

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, 11, 9011

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 23rd June 2020

Accepted 8th August 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0sc03477k

rsc.li/chemical-science

TMTHSI, a superior 7-membered ring alkyne containing reagent for strain-promoted azide–alkyne cycloaddition reactions†

Jimmy Weterings,^a Cristianne J. F. Rijcken,^a Harald Veldhuis,^b Tommi Meulemans,^b Darya Hadavi,^c Matt Timmers,^a Maarten Honing,^c Hans Ippel^d and Rob M. J. Liskamp^{id} *^{adef}

We describe the development of TMTH-Sulfoximine (TMTHSI) as a superior click reagent. This reagent combines a great reactivity, with small size and low hydrophobicity and compares outstandingly with existing click reagents. TMTHSI can be conveniently functionalized with a variety of linkers allowing attachment of a diversity of small molecules and (peptide, nucleic acid) biologics.

Introduction

The development of “click chemistry” initiated by the introduction of the copper-catalyzed azide alkyne cycloaddition reaction by Meldal *et al.*¹ and Sharpless *et al.*² had led to extremely important developments and ensuing applications in the chemical, biological, medical and materials sciences.^{3,4} Nevertheless, the requirement for catalysis by Cu(I) in the click reaction has been an obstacle for applications in the biological sciences.⁵ Apart from methods using limited amounts of copper or sequestering of the copper catalyst,⁶ powerful, alternative ‘click’ reagents have been developed containing strained triple bonds not requiring copper catalysis. However, a common feature of all these, often structurally very different, compounds is that their reactivity is considerably less than any simple triple bond in the presence of a copper catalyst (Fig. 1). In addition, several of the newly developed triple bond containing click reagents DIBO,⁷ DIBAC/DBCO^{8,9} have a significantly larger size. This increased steric bulk and hydrophobicity may induce a biological response of its own, thereby impeding with the

structural features and biological response of the reagent to be clicked.¹⁰ For example the often used DBCO **1** has a sizable hydrophobic structure,^{8,9} which not only leads to a poor water solubility but also will engage in (undesired) hydrophobic interactions with proteins. These disadvantages led to the development of alternative smaller strain-promoted triple bond containing click reagents of which BCN **3** is probably the best representative.^{11,12a,b}

We were interested in a click reagent capable of a faster reaction with a variety of small to large azide containing compounds. This would be beneficial for yields of the clicked molecular constructs and reduction of side-products. In addition, a satisfactory aqueous solubility of the click-reagent is desired to allow reactions with relatively polar ligands as well as a small size, similar to that of BCN, in order to reduce the observer effect, that is a biological response induced by the steric bulk and lipophilicity of the click reagent.¹⁰

We were inspired by the reactivity of TMTH **4**, a highly strained triple bond containing 7-membered ring system, which was already described by Krebs and Kimling in 1970.¹⁴ It was evident that this more strained 7-membered ring in TMTH, compared to a 8-membered ring system as is present in the BCN (**3**) and the earlier developed DIBO, DIBAC (**1**) and BARAC (**2**) compounds, should be more reactive in strain-promoted azide–alkyne cycloaddition.† Indeed, it was shown that TMTH was *ca.*




Fig. 1 The classic Cu(I) catalysed ‘click’ reaction and the most important examples of reagents for strain-promoted azide–alkyne cycloaddition reactions.

^aCristal Therapeutics, Oxfordlaan 55, 6229 EV Maastricht, The Netherlands. E-mail: robert.liskamp@cristaltherapeutics.com

^bMercachem, Kerkenbosch 1013, 6546 BB Nijmegen, The Netherlands

^cThe Maastricht Multimodal Molecular Imaging Institute (M4I), Maastricht University, Universiteitssingel 50, 6229 ER Maastricht, The Netherlands

^dDepartment of Biochemistry, CARIM, Maastricht University, Universiteitssingel 50, 6229 ER Maastricht, The Netherlands

^eSchool of Chemistry, Joseph Black Building, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK. E-mail: robert.liskamp@glasgow.ac.uk

^fChemical Biology and Drug Discovery, Department of Pharmaceuticals, Utrecht University, Universiteitsweg 99, 3584 CG Utrecht, The Netherlands

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, syntheses and analyses of compounds including NMR-spectra, LC-MS-traces, NMR and MS reactivity studies, as well as FACS analysis of cellular uptake of Cy7 nanoparticles. See DOI: 10.1039/d0sc03477k

29–36 times more reactive than BCN^\ddagger ,^{11,15} and *ca.* 4 times more reactive than the large BARAC-system.^{15,16} As was concluded by Bertozzi and co-workers already in 2012,¹⁵ thiacycloheptynes are a promising new class of reagents for bioorthogonal Cu-free click chemistry, but their low stability may impede with practical purposes.

We were also attracted by the small size of the TMTH ring system, which is expected to have minimal impact on the physicochemical properties of a compound to react with TMTH having other favourable properties (see above). The major challenge was the requirement of a convenient point of attachment to which different types of ligands could be connected. Attempts in this direction by Wagner *et al.* underlined this requirement.¹⁸ Thus we set out to develop a practical synthesis of a new and stable TMTH click reagent, in which unwanted side reactions can be mitigated by optimal reaction conditions and which above all contains a functional group for convenient introduction of a variety of linkers for ligand attachment.

Results and discussion

The first five steps toward the basic skeleton of the sulfur containing 7-membered (TMTH) ring system **4** have been described in the literature. Previously, the triple bond was introduced by heating using silveroxide or lead tetracetate (Scheme 1).^{13,14,17} We found that this was also possible using the greener alternative phenyliodine(III)diacetate (PIDA).

The introduction of a linker for ligand attachment turned out to be a major obstacle. Unfortunately, we were unable to reproduce the alkylation of bivalent sulfur in TMTH by benzyl bromide derivatives described by Wagner and Baati *et al.*¹⁸ (Scheme 1). In addition, the volatility and sensitivity to oxidation hampered handling of TMTH.


Thus, we considered functionalization of the sulfur-atom but then differently from the above alkylation. Therefore, it was

decided to attempt to functionalize the sulfur atom before construction of the 7-membered ring. Sulfoximines seemed very attractive candidates to achieve this.^{19–22} Indeed, a test reaction on starting material **7** with PIDA in the presence of ammonium acetate,²³ gave cyclic sulfoximine **11** showing that the bivalent sulfur atom could be functionalized (Scheme 1), but perhaps more importantly that the resulting (cyclic) sulfoximine could be subsequently derivatized as was apparent from its ammonolysis of the ethyl ester (Scheme 1).²⁴ The crucial insight obtained from this reaction was the possibility of *simultaneous functionalization of the bivalent sulfur atom and construction of the strained 7-membered ring* using PIDA for both reactions in the presence of ammonium acetate. Realization of this approach led to the successful preparation of TMTHSI **12** (Scheme 1), which is highly attractive because of its potential reactivity of the triple bond in click reactions as well as the convenient functionalization (see below) of the sulfoximine-nitrogen.


Thus, as was shown in Scheme 1, TMTH bishydrazone **10** was prepared *via* diester **7** (see ESI†). After acyloin condensation and subsequent Swern oxidation diketone **9** was obtained. The last two steps leading to TMTHSI were only moderately yielding (range: 18–36%), which in view of the well-known instability and reactivity of the strained 7-membered was not unexpected. Nevertheless, the starting bishydrazone **10** was conveniently accessible in good overall (5-steps) yield (24%).

Since we were able to develop a relatively stable TMTH-system, it was now important to determine its reactivity in a copper free click reaction. Moreover, it was important to compare its reactivity to the presently most often used BCN (**3**). Benzyl azide was used as a reference to compare reactivities (Scheme 2).§

In view of the described attractive reactivity of TMTH,¹⁵ it was no surprise that the strain promoted azide–alkyne cycloaddition reaction of TMTHSI **12** and benzyl azide was too fast for determination of the reaction-rate by NMR. According to NMR near-complete conversion was already achieved in approximately 5–6 minutes (Fig. 2 and ESI†). The lack of sufficient time points from NMR prevented quantification of its reaction kinetics. Thus, there was great interest to use mass spectrometry (MS) for this purpose. This technique enabled monitoring the entire mass spectrum of the reaction on the microsecond scale and detection of any transient intermediates. Thus, ion mobility spectrometry-mass spectrometry (IMS-MS) in the millisecond scale was used for real-time monitoring of the click reaction,²⁵ and cyclo-adduct product **13a,b** formation was



Scheme 1 Synthesis of TMTH **4**,^{13–15,17} attempted alkylation of TMTH, preparation of sulfoximine and its subsequent cyclization to **11** using PIDA and synthesis of TMTHSI **12**.



Scheme 2 Strain promoted azide–alkyne cycloaddition of prochiral TMTHSI **12** and benzyl azide to furnish enantiomeric triazole adducts **13a** and **13b**, in which merely the stereochemistry of the sulfur atoms was indicated.





Fig. 2 Determination of the reaction rate of the strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition reaction of TMTHSI 12 and benzyl azide by NMR (left) and IMS-MS (right). Reaction of 5 mM TMTHSI and 6.38 mM benzyl azide (1 : 1.3) in CDCl_3 at 25 °C as compared to the reaction of *endo*-BCN-OH 3 (4.75 mM) and benzyl azide (6.02 mM) determined by NMR in CDCl_3 (left). Reaction rate of 5 mM TMTHSI and benzyl azide (1 : 1) in water/acetonitrile (1 : 3) and 0.01% acetic acid at 25 °C by MS (right). The relative intensity of TMTHSI 12 consumption and triazole product 13a,b formation is shown (for more details see ESI†).

Table 1 Reaction rate of the most reactive strain-promoted click reagents to date

Click reagent	$k [\times 10^{-3} (\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})]$	$\text{Log } P^{a,b}$	
DBCO, DIBAC 1	310	3.5 ^a	Ref. 8
BARAC 2	960	4.2 ^a	Ref. 16
<i>endo</i> -BCN 3 ²⁶	140	2.0 ^a	Ref. 12
TMTHSI 12	800	0.72 ^b	This work

^a These calculated values were taken from the review by Debets *et al.*¹⁶

^b The $\text{log } D_{7.4}$ value was experimentally determined by Signature Discovery Ltd (Nottingham, UK).

monitored (Fig. 2 and ESI†). By plotting the second-order reaction rate graph, the k value of the reaction was determined to be $0.8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (ESI†). The same method was applied to monitor the reaction of BCN-OH with benzylazide. The obtained k value was in agreement with $k = 0.14 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ that has been previously determined by IR-based measurements.^{12a} This

observed reaction rate by MS showed that TMTHSI is a most reactive click reagent. It is >5 fold more reactive than BCN. It has a similar reactivity as BARAC but is a far less sterically bulky alkyne containing reagent for strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition reactions (Table 1). Together with the low, experimentally determined $\text{log } P$, facilitating a better water solubility, these characteristics contribute to the attractiveness of TMTHSI as a new click reagent.

In contrast to difficulties we have experienced above in attempts to functionalize TMTH 4, the sulfoximine could be conveniently functionalized using a variety of reactions including *N*-alkylation, sulfonylation, acylation and carbamoylation (Scheme 3). Several of these transformations have been explored further to attach a diversity of linking-spacer containing-moieties with functional groups, which are useful for attachment to Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (API's), immobilization onto surfaces, for polymer or nano particle attachment and in conjugation reactions with biologics. Of particular interest are biodegradable linkers allowing a reversible attachment of an API. One example is a linker containing a disulfide functionality, which can be released under reductive conditions (Scheme 3, 16). In addition, the stable, succinimide esters allow convenient ligand attachment and/or conjugation reactions.

To illustrate the versatility of ligand attachment to these linking moieties, we have reacted the resulting TMTHSI-derivatives with "small" molecules exemplified by the click-reaction with a dye and a folic acid derivative.

The generation of a near infrared (NIR) labelled Cy7-TMTHSI adduct and subsequent conjugation to core cross-linked polymeric micelles²⁷ may allow tracking of these nanoparticles in an *in vitro* or *in vivo* setting. Thus TMTHSI hydroxy-succinimide derivative 14 was furnished with a spacer affording 18 followed by reaction with Cy7 succinic ester derivative leading to Cy7-TMTHSI 25. Attachment of Cy7 onto the surface of nanoparticles was achieved by the strain promoted click



Scheme 3 Functionalization of TMTHSI 12 followed introduction by linkage moieties for introduction of for example API's.





Scheme 4 Preparation of Cy7 functionalized nanoparticles **27** from TMTHSI carbamoyl derivative **14**, via **18** and Cy7-TMTHSI (**25**) and core cross-linked polymeric micelles **26** in DMSO and phosphate buffer pH 7.4. The reaction was monitored by HMBC ^{15}N - ^1H NMR (for details see ESI†).

reaction using ^{15}N -azide functionalized nanoparticles **26** and Cy7-TMTHSI **25** yielding nanoparticles containing the dye (**27**) (Scheme 4). \dagger HMBC ^{15}N - ^1H NMR spectroscopy, showed a 100% conversion of the azides into the triazoles after 8 h of reaction. Cy7 labelling of these nanoparticles allowed visualisation of their cellular uptake by FACS (ESI, Fig. S2†). After 24 h, A431 cells had taken up more than 90% of the labelled nanoparticles.

Coupling of a folic acid derivative to TMTHSI derivative **14** led to folic acid TMTHSI adduct **29**. This compound was successfully click-conjugated to azide bearing core cross-linked polymeric micellar nanoparticles (Scheme 5). \dagger

Next to “small” molecules, increasingly larger molecules, “biologics” are promising for drug delivery purposes. As examples of two important categories the HER2 peptide and an oligonucleotide were chosen for attachment to the click reagents, followed by attachment onto nanoparticles or attachment to a polymerizable moiety. For attachment of the HER2 targeting peptide, 28 TMTHSI succinimide NHS ester **20** was used (Scheme 3).

Reacting HER2 targeting peptide *via* its N-terminal amine (phenylalanine) with ester **20** (Scheme 6) afforded the TMTHSI-peptide adduct **32**. Subsequently, this construct was attached to



Scheme 5 Preparation of folic acid functionalized core cross-linked polymeric micellar nanoparticles **30** in DMSO/ H_2O starting with TMTHSI carbamoyl derivative **14**.



Scheme 6 Preparation of core crosslinked polymeric micellar nanoparticles in MeCN/ H_2O or aqueous buffer pH 5 incorporating the HER2-targeting peptide starting with TMTHSI carbamoyl derivative **20**.




Fig. 3 Strain promoted click was used to link an oligonucleotide (siRNA) to a peptide (CPP) having a polymerizable moiety through an acid labile hydrazone containing linker. Summary of the synthesis: step 1: siRNA + **17** in aqueous borate buffer pH 8.4/DMSO. Step 2: coupling of azido-CPP to TMTHSI-linker-siRNA in phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Step 3: coupling of the polymerizable moiety: aqueous borate buffer pH 8.4/DMSO. Full experimental details see ESI†.

azide functionalized nanoparticles by strain-promoted click reaction, carried out in an aqueous environment (Scheme 6).

Finally, a peptide-oligonucleotide conjugate was generated using TMTHSI for potential entrapment and release from core crosslinked polymeric micellar nanoparticles (Fig. 3 and ESI†).

In general TMTHSI containing compounds are stable to both basic and acidic purification conditions and can conveniently be used in further synthesis. TMTHSI was stable on the bench for over a year and NMR and LCMS confirmed that TMTHSI derivatives have proven shelf lives of over a year. It was possible to use these derivatives where other click reagents have failed. \dagger With respect to this, future applications towards attachment of the TMTHSI click moiety in peptide and other highly functionalized compounds will be facilitated by the notion that this click moiety is stable towards peptide cleavage/deprotection conditions that is TFA/ H_2O or TFA/ H_2O /TIS. Further investigations of the stability profile of TMTHSI will likely increase the scope of potential applications.

Conclusions

We have developed TMTHSI as a superior cyclic alkyne containing click reagent for strain-promoted azide-alkyne cyclo addition reactions as was demonstrated by monitoring the click reaction by mass spectrometry. Its reaction rate is similar to the BARAC click reagent. However, TMTHSI is considerably smaller and much less hydrophobic than BARAC as is evident from its experimentally determined logP value. This will facilitate use in more aqueous environments as we have shown already in the preparation of **27–33** and of the siRNA molecular construct (Fig. 3). Additional applications in biochemical, aqueous environments, such as the construction of antibody drug conjugates (ADC's) are expected. This will be expanded to biological applications in the nearby future for example in cell lysates and in tissue culture. The smaller hydrophobic size, will likely lead to a smaller “observer effect” with less influence on the activity of the clicked ligand in addition to causing less protein binding. 10 Although BARAC is the most reactive click reagent, it



is inherently unstable and rapidly decomposes,^{12b} which makes TMTHSI an attractive alternative. Adding to its attractiveness is the convenient functionalization of TMTHSI with a wide variety of linkers allowing attachment of a diversity of small molecules and (peptide, nucleic acid) biologics. In our opinion, the very attractive functionalization possibilities, combined with its great reactivity and small size offer opportunities for TMTHSI to become the standard for non-copper catalyzed click reactions in a multitude of applications.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Cristal Therapeutics financially supported this work. J. Walther, E. Hebels and B. Mesquita from Utrecht University are gratefully acknowledged for their assistance with the cellular uptake experiment of Cy7 functionalized nanoparticles.

Notes and references

‡ An all carbon 7-membered ring system is likely to be too strained, therefore unstable and synthetically not accessible, but a 7-membered ring containing longer bonds due to the presence of carbon-hetero atom bonds and therefore less strained, was apparently synthetically feasible.¹⁴

§ Although aromatic azides especially containing electron withdrawing groups turned out to be very reactive in the strain promoted click reaction with BCN,^{12a} benzylazide was chosen as a reference compound, since a majority of APIs used for preparing azide derivatives will be aliphatic ones.

¶ Although the click reaction went smoothly, it seems – according to NMR – that slowly one indole part of the dye is reduced, possibly by the presence of formate.

|| Folic Acid BCN was capable of a strain promoted click reaction with an azide in DMSO and the click product remained stable upon further dilution with aqueous buffer. However, when folic acid BCN in DMSO was mixed with an azide containing compound in aqueous buffer no click reaction occurred. Possibly, due to an intramolecular reaction within folic acid the cyclopropane ring of BCN opened up, rendering the compound no longer capable to perform a click reaction.

- 1 C. W. Tornøe, C. Christensen and M. J. Meldal, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 3057–3064.
- 2 V. V. Rostovtsev, L. G. Green, V. V. Fokin and B. K. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem.*, 2002, **114**, 2708–2711; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 2596–2599.
- 3 (a) M. Meldal and C. W. Tornøe, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 2952–3015; (b) L. Li and Z. Zhang, *Molecules*, 2016, **21**, 1393.
- 4 H. Li, R. Aneja and I. Chaiken, *Molecules*, 2013, **18**, 9797–9817.
- 5 See for example: (a) E. M. Sletten and C. R. Bertozzi, *Angew. Chem.*, 2009, **121**, 7108–7133; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 6974–6998; (b) D. S. Del Amo, W. Wang, H. Jiang, C. Besanceney, A. C. Yan, M. Levy, Y. Liu, F. L. Marlow and P. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 16893–16899.
- 6 J. Clavadetscher, S. Hoffmann, A. Lilienkamp, L. Mackay, R. M. Yusop, S. A. Rider, J. J. Mullins and M. Bradley, *Angew. Chem.*, 2016, **128**, 15891–15895; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 15662–15666.
- 7 X. Ning, J. Guo, M. A. Wolfert and G. J. Boons, *Angew. Chem.*, 2008, **120**, 2285–2287; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 2253–2255.
- 8 M. F. Debets, S. S. van Berkel, S. Schoffelen, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, J. C. M. van Hest and F. L. van Delft, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 97–99.
- 9 A. Kuzmin, A. Poloukhine, M. A. Wolfert and V. V. Popik, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2010, **21**, 2076–2085.
- 10 The biological response induced by the steric bulk and lipophilicity is known as the "observer effect" see S. B. Engelsma, L. I. Williams, C. E. van Paaschen, S. van Kasteren, G. A. van der Marel and H. S. O. D. V. Filippov, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 2744–2747.
- 11 J. Dommerholt, S. Schmidt, R. Temming, L. J. A. Hendriks, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, J. C. M. van Hest, D. J. Lefeber, P. Friendl and F. L. van Delft, *Angew. Chem.*, 2010, **122**, 9612–9615; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 9422–9425.
- 12 (a) J. Dommerholt, O. van Rooijen, A. Borrmann, C. Fonseca Guerra, F. M. Bickelhaupt and F. L. van Delft, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 5378–5385; (b) J. Dommerholt, F. P. J. T. Rutjes and F. L. van Delft, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2016, **374**, 16; (c) For additional computational data on strain promoted click reactions see e.g. B. Gold, N. E. Shevchenko, N. Bonus, G. B. Dudley and I. V. Alubin, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 75–89; T. Harris, G. dos Passos Gomes, S. Ayad, R. J. Clark, V. V. Lobodin, M. Tuscan, K. Hanson and I. V. Alabugin, *Chem*, 2017, **3**, 629–640.
- 13 Ae. de Groot and H. Wijnberg, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1966, **31**, 3954–3958.
- 14 A. Krebs and H. Kimling, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1970, 761–764.
- 15 G. de Almeida, E. M. Sletten, H. Nakamura, K. K. Palaniappan and C. R. Bertozzi, *Angew. Chem.*, 2012, **124**, 2493–2497; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 2443–2447.
- 16 M. F. Debets, J. C. M. van Hest and F. P. J. T. Rutjes, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 6439–6455.
- 17 J. C. Jewett, E. M. Sletten and C. R. Bertozzi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 3688–3690.
- 18 M. King, R. Baati and A. Wagner, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 9308–9309.
- 19 M. Frings, C. Bolm, A. Blum and C. Gnam, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **126**, 225–245.
- 20 T. L. Gilchrist and C. J. Moody, *Chem. Rev.*, 1977, **77**, 409–435.
- 21 T. Yoshimura, T. Omata, N. Furukawa and S. Oae, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1976, **41**, 1728–1733.
- 22 W. Frank and P. K. Claus, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 1990, **121**, 539–547.
- 23 A. Tota, M. Zenzola, S. J. Chawner, S. St John-Campbell, C. Carlucci, G. Romanazzi, L. Degennaro, J. A. Bull and R. Luisi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 348–351.
- 24 For a precedent of a cyclic sulfoximine see: H. G. Bonaccorso, R. P. Vezzosi, R. L. Drekenner, N. Zanatta and M. A. P. Martins, *Lett. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **6**, 145–150.
- 25 A. B. Kanu, P. Dwivedi, M. Tam, L. Matz and H. H. Hill Jr, *J. Mass Spectrom.*, 2008, **43**, 1–22.
- 26 C. Gröst and T. Berg, described PYRROC: the first functionalized cycloalkyne that facilitates isomer-free



generation of organic molecules by SPAAC, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 3866–3870. This is a functionalized pyrrolo “analog” of BCN with a rate constant, which was $60 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and lower than BCN (Table 1). Its calculated (Chemdraw vs19.0) $C \log P$ (3.4) was similar to DBCO.

27 (a) Q. Hu, C. J. Rijcken, R. Bansal, W. E. Hennink, G. Storm and J. Prakash, *Biomaterials*, 2015, **53**, 370–378; (b) Q. Hu, m

C. F. Rijcken, E. van Gaal, P. Brundel, H. Kostkova, T. Etrych, B. weber, M. Barz, F. Kiessling, J. Prakash, G. Storm, W. E. Hennink and T. Lammers, *J. Contr. Release*, 2016, **244**, 314–325.

28 S.-S. Guan, C.-T. Wu, C.-Y. Chiu, T.-Y. Luo, J.-Y. Wu, T.-Z. Liao and S.-H. Liu, *J. Transl. Med.*, 2018, **16**, 168.

