

REVIEW

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 54203Received 7th October 2017
Accepted 11th November 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra11023e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Ethers can be typically categorized as chain ethers, aromatic ethers and crown ethers. As one example of chain ether groups, alkyl ethers have been widely used as detergents, dispersants, dyeing aids and antistatic agents.^{1,2} Ether acts as an anesthetic in medicine. Aromatic ethers have a good solid structure, and can be used to improve a material's thermal properties and structural stability. Aromatic ether-containing epoxy resin is a type of thermosetting polymer composite with corrosion resistance and high strength.^{3,4} It plays an important role in

industrial fields such as transistors, microchips and semiconductors. Crown ethers are used to construct mechanically interlocked molecules.⁵⁻⁷ Mechanically interlocked molecules are classified mainly as rotaxanes and catenanes. With the functionalization of mechanically interlocked molecules, crown ether-based molecular motor, molecular muscle and molecular shuttle have gradually been constructed. Moreover, some functionalized crown ethers, such as crown ether-based photochromic materials⁸ and aggregation-induced fluorescence enhancement (AIE) materials,⁹ have potential applications in many fields such as molecular switches and self-assembly systems.

Lithium ion batteries (LIBs) are becoming a more and more important part of our modern life. Many devices such as mobile televisions, smart phones and pocket computers need power sources, and the lithium ion battery is an excellent candidate for

^aDepartment of Energy Engineering, Hanyang University, Seoul 133791, Korea. E-mail: tsong@hanyang.ac.kr

^bFaculty of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, PR China



Fang Hu received her Ph.D. degree from the College of Chemistry at CCNU, PR China in 2015. She joined Hanyang University as a post-doctor in 2017. She became an assistant professor at Ningbo University from 2015. Her research interests focus on lithium ion batteries, supercapacitors and electrocatalysis.




Taeseup Song received his Ph.D. degree from the Department of Materials Science and Engineering at Hanyang University, Korea in 2012. He is currently an assistant professor at Hanyang University. His research interest is mainly focused on the synthesis of nanostructured materials for energy device applications.

power and energy supply.^{10–14} In order to improve the performance of LIBs, some novel cathode materials, anode materials, electrolytes, additives, binders and separators have gradually been developed. Synthetic strategies are used to construct novel architecture in order to gain high energy density and good thermal stability for lithium ion batteries. For example, most inorganic compounds with high potential such as olivine-type LiNiPO_4 and LiCoPO_4 can be used as cathode materials.^{15,16} Some organic compounds such as anthraquinone can be utilized to construct novel cathode materials.^{17,18} Many inorganic compounds with low potential such as graphite and metal oxides are excellent candidates for anode materials.^{19,20} Some special organic compounds can be utilized as additives,^{21,22} binders²³ and separators for lithium ion batteries.^{24,25}

In recent years, ether-based lithium ion batteries have been reported widely. According to the functionalization of the ether groups, ethers are used mainly as electrolytes, additives, binders, separators or anodes in lithium ion batteries. In this paper, we review the progress of the employment of functionalized ethers in lithium ion batteries and the synthesis strategies for electrolytes, additives, binders, separators and anodes, and present the future research direction of functionalized ether in lithium ion batteries.

Electrolyte

When a lithium salt is dissolved in an organic mixed solvent, the solution can be used as an electrolyte. The normal electrolytes for lithium ion batteries (LIBs) are classified mainly as liquid electrolyte, solid electrolyte and liquid–solid electrolyte, as indicated in Scheme 1. Yang's group²⁶ reported that ether-functionalized quaternary ammonium cation ionic liquids (1 and 2) could be used as electrolytes for lithium ion battery (Scheme 2). It was very easy to synthesize the ether-functionalized quaternary ammonium cation ionic liquids by heating the amino compounds with the corresponding halide. When these quaternary ammonium cation ionic liquids were used as electrolytes in Li/LiFePO_4 batteries, these batteries showed good cycling properties. It was proved that introducing one short ether group into cation ionic liquids could reduce their melting points. The oxygen of the ether group is an electron donator, and so the electrostatic interaction of the cation would be reduced by electron donation, leading to lower melting point. More importantly, electrolytes with two ether




Scheme 2 The structures of quaternary ammonium cation ionic liquids.²⁶

groups had better rate properties than those with one ether group. This means that ionic liquids with low melting points were good for use in lithium ion batteries. Their electrochemical windows, viscosity and conductivity are summarized in Table 1.

As well as ether-functionalized quaternary ammonium cation ionic liquids, Yang's group found that ether-functionalized trialkylimidazolium ionic liquids could also be used as electrolytes (3 and 4) (Scheme 3).²⁷ Six ether-functionalized trialkylimidazolium ionic liquids were tested that had the same anion as in the quaternary ammonium cation ionic liquids: the bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)amide (TFSI) anion. These ionic liquids were used as electrolytes in Li/LiFePO_4 batteries. They showed good battery performance and rate properties. Introducing the ether groups gave these ionic liquids lower viscosity, which was good for the wettability of electrodes. This means that the wettability of cation ionic liquids could be affected by the structure and viscosity, and functionalization of cation ionic liquids could improve the wettability of ionic liquid electrolytes. Interestingly, these ionic liquid electrolytes had good chemical stability with the presence of the ether group.

Subsequently, Mandal and co-workers²⁸ reported two imidazolium ionic liquids containing ether-siloxane (1O2O2-Im-1SiOSi) and ether-ether (1O2O2-Im-2O1) (5 and 6) (Scheme 4). When 1O2O2-Im-1SiOSi and 1O2O2-Im-2O1 were mixed with propylene carbonate (PC) as electrolytes for lithium ion batteries, they showed high electrochemical stability windows (ESWs) and good conductivity, as shown in Scheme 5. More importantly, through temperature-dependent electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), ether- and siloxane-functionalized imidazolium ionic liquids were shown to exhibit excellent thermal and electrochemical characteristics. When the imidazolium cation interacted with Li^+ , it would collapse the $\text{Li}^{+}[\text{TFSI}]_{n+1}^{n-}$ cluster and help the Li^+ ion to migrate. The functionalized imidazolium cations could also control the conductivity, viscosity and diffusion, and had the same function with the propylene carbonate.

Pyrazolium ionic liquids, which are similar to imidazolium ionic liquids, were an excellent candidate for use as electrolyte in the lithium ion battery. Yang's group have reported four novel ionic liquids (ILs) based on ether-functionalized pyrazolium cations with the counter anion bis(fluorosulfonyl) imide (FSI) (Scheme 6).²⁹ When these four FSI-based ILs (7, 8, 9 and 10) were used as electrolytes in Li/LiFePO_4 cells, they showed lower



Scheme 1 The electrolytes for lithium ion batteries.


Table 1 Representative structures, electrochemical windows, viscosity (η) and conductivity (σ) of quaternary ammonium ionic liquids with two ether groups at 25 °C (ref. 26)

Structure	Cathodic limiting potential V vs. Li/Li ⁺	Anodic limiting potential V vs. Li/Li ⁺	Electrochemical window V	η (mPa s)	σ (mS cm ⁻¹)
	0.2	5.3	5.1	203.1	0.83
	0.2	5.2	5.0	182.3	0.78
	0.3	5.3	5.0	165.2	0.74
	0.2	5.2	5.0	230.8	0.70
	0.3	5.3	5.0	212.6	0.66
	0.2	5.3	5.1	243.1	0.60
	0.3	5.3	5.0	238.8	0.58
	0.3	5.3	5.0	231.2	0.54
	0.2	5.2	5.0	263.6	0.48
	0.2	5.3	5.0	259.3	0.42
	0.1	5.2	5.1	248.1	0.46




viscosity and higher conductivity, which could be due to the lower melting points and higher thermal decomposition temperatures with the presence of the ether group and FSI anion. More importantly, the PZ2o2-2-FSI with more alkyl groups possessed

the lowest viscosity, while the PZ2o1-2-FSI had the highest conductivity because of the presence of the methoxy group.

As well as some normal cation ionic liquids, guanidinium cation ionic liquids can act as electrolytes for lithium ion


Scheme 3 The structures of trialkylimidazolium ionic liquids.²⁷Scheme 4 The structures of imidazolium ionic liquids.²⁸

batteries, Yang's group found that ether-functionalized guanidinium cation ionic liquids could also be used as electrolytes (**11** and **12**) (Scheme 7).³⁰ Because of the importance of viscosity for the lithium ion battery, the viscosities of **1g(2o1)(2o1)**-TFSA (**11**) and **1g(2o1)(2o2)**-TFSA (**12**) were checked and found to have the values of 60.2 and 58.8 mPa s respectively at 25 °C. When these ether-functionalized ionic liquids based on guanidinium cation were used as electrolytes in Li/LiFePO₄ batteries, these batteries showed electrochemical stability and good cycling properties at the current rate of 0.2 C owing to the formation of a passivation layer. Moreover, without any additive, obvious lithium plating and stripping could be found on the Ni electrode when the ether-functionalized guanidinium cation ionic liquids were used as electrolytes for the lithium ion battery,

Scheme 5 The electrochemical behaviors of **5** and **6** as electrolytes for LIBs.²⁸Scheme 6 The structures of pyrazolium ionic liquids.²⁹Scheme 7 The structures of guanidinium cation ionic liquids.³⁰

which was attributed to the present of solid-electrolyte interface (SEI) film.

As liquid electrolytes, ether-based cation ionic liquids with low viscosity are usually used in lithium ion batteries. Organic solvents with ether groups are also good in lithium ion batteries. Chen and co-workers found that anthraquinone (AQ) was a good candidate for the cathode material in lithium ion batteries, as shown in Scheme 8.³¹ For most of the inorganic compounds that are used as cathode material in lithium ion batteries, cation ionic liquids are good options. But for organic cathode compounds, some simple electrolytes such as 1,3-dioxetane (DOL) (**13**) and dimethyl ether (DME) (**14**) are useful. With LiNO₃ additive and ether-based electrolyte, a lithium ion battery with anthraquinone as cathode showed good cycling


Scheme 8 The structures of organic solvents with ether groups.³¹

and rate performance. Compared with the carbonate-based electrolyte, the discharge capacity and retention capacity of this lithium ion battery can reach 174 mA h g^{-1} and 84.9% after 100 cycles at 0.2 C. Furthermore, the lithium ion battery with ether-based electrolyte delivered high discharge capacities at both low and high rates. With LiNO_3 additive, the ether-based electrolyte can form a protective film on the surface of the anode to prevent the SEI forming. Compared with other electrolytes, ether-based electrolytes effectively decrease the solubility of anthraquinone, which is good for lithium ion transportation. This means the introduction of an ether group can improve the high cycling and rate performance of the lithium ion battery.

For cation ionic liquids, the presence of an ether group can reduce the viscosity for use in lithium ion batteries, increase the electrochemical stability and improve the cycling properties. In contrast, the organic solvents with ether groups improve the cycling and rate performance of the lithium ion battery by decreasing the solubility of the cathode.


Organic solvent alkyl ethers could be used as electrolytes. Yang and co-authors introduced the trifluoromethyl group into organic solvent alkyl ethers, and found that a mixture of diethylene glycol diethylether (**15**) and non-flammable methyl-nonafluorobutyl ether (**16**) could be employed as electrolyte for lithium ion batteries (Scheme 9).³² Compared with the conventional electrolytes, these electrolytes gave better rate, cycling and low-temperature performances for graphite/LiFePO₄ cells.

As well as cation ionic liquids and organic solvent alkyl ethers, polymers could also act as electrolytes for lithium ion batteries. Teng's group synthesized three polymers (**17**, **18** and **19**) (Scheme 10).³³ By mixing the three polymers, the P(EO-*co*-PO) polymeric framework of the electrolyte was gained. The P(EO-*co*-PO) polymeric framework exhibited high ionic conductivity. In particular, the high solvent-coordinating ability of ether groups in the P(EO-*co*-PO) polymeric framework created free volume for ion motion, which facilitated the transport of solvent-solvated Li^+ ions through the segmental movement of polymer chains. When it was used in the graphite|GPE|LiFePO₄ battery, the P(EO-*co*-PO) polymeric framework had high ionic conductivity and lithium transference number. Also, the P(EO-*co*-PO) polymeric framework exhibited smaller interfacial resistance against lithium metal. Compared with the carbonate solvent, the P(EO-*co*-PO) polymeric framework stabilized the




DGEBA

17




PEGDE

18



D2000

19


Scheme 10 The structures of polymers.³³Scheme 11 The structure of poly(allyl glycidyl ether).³⁴

solvent and suppressed the formation of thick SEI layers that hinder interfacial ion transport.


Hawker's group found that the poly(allyl glycidyl ether) (PAGE) platform could be used as electrolyte (Scheme 11).³⁴ In the structure of these electrolytes (**20** and **21**), the allyl ether could prevent the formation of nonconducting crystalline regions, which are bad for ion conduction. Additionally, the introduction of ethylene oxide oligomer could increase the conductivity. They found that these electrolytes have conductivities near $10^{-4} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ at room temperature. The properties of **21** are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

Additive

In the lithium manganite/carbon (LMO-GR) cell, lithium manganite's activity determined the performance of the battery. Dissolved Mn ions from the positive electrode (lithium manganite) migrated to and were deposited on the negative electrode (carbon), which degraded the cell's performance for lithium ion batteries (LIBs). In order to prevent dissolved Mn ions from reaching the negative electrode, Levi's group synthesized two crown ether-based polymers, poly(undecylenyl



15



16

Scheme 9 The structures of organic solvent alkyl ethers.³²

Table 2 P(EO-co-AGE) characterization and properties³⁴

Molar feed ratio [EO]/[AGE]	Polymer% EO (wt)	M_w (kg mol ⁻¹) by NMR	M_n (kg mol ⁻¹) by GPC	PDI	T_g (°C)	X_c (%)
PEO	100	n. a.	33.7	1.07	n. a.	86
7.5	77	39.4	29.5	1.12	-69	32
5	69	16.9	14.3	1.21	-70	23
4	61	14.7	15.8	1.13	-71	15
3	56	6.5	7.9	1.13	-69	0
1	32	27.5	17.3	1.11	-73	0

Table 3 Glass transition temperature and Vogel–Tammann–Fulcher (VTF) parameters for polymer electrolytes³⁴

$[O]/[Li]$	$r = [Li]/[O]$	w_{LiTFSI}	T_g (°C)	E_a (kJ mol ⁻¹)	σ_0 (S cm ⁻¹)
[Li] = 0	0	0.00	-78.0	5.96	6.24×10^{-7}
90	0.01	0.05	-75.8	9.02	5.11×10^{-3}
52	0.02	0.09	-74.0	9.58	1.97×10^{-2}
32	0.03	0.13	-72.0	9.82	4.54×10^{-2}
26	0.04	0.16	-67.5	10.4	1.58×10^{-1}
16	0.06	0.24	-58.4	9.8	2.33×10^{-1}
10	0.10	0.33	-49.3	9.47	2.79×10^{-1}



^a Measured by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). T_0 set to $T_g - 50$ K in VTF fits to conductivity data.


oxymethyl-18-crown-6) (PUNOM-18C6) (22) and poly(vinylbenzo-18-crown-6) (PVB-18C6) (23) (Scheme 12).³⁵ The PVB-18C6 polymer could trap Mn ions, which meant that the amount of manganese deposited at the negative electrode would be correspondingly reduced. Concomitantly, a 26% improvement in capacity was gained after 100 cycles of the LMO-GR. For PVB-18C6, the macrocycle and the polymer backbone were linked by the benzene ring, and the rigid link played a determining role for the Mn ion trapping ability. For PUNOM-18C6, the flexible link between the macrocycle and the polymer led to no trapping of Mn ions. Thus PVB-18C6 was better than PUNOM-18C6 as an additive for Li-ion batteries.

As well as crown ethers, alkyl ethers could also be used as additives for Li-ion batteries. By changing the ratio of ether/alkyl, Xie and co-workers synthesized three different ethers, 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) (24), diethylene glycol dimethyl ether

(DG) (25) and triethylene glycol dimethyl ether (TEGDME) (26) (Scheme 13).³⁶ With the presence of DME, the cell was faster to reach the stable state than with DG and TEGDME. The SEI film in DME was stable at the beginning of cycling. Moreover, the electrolytes containing DG and TEGDME had a higher resistance when the lithium ions migrated through the SEI film on the lithium electrode. The TEGDME-based electrolyte was more active for SEI film formation. This means that different ratios of the ether/alkyl moieties had a great impact on SEI formation, and determined the performance of the lithium ion battery.

As we all know, ionic liquids (ILs) could be used as electrolytes as well as additive. Yoshizawa-Fujita and co-workers synthesized one ionic liquid containing a zwitterion named 3-(1-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium-1-yl)propane-1-sulfonate (OE2pyps) (28) (Scheme 14).³⁷ For the research, *N*-methyl-*N*-methoxymethylpyrrolidinium bis(fluorosulfonyl)amide ([Pyr_{1,101}][FSA]) (27) and lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl)amide (LiFSA) were used as electrolytes. When the OE2pyps was used as the additive for the lithium ion battery, the electrolyte with [Pyr_{1,101}][FSA]/LiFSA had a higher viscosity and lower ionic conductivity and lithium transference number. The interactions between the sulfonate groups of OE2pyps (28) and lithium ions might have a great impact on the viscosity and ionic conductivity changes. Within the cut-off voltage range of 3.0–4.3 V, the cell had better discharge capacities during the charge/discharge tests with increasing OE2pyps content. Moreover, the cycle stability became better with the addition of OE2pyps. By adding OE2pyps into the electrolytes, the interface resistance between the electrolyte and cathode was suppressed. Therefore, using this zwitterion with its long ether group as additive for Li-ion batteries could improve their charge/discharge stability. The diffusion coefficients and lithium transference numbers are summarized in Table 4.

Scheme 12 The structures of crown ethers-based polymers.³⁵Scheme 13 The structures of alkyl ethers.³⁶


Scheme 14 The structures of ionic liquids.³⁷

Binder

Xue and co-workers synthesized a sulfonated poly(ether-ether-ketone) with pendant lithiated fluorinated sulfonic groups (SPEEK-FSA-Li) (29) (Scheme 15), and found it was a good candidate as ionic conductivity binder.³⁸ Compared with the binder PVDF, it had stronger adhesion and better stability. With the charge delocalization over the sulfonic chain, SPEEK-FSA-Li acted as binder with larger ionic conductivity and Li^+ ion diffusion. When the SPEEK-FSA-Li binder was mixed into the electrode, the electrode exhibited smaller resistances at the solid–electrolyte interface (SEI) and lithium ion transport, which was good for lithium ion intercalation and de-intercalation. This means that the electrode had low charge plateau potential and high discharge plateau potential. The resistance values are summarized in Table 5.



Separator

Seidel *et al.* found that ether-modified polysiloxanes (PSx-PEO₃) (30) could act as active separators for the lithium ion battery when they were blended within a poly(vinylidene fluoride-*co*-hexafluoropropylene) (PVDF-HFP) matrix (Scheme 16). Moreover, when 20 wt% of PSx-PEO₃ was added into the PVDF-

Scheme 15 The structure of SPEEK-FSA-Li.³⁸Table 5 Resistance values obtained from fitting impedance spectra³⁸

Structure	$R_s/\Omega \text{ cm}^{-2}$	$R_p/\Omega \text{ cm}^{-2}$	$R_{ct}/\Omega \text{ cm}^{-2}$
PVDF	2.13	43.76	147.70
SPEEK-FSA-Li	1.71	8.60	88.58

HFP matrix, the membrane system showed better ionic conductivity and cycling results than the pure PVDF-HFP system.³⁹

Scheme 16 The structure of ether-modified polysiloxanes.³⁹Scheme 17 The structure of PEEK.⁴⁰Table 4 Diffusion coefficients and lithium transference numbers for [Pyr_{1,101}][FSA]/LiFSA, [Pyr_{1,101}][FSA]/LiFSA/OE2pyps (5 wt%), and [Pyr_{1,101}][FSA]/LiFSA/OE2pyps (10 wt%) at 40 °C (ref. 37)

Structure	$D_H/\text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$	$D_F/\text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$	$D_{\text{Li}}/\text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$	t_{Li^+}
[Pyr _{1,101}][FSA] (LiFSA)	5.75×10^{-11}	5.72×10^{-11}	4.54×10^{-11}	0.41
[Pyr _{1,101}][FSA] (LiFSA) (5 wt%)	4.00×10^{-11}	4.71×10^{-11}	1.31×10^{-11}	0.22
[Pyr _{1,101}][FSA] (LiFSA) (10 wt%)	3.60×10^{-11}	4.24×10^{-11}	5.67×10^{-12}	0.12



Table 6 Physical properties of PEEK membranes and PE separators⁴⁰

Structure	Thickness (μm)	Porosity [%]	Electrolyte contact angle [deg]	Electrolyte uptake [%]	Ion conductivity [S cm ⁻¹ , 25 °C]
	20	40	53	111	6.65×10^{-4}
	30	78	29	251	10.64×10^{-4}

Li's group have reported a porous poly(ether-ether-ketone) (PEEK) (31) material could be used as separator in lithium ion batteries with high thermal stability and superior rate capability (Scheme 17).⁴⁰ Compared with the commercial polyethylene (PE) separator, the electrolyte uptake could reach 251% when the porous PEEK membrane was used, which means that it showed excellent electrolyte wettability. More importantly, the discharge capacity could reach 124.1 mA h g⁻¹ with the PEEK membrane acting as separator in the lithium-ion battery, which means that it showed outstanding rate performance. The physical properties of PEEK membranes and PE separators are summarized in Table 6.

Kim's group have reported a kind of copolymer based on poly(arylene ether ketone) (PAEK) and poly(lactic acid) (PLA) structures (32) (Scheme 18). When various concentrations of PLA were added into the PAEK, the pore size and porosity of the copolymers were different.⁴¹ Compared with commercial poly(propylene) (PP) separator, the copolymers based on PAEK and

PLA had better liquid electrolyte uptake, excellent thermal and mechanical stability, and higher lithium ion conductivity, which means the copolymers were a good candidate for separator. Based on the PAEK structure, Liu and co-workers found that phenolphthalein and allyl groups-modified PAEK (P-PAEK) (33) could also be used as an excellent composite separator for the lithium ion battery when it was mixed with PVDF (Scheme 19). It had high porosity, uniform pore distribution and low thermal shrinkage.⁴²

Anode

A microporous Zn-based metal-organic framework (MOF) containing 12-crown-4 units (Zn-MOF-Crown) (34) was constructed by Zhao's group (Scheme 20).⁴³ This material could be used as the anode material in the lithium ion battery, with a charge capacity of 273 mA h g⁻¹. More importantly, it retained 88% of its capacity after 500 cycles. During charge and discharge, the MOF structure integrity never was damaged because of the interaction between the Li⁺ ions and crown ether. Interestingly, when the Zn-MOF-Crown complexed with Li⁺ ions and Li⁺@Zn-MOF-Crown was formed, it had better electrochemical performance, with a charge capacity of 348 mA h g⁻¹ and long-term cycling ability. This means the introduction of crown ether could improve the performance in green energy systems. The properties of different MOFs or MOF-derived materials for LIBs are summarized in Table 7. Compared with other MOF-derived materials, crown ether-based MOFs had longer-term cycling ability with high charge capacity.




Scheme 18 The structure of PAEK-PLA.⁴¹Scheme 19 The structure of P-PAEK.⁴²Scheme 20 The structure of Zn-MOF-Crown.⁴³

Table 7 MOF or MOF-derived materials for LIBs⁴³

Structure	Charge capacity/discharge capacity (mA h g ⁻¹)	Reversible capacity (mA h g ⁻¹)	Cycle number
	273/405	239	500
Zn-MOF-Crown			
[Fe ^{III} (OH) _{0.8} F _{0.2} (O ₂ CC ₆ H ₄ CO ₂)] ⁺ ·H ₂ O, MIL-53	70/80	71	50
MIL-177	110/425	—	2
Zn ₃ (HCOO) ₆	693/1344	560	30
Co ₃ (HCOO) ₆	870/1720	390	60
Zn _{1.5} Co _{1.5} (HCOO) ₆	930/1570	450	60
Mn-LCP	610/1870	390	5
Co ₂ (OH) ₂ BDC	1005/1385	650	50

Conclusions

In conclusion, ether-functionalized lithium ion batteries have been reported widely. According to the functionalization of ether groups, ethers are used mainly as electrolyte, additive, binder, separator or anode in lithium ion batteries. When functionalized cation ionic liquids were used as electrolyte, ether-based ionic liquids had better properties for LIBs, but it was very difficult to gain pure ionic liquids. Ether-based polymers could be used as electrolyte and separator. Crown ether could be utilized to construct novel additives and anodes. Compared with cation ionic liquids and polymers, crown ether was easier to synthesize, and crown ether-based metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) had better charge capacity with excellent cycling performance. Moreover, by changing the cavity size of the crown ether, it could be complexed with different metal ions such as sodium and magnesium. This means that the electrochemical performance of sodium ion batteries and magnesium ion batteries could also be improved by introducing crown ether. In the future, it will be significant to find and synthesize novel crown ether-based materials, which could be utilized to construct the anode for lithium ion batteries, sodium ion batteries and magnesium ion batteries.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT (2016R1C1B2007299) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (21602122).

Notes and references

1 For selected review, see: L. He, F. Lin, X. Li, H. Sui and Z. Xu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 5446–5494.

- 2 For selected reviews, see: A. Karci, *Chemosphere*, 2014, **99**, 1–18.
- 3 X. Gong, H. Kang, Y. Liu and S. Wu, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 40269–40282.
- 4 F. Liu, Z. Wang, D. Liu and J. Li, *Polym. Int.*, 2009, **58**, 912–918.
- 5 For selected reviews, see: Z. Liu, S. Nalluri and J. F. Stoddart, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 2459–2478.
- 6 G. Bo, G. Dolphijn, C. McTernan and C. Leigh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 8455–8457.
- 7 W. Deng, A. Flood, J. F. Stoddart and W. Goddard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 15994–15995.
- 8 F. Hu, J. Huang, M. Cao, Z. Chen, Y. Yang, S. H. Liu and J. Yin, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 7712–7720.
- 9 X. Han, M. Cao, Z. Xu, D. Wu, Z. Chen, A. Wu, S. H. Liu and J. Yin, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 9767–9774.
- 10 X. Wang, W. Han, H. Chen, J. Bai, T. Tyson, X. Yu, X. Wang and X. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 20692–20695.
- 11 X. Wang, S. Singh, T. Ma, C. Lv, N. Chawla and H. Jiang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 5831–5840.
- 12 A. Mascaro, Z. Wang, P. Hovington, Y. Miyahara, A. Paoletta, V. Gariepy, Z. Feng, T. Enright, C. Aiken, K. Zaghib, K. Bevan and P. Grutter, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 4489–4496.
- 13 For selected reviews, see: W. Li, B. Song and A. Manthiram, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 3006–3059.
- 14 For selected reviews, see: Y. Tang, Y. Zhang, W. Li, B. Ma and X. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 5926–5940.
- 15 Q. Truong, M. Devaraju and I. Honma, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 17400–17407.
- 16 Y. Feng, H. Zhang, L. Fang, Y. Ouyang and Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 15969–15976.
- 17 For selected reviews, see: J. Xie and Q. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 7091–7106.
- 18 L. Fédèle, F. Sauvage and M. Bécuwe, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 18225–18228.
- 19 H. Park, D. Shin, T. Song, W. Park and U. Paik, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 6958–6965.
- 20 For selected reviews, see: T. Song and U. Paik, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 14–31.



21 O. Wijaya, P. Hartmann, R. Younesi, I. Markovits, A. Rinaldi, J. Janek and R. Yazami, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 19061–19067.

22 H. Fei, Y. An, J. Feng, L. Ci and S. Xiong, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 53560–53565.

23 M. Cheng, L. Li, Y. Chen, X. Guo and B. Zhong, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 77937–77943.

24 M. Xie, J. Wang, X. Wang, M. Yin, C. Wang, D. Chao and X. Liu, *Macromol. Res.*, 2016, **24**, 965–972.

25 For selected reviews, see: U. Farooqui, A. Ahmad and N. Hamid, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2017, **77**, 1114–1129.

26 Y. Jin, J. Zhang, J. Song, Z. Zhang, S. Fang, L. Yang and S. Hirano, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **254**, 137–147.

27 Y. Jin, S. Fang, M. Chai, L. Yang, K. Tachibana and S. Hirano, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **226**, 210–218.

28 S. Chavan, A. Tiwari, T. Nagaiah and D. Mandal, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 16116–16126.

29 G. Wang, S. Shen, S. Fang, D. Luo, L. Yang and S. Hirano, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 71489–71495.

30 Y. Jin, S. Fang, L. Yang, S. Hirano and K. Tachibana, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 10658–10666.

31 K. Zhang, C. Guo, Q. Zhao, Z. Niu and J. Chen, *Adv. Sci.*, 2015, **2**, 1500018.

32 S. Fang, G. Wang, L. Qu, D. Luo, L. Yang and S. Hirano, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 21159–21166.

33 L. Huang, Y. Shih, S. Wang, P. Kuo and H. Teng, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 10492–10501.

34 K. Barteau, M. Wolffs, N. Lynd, G. Fredrickson, E. Kramer and C. Hawker, *Macromolecules*, 2013, **46**, 8988–8994.

35 B. Ziv, N. Levy, V. Borgel, Z. Li, M. Levi, D. Aurbach, A. Pauric, G. Goward, T. Fuller, M. Balogh and I. Halalay, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2014, **161**, 1213–1217.

36 S. Xiong, Y. Diao, X. Dong, Y. Chen and K. Xie, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2014, **719**, 122–126.

37 S. Horiuchi, H. Zhu, M. Forsyth, Y. Takeoka, M. Rikukawa and M. Yoshizawa-Fujita, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, **241**, 272–280.

38 Z. Wei, L. Xue, F. Nie, J. Sheng, Q. Shi and X. Zhao, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **256**, 28–31.

39 S. Seidel, S. Vettikuzha and H. Wiemhofe, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 12048–12051.

40 D. Li, D. Shi, K. Feng, X. Li and H. Zhang, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2017, **530**, 125–131.

41 A. Mong and D. Kim, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **304**, 301–310.

42 M. Xie, M. Yin, G. Nie, J. Wang, C. Wang, D. Chao and X. Liu, *Polymer Chem.*, 2016, **54**, 2714–2721.

43 L. Bai, B. Tu, Y. Qi, Q. Gao, Z. Liu, D. Liu, L. Zhao, Q. Li and Y. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 3003–3006.

