



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d5sc06542a

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Photo-generated radical mediated molecular luminescence enhancement

Jinming Song, Fengling Zhang, Zhenyi He, Lei Zhou, Jingyu Cao,  Tao Li and Xiang Ma  *

Achieving rapid modulation of molecular luminescence under external stimuli remains a significant challenge in organic luminescent materials. Herein, we present a radical-mediated approach to achieve dynamic fluorescence switching. A series of benzil derivatives (BS, BZ, BD, and BQ) exhibit rapid transitions from nearly non-luminescent states to highly fluorescent states under continuous ultraviolet (UV) irradiation in solution. Notably, the absolute photoluminescence quantum yield of BS solution increased 41-fold, from 1.26% to 51.09%, after 330 s of 365 nm UV exposure. Mechanistic investigations reveal that this unique luminescence enhancement originates from UV-induced generation of aromatic carbonyl radicals. In general, molecules in solution undergo photodegradation with increasing irradiation time, resulting in a decrease in luminescence intensity. In contrast, we report a molecule whose luminescence intensity increases with increasing irradiation time, which is very rare. This work not only advances the mechanistic understanding of stimuli-responsive luminescence but also opens a new avenue for designing smart organic materials with tailored optoelectronic functionalities.

Received 26th August 2025
Accepted 24th October 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5sc06542a

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Free radicals constitute a category of atoms or molecular groups with unpaired electrons, which arise from the homolytic cleavage of covalent bonds within a molecule under external influences such as light or heat exposure.^{1,2} Due to this inherent property of unpaired electrons, free radicals exhibit high chemical activity and tend to deactivate rapidly upon encountering external oxygen or heat, significantly restricting their practical applications. Generally speaking, there are two strategies to stabilize free radicals at room temperature: (1) introducing large site-blocking groups to shield the free radicals;^{3–7} (2) delocalizing their electric charges.^{8,9} In recent years, numerous free radical molecules that can maintain stability at room temperature and display efficient luminescence have been constructed by scientists. These molecules have been successfully applied in OLEDs,^{4,10–12} spin probes,^{13,14} magnetic materials,^{15,16} and photodynamic therapy.^{17–19} Despite these advancements, the structure of such molecules is relatively monolithic. To enhance their application potential, it is essential to expand the family of systems where free radicals participate in luminescence. Free radicals generated under external stimuli, because of their high reactivity, can serve as potential mediators of molecular luminescence behavior. However,

studies on this aspect remain insufficiently reported. The radical-mediated molecular luminescence behavior has been mainly reported in the solid-state or film form,^{20–26} while it has been rarely reported in the solution-state due to their instability in solution.^{10,20,24}

In this work, we have synthesized a class of molecules and successfully demonstrated radical-mediated luminescence in the solution-state. Notably, as a class of photo-initiators, benzil derivatives could generate carbonyl radicals under the stimulation of external light, thus triggering polymerization reactions.^{27–29} However, variations of luminescence behavior in systems before and after free radical generation are frequently ignored. To explore the above issues, as shown in Scheme 1, we constructed a class of molecules (4,4'-oxalyldibenzoic acid (BS), dimethyl 4,4'-oxalyldibenzoate (BZ), 1,2-bis(4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)ethane-1,2-dione (BD), and 4,4'-oxalyldibenzonitrile (BQ)) with radical-mediated molecular luminescence in the solution-state. By precise design, benzil was introduced as the core for the generation of aromatic carbonyl radicals, and side-chain modifying groups with strong electron-withdrawing ability were used to stabilize the radicals generated by benzil. In *N,N'*-dimethylformamide (DMF) solution (1 mM), these four molecules all exhibited the characteristics of radical-mediated molecular luminescence. In addition, the four solutions were almost non-luminescent before 365 nm UV irradiation. However, their luminescence was gradually enhanced with the continuous irradiation of 365 nm UV light. The absolute photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQY, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 365$ nm) reached 51.09% (BS), 47.64% (BZ), 15.89% (BD) and 34.70% (BQ),

Key Laboratory for Advance Materials and Feringa Noble Prize Scientist Joint Research Centre, Frontiers Science for Materiobiology and Dynamic Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science & Technology, Meilong Road 130, Shanghai 200237, China. E-mail: maxiang@ecust.edu.cn





Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of changes in the luminescence behavior of the solution-states of BS, BZ, BD, and BQ after radical generation upon external UV stimulation.

respectively. In general, molecules in solution undergo photodegradation with increasing UV irradiation time, resulting in a decrease in luminescence intensity. In contrast, we report a molecule whose luminescence intensity increases with increasing UV irradiation time, which is very rare. Through a series of experimental means, we demonstrated that the above-mentioned changes in luminescence originate from the generation of free radical active species upon stimulation by external light. What's more, this radical-mediated luminescence behavior of molecules was successfully applied to the detection of amine species and their concentrations. To the best of our knowledge, the common strategies to achieve dramatic changes in luminescence PLQY before and after external stimuli are aggregation-induced emission,^{30,31} assembling-induced emission,^{32–38} crystallization-induced emission,^{39–44} and so on. Here, we developed a strategy employing a radical-mediated molecular luminescence, which was beneficial to expand the design ideas of novel and efficient luminescent molecules.

Results and discussion

The synthetic routes of the compounds **BS**, **BZ**, **BD**, and **BQ** are shown in Schemes S1–S3 (SI). The chemical structures of **BS**, **BZ**, **BD**, **BQ**, 1,2-di-*p*-tolylethane-1,2-dione (**BJ**), 1,2-bis(4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)ethane-1,2-dione (**BA**), and 1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-dione (**BOX**) were characterized by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) (Schemes S3, S4 and Fig. S18–S38).

Photophysical properties of radical-mediated molecular luminescence

Taking **BS** as an example, we first studied the photophysical properties of **BS** in DMF solution (1 mM). As depicted in Fig. 1a, the PL spectra of **BS** (1 mM) at different irradiation times using the built-in UV light of the spectrometer under air were recorded. The fluorescence double emission possessed by **BS** was located at 450 nm with a lifetime of less than 0.5 ns (Fig. S2h)

and 595 nm with a lifetime of 4.05 ns (Fig. 1f), respectively. As the time of *in situ* 365 nm UV irradiation increases from 0 to 270 s, the intensity of the fluorescence double emission peaks of **BS** gradually increased (Fig. 1a), but the fluorescence lifetime changed slightly (Fig. 1i), demonstrating that the luminescence originated from the same species. In addition, compared with the non-irradiated **BS**, the luminescence intensity of **BS** irradiated with 365 nm (317.5 mW cm^{-2}) showed a qualitative leap (Fig. 1b), accompanied by great increases of the PLQY from 1.26% to the highest value of 51.09% (Fig. 1c). As shown in Fig. 1j, the luminescence photograph of **BS** under the continuous irradiation of 317.5 mW cm^{-2} demonstrated this exciting luminescence phenomenon visually. In the photoluminescence (PL) spectra of **BS**, we found that the fluorescence double emission showed an overall increasing trend under continuous 365 nm UV irradiation before 270 s, whereas there was a small decrease in emission intensity over 270–290 s (Fig. S2b and e). Likewise, the double emission of **BS** was strengthened and then diminished when **BS** was irradiated to the photostable state (terminal state) under continuous 365 nm UV irradiation (317.5 mW cm^{-2}) (Fig. S2d–g and 1g). The two fluorescence emission bands at 450 nm and 595 nm of **BS** transitioned to fluorescence single emission at 425 nm under continuous UV irradiation at 317.5 mW cm^{-2} nm (Fig. 1g), which was accompanied by the color change from orange to bluish-violet. Meanwhile, the PLQY increased from a minimum of 1.26% to a maximum of 51.09%, and finally stabilized at 15.50% (Fig. 1c).

To investigate this unique photophysical phenomenon, the absorption and emission spectra of **BS** at different times of 365 nm UV irradiation were studied in detail (Fig. 1d, g, and S3a). The absorption of **BS** at 350 nm gradually increased with the increase of 365 nm UV irradiation time (Fig. S3a), indicating that a new species was formed and gradually accumulated after the irradiation. Moreover, the absorption peak of **BS** at 350 nm decreased and the original absorption of **BS** at 400 nm gradually disappeared when the 365 nm UV irradiation was continued (Fig. 1d), suggesting that the **BS** might gradually switch to one or more new species after the irradiation. As seen in Fig. 1g and S2i, **BS** underwent an obvious blue shift relative to the original excitation and emission wavelength after irradiation to the photostable state by 365 nm UV light. The fluorescence double emission at 450 nm and 595 nm of **BS** changed to a fluorescence single emission peak at 425 nm, and the excitation wavelength was blue shifted from 365 nm to 330 nm after prolonged irradiation with 365 nm UV light. Such evidence indicated that the **BS** underwent photodegradation to another species after being irradiated by 365 nm UV light. Besides, molecules would always tend to diffuse from a high concentration to a low concentration, the aforementioned slight decrease in emission intensity therefore occurs locally over a certain time scale (Fig. S2b and e). As a common photo-initiator, benzil derivatives could rapidly generate aromatic carbonyl radicals under the stimulation of external light.^{28,29,45} It might be attributed to the generation of this aromatic radical which mediated the above dynamic luminescence of **BS**. To validate this conjecture, the radical trapping agent 5,5-diethyl-1-pyrroline-*N*-oxide (DMPO) was introduced into **BS**, and a mixed solution was configured





Fig. 1 Photophysical properties of BS. (a) The PL spectra of BS (1 mM) at different irradiation times using the built-in UV light of the spectrometer under air ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 365$ nm, E_{x} bandwidth = 5 nm, E_{m} bandwidth = 5 nm). (b) PL spectra and (c) scatterplot of PLQY ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 365$ nm). (d) UV-vis absorption spectra of BS at different (317.5 mW cm^{-2}) irradiation times using an external UV torch light. (e) EPR spectra of DMPO (25 mM) in the presence of 1 mM BS in DMF solutions at different UV light (317.5 mW cm^{-2}) irradiation times. (f) PL spectra of BS before and after addition of DMPO. (g) Normalized excitation and PL spectra of BS in the initial state and terminal state (E_{x} bandwidth = 5 nm, E_{m} bandwidth = 5 nm). (h) EPR spectra of DMPO (25 mM) in the presence of 1 mM BS in DMF solutions upon 30 s UV light (317.5 mW cm^{-2}) irradiation. (i) Time-resolved fluorescence lifetime decay curves of BS at 595 nm at different 365 nm UV light (317.5 mW cm^{-2}) irradiation times. (j) Luminescence photographs of BS at different UV light (317.5 mW cm^{-2}) irradiation times.

through dissolving BS and DMPO in DMF solution at a concentration ratio of 1:25. As illustrated in Fig. 1e, only a noisy signal was detected in the DMF mixed solution of BS and DMPO without 365 nm UV irradiation, which was similar to the blank solution (Fig. S3c). As the 365 nm radiation time was gradually extended from 0 s to 60 s, the concentration of radicals in the DMF mixed solution of BS and DMPO gradually increased, which was consistent with the trend of the luminescence intensity. In addition, the emission spectra changes of BS before and after the addition of DMPO (radical trapping

agent, 25 mM) are discussed to verify the radical-mediated luminescence of BS. As depicted in Fig. 1f, after 100 s of irradiation by 365 nm UV light, the luminescence intensity of BS was much higher than that of BS after the addition of DMPO, which indicated that the luminescence behavior changes of BS before and after the irradiation were radical-mediated. In order to exclude the possibility that the above differences in BS luminescence were caused by the absorption of excitation light by DMPO, the absorption spectra of DMF mixed solutions of BS and DMPO were also investigated after different irradiation

times. As shown in Fig. S3b, the DMF mixed solution of **BS** and DMPO showed almost unchanged absorption after different irradiation times. In addition, the absorbance in the range of 300–400 nm was almost zero, which excluded the possibility that the luminescence changes of **BS** before and after irradiation were due to the absorption of excitation light by DMPO. Therefore, the dynamic luminescence of **BS** was substantiated to be associated with radicals by the difference before and after the introduction of the radical trapping agent.

Based on the above experimental results, the diminished luminescence of the DMF solution of **BS** with the addition of DMPO compared to that without DMPO was due to the fact that DMPO traps the radicals generated after the irradiation of **BS**. The luminescence phenomenon led to two questions: the luminescence behavior change of **BS** originated from the intrinsic luminescence of the radicals, or the generated radicals participated in photochemical reactions. To elucidate this phenomenon, the EPR spectra of **BS** without DMPO were analyzed after different times of UV irradiation at 365 nm. If it is the intrinsic luminescence of the radicals, then the radicals generated under irradiation after **BS** should be able to capture the EPR signal. In contrast, **BS** without DMPO did not capture the EPR signal after irradiation (Fig. S3d), so the intrinsic luminescence of the radicals was basically ruled out. Therefore, the change in the luminescence behavior of **BS** before and after 365 nm irradiation was attributed to the generation of one or more chemical products mediated by radicals. During the research process, we failed to obtain this new chemical due to its extremely short lifetime in the solution. However, it was certain that the observed luminescence behavior arose from the generation of radicals and photochemical reaction.

Mechanism investigation of radical-mediated luminescence

HRMS, femtosecond transient absorption (TA) and ^1H NMR spectra were used to further investigate the intrinsic mechanism of the radical-mediated luminescence of **BS** after irradiation. The type of free radicals generated by **BS** after irradiation was first analyzed. Superoxide radicals were detected as shown in Fig. 1h ($A_{\text{H}} = 10.1$ G, $A_{\text{N}} = 13.0$ G, $A_{\text{H}}/A_{\text{N}} < 1$). Superoxide radicals may originate from aromatic carbonyl radicals generated after irradiation with **BS**.^{46,47} To verify this speculation, the ^1H NMR spectra of **BS** in DMF- d_6 with different irradiation times were investigated. As shown in Fig. 2, a new single peak at the chemical shift of 8.17 ppm appeared in the **BS** spectra after 3 minutes of irradiation. The relative intensity of this new peak gradually increases with increasing irradiation time. The peak areas of the hydrogen on the aromatic ring of **BS**, the emerging peak and the solvent peak are denoted as S_{a} , S_{b} and S_{c} , respectively ($S_{\text{c}} = 1$). As the irradiation time prolonged, the $S_{\text{a}}/S_{\text{c}}$ value decreased while the $S_{\text{b}}/S_{\text{c}}$ value increased, indicating a gradual decrease in **BS** and a gradual accumulation of newly produced compounds. The newly generated compound of **BS** after irradiation was identified as terephthalic acid by ^1H NMR and HRMS analysis (Fig. 2 and S39). By comparison with previous reports in the literature, terephthalic acid originated from aromatic carbonyl radicals generated by **BS** after



Fig. 2 Characterization of products after **BS** irradiation. ^1H NMR (400 MHz) spectra of **BS** in DMF- d_6 after different irradiation times using an external UV torch light (317.5 mW cm^{-2}).

radiation.⁴⁸ This experimental result showed that **BS** was first formed into aromatic carbonyl radicals after irradiation, which subsequently underwent electron transfer with oxygen molecules to generate superoxide radicals. In order to exclude the possibility that the dynamic luminescence of **BS** was caused by superoxide radicals, the PL spectra of **BS** before and after deoxygenation were investigated. As shown in Fig. S4, the **BS** emission intensity after deoxygenation was significantly higher than that before deoxygenation after the same irradiation time, which ruled out the enhancement of **BS** emission after irradiation as a result of superoxide radicals. To further validate the generation of aromatic carbonyl radicals, TA was used to reveal the excited state dynamics of these compounds. As shown in Fig. 3b–d, the TA spectra of **BS** after 30 s of irradiation showed two distinct excited state absorption (ESA) in the 400–750 nm range (detection time window = 1000 ps). The ESA located at 488 nm can be attributed to the singlet state of **BS**, whereas the ESA at 643 nm belongs to the absorption of radicals, which was consistent with previous literature reports.^{49–52}

As shown in Fig. 3c, the negative signal of **BS** at 425 nm could be attributed to ground-state bleaching (GSB). With the increase of delay time, the intensity of the two ESAs (488 nm and 643 nm) gradually decreased in the time scale range of 300 ps, and their lifetimes were 263.7 ps and 292.4 ps, respectively. The TA spectra results indicated that **BS** showed radical absorption located at long wavelengths after irradiation. To further determine the type of radicals produced by **BS** irradiation, other photochemical reactions were further investigated. Since **BS** was insoluble in methanol (MeOH), we chose the **BZ** molecule to study the photochemical reaction of **BZ** with MeOH after 365 nm UV irradiation. 50 mg **BZ** was added into a 20 mL mixed solution of MeOH/dichloromethane (DCM) (v/v = 3 : 1) and irradiated with 365 nm UV light at an electric power of 10 W in a photoreactor for 24 h. The obtained solution was purified by thin-layer chromatography which yielded dimethyl terephthalate, a product of the photostable state, and several photolysis products with indeterminate structures exhibiting blue-violet fluorescence. The detailed characterization of the compounds is displayed in Fig. 3a and S43–S45. The photochemical reactions demonstrated that the type of radical





Fig. 3 Study of radical types after BS irradiation. (a) Formation of dimethyl terephthalate or ethyl methyl terephthalate by the photochemical reaction of BZ in a mixed solution of DCM and MeOH or EtOH by 365 nm UV light irradiation and ¹H NMR characterization of the photochemical product. (b) Femtosecond broadband-transient absorption spectra of BS in DMF after 30 s of irradiation under 365 nm excitation. (c) TA spectra of BS at different pump-probe delay times. (d) Dynamics of BS at 488 nm and 643 nm detection wavelengths (scatterplot, experimental data; solid line, fitted results).

generated by **BZ** upon irradiation with 365 nm UV light was an aromatic carbonyl radical.

To verify the generalizability of this photochemical reaction, similarly, 50 mg of **BZ** was dissolved in 20 mL of EtOH/DCM (v/v = 3 : 1) mixture and irradiated with 365 nm UV light at an electric power of 10 W in a photoreactor for 24 h. The obtained solution was purified by thin-layer chromatography, and the photostable product ethyl methyl terephthalate (Fig. S46–S48) was obtained, which further demonstrated that the solution of **BZ** produced aromatic carbonyl radicals after 365 nm UV light irradiation. This type of photochemical reaction did not require an external catalyst but only an external light stimulation. Therefore, this could be used as a facile strategy to synthesize aromatic asymmetric esters. The speculated mechanism leading to the above unique luminescence behavior of **BS** was as follows: as shown in Scheme 1, **BS** was irradiated with 365 nm UV light to form aromatic carbonyl radicals, which rapidly

underwent a photochemical reaction to generate a new species, resulting in the change of luminescence of **BS**. Then, the new molecules underwent photodegradation and resulted in a blue-shift of luminescence after continuous irradiation. The luminescence behaviors of **BZ**, **BQ**, and **BD** with electron-withdrawing groups before and after irradiation were also investigated to verify the universality of radical-mediated molecular luminescence. As expected, **BZ**, **BQ**, and **BD** all exhibited the above phenomena of radical-mediated molecular luminescence. We also observed the near-white light emission in **BD** (Fig. S1). The detailed absorption spectra, TA spectra, emission spectra, time-resolved fluorescence decay curves, EPR spectra, and luminescence photographs are shown in Fig. S1 and S5–S16, respectively. Since the stability of radicals was affected by the electron-donating and withdrawing ability of the substituents in the molecule, the photophysical properties of molecules modified with electron-donating groups on benzil





Fig. 4 Electrostatic potential of radicals. Electrostatic potential (ESP) analysis of BS-D, BZ-D, BD-D, BQ-D, BJ-D, BA-D, and BOX-D (blue areas indicate high electron density and white for normal, while red areas suggest low electron density).

had been investigated in the DMF solution. As illustrated in Fig. S17a, as expected, **BJ**, **BA**, and **BOX** with electron-donating groups did not exhibit the radical-mediated molecular luminescence behavior. The luminescence of **BJ**, **BA**, and **BOX** showed almost no significant variation before and after UV irradiation (Fig. S17b–d), which might be due to the destabilization of the radicals produced after irradiation, thus leaving the luminescence of the molecules unaffected. **BS**, **BZ**, **BD**, and **BQ** molecules contained electron-withdrawing groups, so that the radical electron generated after irradiation could be delocalized and thus relatively stabilized,^{5,53} leading to the phenomena of radical-mediated molecular luminescence. To further verify that electrons in radicals containing electron-drawing groups can be delocalized, the electrostatic potentials (ESPs) of the radicals formed after the irradiation of **BS**, **BZ**, **BD**, **BQ**, **BJ**, **BA**, and **BOX** were analyzed by using the wave function analysis program Multiwfn and visualization program VMD,^{54,55} and the compounds are denoted as **BS-D**, **BZ-D**, **BD-D**, **BQ-D**, **BJ-D**, **BA-D**, and **BOX-D**, respectively. As depicted in Fig. 4, the electrons of the electron-withdrawing groups **BS-D**, **BZ-D**, **BD-D**, and **BOX-D** were concentrated on the electron-withdrawing groups, in contrast to the electron-donating groups **BJ-D**, **BA-D**, and **BOX-D**, which were concentrated on the benzene rings and the carbonyl radicals. This prohibited the electrons in the carbonyl radicals containing electron-donating groups from delocalizing, leading to their relatively unstable state. Based on the above, a class of molecular systems with radical-mediated molecular luminescence have been successfully developed, which would be helpful for expanding the understanding of the radical luminescence family.

Detection of amines

Based on the radical-mediated luminescence behavior of **BS**, in which the carbonyl group could react or interact with the amino group, we explored the feasibility of using the radical-mediated luminescence behavior of **BS** to detect amine and ammonia concentrations. Aromatic carbonyl radicals are extremely electrophilic. Their unpaired electron is located on the carbonyl carbon atom, making that carbon atom strongly inclined to take



Fig. 5 Spectra of BS for amine detection. (a) The PL spectra after addition of different species of amines (0.02 M) in BS (1 mM) solution ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 365$ nm, E_{x} bandwidth = 5 nm, E_{m} bandwidth = 5 nm). (b) CIE of BS in accordance with (a). (Inset) Luminescence photographs of different species of amines (0.02 M) in BS (1 mM) solution. (c) *In situ* PL spectra of BS with different concentrations of ammonia (25–28%) after UV light irradiation for 100 s ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 365$ nm, E_{x} bandwidth = 5 nm, E_{m} bandwidth = 5 nm). (d) CIE of BS in accordance with (c). (Inset) Luminescence photographs of BS with different concentrations of ammonia (25–28%) under 365 nm (317.5 mW cm⁻²) UV irradiation.

a hydrogen atom from other molecules or to react with an electron-rich center. Their extremely high electrophilicity drives the efficient seizure of hydrogen atoms from the characteristic, weakened α -C–H bond of amines. This reaction rapidly quenches the aromatic carbonyl radical, leading to a change in system fluorescence. As presented in Fig. 5a, the PL spectra of the **BS** showed significant differences after the addition of different kinds of amines (0.02 M) (ammonia 25–28%), ethylamine, propylamine, diethylamine, triethylamine, cyclohexylamine, and aniline, accompanied by the luminescence color changes (Fig. 5c and e). This showed that it was feasible to identify amine species by monitoring changes in the PL spectra of **BS**. In addition, since the concentration of the amine also significantly affects the variations in the luminescence behavior mediated by the **BS** carbonyl radical, ammonia solutions of different concentrations were added to **BS** to detect the luminescence alterations. As the concentration of ammonia solution increases from 0 to 0.1 M as demonstrated in Fig. 5c, the intensity of the fluorescence double emission peak of **BS** decreased, accompanied by luminescence color change from orange to blue (Fig. 5d and f), which further suggested that aromatic carbonyl radicals produced by the **BS** upon UV irradiation were trapped by amines to prevent them from bimolecular reactions with the original molecules. Therefore, the radical-mediated changes in the luminescence behavior of **BS** molecules could be well applied to the detection of amine species and monitoring their concentration, which can help to enhance the application potential of molecules with radical-mediated luminescence behavior.



Conclusion

In summary, a class of molecules with radical-mediated dynamic luminescence in the solution-state has been successfully reported. The unique luminescence behavior of these molecules was due to the generation of aromatic carbonyl radicals upon external light stimulation, which mediated the luminescence behavior of the molecules. In particular, the absolute PLQY of **BS** solution after irradiation was increased 41-fold from 1.26% to 51.09%. Moreover, this was another new method to realize a rapid change in the luminescence of molecules besides aggregation-induced emission, assembling-induced emission, and crystallization-induced emission. In addition, this radical-mediated luminescence behavior could also be used as a method for the synthesis of asymmetric aromatic esters without adding invasive reagents and for the detection of amine species and concentrations. The development of these molecules with solution-state radical-mediated dynamic luminescence provides a new idea for designing smart luminescent materials.

Author contributions

J. S., X. M., and H. T. conceived the project. J. S. designed the molecules and conducted the experiment. J. S., X. M., and H. T. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary information (SI) of this article. Supplementary information: structural characterization of the compound (^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR spectra, as well as electronic spray ionization high-resolution mass spectra), photophysical property characterization (photoluminescence spectroscopy, femto-second transient absorption spectra, time-resolved fluorescence lifetime decay curves and photoluminescence quantum yield), and electron paramagnetic resonance spectrometers. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5sc06542a>.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank B. Ding, T. Jiang, L. Ma and Z. Huang for helpful discussion. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from NSFC (22125803, T2488302, and 22020102006), project support by the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (grant no. 24DX1400200), Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of CPSF under grant number GZC20250791 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

Notes and references

- 1 J.-M. Mörsdorf and J. Ballmann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 23452–23460.
- 2 N. Kvasovs and V. Gevorgyan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 2244–2259.
- 3 M. Gomberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1900, **22**, 757–771.
- 4 A. Abdurahman, T. J. H. Hele, Q. Gu, J. Zhang, Q. Peng, M. Zhang, R. H. Friend, F. Li and E. W. Evans, *Nat. Mater.*, 2020, **19**, 1224–1229.
- 5 K. Kato and A. Osuka, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 8978–8986.
- 6 Z. Cui, A. Abdurahman, X. Ai and F. Li, *CCS Chem.*, 2020, **2**, 1129–1145.
- 7 A. Luo, J. Zhang, D. Xiao, G. Xie, X. Xu, Q. Zhao, C. Sun, Y. Li, Z. Zhang, P. Li, S. Luo, X. Xie, Q. Peng, H. Li, R. Chen, Q. Chen, Y. Tao and W. Huang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2024, **15**, 8181.
- 8 J. Sun, Z. Liu, W.-G. Liu, Y. Wu, Y. Wang, J. C. Barnes, K. R. Hermann, W. A. Goddard, M. R. Wasielewski and J. F. Stoddart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 12704–12709.
- 9 Q. Xiang, J. Guo, J. Xu, S. Ding, Z. Li, G. Li, H. Phan, Y. Gu, Y. Dang, Z. Xu, Z. Gong, W. Hu, Z. Zeng, J. Wu and Z. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 11022–11031.
- 10 X. Ai, E. W. Evans, S. Dong, A. J. Gillett, H. Guo, Y. Chen, T. J. H. Hele, R. H. Friend and F. Li, *Nature*, 2018, **563**, 536–540.
- 11 Q. Peng, A. Obolda, M. Zhang and F. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 7091–7095.
- 12 L. Ji, J. Shi, J. Wei, T. Yu and W. Huang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1908015.
- 13 M. Poncelet and B. Driesschaert, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 16451–16454.
- 14 M. J. Schmidt, J. Borbas, M. Drescher and D. Summerer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 1238–1241.
- 15 M. Mas-Torrent, N. Crivillers, C. Rovira and J. Veciana, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **112**, 2506–2527.
- 16 I. Ratera and J. Veciana, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 303–349.
- 17 Z. Liu, M. Wu, M. Lan and W. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 9500–9505.
- 18 G. Huang, Y. Qiu, F. Yang, J. Xie, X. Chen, L. Wang and H. Yang, *Nano Lett.*, 2021, **21**, 2926–2931.
- 19 J. Zhang, F. Yang, L. Zhang, R. Li, G. Wang, Y. Xu and W. Wei, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2024, **36**, 110627.
- 20 Y. Zhang, L. Gao, X. Zheng, Z. Wang, C. Yang, H. Tang, L. Qu, Y. Li and Y. Zhao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 2297.
- 21 W. Zheng, X. Li, G. V. Baryshnikov, X. Shan, F. Siddique, C. Qian, S. Zhao and H. Wu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202305925.
- 22 J. Guo, J. Li, T. Wu, X. Peng, S. Wang, Z. Zhao, Y. Hua, B. Z. Tang and Y. Zhao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 7837–7844.
- 23 Y. Mu, Y. Liu, H. Tian, D. Ou, L. Gong, J. Zhao, Y. Zhang, Y. Huo, Z. Yang and Z. Chi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 6367–6371.



- 24 Y. Li, G. V. Baryshnikov, C. Xu, H. Agren, L. Zhu, T. Yi, Y. Zhao and H. Wu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 23842–23848.
- 25 H. Abroshan, V. Coropceanu and J. L. Brédas, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 2002916.
- 26 M. Zhang, Z. Li, M. Luo, G. V. Baryshnikov, R. R. Valiev, T. Weng, S. Shen, Q. Liu, H. Sun, X. Xu, Z. Sun, H. Ågren and L. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 24657–24668.
- 27 K. Maruyama, K. Ono and J. Osugi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1972, **45**, 847–851.
- 28 C. S. Colley, D. C. Grills, N. A. Besley, S. Jockusch, P. Matousek, A. W. Parker, M. Towrie, N. J. Turro, P. M. W. Gill and M. W. George, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 14952–14958.
- 29 M. Griesser, D. Neshchadin, K. Dietliker, N. Moszner, R. Liska and G. Gescheidt, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9359–9361.
- 30 J. Luo, Z. Xie, J. W. Y. Lam, L. Cheng, B. Z. Tang, H. Chen, C. Qiu, H. S. Kwok, X. Zhan, Y. Liu and D. Zhu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1740–1741.
- 31 Y. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 5361–5388.
- 32 X. Ma, J. Wang and H. Tian, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 738–748.
- 33 T. Zhang, Y. Wu and X. Ma, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **412**, 128689.
- 34 Z. Huang, T. Jiang, J. Wang, X. Ma and H. Tian, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **60**, 2855–2860.
- 35 Z. He, Z. Huang, T. Li, J. Song, J. Wu and X. Ma, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2024, **16**, 54742–54750.
- 36 L. Zhou, J. Song, Z. He, Y. Liu, P. Jiang, T. Li and X. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e20240337.
- 37 C. Gao, S. Silvi, X. Ma, H. Tian, M. Venturi and A. Credi, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 16911–16921.
- 38 Q. Niu, Y. Ye, L. Su, X. He, Z. Li and Y. Liu, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2025, **68**, 2671–2679.
- 39 W. Z. Yuan, X. Y. Shen, H. Zhao, J. W. Y. Lam, L. Tang, P. Lu, C. Wang, Y. Liu, Z. Wang, Q. Zheng, J. Z. Sun, Y. Ma and B. Z. Tang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 6090–6099.
- 40 Y. Gong, Y. Tan, H. Li, Y. Zhang, W. Yuan, Y. Zhang, J. Sun and B. Z. Tang, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2013, **56**, 1183–1186.
- 41 Y. Dong, J. W. Y. Lam, A. Qin, Z. Li, J. Sun, H. H. Y. Sung, I. D. Williams and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 40–42.
- 42 J. Song, Y. Zhou, Z. Pan, Y. Hu, Z. He, H. Tian and X. Ma, *Matter*, 2023, **6**, 2005–2018.
- 43 J. Song, L. Ma, S. Sun, H. Tian and X. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202206157.
- 44 F. Nie and D. Yan, *Matter*, 2023, **6**, 2558–2560.
- 45 D. Neshchadin, A. Rosspeintner, M. Griesser, B. Lang, S. Mosquera-Vazquez, E. Vauthey, V. Gorelik, R. Liska, C. Hametner, B. Ganster, R. Saf, N. Moszner and G. Gescheidt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 17314–17321.
- 46 M. Xie, C. Zhang, H. Zheng, G. Zhang and S. Zhang, *Water Res.*, 2022, **217**, 118424.
- 47 K. U. Ingold, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1969, **2**, 1–9.
- 48 B. C. Faust, K. Powell, C. J. Rao and C. Anastasio, *Atmos. Environ.*, 1997, **31**, 497–510.
- 49 L. J. Johnston, D. J. Loughnot, V. Wintgens and J. C. Scaiano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 518–524.
- 50 R. W. Redmond, J. C. Scaiano and L. J. Johnston, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 9768–9773.
- 51 J. C. Netto-Ferreira, W. F. Murphy, R. W. Redmond and J. C. Scaiano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 4472–4476.
- 52 R. W. Redmond, J. C. Scaiano and L. J. Johnston, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 398–402.
- 53 B. Tang, J. Zhao, J.-F. Xu and X. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 1192–1204.
- 54 T. Lu and F. Chen, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2011, **33**, 580–592.
- 55 J. Zhang and T. Lu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2021, **23**, 20323–20328.

