaging characteristics with ¹⁸F-THK5117 being better than ¹⁸F-THK5351 (Fig. 3F).⁴⁹ A novel PET probe flortaucipir (¹⁸F-AV-1451, Tauvid) from an indole moiety was developed for imaging tau aggregates (Fig. 3F).⁵⁰ Clinical investigations demonstrated the good brain uptake, selective labelling of tau aggregates, and safety of flortaucipir, which received FDA approval for clinical use for AD diagnosis (Fig. 3G). In a preclinical evaluation, another probe ¹⁸F-JNJ64349311 was superior to Tauvid.⁵¹ Genentech has developed a PET probe ¹⁸F-GTP1 that overcomes the off-target interactions with A β and monoamine oxidase (MAO).⁵² Another probe ¹⁸F-PI-2620 in the human clinical trial has shown excellent imaging features with a high contrast, signal-to-noise ratio, and selectivity that accurately distinguish AD from healthy controls (Fig. 3H).⁵³ The tau deposition pattern with ¹⁸F-PI-2620 also correlates with cognitive performance and possesses high diagnostic potential.

The availability of MRI probes targeting tau pathology are limited, and recently few probes are developed and evaluated in Tg mouse models. A novel buta-1,3-diene derived ¹⁹F-MRI probe Shiga-X35 was developed targeting tau tangles for MR imaging.⁵⁴ *Ex vivo* immunofluorescence and *in vivo* ¹⁹F-MR imaging in a rTg4510 mouse model revealed that Shiga-X35 colocalises with tau tangles and accumulates in the forebrain of the Tg AD mouse. The *in vivo* ¹⁹F-MRI signal from the AD mouse brain was significantly higher which distinguishes AD from the WT mouse. The accumulation of hyperphosphorylated tau inside the neuronal cells is an early event of tau pathology in AD that possibly changes the cell surface markers. In this context, an aptamer-based nanoparticle MRI contrast agent tau-X targeting the neurons with hyperphosphorylated tau was developed for evaluating tau pathology by MRI.⁵⁵ An aptamer that selectively binds to the neuronal cells with intracellular hyperphosphorylated tau was evolved by systematic evolution of ligands by the exponential enrichment (SELEX) method. A lipid nanoformulation tau-X was prepared using the aptamer and Gd-DOTA contrast agent. The developed tau-X MRI agent was evaluated in a 2 month old P301S Tg mouse model and age matched control, which showed a higher MRI signal in the AD mouse that developed tau accumulation compared to WT. Recently, improved aptamers were evolved and prepared tau-X nanoformulation, and evaluated for their MRI in a Tg mouse

model.⁵⁶ The results showed that tau-X based MRI successfully detects tau pathology in an early stage (2 months) in the Tg mouse model and may have implications for early detection of tau pathology in clinical cases.

2.4 Neurodegeneration (N)

The correlation of neurodegeneration with cognitive decline, A β , and tau made it one of the reliable biomarkers in the ATN framework. The assessment of brain metabolism and atrophy is performed by PET and MR imaging. In a multicentric study, fluorodeoxyglucose-PET (¹⁸FDG-PET) was employed to measure brain metabolism as a biomarker in AD and other dementia cases.⁵⁷ The standardised signal pattern for each disease was developed and the PET scans diagnosed AD with 95% accuracy and differentiate from mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and other dementia cases *viz.*, dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and normal individuals. Meta-analysis has suggested that FDG-PET can diagnose AD with 91% sensitivity and 78% specificity.⁵⁸ Recently, in a large clinical study FDG-PET imaging was employed on amyloid and tau positive (A+T+) patients to evaluate the ability of FDG-PET for AD diagnosis.⁵⁹ Study revealed that FDG-PET (F+) has differentiated AD from dementia and suggests F+ as an independent biomarker for AD. FDG-PET was considered for differential diagnosis of AD from other forms of dementia and the output is inconsistent and needs to be revisited before recommending it for AD diagnosis.⁶⁰ There is a need for developing robust artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning approaches for defining the patterns of brain hypo-metabolism for differential diagnosis of dementia.

Structural MR imaging assesses brain tissue damage and atrophy evident in AD. Brain regiospecific volume measurements by MRI scans revealed volume reduction in various regions of the AD brain compared to healthy controls and the changes track the progression of AD.⁶¹ The brain volumetric measurements carried out using MRI of MCI in a longitudinal study demonstrate that MCI cases converted to AD had lower volumes compared to controls.⁶² The analysis of atrophy in the brains of DLB, AD, and controls by MRI demonstrates the bilateral damage of cornu ammonis and subiculum in AD, whereas it was intact in DBL.⁶³ The study showed the ability of structural MRI for differential diagnosis and its potential application in other neurodegenerative disorders. Loss in neuronal function and degeneration was expected to affect the functional connectivity within the AD brain. The characterisation of brain network alterations by functional MRI in MCI and AD with disease progression in the longitudinal study suggests a gradual decline in the functional networks of AD compared to healthy controls.⁶⁴ Studies have found that the connectivity alterations are specific to the disease and need to be characterized for accurate discrimination. A recent study by resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) revealed a decline in the connectivity between the posterior cingulate cortex to the whole brain for AD subjects.⁶⁵ The functional connectivity changes need detailed characterization in the AD brain to use as a diagnostic marker.



2.5 Indirect biomarkers

Complex etiopathologies associated with AD were unveiled in the last decade and many pathological events occur in preclinical stages. Oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, and synaptic damage are among the major early events and there are many

associated biomolecules and biomolecular events that indirectly influence AD pathology, which are considered potential indirect biomarkers for AD diagnosis. These indirect biomarkers are promising, and their identification and validation may find a place in the NIA-AA framework along with ATN as additional biomarkers for early and accurate diagnosis.




Fig. 4 Targeting indirect biomarkers of potential diagnostic importance. (A) PET probes targeting TSPO and SV2A. (B) PET imaging of microglial activation in the AD human brain using the PBR28 probe. The accumulation of the probe in the brain correlates with A β and tau deposition as revealed by PET imaging. Reproduced from ref. 68 with permission from Oxford University Press, copyright 2018. (C) PET imaging of synaptic density in the AD brain targeting SV2A protein using ^{18}F -SynVesT-1 and ^{11}C -UCB-J. Reproduced from ref. 71 with permission from SNMMI, copyright 2020. (D) Regioselective conversion of a non-fluorescent CM2 probe to fluorescent CM1 in the presence of HOCl under *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions. Elevated levels of HOCl proximally localised with A β aggregates (OC) detected by the CM2 probe in the cortex (E) and hippocampus (F) of the APP/PSEN1 Tg AD mice brain (scale bar 20 μm). Reproduced from ref. 73 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2019.



Microglial activation. Microglial cells are activated in the AD brain and translocator protein 18 kDa (TSPO), a small mitochondrion protein, is an indicator of microglial activation. The TSPO levels increased significantly in AD and many probes are developed to image TSPO *in vitro* and *in vivo* due to its potential implication as a biomarker for AD and other CNS disorders (Fig. 4A).⁶⁶ In a clinical study, AD and MCI subjects (42) were assessed for TSPO using ¹¹C-PK11195 and found evidence of microglial activation as an early event in MCI and a strong correlation with A β load (PiB).⁶⁷ In an attempt to understand the correlation of microglial activation with A β and tau aggregate accumulation, PET imaging was performed for three markers in 52 MCI subjects.⁶⁸ The results showed that TSPO imaged with ¹¹C-PBR28 has a good correlation with A β and tau accumulation in both MCI and AD cases with TSPO mapping overlapping with A β deposition (Fig. 4B).

Synaptic density measurement. In AD, there is synaptic damage and a decline in the synaptic density, and two relevant markers of synaptic integrity are synaptophysin and synaptic vesicle glycoprotein (SV2A) and serve as potential diagnostic biomarkers. SV2A is a vesicular glycoprotein specifically expressed in synapse and used as a measure of synaptic density. It is involved in neurotransmission and other biomolecular transportation. PET probes binding to SV2A protein effectively measured the synaptic density and distinguish AD subjects from healthy individuals. Levetiracetam is a drug developed for epilepsy and bind to SV2A, which was further subjected to structural modification and radiolabelling to generate PET probes (UCB-A, UCB-H, and UCB-J) with enhanced target affinity. The first *in vivo* synaptic density was imaged in human subjects targeting SV2A using ¹¹C-UCB-J (Fig. 4A).⁶⁹ SV2A imaging using ¹¹C-UCB-J was successful in the quantification of synaptic loss in patients of temporal lobe epilepsy. The synaptic loss in AD was probed with another probe ¹⁹F-UCB-H targeting SV2A (Fig. 4A).⁷⁰ The imaging data suggest the reduction of synaptic density in the hippocampus and a few areas of the cortex in AD compared to age-matched healthy individuals, which correlates with cognitive impairment and A β load. ¹¹C-UCB-J has been modified into a difluoro analogue ¹⁸F-SynVesT-1 and used in primate studies and human trials, which revealed favourable kinetics and binding properties with the ability to measure the synaptic density (Fig. 4C).⁷¹ Another PET probe ¹⁸F-SynVesT-2 was also assessed in humans and showed high brain uptake, fast kinetics, and specific binding to SV2S.⁷² These two PET probes are promising for clinical use, and need to be evaluated for their practical utility for AD diagnosis.

Combination biomarkers. An elevated level of ROS and oxidative stress is evident in the AD brain.⁶ Myeloperoxidase converts H₂O₂ into HOCl in the presence of chloride and possibly in AD the HOCl level is altered. We have developed a coumarin-morpholine (CM2) conjugate probe as a turn-on fluorescent probe for HOCl detection.⁷³ The designed non-fluorescent thioamide probe (CM2) undergoes regioselective transformation to a fluorescent amide (CM1) by HOCl (Fig. 4D). The probe is highly selective among different ROSs and shows

a turn-on response with 90-fold fluorescence enhancement (quantum yield from null to 0.32) with a limit of detection (LOD) of 0.17 μ M. The probe is biocompatible, crosses BBB, and detects HOCl in cells and mouse brain tissue. The probe has unambiguously detected elevated levels of HOCl in the Tg APP/PSEN1 mouse model and immunostaining with A β antibodies (OC) revealed that HOCl is produced and proximally localised with A β plaques (Fig. 4E and F). This molecular probing suggests that HOCl is associated with A β plaques and serves as a potential combination biomarker for AD diagnosis.

Metal ion detection. Post-mortem AD brain studies have revealed an abnormal increase in the levels of biometals copper (Cu), zinc (Zn) and iron (Fe) (5.7, 3.1 and 2.8 fold, respectively, compare to healthy controls) and significant levels of aluminium (Al) in the AD brain compared to healthy controls.^{74,75} The accumulation and dyshomeostasis of these metal ions in the brain have diagnostic implications. Fe is a good contrast agent for MRI and elevated levels serve as a diagnostic marker. A recent study demonstrated MRI scanning of regiospecific accumulation of Fe for AD diagnosis.⁷⁶ The Fe level was higher in the gray matter and neocortical region of the AD brains compared to healthy controls reflecting its diagnostic value. Studies by PET imaging have demonstrated altered copper trafficking in the Tg AD mouse model highlighting the possible role of copper levels in AD diagnosis.^{77–79} Higher levels of Zn and Al in the brain influence the disease pathology and their measurements in the brain are a potential biomarker.^{74,84–88} There are many chemical tools developed to selectively detect and image metal ions that could be exploited to assess the metal ion levels in the AD brain for diagnosis.^{78–88} We have developed selective fluorescence sensors for the detection of copper and iron, and evaluated *in vitro* and cell models.^{78,79,82,84} A dual response colorimetric and fluorescence sensor for the differential detection of Zn and Al and fluorescence sensors for Zn and Al were developed.^{81,83,85} Kim and co-workers developed a selective and sensitive fluorescent off-on sensor for Zn and evaluated *in vitro* and *in vivo* cell and animal models.^{86,87} They recently developed a colorimetric and fluorescent dual responsive chemical probe for bioimaging of Fe in cells.⁸⁸ The reported chemical probes are demonstrated for *in vitro* and *in vivo* applications. These probes need to be explored for their utility in the diagnosis of AD by the detection and imaging of biometals in the clinical context.

2.6 Circulating biomarkers

Imaging the pathological lesions in the brain encounters limitations of exposure to radiation, complicated procedures, instrumentations, and the need for clinical experts. Disease associated alterations in the brain are expected to be reflected in the circulating fluids like CSF and blood. These circulating biomarkers have the advantage of being minimally invasive or non-invasive, simple, and cost-effective. In recent years, circulating biomarkers have been explored and potential biomarkers are identified for early and accurate diagnosis of AD (Fig. 5 and Table 2).^{89,90} Many biomarkers associated with AD pathology are altered in CSF and blood in the early stage, and correlate with






Fig. 5 Circulating biomarkers in CSF, blood, saliva, and urine for the diagnosis of AD (created with BioRender.com).

ATN and cognitive decline. Due to non-invasiveness saliva and urine are also explored and their potential is yet to be proven.

Aβ and tau biomarkers in CSF and blood. In a clinical study, decreased plasma Aβ42 and Aβ42/Aβ40 were evident in Aβ PET (PiB) confirmed AD subjects.⁹¹ The decreased Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio well correlates with disease and diagnoses with 84% sensitivity and 100% specificity. A multicentric clinical study of a large sample size was conducted in Japan and Australia to assess Aβ peptides in plasma by a sensitive immunoprecipitation coupled with mass spectrometry (MS) technique in Aβ PET confirmed cases.⁹² They have developed a mathematical model based on Aβ42, Aβ40, and ratio changes and the model successfully discriminates MCI, AD, and healthy controls and diagnoses AD with 90% accuracy, 96.7% sensitivity, and 81% specificity. In another study, the diagnostic utility of the plasma Aβ ratio complemented with FDG-PET was evaluated in PiB confirmed AD cases.⁹³ The results revealed that the Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio independently discriminates AD from healthy controls. Further attention and efforts are necessary to improve the sensitivity with advanced methods and techniques.

The pathological phosphorylation of tau (p-tau) is evident in AD and p-tau variants are anticipated to serve as biomarkers for diagnosis. A recent study has shown significantly elevated levels of CSF tau, CSF pTau, and plasma tau in AD compared to healthy controls.⁹⁴ A large cohort study of p-tau-181 in CSF and plasma demonstrated high levels in preclinical dementia cases, which further increased in MCI and AD.⁹⁵ The plasma level of p-

tau-181 was correlated with CSF levels and tau PET positivity, and accurately differentiate dementia cases of non-AD subjects, MCI, and AD. A recent cohort study to analyse p-tau isoforms in CSF by the sensitive MS technique demonstrated that the CSF p-tau-217 isoform outperforms p-tau-181 for the diagnosis of PET confirmed AD cases.⁹⁶ It was supported by another cohort and longitudinal study and demonstrated that p-tau-217 levels strongly correlate with tau and Aβ PET results and accurately diagnose AD and distinguish it from other dementia. The analysis of plasma p-tau levels in the cohorts of Aβ and tau PET confirmed preclinical and prodromal AD cases, and there was an association of plasma p-tau-217 with CSF p-tau-217 levels and tau PET positivity.⁹⁷ Plasma and CSF p-tau-217 have increased significantly in the early stage of disease, wherein tau and Aβ deposition was not significant. These observations suggest p-tau-217 as one of the potential biomarkers for early diagnosis. In a retrospective study, plasma p-tau-181 and p-tau-217 showed excellent diagnostic performance and distinguish AD from other disease conditions.⁹⁸ Recently p-tau-231 has emerged as a potential blood biomarker for early and accurate diagnosis of AD.⁹⁹ The increased p-tau-231 distinguishes MCI and AD from healthy individuals outperforming p-tau-181 and correlates with Aβ and tau deposition. These circulating p-tau isoforms hold high diagnostic potential that needs to be established by multicentric longitudinal and cross-sectional clinical studies with large cohorts.



Synaptic damage, neuroinflammation and neuronal injury biomarkers in CSF and blood. The synaptic damage in neurons was evident in AD and an altered level of postsynaptic protein neurogranin was observed in synaptic damage and loss. The diagnostic potential of CSF neurogranin was assessed in a cross-sectional and longitudinal study, which revealed elevated levels in AD compared to healthy controls.¹⁰⁰ The increased CSF neurogranin levels correlate with other CSF markers ($A\beta$ and tau), brain atrophy, $A\beta$ load, and cognitive decline that serve as an early preclinical AD biomarker. Recently, a study contradicting the previous studies of neurogranin as a diagnostic marker reported that neurogranin fails to differentially diagnose AD.¹⁰¹ The analysis of CSF synaptotagmin, another synaptic marker, by the MS-based approach showed significantly increased levels in MCI and AD cases, which demonstrates the ability to discriminate both these clinical conditions.¹⁰² In a longitudinal clinical study, the analysis of a soluble triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (sTREM2, a microglial marker) changes in CSF and its association with other biomarkers demonstrates its diagnostic ability in autosomal dominant AD cases.¹⁰³ Increased sTREM2 levels in CSF correlate with decreased $A\beta_{42}$ in CSF, $A\beta$ deposition in the brain and atrophy. YKL-40 is known to be altered in the AD brain, which is expected to be reflected in circulating fluids and possibly serve as a biomarker. The analysis of plasma YKL-40 levels with other AD biomarkers revealed a negative and positive correlation with $A\beta$ deposition and memory performance, respectively.¹⁰⁴ Increased YKL-40 levels in serum effectively differentiate dementia cases from healthy cases with 85% specificity and sensitivity outperforming total tau (t-tau).¹⁰⁵ Neurofilament light chain (Nf-L) is a protein marker for neuronal injury in CNS disorders. The longitudinal assessment of Nf-L levels showed a peak level at the stage of conversion from non-symptomatic to symptomatic AD and correlates strongly with brain atrophy, and moderately with $A\beta$ deposition and glucose metabolism, which suggests Nf-L as a biomarker to assess the progression of neurodegeneration.¹⁰⁶ In another multicentric study, it was evident that plasma Nf-L levels were significantly elevated and distinguished dementia cases from healthy controls.¹⁰⁷ Nf-L fails to differentially diagnose different disease conditions while relatively higher levels are observed in Parkinson's disease (PD). The CSF levels of visinin-like protein 1 (VILIP-1), a calcium sensor protein, and other AD biomarkers were analysed and compared.¹⁰⁸ VILIP-1 measurement successfully diagnoses MCI and AD from healthy controls and correlates with other standard biomarkers. Thus, VILIP-1 with other biomarkers can serve as a diagnostic and prognostic marker, as evident from the correlation with cognitive decline.

Circulating RNA biomarkers. Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) have a potential role in the pathogenesis of AD and there is limited evidence of ncRNAs as a diagnostic marker. In the last decade, circulating RNAs were explored as diagnostic biomarkers. The analysis of four long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) associated with AD in plasma revealed that BACE1 lncRNA levels significantly increased in AD compared to control and change was specific to AD.¹⁰⁹ Exosomes are the carriers of

microRNA (miRNA) from the brain to peripheral circulation. The miRNA in the exosome enriched plasma was assessed in AD and healthy controls and significant alteration of 20 miRNA levels was found.¹¹⁰ A machine learning model was developed considering seven altered miRNAs that diagnose AD with 89% accuracy. The analysis of 179 miRNA levels in the plasma of MCI, AD and control plasma samples by commercially available RT-PCR assays found that 26 miRNA levels are altered that regulate the mRNA of proteins associated with different pathological pathways of AD.¹¹¹ Further analysis of 15 promising miRNAs linked to AD revealed 6 miRNAs that diagnose AD from control individuals suggesting their diagnostic potential. The assessment of 37 miRNAs associated with AD in CSF for the validation of their diagnostic potential revealed that 26 miRNAs hold potential.¹¹² The altered levels of miRNA correlate with CSF markers ($A\beta_{42}$ and t-tau) and cognitive scores (MMES). Among them, a 7 miRNA panel identified the AD subjects from the control and these miRNA panels along with CSF biomarkers significantly enhance the diagnostic performance.

Protein and metabolite panel biomarkers. Protein analysis in plasma samples of AD cases identified a panel of proteins dysregulated that distinguish MCI from AD and diagnose with high sensitivity and specificity.¹¹³ A recent study showed that the plasma $A\beta_{42/40}$ ratio, p-tau-217, and Nf-L together can accurately predict the progression of AD and correlates with cognitive decline.¹¹⁴ The analysis of CSF proteins revealed the association of synaptic marker neurogranin, growth-associated protein-43 (GAP-43), synaptosomal-associated protein-25 (SNAP-25), and synaptotagmin-1 with increased deposition of $A\beta$ and the changes in synaptic markers were evident in the early stage.¹¹⁵ The identified synaptic markers in CSF are promising in early diagnosis of AD and monitoring disease progression. Blood exosomal protein was analysed to develop synaptic markers and it was found that growth associated protein43 (GAP43), neurogranin, synaptosome associated protein 25 (SNAP25), and synaptotagmin-1 levels were reduced in AD compared to controls.¹¹⁶ Similarly, blood metabolite analysis revealed a panel of sphingomyelin metabolites associated with AD, which are used as biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognosis of AD.¹¹⁷ Thus, the panel of proteins and metabolites may aid in early and accurate diagnosis of AD in the near future.

Biomarkers in other fluids: saliva, urine and tears. Saliva is a composite biofluid that contains several proteins and metabolites that reflect the physiological conditions of the body and its composition can be potentially disturbed under disease conditions. In a clinical study, $A\beta$ biomarker analysis showed significantly higher salivary $A\beta_{42}$ levels in AD subjects.¹¹⁸ Similarly, the analysis of tau biomarkers in saliva showed an increased p-tau/t-tau ratio in AD compared to control subjects, which emphasise its diagnostic potential.¹¹⁹ A recent analysis of different isoforms of tau demonstrated increased levels of a specific isoform p-tau-396/t-tau in AD.¹²⁰ However, there was a large variation in the levels and did not correlate with CSF biomarkers and brain atrophy. In a cross-sectional study, the lactoferrin (Lf) level was significantly decreased in MCI and AD cases compared to control individuals and discriminate MCI



and AD.^{121,122} This finding was contradicted by a recent study, which showed no significant difference in the CSF and salivary Lf levels between mixed dementia cases and the control group.¹²³ The diagnostic ability of Lf has to be further evaluated and proven to establish it as a reliable circulating biomarker. Global protein composition analysis of tears from AD cases shows increased total protein concentration and combinations of four proteins (lipocalin-1, dermcidin, lysozyme-C and lactitin) identify AD with 81% sensitivity and 77% specificity.¹²⁴ The analysis of urine using a MS-based technique identified exclusively high levels of 15 proteins in urine samples of AD compared to healthy controls.¹²⁵ Further validation with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) showed differential expression of secreted phosphoprotein 1 (SPP1), gelsolin (GSN), and insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 7 (IGFBP7), which may have diagnostic potential.

2.7 Multiplexing and multimodal diagnosis – a future approach for AD diagnosis

Chemical tools for NIRF, PET, and MR imaging targeting different core and indirect biomarkers have been established for the diagnosis of AD. Each of these tools and techniques holds merits and limitations. Developing chemical probes for multimodal imaging overcomes the limitations and enhances the sensitivity, specificity, and resolution of imaging that aids the accurate diagnosis of AD. Simultaneous detection of multiple diagnostic biomarkers by multiplexing is another approach to improve the accuracy and reliability of diagnosis. Multiplexed detection of A β , tau, and neurodegeneration by multimodal imaging using different probes targeting multiple biomarkers or with a single probe targeting different

biomarkers is anticipated to gain utmost importance soon (Fig. 6).^{14,126} Multiplexed detection of multiple circulating biomarkers using multiple assays or integrated microarrays can be considered as the future direction for research on AD diagnostics. Protein, RNA, and metabolite biomarkers in circulating fluids can be detected by multiplexing with different modes of detection like MS, ELISA, microarrays, and paper-based sensors. In general, the detection of multiple biomarkers with multiplexed and multimodal approaches to develop the signature fingerprint is the future of AD diagnostics (Fig. 6).^{14,126} Such characteristic fingerprints hold potential for early diagnosis and accurate categorisation of clinical stages for better management and personalised detection and medication for AD patients.

3. Therapeutic strategies

3.1 AChE and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor targeted therapies

AD drug discovery initially focused on the amyloid pathway and cholinergic deficiency as therapeutic targets. The efforts were made to target acetylcholine esterase (AChE) to improve the acetylcholine (ACh) levels and restore neuronal function. NMDA receptor signalling is involved in brain activity and abnormal brain activity is evident in AD. NMDA receptor antagonists were developed to overcome the abnormal brain activity that restores learning and memory functions. AChE acts in the synapse to cleave ACh into acetate and choline, and inhibition of the enzyme was expected to increase ACh levels in synapse and improve memory deficits (Fig. 7A). In this direction many AChE inhibitors have been developed and assessed in preclinical and clinical trials for therapeutic effects.¹²⁷ Among the approved




Fig. 6 Multiplexing and multimodal approach for early and accurate diagnosis of AD (created with BioRender.com).






Fig. 7 (A) Therapeutic approaches to target cholinergic and amyloid pathways. Therapeutics targeting (B) secretases, and (C) small molecules for A β aggregation (blue: quinoline moiety from cloquinox and red: phenolic moiety from EGCG in TGR86). (D) Peptides and peptidomimetics for A β aggregation (blue: sarcosine moiety and red: thymine in P5; blue: hybrid peptoid and red: GHK tripeptide in P6; blue: cyclic dipeptide kd in Akd^{NMC}). (E) Chemical structure of TGR63. (F) Dot blot assay of A β oligomer and fibril inhibition by TGR63 and its quantification in the absence (L1) and presence of TGR63 at two different molar ratios 1 : 1 (L2) and 1 : 5 (L3). (G) Binding of TGR63 with A β 42 by MD simulation. (H) Reduction of the membrane toxicity of A β fibrils by TGR63 revealed by immunofluorescence with fibril antibodies. (I) Immunofluorescence images show the amelioration of amyloid load in the APP/PSEN1 Tg mice model with the treatment of TGR63 and its quantification. (J) Tracing of control and TGR63 treated mice in an open field test and (K) quantification of the total distance travelled by subjects. (L) Novel object recognition by control and treated animals as a measure of the discrimination index (DI). (M) TGR63 treatment rescue learning and memory deficits as revealed by improvement in the latency period, exploration and target crossing from the MWM test. (N) Schematic representation of fragments for A β vaccines, the target site of mAb and mechanism of action of mAb in A β reduction. (O) Therapeutics targeting metal ion toxicity (blue: metal binding moiety). (F)–(M) Reproduced from ref. 150 with permission from Wiley-VCH, copyright 2021.

candidates for AD treatment mostly they are AChE inhibitors like tacrine, donepezil, rivastigmine, and galantamine.¹²⁸ Another approach of counteracting the cholinergic deficiency is

to treat with cholinergic precursors like choline or choline alphoscerate that stimulate the cholinergic system. The association between the cholinergic precursors and AChE inhibitors

results in effective combination treatment. The treatment of rats with rivastigmine in association with choline or choline alphoscerate increased ACh levels, inhibited AChE and restored cholinergic transmission.¹²⁹ In a double blinded clinical trial, donepezil and choline alphoscerate treated AD patients with ischemia showed improvements in behavioural and cognitive functions compared to control and donepezil treated patients.¹³⁰ In a recent clinical study, AD patients treated with donepezil and choline alphoscerate showed improvement of depression symptoms.¹³¹ Cholinergic stimulation with donepezil along with a cholinergic precursor choline alphoscerate was effective in mild to moderate AD cases. Many NMDA receptor antagonists were developed and among them memantine was beneficial and approved for clinical use. They all are proven to improve the clinical conditions of AD but fail to address the root cause and cure the disease. They were tried in combination with many other drugs and analogues are in assessment for therapeutic benefits.

3.2 A β targeted therapies

A β peptide is generated from amyloid precursor protein (APP) by enzymatic cleavage of β - and γ -secretase through the amyloidogenic pathway. The action of α -secretase and γ -secretase follows the non-amyloidogenic pathway that generates physiologically non-toxic peptides. Amyloidogenic processing results in the generation of A β peptides that misfold and aggregate to form toxic oligomers, protofibrils, and fibrils. Amyloid-targeted drugs were developed to modulate secretase enzymes and A β aggregation (Fig. 7A).

Secretase modulators. The inhibition of β -secretase (BACE1) was directly targeted to inhibit the production of A β peptide (Fig. 7B). Several small molecule inhibitors have been developed over the last two decades and many reached clinical trials with limited success. Verubecestat (MK-8931), lanabecestat (subnanomolar IC₅₀), and atabecestat were developed as BACE1 inhibitors and they displayed therapeutic benefits in preclinical and phase 1/2 clinical trials.¹³² They failed to exhibit therapeutic efficacy in phase 2/3 clinical trials and terminated due to side effects. Umibecestat (CNP520) was recently developed as a selective BACE1 inhibitor that was long-acting and reduced A β in CSF and the brain of rats.¹³³ Clinical studies showed that the compound was safe and exhibits a dose-dependent effect. γ -secretase is another counterpart that processes APP and also possesses other physiological importance (notch protein signalling). There were attempts to develop γ -secretase inhibitors that were tested in various clinical stages, which mostly encountered toxicity issues and were discontinued.¹³⁴ Attempts need to be directed towards the development of drug candidates with high potency and selectivity towards γ -secretase and avoid the off-targets like notch to evolve better therapeutics. Failures of BACE1 and γ -secretase inhibitors emphasise the need for an alternate approach that could improve the A β targeting therapies (Fig. 7B). Etazolate (EHT-0202) is one of the compounds developed to activate the α -secretase and the gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor.¹³⁵ The compound was safe to treat in AD cases but there was no significant improvement in

the memory score. Acitretin is a synthetic vitamin-A derivative that activates α -secretase and enhances the non-amyloidogenic APP processing. An initial clinical study revealed the safety and activation effect as evident in the elevated CSF sAPP levels and reduction in the A β levels.¹³⁶ A potent α -secretase activator APH-1105 in nanoparticle formulation was developed as an intranasal treatment and is in a clinical trial. The safety and efficacy assessed in the phase 2 clinical trial would infer the potential of the drug candidate in the near future (NCT03806478).¹³⁷

A β aggregation modulators. A β , an amyloidogenic peptide aggregate to form toxic species, results in disease pathology. The molecules that interact with A β and inhibit the aggregation have been explored as a potential therapeutic strategy (Fig. 7C). In this context, polyphenolic and other natural compounds such as epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG), curcumin, gallic acid, quercetin, resveratrol, and myricetin among others have been assessed for their A β aggregation inhibition ability and found effective. Polyphenols owing to their antioxidant activity and other beneficial effects exhibit therapeutic benefits in preclinical and early clinical studies but failed in later stages. Sodium oligomannate derived from marine algae has been shown to inhibit the A β aggregation and restore healthy gut microbiota.¹³⁸ It has been approved to treat AD cases in China and is under clinical trial to further evaluate safety and efficacy (NCT02293915). Gut microbial dysbiosis has pathological implications through the gut-brain axis and is considered a potential therapeutic target.¹³⁹ Multifunctional molecules were designed by conjugating a clioquinol (Clq) moiety for metal chelation and a polyphenolic moiety from EGCG as an antioxidant module to develop an A β aggregation modulator TGC86 that effectively inhibits amyloid aggregation and mitochondrial damage (Fig. 7C).¹⁴⁰ Peptide-based molecules were designed for anti-aggregation properties and inhibit the fibrillogenesis (Fig. 7D). Soto *et al.* designed and evaluated 5 residues iA β 5 peptide that inhibited A β aggregation and dissolved fibrils *in vitro* and *in vivo*.¹⁴¹ The core recognition sequence of A β inspired the development of peptide-based inhibitors of its fibrillogenesis.^{4,142} Alanine substitution studies demonstrated that Lys16, Leu17 and Phe20 residues are essential for binding to A β peptide. We have rationally designed peptidomimetics (hybrid peptoids) derived from the KLVFF peptide to overcome the limitations like serum stability and enhanced A β 42 interaction by incorporating moieties with multiple hydrogen bonding donor-acceptors (thymine/barbiturate) and sarcosine at alternative positions.¹⁴³ Among designed peptidomimetics, P4 and P5 inhibit A β aggregation and dissolve preformed aggregates (Fig. 7D). The studies in an A β expressing *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast model demonstrated the ability of hybrid peptoids to rescue cells from A β toxicity through the activation of autophagy. The cellular imaging data showed the dissolution of A β -GFP aggregates and redistribution of the dissolved monomeric species to the vacuole, which is an indication of aggrephagy to clear A β and rescuing of cells. Furthermore, we have designed multifunctional peptidomimetic P6 with antioxidant properties by conjugating natural tripeptide GHK of human origin for metal chelation with



a hybrid peptoid that modulated metal-dependent and independent A β aggregation and rescue neuronal cells from amyloid toxicity (Fig. 7D).¹⁴⁴ Onger and co-workers reported β -hairpin peptidomimetics containing piperidine-pyrrolidine moieties for the inhibition of A β aggregation.¹⁴⁵ Recently structure-based inhibitors of A β peptide aggregation were designed based on an A β 16-26 core unit and found to be effective inhibitors.¹⁴⁶ We have designed peptidomimetics derived from A β 14-23 (I) by incorporating a cyclo(Lys-Asp)-based CDP (kd) molecule at various positions to develop potent aggregation modulators with biocompatibility and stability.¹⁴⁷⁻¹⁴⁹ The incorporation of rigid, proteolytically stable unnatural CDP amino acid (kd) with exceptional intermolecular hydrogen bonding ability overcomes the limitations of large linear and cyclic peptides. Peptides II to V were designed by incorporating Kd at the middle (Akd^M/II), C-terminal (Akd^C/III), N-terminal (Akd^N/IV), and at all three positions (Akd^{NMC}/V), respectively (Fig. 7D). Designer peptidomimetics II, III and V inhibit A β aggregation, whereas peptide IV enhanced aggregation. The lead peptidomimetic V effectively reduces ROS generation and membrane toxicity and rescues neuronal cells from A β toxicity. Furthermore, advanced Peak-Force Quantitative NanoMechanics-Atomic Force Microscopy (PF QNM-AFM) studies using Bio-AFM have shown that peptidomimetic V effectively reduced the membrane stiffness as revealed by the decrease in abnormal stress fibers and Young's modulus. The molecular docking results revealed that incorporation of kd in the middle region close to FF residues results in strong interaction and stabilise A β in the monomeric state. The kd at the C-terminal and middle positions makes peptidomimetic V suitable for maximum interaction with A β and inhibits aggregation. This work demonstrated the utility of A β 14-23 derived peptidomimetics for understanding and modulation of amyloid toxicity and adverse cellular mechanics, ROS and oxidative cellular stress.

Recently, we have designed a set of small molecules based on a naphthalene monoimide (NMI) core functionalised with *N,N,N*-trimethylethylenediamine as the imide substituent and electron rich *N,N*-dimethylamine, ethynylbenzene, and 4-ethynyl-*N,N*-dimethylaniline moieties to fine-tune the hydrophobicity and target A β .¹⁵⁰ *In vitro* studies demonstrated that the lead compound TGR63 has the potential to modulate A β aggregation and dissolve preformed aggregates (Fig. 7E and F). Further *in silico* studies to understand the mode of interaction showed TGR63 binding to surface and core binding sites mostly driven by electrostatic and van der Waals interactions. TGR63 also binds to the cryptic sites with a reduction of total hydrogen bonding and salt bridge interactions. A β 42 fibrils consist of 81 intermolecular hydrogen bonds and 48 salt bridges that reduced to 75 and 41, respectively, in the presence of TGR63. There are two modes of binding with fibrils, core binding and surface binding. The ligand and A β 42 fibril interaction is largely driven by electrostatic and van der Waals interactions with the latter being superior due to fact that electrostatic interactions are largely suppressed by polar solvation free energies. The interaction of TGR63 and A β 42 monomer is mediated by three low energy binding modes (Fig. 7G). In the presence of TGR63, the α -helix content of A β 42 effectively was reduced resulting in

the formation of nontoxic globular structures. Further nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies revealed the interaction of NMI and aniline aromatic protons with A β and the strong interaction of ethylene protons. Cellular studies showed that TGR63 rescues cells from A β toxicity and ameliorates membrane toxicity (Fig. 7H). Further *in vivo* studies showed that TGR63 is non-toxic (LD50 of 157.9 mg Kg⁻¹ BW), stable in serum (24 h), and crosses BBB. TGR63 has effectively reduced A β load in the AD phenotypic mice brain (APP/PSEN1 Tg mouse model) as revealed by immunofluorescence data (Fig. 7I). TGR63 rescues AD phenotypic mice from learning, memory, and cognitive deficits as revealed by different behavioural tests (Fig. 7J-M). The memory processing and explorative behavioural rescue was demonstrated by the novel object identification (NOI) test. The learning and memory improvement in TGR63 treated AD mice was observed as evident from decreased latency time and increased exploration in the target platform quadrant in the Morris water maze (MWM) test (Fig. 7M). These *in vivo* results confirmed the significant improvement in cognitive and memory deficits by TGR63 that underscore its clinical implications and is currently under consideration for further clinical studies.

Immunotherapeutics. Immunotherapeutics are composed of active immunisation by vaccines developed based on the peptide antigen to elicit an immune response against the target antigen and passive immunization with mAbs target pathogenic proteins. Passive immunotherapeutics with mAbs clear target proteins and aggregates by different mechanisms like dissolution, microglia-mediated removal, and clearance from the brain through circulation (Fig. 7N). AN-1972 is the first vaccine developed using A β 42 with the QS-21 adjuvant. Immunisation in AD patients reduced A β load significantly without any cognitive benefits, and side effects like meningitis halted further trials.¹⁵¹ Vanutide was developed by conjugating multiple short A β 1-7 peptide sequences and was tested in a clinical trial for safety and benefits and found no therapeutic benefits.¹⁵² CAD106 is another vaccine constructed by conjugating multiple copies of the A β 1-6 fragment to a Q β virus-like particle carrier. The results are promising with a safety profile with multiple doses, reduction in A β load and improvement in the cognitive scores.¹⁵³

Bapineuzumab was the first mAb developed against the N-terminal of A β 42 that selectively binds to oligomers and fibrils. A phase 3 clinical trial showed poor therapeutic effects indicating the limited success of the antibody and there is a need to look for better immunotherapeutics.¹⁵⁴ Solanezumab was developed targeting the A β 13-28 segment that was safe and efficient in clearing A β from the brain in preclinical studies. Clinical studies revealed that antibody treatment is safe and shows dose-dependent reduction of A β load but failed to rescue from memory deficits.¹⁵⁵ Gantenerumab is another mAb developed targeting the N-terminal and central region of A β . The clinical trials gave mixed output with therapeutic benefits and safety concerns.¹⁵⁶ Clinical studies with a larger sample size are underway. Crenezumab is an immunoglobulin G (IgG) mAb that binds to oligomers, fibrils, and plaques to inhibit the A β aggregation and disassemble fibrils. The clinical studies revealed that the



mAb is safe at a lower dose and induces microhemorrhages at higher doses.¹⁵⁷ Aducanumab is a potent mAb that targets conformational epitope of A β and binds to fibrillar aggregates, which has received conditional FDA approval for AD treatment. The approval was controversial with mixed opinions attributed to its moderate clinical benefits and side effects. Clinical studies demonstrated a beneficial effect with an improvement of cognitive score and change in biomarkers.¹⁵⁸ The therapeutic efficacy and safety profile need to be established by advanced clinical trials.

3.3 Metal targeted therapeutics

Metal homeostasis is disturbed in the AD brain with increased metal levels (Cu, Zn, Fe and Al) that strongly bind to A β peptide and result in elevated toxicity. These metal ions are known to enhance the aggregation and formation of toxic species. The A β -metal ion complex results in oxidative stress and membrane damage, and among them A β -copper complexes exacerbate the production of ROS and induce biomolecular damage. The molecules possessing strong metal chelation properties and A β interacting ability were explored as AD therapeutics (Fig. 7O).¹⁵⁹ Initially, clioquinol (Clq) was utilised that chelates copper and zinc and exhibit *in vitro* and *in vivo* beneficial effects. Further clinical studies revealed toxic effects and no improvement in the disease condition that results in the failure of the drug.¹⁶⁰ A Clq derivative, PBT2, was developed as a metal-protein attenuator drug candidate for AD. PBT2 inhibits A β -metal interaction and reduces A β deposition and improves the cognitive performance in a Tg AD mice model.¹⁶¹ The clinical trial results were ambiguous with safety issues and insignificant therapeutic benefits reported. EGCG is a polyphenolic compound with A β aggregation inhibition, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. The EGCG was also found to interact with metal-A β species and form unstructured aggregates and reduce toxicity.¹⁶² DP-109 is a lipophilic metal chelator that strongly binds to metal ions and reduces the A β burden in the Tg mouse model.¹⁶³ The treatment with DP-109 has reduced insoluble A β aggregates and supports that the A β aggregation is driven by metal ions. Lim and co-workers have developed small molecules based on Clq and stilbene that target metals and A β , respectively.¹⁶⁴ The molecules were designed by introducing nitrogen and/or oxygen donor atoms into A β interacting molecules to generate effective bifunctional molecules. Molecules 1 and 2 were potent copper chelators, inhibit A β aggregation and ameliorate Cu-A β mediated neuronal toxicity. A series of compounds were designed based on a selegiline core to target MAO and metal chelation.¹⁶⁵ Among them, compound **8a** displays good MAO inhibition, antioxidant activity, and chelation of biometals (Cu, Zn and Fe). Peptidomimetic P6 developed with GHK tripeptide has displayed good Cu chelation and A β aggregation inhibition.¹⁴⁴ P6 sequesters Cu from A β peptide and maintains it in a redox dormant state to prevent ROS generation providing an antioxidant effect and protecting biomolecules from oxidative damage. A novel concept of the multipronged drug design strategy was introduced, wherein structural and functional components of known or failed drugs and natural

products were integrated to develop hybrid multifunctional modulators (HMMs) to tackle the multifaceted toxicity of AD. TGR86 integrated with structural and functional components of Clq (modulate metal and A β toxicity) and EGCG (antioxidant and A β modulation) was found to reduce Cu dependent A β aggregation and ROS generation by preventing the redox cycle.¹⁴⁰ The molecule successfully inhibits biomolecule and mitochondrial damage and effectively modulates multifaceted A β toxicity. We have modified berberine, an isoquinoline natural product, to multifunctional Ber-D, which binds to Cu and forms a ternary complex to prevent ROS generation and exerts antioxidant properties (Fig. 7O).¹⁶⁶ Ber-D inhibits amyloid toxicity and rescues neuronal cells from apoptotic cell death.

3.4 Tau targeted therapies

Targeting post translational modifications (PTMs). Many kinases that act on tau with glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK3 β) responsible for many tau pathological phosphorylation have been extensively studied.^{9,167} Small molecule inhibitors of GSK3 β activity were developed and tested for their therapeutic effect to prevent tau pathology (Fig. 8A). Tideglusib is one of the potent GSK3 β inhibitors developed and assessed for its clinical use.¹⁶⁸ It was safe in the treatment of AD without any side effects, albeit with no therapeutic benefits. AZD0530 is a potent Fyn kinase inhibitor that was repurposed for AD




Fig. 8 Tau targeted therapies for AD. (A) Small molecules and peptides targeting post translation modifications. (B) Small molecules and peptide-based molecules for tau aggregation inhibition. (C) Tau targeted active and passive immunotherapeutics.



treatment. An initial clinical trial in AD subjects showed the safety and tolerability of the drug.¹⁶⁹ Studies to assess the therapeutic outcome showed mixed output with no significant disease modification efficacy. Molecules targeting multiple kinases that have implication in tau hyperphosphorylation are projected to be a rational strategy to prevent hyperphosphorylation. The screening series of diaminothiazoles identified LDN193594, which inhibits CDK5 and GSK3 β activity with nanomolar IC₅₀ (Fig. 8A).¹⁷⁰ The *in vivo* evaluation in the Tg mouse model revealed that LDN193594 is nontoxic, reduces hyperphosphorylated tau tangles, and improves cognitive performance. Recently, a multistage screening approach was adopted to develop an isoform selective inhibitor of JNK3 kinase that has implications for AD.¹⁷¹ Among the series of 3-substituted indolin-2-one derivatives, J30-8 was found to be a good inhibitor with nanomolar IC₅₀ (40 nM) and 2500-fold selectivity towards the JNK3 isoform. J30-8 exhibits *in vivo* biocompatibility, inhibits APP and tau phosphorylation, and improves cognitive performance in the Tg mouse model. A tacrine-based novel molecule SCR1693 was designed and found to promote the dephosphorylation of tau and reduce A β production.¹⁷² A chimeric peptide TH006 was designed with three peptide segments with sequences to recognise tau, the second for E3 ligase and the third segment for cell penetration.¹⁷³ A tau recognising peptide YQQYQDATADEQG was derived from the β -tubulin sequence (422–434 residues) known to bind tau. The E3 ligase targeting peptide ALAPYIP was derived from von Hippel–Lindau tumour suppressor protein (VHL), a substrate for E3 ligase. The designed peptide targets tau protein for ubiquitin degradation, and reduces tau levels in primary neurons and the Tg AD mouse model (Fig. 8A).

Microtubule stabilisers. It is perceived that hyperphosphorylated tau detaches from the microtubule and destabilises, leading to pathological consequences in neurons. Keeping this fact in mind, drugs that were used in cancer as microtubule stabilisers have been repurposed for AD.¹⁹ Epothilone D, a brain permeable microtubule stabiliser, was assessed for therapeutic effects in an old age tau mouse model.¹⁷⁴ There was a significant improvement in microtubule density that restores axonal transport and cognitive performance with a reduction of tau burden in the forebrain and enhanced neuronal integrity. A microtubule stabiliser abeotaxane (TPI-287) was evaluated for repurposing and the drug treatment resulted in severe hypersensitive reactions in AD cases and worsening of conditions in other tauopathies.¹⁷⁵

Tau aggregation inhibition. Molecules that inhibit the tau aggregation process halt the formation of toxic species and rescue neuronal cells. Many small molecules that effectively inhibit tau aggregation have been explored (Fig. 8B).¹⁷⁶ Methylene blue (MB) is BBB permeable and demonstrated to reduce tau aggregation. Leuco-methylthionium bis(hydromethanesulphonate) (LMTM), a modified MB, was effective in preclinical studies. Initial clinical studies demonstrated safety and good pharmacokinetics, which did not translate into significant disease modification.¹⁷⁷ However, prolonged LMTM monotherapy in a large clinical study showed improvement in brain atrophy and cognitive performance. Nitrocatechols were

screened for tau aggregation modulation and found that compound **26** exhibits good anti-aggregation activity.¹⁷⁸ Detailed *in silico* studies to understand the mode of interaction of compound **26** with the VQIVYK steric zipper revealed that **26** is oriented parallel to the fibre axis. The nitrocatechol moiety of **26** is engaged in polar interactions with Gln and Lys side chains and the aromatic ring makes van der Waals come in contact with the Val side chain. The cyano group in the molecule forms two hydrogen bonds with NH₃⁺ of two Lys side chains and amide carbonyl was engaged in hydrogen bonding with Lys residue. An unsubstituted benzyl ring is involved in π -cation interaction with NH₃⁺ of Lys residue. All these attributes have contributed to the strong interaction of **26** with tau and subsequent inhibition of its aggregation. A naphthoquinone-dopamine hybrid molecule NQ-DA was designed to target tau aggregation.¹⁷⁹ The molecule targets PHF (VQIVYK) and PHF* (VQIINK) motifs and effectively inhibits the tau aggregation. We have screened a focussed library of thiophene-based small molecules and identified compound **8** with anti-aggregation activity and rescued SH-SY5Y cells from tau toxicity.¹⁸⁰

Eisenberg and co-workers have designed structure-based peptides of unnatural amino acids and identified an all D-peptide (D-TLKIVW) inhibitor of tau aggregation (Fig. 8B).¹⁸¹ The peptide targets the steric zipper motif of tau and successfully inhibits seeded and non-seeded tau aggregation. Macrocyclic β -sheet peptides were designed with an upper strand of a pentapeptide with two delta linkers of the ornithine moiety and a lower strand composed of 2 residues and a β sheet peptidomimetic Hao template (Fig. 8B).¹⁸² The designed peptidomimetics adopt β -sheet conformation and effectively inhibit the aggregation of PHF motif peptides. Structure-based short peptides from the VQIINK aggregation driver were designed and these peptides effectively inhibit the aggregation of full-length tau protein and the seeding effect of exogenous tau fibrils.¹⁸³ We have reported peptoids derived from the KLVVF motif of A β and their effect on tau aggregation along with controls LPFFD and KLVFF.¹⁸⁴ Hybrid peptoids P4 and P5 retained the tau in its random coil state as revealed by CD spectroscopy, inhibiting tau aggregation and rescuing neuro2a cells from tau toxicity.

Immunotherapy. Many vaccine candidates were developed from pathogenic fragments, and phosphorylated epitopes of tau and mAbs targeting different regions of tau were evolved with potential therapeutic benefits under clinical trials (Fig. 8C). DC8E8 mAb revealed an epitope spanning 294 to 305 amino acid residues, which was essential for pathogenic tau–tau interaction. A peptide vaccine AADvac1 was derived from this region (KDNIKHVPGGGS) and tested in a Tg rat model.¹⁸⁵ In a phase 2 clinical trial, the vaccine was given for a longer duration with repeated doses and it was found that the vaccine was safe.¹⁸⁶ There was increased IgG titre indicating good immunogenicity but no improvement in cognitive performance. Prophylactic immunisation of the Tg mouse demonstrated the efficacy of the tau379–408[P-Ser396,404] vaccine.¹⁸⁷ The prophylaxis elicited antibody response and reduced both tau and A β burden and microgliosis. A DNA based vaccine AV-1980D targeting the N-terminus of tau was constructed using the Mutli-TEP platform.¹⁸⁸ The vaccine triggers a good humoral



immune response against tau and ameliorates tau pathology in a THY-tau22 mouse model. This demonstrated the possible applications of DNA and RNA-based immunotherapeutics for AD.

A humanised anti-tau antibody C2N-8E12 (ABBV-8E12) was developed, which reduces tau burden and rescues cognitive impairments.¹⁸⁹ Phase 1 clinical investigation revealed the safety, good pharmacokinetics, and brain uptake with poor immunogenicity. BIIB092 (Gosuranemab, BMS-986168) is a mAb selectively targeting the N-terminal fragment of tau.¹⁹⁰ Preclinical studies are promising, and the clinical safety studies showed tolerability up to 2100 mg dose. The treatment of a Tg mouse model with mAb Ta1505 developed against pSer413 has reduced tau burden and improved synaptic density and cognitive deficits.¹⁹¹ Preclinical evaluation of two mAbs 43D (against tau 6–18) and 77×10^9 (against tau 184–195) revealed a reduction of tau burden and rescued from cognitive deficits.¹⁹² Six doses of 43D mAb effectively reduced total tau, hyperphosphorylated tau and rescued the 3xTg mouse model from spatial and short-term memory. Interestingly, a reduction in A β peptides and aggregates in the hippocampus was observed, which iterates the therapeutic potential of 43D mAb. Recently, 12A12 mAb that selectively binds to a pathologically relevant neurotoxic NH226–230 fragment has been explored for its beneficial effect in two different Tg mouse models.¹⁹³ The

treatment has neutralised the target tau, reduced tau and A β burden, and improved learning and memory deficits. The antibody reduced gliosis and rescued dendritic spine connectivity and hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP). A high affinity mAb E2814 that binds to the microtubule binding region (MTBR) was developed ($K_d = 88$ pM).¹⁹⁴ The results are promising in the Tg mouse model with mAb reducing the seeding, transmission, and tau burden. Many passive immunisations using novel mAb are in clinical trials and show promising results in early clinical studies. The success of mAb therapy in phase 3/4 clinical trials is yet to be disclosed.

3.5 Targeting ROS and oxidative stress

Elevated levels of ROS and oxidative stress in the AD brain compelled researchers to consider antioxidant molecules as therapeutic candidates to combat the disease pathology (Fig. 9A). There is an inter-relation between A β -metal ions in the production of ROS and oxidative stress.^{4,8,159,195} A β peptide has metal binding sites and the redox metal ions bound to the peptide generate excess ROS. Copper is a strong redox metal ion that in complexation with A β results in excess ROS generation causing membrane (lipid), DNA, and protein damage. These events elevate the oxidative stress of neuronal cells which leads to neurodegeneration. Vitamin E and selenium are natural bioavailable antioxidants and show beneficial effects in *in vitro*




Fig. 9 Molecules targeting (A) oxidative stress, (B) mitochondrial damage and (C) neuroinflammation.



and *in vivo* studies. Dietary supplementation individually and in combination to AD patients has minimal beneficial effects and the overall results are ambiguous.¹⁹⁶ Glutathione (GSH) is a natural tripeptide (γ -L-glutamyl-L-cysteinyl-glycine) produced in the body that has antioxidant activity. GSH acts as a cytoprotective molecule by effectively scavenging ROS, thereby reducing biomolecular damage and oxidative stress. The brain GSH level is reduced in the case of AD causing pronounced oxidative stress, and hence therapeutics elevating GSH levels is a rational approach to treat AD. *N*-Acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) treatment is known to elevate the levels of GSH and imparts an antioxidant effect. The treatment of aging rat models with NAC increases the antioxidant enzymes and molecules.¹⁹⁷ Recently, a study uncovered that patients with flavanol intake as part of their diet had a reduced risk of AD.¹⁹⁸ These results suggest the possible therapeutic potential of flavonols and further need to explore the effect of dietary flavanols for AD treatment. Resveratrol is a natural antioxidant utilised as an AD therapeutic with beneficial effects.¹⁹⁹ The beneficial effect of resveratrol was shown in many *in vitro* and preclinical study and clinical trial results revealed mixed output. Fisetin was assessed for its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effect in an aging rat model.²⁰⁰ The alleviation of the oxidative stress and neuroprotective effect of the compound underscore fisetin as a potential anti-AD drug candidate. Nuclear factor-erythroid factor 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) is a transcription factor that is activated to induce the expression of many antioxidant genes to counteract oxidative damage. Sulforaphane was reported to upregulate Nrf2 expression by decreasing methylation in the promoter region and rescue neuronal cells (Fig. 9A).²⁰¹ The compound reduced A β levels and inflammatory mediators and increased cellular antioxidants in a cell model. Caffeic acid phenethyl ester (CAPE) is a potent antioxidant and anti-inflammatory agent assessed for its activity against A β oligomers and induced toxicity in the Tg mouse model.²⁰² Treatment induces the expression of Nrf2 and counteracts oxidative stress, apoptosis, neuroinflammation, and rescue memory and cognitive deficits. We have developed GHK-based small molecules that target A β and metals, and reduce ROS to rescue from oxidative stress as evident from the emulation of Nrf2 action.²⁰³

3.6 Mitochondrial dysfunction

The accumulation of A β and tau aggregates, ROS and oxidative stress results in mitochondrial damage and many therapeutics have been developed targeting mitochondria (Fig. 9B). MitoQ was developed by integrating a mitochondria targeting moiety (PPh₃) with a hydroquinone moiety as a potent antioxidant to reduce the mitochondrial damage.²⁰⁴ The treatment with MitoQ in a Tg AD mouse model for a longer period was safe, reduced A β load, oxidative stress, and neuroinflammation and alleviated cognitive decline. The design strategy of integrating a mitochondria targeting moiety with diagnostic and therapeutic molecules has potential for AD diagnostics and therapeutics.^{204,205} J147 is a curcumin analogue targeting mitochondria to improve memory and cognitive deficits in a Tg AD mouse model.²⁰⁶ Mechanistic study reveals that J147 targets ATP

synthase in mitochondria and increases the intracellular calcium that leads to the activation of the AMPK/mTOR pathway for improvement in longevity. In AD, multiple pathological factors trigger mitochondrial damage and result in the alteration of mitochondrial dynamics with decreased fusion, increased fission and biogenesis.²⁰⁷ The mitochondrial fission is mediated by Drp1 and Fis1 proteins that interact to fragment the damaged mitochondria. The beneficial effects of tetrapeptide SS31 were assessed in a Tg AD mouse model and it was found that it crosses BBB, inhibits mitochondrial fission, reduces soluble A β and enhances synaptic function.²⁰⁸ Resveratrol was shown to act on mitochondrial fission and biogenesis to maintain mitochondrial homeostasis.²⁰⁹ The compound also positively influences energy metabolism with glucose utilisation and ATP production. The rationally designed peptide-based inhibitor of mitochondrial fission P110 inhibits Drp1/Fis1 interaction.²¹⁰ P110 treatment in Tg AD effectively reduced mitochondrial fission and A β accumulation, and rescued from energy imbalance and oxidative stress that underscores the possible clinical implications. Targeting complex 1 of mitochondria to suppress its activity was achieved by small molecule CP2.²¹¹ It was evident that treatment with CP2 reduced A β and tau burden in the Tg mouse model. CP2 also reduces the activity of GSK3 β and restores axonal transport with multiple beneficial effects. The sigma 1 receptor (S1R) is expressed in the endoplasmic reticulum and its function is altered in AD.²¹² It is a ligand operated receptor that mediates protein homeostasis, synaptic plasticity, and neuroprotection. Anavex 2-73 is a small molecule agonist for S1R that is known to induce the misfolding protein rescue response.²¹³ The compound was safe and improve cognition in a dose dependent manner in mild to moderate AD cases. The abnormal mitophagy is evident in the hippocampus of the human AD brain and induction of mitophagy by urolithin A reduces A β and tau burden and rescues memory and cognitive deficits in the Tg mouse model.²¹⁴ The work demonstrated the role of mitophagy and mitophagy inducers as potential therapeutic candidates for AD. TGR86 that reduced ROS production and A β aggregation effectively prevents A β induced mitochondrial damage.¹⁴⁰ BerD exhibits mitochondrial protection as shown by the rescue of cells from A β induced mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) disruption and Cyt c mediated apoptotic cell death.¹⁶⁶

3.7 Neuroinflammation

Neuroinflammation is a pathological event that occurs in the early stage of AD. Therapeutic developments targeting neuroinflammation using novel drugs and repurposing of available anti-inflammatory drugs have been explored (Fig. 9C).²¹⁵ Non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) like ibuprofen, tarenflurbil, and CHF5074 were assessed for their anti-neuroinflammatory properties and encouraging results *in vitro* and *in vivo* preclinical studies were found.²¹⁶ Despite having good safety profiles, most of them failed to improve cognition deficits in clinical studies. Combinational therapy of ibuprofen and cromolyn (ALZT-OP1) is under a phase 3 clinical trial (NCT02547818) and results are yet to be disclosed.



Sargramostim is a synthetic granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor that stimulates the innate immune system to increase activated microglia and reduction in A β load, and increased synaptic area and cognitive performance. Clinically, the drug was safe and improve cognitive performance with a better MMSE score.²¹⁷ Angiotensin1 receptor (AT1R) activation expressed on microglial cells and astrocytes induces neuroinflammation. Candesartan, a potent AT1R blocker exhibits anti-neuroinflammatory effects in the Tg AD mouse model.²¹⁸ The compound shifted microglial activation towards the neuroprotective phenotype, alleviates lipopolysaccharide (LPS) treated neuroinflammation and significantly reduces the A β burden in a Tg AD mouse model. Telmisartan is another AT1R blocker developed as intranasal administration for the amelioration of neuroinflammation.²¹⁹ Treatment with telmisartan reduces A β burden, microglial activation, and neuronal loss and improves the spatial memory of a 5xFAD Tg mouse leading to evaluation in clinical trials. GC021109 is a novel compound reported to target directly microglial cells on the purinergic P2Y6 receptor. The treatment modulates microglial cells to clear A β aggregates and reduced the release of proinflammatory mediators and a clinical study to assess the safety and efficacy was undertaken and the results are yet to be disclosed (NCT02386306).²²⁰ Receptors for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) are expressed on glial cells and RAGE activation was shown to play a role in AD pathology.^{221,222} Azeliragon is an orally active small molecule developed as a RAGE inhibitor, which showed to reduce A β burden, neuroinflammatory

mediators and cognitive performance.²²³ The drug was effective in mild AD cases and is currently under a phase 3 clinical trial. Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF α) is one of the major mediators of neuroinflammation and downstream of the TNF α signalling pathway, p38a regulates the pathway. Neflamapimod is a small molecule inhibitor of p38a that improves synaptic dysfunction and reverses memory deficits. Clinical evaluation in mild to moderate AD cases showed that the drug is safe and well tolerated, but there was no improvement in episodic memory.²²⁴ Significant alteration was observed for CSF t-tau, p-tau and neurogranin, which indicates that the higher dose and longer duration of treatment possibly benefit the AD patients. We recently reported a multifunctional small molecule M3 that effectively reduced microglial activation and neuroinflammation.²²⁵ M3 effectively inhibits NF- κ B mediated neuroinflammation and reduces TNF α levels in A β activated microglial cells.

3.8 Multifunctional modulators – future of AD drug discovery

Drugs developed against different individual targets encountered failure and some are in clinical trials. A β targeted drug discovery over the last two decades encountered limited success and search for novel tangible targets is necessary. In addition to A β , tau is considered a potential target and many therapeutic candidates are developed. Among them, immunotherapeutics are on the road to success in early clinical studies. Many other




Fig. 10 Multifunctional modulators targeting multiple disease pathological pathways of AD (created with BioRender.com).



targets that were considered in the last decade are discussed *vide supra*. The multifactorial nature of AD and failures in drugs targeting a single pathological target emphasise the need for multifunctional therapeutics to tackle multiple disease causative factors. Recent understandings of various aetiological factors associated with AD helps to design chemical tools to target multiple disease pathologies.²²⁶ Natural products have been tuned to develop multifunctional molecules to target metal dependent and independent A β toxicity.²²⁷ Molecular architecture has been fine tuned to generate A β , metal and ROS targeting small molecules.²²⁸ Few combination therapies and dual targeting molecules were developed with better therapeutic benefits. We propose multifunctional small molecules that target multiple disease targets as potential future drug candidates for AD (Fig. 10).^{4,8,9,17} These molecules are anticipated to synergistically alleviate complex AD pathology and future therapeutics for AD.

A β and tau dual aggregation modulators. A curcumin derivative PE859 was developed to target both A β and tau aggregation effectively reduced A β and tau load and improved cognitive deficits in a mouse model (Fig. 11).²²⁹ 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-1-acridone derivative 30 is reported as a dual inhibitor of A β and tau and reduces A β and tau aggregation and associated toxicity in a cellular milieu with good brain uptake.²³⁰ Hybrid peptoids P4 and P5 modulate both A β and tau

aggregation.^{143,184} These peptoids effectively rescue neuronal cells from A β and tau toxicity. Recently, we have screened a focused library of 52 thiophene-based small molecules targeting A β aggregation and identified five lead candidates.¹⁸⁰ The detailed ThT assay, dot blot assay, gel electrophoresis and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) results demonstrated compound 8 as a good modulator of A β and tau aggregation. Compound 8 is non-toxic up to 100 μ M and rescues neuronal cells from both A β and tau toxicity.

Hybrid multifunctional inhibitors targeting multiple targets. Shogaol-huprine hybrid molecules were synthesised and screened *in vitro* for their multifunctional activity (Fig. 11).²³¹ The compounds show good inhibition of AChE and BACE1 enzymes with A β and tau aggregation inhibition activity. A series of *N*-benzylpiperidine derivatives were synthesised, and among them 41 was found a potent inhibitor of AChE and BACE1 enzymes, antioxidant, and A β aggregation inhibitor, and crosses BBB and alleviates scopolamine induced cognitive deficits (Fig. 11).²³² Tetrahydroisoquinoline-benzimidazole hybrid molecules were synthesised as multifunctional agents and screened for the inhibition of BACE1 and neuro-inflammation by the reduction of nitric oxide (NO) production.²³³ Among all, BD3 exhibits good anti-inflammatory activity with moderate BACE1 inhibition and neuroprotective effects by combating ROS in neuronal cells (Fig. 11). The conjugate of




Fig. 11 Hybrid multifunctional modulators targeting multiple therapeutic targets of AD (blue: huprine moiety, red: shogaol moiety and green: conjugated benzene ring for A β and tau aggregation inhibition in the huprine-shogaol hybrid; blue: curcumin moiety in PE859; blue: tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety and red: benzimidazole moiety in BD3; blue: donepezil moiety and red: trolox moiety in 6d; blue: donepezil moiety and red: butylated hydroxytoluene moiety in 7d; blue: melatonin moiety, red: feruloyl moiety and green: tacrine moiety in 5c; blue: tryptophan based polymer and red: polymethyl acrylate in PTMA).



trolox, an antioxidant, and donepezil, an AChE inhibitor, (**6d**) displays optimal activity against AChE, MAO and a potent antioxidant (Fig. 11).²³⁴ Compound **6d** inhibits metal independent and copper dependent A β aggregation and rescue memory deficits in a scopolamine induced mouse model. Donepezil and a potent antioxidant butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) were conjugated to develop hybrid molecules, of which **7d** displayed balanced activity towards AChE and MOA inhibition, anti-oxidation, and anti-aggregation of A β peptide (Fig. 11).²³⁵ **7d** reduced LPS induced neuroinflammation, crossed BBB and reduced cognitive deficits in the scopolamine induced mouse model. Multifunctional tacrines were developed by integrating with ferulic acid and melatonin to reduce oxidative stress.²³⁶ Compound **5c** exhibits potent antioxidant activity and AChE inhibition, and inhibits oxidative stress through Nrf2 induction and the neuroprotective effect.

The development of dual inhibitor targeting of BACE1 and GSK3 β responsible for A β and tau accumulation is a tangible approach. In this direction, triazinone derivative **3** is reported as an effective dual enzymes inhibitor (Fig. 11).²³⁷ Compound **3** showed a neuroprotective effect in cellular models and crossed BBB in the mouse. Hybrid conjugate **6** of tacrine and valmerin linked through a triazole linker inhibits AChE and GSK3 β activity with nanomolar IC₅₀, is nontoxic to neuronal cells and crosses BBB (Fig. 11).²³⁸ We have designed a diblock copolymer-based polyampholyte (PTMA) with tryptophan to impart biocompatibility, lithium (Li) encapsulation and intrinsic fluorescence to monitor the binding and Li release (Fig. 11).²³⁹ The designed PTMA effectively inhibits A β aggregation and dissolves the preformed fibrillar aggregates. PTMA is biocompatible with minimal toxicity up to 100 μ M and rescues neuronal cells from A β toxicity. PTMA effectively encapsulates Li, delivers to cells and releases at acidic pH (endosome) in a stimuli responsive and controlled manner, which can be monitored by intrinsic fluorescence off-on modulation. Li therapy is considered viable to treat AD through possible inhibition of GSK3 β by electrostatic and cation- π interactions. The A β aggregation modulation and effective Li delivery of PTMA underscore its potential for combinational therapy for AD and other neurological disorders.

Rationally designed multifunctional modulators targeting multitargets. Many therapeutic molecules were rationally designed to target different pathological aspects of AD. The

structural and functional information deduced from the previous drug molecules was employed to design potent multifunctional modulators (MFMs) by hybridisation, functionalisation and conjugation of active components of synthetic or natural origin and integration of multiple active subunits (Fig. 12). We have designed a multifunctional peptidomimetic modulator P6 by conjugation of GHK tripeptide (a natural Cu chelator) with A β aggregation modulating hybrid peptoid.¹⁴⁴ P6 inhibits the aggregation of A β to form oligomers, fibrils, and oligomer mediated membrane damage. P6 strongly chelates Cu, inhibits Cu dependent A β aggregation, and sequesters Cu from the A β -Cu inclusion complex to reduce ROS generation and DNA damage. The sarcosine incorporation in the peptide sequence imparts serum stability to P6, biocompatible and rescue neuronal cells from A β toxicity. Lee *et al.* rationally designed small molecule ML by integrating structural components to inhibit A β aggregation, metal chelation and antioxidant activity (Fig. 12).²⁴⁰ ML reduced A β and A β -metal toxicity and ROS and is BBB permeable. Another small molecule DMPD was designed by tuning redox properties to redirect A β peptide to nontoxic off pathway aggregate formation through covalent adduct formation (Fig. 12).²⁴¹ Detailed biochemical, biophysical, and MD simulation studies have showed that ligand-peptide adduct formation was through primary amine-dependent intramolecular cross-linking. DMPD treatment in a 5xFAD Tg mouse model has significantly reduced A β load and rescued from memory deficits. HMMs were developed by integrating 8-hydroxy quinolone moieties from Clq (as a metal chelator) and A β inhibition with a polyphenolic moiety from EGCG for antioxidant properties in a single molecule.¹⁴⁰ Among them, TGR86 exhibits better A β aggregation inhibition by hydrogen bonding, alkyl interaction and interruption of the salt bridge (Lys28-Asp23). TGR86 effectively chelates Cu and reduces Cu-dependent A β aggregation and ROS generation *in vitro* and in cellulo. HMM effectively reduces ROS-associated DNA and protein damage. The designed HMM was non-toxic compared to Clq and rescue neuronal cells from multifaceted A β toxicity. The multifunctional effect of TGR86 effectively prevented mitochondrial damage as demonstrated by the rescue of A β induced MMP disruption. A series of molecules were designed by conjugating amino acids, L-dopa and dopamine with a naphthalene diimide (NDI) core to develop multifunctional modulators (Fig. 12).²⁴² Among them, L-dopa and dopamine derivatives NLD and NDP, respectively, inhibit A β aggregation and dissolve preformed fibrils. *In silico* docking studies showed that compounds bind to aggregation driving KLVFFA and IIGLM motifs. NLD and NDP exhibit good antioxidant activity and rescue neuronal cells from A β toxicity.

Han *et al.* fine-tuned an *N,N*-dimethylaniline (DMA) moiety to develop bidentate ligands of different oxidation potential, with antioxidant and metal chelation properties.²⁴³ DMA1 with the lowest oxidation potential ($E_{\text{pa}} = 0.22$ V) displays noticeable modulation of metal dependent and independent A β aggregation with radical scavenging activity (Fig. 12). DMA2 with moderate oxidation potential ($E_{\text{pa}} = 0.54/0.80$ V) exhibits significant inhibition of Cu(II)-A β aggregation, whereas DMA3 with higher oxidation potential ($E_{\text{pa}} = 0.75$ V) shows a poor




Fig. 12 Multifunctional modulators (MFMs) targeting multiple pathological facets of AD (blue: metal chelating moiety in structures).



modulatory effect. They further explored the phenylene moiety to design simple molecules with a minimalistic approach based on redox principles to target multiple disease targets.²⁴⁴ Benzene-1,4-diamine exhibits reactivity with free radicals and A β in free as well as metal bound states to retard aggregation (Fig. 12). Mechanistic studies revealed that the redox properties of the molecule favour the chemical modification of A β peptide by the formation of a chemical adduct that inhibits A β aggregation. *In vivo* treatment with compound results in reduced A β load and rescues from memory and cognitive deficits in a Tg AD mouse model. Mirica and the group developed MFMs with therapeutic benefits and imaging abilities (Fig. 12).³⁸ MFMs were designed by combining benzothiazole, an A β binding moiety, with a strong Cu chelating 1,4-dimethyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane (tacn) group. Among the MFMs, HYR-16 showed the prevention of Cu-mediated toxic oligomer formation, metal chelation and ROS scavenging. Ber-D with polyphenolic groups imparts better Cu chelation and antioxidant

properties and reduces toxicity by reducing the interaction of A β aggregation species with a mitochondrial membrane.¹⁶⁶ Ber-D effectively reduces metal independent and dependent A β aggregation. MD studies revealed the formation of a BerD-A β -Cu tetrahedral cooperative complex that ameliorates Cu-A β toxicity. The interaction analysis of A β 42 monomer and Ber-D revealed that the A β 42:Ber-D complex is stabilised by hydrogen bonding with His6 and His14 residues and two hydrogen bonds with Asp7 residue. *In vitro* studies showed antioxidant activity, reduced ROS and oxidative stress, and inhibited DNA and protein damage. Ber-D rescues PC12 neuronal cells from A β toxicity and apoptotic cell death. This natural product-derived molecular platform can be explored to develop MFMs for multifaceted AD pathology.

Multifunctional modulators targeting amyloid toxicity, mitochondrial damage and neuroinflammation. GHK exhibits high affinity for Cu and modulates Cu-dependent multifaceted A β toxicity. To impart true multifunctional properties, we




Fig. 13 (A) Rationally designed multifunctional modulators targeting amyloid associated toxicity and neuroinflammation (blue: metal targeting moiety and green: L-dopa for antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity in 4; blue: phenylacetamide moiety for neuroprotection and red: acetamide moiety for lipophilicity and stability in DAPPD; blue: DAPPD moiety and red: glucose moiety for BBB crossing in Glu-DAPPD; blue: triazacyclononane metal chelating moiety and red: 2-phenylbenzothiazole based A β targeting moiety in L1; blue: triazacyclononane metal chelating moiety, red: distyrylbenzene A β targeting moiety and green: vanillin based antioxidant moiety in LS-4; blue: 2,2'-bipyridine moiety for metal chelation and green: dopamine for antioxidant activity in 5). (B) Reduction of amyloid plaques (6E10 staining, scale bar 200 μ m) and (C) microglial activation (Iba1, scale bar 50 μ m) by DAPPD treatment in WT and APP/PSEN1 Tg mice. (D) Colocalisation of A β plaques with microglial cells in DAPPD treated cells (scale bar 10 μ m). (E) Western blot analysis of NLRP3 and associated neuroinflammatory proteins and (F) its quantification in DAPPD treated WT and APP/PSEN1 mice brain samples. (B)–(F) Reproduced from ref. 245 with permission from PNAS, copyright 2019. (G) Immunofluorescence images of brain sections of 5xFAD mice treated with LS-4 stained for A β and quantification shows the reduction in A β load (scale bar 500 μ m). (H) Reduction of tau aggregates by treatment with LS-4 as shown by AT8 staining and quantification (scale bar 125 μ m). (I) LS-4 treatment ameliorates microglial activation as revealed by Iba1 immunofluorescence and its quantification (scale bar 125 μ m). (G)–(I) Reproduced from ref. 248 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2021. (J) Structure of multifunctional modulator M3 (blue: DPA moiety for metal chelation, red: NMI for A β targeting and green: dopamine for antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity). (K) Bio-AFM characterization of microglial activation and its reduction by M3 (scale bar 20 μ m). (L) Western blot analysis of NF- κ B, TNF α and IL6 and its quantification in M3 treatment for its anti-neuroinflammatory effect. (K) and (L) Reproduced from ref. 225 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2022.



modified the structure to obtain a set of MFMs (Fig. 13A).²⁰³ Among them, MFM 4 effectively chelates Cu and Fe and silences the redox cycle to inhibit ROS generation *in vitro* and in cellulo. The antioxidant moiety L-dopa aid effectively quenches ROS, reduces oxidative stress and DNA damage and modulates Nrf2 signalling. MFM 4 inhibits A β aggregation and rescues neuronal cells from A β toxicity. NMR study showed the ability of MFM 4 to interact with A β 42 by specific hydrogen bonding and aromatic interactions. It reduces mitochondrial damage as measured by MMP and NO production in LPS induced microglial cells. A small molecule *N,N'*-diacetyl-*p*-phenylenediamine (DAPPD) is reported, which is capable of promoting the phagocytic activity of microglial cells (Fig. 13A).²⁴⁵ DAPPD consists of a phenylacetamide moiety that is structurally similar to acetaminophen, a known anti-neuroinflammatory drug, and an acetamide moiety that imparts lipophilicity and metabolic stability. Hence DAPPD is expected to be a good anti-neuroinflammatory compound with BBB permeability and bioavailability. The study has demonstrated that DAPPD effectively promotes microglial phagocytosis, clears A β load and reduces NLRP3 associated proinflammatory mediators through NF- κ B suppression in a Tg mouse model (Fig. 13B–F). The compound treatment effectively rescues memory and cognitive impairments. To overcome the limitations, the prodrug Glu-DAPPD has been designed by conjugating with the glucose that increases solubility and enhances brain uptake by GLUT1 receptor mediated transport across BBB (Fig. 13A).²⁴⁶ Glu-DAPPD was effective in the reduction of A β load and neuroinflammation and improving cognitive function. A multifunctional molecule L1 was constructed by integrating a 2-phenylbenzothiazole based A β interacting group with a triazacyclononane (TACN) macrocyclic group for metal chelation (Fig. 13A).²⁴⁷ The designed molecule is a metal chelator and antioxidant and rescues neuronal cells from metal dependent A β toxicity. L1 crosses BBB, modulates A β and tau burden and reduces neuroinflammation in a 5xFAD Tg mouse model. Similarly, potent theranostic agents were constructed by integrating a distyrylbenzene moiety with metal chelating triazamacrocycle and antioxidant vanillin groups (Fig. 13A).²⁴⁸ LS-4 emerged as an efficient theranostic agent that reduces A β and tau aggregates and microglial activation (Fig. 13G–I). Recently, we have designed and synthesized an array of multifunctional modulators by curating the metal-chelating properties of 2,2'-bipyridine with the functional and structural properties of unique A β 42 targeting biomolecular auxiliaries.²⁴⁹ The bipyridyl core functionalised with dopamine (5) displayed metal-chelation, excellent modulatory efficiency against metal-independent and -dependent A β 42 aggregation, ROS/reactive nitrogen species (RNS) generation, oxidative stress and neuroinflammation, thus serving as a promising MFM to ameliorate AD pathology. We developed multifunctional modulators by integrating a NMI core with a metal chelating dipicolylamine moiety and dopamine with an antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory properties.²²⁵ Among the designed modulators, M3 with all three pharmacophore units effectively alleviates multifaceted A β toxicity (Fig. 13J). *In vitro* studies demonstrated that M3 modulates metal independent and dependent A β

aggregation and antioxidant activity and reduces oxidative stress in neuronal cells as evident from the suppression of Nrf2 stress response. M3 synergistically reduces mitochondrial damage as evident from the rescue of structural and functional damage, by inhibiting localisation of A β in mitochondria, and reduces Cyt c levels and apoptotic cell death. A detailed nano-mechanical and molecular study by Bio-AFM and western blot analysis revealed the ability of M3 to reduce microglial activation and NF- κ B mediated neuroinflammation (Fig. 13K and L). This work demonstrates the rational design of MFMs to synergistically target multiple AD pathologies for excellent therapeutic benefits. Such rationally designed MFMs have high potential as future AD therapeutics.

4. Conclusion and future prospects

High prevalence of the disease and the absence of reliable diagnostics and therapeutics reiterates the need for adopting holistic approaches towards identifying better disease management strategies. The clinically approved PET and MRI imaging of core biomarkers (ATN) for AD diagnosis suffer from reliability, high cost, exceptionally sophisticated and expensive instrumentation, need for clinical expertise, radiation exposure, and low resolution. Notably, there are no approved early and differential diagnostic methods for the detection of AD in the case of mixed dementia. Over the last decade, many NIRF probes have been developed with high selectivity, sensitivity and accurate detection of different biomarkers with the potential for definitive and differential diagnosis of AD from other neurodegenerative disorders. Advancement in NIR imaging technology is not yet available for clinical use. Chemical tools for NIRF are available and the NIRF-based imaging techniques are anticipated to revolutionise AD diagnosis in the near future. The accumulation of different alloforms of A β and tau is associated with early and progressive stages of disease, and there is a need for developing chemical probes targeting these different alloforms. Recent and future advancements in NMR and cryo-EM to elucidate high resolution 3D structures of distinct pathologically relevant alloforms of A β and tau can foster the development of selective and sensitive probes. Although FDG-PET and MRI have been explored to assess neurodegeneration, they lack characteristic patterns and accuracy of diagnosis. A functional MRI technique augmented with better contrast agents is required to achieve required sensitivity. The development of an atlas encompassing the structural and functional changes occur in the AD brain at different stages of disease aid the accuracy of early diagnosis and prognosis. In addition to core biomarkers (ATN), indirect biomarkers are emerging as potential targets for early and accurate diagnosis of AD. For instance, neuroinflammation and synaptic damage are evident in advance to clinical symptoms. Although there are potential biomarkers associated with AD pathologies identified, there is ample scope to find new and potentially relevant biomarkers. There are limited chemical probes to target indirect biomarkers and there is a need to develop selective and sensitive probes that can be used in combination with core biomarkers through multiplexing and multimodal imaging and detection for



accurate diagnosis. Circulating biomarkers are simple and cost-effective targets for minimally or non-invasive AD diagnosis. Recently, many blood-based biomarkers are identified and validated with high diagnostic value in clinical cases. Among them, A β and tau biomarkers are tested in large clinical samples, which correlate with cognitive decline. These biomarkers in blood were analysed using standard ELISA and MS-based techniques. Future research must be aimed at developing simple, sensitive, and reliable chemical probes for the rapid detection of biomarkers in circulating fluids. The panel of circulating biomarkers (protein and RNA) enhances the sensitivity and specificity, through multiplexing and multi-modal detection techniques. MS is a powerful technique that needs to be exploited to assess the protein and metabolite biomarker panel for accurate and early diagnosis. The multi-modal imaging and multiplexed detection of various reliable biomarkers generating a signature fingerprint provide information on disease onset and progression which can be used for prognosis, early diagnosis, clinical staging and management of personalised medication (Fig. 6). AI and machine learning are highly valuable tools to develop molecular probes and signature fingerprints through integrated efforts by scientific, clinical and technology research communities.

Over three decades of therapeutics targeting A β yielded just one conditionally approved drug that alters the disease pathology. In recent times, tau has been explored as a potential target with mixed outputs. Many immunotherapeutics targeting both A β and tau are successful in preclinical and initial clinical trials. A β antibody therapies are facing failures due to poor cognitive improvements, while the fate of tau targeted immunotherapeutics is yet to be fully assessed in large clinical trials. There is ample scope to develop antibody-drug conjugates (ADC) to tackle complex AD pathologies. The active drug aimed at one of the disease mechanisms or targets can be conjugated with mAbs targeting another target to synergistically ameliorate complex disease pathologies. Understanding of complex pathology of AD pushed the drug research from classical A β and tau targeting towards other tangible targets by adopting inclusive and holistic approaches. Among them, metal dyshomeostasis oxidative stress, mitochondrial damage and neuroinflammation need special consideration. Neuroinflammation plays a major role in AD and the therapeutics developed to curb neuroinflammation are promising. The treatment of complex multifactorial AD is possible through multipronged drug development strategies that synergistically address multiple targets. In recent years, many groups are actively developing hybrid and multifunctional molecules (HMMs and MFM) that target two or more disease aetiologies and the results are promising. Utmost care must be taken to the design of HMMs and MFMs that synergistically target multiple disease targets to manage and cure AD. These rational strategies explore small molecules, natural products and their derivatives, and hybrid conjugates to discover multifunctional drug candidates through multiplexed high throughput screening platforms to find the magic bullets for AD (Fig. 10). Advanced computational approaches including AI and machine learning are anticipated to play key roles in the design, screening, and

validation of novel drug candidates. The drugs developed *in vitro* are further assessed in Tg mouse models. The development of viable 3D cell and Tg mouse models inclusive of different AD pathological aspects is necessary to test the true efficacy of HMM and MFM therapeutic candidates.²⁵⁰ Over the years, several studies have showed the role of gender, ethnic and racial differences in AD pathology. AD drug discovery must take these factors into account in preclinical and clinical trials for better and personalised medication.²⁵¹ Overall, multi-pronged strategies targeting multiple biomarkers and targets with synergistic action are indispensable in the development of early diagnostics and potent therapeutics to tackle multifactorial AD, which in turn ease the burden on global public health and economy.

Author contributions

TG conceived the topic and outline for the perspective. MR prepared the draft under the guidance of TG. TG and MR finalised the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank JNCASR, CEFPA (IFCPAR/CEFIPRA-62T10-3), the Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi, India, core grant (CRG/2020/004594), and Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), New Delhi, India, and MR thanks UGC for a student fellowship and lab members for their inputs.

References

- 1 Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities, ed. T. Govindaraju, Royal Society Chemistry, 2021.
- 2 Alzheimers Association, *Alzheimer's Dementia*, 2021, **17**, 327–406.
- 3 B. Dubois, H. H. Feldman, C. Jacova, S. T. DeKosky, *et al.*, *Lancet Neurol.*, 2007, **6**, 734–746.
- 4 K. Rajasekhar, M. Chakrabarti and T. Govindaraju, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 13434–13450.
- 5 S. Samanta, M. Ramesh and T. Govindaraju, *Alzheimer's is a multifactorial disease in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 1–34.
- 6 P. H. Axelsen, H. Komatsu and I. V. Murray, *Physiology*, 2011, **26**, 54–69.
- 7 Y. Huang and L. Mucke, *Cell*, 2012, **148**, 1204–1222.
- 8 K. Rajasekhar and T. Govindaraju, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 23780–23804.
- 9 M. Ramesh, P. Gopinath and T. Govindaraju, *ChemBioChem*, 2020, **21**, 1052–1079.
- 10 J. M. Long and D. M. Holtzman, *Cell*, 2019, **179**, 312–339.



- 11 M. A. DeTure and D. W. Dickson, *Mol. Neurodegener.*, 2019, **14**, 32.
- 12 C. R. Jack Jr, D. A. Bennett, K. Blennow, M. C. Carrillo, *et al.*, *Alzheimer's Dementia*, 2018, **14**, 535–562.
- 13 T. Lashley, J. M. Schott, P. Weston, C. E. Murray, H. Wellington, A. Keshavan, S. C. Foti, M. Foiani, J. Toombs, J. D. Rohrer, A. Heslegrave and H. Zetterberg, *Dis. Models Mech.*, 2018, **5**, 11.
- 14 H. Arora, M. Ramesh, K. Rajasekhar and T. Govindaraju, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2020, **93**, 507–546.
- 15 P. C. Ke, R. Zhou, L. C. Serpell, R. Riek, T. P. J. Knowles, H. A. Lashuel, E. Gazit, I. W. Hamley, T. P. Davis, M. Fändrich, D. E. Otzen, M. R. Chapman, C. M. Dobson, D. S. Eisenberg and R. Mezzenga, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 5473–5509.
- 16 S. Woloshin and A. S. Kesselheim, *JAMA Intern. Med.*, 2022, **182**, 892.
- 17 T. Mondal, S. Samanta, A. Kumar and T. Govindaraju, *Multifunctional inhibitors of multifaceted A β toxicity of Alzheimer's disease in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 455–486.
- 18 M. G. Savellieff, G. Nam, J. Kang, H. J. Lee, M. Lee and M. H. Lim, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 1221–1322.
- 19 D. Padhi and T. Govindaraju, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2022, **65**, 7088–7105.
- 20 C. L. Teoh, D. Su, S. Sahu, S.-W. Yun, E. Drummond, F. Prelli, S. Lim, S. Cho, S. Ham, T. Wisniewski and Y.-T. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 13503–13509.
- 21 Y. Li, D. Xu, A. Sun, S.-L. Ho, C.-Y. Poon, H.-N. Chan, O. T. W. Ng, K. K. L. Yung, H. Yan, H.-W. Li and M. S. Wong, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 8279–8284.
- 22 J. Yang, F. Zeng, X. Li, C. Ran, Y. Xu and Y. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 583–586.
- 23 K. Rajasekhar, N. Narayanaswamy, N. A. Murugan, G. Kuang, H. Ågren and T. Govindaraju, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 23668.
- 24 K. Rajasekhar, N. Narayanaswamy, N. A. Murugan, K. Viccaro, H.-G. Lee, K. Shah and T. Govindaraju, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **98**, 54–61.
- 25 W. Ren, J. Zhang, C. Peng, H. Xiang, J. Chen, C. Peng, W. Zhu, R. Huang, H. Zhang and Y. Hu, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2018, **29**, 3459–3466.
- 26 G. Lv, A. Sun, M. Wang, P. Wei, R. Li and T. Yi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 1625–1628.
- 27 K. Zhou, H. Bai, L. Feng, J. Dai and M. Cui, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 9432–9437.
- 28 Y. Li, J. Yang, H. Liu, J. Yang, L. Du, H. Feng, Y. Tian, J. Cao and C. Ran, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 7710–7717.
- 29 J. Hatai, L. Motiei and D. Margulies, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 2136–2139.
- 30 W. Fu, C. Yan, Z. Guo, J. Zhang, H. Zhang, H. Tian and W.-H. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 3171–3177.
- 31 J. Yang, W. Yin, R. Van, K. Yin, P. Wang, C. Zheng, B. Zhu, K. Ran, C. Zhang, M. Kumar, Y. Shao and C. Ran, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 4052.
- 32 K. Zhou, C. Yuan, B. Dai, K. Wang, Y. Chen, D. Ma, J. Dai, Y. Liang, H. Tan and M. Cui, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **62**, 6694–6704.
- 33 W. E. Klunk, H. Engler, A. Nordberg, Y. Wang, *et al.*, *Ann. Neurol.*, 2004, **55**, 306–319.
- 34 C. Curtis, J. E. Gamez, U. Singh, C. H. Sadowsky, *et al.*, *JAMA Neurology*, 2015, **72**, 287–294.
- 35 O. Sabri, M. N. Sabbagh, J. Seibyl, H. Barthel, *et al.*, *Alzheimer's Dementia*, 2015, **11**, 964–974.
- 36 C. M. Clark, M. J. Pontecorvo, T. G. Beach, B. J. Bedell, *et al.*, *Lancet Neurol.*, 2012, **11**, 669–678.
- 37 T. Grimmer, K. Shi, J. Diehl-Schmid, B. Natale, A. Drzezga, S. Förster, H. Förstl, M. Schwaiger, I. Yakushev, H.-J. Wester, A. Kurz and B. H. Yousefi, *Mol. Psychiatry*, 2020, **25**, 2608–2619.
- 38 Y. Huang, H.-J. Cho, N. Bandara, L. Sun, D. Tran, B. E. Rogers and L. M. Mirica, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 7789–7799.
- 39 J. Yang, Y. Zaim Wadghiri, D. Minh Hoang, W. Tsui, Y. Sun, E. Chung, Y. Li, A. Wang, M. de Leon and T. Wisniewski, *NeuroImage*, 2011, **55**, 1600–1609.
- 40 J.-H. Kim, T. L. Ha, G. H. Im, J. Yang, S. W. Seo, J. J. Chung, S. Y. Chae, I. S. Lee and J. H. Lee, *NeuroReport*, 2014, **25**.
- 41 A. Petiet, M. Santin, A. Bertrand, C. J. Wiggins, F. Petit, D. Houitte, P. Hantraye, J. Benavides, T. Debeir, T. Rooney and M. Dhenain, *Neurobiol. Aging*, 2012, **33**, 1533–1544.
- 42 M. Maruyama, H. Shimada, T. Suhara, H. Shinotoh, *et al.*, *Neuron*, 2013, **79**, 1094–1108.
- 43 K.-s. Park, M. K. Kim, Y. Seo, T. Ha, K. Yoo, S. J. Hyeon, Y. J. Hwang, J. Lee, H. Ryu, H. Choo and Y. Chong, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2017, **8**, 2124–2131.
- 44 S. Lim, M. M. Haque, D. Su, D. Kim, J.-S. Lee, Y.-T. Chang and Y. K. Kim, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 1607–1610.
- 45 P. Verwilt, H.-R. Kim, J. Seo, N.-W. Sohn, S.-Y. Cha, Y. Kim, S. Maeng, J.-W. Shin, J. H. Kwak, C. Kang and J. S. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 13393–13403.
- 46 Y. Chen, C. Yuan, T. Xie, Y. Li, B. Dai, K. Zhou, Y. Liang, J. Dai, H. Tan and M. Cui, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 7269–7272.
- 47 A. A. Elbatrawy, S. J. Hyeon, N. Yue, E. E. A. Osman, S. H. Choi, S. Lim, Y. K. Kim, H. Ryu, M. Cui and G. Nam, *ACS Sens.*, 2021, **6**, 2281–2289.
- 48 Y. Zhao, O. Tietz, W.-L. Kuan, A. K. Haji-Dheere, S. Thompson, B. Vallin, E. Ronchi, G. Tóth, D. Klenerman and F. I. Aigbirhio, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 4773–4778.
- 49 T. J. Bethausen, P. J. Lao, D. Murali, T. E. Barnhart, S. Furumoto, N. Okamura, C. K. Stone, S. C. Johnson and B. T. Christian, *J. Nucl. Med.*, 2017, **58**, 996–1002.
- 50 L. Passamonti, P. Vázquez Rodríguez, Y. T. Hong, K. S. J. Allinson, *et al.*, *Brain*, 2017, **140**, 781–791.
- 51 L. Declercq, F. Rombouts, M. Koole, K. Fierens, J. Mariën, X. Langlois, J. I. Andrés, M. Schmidt, G. Macdonald, D. Moechars, W. Vanduffel, T. Tousseyn, R. Vandenberghe, K. Van Laere, A. Verbruggen and G. Bormans, *J. Nucl. Med.*, 2017, **58**, 975–981.



- 52 S. Sanabria Bohórquez, J. Marik, A. Ogasawara, J. N. Tinianow, *et al.*, *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging*, 2019, **46**, 2077–2089.
- 53 A. Mueller, S. Bullich, O. Barret, J. Madonia, *et al.*, *J. Nucl. Med.*, 2020, **61**, 911–919.
- 54 D. Yanagisawa, N. F. Ibrahim, H. Taguchi, S. Morikawa, T. Kato, K. Hirao, N. Shirai, T. Sogabe and I. Tooyama, *J. Neurosci. Res.*, 2018, **96**, 841–851.
- 55 A. Badachhape, P. A. Parekh, Q. Mu, R. Bhavane, M. Srivastava, I. Stupin, P. Bhandari, L. Devkota, E. Tanifum, K. Ghaghada and A. Annapragada, *Alzheimer's Dementia*, 2020, **16**, e041080.
- 56 P. Parekh, Q. Mu, A. Badachhape, R. Bhavane, M. Srivastava, L. Devkota, X. Sun, P. Bhandari, J. L. Eriksen, E. Tanifum, K. Ghaghada and A. Annapragada, *Theranostics*, 2022, **12**, 5504–5521.
- 57 L. Mosconi, W. H. Tsui, K. Herholz, A. Pupi, *et al.*, *J. Nucl. Med.*, 2008, **49**, 390–398.
- 58 L. M. Bloudek, D. E. Spackman, M. Blankenburg and S. D. Sullivan, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2011, **26**, 627–645.
- 59 Y.-N. Ou, W. Xu, J.-Q. Li, Y. Guo, M. Cui, K.-L. Chen, Y.-Y. Huang, Q. Dong, L. Tan and J.-T. Yu, *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.*, 2019, **11**, 57.
- 60 P. J. Nestor, D. Altomare, C. Festari, A. Drzezga, *et al.*, *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging*, 2018, **45**, 1509–1525.
- 61 I. Kilimann, M. Grothe, H. Heinsen, E. J. L. Alho, *et al.*, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2014, **40**, 687–700.
- 62 M. Nesteruk, T. Nesteruk, M. Styczyńska, A. Barczak, M. Mandecka, J. Walecki and M. Barcikowska-Kotowicz, *Neurol. Neurochir. Pol.*, 2015, **49**, 349–353.
- 63 S. Delli Pizzi, R. Franciotti, G. Bubbico, A. Thomas, M. Onofri and L. Bonanni, *Neurobiol. Aging*, 2016, **40**, 103–109.
- 64 J. S. Damoiseaux, K. E. Prater, B. L. Miller and M. D. Greicius, *Neurobiol. Aging*, 2012, **33**, 828.e819–828.e830.
- 65 E. Yu, Z. Liao, D. Mao, Q. Zhang, G. Ji, Y. Li and Z. Ding, *Curr. Alzheimer Res.*, 2017, **14**, 628–635.
- 66 R. Zhou, B. Ji, Y. Kong, L. Qin, W. Ren, Y. Guan and R. Ni, *Front. Immunol.*, 2021, **12**, 739130.
- 67 P. Parbo, R. Ismail, K. V. Hansen, A. Amidi, *et al.*, *Brain*, 2017, **140**, 2002–2011.
- 68 M. Dani, M. Wood, R. Mizoguchi, Z. Fan, Z. Walker, R. Morgan, R. Hinz, M. Biju, T. Kuruvilla, D. J. Brooks and P. Edison, *Brain*, 2018, **141**, 2740–2754.
- 69 S. J. Finnema, N. B. Nabulsi, T. Eid, K. Detyniecki, S.-f. Lin, M.-K. Chen, R. Dhaher, D. Matuskey, E. Baum, D. Holden, D. D. Spencer, J. Mercier, J. Hannestad, Y. Huang and R. E. Carson, *Sci. Transl. Med.*, 2016, **8**, 348ra396.
- 70 C. Bastin, M. A. Bahri, F. Meyer, M. Manard, E. Delhay, A. Plenevaux, G. Becker, A. Seret, C. Mella, F. Giacomelli, C. Degueldre, E. Balteau, A. Luxen and E. Salmon, *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging*, 2020, **47**, 390–402.
- 71 M. Naganawa, S. Li, N. Nabulsi, S. Henry, M.-Q. Zheng, R. Pracitto, Z. Cai, H. Gao, M. Kapinos, D. Labaree, D. Matuskey, Y. Huang and R. E. Carson, *J. Nucl. Med.*, 2021, **62**, 561–567.
- 72 Z. Cai, L. Drake, M. Naganawa, S. Najafzadeh, *et al.*, *J. Nucl. Med.*, 2020, **61**, 462.
- 73 S. Samanta and T. Govindaraju, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2019, **10**, 4847–4853.
- 74 Y. Liu, M. Nguyen, A. Robert and B. Meunier, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 2026–2035.
- 75 S. A. Virk and G. D. Eslick, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2015, **47**, 629–638.
- 76 A. Damulina, L. Pirpamer, M. Soellradl, M. Sackl, C. Tinauer, E. Hofer, C. Enzinger, B. Gesierich, M. Duering, S. Ropele, R. Schmidt and C. Langkammer, *Radiology*, 2020, **296**, 619–626.
- 77 J. B. Torres, E. M. Andreozzi, J. T. Dunn, M. Siddique, I. Szanda, D. R. Howlett, K. Sunassee and P. J. Blower, *J. Nucl. Med.*, 2016, **57**, 109–114.
- 78 D. Maity, A. K. Manna, D. Karthigeyan, T. K. Kundu, S. K. Pati and T. Govindaraju, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 11152–11161.
- 79 D. Maity, D. Karthigeyan, T. K. Kundu and T. Govindaraju, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2013, **176**, 831–837.
- 80 L. Wang, Y.-L. Yin, X.-Z. Liu, P. Shen, Y.-G. Zheng, X.-R. Lan, C.-B. Lu and J.-Z. Wang, *Transl. Neurodegener.*, 2020, **9**, 10.
- 81 D. Maity and T. Govindaraju, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 1039–1041.
- 82 N. Narayanaswamy and T. Govindaraju, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2012, **161**, 304–310.
- 83 D. Maity and T. Govindaraju, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **2011**, 5479–5485.
- 84 D. Maity, V. Kumar and T. Govindaraju, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 6008–6011.
- 85 D. Maity and T. Govindaraju, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 7229–7231.
- 86 J. M. Jung, J. H. Kang, J. Han, H. Lee, M. H. Lim, K. T. Kim and C. Kim, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **267**, 58–69.
- 87 J. B. Chae, D. Yun, S. Kim, H. Lee, M. Kim, M. H. Lim, K. T. Kim and C. Kim, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2019, **219**, 74–82.
- 88 M. Yang, S. C. Lee, M. Kim, M. H. Lim and C. Kim, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2021, **245**, 118899.
- 89 T. O. Klyucherev, P. Olszewski, A. A. Shalimova, V. N. Chubarev, V. V. Tarasov, M. M. Attwood, S. Syvänen and H. B. Schiöth, *Transl. Neurodegener.*, 2022, **11**, 25.
- 90 S. Nagaraj, M. Ramesh and T. Govindaraju, *Circulating biomarkers for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 415–441.
- 91 K.-Y. Tzen, S.-Y. Yang, T.-F. Chen, T.-W. Cheng, H.-E. Horng, H.-P. Wen, Y.-Y. Huang, C.-Y. Shiue and M.-J. Chiu, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2014, **5**, 830–836.
- 92 A. Nakamura, N. Kaneko, V. L. Villemagne, T. Kato, *et al.*, *Nature*, 2018, **554**, 249–254.
- 93 V. Pérez-Grijalba, J. Arbizu, J. Romero, E. Prieto, *et al.*, *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.*, 2019, **11**, 96.
- 94 S. Fossati, J. Ramos Cejudo, L. Debure, E. Pirraglia, J. Y. Sone, Y. Li, J. Chen, T. Butler, H. Zetterberg,



- K. Blennow and M. J. de Leon, *Alzheimer's Dementia*, 2019, **11**, 483–492.
- 95 S. Janelidze, N. Mattsson, S. Palmqvist, R. Smith, *et al.*, *Nat. Med.*, 2020, **26**, 379–386.
- 96 N. R. Barthélemy, R. J. Bateman, C. Hirtz, P. Marin, F. Becher, C. Sato, A. Gabelle and S. Lehmann, *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.*, 2020, **12**, 26.
- 97 S. Janelidze, D. Berron, R. Smith, O. Strandberg, N. K. Proctor, J. L. Dage, E. Stomrud, S. Palmqvist, N. Mattsson-Carlsson and O. Hansson, *JAMA Neurology*, 2021, **78**, 149–156.
- 98 E. H. Thijssen, R. La Joie, A. Strom, C. Fonseca, *et al.*, *Lancet Neurol.*, 2021, **20**, 739–752.
- 99 N. J. Ashton, T. A. Pascoal, T. K. Karikari, A. L. Benedet, *et al.*, *Acta Neuropathol.*, 2021, **141**, 709–724.
- 100 R. Tarawneh, G. D'Angelo, D. Crimmins, E. Herries, T. Griest, A. M. Fagan, G. J. Zipfel, J. H. Ladenson, J. C. Morris and D. M. Holtzman, *JAMA Neurology*, 2016, **73**, 561–571.
- 101 E. A. J. Willemse, A. Sieben, C. Somers, Y. Vermeiren, N. De Roeck, M. Timmers, C. Van Broeckhoven, B. De Vil, P. Cras, P. P. De Deyn, J.-J. Martin, C. E. Teunissen, S. Engelborghs and M. Bjerke, *Neurobiol. Aging*, 2021, **108**, 99–109.
- 102 A. Öhrfelt, A. Brinkmalm, J. Dumurgier, G. Brinkmalm, O. Hansson, H. Zetterberg, E. Bouaziz-Amar, J. Hugon, C. Paquet and K. Blennow, *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.*, 2016, **8**, 41.
- 103 E. Morenas-Rodríguez, Y. Li, B. Nuscher, N. Franzmeier, *et al.*, *Lancet Neurol.*, 2022, **21**, 329–341.
- 104 A. Vergallo, S. Lista, P. Lemercier, P. A. Chiesa, *et al.*, *Neurobiol. Aging*, 2020, **96**, 22–32.
- 105 K. Wilczyńska, M. Maciejczyk, A. Zalewska and N. Waszkiewicz, *Front. Psychiatry*, 2021, **12**, 725511.
- 106 O. Preische, S. A. Schultz, A. Apel, J. Kuhle, *et al.*, *Nat. Med.*, 2019, **25**, 277–283.
- 107 N. J. Ashton, S. Janelidze, A. Al Khleifat, A. Leuzy, *et al.*, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 3400.
- 108 M. Babić Leko, F. Borovečki, N. Dejanović, P. R. Hof and G. Šimić, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2016, **50**, 765–778.
- 109 L. Feng, Y.-T. Liao, J.-C. He, C.-L. Xie, S.-Y. Chen, H.-H. Fan, Z.-P. Su and Z. Wang, *BMC Neurol.*, 2018, **18**, 4.
- 110 G. Lugli, A. M. Cohen, D. A. Bennett, R. C. Shah, C. J. Fields, A. G. Hernandez and N. R. Smalheiser, *PLoS One*, 2015, **10**, e0139233.
- 111 S. Nagaraj, K. Laskowska-Kaszub, K. J. Dębski, J. Wojsiat, M. Dąbrowski, T. Gabryelewicz, J. Kuźnicki and U. Wojda, *Oncotarget*, 2017, **8**, 16122–16143.
- 112 J. T. Wiedrick, J. I. Phillips, T. A. Lusardi, T. J. McFarland, B. Lind, U. S. Sandau, C. A. Harrington, J. A. Lapidus, D. R. Galasko, J. F. Quinn and J. A. Saugstad, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2019, **67**, 875–891.
- 113 J. D. Doecke, S. M. Laws, N. G. Faux, W. Wilson, *et al.*, *Arch. Neurol.*, 2012, **69**, 1318–1325.
- 114 N. C. Cullen, A. Leuzy, S. Janelidze, S. Palmqvist, A. L. Svenningsson, E. Stomrud, J. L. Dage, N. Mattsson-Carlsson and O. Hansson, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 3555.
- 115 M. Milà-Alomà, A. Brinkmalm, N. J. Ashton, H. Kvartsberg, *et al.*, *Neurology*, 2021, **97**, e2065–e2078.
- 116 L. Jia, M. Zhu, C. Kong, Y. Pang, H. Zhang, Q. Qiu, C. Wei, Y. Tang, Q. Wang, Y. Li, T. Li, F. Li, Q. Wang, Y. Li, Y. Wei and J. Jia, *Alzheimer's Dementia*, 2021, **17**, 49–60.
- 117 V. R. Varma, A. M. Oommen, S. Varma, R. Casanova, *et al.*, *PLoS Med.*, 2018, **15**, e1002482.
- 118 M. N. Sabbagh, J. Shi, M. Lee, L. Arnold, Y. Al-Hasan, J. Heim and P. McGeer, *BMC Neurol.*, 2018, **18**, 155.
- 119 M. Shi, Y.-T. Sui, E. R. Peskind, G. Li, H. Hwang, I. Devic, C. Gingham, J. S. Edgar, C. Pan, D. R. Goodlett, A. R. Furay, L. F. Gonzalez-Cuyar and J. Zhang, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2011, **27**, 299–305.
- 120 H. Pেকেles, H. Y. Qureshi, H. K. Paudel, H. M. Schipper, M. Gornistky and H. Chertkow, *Alzheimer's Dementia*, 2018, **10**, 53–60.
- 121 E. Carro, F. Bartolomé, F. Bermejo-Pareja, A. Villarejo-Galende, J. A. Molina, P. Ortiz, M. Calero, A. Rabano, J. L. Cantero and G. Orive, *Alzheimer's Dementia*, 2017, **8**, 131–138.
- 122 M. Ramesh and T. Govindaraju, *Lactoferrin: a potential theranostic candidate for Alzheimer's disease in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 442–454.
- 123 H. S. Gleerup, C. S. Jensen, P. Høgh, S. G. Hasselbalch and A. H. Simonsen, *EBioMedicine*, 2021, **67**, 103361.
- 124 G. Kalló, M. Emri, Z. Varga, B. Ujhelyi, J. Tözsér, A. Csutak and É. Csősz, *PLoS One*, 2016, **11**, e0158000.
- 125 F. Yao, X. Hong, S. Li, Y. Zhang, Q. Zhao, W. Du, Y. Wang and J. Ni, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2018, **65**, 421–431.
- 126 M. Ramesh, S. Samanta and T. Govindaraju, *Molecular probes for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease with implications for multiplexed and multimodal strategies in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 377–414.
- 127 J. S. Birks, *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2006, **1**, CD005593.
- 128 G. Marucci, M. Buccioni, D. D. Ben, C. Lambertucci, R. Volpini and F. Amenta, *Neuropharmacology*, 2021, **190**, 108352.
- 129 F. Amenta, S. K. Tayebati, D. Vitali and M. A. Di Tullio, *Mech. Ageing Dev.*, 2006, **127**, 173–179.
- 130 F. Amenta, A. Carotenuto, A. M. Fasanaro, R. Rea and E. Traini, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2014, **42**, S281–S288.
- 131 A. Carotenuto, A. M. Fasanaro, V. Manzo, F. Amenta and E. Traini, *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease Reports*, 2022, **6**, 235–243.
- 132 E. McDade, I. Voytyuk, P. Aisen, R. J. Bateman, M. C. Carrillo, B. De Strooper, C. Haass, E. M. Reiman, R. Sperling, P. N. Tariot, R. Yan, C. L. Masters, R. Vassar and S. F. Lichtenthaler, *Nat. Rev. Neurol.*, 2021, **17**, 703–714.
- 133 U. Neumann, M. Ufer, L. H. Jacobson, M.-L. Rouzade-Dominguez, *et al.*, *EMBO Mol. Med.*, 2018, **10**, e9316.
- 134 J. E. Luo and Y.-M. Li, *Cell Biosci.*, 2022, **12**, 2.



- 135 B. Vellas, O. Sol, P. J. Snyder, P. J. Ousset, R. Haddad, M. Maurin, J. C. Lemarie, L. Desire and M. P. Pando, *Curr. Alzheimer Res.*, 2011, **8**, 203–212.
- 136 K. Endres, F. Fahrenholz, J. Lotz, C. Hiemke, S. Teipel, K. Lieb, O. Tüscher and A. Fellgiebel, *Neurology*, 2014, **83**, 1930–1935.
- 137 Study of APH-1105 in Patients with Mild to Moderate Alzheimer's Disease, ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03806478, Updated July 27, 2021, <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03806478>.
- 138 Y. Y. Syed, *Drugs*, 2020, **80**, 441–444.
- 139 S. Samanta, M. Ramesh, A. Kumar and T. Govindaraju, *The role of gut microbiome in Alzheimer's disease and therapeutic strategies in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 354–376.
- 140 K. Rajasekhar, K. Mehta and T. Govindaraju, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2018, **9**, 1432–1440.
- 141 C. Soto, E. M. Sigurdsson, L. Morelli, R. Asok Kumar, E. M. Castaño and B. Frangione, *Nat. Med.*, 1998, **4**, 822–826.
- 142 L. O. Tjernberg, J. Näslund, F. Lindqvist, J. Johansson, A. R. Karlström, J. Thyberg, L. Terenius and C. Nordstedt, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1996, **271**, 8545–8548.
- 143 K. Rajasekhar, S. N. Suresh, R. Manjithaya and T. Govindaraju, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 8139.
- 144 K. Rajasekhar, C. Madhu and T. Govindaraju, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2016, **7**, 1300–1310.
- 145 S. Pellegrino, N. Tonali, E. Erba, J. Kaffy, M. Taverna, A. Contini, M. Taylor, D. Allsop, M. L. Gelmi and S. Ongeri, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 1295–1302.
- 146 S. L. Griner, P. Seidler, J. Bowler, K. A. Murray, T. P. Yang, S. Sahay, M. R. Sawaya, D. Cascio, J. A. Rodriguez, S. Philipp, J. Sosna, C. G. Glabe, T. Gonen and D. S. Eisenberg, *eLife*, 2019, **8**, e46924.
- 147 M. Konar, D. Ghosh, S. Samanta and T. Govindaraju, *RSC Chem. Biol.*, 2022, **3**, 220–226.
- 148 C. Madhu, C. Voshavar, K. Rajasekhar and T. Govindaraju, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 3170–3174.
- 149 C. Balachandra, D. Padhi and T. Govindaraju, *ChemMedChem*, 2021, **16**, 2558–2587.
- 150 S. Samanta, K. Rajasekhar, M. Ramesh, N. A. Murugan, S. Alam, D. Shah, J. P. Clement and T. Govindaraju, *Adv. Ther.*, 2021, **4**, 2000225.
- 151 C. Holmes, D. Boche, D. Wilkinson, G. Yadegarfar, V. Hopkins, A. Bayer, R. W. Jones, R. Bullock, S. Love, J. W. Neal, E. Zotova and J. A. R. Nicoll, *Lancet*, 2008, **372**, 216–223.
- 152 F. Pasquier, C. Sadowsky, A. Holstein, G. L. P. Leterme, Y. Peng, N. Jackson, N. C. Fox, N. Ketter, E. Liu, J. M. Ryan and ACC-001 (QS-21) Study Team, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2016, **51**, 1131–1143.
- 153 M. R. Farlow, N. Andreasen, M.-E. Riviere, I. Vostiar, A. Vitaliti, J. Sovago, A. Caputo, B. Winblad and A. Graf, *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.*, 2015, **7**, 23.
- 154 S. Salloway, R. Sperling, N. C. Fox, K. Blennow, W. Klunk, M. Raskind, M. Sabbagh, L. S. Honig, A. P. Porsteinsson, S. Ferris, M. Reichert, N. Ketter, B. Nejadnik, V. Guenzler, M. Miloslavsky, D. Wang, Y. Lu, J. Lull, I. C. Tudor, E. Liu, M. Grundman, E. Yuen, R. Black and H. R. Brashear, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 2014, **370**, 322–333.
- 155 L. S. Honig, B. Vellas, M. Woodward, M. Boada, *et al.*, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 2018, **378**, 321–330.
- 156 S. Ostrowitzki, R. A. Lasser, E. Dorflinger, P. Scheltens, *et al.*, *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.*, 2017, **9**, 95.
- 157 H. Guthrie, L. S. Honig, H. Lin, K. M. Sink, K. Blondeau, A. Quartino, M. Dolton, M. Carrasco-Triguero, Q. Lian, T. Bittner, D. Clayton, J. Smith and S. Ostrowitzki, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2020, **76**, 967–979.
- 158 S. Budd Haeberlein, J. O'Gorman, P. Chiao, T. Bussière, P. von Rosenstiel, Y. Tian, Y. Zhu, C. von Hehn, S. Gheuens, L. Skordos, T. Chen and A. Sandrock, *Journal of Prevention of Alzheimer's Disease*, 2017, **4**, 255–263.
- 159 C. Hureau, *Role of metal ions in Alzheimer's disease: mechanistic aspects contributing to neurotoxicity in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 170–192.
- 160 Y.-H. Zhang, J. Raymick, S. Sarkar, D. K. Lahiri, B. Ray, D. Holtzman, M. Dumas and L. C. Schmeued, *Curr. Alzheimer Res.*, 2013, **10**, 494–506.
- 161 A. I. Bush and R. E. Tanzi, *Neurotherapeutics*, 2008, **5**, 421–432.
- 162 S.-J. Hyung, A. S. DeToma, J. R. Brender, S. Lee, S. Vivekanandan, A. Kochi, J.-S. Choi, A. Ramamoorthy, B. T. Ruotolo and M. H. Lim, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2013, **110**, 3743–3748.
- 163 J.-Y. Lee, J. E. Friedman, I. Angel, A. Kozak and J.-Y. Koh, *Neurobiol. Aging*, 2004, **25**, 1315–1321.
- 164 S. S. Hindo, A. M. Mancino, J. J. Braymer, Y. Liu, S. Vivekanandan, A. Ramamoorthy and M. H. Lim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 16663–16665.
- 165 S. Xie, J. Chen, X. Li, T. Su, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, L. Huang and X. Li, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **23**, 3722–3729.
- 166 K. Rajasekhar, S. Samanta, V. Bagoband, N. A. Murugan and T. Govindaraju, *iScience*, 2020, **23**, 101005.
- 167 D. Padhi, M. Ramesh and T. Govindaraju, *Post-translational modifications and Alzheimer's disease in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 255–286.
- 168 S. Lovestone, M. Boada, B. Dubois, M. Hüll, J. O. Rinne, H.-J. Huppertz, M. Calero, M. V. Andrés, B. Gómez-Carrillo, T. León, T. del Ser and ARGO investigators, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2015, **45**, 75–88.
- 169 C. H. van Dyck, H. B. Nygaard, K. Chen, M. C. Donohue, *et al.*, *JAMA Neurology*, 2019, **76**, 1219–1229.
- 170 X. Zhang, I. Hernandez, D. Rei, W. Mair, J. K. Laha, M. E. Cornwell, G. D. Cuny, L.-H. Tsai, J. A. J. Steen and K. S. Kosik, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2013, **288**, 22042–22056.
- 171 X. Dou, H. Huang, Y. Li, L. Jiang, Y. Wang, H. Jin, N. Jiao, L. Zhang, L. Zhang and Z. Liu, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **62**, 6645–6664.



- 172 X.-L. Wang, Y. Xiong, Y. Yang, Q.-z. Tuo, X.-c. Wang, R. Chen, Q. Tian, Z.-p. Zhang, X. Yan, Z.-y. Yang, J.-Z. Wang and R. Liu, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 2015, **754**, 134–139.
- 173 T.-T. Chu, N. Gao, Q.-Q. Li, P.-G. Chen, X.-F. Yang, Y.-X. Chen, Y.-F. Zhao and Y.-M. Li, *Cell Chem. Biol.*, 2016, **23**, 453–461.
- 174 B. Zhang, J. Carroll, J. Q. Trojanowski, Y. Yao, M. Iba, J. S. Potuzak, A.-M. L. Hogan, S. X. Xie, C. Ballatore, A. B. Smith, V. M.-Y. Lee and K. R. Brunden, *J. Neurosci.*, 2012, **32**, 3601–3611.
- 175 R. M. Tsai, Z. Miller, M. Koestler, J. C. Rojas, *et al.*, *JAMA Neurology*, 2020, **77**, 215–224.
- 176 P. Gopinath, M. Ramesh and T. Govindaraju, *Tau-targeting therapeutic strategies for Alzheimer's disease in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 487–514.
- 177 G. K. Wilcock, S. Gauthier, G. B. Frisoni, J. Jia, *et al.*, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2018, **61**, 435–457.
- 178 T. Silva, T. Mohamed, A. Shakeri, P. P. N. Rao, P. Soares da Silva, F. Remião and F. Borges, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **167**, 146–152.
- 179 A. Paul, G. K. Viswanathan, A. Huber, E. Arad, H. Engel, R. Jelinek, E. Gazit and D. Segal, *FEBS J.*, 2021, **288**, 4267–4290.
- 180 M. Ramesh, A. Acharya, N. A. Murugan, H. Ila and T. Govindaraju, *ChemBioChem*, 2021, **22**, 3348–3357.
- 181 S. A. Sievers, J. Karanicolas, H. W. Chang, A. Zhao, L. Jiang, O. Zirafi, J. T. Stevens, J. Münch, D. Baker and D. Eisenberg, *Nature*, 2011, **475**, 96–100.
- 182 J. Zheng, C. Liu, M. R. Sawaya, B. Vadla, S. Khan, R. J. Woods, D. Eisenberg, W. J. Goux and J. S. Nowick, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 3144–3157.
- 183 P. M. Seidler, D. R. Boyer, J. A. Rodriguez, M. R. Sawaya, D. Cascio, K. Murray, T. Gonen and D. S. Eisenberg, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 170–176.
- 184 N. V. Gorantla, L. P. Sunny, K. Rajasekhar, P. G. Nagaraju, P. P. Cg, T. Govindaraju and S. Chinnathambi, *ACS Omega*, 2021, **6**, 11131–11138.
- 185 E. Kontsekova, N. Zilka, B. Kovacech, P. Novak and M. Novak, *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.*, 2014, **6**, 44.
- 186 P. Novak, B. Kovacech, S. Katina, R. Schmidt, *et al.*, *Nature Aging*, 2021, **1**, 521–534.
- 187 H. Rajamohamedsait, S. Rasool, W. Rajamohamedsait, Y. Lin and E. M. Sigurdsson, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 17034.
- 188 H. Davtyan, W. W. Chen, K. Zagorski, J. Davis, I. Petrushina, K. Kazarian, D. H. Cribbs, M. G. Agadjanyan, M. Blurton-Jones and A. Ghochikyan, *Vaccine*, 2017, **35**, 2015–2024.
- 189 T. West, Y. Hu, P. B. Verghese, R. J. Bateman, J. B. Braunstein, I. Fogelman, K. Budur, H. Florian, N. Mendonca and D. M. Holtzman, *Journal of Prevention of Alzheimer's Disease*, 2017, **4**, 236–241.
- 190 A. L. Boxer, I. Qureshi, M. Ahlijanian, M. Grundman, *et al.*, *Lancet Neurol.*, 2019, **18**, 549–558.
- 191 T. Umeda, H. Eguchi, Y. Kunori, Y. Matsumoto, T. Taniguchi, H. Mori and T. Tomiyama, *Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol.*, 2015, **2**, 241–255.
- 192 C.-l. Dai, Y. C. Tung, F. Liu, C.-X. Gong and K. Iqbal, *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.*, 2017, **9**, 1.
- 193 V. Corsetti, A. Borreca, V. Latina, G. Giacobuzzo, *et al.*, *Brain Commun.*, 2020, **2**, fcaa039.
- 194 M. Roberts, I. Sevastou, Y. Imaizumi, K. Mistry, *et al.*, *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.*, 2020, **8**, 13.
- 195 A. S. Pithadia and M. H. Lim, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2012, **16**, 67–73.
- 196 R. J. Kryscio, E. L. Abner, A. Caban-Holt, M. Lovell, P. Goodman, A. K. Darke, M. Yee, J. Crowley and F. A. Schmitt, *JAMA Neurology*, 2017, **74**, 567–573.
- 197 G. Garg, S. Singh, A. K. Singh and S. I. Rizvi, *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.*, 2018, **96**, 1189–1196.
- 198 T. M. Holland, P. Agarwal, Y. Wang, S. E. Leurgans, D. A. Bennett, S. L. Booth and M. C. Morris, *Neurology*, 2020, **94**, e1749–e1756.
- 199 C. Sawda, C. Moussa and R. S. Turner, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 2017, **1403**, 142–149.
- 200 S. Singh, A. K. Singh, G. Garg and S. I. Rizvi, *Life Sci.*, 2018, **193**, 171–179.
- 201 F. Zhao, J. Zhang and N. Chang, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 2018, **824**, 1–10.
- 202 F. Morroni, G. Sita, A. Graziosi, E. Turrini, C. Fimognari, A. Tarozzi and P. Hrelia, *Aging Dis.*, 2018, **9**, 605–622.
- 203 S. Samanta, K. Rajasekhar, V. Babagond and T. Govindaraju, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2019, **10**, 3611–3621.
- 204 M. J. McManus, M. P. Murphy and J. L. Franklin, *J. Neurosci.*, 2011, **31**, 15703–15715.
- 205 M. Ramesh, K. Rajasekhar, K. Gupta, V. Babagond, D. K. Saini and T. Govindaraju, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, **19**, 801–808.
- 206 J. Goldberg, A. Currais, M. Prior, W. Fischer, C. Chiruta, E. Ratliff, D. Daugherty, R. Dargusch, K. Finley, P. B. Esparza-Moltó, J. M. Cuezva, P. Maher, M. Petrascheck and D. Schubert, *Aging Cell*, 2018, **17**, e12715.
- 207 X. Zhu, G. Perry, M. A. Smith and X. Wang, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2013, **33**, S253–S262.
- 208 P. H. Reddy, M. Manczak and R. Kandimalla, *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, 2017, **26**, 1483–1496.
- 209 T. Ahmed, S. Javed, S. Javed, A. Tariq, D. Šamec, S. Tejada, S. F. Nabavi, N. Braidly and S. M. Nabavi, *Mol. Neurobiol.*, 2017, **54**, 2622–2635.
- 210 A. U. Joshi, N. L. Saw, M. Shamloo and D. Mochly-Rosen, *Oncotarget*, 2018, **9**, 6128–6143.
- 211 L. Zhang, S. Zhang, I. Maezawa, S. Trushin, P. Minhas, M. Pinto, L. W. Jin, K. Prasain, T. D. Nguyen, Y. Yamazaki, T. Kanekiyo, G. Bu, B. Gateno, K. O. Chang, K. A. Nath, E. Nemutlu, P. Dzeja, Y. P. Pang, D. H. Hua and E. Trushina, *EBioMedicine*, 2015, **2**, 294–305.
- 212 D. A. Ryskamp, S. Korban, V. Zhemkov, N. Kraskovskaya and I. Bezprozvanny, *Front. Neurosci.*, 2019, **13**, 862.
- 213 S. Macfarlane, M. Cecchi, D. Moore, P. Maruff, T. Zografidis and C. Missling, *Alzheimer's Dementia*, 2016, **12**, P1174.
- 214 E. F. Fang, Y. Hou, K. Palikaras, B. A. Adriaanse, *et al.*, *Nat. Neurosci.*, 2019, **22**, 401–412.



- 215 D. V. Hansen, B. K. Cullimore, J. C. Johanson and W. Cheng, *Microglial blockade of the amyloid cascade: a new therapeutic frontier in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 193–254.
- 216 J. Wang, L. Tan, H. F. Wang, C. C. Tan, X. F. Meng, C. Wang, S. W. Tang and J. T. Yu, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2015, **44**, 385–396.
- 217 H. Potter, J. H. Woodcock, T. D. Boyd, C. M. Coughlan, *et al.*, *Alzheimer's Dementia*, 2021, **7**, e12158.
- 218 N. Torika, K. Asraf, R. N. Apte and S. Fleisher-Berkovich, *CNS Neurosci. Ther.*, 2018, **24**, 231–242.
- 219 N. Torika, K. Asraf, H. Cohen and S. Fleisher-Berkovich, *Brain, Behav., Immun.*, 2017, **64**, 80–90.
- 220 Study Evaluating Safety, Tolerability, and PK of Multiple Ascending Doses of GC021109 in Subjects with Mild to Moderate Alzheimer's Disease, ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02386306, Updated February 3, 2016, <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02386306>.
- 221 Z. Cai, N. Liu, C. Wang, B. Qin, Y. Zhou, M. Xiao, L. Chang, L. J. Yan and B. Zhao, *Cell. Mol. Neurobiol.*, 2016, **36**, 483–495.
- 222 A. Kumar, L. P. Datta, S. Samanta, H. Arora and T. Govindaraju, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 2021, **61**, 222–230.
- 223 A. H. Burstein, M. Sabbagh, R. Andrews, C. Valcarce, I. Dunn and L. Altstiel, *Journal of Prevention of Alzheimer's Disease*, 2018, **5**, 149–154.
- 224 N. D. Prins, J. E. Harrison, H.-M. Chu, K. Blackburn, *et al.*, *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.*, 2021, **13**, 106.
- 225 M. Ramesh, C. Balachandra, P. Andhare and T. Govindaraju, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2022, **13**, 2209–2221.
- 226 J. Han, Z. Du and M. H. Lim, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2021, **54**, 3930–3940.
- 227 S. Park, Y. Yi and M. H. Lim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **42**, 17–24.
- 228 M. Kim and M. H. Lim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **42**, 1272–1280.
- 229 M. Okuda, Y. Fujita, I. Hijikuro, M. Wada, T. Uemura, Y. Kobayashi, T. Waku, N. Tanaka, T. Nishimoto, Y. Izumi, T. Kume, A. Akaike, T. Takahashi and H. Sugimoto, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.*, 2017, **59**, 313–328.
- 230 P. Lv, C.-L. Xia, N. Wang, Z.-Q. Liu, Z.-S. Huang and S.-L. Huang, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **26**, 4693–4705.
- 231 F. J. Pérez-Areales, O. Di Pietro, A. Espargaró, A. Vallverdú-Queralt, *et al.*, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **22**, 5298–5307.
- 232 P. Sharma, A. Tripathi, P. N. Tripathi, S. K. Prajapati, A. Seth, M. K. Tripathi, P. Srivastava, V. Tiwari, S. Krishnamurthy and S. K. Shrivastava, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **167**, 510–524.
- 233 Y. Fang, H. Zhou, Q. Gu and J. Xu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **167**, 133–145.
- 234 P. Cai, S.-Q. Fang, X.-L. Yang, J.-J. Wu, Q.-H. Liu, H. Hong, X.-B. Wang and L.-Y. Kong, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2017, **8**, 2496–2511.
- 235 P. Cai, S. Q. Fang, H. L. Yang, X. L. Yang, Q. H. Liu, L. Y. Kong and X. B. Wang, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **157**, 161–176.
- 236 M. Benchekroun, A. Romero, J. Egea, R. León, P. Michalska, I. Buendia, M. L. Jimeno, D. Jun, J. Janockova, V. Sepsova, O. Soukup, O. M. Bautista-Aguilera, B. Refouvelet, O. Ouari, J. Marco-Contelles and L. Ismaili, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **59**, 9967–9973.
- 237 F. Prati, A. De Simone, P. Bisignano, A. Armirotti, *et al.*, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 1578–1582.
- 238 K. Oukoloff, N. Coquelle, M. Bartolini, M. Naldi, *et al.*, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **168**, 58–77.
- 239 L. P. Datta, S. Samanta and T. Govindaraju, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2020, **11**, 2812–2826.
- 240 S. Lee, X. Zheng, J. Krishnamoorthy, M. G. Savelieff, H. M. Park, J. R. Brender, J. H. Kim, J. S. Derrick, A. Kochi, H. J. Lee, C. Kim, A. Ramamoorthy, M. T. Bowers and M. H. Lim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 299–310.
- 241 J. S. Derrick, R. A. Kerr, Y. Nam, S. B. Oh, H. J. Lee, K. G. Earnest, N. Suh, K. L. Peck, M. Ozbil, K. J. Korshavn, A. Ramamoorthy, R. Prabhakar, E. J. Merino, J. Shearer, J.-Y. Lee, B. T. Ruotolo and M. H. Lim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 14785–14797.
- 242 M. Ramesh, P. Makam, C. Voshavar, H. Khare, K. Rajasekhar, S. Ramakumar and T. Govindaraju, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2018, **16**, 7682–7692.
- 243 J. Han, H. J. Lee, K. Y. Kim, S. J. C. Lee, J.-M. Suh, J. Cho, J. Chae and M. H. Lim, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2018, **9**, 800–808.
- 244 M. Kim, J. Kang, M. Lee, J. Han, G. Nam, E. Tak, M. S. Kim, H. J. Lee, E. Nam, J. Park, S. J. Oh, J.-Y. Lee, J.-Y. Lee, M.-H. Baik and M. H. Lim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 8183–8193.
- 245 M. H. Park, M. Lee, G. Nam, M. Kim, J. Kang, B. J. Choi, M. S. Jeong, K. H. Park, W. H. Han, E. Tak, M. S. Kim, J. Lee, Y. Lin, Y.-H. Lee, I.-S. Song, M.-K. Choi, J.-Y. Lee, H. K. Jin, J.-s. Bae and M. H. Lim, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2019, **116**, 23426–23436.
- 246 M. Kim, M. H. Park, G. Nam, M. Lee, J. Kang, I.-S. Song, M.-K. Choi, H. K. Jin, J.-s. Bae and M. H. Lim, *Mol. Pharm.*, 2021, **18**, 101–112.
- 247 H.-J. Cho, A. K. Sharma, Y. Zhang, M. L. Gross and L. M. Mirica, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2020, **11**, 1471–1481.
- 248 L. Sun, H.-J. Cho, S. Sen, A. S. Arango, T. T. Huynh, Y. Huang, N. Bandara, B. E. Rogers, E. Tajkhorshid and L. M. Mirica, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 10462–10476.
- 249 D. Padhi, C. Balachandra, M. Ramesh and T. Govindaraju, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, **58**, 6288–6291.
- 250 A. Mishra, V. Tiwari, S. Singh and S. Shukla, *Experimental models to study Alzheimer's disease in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 591–607.
- 251 P. Rekulapally, L. Garimella, S. Krishnan, N. Ashwin Kumar and S. N. Suresh, *Ethnic and racial differences in the pathophysiology of Alzheimer's disease in Alzheimer's disease: recent findings in pathophysiology, diagnostic and therapeutic modalities*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2022, pp. 608–659.

