Cite this：Org．Chem．Front．，2018，5， 2680

Received 2nd July 2018，
Accepted 27th July 2018
DOI：10．1039／c8qo00650d
rsc．li／frontiers－organic

# Rhodium－catalyzed highly diastereoselective intramolecular［4＋2］cycloaddition of 1，3－disubstituted allene－1，3－dienes $\dagger$ 

Yulin Han ${ }^{\text {a，b }}$ and Shengming Ma（D）＊a，${ }^{\text {c }}$


#### Abstract

$\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$－catalyzed $[4+2]$ intramolecular cycloaddition of allene－1，3－dienes afforded cis－fused ［3．4．0］－bicyclic products with three chiral centers in good yields with excellent chemo－and diastereo－ selectivity．The configuration of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds in the 1,3 －diene unit controls the relative configurations of the non－bridging tertiary carbon atom in the six－membered ring．Based on the experimental results，a mechanism involving cyclometalation has been proposed．


Cycloaddition reactions are a practical and efficient method to construct cyclic products from simple acyclic starting materials by generating at least two bonds and one ring in only one step．${ }^{1}$ For example，$[4+2]$ cycloaddition between 1，3－dienes and alkenes or alkynes is one of the most powerful synthetic tools to assemble six－membered cyclic structures．${ }^{2-4}$ In 1995， Wender and coworkers reported the intramolecular［4＋2］ cycloaddition reaction of disubstituted terminal allenes with 1，3－dienes to afford cis－6，6－fused rings or cis－6，5－fused rings with a nickel or rhodium complex as catalyst（Scheme 1，a）．${ }^{5}$ The chemoselectivity mainly depends on the length of the tether between the diene and terminal allene．In 2009，the groups of Toste and Mascareñas independently demonstrated the gold－catalyzed intramolecular［ $4+2]$ cycloaddition reaction of symmetric tetrasubstituted allene with 1,3 －diene to give trans－6，5－fused rings（Scheme 1，b）．${ }^{6}$ So far，there have been very limited reports on applying the 1，3－disubstituted allene unit as the dienophile．${ }^{7}$ The challenge is the $Z / E$ selectivity of the remaining $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond as well as the diastereoselectivity of the three chiral centers generated during the reaction （Scheme 1，c）．${ }^{8}$ Recently，there have been many advances in the development of new synthetic approaches to prepare 1，3－disubstituted allenes．${ }^{9,10}$ Herein，we present the $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$－catalyzed intramolecular［4＋2］cycloaddition of
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Scheme 1 Metal－catalyzed intramolecular［4＋2］cycloaddition of allene－1，3－dienes．

1，3－disubstituted allene－dienes，during which the internal $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond of the allene moiety reacted with the 1，3－diene unit to produce cis－6，5－fused bicyclic products with an excellent $Z / E$ selectivity for the remaining $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond and an excellent diastereoselectivity referring to the three chiral centers （Scheme 1，c）．

We started our study on the reaction with 1，3－disubstituted allene－1，3－diene 1a as the model substrate．The desired product was not formed under the catalysis of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ or $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$（entries 1 and 2，Table 1）．The cationic rhodium catalyst $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ also couldn＇t catalyze the reaction

Table 1 Optimization of rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition of racemic allene-1,3-diene 1a ${ }^{a}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | [Rh] | $\begin{aligned} & T \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $t(\mathrm{~h})$ | Yield of $2 a^{b}$ | Z/E | Recovery of $1 a^{b}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ | 80 | 12 | N.D. | - | 68 |
| 2 | $[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ | 80 | 12 | N.D. | - | 90 |
| 3 | $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | 80 | 12 | N.D. | - | 77 |
| 4 | $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]_{2}$ | 80 | 12 | 81 | 50:50 | N.D. |
| $5^{c}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})\right]^{+}} \\ & \mathrm{SbF}_{6}^{-} \end{aligned}$ | rt | 12 | 59 | 100:0 | 12 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 80 | 12 | 90 | 100:0 | N.D. |
| 7 | $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 80 | 12 | 93 | 100:0 | N.D. |
| 8 | $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 60 | 5 | 92 | 100:0 | N.D. |
| 9 | $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 40 | 3 | 91 | 100:0 | N.D. |
| $10^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 40 | 3 | 95 | 100:0 | N.D. |
| $11^{e}$ | $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 40 | 10 | 85 | 100:0 | N.D. |

${ }^{a}$ The reaction was conducted with $1 \mathbf{a}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and catalyst ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in 2 mL of toluene. ${ }^{b}$ Determined using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis with mesitylene as the internal standard and N.D. $=$ not detected. ${ }^{c}$ The reaction was conducted with $1 \mathbf{1 a}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and catalyst ( $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in 2 mL of 1,2 -dichloroethane. ${ }^{d} 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ of toluene was used. ${ }^{e} 1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{RhCl}$ $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and 1 mL of toluene were used.
either (entry 3, Table 1). Interestingly, when $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]_{2}$ was used, the reaction processed smoothly to afford the expected bicyclic product 1a in $81 \%$ yield with a $Z / E$ ratio of $50: 50$ (entry 4, Table 1). The structure and relative configurations of $Z-2 \mathbf{a}$ and $E-2 a$ were established via analogy with the X-ray single crystal diffraction study of 2c (Fig. 1) ${ }^{11}$ and the NOESY spectra (see the ESI $\dagger$ ). The catalyst $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})\right]^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}$ used in Trost's report ${ }^{7 a}$ can facilitate the reaction to afford the cycloaddition product $Z$-2a with an incomplete conversion (entry 5, Table 1). To our delight, the yield was improved to $90 \%$ when $\operatorname{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ was used with the exclusive formation of $Z$-2a (entry 6, Table 1). With $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ as the catalyst, the yield was improved to $93 \%$ (entry 7, Table 1). The desired product could also be obtained in $92 \%$ and $91 \%$ yield


(3aR $\left.{ }^{\star}, 5 S^{*}, 7 a R^{\star}, Z\right)-2 c$

Fig. 1 The ORTEP representations of $\left(3 a R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 7 a R^{*}, Z\right)-2 c$.
at a lower temperature of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entries 8 and 9, Table 1). The yield was $95 \%$ when running the reaction at a concentration of 0.2 M (entry 10, Table 1). The yield dropped to $85 \%$ with $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (entry 11, Table 1). Thus, the reaction conducted with 1a and $\operatorname{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in toluene at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was chosen as the standard conditions for further study.

With the optimized conditions in hand, the scope of substrates was investigated. The substrates with NTs as the linker were investigated firstly. The reaction afforded the corresponding products $Z-\mathbf{2 a - 2 c}$ in decent yields when $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ was methyl, $n$-butyl, and cyclohexyl, respectively (entries 1-3, Table 2). The relative configurations in 2c were established by the X-ray single crystal diffraction study ${ }^{11}$ (Fig. 1) - all three H atoms are cis oriented with a $Z-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ may be H , methyl, or $n$-propyl (entries 1-4, and 10, Table 2). The substrates with $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ being aryl groups in the terminal of the diene moiety could afford the target products under the standard conditions with lower yields. The yields were improved by conducting the reactions at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entries 5-7, Table 2). With a substituent such as $o-\mathrm{Br}$, the reactions only afforded $2 \mathbf{h}$ in $15 \%$ yield with $36 \%$ recovery of $\mathbf{1 h}$ even at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h (entry 8 , Table 2). To our delight, $66 \%$ yield of 2 h was obtained when the reaction was conducted with the extra addition of $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) (entry 9, Table 2). $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ may be H or alkyl. It is worth mentioning that the reactions produced products $2 \mathbf{a}-2 \mathbf{h}$ as the only diastereoisomers while in entry 10 of Table 2 a minor amount of other diastereoisomers was formed. Malonate could also be used as the tether of the substrates. However, the reaction of $\mathbf{1 j}$ became sluggish as compared to the substrates with NTs as the tether and the corresponding product $2 \mathbf{j}$ was afforded with the help of $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ (entry 11, Table 2 ).

When the phenyl-substituted 1,3-diene-incorporated substrate $(2 E, 4 E)$ - $\mathbf{1 j}$ was reacted with $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h , in addition to $40 \%$ yield of $\left(3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, E\right)-$ $2 \mathbf{j}, 29 \%$ yield of $\left(3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, E\right)$ - $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}$ was obtained (eqn (1)). The relative configuration of $\left(3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, E\right)-3 \mathbf{j}$ was also established using X-ray single crystal diffraction ${ }^{12}$ (Fig. 2) - the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond in the six-membered ring was conjugated with the exocyclic double bond.


In addition, when we applied the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond stereoisomer $(2 E, 4 Z) \mathbf{- 1 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 k}$, the reaction at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the diastereoisomers $\quad\left(3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-\mathbf{2 b} \quad$ and $\quad\left(3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right) \mathbf{- 2 k}$ (Scheme 2). The relative configuration of ( $3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z$ )-2b was also established by the X-ray single crystal diffraction studies (Fig. 3) ${ }^{13}$ - the H atom of C 5 in the six-membered ring is trans to the two H atoms of the bridged carbon atoms C3a and C7a. Thus, the configuration of the C5 was controlled by the $E / Z$ configuration of the distal $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond in the diene moiety of the substrates (eqn (2), (3) vs. entry 2 , Table 2 ).

Table 2 The scope of rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition of racemic allene-1,3-dienes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Entry | $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{R}^{1} / \mathrm{R}^{2} / \mathrm{R}^{3}(\mathbf{1})$ | $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $t(\mathrm{~h})$ | Isolated yield (\%) |
| 1 | NTs/ ${ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} / \mathrm{H}((2 E, 4 E)-1 \mathrm{a})$ | 40 | 3 | 81 ((3a $\left.\left.R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathrm{a}\right)$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{NTs} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} / \mathrm{H}((2 E, 4 E)-\mathbf{1 b})$ | 40 | 8 | $82\left(\left(3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathbf{b}\right)$ |
| 3 | NTs/Cy/ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} / \mathrm{H}((2 E, 4 E)-1 \mathrm{c})$ | 40 | 3 | 73 ((3a R $\left.\left.{ }^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathrm{c}\right)$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{NTs} /{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu} / n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} / \mathrm{H}((2 E, 4 E)-\mathbf{1 d})$ | 40 | 4 | 78 ((3a $\left.\left.R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathrm{~d}\right)$ |
| $5^{b}$ | NTs/ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} / \mathrm{Ph} / \mathrm{H}((2 E, 4 E)-\mathbf{1 e})$ | 80 | 24 | 39 ((3a R $\left.\left.{ }^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathrm{e}\right)$ |
| $6^{b}$ | NTs $/ \mathrm{CH}_{3} / p-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} / \mathrm{H}((2 E, 4 E) \mathbf{- 1 f})$ | 80 | 21.5 | 71 ((3a $\left.\left.R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathbf{f}\right)$ |
| $7^{\text {b }}$ | NTs/ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} / p-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} / \mathrm{H}((2 E, 4 E)-\mathbf{1 g})$ | 80 | 15 | 63 ((3a $\left.\left.R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathbf{g}\right)$ |
| $8^{b}$ | $\mathrm{NTs} /{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu} / o-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} / \mathrm{H}((2 E, 4 E)-1 \mathrm{~h})$ | 80 | 36 | $15^{c}\left(\left(3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathbf{h}\right)$ |
| $9^{d}$ | $\mathrm{NTs} /{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu} / o-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} / \mathrm{H}((2 E, 4 E)-\mathbf{1 h})$ | 80 | 8 | 66 ((3a $\left.\left.R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathbf{h}\right)$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{NTs} / \mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{CH}_{3}((E)-\mathbf{1 i})$ | 40 | 4 | $87^{e}\left(\left(3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathbf{i}\right)$ |
| $11^{d}$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2} /{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu} / \mathrm{Ph} / \mathrm{H}((2 E, 4 E)-\mathbf{1 j})$ | 40 | 24 | $81\left(\left(3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, E\right)-2 \mathrm{j}\right)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Conditions A: The reaction was conducted with $1(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in 5 mL of toluene. ${ }^{b}$ The reaction was conducted on a 0.5 mmol scale. ${ }^{c} 36 \%$ yield of $\mathbf{1 h}$ was recovered and the yield of 2 h was determined with the NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture. ${ }^{d}$ Conditions B: The reaction was conducted with $1(0.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in 2.5 mL of toluene. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{The}$ ratios of $2 \mathbf{i} / 3 \mathbf{i}$ in the isolated product and the crude product were $27: 1$ and $15: 1$, which were determined with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the isolated product and the crude product.


Fig. 2 The ORTEP representations of $\left(3 a R^{*}, 7 a S^{*}, E\right)-3 j$.



Scheme 2 Rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular [4 +2] cycloaddition of $(2 E, 4 Z)-1 \mathrm{~b}$ and $(2 E, 4 Z)-1 \mathrm{k}$.

Based on the X-ray diffraction study of ( $3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z$ )-2c, $\left(3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathbf{b}$ and the observed diastereoselectivity, a mechanism is proposed (Scheme 3). Firstly, $\operatorname{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ reacts with $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ to generate the cationic catalyst $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}$. The reaction of the $R$-isomer $\left(R_{\mathrm{a}}, 2 E, 4 E\right)-1$


Fig. 3 The ORTEP representation of $\left(3 a R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 7 a R^{*}, Z\right)-2 \mathrm{~b}$.
with the Rh catalyst would generate intermediate $\mathbf{A}$, in which the "inner" $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds in allene and the s-cis-1,3-diene coordinated with the rhodium atom in such a way that the two H atoms in intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ are cis oriented in order to make the $R^{1}$ group pointing away from the 1,3 -diene unit. Subsequent cyclometalation leads to the formation of intermediate $\mathbf{B}$. The allylic rearrangement leads to the formation of rhodiabicycloheptene $\mathbf{C}$, in which the three tertiary hydrogen atoms are cis orientated. Subsequent reductive elimination and ligand exchange with $\left(R_{\mathrm{a}}, 2 E, 4 E\right)-\mathbf{1}$ provide the product $(3 \mathrm{a} R, 5 S, 7 \mathrm{a} R, Z)$ 2 and regenerate the catalytically active species $\mathbf{A}$ to finish the catalytic cycle. For the $S$-enantiomer $\left(S_{\mathrm{a}}, 2 E, 4 E\right)-\mathbf{1}$, intermediate $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ other than intermediate $\mathbf{A}^{\prime \prime}$ (which has the same coordination mode as intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ ) would be formed in order to keep the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ group far away from the catalyst. Subsequent cyclometalation, $\eta^{3}-\eta^{1}$ rearrangement, reductive elimination, and ligand exchange with $\left(S_{\mathrm{a}}, 2 E, 4 E\right)-\mathbf{1}$ would afford the enantiomer ( $3 \mathrm{a} S, 5 R, 7 \mathrm{a}, Z, Z$ )-2.


Scheme 3 A proposed mechanism for the rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular [4+2] cycloaddition of allene-dienes $(2 E, 4 E)-1\left(R^{3} \neq \operatorname{aryl}\right)$.

When the optically active allene-1,3-diene $\left(R_{\mathrm{a}}, 2 E, 4 E\right)$-1a was reacted under the standard conditions, only one diastereomer ( $3 \mathrm{a} R, 5 S, 7 \mathrm{a} R, Z$ )-2a was obtained in $76 \%$ yield; unfortunately, the efficiency of chirality transformation was low and the ee of (3aR,5S,7aR,Z)-2a was only $75 \%$ (eqn (4)). We are still working on this issue.


In conclusion, we have developed the $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$-catalyzed intramolecular [ $4+2$ ] cycloaddition of 1,3-disubstituted allene-1,3-dienes, giving cis-6,5-fused bicyclic products with a very high diastereoselectivity. In addition, the relative configurations in the C3a, C5, and C7a of the products are controlled by the configuration of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds in the 1,3-diene unit in the starting materials. The three H atoms of the tertiary carbon in the product are cis to each other when $(2 E, 4 E)$-1,3-disubstituted allene-dienes were used; the two cis-H atoms of the bridged carbon and the H atom of the other tertiary carbon in the six-membered ring are trans to each other if the $(2 E, 4 Z)-1,3$-disubstituted allene-dienes were applied. A concerted cyclometalation, allylic rearrangement, and reductive elimination has been proposed to account for the observed diastereoselectivity. Further studies of the chirality transfer of optically active allene-1,3-dienes are being conducted in our laboratory.
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