



Cite this: *EES Catal.*, 2024, 2, 638

Crystal facet engineering of spinel NiCo₂O₄ with enhanced activity and water resistance for tuneable catalytic methane oxidation†

Yash Boyjoo,^{abc} Yonggang Jin,^{*a} Xin Mao,^d Guangyu Zhao,^a Thomas Gengenbach,^e Aijun Du,^{ib} Hua Guo^{*a} and Jian Liu^{ib} ^{*bf}

Spinel NiCo₂O₄ are excellent catalysts for complete methane oxidation. Nevertheless, the spinel structure is thermally unstable and its activity is negatively affected by humidity. Herein, we report crystal facet engineering synthesis of spinel NiCo₂O₄ hexagonal nanosheets with different exposed facets. Density functional theory (DFT) simulations predict that a more viable reaction mechanism for methane oxidation occurs on 112-NiCo₂O₄ with {112} exposed facets compared with 111-NiCo₂O₄ with {111} exposed facets. Detailed material characterization and catalytic oxidation testing verified the DFT results showing that 112-NiCo₂O₄ has better thermal stability as well as higher catalytic activity towards methane oxidation than 111-NiCo₂O₄. Conversely, 111-NiCo₂O₄ has the enhanced water resistance of the two catalysts. DFT calculations suggest that OH groups tend to preferentially adsorb onto metal sites, which (1) reduces the number of active sites available and (2) makes CH₄ adsorption and activation a more arduous process. This study offers insights on the behavior of spinel oxide catalysts towards methane combustion in dry and humid conditions, further demonstrating that crystal facet engineering can be a practical strategy to tune the activity and water resistance of metal-oxide catalysts.

Received 20th November 2023,
Accepted 25th December 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ey00281k

rsc.li/eescatalysis

Broader context

Catalytic oxidation is ideal for the treatment of low concentration effluents. In this context, spinel NiCo₂O₄ have been found to be excellent catalysts for complete CH₄ oxidation. Nevertheless, the spinel structure is thermally unstable and its activity is negatively affected by humidity. Herein, we report crystal facet engineering synthesis of spinel NiCo₂O₄ hexagonal nanosheets with different exposed facets. Experimental and density functional theory (DFT) studies show that 112-NiCo₂O₄ with {112} exposed facets has better thermal stability as well as higher catalytic activity towards methane oxidation than 111-NiCo₂O₄ with {111} exposed facets. Conversely, 111-NiCo₂O₄ has the enhanced water resistance of the two catalysts. Modelling studies suggest that OH groups tend to preferentially adsorb onto metal sites. Nevertheless it may be possible that in humid conditions, CH₄ oxidation follows an alternative pathway on 111-NiCo₂O₄. This study offers insights on the behavior of spinel oxide catalysts towards CH₄ combustion in dry and humid conditions, further demonstrating that crystal facet engineering can be a practical strategy to tune the activity and water resistance of metal-oxide catalysts. These low-cost and high-performance catalysts are highly demanded for mitigating fugitive CH₄ emissions in resources and energy sectors such as coal mining, natural gas industry, natural gas engines, *etc.*

Introduction

Approximately 70% of the methane (CH₄) emitted from coal mines is released as ventilation air methane (VAM).¹ Being a much more potent greenhouse gas than CO₂,¹ efficient remediation pathways are required to be considered for the removal of CH₄ from VAM. Since thermal oxidation of dilute methane in the ventilation air requires reaction temperatures usually above 1000 °C, catalytic oxidation of lean CH₄ has been investigated extensively as a promising way to lower this temperature *via* the use of a catalytic process.² Catalytic oxidation is ideal for the treatment of low concentration effluents and has been successfully used for the treatment of CH₄³⁻⁵ but also for the combustion of

^a CSIRO Mineral Resources, 1 Technology Court, Pullenvale, QLD 4069, Australia.
E-mail: yonggang.jin@csiro.au, hua.guo@csiro.au

^b State Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 457 Zhongshan Road, Dalian 116023, China.
E-mail: jian.liu@surrey.ac.uk

^c Curtin Mauritius, Telfair, Moka, Mauritius

^d School of Chemistry, Physics and Mechanical Engineering, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD 4001, Australia

^e CSIRO Manufacturing, Bayview Avenue, Clayton, VIC 3168, Australia

^f DICP-Surrey Joint Centre for Future Materials, Department of Chemical and Process Engineering and Advanced Technology Institute, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 7XH, UK

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ey00281k>



other hydrocarbons such as carbon monoxide,⁶ formaldehyde,⁷ toluene,^{8,9} propane¹⁰ and chlorinated volatile organic compounds (VOCs).¹¹

It is generally agreed that CH₄ total oxidation proceeds through a Mars–Van Krevelen (M–vK) mechanism, during which the hydrocarbon molecules get adsorbed on the metal active sites followed by stepwise oxidation using lattice oxygen.² The oxygen vacancies created as a result can be filled by adsorption and dissociation of oxygen from the air during reaction. While supported PdO_x nanoparticles are the state-of-the-art catalytic materials for CH₄ complete oxidation,¹² non-noble metal oxides such as Co₃O₄ hexagonal nanosheets,^{13,14} Co₃O₄ nanoparticles,^{5,15} Co₃O₄ nanotubes,¹⁶ CuO nanobelts,¹⁷ bowtie-shaped spinel NiCo₂O₄ catalysts,¹⁸ and composites such as Co₃O₄/CeO₂,¹⁹ MnO_x–NiO²⁰ and NiO/CeO₂²¹ have also proved to be appealing candidates, with the added benefit of being much cheaper than noble metal catalysts. Spinel Co₃O₄ or NiCo₂O₄ type materials are among the most attractive as they can deliver complete CH₄ oxidation at temperatures in the neighbourhood of 500 °C. This is attributed to the spinel structure that allows the formation of oxygen vacancies rather easily, due to the uneven metal–oxygen bond lengths within the material's matrix. Nevertheless, the main issue with the spinel structure is that it is thermally unstable and decomposes at elevated temperatures into a much less active material.²² For example, NiO tends to segregate from the spinel NiCo₂O₄ structure at elevated temperatures,^{22,23} which deactivates the catalyst.

PdO_x and metal oxides nanoparticles are generally deactivated by the presence of water vapour that is normally present in dilute methane emissions.^{12,24,25} This is because water molecules tend to compete for active sites or facilitate the coalescence of PdO_x nanoparticles. Consequently, the development of efficient, active and water-resistant catalysts presents a major challenge in this current field of research. Some of the strategies that have been used so far for metal oxides are compositing with other metal oxides²⁵ or, in the case of PdO_x, the use of supports or the addition of Pt as a promoter.¹²

Crystal facet engineering (CFE) of nanocrystals strategy has been widely reported for the enhanced catalytic activity of catalysts *via* the design and creation of specific exposed crystal planes on its surface. The unique atomic arrangements that occur as a consequence of CFE allows the possibility to stabilise high index crystal planes that can: expose abundant unsaturated active sites to adsorb and activate reactants, facilitate formation of oxygen vacancies and create structural defects such as steps and edges.²⁶ Over the past decade or so, CFE has been successfully applied in batteries,^{27,28} gas-sensing,²⁹ water-splitting,^{30,31} photocatalysis,³² CO₂ conversion^{33,34} and oxygen reduction/evolution reactions (ORR/OER).^{35,36} Notably, CFE has been successfully applied to the catalytic oxidation of hydrocarbons. High energy surface facets in MnO₂ catalysts could facilitate the creation of oxygen vacancies compared with the low-index facets such as {110} or {100}, resulting in enhanced activities for VOC oxidation.^{7,8} The exposed crystal facet {112} in Co₃O₄ was found to be responsible for its higher

activity during the combustion of methane when compared with the {001} and {011} planes.¹³ Unsurprisingly, the same exposed facet on Co₃O₄ hexagonal nanosheets was active for CO and toluene oxidation due to the stabilisation of oxygen vacancies, which allows the unhampered removal and replenishment of lattice oxygen during reaction.¹⁴ Oxygen vacancies created during CFE have also shown good ability to trap metal cations within the lattice³⁷ and favours single-atom doping³⁸ for applications in catalytic oxidation reactions. CFE can be relatively easily achieved through modifying synthesis procedures (*e.g.*, use of different capping agents, solvent ratios and hydrothermal synthesis temperatures) and is therefore an attractive and straightforward approach to be employed to tune the catalytic properties of crystal surfaces.

Herein, we applied CFE to synthesise two NiCo₂O₄ catalysts with similar morphologies and textural parameters but with different exposed crystal facets. As a result, we created 111-NiCo₂O₄ and 112-NiCo₂O₄ having a hexagonal nanoplate morphology and with {111} and {112} exposed crystal facets, respectively. Combined experimental and theoretical studies were performed to investigate the effect of the exposed crystal facets on the materials' catalytic activities and stabilities for CH₄ oxidation reaction under both dry and humid conditions. We found that the high index {112} exposed facet afforded better activity and stability to NiCo₂O₄ hexagonal nanosheets while the {111} facet offered enhanced water resistance. Through theoretical calculations, we then acquired fundamental understandings on how the presence of water vapour in the feed decreases the catalysts' activities. The impressive stability of 112-NiCo₂O₄ is demonstrated through long-term stability testing under humid conditions for 230 h reaction time. This study offers some insights into the behaviour of different exposed facets of spinel NiCo₂O₄ for CH₄ catalytic oxidation under dry and humid conditions. It also shows that CFE can be a useful strategy to tune the activity and water resistance of metal-oxide materials by offering alternative reaction pathways in catalytic oxidation reactions for the treatment of lean effluents.

Results and discussion

Characterization

The procedure for the synthesis of 111-NiCo₂O₄ and 112-NiCo₂O₄ is given in the ESI.† The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the 111-NiCo₂O₄ and 112-NiCo₂O₄ samples calcined at different temperatures are shown in Fig. S1 and S2 (ESI†), respectively. Both 111-NiCo₂O₄ and 112-NiCo₂O₄ samples exhibit spinel NiCo₂O₄ structure at 400 °C calcination temperature. However, the spinel structure of 111-NiCo₂O₄ decomposes to show obvious NiO peaks (at 2θ = 51° and 74°) at a calcination temperature of 450 °C while these peaks appear in 112-NiCo₂O₄ at a higher calcination temperature of 500 °C. The spinel structure is made up of AO₄ and BO₆ tetrahedral and octahedral cells, respectively, where A and B are metal atoms. When Ni atoms are doped into the spinel structure, they tend to occupy the octahedral sites of NiCo₂O₄.^{39,40} Due to the difference in the






Fig. 1 (a) SEM, (b) STEM and (c) high-resolution STEM for 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 (inset: corresponding FFT pattern), (d) SEM, (e) STEM and (f) high-resolution STEM for 122-NiCo₂O₄-400 (inset: corresponding FFT pattern).

atomic size of Ni relative to Co in NiCo₂O₄, the metal–oxygen bond lengths are uneven within the structure making it thermal unstable. Consequently, spinel NiCo₂O₄ has been reported to decompose at around 400 °C resulting in the segregation of NiO from the structure.^{3,23} The results obtained here attest for the higher thermal stability of 122-NiCo₂O₄ compared with 111-NiCo₂O₄. This could be attributed to the surface atomic arrangement in the high-index-faceted 122-NiCo₂O₄ that enhances its structural stability even at high temperatures.²⁶

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images in Fig. 1a and d show thin hexagonal nanoplate morphology of size *ca.* 200–350 nm for 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 and 300–400 nm for 122-NiCo₂O₄-400. Some small differences exist between the two samples such as the presence of small nanoparticles in 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 and the presence of a few through holes on the surfaces of 122-NiCo₂O₄-400 but we do not expect these minor variances to affect the overall CH₄ oxidation rates. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images in Fig. 1b and e show that both 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 and 122-NiCo₂O₄-400 have thin hexagonal nanoplate morphology. From the high-resolution Scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) image of 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 in Fig. 1c, the visible planes are (2–20) and (20–2), both with lattice distance of 0.28 nm as confirmed by the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) pattern (inset of Fig. 1c) and perpendicular to the {111} exposed facet according to the Weiss zone law.⁴¹ Similarly in Fig. 1f, the {112} exposed facet is dominant in 122-NiCo₂O₄-400 which is normal to the (11–1) and (1–31) planes with lattice distances of 0.46 nm and 0.24 nm, respectively and in accordance with FFT pattern (inset of Fig. 1f). These results confirm that {111} and {112} are the dominant exposed facets on the surface of 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 and 122-NiCo₂O₄-400, respectively. From N₂ adsorption isotherms (Fig. S3, ESI[†]), it was evaluated that both samples had a similar Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) specific surface areas with 38 m² g⁻¹ for 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 and 37 m² g⁻¹ for 122-NiCo₂O₄-400. These initial results confirm that the basic textural parameters of both samples (particles sizes, morphologies and surface areas) are comparable and therefore ideal for studying the effect of crystal exposed facet as a predominant variable for the catalytic oxidation of CH₄.

Hydrogen temperature-programmed-reduction (H₂-TPR) results in Fig. 2a reveal two reduction peaks for both samples. The first smaller peak is attributed to the reduction of M³⁺ cations to M²⁺ (M being the sum of Ni and Co atoms) while the second larger peak is due to the complete reduction of M²⁺ to M⁰.³ It was theoretically shown that M³⁺ species adsorb and activate the hydrocarbon molecules to be subsequently reduced to M²⁺ species during the oxidation reaction due to the donation of adjacent lattice oxygen atoms.^{5,6} Hence, comparing the first peak temperatures for both samples, M³⁺ species in 122-NiCo₂O₄-400 can be more easily reduced (228.6 °C) than in 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 (261.2 °C). Good reducibility or the ability to give away lattice oxygen atoms is a vital characteristic for metal oxide catalysts used in oxidation reactions. Furthermore, by calculating and comparing the areas under the peaks, it can be determined that 122-NiCo₂O₄ had slightly higher amount of M³⁺ at 58% compared to 53% for 111-NiCo₂O₄-400. The CH₄ consumption and CO₂ evolved during methane temperature-programmed-reduction (CH₄-TPR) are shown in Fig. 2b. The CO₂ produced is a result of the reaction of the adsorbed CH₄ with lattice oxygen from the NiCo₂O₄ surface. The reduction peaks are attributed to the reduction process of M³⁺ to M²⁺.¹³ Water was also detected while negligible CO or H₂ occurred during this time (see Fig. S4 and S5 in the ESI[†] for more details). The CH₄ consumption peaks centred at 452 °C and 469 °C, closely correspond to the CO₂ evolution peaks centred at 446 °C and 466 °C for 122-NiCo₂O₄-400 and 111-NiCo₂O₄-400, respectively. Additionally, the ratio of the areas under the CO₂ formation peaks divulge that 20% more CO₂ was formed on 122-NiCo₂O₄-400 relative to 111-NiCo₂O₄-400. The lower reduction temperature of the 122-NiCo₂O₄ sample from the CH₄-TPR results may be due to the atomic arrangement on the 122 surface which facilitates CH₄ adsorption, activation and oxidation (as confirmed by DFT later). It is noticed that the




Fig. 2 (a) H₂-TPR, (b) CH₄-TPR, high-resolution XPS of (c) Co 2p and (d) Ni 2p for 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 and 122-NiCo₂O₄-400. A representative Ni 2p peak-fit using NiO (Ni1) and Ni(OH)₂ (Ni2) model spectra is shown for 111-NiCo₂O₄-400. See text for details.



amount of CO₂ generated (20% more for 112-NiCo₂O₄-400 compared with 111-NiCo₂O₄-400) does not correspond to the amounts of M³⁺ as suggested by the H₂-TPR profiles in the respective samples. This could be due to: (1) not all the M³⁺ species act as active sites or (2) different atomic arrangement on the differently exposed crystal facet, which creates a more active pathway for CH₄ oxidation reaction (as revealed later in the DFT calculation section) on the 112-NiCo₂O₄ sample. Nevertheless, the CH₄-TPR findings are in line with the H₂-TPR results indicating 112-NiCo₂O₄-400 as a more active catalyst than 111-NiCo₂O₄-400.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis of transition metal oxides is not a trivial exercise because the relevant metal 2p photoelectron signals are very complex, and different oxidation states cannot easily be determined, let alone quantified. Herein we mainly rely on the important studies published over the last 10–15 years to extract reliable and meaningful information about the nature of the Co and Ni species in the NiCo₂O₄ samples.^{42–45} The Co 2p spectra for both 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 and 112-NiCo₂O₄-400 in Fig. 2c are similar and exhibit relatively narrow peak widths with flat, weak satellite structures. These features are characteristic to the presence of both Co³⁺ and Co²⁺, with predominantly the higher oxidation state specie, in a spinel lattice.⁴² Nevertheless, the quantification of all species is very difficult, not only because of the complex peak shape of the Co 2p doublet but also because of its significant overlap with both Co and Ni Auger peaks. An attempt at identifying and quantifying the two different oxidation states would be associated with large uncertainties and, therefore, be unreliable. The Ni 2p spectra for both samples are presented in Fig. 2d. They clearly display the characteristics of NiO, *i.e.*, a sharp intense peak at around 853.7 eV, a broader, weaker peak about 2 eV above the first peak, and a strong loss/satellite structure between 860 and 862 eV.^{43–45} However, compared to the sharp low binding energy (BE) peak, the second peak at 855–856 eV is more intense as what would be observed in a pure NiO sample. This points to the possible presence of some Ni(OH)₂ which has been shown to be inherently present as an impurity in the form of a thin layer over synthesised NiO samples following exposure to ambient atmosphere.^{43,44} Furthermore, the intense loss/satellites features indicate that the oxidation state of Ni is mainly 2+, *i.e.*, it is most probably present as NiO and Ni(OH)₂ rather than NiOOH.^{43,44} Previous studies^{39,40} have shown that the Ni is mostly present inside NiO₆ octahedral cells in the spinel structure of NiCo₂O₄ and this is depicted in Fig. S6a (ESI[†]). Similarly, the NiO crystal structure is an arrangement of NiO₆ octahedral cells, as shown in Fig. S6b (ESI[†]). Therefore, the Ni 2p spectra of both 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 and 112-NiCo₂O₄-400 samples are consistent with the NiO₆ octahedral cells present in the spinel NiCo₂O₄ structure. The O 1s spectra of both samples are similar and presented in Fig. S7 (ESI[†]). The C 1s spectra of the samples were used to determine the contribution to the total O 1s signal by organic carbon (see Fig. S8 for more details, ESI[†]). The compositions (atomic concentrations) of the samples are shown in Table S1 (ESI[†]). The measured Ni/Co atomic ratios are 1.0 and 0.7 for 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 and 112-NiCo₂O₄-400, respectively,

deviating significantly from the stoichiometric value of 0.5. This can be attributed to the surface chemical arrangement being quite different from the bulk, noting that the XPS sampling depth is of the order of just a few nm. The Ni 2p spectra for both samples could be fitted reasonably well using model spectra derived from NiO and Ni(OH)₂ reference compounds (components Ni1 and Ni2, Fig. 2d). The ratio of NiO/Ni(OH)₂ for 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 was higher at 5.5 compared to 3.2 for 112-NiCo₂O₄-400, implying that more NiO₆ cells are present on the surface of 111-NiCo₂O₄-400. Due to the thermal instability of the spinel NiCo₂O₄ structure, at high temperatures, we surmise that the NiO₆ octahedral cells tend to detach from the structure and migrate towards the surface of the catalyst where they can assemble into NiO fine nanocrystals, which then get coated with a thin Ni(OH)₂ layer once exposed to ambient air.^{22,23} This is consistent with the observed variation of the NiO/Ni(OH)₂ ratio in Table S1 (ESI[†]). Indeed, it was found that the samples calcined at a higher temperature of 450 °C present a surface enriched in NiO relative to the samples calcined at 400 °C. Furthermore, this ratio is higher for 111-NiCo₂O₄-450 compared to 112-NiCo₂O₄-450, which is in line with our XRD observations (Fig. S1 and S2, ESI[†]) that suggest that the sample with {112} exposed facets have a better thermal stability than the one with {111} exposed facets.

Comparison of 111 vs. 112 – DFT and experimental validation

An earlier density functional theory (DFT) study on NiCo₂O₄ with {110} exposed facets revealed that the most active site for CH₄ adsorption and activation was Ni³⁺ situated in octahedral cells within the spinel lattice and that CH₄ oxidation could follow two reaction pathways.⁵ In pathway 1, only Ni³⁺ acted as the active site while in pathway 2, both Ni³⁺ and Co³⁺ were considered as active sites. Both pathways shared steps in common for CH₄ adsorption and its dissociation into *CH₃ and *H. In our work according to DFT modelling, 2 analogous pathways were energetically feasible on the surfaces of 111-NiCo₂O₄ and 112-NiCo₂O₄ for complete CH₄ oxidation. Firstly, it needs to be specified that the {111} facet exposes only Ni atoms on its surface while the {112} facet exposes both Ni and Co atoms (see Fig. S9 for DFT generated model representations, ESI[†]). The types of exposed metal atoms as well as their geometrical arrangement will clearly affect the adsorption of CH₄ as well as its reaction mechanism towards complete oxidation. For pathway 1 on 111-NiCo₂O₄, only the Ni atom in the octahedral cell was the active site while in pathway 2 an O atom associated with a neighbouring Co atom also participated in the reaction mechanism. Regarding the 112-NiCo₂O₄ surface, pathway 1 involved one Ni and one Co atom in the reaction route while pathway 2 entailed two Ni atoms and one Co atom as participatory active sites. Fig. 3 displays the Gibbs free energy profiles of both pathways on the 112-NiCo₂O₄ surface as well as some representative molecular conformations derived from DFT modelling. The corresponding results for 111-NiCo₂O₄ are given in Fig. S10 (ESI[†]). Simplified diagrams of the detailed interactions of CH₄ and intermediates on the catalysts' surfaces are presented in Fig. S11–S14 (ESI[†]).






Fig. 3 (a) Gibbs free energy diagram showing pathways and (b) selected molecular conformations for CH₄ oxidation on the 112-NiCo₂O₄ surface.

The complete oxidation of CH₄ on both NiCo₂O₄ surfaces consisted of 11 elementary steps. The first step involving the adsorption of CH₄ on Ni active sites, exhibited a stronger binding energy of -0.30 eV on 112-NiCo₂O₄ compared to -0.19 eV on 111-NiCo₂O₄. This means that the 112-NiCo₂O₄ surface had a relatively better affinity for CH₄ capture and adsorption. Following the initial adsorption step, CH₄ had to overcome an activation barrier to dissociate into adsorbed *CH₃ and *H species. Thereafter sequential oxidation of *CH₃ to, *CH₂, *CHO, *CO and finally *CO₂ occurred by reaction with lattice surface oxygen. In detail, taking the 112-surface and

pathway 1 as example, a H atom migrates from the *CH₃ to produce *CH₂ species with one water molecule released to the atmosphere. DFT calculated results suggest that an uphill free energy change is required for this step. However, for pathway 2, there is interaction between the *CH₃ and the closest Co atom, which facilitates the migration of a H atom from *CH₃ to the lattice O in the vicinity of the Co atom. As a result, the free energy change strongly decreases to -0.87 eV. Hence pathway 2 is much more favourable for CH₄ oxidation on the 112-surface. After the formation of *CH₂ + *OH + *OH, the *CH₂ can dissociate into *CH, releasing one H atom to the lattice O of



the nearby Co atom to form a water molecule, with the free energy change slightly increasing for this step. Then the first O₂ molecule can be adsorbed into the generated oxygen vacancy with a significantly downhill free energy change. The adsorbed oxygen reacts with the *CH species to produce *CHO and *OH intermediates. The next step is the formation of CO molecule on the Ni active site. After that, another O₂ molecule that gets adsorbed into an oxygen vacancy site reacts with the *CO to produce *CO₂ which eventually desorbs from the active site and ends the complete oxidation cycle on 112-NiCo₂O₄. From Fig. S10a (ESI[†]), pathway 1 is the favoured route for CH₄ oxidation on 111-NiCo₂O₄ since two uphill free energy changes are involved with a total energy requirement of 2.78 eV compared with three uphill free energy steps in pathway 2 having a total energy demand of 3.37 eV.

For 112-NiCo₂O₄ the potential limiting step for pathway 1 is the formation of *CHO with an energy requirement of 0.62 eV while for pathway 2, the potential limiting step is the formation of *CH with an energy requirement of 0.47 eV. In the case of 111-NiCo₂O₄, the potential limiting step for pathway 1 is the formation of *CH₂ with an energy barrier of 1.61 eV while for pathway 2, the potential limiting step is the formation of *CH with an energy requirement of 1.38 eV. For both pathways, these intermediate reaction energy barriers were much larger on the 111-surface compared to the 112-surface. Consequently, CH₄ oxidation should theoretically be favoured on the 112-surface compared with the 111-surface.

We performed CH₄ catalytic oxidation light-off experiments to verify this. We used the samples calcined at 400 °C due to their

comparable physical and textural parameters (see XRD, SEM, TEM and N₂ adsorption results discussed earlier). The CH₄ catalytic oxidation experiments were carried out up to a temperature of 500 °C for the sake of completion of the light-off curves (Fig. 4a). However due to the thermal instability of the samples at temperatures higher than their calcination temperatures, we compared the data up to a catalytic oxidation temperature of 400 °C (Fig. S15, ESI[†]). From Fig. S15 (ESI[†]), 112-NiCo₂O₄ outperforms 111-NiCo₂O₄ under both dry and humid conditions over the whole temperature range investigated, achieving 80% and 65% methane conversion at 400 °C, respectively. These findings are in line with our DFT simulation results.

Effect of humidity

The presence of water vapour in the feed reduces the catalytic activity of both samples. To explain this, DFT simulations were carried out to calculate the adsorption energies and activation barriers of water on both surfaces (Table S2, ESI[†]). Interestingly, computer modelling indicated that water could be adsorbed on both Co and Ni atoms on the 112-surface, with the Co sites strongly preferred with an adsorption energy of -1.59 eV. Interaction of water molecules with the Ni site is also feasible due to an exothermic adsorption energy of -0.52 eV. Conversely, water could only adsorb on the Ni sites on the 111-surface with an adsorption energy of -0.94 eV. These adsorption energies are much more exothermic than those for CH₄, meaning that water molecules will preferentially adsorb on the active sites instead of CH₄ when the feed is humid. Furthermore,




Fig. 4 (a) Light-off curves for CH₄ oxidation (3.2% water vapor concentration under humid conditions), (b) DFT simulations comparing the adsorption and dissociation of methane on pristine and hydroxylated 111-NiCo₂O₄, (c) the temperature difference between wet and dry conditions at several T_x points for 111-NiCo₂O₄-400 and 112-NiCo₂O₄-400 and (d) water adsorption and dissociation on 111-NiCo₂O₄ and 112-NiCo₂O₄ active sites.



the energy required to dissociate a water molecule into adsorbed *OH (on the active metal sites) and *H (on the neighbouring lattice O sites) is 0.84 eV on the 112-surface and 0.66 eV on the 111-surface, resulting in heavily hydroxylated NiCo₂O₄ surfaces. These energy requirements are less positive than the corresponding energies required for CH₄ (Table S3, ESI[†]) and suggest that water adsorption and dissociation on the spinel NiCo₂O₄ active sites are feasible and indeed thermodynamically preferred to CH₄ adsorption and activation. We performed further DFT calculations to determine how CH₄ is adsorbed and activated on hydroxylated 112-NiCo₂O₄ and 111-NiCo₂O₄ surfaces. From molecular modelling, a stable conformation of the hydroxyl molecule could be maintained on the 112-NiCo₂O₄ surface when the O from OH is bonded to one Ni and one Co atoms. Methane can then adsorb onto the hydroxylated Ni atoms with an adsorption energy of -0.14 eV and then dissociate into *CH₃ and *H species with a high energy requirement of 1.38 eV. On the 111-NiCo₂O₄ surface, the O atom from the hydroxyl is bonded to one Ni atom. The corresponding adsorption and dissociation energies of CH₄ on the hydroxylated Ni atoms is calculated as -0.11 eV and 1.55 eV, respectively. Fig. 4b and Fig. S16 (ESI[†]) compares the adsorption and dissociation of CH₄ on both pristine and hydroxylated surfaces of 111-NiCo₂O₄ and 112-NiCo₂O₄, respectively. The results show that CH₄ adsorption and dissociation is much harder on hydroxylated NiCo₂O₄ surfaces compared to the pristine surfaces. Hence, the presence of water vapour in the feed has a dual negative effect upon the activity of the catalyst. Firstly, H₂O adsorption and activation are thermodynamically preferred to CH₄ onto the active sites. This creates a hydroxylated surface which reduces the number of active sites available for CH₄ adsorption and activation. Secondly, CH₄ adsorption and activation is energetically harder on the hydroxylated surfaces relative to the pristine surfaces. These findings explain our experimental results as to how the presence of humidity in the feed negatively impacts the active sites on the spinel surface and reduces the catalytic activity towards methane oxidation.

Although the 112-NiCo₂O₄ sample performs better than 111-NiCo₂O₄ under humid conditions, it appears that relative to its activity under dry conditions the 111-NiCo₂O₄ sample is more resistant to water vapour than 112-NiCo₂O₄. This is evident in Fig. 4c, where we compare the temperature difference between wet and dry conditions at different T_x ($x = 10, 20, 30, 40, 50$ and 60 , where for example T_{10} is the temperature at which 10% methane conversion occurs). This temperature difference is consistently larger for the 112-NiCo₂O₄ sample over the temperature range of interest. Fig. 4d shows the molecular arrangements for H₂O adsorption and dissociation on both 111-NiCo₂O₄ and 112-NiCo₂O₄, as determined from DFT simulations. The favoured adsorption and dissociation of water on both Ni and Co sites on 112-NiCo₂O₄ could hinder both pathways 1 and 2 for the methane oxidation reaction (since both Ni and Co sites are involved in the reaction mechanism, as mentioned in the DFT modelling section). However, since water adsorbs on the Ni sites on 111-NiCo₂O₄ (as only Ni sites are exposed to the reactants, see DFT section), this may hinder

pathway 1 of the reaction but it may be possible that the methane oxidation reaction shifts to the alternative pathway 2 on this surface during reaction under humid conditions. On the 111-NiCo₂O₄ surface, some H atoms from dissociated H₂O molecules will occupy the oxygen sites bonded to the Ni active sites, which may thwart the oxidation reaction. Therefore, the lattice O associated with a Co atom could alleviate this burden by participating in the oxidation reaction *via* pathway 2 (Fig. S12, ESI[†]). These reasons may explain why 111-NiCo₂O₄ is more resistant to water vapour than 112-NiCo₂O₄.

Interestingly, the results in this study reveal that different exposed crystal facets provide different sought-after properties for the catalytic oxidation of methane, *i.e.*, high activity and thermal stability on the {112} exposed facet of 112-NiCo₂O₄ and good water resistance/relative hydrophobicity on the {111} exposed facet of 111-NiCo₂O₄.

Stability tests

Preliminary stability tests were performed at 500 °C under humid conditions (3.2% water) over *ca.* 35 hours on 111-NiCo₂O₄ and 112-NiCo₂O₄ samples calcined at 450 °C (Fig. 5a). As expected, 112-NiCo₂O₄-450 shows better activity and stability with initial methane conversion of 97.6% and a final conversion of 90.4%, hence a 7.2% activity loss after 35 h reaction. Comparatively, 111-NiCo₂O₄-450 starts at 89.9% methane conversion but loses 11.2% activity after 35 h reaction, reaching only 78.8% conversion. To estimate the relative degree of NiO segregation from the spent samples, we calculated the ratio R of the peak heights at 50.756° with Miller index (012) for NiO to the peak height at 52.332° with Miller index (004) for NiCo₂O₄ from the XRD patterns of the fresh and spent samples in Fig. S17 and S18 (ESI[†]). The value of R is 2.2 for spent 111-NiCo₂O₄-450 compared with 1.6 for spent 112-NiCo₂O₄-450. This means that more NiO was segregated from the bulk spinel structure in the spent 111-NiCo₂O₄-450. This is expected as we earlier showed that 112-NiCo₂O₄ was more thermally stable than 111-NiCo₂O₄. XPS provides the relevant surface data of the tested samples. Table S1 (ESI[†]) presents a comparison of the NiO/Ni(OH)₂ ratios based on XPS analysis of the fresh and spent samples. The appropriately peak-fitted Ni 2p spectra for fresh and spent 111-NiCo₂O₄-450 and 112-NiCo₂O₄-450 are shown in Fig. 5b. Please note that we observed hardly any difference between the Co 2p spectra for fresh and spent samples, indicating that Co did not undergo any significant changes during testing (see Fig. S19, ESI[†]). However, a large increase in the NiO/Ni(OH)₂ ratios for both after-test samples was determined when compared to the fresh samples (Table S1, ESI[†]), consistent with the detachment of the NiO₆ cells from the spinel structure, followed by their migration towards the catalyst surface and assembling into NiO nanocrystals. Nevertheless, from Table S1 (ESI[†]), while the Ni/Co ratios for both spent samples are similar, it is interesting to see that the NiO/Ni(OH)₂ values for both spent samples are also comparable at *ca.* 14. This may be attributed to the high reaction temperature of 500 °C as well as long reaction time under humid conditions which are severe conditions for the integrity of the catalyst structure to be maintained. Hence, XPS results indicate






Fig. 5 (a) Preliminary stability rest comparing 111-NiCo₂O₄-450 and 112-NiCo₂O₄-450 at 3.2% water vapor concentration and a reaction temperature of 500 °C, (b) high-resolution XPS Ni 2p spectra for fresh and spent 111-NiCo₂O₄-450 and 112-NiCo₂O₄-450, SEM image of (c) 112-NiCo₂O₄-450 and (d) spent 112-NiCo₂O₄-450 and (e) long-term stability over 230 h for the catalytic oxidation of CH₄ using 112-NiCo₂O₄-450 at 3.2% water vapor concentration and a reaction temperature of 500 °C.

similar degrees of atomic rearrangements occurring on the surfaces of spent 111-NiCo₂O₄-450 and 112-NiCo₂O₄-450 although XRD results showed more NiO being segregated within the bulk of 111-NiCo₂O₄-450, which can be attributed to the lower thermal stability of NiCo₂O₄ with {111} exposed facets. Nevertheless, the comparatively similar surface NiO/Ni(OH)₂ as well as Ni/Co ratios on the spent samples further confirm our findings from Fig. 4a, *i.e.*, the {112} exposed facet is more active than the {111} exposed facet in NiCo₂O₄ for methane oxidation reaction, (since despite the harsh conditions used, it can be assumed that some of the exposed crystal facets initially present in the pristine samples are retained during stability testing). The SEM image in Fig. 5c show the thin hexagonal morphology of fresh 112-NiCo₂O₄-450. The structure is still somehow preserved in the spent sample (Fig. 5d) although a certain degree of sintering as well as edge-etching are observed on the particles. On the other hand, spent 111-NiCo₂O₄-450 shows a relatively higher level of particles sintering (Fig. S20, ESI[†]). Hence an overall better thermal structural stability is evident with 112-NiCo₂O₄-450 when compared with 111-NiCo₂O₄-450.

Long term stability test was performed on 112-NiCo₂O₄-450 for *ca.* 230 h (Fig. 5d) at 500 °C under humid conditions (3.2%

water). It can be seen that after the relatively large activity loss from 97.1% to 88.3% in the first 50 h of reaction time, good stability is maintained such that the catalyst still retains 85.7% activity after 230 h reaction. Table S4 (ESI[†]) compares the activity of the catalyst in this work with other similar types of catalysts from previous publications. The impressive maintenance in activity can be credited to the high structural stability and activity of the NiCo₂O₄ spinel catalyst with {112} exposed facets.

Conclusions

The use of spinel NiCo₂O₄ materials for catalytic oxidation reaction at high temperatures has been impaired mostly due to its low thermal stability. The stability and activity of NiCo₂O₄ for methane oxidation is largely dependent on the atomic arrangement at the catalysts' surface. We demonstrate that NiCo₂O₄ with {112} exposed facets is more stable and active than its counterpart with {111} exposed facets. DFT simulations indicate that the reaction mechanism for methane oxidation is less challenging on 112-NiCo₂O₄ as it offers less energetically intensive alternate pathways for the oxidation of reaction intermediates as opposed to 111-NiCo₂O₄. In addition, 111-NiCo₂O₄ had the better water resistance of the two samples. This is because different exposed facets could also affect the way that water molecules present in the feed interact with the surface-active sites and therefore affect the activity of the material under humid conditions. The experimental and theoretical findings in this work would pave the way for crystal facet engineering (in conjunction with other strategies such as doping, compositing and hierarchical structure design) as a powerful, elegant and facile method towards the development of abundant metal oxide catalyst materials with tuneable activity, stability and hydrophobicity for the complete oxidation of dilute methane. These low-cost and high-performance catalysts are highly demanded for mitigating fugitive methane emissions in resources and energy sectors such as coal mining, natural gas industry, natural gas engines, *etc.*

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by CSIRO and the Australian Coal Industry's Research Program (ACARP). Partially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (no. 2022YFA1504500, 2021YFA1502804).

References

- 1 I. Karakurt, G. Aydin and K. Aydiner, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2011, **15**, 1042–1049.
- 2 L. He, Y. Fan, J. Bellettre, J. Yue and L. Luo, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2020, **119**, 109589.



- 3 T. Lim, S. Cho, H. Yang, M. Engelhard and D. Kim, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2015, **505**, 62–69.
- 4 L. Hu, Q. Peng and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 16136–16137.
- 5 F. Tao, J. Shan, L. Nguyen, Z. Wang, S. Zhang, L. Zhang, Z. Wu, W. Huang, S. Zeng and P. Hu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 7798.
- 6 X. Xie, Y. Li, Z. Liu, M. Haruta and W. Shen, *Nature*, 2009, **458**, 746–749.
- 7 S. Rong, P. Zhang, F. Liu and Y. Yang, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 3435–3446.
- 8 J. Huang, R. Fang, Y. Sun, J. Li and F. Dong, *Chemosphere*, 2021, **263**, 128103.
- 9 J. Niu, H. Liu, Y. Zhang, X. Wang, J. Han, Z. Yue and E. Duan, *Chemosphere*, 2020, **259**, 127427.
- 10 Y. Jian, M. Tian, C. He, J. Xiong, Z. Jiang, H. Jin, L. Zheng, R. Albilali and J. Shi, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2021, **283**, 119657.
- 11 H. Liu, X. Li, Q. Dai, H. Zhao, G. Chai, Y. Guo, Y. Guo, L. Wang and W. Zhan, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2021, **282**, 119577.
- 12 D. Jiang, K. Khivantsev and Y. Wang, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 14304–14314.
- 13 L. Hu, Q. Peng and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 16136–16137.
- 14 S. Zhao, T. Li, J. Lin, P. Wu, Y. Li, A. Li, T. Chen, Y. Zhao, G. Chen, L. Yang, Y. Meng, X. Jin, Y. Qiu and D. Ye, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **420**, 130448.
- 15 Y. Zheng, Y. Liu, H. Zhou, W. Huang and Z. Pu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, **734**, 112–120.
- 16 Z. Fei, S. He, L. Li, W. Ji and C. Au, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 853–855.
- 17 Q. Kong, Y. Yin, B. Xue, Y. Jin, W. Feng, Z.-G. Chen, S. Su and C. Sun, *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.*, 2018, **9**, 2526–2532.
- 18 Y. Dai, V. P. Kumar, C. Zhu, H. Wang, K. J. Smith, M. O. Wolf and M. J. MacLachlan, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1807519.
- 19 J. Dou, Y. Tang, L. Nie, C. Andolina, X. Zhang, S. House, Y. Li, J. Yang and F. Tao, *Catal. Today*, 2018, **311**, 48–55.
- 20 Y. Zhang, Z. Qin, G. Wang, H. Zhu, M. Dong, S. Li, Z. Wu, Z. Li, Z. Wu, J. Zhang, T. Hu, W. Fan and J. Wang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2013, **129**, 172–181.
- 21 X. Huang, J. Li, J. Wang, Z. Li and J. Xu, *Front. Chem. Sci. Eng.*, 2020, **14**, 534–545.
- 22 M. Cabo, E. Pellicer, E. Rossinyol, O. Castel, S. Surinach and M. Baro, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2009, **9**, 4814–4821.
- 23 S. Verma, H. Joshi, T. Jagadale, A. Chawla, R. Chandra and S. Ogale, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 15106–15112.
- 24 A. Datye and M. Votsmeier, *Nat. Mater.*, 2021, **20**, 1049–1059.
- 25 D. Qiao, G. Lu, Y. Guo, Y. Wang and Y. Guo, *J. Rare Earths*, 2010, **28**, 742–746.
- 26 C. Xiao, B. Lu, P. Xue, N. Tian, Z. Zhou, X. Lin, W. Lin and S. Sun, *Joule*, 2020, **4**, 2562–2598.
- 27 X. Han, G. He, Y. He, J. Zhang, X. Zheng, L. Li, C. Zhong, W. Hu, Y. Deng and T. Ma, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **8**, 1702222.
- 28 C. Chang, K. Chen, Y. Hsieh, C. Chang and H. Tuan, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **16**, 1486–1501.
- 29 X. Gao and T. Zhang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **277**, 604–633.
- 30 S. Wang, G. Liu and L. Wang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 5192–5247.
- 31 L. Fang, Z. Jiang, H. Xu, L. Liu, Y. Guan, X. Gu and Y. Wang, *J. Catal.*, 2018, **357**, 238–246.
- 32 G. Liu, J. Yu, G. Lu and H. Cheng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 6763–6783.
- 33 L. Ma, R. Ye, Y. Huang, T. Reina, X. Wang, C. Li, X. Li Zhang, M. Fan, R. Zhang and J. Liu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **446**, 137031.
- 34 C. Yang, C. Pei, R. Luo, S. Liu, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Zhao and J. Gong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 19523–19531.
- 35 Q. Kuang, X. Wang, Z. Jiang, Z. Xie and L. Zheng, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 308–318.
- 36 S. Devaguptapu, S. Hwang, S. Karakalos, S. Zhao, S. Gupta, D. Su, H. Xu and G. Wu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 44567–44578.
- 37 J. Bae, D. Shin, H. Jeong, C. Choe, Y. Choi, J. Han and H. Lee, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 11066–11074.
- 38 Z. Xu, Y. Zhang, L. Qin, Q. Meng, Z. Xue, L. Qiu, G. Zhang, X. Guo and Q. Li, *Small*, 2020, **16**, 2002071.
- 39 M. Iliev, P. Silwal, B. Loukya, R. Datta, D. Kim, N. Todorov, N. Pachauri and A. Gupta, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2013, **114**, 033514.
- 40 J. Marco, J. Gancedo, M. Gracia, J. Gautier, E. Rios, H. Palmer, C. Greaves and F. Berry, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2001, **11**, 3087–3093.
- 41 S. Sun, X. Zhang, J. Cui and S. Liang, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 16657–16677.
- 42 M. Biesinger, B. Payne, A. Grosvenor, L. Lau, A. Gerson and R. Smart, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2011, **257**, 2717–2730.
- 43 M. Biesinger, B. Payne, L. Lau, A. Gerson and R. Smart, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 2009, **41**, 324–332.
- 44 A. Grosvenor, M. Biesinger, R. Smart and N. McIntyre, *Surf. Sci.*, 2006, **600**, 1771–1779.
- 45 M. Biesinger, L. Lau, A. Gerson and R. Smart, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 2434–2442.

