

Chem Soc Rev

Chemical Society Reviews

rsc.li/chem-soc-rev



ISSN 0306-0012



ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

TUTORIAL REVIEW

Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson, Engin U. Akkaya, Juyoung Yoon,
Tony D. James *et al.*

Fluorescent chemosensors: the past, present and future



Cite this: *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, 46, 7105

Fluorescent chemosensors: the past, present and future

Di Wu,^a Adam C. Sedgwick,^{id}^b Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson,^{id}^{*c} Engin U. Akkaya,^{id}^{*d} Juyoung Yoon,^{id}^{*a} and Tony D. James,^{id}^{*b}

Fluorescent chemosensors for ions and neutral analytes have been widely applied in many diverse fields such as biology, physiology, pharmacology, and environmental sciences. The field of fluorescent chemosensors has been in existence for about 150 years. In this time, a large range of fluorescent chemosensors have been established for the detection of biologically and/or environmentally important species. Despite the progress made in this field, several problems and challenges still exist. This tutorial review introduces the history and provides a general overview of the development in the research of fluorescent sensors, often referred to as chemosensors. This will be achieved by highlighting some pioneering and representative works from about 40 groups in the world that have made substantial contributions to this field. The basic principles involved in the design of chemosensors for specific analytes, problems and challenges in the field as well as possible future research directions are covered. The application of chemosensors in various established and emerging biotechnologies, is very bright.

Received 12th August 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7cs00240h

rsc.li/chem-soc-rev

Key learning points

- (1) Strategies for the design of fluorescent chemosensors
- (2) Sensing mechanisms involved in the design of fluorescent chemosensors
- (3) Applications of fluorescent chemosensors
- (4) Usefulness of fluorescent chemosensors for *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies
- (5) Key problems and challenges in the field of fluorescent chemosensors

1. Introduction

Compounds incorporating a binding site, a fluorophore, and a mechanism for communication between the two sites are called fluorescent chemosensors.¹ If the binding sites are irreversible chemical reactions, the indicators are described as fluorescent chemodosimeters. These two definitions as well as the term “fluorescent probe” have been used interchangeably and ambiguously over the past few decades therefore we have unified the area to describe them all as fluorescent chemosensors in this review. The first fluorescent chemosensor was reported by

F. Goppelsröder in 1867, and was a method for the determination of aluminum ion (Al^{3+}) by forming a strongly fluorescent morin chelate.² This led to the development of a number of fluorescent chemosensors for the determination of many other metal ions, over the subsequent several decades, marking in part the birth of analytical chemistry as we know it. In fact, the early fluorescent chemosensors, concentrated mainly on the detection of metal ions rather than the detection of anions or neutral species. This is due to the selective binding of metal ions in water being significantly easier than that of anions or neutral species. However, more recently and since around 1980, we have witnessed an explosive growth and development of the area catalyzed by the inspirational and pioneering work by the two fathers of modern chemosensors: de Silva and Czarnik.³ Since those pioneering days, fluorescent chemosensors have been extensively developed and the scope of applicability extended to include numerous biologically important analytes. In particular, fluorescent chemosensors for biologically and/or environmentally important cations, anions, small neutral molecules as well as biomacromolecules (such as proteins and DNA) have been

^a Department of Chemistry and Nano Science, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea. E-mail: jyoon@ewha.ac.kr

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK. E-mail: t.d.james@bath.ac.uk

^c School of Chemistry and Trinity Biomedical Sciences Institute (TBSI), Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland. E-mail: gunnlaut@tcd.ie

^d UNAM-Institute of Material Science and Nanotechnology, Bilkent University, Ankara 06800, Turkey. E-mail: eua@fen.bilkent.edu.tr



developed along with a rapid advancement in microscopic imaging technologies. Analyte detection by a fluorescent chemosensor is usually achieved through one or more common photo-physical mechanisms, including chelation induced enhanced

fluorescence (CHEF),² intramolecular charge transfer (ICT),³ photoinduced electron transfer (PET),⁴ aggregation induced emission (AIE)⁵ and the number of approaches is still expanding. Due to the high levels of sensitivity and in particular their



Di Wu

Di Wu was born in Hubei province, P. R. China, in 1987. He received his Bachelor degree (2010) and PhD degree (2015) from Central China Normal University (CCNU) under the supervision of Professor Sheng Hua Liu and Jun Yin. Subsequently, he joined Prof. Juyoung Yoon's research group at Ewha Womans University (South Korea) as a postdoctoral fellow. His research interests focus on fluorescent chemosensors and new organic functional materials.



Adam C. Sedgwick

Adam C. Sedgwick graduated with a 1st class MChem in Chemistry for Drug Discovery from the University of Bath in 2014. During his undergraduate degree he undertook an industrial placement at BioFocus (Now Charles River) working as a medicinal chemist synthesizing compound libraries for various drug discovery applications. He is currently working towards his PhD at the University of Bath developing novel sensors for the detection of reactive oxygen species.



Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson

Thorfinnur (Thorri) Gunnlaugsson MRIA, is a Professor of Chemistry in the School of Chemistry, Trinity College Dublin (TCD). His research interests lie broadly within the fields of medicinal, organic, inorganic supramolecular and materials chemistries. He is a Fellow of TCD, and was elected as a Member of The Royal Irish Academy in 2011. In 2014, he was awarded The Institute of Chemistry of Ireland (ICI) Annual Award for Chemistry (Eva Philbin Lecturer). He is the author of over 220 papers and has an H-index of 70.



Engin U. Akkaya

Engin U. Akkaya is a Professor at Bilkent University, Department of Chemistry and UNAM-National Nanotechnology Research Center. He is a Fellow of the Royal Society of Chemistry, and a member of the Science Academy (BA) of Turkey. His research interests include photodynamics, molecular logic gates and molecular devices, and information processing therapeutic agents. He authored 105 papers and has an H-index of 46.



Juyoung Yoon

Juyoung Yoon is currently Professor of Department of Chemistry and Nano Science in Ewha Womans University. His research interests include investigations of fluorescent chemosensors, molecular recognition, and new organic functional materials. He has published over 280 SCI research papers with h-index of 82.



Tony D. James

Tony D. James is a Professor at the University of Bath and Fellow of the Royal Society of Chemistry and holds a prestigious Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award. In 2013 he received the Daiwa-Adrian Prize and in 2015 the Inaugural CASE Prize. His research interests include many aspects of Supramolecular chemistry, including: molecular recognition, molecular self-assembly and sensor design. He is the author of over 232 papers and has an h-index of 58.



ability to be used for temporal and spatial sampling for *in vivo* imaging applications, fluorescent chemosensors have been widely applied in a variety of fields such as biology, physiology, pharmacology, and environmental sciences. With the advent of two or multi-photon excitation and high and super-resolution fluorescence microscopy we will see an ever increasing need for highly sensitive and selective chemosensors for *in vivo* biological applications.

There are a number of reviews that have been compiled describing fluorescent chemosensors, however, to the best of our knowledge, most of these reviews focus on either diverse fluorochromes or analytes. Only a few reviews have taken a step back and carried out an overview of progress of this field.^{6,7} This review summarizes progress in the development of fluorescent chemosensors over the last 50 years and introduces representative chemosensors for the detection of cations, anions, small neutral molecules as well as biomacromolecules. It contains the design principle, working mechanism and biological application of the corresponding chemosensors. Furthermore, the design principles for the construction of selective chemosensors for specific analytes and the problems and challenges encountered along the way will be discussed. We will end the review with a discussion of the future research directions and opportunities available for fluorescent chemosensors given that they are now well-established research tools.

2. Fluorescent chemosensors for cations

There are a number of metal ions that play a vital role in our daily physiological life. These include sodium (Na^+), potassium (K^+), calcium (Ca^{2+}), copper (Cu^+ and Cu^{2+}) and zinc (Zn^{2+}), among others. However, some metal ions such as lead (Pb^{2+}), cadmium (Cd^{2+}) and mercury (Hg^{2+}) are toxic and cause serious health and environmental problems.

2.1 Fluorescent chemosensors for alkali and alkaline earth metal ions

As mentioned above, the first recorded fluorescent chemosensor for cations dates to 1867, when Goppelsröder reported that morin forms a strongly fluorescent chelate with Al^{3+} . In the beginning, most fluorescent chemosensors for cations were based on the coordination interactions between the hosts and the guests. For example, Sousa *et al.* reported two naphthalene based chemosensors **1** and **2** for the detection of alkali metal ions (Fig. 1). This resulted in the use of supramolecular chemistry in fluorescent chemosensor design.⁸ Interestingly, these closely related chemosensors exhibit dichotomous behavior. **1** displayed a decrease in fluorescence quantum yield, also an increase in phosphorescence quantum yield, and a slight decrease in phosphorescence lifetime when it formed a complex with alkali metal chloride salts in 95% ethanol glass at 77 K. On the contrary, complexation of **2** with potassium (K^+), rubidium (Rb^+), or caesium (Cs^+) chloride salts caused a noticeable increase in fluorescence quantum yield, also a decrease in phosphorescence




Fig. 1 Structures of the fluorescent chemosensors **1–3**.

quantum yield, and a substantial decrease in phosphorescence lifetime. These observed changes can be attributed to the heavy atom effect (for Rb^+ and Cs^+) and/or a complexation induced change in triplet energy relative to the ground and excited singlet state energies as well as rigidification and conformational effects.

Potassium ions (K^+) are one of the most important cations in living organisms because they play essential roles in many biological processes. In mammals, the concentration of K^+ inside cells is about 150 mM, which is nearly 30 times higher than that in the extracellular environment. Imbalances of potassium are closely related to many diseases such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), anorexia, heart disease and diabetes. A number of fluorescent chemosensors for K^+ have been developed. Currently, the 2-triazacryptand [2,2,3]-1-(2-methoxyethoxy)benzene (TAC) group, which displays a very high selectivity for detecting K^+ over other physiologically relevant metal ions is the best K^+ -selective chelator. The TAC group was first reported by He *et al.* in 2003.⁹ They incorporated the TAC group into a 4-aminonaphthalimide based polymer *via* an ethylene group, in order to develop chemosensor **3** for the measurement of extracellular (serum or whole blood) potassium based on a PET mechanism (Fig. 1). The chemosensor rapidly and reversibly detects changes in potassium concentrations in whole blood samples. Furthermore, there were no interferences from clinical concentrations of Ca^{2+} or pH and from the interference of Na^+ even at concentrations of 160 mM. Additionally, this chemosensor has been used in the Roche OPTI CCA, a commercially available whole blood analyzer, this system was developed in collaboration with de Silva (<http://impact.ref.ac.uk/CaseStudies/CaseStudy.aspx?Id=38360>). Although TAC based chemosensors display high selectivities and sensitivities for K^+ , their syntheses often require lengthy synthetic routes and harsh reaction conditions. Thus a significant demand exists for the development of more readily available ligands with the same properties displayed by TAC.

The magnesium ion (Mg^{2+}), which has a number of critical roles such as an enzyme cofactor, a DNA conformation stabilizer and a facilitator of transmembrane ion transport, is the most abundant divalent cation in cells. Abnormal concentrations of






Fig. 2 Structures of the fluorescent chemosensors 4–9.

Mg²⁺ ions are associated with many diseases such as migraines, diabetes, hypertension and Parkinson's disease. In order to detect cellular magnesium ions, Farruggia *et al.* developed two 8-hydroxyquinoline based fluorescent chemosensors 4 and 5 for the detection of Mg²⁺ (Fig. 2).¹⁰ Initially, these two chemosensors show very weak fluorescence due to the an intermolecular photoinduced proton transfer (PPT) and PET process. However, after binding with Mg²⁺, the PPT and PET process are blocked, which results in a remarkable increase in the fluorescence intensity. Chemosensors 4 and 5, with K_d of 44 and 73 μ M, respectively, show high selectivity and sensitivity towards Mg²⁺ over other cations including Ca²⁺. These two chemosensors have been used to image Mg²⁺ in live cells. However, the

limitations of these two chemosensors are that they can only be excited in the UV region, which hinders their development for practical applications.

Calcium ions (Ca²⁺), are another important alkaline earth metal ion. Ca²⁺ is the most abundant element in the human body and it plays important roles in many biological processes. The monitoring of the intracellular free Ca²⁺ is important since imbalances of Ca²⁺ are related to a number of diseases such as neurodegeneration, heart disease and skeletal muscle defects. The initially reported fluorescent chemosensors for Ca²⁺ suffered several problems such as (1) low selectivity towards competing cations and in particular H⁺ and Mg²⁺, (2) complex stoichiometry with Ca²⁺, (3) inflexibility of molecular design, or the difficulty of rationally and iteratively adjusting chelator properties with different fluorophores.¹¹ These problems were only solved when Nobel Laureate Roger Y. Tsien developed two 1,2-bis(2-aminophenoxy) ethane-*N,N,N',N'*-tetraacetic acid (BAPTA) based fluorescent chemosensors 6 and 7 for Ca²⁺ in 1980 (Fig. 2).¹¹ Both of these chemosensors show good selectivity and sensitivity towards Ca²⁺ over Mg²⁺, and their application *in vivo* opened up a new area and understanding of cellular function and indeed revolutionized our understanding of biochemical processes within cells.

Along with the development of the field of fluorescence dyes and the advancement in microscopic imaging technologies, a series of BAPTA and derived fluorescent chemosensors for Ca²⁺ have been reported. In 2010, Kim, Cho and coworkers described a two photon (TP) fluorescent chemosensor 8, in which 2-(2'-morpholino-2'-oxoethoxy)-*N,N*-bis(hydroxycarbonylmethyl) aniline (MOBHA) was used as the Ca²⁺ receptor and 6-(benzo[*d*]oxazol-2'-yl)-2-(*N,N*-dimethylamino)naphthalene was used as the fluorophore (Fig. 2).¹² Chemosensor 8 shows high selectivity for



Fig. 3 Dual-channel TPEF images of HeLa cells co-incubated with 8 and 9 collected at (a) green channel: 390–450 nm (8), (b) red channel: 500–560 nm (9), and (c) merged image of (a) and (b). TPEF images of a mice hippocampal slice co-stained with 8 and 9 collected at (d) green channel: 390–450 nm (8), (e) red channel: 500–560 nm (9) at a depth of 100–200 μ m at tenfold magnification and (f) a merged image of (d) and (e). Excitation wavelength: 780 nm. Scale bars: 30 μ m (a and d). Reproduced from ref. 12 with the permission of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.






Fig. 5 Structures and proposed mechanism of **11** and **12** for detection of Cu^{2+} .

as important as the detection of Cu^{2+} , however, many fewer fluorescent chemosensors have been developed for Cu^+ than for Cu^{2+} . The fluorescent chemosensor **13** developed by the Chang group consists of a tris[(2-pyridyl)methyl]amine (TPA) as the binding and reaction site, and a bioluminescent *D*-luciferin as the reporter (Fig. 6).¹⁶ Compared with common fluorophore based chemosensors, these bioluminescent reporter based sensing platforms have low background and high signal-to-noise. Chemosensor **13** shows good sensitivity and selectivity towards Cu^+ over other related species except for free Co^{2+} (100 μM) that gives a modest response with the chemosensor. However, the concentration of Co^{2+} (100 μM) is not considered physiologically relevant since most Co^{2+} is found tightly bound to proteins. Significantly, **13** has been used to image labile copper pools mouse model of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. The results indicate that hepatic copper deficiency and altered expression levels of copper homeostatic proteins accompany glucose intolerance and weight gain.




Fig. 6 Structure and proposed mechanism of **13** for detection of Cu^+ .




Fig. 7 Structure and proposed mechanism of **14** for detection of Zn^{2+} .

Zinc (Zn) is the second most abundant d-block metal in the human body, which is often found as pools of mobile ions in specific tissues of the body. Failure in the homeostasis of free zinc ions is closely associated with neurological diseases and free zinc ions (Zn^{2+}) are also involved in apoptosis (programmed cell death). The majority of small-molecule fluorescent chemosensors for mobile zinc ions comprise of a fluorophore and a chelating unit containing tertiary amines. However, acidic pH can interfere with the detection of Zn^{2+} using these systems. To overcome these shortcomings, the Lippard group have developed a spirobenzopyran based two-photon fluorescent chemosensor **14** for Zn^{2+} (Fig. 7).¹⁷ Chemosensor **14** can selectively detect Zn^{2+} in the presence of other related metal ions over a wide range of pH from 3 to 7. The chemosensor has been applied to imaging exogenous Zn^{2+} in the lysosomes of HeLa cells, endogenous Zn^{2+} in insulin granules of MIN6 cells, and zinc-rich mossy fiber boutons in hippocampal tissue of mice. Furthermore, the relatively large two-photon absorption cross section ($\delta = 74 \text{ GM}$) and far-red emission makes it ideal for imaging zinc ions in tissue at depths of $>100 \mu\text{m}$ with greater contrast than existing visible-light fluorescent chemosensors.

Mercury (Hg) is one of the most prevalent deadly toxins on earth, which arises from many sources such as gold production, coal plants, thermometers, barometers and mercury lamps. In the past several decades, a huge number of fluorescent chemosensors have been developed for the detection of Hg^{2+} . Pioneering work by Czarnik and coworkers on a desulfurisation reaction used the thiophilic character of Hg^{2+} .¹⁸ Initially, the fluorescent chemosensor **15** is non-fluorescent due to the PET process. The addition of Hg^{2+} induces an enhancement in fluorescence, whereas other metal ions except for Ag^+ caused no interference (Fig. 8).




Fig. 8 Structures and proposed mechanism of **15** and **16** for detection of Hg^{2+} .






Fig. 9 Structures of the fluorescent chemosensors 17–19.

Tae, Shin and coworkers developed a rhodamine-based fluorescent chemosensor **16** for Hg^{2+} , which takes advantage of the known Hg^{2+} -promoted formation of 1,3,4-oxadiazoles from thiosemicarbazoles (Fig. 8).¹⁹ Chemosensor **16** shows high sensitivity and selectivity over other metal ions including Ag^+ and Pb^{2+} , which can also promote the desulfurization reactions. The chemosensor has been used to detect exogenous Hg^{2+} uptake in C2C12 cells and in zebrafish in real time as well as to image accumulated Hg^{2+} in zebra fish organs.

Due to the close relationship between the two fields of “chemosensors” and “molecular logic”, a number of fluorescent chemosensors with two or more binding (reaction) sites have been utilized to construct molecular logic gate. For example, Akkaya and coworkers reported three styryl-Bodipy based molecular logic gates **17**, **18** and **19** using Hg^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and (or) Ca^{2+} as inputs (Fig. 9).²⁰ With these chemosensors, the dithiaazacrown ligand is used as a Hg^{2+} binding site, di-2-picolylamine (DPA) is used as a Zn^{2+} binding site while the aza-crown ligand is used as a Ca^{2+} binding site in **19**. Using Hg^{2+} and Zn^{2+} as inputs, the emission signaling of **17** at 570 nm responds in accordance with molecular logic gate AND function. For **18**, the structure works as an AND logic gate when the absorbance is recorded at 623 nm. However, when the absorbance data is collected near the longer wavelength peak, it responds in accordance with XOR logic. Chemosensor **19** is a three-input AND logic gate using Hg^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Ca^{2+} as inputs when the emission signaling is recorded at 656 nm.

3. Fluorescent chemosensors for anions

The development of anion selective chemosensors lagged behind that of cation chemosensors, due to the strong hydration of anions. However, the field of anion sensing is now a relatively mature science in line with the field of cation sensing. This was driven by the important roles anions play in biological and industrial processes as well as the need to produce new methods of sensing anionic pollutants in the environment.

Over the past several decades, there have been a number of fluorescent chemosensors developed for the detection of anions, which have used host–guest interactions or chemical reactions.

3.1 Fluorescent chemosensors for anions based on host–guest interaction

In 1994, Czarnik and co-workers reported an anthracene derived fluorescent chemosensor **20** for pyrophosphate (PPI) containing polyazaalkane groups (Fig. 10).²¹ **20** shows good selectivity towards PPI over other anions including phosphate (Pi), which possesses a similar structure to that of PPI. The high selectivity of **20** towards PPI results from the two polyammonium arms that are geometrically disposed for binding the six external oxygen atoms of the pyrophosphate anion. It was not until the start of this millennium that the development of fluorescent PET anion




Fig. 10 Structures of the fluorescent chemosensors 20–22.



sensors that function on the bases of using charge neutral receptors was developed; this was achieved by several of the authors of this review in a concurrent manner.

The use of a Zn^{2+} complex as a binding site for PPI has been found to be a particularly successful strategy due to the strong binding affinity between Zn^{2+} and PPI. In particular, the Hong group have extensively explored this area of chemosensor development. A representative piece of their work, contains a naphthalene derivative based Zn^{2+} complex **21** as shown in Fig. 10.²² The K_a for PPI of **21** was calculated to be to be $2.9 \times 10^8 M^{-1}$, which means that **21** can detect PPI in water at nanomolar concentrations. Furthermore, **21** is able to detect less than 1 equivalent of PPI in the presence of a 50- to 250-fold excess of ATP. This is the first example of a metal complex that can discriminate PPI from ATP in aqueous solution.

Taking advantage of minimal photo-damage, deep tissue penetration and high signal-to-noise contrast of NIR fluorescent chemosensors, Smith and coworkers developed a cyanine based Zn^{2+} complex **22** for *in vivo* optical imaging of tumors and cell death events (Fig. 10).²³ Zinc complex **22** can selectively accumulate in prostate and mammary tumors in two different xenograft animal models and it is now commercially available. This is an excellent example of a fluorescent chemosensor being applied for real-life practical applications.

Recently, Sessler, Anslyn, and coworkers reported two anion induced supramolecular assemblies of expanded porphyrins **23** and **24** (Fig. 11).²⁴ Porphyrins **23** and **24** can form supramolecular polymers with several diacids, which can be used as chemosensors for both anions and organic solvents. The solubility, colour, and fluorescence of the assemblies changes dramatically when they were treated with Lewis basic anions or polar solvents, which could be caused by a decrease in the extent of aggregation. The authors have demonstrated that this system can be used as a chemosensor for identifying certain salts and various solvents by solubility, fluorescence or visible colour change.

A urea and thiourea moiety can be used in the design of various fluorescent chemosensors for H-bond donors. An excellent example from the Fabbrizzi and Amendola group is the fluorescent chemosensor **25**, which consists of a pyrene group

as a fluorophore and a urea binding group. Chemosensor **25** displays an interesting “on¹–off–on²” fluorescence response towards F^- (Fig. 12).²⁵ Initially, **25** shows typical pyrene emission and maximum at 394 nm (on¹) in MeCN. However, upon addition of F^- , the fluorescence decreases (off) due to an electron transfer process occurring in the locally excited complex and the conversion of locally excited complex to poorly emissive excited tautomer. Interestingly, upon further addition of F^- , a yellow fluorescence turns on, while a new emission band centered at 500 nm (on²) appears, which can be ascribed to a charge-transfer emission by the deprotonated receptor; the F^- deprotonation phenomena having been observed by several researchers in analogous systems. The authors demonstrated these optical features can be observed in other neutral receptors containing N–H fragments, this may provide new strategies for the design of fluorescent sensors for anions.

Recently, Gale and coworkers designed and synthesized a series of fluorescent anion transporters **26a–f** consisting of a naphthalimide fluorophore with urea or thiourea receptors attached (Fig. 12).²⁶ Interestingly, these transporters show two distinct localization modes within cells. The aromatic substituted transporters localize within the cytoplasm and the less lipophilic alkyl substituted transporters are over time localized in specific vesicles. Furthermore, the aromatic substituted compounds **26c–f** all induce cytotoxicity in cancer cell lines, with **26f** inducing apoptosis of A549 cells while alkyl substituted **26a** and **26b** are non-toxic towards cancer cells. These results suggest that the toxic effects can be ascribed to changes in ionic or pH gradients across intracellular membranes rather than the plasma membrane. This research is particularly important since it offers exciting new applications for fluorescent chemosensors of anions.

3.2 Fluorescent chemosensors for anions based on chemical reactions

As well as the host–guest interaction based fluorescent chemosensors for the detection of anions discussed above, a number of chemical reaction based fluorescent chemosensors for anions have been developed. These include the detection of reactive anions (reactive oxygen (ROS) and nitrogen (RNS) species). The superoxide radical ($O_2^{\bullet-}$) is generated by the one-electron reduction of molecular oxygen, which is the precursor of other ROS and RNS. Thus, elucidating the relation between $O_2^{\bullet-}$ fluxes and diseases is of great importance. The group of Yang have established a number of novel fluorescent chemosensors for ROS/RNS. In 2015, they reported a series of fluorescein based chemosensors **27a–c** for $O_2^{\bullet-}$ (Fig. 13).²⁷ It is worth noting that the trifluoromethyl group plays an important role in these chemosensors. It is a strong electron-withdrawing group and activates the sulfonate ester toward nucleophilic attack by $O_2^{\bullet-}$, yielding the free fluorophores. The trifluoromethyl group can also prevent interference from cellular reductants such as cysteine (Cys) and glutathione (GSH). All these three fluorescent chemosensors can specifically detect $O_2^{\bullet-}$ over other ROS/RNS and thiols. Furthermore, **27c** contains a triphenylphosphonium group, which allows it to be used to monitor $O_2^{\bullet-}$ changes in mitochondria.



Fig. 11 Structures of fluorescent chemosensors **23**, **24** and the schematic illustrates the construction of supramolecular assemblies using **23**, **24**, and diacids as the building blocks.






Fig. 14 Structures and proposed mechanism of **29** and **30** for detection of ONOO⁻.

the first step can facilitate the hydride transfer from NADH to **31** and accelerate the reaction in the second step. However, **31** can only work in basic conditions, which limits its applications in biological systems. To address this problem, the boronic acid in **31** was replaced by 2-(hydroxymethyl)phenylboronic acid, yielding the chemosensor **32** which can work in pH 7.4 buffer solution (Fig. 15).³¹ It can evaluate NADH both *in vitro* and in live cells. Although this chemosensor still has some drawbacks such as photoinstability and ease of wash-out, this work provides inspiration by mimicking biological processes for the design of fluorescent chemosensors.

4. Fluorescent chemosensors for small neutral molecules

Small neutral molecules such as reactive sulfur species (RSS) as well as some neutral ROS/RNS are essential for our survival since they play a vital role in a range of physiological and pathological processes. Conversely, some small neutral molecules like nitroaromatics (explosives), and nerve-gas are a threat to public health and safety. These, two important reasons have stimulated the development of a substantial number of

fluorescent chemosensors for small neutral molecules over recent years.

4.1 Fluorescent chemosensors for reactive sulfur species (RSS)

Intracellular thiols such as cysteine (Cys), homocysteine (Hcy) and glutathione (GSH) play key roles in biological systems. Abnormal levels of these molecules have been linked to a number of diseases, such as liver damage, leucocyte loss, psoriasis, cancer and AIDS. Accordingly, the detection of these thiol-containing biomolecules in biological samples is very important. While de Silva demonstrated the first use of PET sensors for thiols in 1998,^{32a} it was in 2004, Martínez-Máñez and coworkers developed two squaraine based fluorescent chemosensors **33a** and **33b** for the detection of thiols (Fig. 16).^{32b} Their solutions showed colour changes from blue to colorless along with fluorescence quenching in the presence of thiol-containing compounds, which is attributed to the selective addition of thiols to the cyclobutene ring in the chemosensors. These are two representative examples of thiol chemosensors that cannot distinguish Cys/Hcy and GSH.

The Guo group reported a pyronin B based fluorescent chemosensor **34** for the discrimination of Cys/Hcy and GSH






Fig. 15 Structures of **31**, **32** and proposed mechanism for detection of NADH by **31**.




Fig. 16 Structures and proposed mechanism of **33** and **34** for detection of thiols.

using different emission channels (Fig. 16).³³ Initially, free **34** is non-fluorescent due to the PET process from the methoxythiophenyl group to the pyronin moiety. Upon treatment of **34** with GSH, a fluorescence enhancement at 622 nm occurs due to the replacement of 4-methoxythiophenyl moiety by the thiol group of GSH. In the case of Cys/Hcy, an intramolecular rearrangement occurs followed by a substitution reaction, which leads to fluorescence enhancement at 546 nm. The chemosensor has been applied to imaging Cys/Hcy and GSH in live cells. Importantly, the use of the intramolecular rearrangement of Cys/Hcy with chemosensors is a typical strategy for the design of fluorescent chemosensors to discriminate Cys/Hcy and GSH.

More recently, Urano and co-workers reported two reversible fluorescent chemosensors **35a** and **35b** for GSH (Fig. 17).³⁴ These two chemosensors show ratiometric fluorescence response to GSH due to the FRET process. The Si-rhodamines were selected as reaction sites because they achieve an intermolecular equilibrium with GSH. As a donor fluorophore, the O-rhodamine was selected owing to its excellent spectral overlap with the Si-rhodamines. Upon addition of GSH, the emission of the Si-rhodamines decreases while the emission of the O-rhodamine increases. The fluorescence ratio of the O-rhodamine and Si-rhodamine units resulted in K_d values of 0.6 and 3.0 mM, respectively for **35a** and **35b**. Chemosensor **35b** has been used to image and quantify GSH in live cells. The authors have shown that these fluorescent chemosensors are revolutionary






Fig. 17 Structures and proposed mechanism of **35a** and **35b** for detection of GSH.

tools for investigating how GSH dynamics are regulated in a physiological context.

Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) is the smallest member of the reactive sulfur species (RSS). It has been characterized as a crucial gaseous transmitter. However, a variety of emerging data suggest that hydrogen polysulfide (H_2S_n) might be the signaling molecules instead of H_2S . Given the importance of H_2S and H_2S_n in redox biology, the Xian group has developed several novel fluorescent chemosensors for these two species. Recently, they prepared a fluorescent chemosensor **36**, which enables dual-channel discrimination between H_2S and H_2S_n (Fig. 18).³⁵ The design principle for this chemosensor is that H_2S selectively reacts with the azidocoumarin moiety, while H_2S_n only reacts with phenyl 2-(benzoylthio)benzoate, which results in the corresponding fluorescence “turn-on”. However, the real situation is more complicated since the azide group of **36** can be partially reduced by H_2S_n and the reaction of H_2S with azides results in the formation of H_2S_n (Fig. 18). However, due to the FRET process, the reaction with H_2S_n should just produce green fluorescence from rhodol. Furthermore, less than 0.5 equivalents of H_2S_n are produced from the reaction of H_2S (1 equivalent) and azide, therefore the reaction with H_2S can produce emission signals from both coumarin (major) and rhodol (minor). Overall, **36** can detect H_2S and H_2S_n from distinct emission channels. This chemosensor has been used to image H_2S and H_2S_n in live cells. Moreover, this work provides a strategy for developing fluorescent chemosensors that can

discriminate two or more closely related species using different fluorescence channels.

Hydrogen selenide (H_2Se) can be thought of as analogous to H_2S and it has been shown to be involved in many physiological and pathological processes. There are only a few fluorescent chemosensors for H_2Se that have been reported to date. Recently, the Tang group reported a hemicyanine based NIR fluorescent chemosensor **37** for H_2Se , using the selective cleavage of Se–N in benzoselenadizole by H_2Se through nucleophilic displacement (Fig. 19).³⁶ Initially, the free chemosensor is non-fluorescent due to the heavy atom effect of Se. However, after the addition of H_2Se , the chemosensor undergoes a *ca.* 10-fold “turn-on” fluorescence response. Additionally, it was successfully used for imaging endogenous H_2Se in live cells and in mice.

4.2 Fluorescent chemosensors for other small neutral molecules

Besides the anionic ROS/RNS, there are some neutral ROS/RNS such as hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and nitric oxide (NO), which also play important roles in many biological processes. Lin and coworkers reported a fluorescent chemosensor **38**, which can respond to H_2O_2 , NO, and $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{NO}$ with three different sets of fluorescence signals (Fig. 20).³⁷ Upon addition of H_2O_2 , blue emission at 460 nm with excitation at 400 nm is observed. However, when only NO is added, a rhodamine associated enhancement in emission at 580 nm is observed when excited at 550 nm. The chemosensor displays enhanced emission at



Fig. 18 Structure and proposed mechanism of **36** for detection of H_2S and H_2S_n .






Fig. 19 Structure and proposed mechanism of **37** for detection of H_2Se .




Fig. 21 Structures of the fluorescent chemosensors **39a–c** and **40**.

just 580 nm with excitation at both 400 nm and 550 nm in the presence of both H_2O_2 and NO due to a FRET process. Furthermore, it was shown to be capable of simultaneously monitoring endogenous H_2O_2 and NO in live cells.

Chemical explosives such as 1,3,5-trinitroperhydro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX), picric acid (PA) and 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) are a threat to public health and safety. Therefore, fluorescent chemosensors and sensor materials for the rapid and selective detection of chemical explosives at trace levels are of great importance. Anzenbacher Jr. and coworkers developed three pyrene based fluorescent chemosensors **39a**, **39b** and **39c** for RDX (Fig. 21).³⁸ These chemosensors show “turn on” fluorescence response to RDX based on different mechanisms. The fluorescence enhancement of **39a** can be ascribed to protonation of the tertiary amines upon deprotonation from RDX. While, the formation of iminium cation and imine can be the reason for fluorescence enhancement of **39b** and **39c**, respectively. Furthermore, these three chemosensors were used to construct a fluorescent assay to discriminate different analytes.

Recently, the Gunnlaugsson group have reported a supramolecular Tröger's base derived zinc coordination polymer **40** for fluorescent sensing of phenolic–nitroaromatic explosives (Fig. 21).³⁹ The aqueous suspension of **40** displayed strong green fluorescence at 520 nm, due to the ICT transition. A selective fluorescence quenching was observed towards phenolic–nitroaromatics (4-nitrophenol (4-NP), 2,4-dinitrophenol (2,4-DNP) and PA) in the presence of other competing nitroaromatic species. Furthermore, **40** displays reversible PA sensing and

the detection limit towards PA was determined to be 26.3 ppb. All these results indicate that **40** is a proficient sensor material for rapid detection of phenolic–nitroaromatics. The authors have previously demonstrated the use of Tröger's base based naphthalimide structures as cellular imaging agents and as potential anticancer agents.

Sulfur mustard (SM), a chemical warfare agent, is known to be extremely toxic, quite stable, and easy to synthesize. Discovery of fluorescent chemosensors for the selective and sensitive detection of SM is of great importance. In 2013, the Anslyn group developed fluorescent turn-on chemosensor for a sulfur mustard simulant 2-chloroethyl ethyl sulfide (CEES) based on a metal-ion indicator displacement assay (IDA) (Fig. 22).⁴⁰ In this system, they developed a supramolecular system containing two units including a receptor dithiol **41** and Cd^{2+} -indicator complex **42**. Dithiol **41** can rapidly react with CEES to yield a podand **43**,




Fig. 20 Structure and proposed mechanism of **38** for detection of H_2O_2 and NO.






Fig. 22 Proposed sensing mechanism for the detection of CEES.



Fig. 23 Structure and proposed mechanism of **44** for detection of chiral functional amines.

which exhibits high affinity to Cd^{2+} and hence displaces an indicator (4-methylscutellin) from **42**, leading to fluorescence enhancement at 460 nm. The detection limit of CEES was found to be 0.2 μM , and can detect nerve agent levels that pose a health risk.

Enantioselective fluorescent chemosensors is another hot topic in the field of chemosensors. It has gained tremendous interest in recent years. The Pu group have developed a series of enantioselective fluorescent chemosensors for the recognition of some important chiral compounds. In 2015, Pu, Yu and coworkers reported a 1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (BINOL)-based bis(naphthylimine) fluorescent chemosensor **44** for the detection of chiral functional amines (Fig. 23).⁴¹ In the presence of $\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2$, **44** can react with chiral amines to release 2-naphthylamine with a blue emission ($\lambda_1 = 427 \text{ nm}$), which allows the substrate concentration to be determined. The combination of the remaining chiral binaphthyl unit with the chiral substrates results in significant enantioselective fluorescence enhancements at $\lambda_2 > 500 \text{ nm}$, which facilitates the determination of enantiomeric composition. Thus, both concentration and enantiomeric composition can be determined by one measurement of the fluorescent response of **44**. This design principle represents a potentially general strategy for the development of dual responsive fluorescent sensors.

5. Fluorescent chemosensors for biomacromolecules

Biomacromolecules are vital for the function of living biological systems. However, the abnormal expression of these




Fig. 24 Structures of the fluorescent chemosensors **45a–d**.

biomacromolecules often has huge consequences. The use of fluorescence imaging techniques provides a powerful tool for studying these biomacromolecules and to fully understand their purpose in these complex biological systems due to excellent spatial and temporal resolution and high molecular specificity. The detection of biomacromolecules is a unique task as they often have large molecular weights, complex structures and a range of biological function. Over the past several decades, a number of fluorescent chemosensors have been developed, which have proven indispensable for bioimaging and the investigation of disease.

Czarnik carried out pioneering work on anthrylpolyamines **45a–d** to sense polyanions such as heparin, poly-L-glutamate, ds DNA (double-stranded DNA) and ss DNA (single-stranded DNA) in water (Fig. 24).⁴² These chemosensors display a red-shift and decrease in their emission spectra when bound to either ds DNA or to ss DNA. The chemosensor **45b** is effective in binding to polyglutamate while **45c** an excellent binder of heparin. They have been used to monitor the activity of pronase and heparinase, respectively.

Schmuck and co-workers reported a pyrene-based peptide beacon (fluorescent chemosensor **46**) that was shown to intercalate with DNA (Fig. 25).⁴³ In solution, the folded conformation of **46** exhibits a typical pyrene excimer emission. However, when bound to DNA the chemosensor undergoes a conformational change to the unfolded form. The change in conformation leads to a ratiometric change in fluorescence from excimer (490 nm) to monomer emission (406 nm).

For the purpose of protein labeling, Hamachi developed a fluorescent semi-synthetic chemosensor **47** based on the ligand-directed tosyl (LDT) chemistry (Fig. 26).⁴⁴ In this quencher-tethered LDT (Q-LDT), the fluorophore (coumarin) is covalently attached to a protein ligand and an azoic fluorescence quencher *via* a labile sulfonate linkage. When the ligand binds to a target protein surface, the sulfonate undergoes nucleophilic cleavage, separating the coumarin fluorophore from the quencher. However, it still shows very weak fluorescence since the fluorophore and quencher remain close together within the ligand-binding site of the protein. However, addition of exogenous ligand leads to a fluorescence recovery as a result of the displacement of the quencher-tethered ligand (Fig. 26). This chemosensor has been applied for ligand binding assays of human carbonic anhydrase II (hCAII) and the SH2 domain in purified protein solutions as well as in crude cell lysates.

Recently, Kikuchi and coworkers developed a fluorescent chemosensor **48** for heterochromatin protein 1 using the






Fig. 25 Structures of the fluorescent chemosensors **46** and the schematic illustration of **46** and its interaction with nucleic acid (the photographs show the corresponding cuvettes under UV light). Reproduced from ref. 43 with the permission of the American Chemical Society.




Fig. 26 Structures of the fluorescent chemosensors **47** and schematic illustration of the strategy for the Q-LDT-mediated construction of turn-on fluorescent biosensors. Reproduced from ref. 44 with the permission of the American Chemical Society.




Fig. 27 Structures of the fluorescent chemosensors **48**, anionic-**48** and no-wash live cell imaging of protein labeling with **48** and maltose-binding protein (MBP) (top) and MBP-PYP (bottom) expressed in HEK 293T cells. Reproduced from ref. 45 with the permission of the Royal Society of Chemistry.

photoactive yellow protein (PYP) as a tag (Fig. 27).⁴⁵ The chemosensor consists of a hydroxy cinnamic as the PYP ligand, fluorescein the fluorophore, and nitrobenzene the quencher moiety. The acetylated fluorescein was used in **48** because esters are membrane permeable while digested fluorescein molecules are non-permeable.

However, **48** can be rapidly digested by cellular esterases yielding the anionic-**48** as shown in Fig. 27. Thus this chemosensor enables no-wash selective labeling of intracellular proteins fused to the PYP tag in a desirable time frame, without adhesion or accumulation of the tag or the probe with non-targeted organelles.






Fig. 28 Structures of the fluorescent chemosensors **49a–e**.

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disease that has a massive effect on an individual's memory, cognitive abilities and personality.⁴⁶ Amyloid- β ($A\beta$) plaques are considered to be a key pathological biomarker for AD. Therefore, the development of a chemosensor for the detection of $A\beta$ plaques *in vivo* would be highly desirable for early diagnosis and monitoring of AD. Ahn and co-workers have developed a number of two-photon dyes **49a–e** as candidates for fluorescent chemosensors for $A\beta$ plaques (Fig. 28).⁴⁶ The donor–acceptor dyes developed were shown to be environmentally sensitive due to the formation of intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) excited states. In hydrophobic environments such as organic solvents, the dyes exhibited strong fluorescence. However, in highly polar solvents the probes were only weakly fluorescent. Therefore, it was believed that these chemosensors could be used for the *in vivo* imaging of amyloid- β ($A\beta$) plaques due to the cross- β sheets of the amyloid plaques providing a hydrophobic environment inside and a hydrophilic environment outside. Among those NIR dyes, **49a** was shown to be a novel fluorescent chemosensor for the detection of $A\beta$ plaques. The chemosensor which possesses a considerable two-photon absorption cross-section value at 1000 nm was shown to have the ability to penetrate the blood brain barrier (BBB) and allow *in vivo* imaging of $A\beta$ in a live mouse model.

Over 7 million people die annually as the result of cancer, with the number set to rise over the next 20 years. This highlights

the need and importance of developing cancer biomarkers. A particularly useful candidate for cancer imaging is cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), given that different levels are expressed in tumors and in inflammatory lesions.⁴⁷ Peng and coworkers reported a fluorescent chemosensor **50**, which can distinguish healthy cells from cancerous cells and more importantly can distinguish cancerous cells from inflammatory cells (Fig. 29).⁴⁷ In aqueous buffer, **50** is in a quenched folded-form, due to the PET process. An “off–on” fluorescence response was observed for inflammations and cancers where COX-2 is over-expressed. However the fluorescent emission is significantly different at the two sites due to different levels of COX-2 being expressed. For sites with inflammation, the fluorescence emission (615 nm) increases gradually over a COX-2 range of 0–0.12 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. While for sites with cancer the fluorescence emission (615 nm) decreases and a new emission appears at 555 nm over a COX-2 range from 0.12–3.32 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. Consequently, this chemosensor has been used to develop a fluorescence protocol for the selective discrimination of cancer over inflammation as shown in Fig. 29.

In contrast to fluorescent chemosensor **50**, which is based on conformational changes, there have been several reaction-based fluorescent chemosensors for cancer using other biomarkers. β -Galactosidase (β -gal) is an exoglycosidase that catalyses the hydrolysis of β -galactosides to generate monosaccharides through the cleavage of the glycosidic bond. β -Gal is widely



Fig. 29 Structures of the fluorescent chemosensors **50** and imaging tumors *in vivo*. (a) **50** (30 μM) was injected intravenously (30 μL). The incubation time was 30 min. (b) Visualization of tumor resection by the naked eye under ultraviolet illumination. Reproduced from ref. 47 with the permission of the American Chemical Society.






Fig. 30 Structure and proposed mechanism of **51** for detection of β -gal.



Fig. 32 Structure and proposed mechanism of **53** for detection of ALP.

recognized as a biomarker enzyme for cell senescence and primary ovarian cancer. Zhu and coworkers recently reported a ratiometric near-infrared (NIR) fluorescent chemosensor **51** for β -gal detection (Fig. 30).⁴⁸ Upon hydrolysis, there was a visual colour change from a faint yellow to a rose red, which allows the systems to be used for colorimetric detection. A 14-fold fluorescence increase was observed in the ratio ($I_{685\text{nm}}/I_{500\text{nm}}$) or a 34-fold fluorescence increase was observed at 685 nm. This NIR emission provided the opportunity for the chemosensor to be used for *in situ* and *in vivo* visualization of β -gal activity in colorectal tumor mice models. The chemosensor was successfully applied for *in vivo* real-time capture of β -gal activity at a tumor site as visualized using high-resolution three-dimensional imaging.

Recently, the Ma group have reported a cresyl violet based fluorescent chemosensor **52** for the detection of leucine aminopeptidase (LAP). LAP is known to be widely distributed in organisms from bacteria to humans, including various cancer cells (Fig. 31).⁴⁹ The chemosensor shows a colorimetric “off-on” fluorescence response to LAP and the detection limit was determined to be 0.42 ng mL^{-1} . Thus it can be used to monitor the concentration changes of trace amounts of LAP in different biosamples. The results indicate that cancer cells with a higher level of LAP show much stronger resistance toward cisplatin. The authors demonstrated that LAP contributes to intrinsic resistance and serves as a simple monitor to reflect the relative resistance of cancer cells.

Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) belongs to a subfamily of phosphatases, which are found in mammalian tissues. ALP is known as a hydrolase enzyme, which is capable of catalyzing the hydrolysis of a phosphate ester from proteins, nucleic acids and other biological molecules. It has been suggested that elevated levels of ALP are linked to a number of diseases including cancer, cardiovascular, bone and hepatic diseases.⁵⁰ Nagano *et al.* developed an “off-on” fluorescent chemosensor **53** for the analysis of western blots (Fig. 32).⁵⁰ They achieved this through the attachment of a phosphate group to the phenolic group of 2-Me-4-OMe Tokyo green (TG), which became almost non-fluorescent. The hydrolysis of the phosphate ester by ALP resulted in a strong fluorescence enhancement and the chemosensor was shown to




Fig. 31 Structure and proposed mechanism of **52** for detection of LAP.

have a high affinity for western blots. Furthermore, this design strategy may deliver a general approach for the simple and rapid detection of proteins using western blots.

6. Conclusions and outlook

The field of fluorescent chemosensors has developed significantly over the 150 years since Goppelsröder reported the first fluorescent chemosensor for Al^{3+} . In particular, we have witnessed the explosive development of the field of fluorescent chemosensors over the past 50 years. The authors of this review believe that this phenomenal growth can in part be attributed to the pioneering research of Professor Anthony W. Czarnik's (DOB: 21-11-1957), and Professor A. Prasanna de Silva (DOB: 29-4-1952) who have inspired countless researchers through their seminal contributions to the field of chemosensors and molecular logic. We prepared this tutorial review in order to pay homage to their pivotal contributions to this field and to wish them both very happy birthdays in 2017. The review is also important since it demonstrates how a field can develop and flourish over a short 50-year period to become a recognized and established branch of chemistry. This review highlights representative examples of fluorescent chemosensors for various analytes including cations, anions, small neutral molecules and biomacromolecules from around 40 groups. Readers, requiring additional information are directed to the following recent reviews.^{51–54}

On reading this tutorial review, it may seem to young researchers that all the great problems in chemosensors research have already been solved. Nothing could be further from the truth, since we will always need “new” chemosensors for yet unknown analytes. This could be in the form of new biomarkers or trace pollutants in our air and water supplies. Also, biological and environmental analysis has increasingly stringent requirements imposed by regulatory bodies, so while a current chemosensor may work it may fall short of the required selectivity or sensitivity required for use in a specific practical application. So whether the problem requires bespoke new receptors or an improvement of existing systems, we will continue to need an increasing number of chemosensors to meet these challenges. In summary, we expect that chemosensor research will continue to expand and develop. As well as new and improved chemosensors, we anticipate that new applications or approaches to use existing fluorophores as chemosensors will emerge. For example, Gunnlaugsson and Scanlan have repurposed a naphthalimide fluorophore in the form of a “pre-probe”.⁵⁵ These pre-probe chemosensors consist of a targeting group (carbohydrate) which is



selectively cleaved (enzymatically) within target cancer cells to release the fluorophore allowing selective visualization. We envision that many of the other “old” chemosensors can similarly be repurposed for use in as yet unknown applications. In conclusion, the past set us going in the right direction, the present provided us with the challenges that need to be solved and the future gives us great hope that our lives will be improved by chemosensors.

Albert Einstein: “Learn from yesterday, live for today, hope for tomorrow.”

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

T. D. J. and A. C. S. wish to thank the University of Bath for support. A. C. S. would like to thank the EPSRC for a Studentship. T. D. J. wishes to thank the Royal Society for a Wolfson Research Merit Award. T. G. would like to acknowledge Science Foundation Ireland (SFI) for financial support (SFI PI Award13/IA/1865). J. Y. acknowledges a grant from the National Creative Research Initiative programs of the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Korean government (MSIP) (No. 2012R1A3A2048814).

Notes and references

- 1 A. W. Czarnik, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1994, **27**, 302–308.
- 2 A. W. Czarnik, *Fluorescent Chemosensors for Ion and Molecule Recognition*, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1993.
- 3 A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, A. J. M. Huxley, C. P. McCoy, J. T. Rademacher and T. E. Rice, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 1515–1566.
- 4 B. Daly, J. Ling and A. P. de Silva, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4203–4211.
- 5 R. T. K. Kwok, C. W. T. Leung, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4228–4238.
- 6 Y. Yang, Q. Zhao, W. Feng and F. Li, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 192–270.
- 7 X. Li, X. Gao, W. Shi and H. Ma, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 590–659.
- 8 L. R. Sousa and J. M. Larson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **99**, 307–310.
- 9 H. He, M. A. Mortellaro, M. J. P. Leiner, R. J. Fraatz and J. K. Tusa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 1468–1469.
- 10 G. Farruggia, S. Iotti, L. Prodi, M. Montalti, N. Zaccheroni, P. B. Savage, V. Trapani, P. Sale and F. I. Wolf, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 344–350.
- 11 R. Y. Tsien, *Biochemistry*, 1980, **19**, 2396–2404.
- 12 H. J. Kim, J. H. Han, M. K. Kim, C. S. Lim, H. M. Kim and B. R. Cho, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 6786–6789.
- 13 M. H. Lee, N. Park, C. Yi, J. H. Han, J. H. Hong, K. P. Kim, D. H. Kang, J. L. Sessler, C. Kang and J. S. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14136–14142.
- 14 V. Dujols, F. Ford and A. W. Czarnik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 7386–7387.
- 15 Y. Liu, Q. Su, M. Chen, Y. Dong, Y. Shi, W. Feng, Z.-Y. Wu and F. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6625–6630.
- 16 M. C. Heffern, H. M. Park, H. Y. Au-Yeung, G. C. Van de Bittner, C. M. Ackerman, A. Stahl and C. J. Chang, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2016, **113**, 14219–14224.
- 17 P. Rivera-Fuentes, A. T. Wrobel, M. L. Zastrow, M. Khan, J. Georgiou, T. T. Luyben, J. C. Roder, K. Okamoto and S. J. Lippard, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 1944–1948.
- 18 M.-Y. Chae and A. W. Czarnik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 9704–9705.
- 19 S.-K. Ko, Y.-K. Yang, J. Tae and I. Shin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 14150–14155.
- 20 O. A. Bozdemir, R. Guliyev, O. Buyukcakir, S. Selcuk, S. Kolemen, G. Gulseren, T. Nalbantoglu, H. Boyaci and E. U. Akkaya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 8029–8036.
- 21 D. H. Vance and A. W. Czarnik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 9397–9398.
- 22 D. H. Lee, S. Y. Kim and J.-I. Hong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 4777–4780.
- 23 B. A. Smith, W. J. Akers, W. M. Leevy, A. J. Lampkins, S. Xiao, W. Wolter, M. A. Suckow, S. Achilefu and B. D. Smith, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 67–69.
- 24 Z. Zhang, D. S. Kim, C.-Y. Lin, H. Zhang, A. D. Lammer, V. M. Lynch, I. Popov, O. Š. Miljanić, E. V. Anslyn and J. L. Sessler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 7769–7774.
- 25 V. Amendola, G. Bergamaschi, M. Boiocchi, L. Fabbrizzi and L. Mosca, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 6345–6355.
- 26 S. N. Berry, V. Soto-Cerrato, E. N. W. Howe, H. J. Clarke, I. Mistry, A. Tavassoli, Y.-T. Chang, R. Pérez-Tomás and P. A. Gale, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 5069–5077.
- 27 J. J. Hu, N.-K. Wong, S. Ye, X. Chen, M.-Y. Lu, A. Q. Zhao, Y. Guo, A. C.-H. Ma, A. Y.-H. Leung, J. Shen and D. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 6837–6843.
- 28 Q. Xu, C. H. Heo, G. Kim, H. W. Lee, H. M. Kim and J. Yoon, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 4890–4894.
- 29 X. Sun, Q. Xu, G. Kim, S. E. Flower, J. P. Lowe, J. Yoon, J. S. Fossey, X. Qian, S. D. Bull and T. D. James, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 3368–3373.
- 30 X. Jia, Q. Chen, Y. Yang, Y. Tang, R. Wang, Y. Xu, W. Zhu and X. Qian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 10778–10781.
- 31 L. Wang, J. Zhang, B. Kim, J. Peng, S. N. Berry, Y. Ni, D. Su, J. Lee, L. Yuan and Y.-T. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 10394–10397.
- 32 (a) A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 5077–5080; (b) J. V. Ros-Lis, B. García, D. Jiménez, R. Martínez-Mañez, F. Sancenón, J. Soto, F. Gonzalvo and M. C. Valldecabres, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 4064–4065.
- 33 J. Liu, Y.-Q. Sun, H. Zhang, Y. Huo, Y. Shi and W. Guo, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 3183–3188.



- 34 K. Umezawa, M. Yoshida, M. Kamiya, T. Yamasoba and Y. Urano, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017, **9**, 279–286.
- 35 W. Chen, A. Pacheco, Y. Takano, J. J. Day, K. Hanaoka and M. Xian, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 9993–9996.
- 36 F. Kong, L. Ge, X. Pan, K. Xu, X. Liu and B. Tang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 1051–1056.
- 37 L. Yuan, W. Lin, Y. Xie, B. Chen and S. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 1305–1315.
- 38 L. Mosca, S. K. Behzad and P. Anzenbacher Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 7967–7969.
- 39 S. Shanmugaraju, C. Dabadie, K. Byrne, A. J. Savyasachi, D. Umadevi, W. Schmitt, J. A. Kitchen and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 1535–1546.
- 40 V. Kumar and E. V. Anslyn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 6338–6344.
- 41 K. Wen, S. Yu, Z. Huang, L. Chen, M. Xiao, X. Yu and L. Pu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4517–4524.
- 42 S. A. Van Arman and A. W. Czarnik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 5376–5377.
- 43 J. Wu, Y. Zou, C. Li, W. Sicking, I. Piantanida, T. Yi and C. Schmuck, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 1958–1961.
- 44 S. Tsukiji, H. Wang, M. Miyagawa, T. Tamura, Y. Takaoka and I. Hamachi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 9046–9054.
- 45 Y. Kamikawa, Y. Hori, K. Yamashita, L. Jin, S. Hirayama, D. M. Standley and K. Kikuchi, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 308–314.
- 46 D. Kim, H. Moon, S. H. Baik, S. Singha, Y. W. Jun, T. Wang, K. H. Kim, B. S. Park, J. Jung, I. Mook-Jung and K. H. Ahn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 6781–6789.
- 47 H. Zhang, J. Fan, J. Wang, B. Dou, F. Zhou, J. Cao, J. Qu, Z. Cao, W. Zhao and X. Peng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 17469–17475.
- 48 K. Gu, Y. Xu, H. Li, Z. Guo, S. Zhu, S. Zhu, P. Shi, T. D. James, H. Tian and W.-H. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 5334–5340.
- 49 Q. Gong, W. Shi, L. Li and H. Ma, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 788–792.
- 50 M. Kamiya, Y. Urano, N. Ebata, M. Yamamoto, J. Kosuge and T. Nagano, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 5439–5441.
- 51 K. P. Carter, A. M. Young and A. E. Palmer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 4564–4601.
- 52 C. J. Chang, T. Gunnlaugsson and T. D. James, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4176–4178.
- 53 M. H. Lee, J. S. Kim and J. L. Sessler, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4185–4191.
- 54 J. Wu, B. Kwon, W. Liu, E. V. Anslyn, P. Wang and J. S. Kim, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 7893–7943.
- 55 E. Calatrava-Pérez, S. A. Bright, S. Achermann, C. Moylan, M. O. Senge, E. B. Veale, D. C. Williams, T. Gunnlaugsson and E. M. Scanlan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 13086–13089.

