


Showcasing research from the research groups of Prof. Ang (Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore) and Prof. Babak (Department of Chemistry, City University of Hong Kong).

Metal-based immunogenic cell death inducers for cancer immunotherapy

Since our discovery of the first on-target metal-based immunogenic cell death (ICD) inducer in 2015, a large number of metal complexes with similar immunogenic properties have been uncovered. This review provides a comprehensive account of existing metal-based ICD inducers, detailing their molecular mechanisms that trigger ICD-related immune responses. This article also offers insights into the future development of metal complexes with enhanced ICD-inducing properties.

Image reproduced by permission of Maria Babak and Wee Han Ang from *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, **16**, 6160.

As featured in:



See Maria V. Babak, Wee Han Ang *et al.*, *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, **16**, 6160.

REVIEW

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, 16, 6160

Metal-based immunogenic cell death inducers for cancer immunotherapy

Jiao Xia Zou,^{†a} Meng Rui Chang,^{†a} Nikita A. Kuznetsov,^{†b} Jia Xuan Kee,^{ID a} Maria V. Babak^{*b} and Wee Han Ang^{ID *ac}

Immunogenic cell death (ICD) has attracted enormous attention over the past decade due to its unique characteristics in cancer cell death and its role in activating innate and adaptive immune responses against tumours. Many efforts have been dedicated to screening, identifying and discovering ICD inducers, resulting in the validation of several based on metal complexes. In this review, we provide a comprehensive summary of current metal-based ICD inducers, their molecular mechanisms for triggering ICD initiation and subsequent protective antitumour immune responses, along with considerations for validating ICD both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. We also aim to offer insights into the future development of metal complexes with enhanced ICD-inducing properties and their applications in potentiating antitumour immunity.

Received 16th December 2024
Accepted 24th February 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc08495k

rsc.li/chemical-science

1. Introduction

The landscape of clinical cancer treatments has undergone a significant transformation with the advent of immunotherapy, driven by the rise of revolutionary technologies, such as immune checkpoint blockade therapy,¹ adoptive T-cell therapy,^{2–4} and cancer vaccines.⁵ The concept of harnessing

the body's immune system to combat cancerous cells dates back to the 1800s, when physicians Fehleisen and Busch observed tumour regression in cancer patients infected with *Streptococcus pyogenes*-induced erysipelas.^{6,7} In 1891, William Bradley Coley, acknowledged as the Father of Immunotherapy, first injected inactivated bacteria ("Coley's toxins") to activate the immune system for treating bone cancer, thereby pioneering the field of cancer therapy.⁸

Immunotherapy offers a distinct advantage over conventional cancer treatment modalities, such as surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy, due to its systemic tumour-targeting capability and its potential to confer sustained, long-term immunity against tumours.⁹ However, the success of immunotherapies is heavily linked to the state of an individual's immune system and the immunogenicity of the tumour.¹⁰ The complexity of the immune response, negative feedback loops,

^aDepartment of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, 4 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117544, Singapore. E-mail: ang.weehan@nus.edu.sg

^bDrug Discovery Lab, Department of Chemistry, City University of Hong Kong, 83 Tat Chee Avenue, Hong Kong SAR, 999077, People's Republic of China. E-mail: mbabak@cityu.edu.hk


^cNUS Graduate School – Integrative Science and Engineering Programme (ISEP), National University of Singapore, 21 Lower Kent Ridge Rd, Singapore 119077, Singapore

[†] Contributed equally as co-first authors.



Jiao Xia Zou

Jiao Xia Zou received her MSc in Pharmacy from Lanzhou University (2020) and is currently a final year PhD candidate in Prof. Wee Han Ang's lab at the National University of Singapore. She is working on the development of chemical probes for studying enzyme biology and functions, and for targeting identification and mechanism elucidation of ICD.



Meng Rui Chang

Dr Meng Rui Chang received her PhD in Chemistry from National University of Singapore (2025) under the supervision of Prof. Wee Han Ang at National University of Singapore. Her research mainly focused on developing cyclometalated gold complexes as ICD inducers to treat cold tumors.

immune evasion checkpoints, cancer heterogeneity, and other factors further complicate the efficacy of immunotherapies.^{11–18} In light of the challenges and limitations of cancer immunotherapy, tremendous efforts have been made to identify key determinants of anticancer immune responses to improve immunotherapy outcomes.¹⁹ Within the cancer-immunity cycle, a crucial factor in initiating an immune response against cancer involves the recognition of cancer antigens by the immune system.²⁰ However, tumours can reduce their immunogenicity through multiple mechanisms, such as upregulating PD-L1, secreting immunosuppressive factors, and establishing an immunosuppressive tumour microenvironment (TME) that is inaccessible to immune cells.^{15,21–24}

Enhancing tumour immunogenicity has emerged as a promising strategy to combat tumour-induced immunosuppression. Immunogenic cell death (ICD), defined by the

Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death as a form of regulated cell death (RCD) that is sufficient to activate an adaptive immune response in immunocompetent syngeneic hosts,^{25,26} has been at the forefront of this approach. ICD was first recognized in 2005 by Kroemer and coworkers, who found that doxorubicin (DOX)-induced apoptotic tumour cell death is immunogenic. They demonstrated that DOX-treated tumour cells can serve as cancer vaccines to elicit antitumour immune responses mediated by dendritic cells (DCs) and cytotoxic CD8⁺ T-cells in immunocompetent mice.²⁷ This study first linked apoptosis inflicted by certain chemotherapeutic agents to ICD. Apoptosis, traditionally considered a physiological form of cell death, was believed to be immunogenically silent or even immunosuppressive for many years.^{28–30} Following the discovery of anthracycline-induced ICD phenomenon, other chemotherapeutic agents, such as mitoxantrone (MTX)^{31,32} and oxaliplatin



Nikita A. Kuznetsov

Nikita Kuznetsov received his BSc from D. I. Mendeleev University of Chemical Technology of Russia (2023). He is a research assistant in Prof Maria V. Babak's laboratory at City University of Hong Kong, working on the development of synthetic analogues of naturally occurring compounds, as well as the design of Au and Ru anticancer compounds.



Maria V. Babak

Prof. Maria (Masha) V. Babak received her BSc/MSc with Honors from Higher Chemical College of Russian Academy of Sciences (2010) and obtained her PhD from the University of Vienna (2014) under the supervision of Prof. Bernhard K. Keppler and Prof. Christian G. Hartinger. After working as a postdoctoral research fellow under the supervision of Prof. Wee Han Ang at the National University of Singapore and

completing High Impact Cancer Research program at Harvard Medical School, she assumed the position of principal investigator at City University of Hong Kong (2020). Her current research interests focus on experimental oncology, with a specific emphasis on in vivo mechanisms of anticancer drug candidates and AI-assisted drug discovery.



Jia Xuan Kee

Jia Xuan Kee graduated with a BSc (Hons) in Chemistry from the National University of Singapore with the Singapore National Institute of Chemistry Prize (2020). He is currently a PhD candidate in Prof. Wee Han Ang's lab at the National University of Singapore under the President's Graduate Fellowship, focusing on the development of novel Pt(IV) prodrugs and the elucidation of their mechanisms of actions.



Wee Han Ang

Prof. Wee Han ANG received his BSc (Hons) from Imperial College of London (1995) and obtained his PhD from École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (2007) under the supervision of Prof. Paul Dyson. After a postdoctoral stint with Prof. Stephan Lippard at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, he joined the National University of Singapore (2009) as a principal investigator and has been there since. His current research

focusses on the investigation and development of therapeutic metal complexes, particularly those based on platinum group metals, as well as the development of analytical techniques to study them.



(OXP),³³ cardiac glycosides,³⁴ as well as some physical anti-cancer therapies, including γ -irradiation³⁵ and photodynamic therapy,^{36,37} were also reported to induce ICD, resulting in antitumour immune response *in vivo*. These studies on ICD open the possibility of fully using ICD as an effective strategy to modulate the innate and adaptive immune systems, with the aim of preventing tumour recurrence and metastasis.

Over the last decade, numerous studies have been conducted to discover ICD inducers for therapeutic applications,^{38–50} owing to their ability to directly eradicate cancer cells and concomitantly stimulate adaptive immune responses for tumour eradication. Mechanistically, ICD involves the release of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) from dying cancer cells.^{38,39,50,51} These DAMPs, including the cell surface translocation of calreticulin (CRT), the extracellular release of high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), and the extracellular secretion of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), augment the immunogenicity of cancer cells and initiate the cancer-immunity cycle. These processes lead to the recruitment of mature, activated immune cells to the tumour site, ensuring effective antigen capture and presentation (Fig. 1).^{38,41,49,50,52}

This review focuses on the unique characteristics of metal complexes and provides an in-depth examination of current metal-based ICD inducers, with a particular emphasis on their

molecular targets, mode of mechanism, and roles in enhancing antitumour immune responses. Additionally, we outline the benchmark methods and models employed to validate ICD both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Unresolved yet significant issues and challenges encountered in the development of metal-based ICD inducers are also discussed. Finally, we conclude this review by highlighting the potential applications of ICD inducers for cancer immunotherapy that could pave the way for future explorations.

2. ICD mechanism

ICD is a unique event where cancer cells undergo programmed death while becoming immunogenic and activating adaptive immune response.^{26,49} Consequently, dying cancer cells treated with ICD inducers can be used as a vaccine to prevent tumour proliferation and activate a cancer-specific immune response.⁵³ Various cell stressors, including certain traditional chemotherapeutic agents,^{27,32,34,54,55} infective pathogens,^{56–59} and some physical therapeutic modalities, such as photodynamic therapy,^{60,61} extracorporeal photochemotherapy,⁶² electrochemotherapy,⁶³ photothermal therapy,^{64,65} radiotherapy,^{35,66–68} high hydrostatic pressure,⁶⁹ and many more,^{70–74} can provoke ICD.




Fig. 1 Activation of antitumour immune response following ICD induction. Upon treatment with ICD inducers, tumour cells undergo ICD and emit DAMPs. DAMPs recruit immune cells to the ICD site and interact with their corresponding receptors (*i.e.* CRT-LRP1, HMGB1-TLR2/4 and ATP-P2X2/P2Y7) on APCs, facilitating antigen uptake and processing. Mature APCs then present tumour antigens to T-cells while concurrently secreting cytokines, such as IL-1 β , consequently stimulating T-cell activation and proliferation. Ultimately, cytotoxic T-cells are generated, capable of producing IFN γ to eradicate tumour cells. In the meantime, memory T-cells are formed, indicative of the establishment of immunological memory.



ICD inducers are a type of chemotherapeutic agent that can cause cancer cells to undergo ICD and can be largely divided into two main types: Type I and Type II ICD inducers.^{51,73} The classification of ICD inducers mainly depends on whether they act directly on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Type I ICD inducers primarily act on intracellular components other than ER and generate ER stress as secondary or collateral effects. In contrast, Type II ICD inducers target the ER directly, which results in ER stress, thereby initiating ICD.

The ER stress in question typically arises from perturbation in proteostasis and is characterized by an accumulation of misfolded proteins within the ER, which can occur under the influence of ICD inducers.^{75–77} Beyond a tolerable ER stress threshold, an unfolded protein response (UPR) is provoked to restore protein folding capacity. UPR is mediated by three ER stress sensors: protein kinase R-like ER kinase (PERK), inositol-requiring enzyme 1 α (IRE1 α), and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6).^{78,79} The PERK pathway, in particular, is crucial for the initiation of ICD.^{80,81} Multiple studies have underscored the importance of ER stress and UPR for ICD induction.^{75,77,80} For example, ER stress was shown to restore the immunogenicity of cisplatin (CDDP)-induced cancer cell death.⁸² Most ICD inducers trigger ER stress for the initiation of the ICD process, with schweinfurthin alkaloids being the notable exception as they can induce ICD without eliciting ER stress.⁸³ Moreover, Type II ICD inducers are typically considered more effective than their Type I counterparts, and the ER-targeting strategy has been shown to be an effective approach to reinforce ICD effects.^{65,84–86}

In the process of ICD, DAMPs may be surface exposed, released or secreted.^{38,50,51} Most DAMPs are immunologically silent until they are released into the extracellular environment and serve as either adjuvant or danger signals to the immune system. These emitted DAMPs can be recognized by pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) on immune cells, such as toll-like and nucleotide oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptors.⁸⁷ The interactions between DAMPs and PRRs facilitate the uptake, processing and presenting of cancer antigens by antigen-presenting cells (APCs), leading to the activation of APCs and T-cells. These activated T-cells then infiltrate into the tumour sites and eradicate the cancer cells (Fig. 1).

2.1 Hallmarks of ICD

The induction of ICD is characterized by the emission of DAMPs, namely the translocation of CRT to the outer cell membrane and extracellular secretion of HMGB1 and ATP.^{26,88–90} The concurrent manifestation of these events serves as an indicator of ICD induction *in vitro*. Beyond these classical ICD hallmarks, ICD is also associated with other biological activities, such as cell surface exposure to heat-shock proteins (HSP70 and HSP90)^{36,91,92} and enhanced expression of Type I interferons (IFNs)⁹³ and interleukin-1 (IL-1) family cytokines,⁹⁴ which are observed in certain instances.

2.1.1 Calreticulin protein (CRT). Typically, CRT is a highly conserved soluble protein localized within the ER lumen where it plays a crucial role in maintaining Ca^{2+} homeostasis and acts

as a chaperone protein.^{95,96} In the event of ICD, CRT is translocated to the surface of the cell membrane.^{31,80,81,97–99} This relocation process correlates with the phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 α (eIF2 α), an ER stress biomarker, by different kinases (*i.e.* PERK, protein kinase R-PKR and general control nonderepressible 2-GCN2).^{80,81,98,100} Therefore, phosphorylated eIF2 α and ER stress are frequently examined in existing studies and considered important ICD signatures.^{101–103} However, it is also noteworthy that eIF2 α phosphorylation may not always be necessary for the externalization of CRT.^{83,98} Surface-exposed CRT (ecto-CRT) serves as an “eat me” signal to APCs by interacting with its transmembrane receptor CD91, which is also referred to as low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1).¹⁰⁴ This interaction stimulates the efficient engulfment of dying cancer cells by phagocytes. Inhibiting ecto-CRT exposure by either knocking down CRT or disrupting its trafficking to the cell surface has been shown to deprive the immunogenicity of dying tumour cells treated with anthracycline.⁹⁹ Conversely, the introduction of exogenous CRT restores the immunogenicity of non-immunogenic dying cancer cells. Furthermore, growing evidence suggests a link between ecto-CRT and the activation of a robust antitumour immune response.^{95,105–107} Collectively, these findings underscore the importance of ecto-CRT as a pivotal ICD biomarker and its indispensable role in conferring immunogenicity during ICD.

2.1.2 Adenosine triphosphate (ATP). Other than as an essential intracellular energy supplier for various cellular processes, ATP can be secreted by dying cancer cells into the extracellular environment functioning as signalling molecules.^{108–111} Their release mechanisms in ICD depend on the nature of induction (*e.g.* physical or chemical stress) and treatment duration, involving either pannexin 1 (PANX1)-associated lysosomal exocytosis in an autophagy-dependent manner or passive release at the late stage of cell death.^{109,112–116} Some studies have demonstrated that autophagy plays a significant role in ATP secretion and amplifying ICD effects.^{112–114} Suppressing autophagy by knocking down autophagy-related genes (*e.g.* Atg 5 and Atg 7) reduced the level of secreted ATP upon treatment with MTX and OXP.^{112,113} However, autophagy activation amplifies ICD and improves the chemotherapeutic outcomes of OXP.¹¹⁷

Extracellular ATP acts as a “find me” signal for myeloid cells by binding to purinergic receptor P₂Y₂ and ionotropic receptor P₂X₇, thereby recruiting them to the site of dying tumour cells.^{109,111,118} This promotes their local differentiation and the subsequent effective uptake of tumour antigens *in situ*.^{108,110,119} ATP binding to P₂X₇ leads to an efflux of K⁺ and Ca²⁺, which then activate the nucleotide-binding domain, leucine-rich – containing family, and pyrin domain – containing-3 (NLRP3) inflammasomes. This activation drives the secretion of IL-1 β , which is essential for the stimulation of IFN- γ producing CD8⁺ T-cells and the tumour-specific adaptive immune system.^{118,120,121} ATP is critical for exerting an effective ICD effect and subsequent immune response activation because depleting extracellular ATP by overexpressing ATPase on the cell surface abolished the immunogenicity of dying tumour cells.¹²² Despite



the indispensability of extracellular ATP in ensuring robust anticancer immune response, it is important to note that ATP secretion alone is insufficient for inducing effective ICD, as it may also be present during non-immunogenic cell death.^{123,124}

2.1.3 High mobility group box 1 (HMGB1). HMGB1 is a ubiquitous nonhistone chromatin-binding protein that stabilizes DNA and modulates DNA replication, repair and transcription.^{125,126} However, HMGB1 undergoes changes in subcellular localization and redox state during various cellular stresses, assuming diverse functions as an alarmin protein or a proinflammatory cytokine.^{127–135} For instance, oxidative stress prompts the relocation of HMGB1 to the cytosol, where it facilitates autophagy.¹³⁴ Additionally, HMGB1 is secreted into the extracellular environment in response to different stimuli, where it acts as an immunoadjuvant.^{126,127,129,131,132}

In the context of ICD, HMGB1 is passively released at a late stage by dying tumour cells as a “danger” signal.^{51,90}

Extracellular HMGB1 can interact with several receptors, including toll-like receptor 2 or 4 (TLR2/4) on DCs, and the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) to mediate inflammatory responses.^{136–138} The binding between HMGB1 and TLR4 is particularly important for the effective processing and presentation of tumour antigens by DCs, which is essential for the cross-priming of tumour-specific T-cells.^{136,137} The significance of HMGB1-TLR4 interaction has been presented in many studies, showing that the immune responses are compromised through HMGB1 deletion or blockade in chemotherapy-treated dying tumour cells with TLR4 gene disruption.^{136,137} However, it is important to note that the presence of increased extracellular HMGB1 level alone is not indicative of ICD initiation, as it may also be observed when the plasma membrane loses its integrity owing to cell damage.¹³⁹




Fig. 2 Current screening procedures to identify ICD inducers. Potential ICD candidates are usually screened by determining ICD characteristic biomarkers *in vitro* using the depicted methods and techniques, followed by *in vitro* immune response activation and, ultimately, *in vivo* vaccination studies.

2.2 Identification and validation of ICD inducers

2.2.1 Detection of ICD biomarkers. *In vitro* induction of ICD can be validated through the detection of three primary biomarkers (Fig. 2): the presence of ecto-CRT, the extracellular release of HMGB1, and the extracellular secretion of ATP *via* various assays.^{26,88,89} These assays can be broadly divided into two categories: an indirect approach, which assesses the remaining intracellular levels of these biomarkers, and a direct approach, which quantifies biomarker secretion levels through the direct measurement of secreted components in the extracellular space. For example, the level of ecto-CRT and intracellular HMGB1 can be determined using the immunostaining method with flow cytometry and fluorescence microscopy.^{140–142} However, this method necessitates the use of specific fluorescent antibodies, which can introduce a background signal owing to non-specific binding. Similarly, immunoblotting is also widely used in the determination of ecto-CRT and intracellular HMGB1 levels.^{31,36,143} The levels of intracellular HMGB1 then provide an indirect measurement of its corresponding extracellular levels owing to an assumed reverse relationship between the two. Moreover, extracellular ATP secretion can be indirectly determined using ATP-sensitive fluorophore, quina-crine, which enables the quantification of intracellular ATP levels in the residual ATP pool only if the ICD candidates do not target energy metabolism.^{144–146}

In contrast to measuring the residual pool of intracellular HMGB1 indirectly, extracellular HMGB1 can be directly quantified *via* enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).^{32,69,147} Likewise, extracellular ATP can be directly quantified by bioluminescent ATP detection assay, in which ATP is consumed by luciferase enzyme to catalyse the light-emitting oxidation of luciferin.^{145,148} However, this direct measuring method can be confounded by expression of ATP degrading enzymes, such as CD39, in some cell lines.¹²²

Despite the reliability of these assays, they are generally time-consuming and tedious. Therefore, to accelerate the discovery of new ICD inducers, several platforms for screening ICD biomarkers have been established.^{34,82,141,142,149–151} Particularly, researchers have engineered human osteosarcoma U2OS cells with diverse visualizable or detectable indicators, such as CRT-GFP chimera,³⁴ CRT-HaloTag fusion protein,^{82,152} HMGB1-GFP chimera,^{34,142} HMGB1-SBP-GFP (SBP, streptavidin-binding peptide),¹⁴¹ and implemented ATP-specific fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based reporters,^{34,145,151} for high throughput screening (879 candidates included in the NCI Mechanistic Diversity Set) at different concentrations.¹⁴⁹

2.2.2 *In vitro* models. Although the detection of DAMPs is a standard approach for investigating ICD inducers, it alone is insufficient to demonstrate an effective ICD-primed immune response owing to the intricacy of intracellular pathways that affect the immunogenicity of tumours. To examine whether ICD candidates can elicit immune responses *in vitro*, ICD-succumbing cancer cells or their culture supernatants can be exposed to immune cells, predominantly APCs and T-lymphocytes (Fig. 2).^{32,69,153} This is followed by a series of functional assays to evaluate (1) the phagocytic capacity of

phagocytes to engulf damaged cancer cells and their debris; (2) the maturation, migration, and ability of APCs to stimulate cross-presentation of cancer antigens to T-cells; and (3) the proliferation and activation of T-cells.

The engulfment of dying cancer cells and their corpses can be assessed by phagocytosis assay.^{54,154–158} In this assay, mononuclear phagocytes (*e.g.* macrophages, monocytes) and cancer cells are labelled separately using non-toxic fluorescent dyes or expression of different reporter fluorescent proteins, and co-cultured after the cancer cells are treated with ICD candidates. Phagocytes with engulfed treated tumour cells exhibit dual fluorescence signals, which can be subsequently quantified by flow cytometry or fluorescence microscopy. The percentage of phagocytes with dual fluorescence emissions represents the degree of phagocyte activation. Subsequently, the co-culture experiment could be repeated in the presence of a CRT-specific antibody or CRT-binding peptide to block the interaction of the phagocytes with the treated tumour cells.⁹⁹ A statistically significant reduction in phagocyte activation would implicate CRT in the phagocytic response, as expected in ICD induction, and rule out other non-specific causes. Markers of DC maturation, such as CD80, CD83, and CD86, can be detected using immunostaining techniques.^{32,69,159} The migratory ability of these cells is often assessed using a *trans*-well migration assay.¹⁶⁰

The proliferation and activation of T-cells can be assessed by isolating T-cells that have been co-incubated with cancer cells and subsequently analyzed *via* flow cytometry.^{26,161} Meanwhile, the profiling of cytokines in the supernatant, such as IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12, and IL-23 produced by APCs, or IFN- γ by T-cells, can be conducted post-coculture to appraise the activation status of the immune cells.^{32,69} Cytokine levels are then measured using specific ELISA kits or flow cytometry. These comprehensive *in vitro* approaches allow for a detailed understanding of the immune response elicited by potential ICD inducers.

2.2.3 *In vivo* models. The ICD induction capability of potential candidates should be functionally evaluated using appropriate murine models. Currently, several *in vivo* models are in use, among which the *in vivo* vaccination model being the gold standard (Fig. 2).^{26,53,89,162,163} This model evaluates the potential of treated tumour cells as a type of cancer vaccine to prevent future tumour development in immunocompetent mice. In a typical procedure, cancer cells succumbing to treatment by an ICD inducer are subcutaneously injected into the flanks of immunocompetent mice. After a period of one to two weeks, the mice are then rechallenged with viable cancer cells into the opposite flank. This is followed by routine monitoring for any signs of tumour formation and growth. The proportion of mice that remains tumour-free and the rate of tumour growth upon cancer cell rechallenge indicate the degree of immunogenicity of cancer cells treated with potential ICD candidates. Ultimately, tumour-free mice could be subjected to a second rechallenge with the same cancer cell line to assess the durability of tumour prevention. To ascertain specificity, a rechallenge with another synergistic cancer cell line may also be conducted.



Another approach that is also being explored as a viable cancer vaccine strategy is to use DCs that have been exposed to ICD-succumbing cancer cells instead.^{164–166} Complementary assessment and comparison of tumour growth and immune response in immunocompetent and immunodeficient mice can be conducted to verify the role of the immune system in tumour prevention. Finally, the abscopal response model is used as an alternate model to validate ICD inducers.^{167–170} For the abscopal response model, two lesions (*i.e.* primary tumour and secondary tumour) are generated at two different sites in mice. The primary tumour is subjected to localized treatment, while the secondary or distant tumour is monitored for any signs of tumour growth and metastasis, which would indicate the induction of ICD.

3. Metal complexes as ICD inducers

Inorganic metal complexes exhibit unique characteristics that stem from the varied interactions between metallic and non-metallic elements (Fig. 3).^{171–173} First, metal-containing molecules are usually positively charged, but the overall complexes can be cationic, anionic or neutral depending on their associated ligands and counterions. The overall charge of a metal complex can significantly influence its biological activities and therapeutic outcomes. Second, metal ion centers can chelate with diverse ligands and display distinct coordination geometry. Structural modification can be achieved simply by replacing ligands, giving rise to a wide variety of inorganic complexes with markedly distinct activities. Third, metal ions possess different oxidation states that can readily interconvert through redox processes.^{174–176} Hence, under physiological conditions, metal complexes can disrupt intracellular redox balance through several possible mechanisms: (1) directly initiating ROS generation *via* Fenton reaction ($M^{n+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow M^{(n+2)+} + OH^- + \cdot OH$) as catalysts, such as Fe, Cu, Co, Mn, Ag, and Ru;¹⁷⁷

(2) producing H_2O_2 by catalyzing hydride transfer from NADH to oxygen;¹⁷⁸ (3) interacting with intracellular antioxidants, such as glutathione (GSH), thioredoxin reductases (TrxR), and glutathione peroxidases (GPx) owing to their nucleophilicity and high electron affinity.^{174–176,179–181} These modes of action confer potent cytotoxicity to metal complexes in combating neoplastic cells.

Ideally, an ICD inducer should activate multiple ICD-associated pathways to ensure robust and effective ICD effects. Metal-based complexes represent a class of compounds that have the potential for this capability owing to their diverse modes of action. These include not only their binding affinity to DNA but also to a multitude of proteins, which can effectively cause cellular stress and physiological disturbance. The capacity of metal-based agents to disturb intracellular redox balance stands out in the search quest for ICD inducers. This is because cellular stress induced by reactive oxygen species (ROS), especially ROS-mediated ER stress, is highly associated with ICD induction.^{75–77,82,182} Furthermore, beyond their direct cytotoxic effects, accumulating evidence supports the importance of certain metal-based agents in promoting ICD-driven antitumour immunity.^{183–186}

To date, a wide variety of metal-based ICD inducers have been discovered with different metals, including platinum (Pt), iridium (Ir), gold (Au), ruthenium (Ru), copper (Cu), rhenium (Re), and manganese (Mn). Most of them can be classified as Type II ICD inducers. For ease of reference, we categorize these metal-based ICD inducers based on their metal centers, followed by their coordination chemistry. We further examine their efficacies and activities using reported *in vitro* and *in vivo* results and study their design strategies. We discuss their molecular targets and mechanisms of action, where applicable, and consider their potential application for cancer therapy.

3.1 Pt-based ICD inducers

3.1.1 OXP and its Pt(II) derivatives. In 2010, OXP (Fig. 4) was reported to induce ICD in colon cancer cells by causing pre-apoptotic CRT exposure and HMGB1 release, thereby stimulating the antitumour immune response in immunocompetent mice implanted with CT26 tumours.³³ In contrast, CDDP was able to efficiently induce HMGB1 release but it failed to trigger CRT exposure and subsequent anticancer immune response. This finding established a connection between the therapeutic efficacy of OXP in colorectal cancer and ICD for the first time. Although OXP can bind DNA as the primary target, in keeping with CDDP, OXP might interfere with other biological processes that cause cellular stress, leading to ICD induction. OXP is thus widely regarded as a Type I ICD inducer based on its role in causing ribosomal biogenesis stress.¹⁸⁷ The ability of OXP to induce ICD was further described in various cancer models, such as laryngeal cancer,¹⁸⁸ lung carcinoma¹⁸⁹ and hepatocellular carcinoma.¹⁹⁰ Currently, there are several ongoing studies to investigate OXP in clinical settings. A Phase II trial (NCT00126256) first found that, compared with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) alone, 5-FU in combination with OXP improved progression-free survival and overall survival. Additional cases




Fig. 3 The unique properties of metal complexes and potential modes of action for ICD action.






Fig. 4 Molecular structures of reported Pt-based ICD inducers. Counter anions are omitted for clarity.

of **OXP**-induced ICD for cancer treatment are presented in Table 1.

The discovery of **OXP** as a potent ICD inducer fuels further research into optimizing **OXP**-based cancer chemotherapeutic with enhanced antitumour efficacy, developing novel Pt-based ICD inducers and understanding the underlying associated molecular mechanisms. For example, several **OXP**-based macromolecules combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) or other immuno-modulatory agents have been constructed with the potential to reverse immunosuppressive TME, minimizing undesirable adverse effects and boosting immunotherapeutic efficacy.^{191–199} Compared to **OXP** alone, these nanostructures generally exhibited higher cytotoxicity, lower side effects and superior

antitumour immunity. One such example is the nano-FOLFOX delivery system that released $[\text{Pt}(\text{DACH})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$, the active form of **OXP**, and folinic acid (**FnA**) to form **OXP-FnA** adducts that could inflict ICD and exhibit anticancer activity.²⁰⁰

Given the role of the 1*R*,2*R*-diaminocyclohexane (DACH) ligand in the activity of **OXP**, a few studies have explored the relationship between ligand structures and ICD-inducing activity. A study in 2012 suggested that adding methyl groups to DACH ligands (**KP1537** and **KP1691**) could influence its side effects and ICD-inducing capacity.²⁰¹ Interestingly, in contrast to **OXP**, which elicited ICD-driven antitumour immune response only in immunocompetent but not immunocompromised mice, **KP1537** and **KP1691** exhibited anticancer activity in both types of mice. Another study investigated the abilities of



Table 1 List of ongoing trials for OXP and PT-112 for cancer immunotherapy

Trial title	Treatment	Indication	Phase	Status	Reference
OXP-based clinical trials					
Study of S 95005 in combination with oxaliplatin in metastatic colorectal cancer	OXP + trifluridine + bevacizumab + nivolumab	Metastatic colorectal cancer	I	Completed	NCT02848443
Dendritic cell vaccine and chemotherapy for patients with pancreatic cancer (PancVax)	OXP + FA + irinotecan + 5-FU + PTX + gemcitabine + a DC-based vaccine	Pancreatic cancer	I	Terminated	NCT02548169
Nivolumab (anti-PD1 antibody) and ipilimumab (anti-CTLA4 antibody) in combination with immunogenic chemotherapy for patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer	OXP + nivolumab + ipilimumab	Advanced NSCLC	II	Active	NCT04043195
Chemotherapy and immunotherapy as treatment for MSS metastatic colorectal cancer with high immune infiltrate (POCHI)	OXP + capecitabine + bevacizumab + pembrolizumab	Metastatic colorectal cancer	II	Recruiting	NCT04262687
METIMMOX: colorectal cancer metastasis – shaping anti-tumour immunity by oxaliplatin (METIMMOX)	OXP + 5-FU + leucovorin + nivolumab	Metastatic colorectal cancer	II	Active	NCT03388190
Safety and efficacy of pembrolizumab (MK-3475) in combination with TS-1 + CDDP or TS-1 + oxaliplatin as first line chemotherapy in gastric cancer (MK-3475-659/KEYNOTE-659)	OXP + pembrolizumab or CDDP + TS-1	Gastric cancer	II	Completed	NCT03382600
Rectal artery infusion chemotherapy of oxaliplatin plus capecitabine combined with anti-PD1 antibody after induction chemotherapy for microsatellite stable locally advanced rectal cancer: a prospective single-arm phase II study	OXP + resection + capecitabine + anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody	Advanced rectal cancer	II	Recruiting	NCT05307198
Neoadjuvant arterial embolization chemotherapy combined PD-1 inhibitor for locally advanced rectal cancer (NECI)	OXP + capecitabine + tislelizumab	Rectal cancer	II	Recruiting	NCT05420584
METIMMOX-2: metastatic pMMR/MSS colorectal cancer – shaping anti-tumour immunity by oxaliplatin (METIMMOX-2)	OXP + nivolumab	Metastatic colorectal cancer	II	Recruiting	NCT05504252
Trial comparing two strategies of chemotherapy for metastatic colorectal cancer	OXP + 5-FU + irinotecan + leucovorin	Colorectal cancer	III	Completed	NCT00126256
PT-112-based clinical trials					
A phase 1/2a dose-finding study of PT-112 in patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma	PT-112	Multiple myeloma	I	Completed	NCT03288480
PT-112 in subjects with thymoma and thymic carcinoma	PT-112	Thymoma and thymic carcinoma	II	Recruiting	NCT05104736
A study evaluating the safety, pharmacokinetics, and clinical effects of intravenously administered PT-112 injection in subjects with advanced solid tumours and subsequent dose expansion cohorts	PT-112	Thymoma and thymic carcinoma; metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer	II	Active	NCT02266745
An open-label phase I/II clinical trial of PT-112 injection for advanced solid tumours and advanced hepatocellular carcinoma	PT-112	Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)	II	Unknown	NCT03439761
An open-label phase I/II clinical study of PT-112 in combination with docetaxel in subjects with advanced solid tumour in a phase I dose escalation study and in subjects with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in a phase II dose confirmation study	PT-112 + docetaxel	Advanced solid tumours and NSCLC	II	Unknown	NCT02884479
PT-112 (phosphatidylserine) combined with gemcitabine injection for advanced solid tumours	PT-112 + gemcitabine	Biliary tract cancer	II	Unknown	NCT05357196
A dose escalation and confirmation study of PT-112 in advanced solid tumours in combination with avelumab (PAVE-1)	PT-112 + anti-PD-L1 antibody (avelumab)	NSCLC	II	Completed	NCT03409458

distinct diaminocycloalkanes chelating **OXP** analogues to induce ICD-associated DAMPs emission.²⁰² Efforts to achieve the optimal structural modifications of the DACH ligand motif to yield new **OXP** analogs may be valuable for the development of new ICD inducers.

PT-112 is the subject of several ongoing clinical investigations in patients with solid tumours and hematologic malignancies, either as monotherapy (NCT02266745) or in combination therapy with PD-L1 inhibitor avelumab (NCT03409458). **PT-112** was developed as a novel ICD inducer



by replacing the oxalate ligand in **OXP** with a pyrophosphate group.^{203–205} Although **PT-112** shares structural similarity with **OXP** as it retained the Pt-(DACH) pharmacophore (Fig. 4), the pyrophosphate moiety not only enhanced its pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties but also reduced its toxicity. **PT-112** exerts its cytotoxicity on cancer cells through different mechanisms of action from traditional DNA-damaging Pt agents. In a murine breast carcinoma TSA cell model, **PT-112** was compared with a well-established organic small molecule ICD inducer **MTX** and showed superior ability to stimulate immunostimulatory DAMP-accompanied ICD induction and the establishment of long-term immunologic anticancer memory *in vivo*.²⁰³ Impressively, in a vaccination model, **PT-112**-treated breast carcinoma TSA cells conferred 100% immunological protection against the subsequent injection of living TSA cells. Anti-tumour protection exhibited good durability when the mice were rechallenged after 60 days. **PT-112** combined with ICBs achieved tumour elimination, enhanced cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) infiltration and reduced immunosuppressive CD25⁺FOXP3⁺ regulatory T-cells (Tregs) and tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs) in the TME. These results demonstrated that **PT-112** exhibited remarkable therapeutic efficacy in eradicating tumour and establishing long-term antitumour immunity. Several Phase I/II trials for **PT-112** as a monotherapy (NCT05104736, NCT02266745, NCT03288480, and NCT03439761) and in combination with the PD-L1 inhibitor avelumab (NCT03409458) in treating immunologically “cold” advanced metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer, or with other chemotherapeutic agents, including docetaxel (NCT02884479) and gemcitabine (NCT05357196), have yielded promising results. Ongoing trials investigating **PT-112**-induced ICD for cancer treatment are shown in Table 1.

3.1.2 CDDP in combination treatment. Distinct from other chemotherapeutic agents, such as doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, bortezomib, and paclitaxel, **CDDP** alone cannot induce ICD and subsequent protective antitumour immune response.³³ This has been attributed to a failure in triggering ER stress-associated phosphorylation of eIF2 α when **CDDP** was treated alone. Nevertheless, when **CDDP** was used in conjunction with ER stress inducers, such as thapsigargin or tunicamycin, CRT cell surface exposure and the immunogenicity of treated cancer cells can be reestablished.⁸² In addition to ER stress inducers, Type I IFN was proven to be effective in restoring the phosphorylation of eIF2 α and CRT surface exposure in a sequential interferon β (IFN- β) and **CDDP** treatment^{93,206} although whether this combination therapy can also enhance the antitumour immune response *in vivo* remains unclear. The effectiveness of IFN- β -**CDDP** sequential treatment was likely to be related to the release of chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 10 (CXCL10) *via* IFN- β -triggered autocrine and paracrine circuitries.⁹³ **CDDP** alone cannot stimulate Type I IFN release.

3.1.3 Pt(II)-carbene complexes. In 2015, the first systematic study was initiated by Ang group on the ICD-inducing ability of some chemotherapeutically active Pt agents, such as **CDDP**, **OXP**, carboplatin, picoplatin, satraplatin, phenanthriplatin and newly discovered preclinical Pt agents.²⁰⁷ An ER-targeting

cyclometalated complex **Pt-NHC** with a unique scaffold was found to be an effective Type II ICD inducer characterized by ER stress induction, classical ICD hallmarks emission and CRT-dependent phagocytosis. **Pt-NHC** was first reported by the Che group as an ER-specific dye and was previously shown to accumulate in the ER, causing ER stress and apoptosis.²⁰⁸ Unlike other Pt agents, it preferentially binds to proteins rather than DNA. Following this discovery of its ICD induction ability, an extensive structure–activity relationship study analysis was conducted, leading to the discovery of **Pt-ER** with improved properties.²⁰⁹ Similar to **Pt-NHC**, **Pt-ER** was also a Type II ICD inducer that triggered ICD *via* ROS-driven ER stress. However, **Pt-ER** exhibited more pronounced ICD-associated DAMPs emission and phagocytosis compared to **Pt-NHC**. In addition, the effectiveness of ICD-inducing **Pt-NHC** in improving chemioimmunotherapy was further corroborated by other studies. For example, **Pt-NHC**-containing nanoparticles displayed a superior ability to eradicate triple-negative breast cancer tumour and enhance overall survival in mice.²¹⁰ When combined with Interleukin-2, **Pt-NHC**-based nanogel reprogrammed the immunosuppressive TME in “immunologically cold” tumours, *i.e.* markedly reduced TAMs and the infiltration of Tregs, including pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).²¹¹

In addition to the cyclometallated Pt scaffold examples, other Pt(II)-carbene complexes have been explored for their capacities for evoking ICD in HCC. Liu group designed and evaluated a series of Pt(II)-carbene complexes derived from 4,5-diarylimidazole. One of 19 compounds, **Pt1**, triggered DAMPs emission and anti-HCC immune response.²¹² In a follow-up study, replacing iodide ligands with other halogen atoms did not affect the ICD-inducing capacity of the 4,5-diarylimidazole-based Pt(II)-NHC scaffold, indicating the importance of carbene ligands.²¹³ Notably, the reported Pt-carbene complexes were Type II ICD inducers and triggered ROS-associated ER stress-driven ICD, suggesting the high relevance of carbene ligands in the development of novel Pt-based Type II ICD inducers.

3.1.4 Pt(II) compounds with other ligands. A aminophosphonate-chelating Pt(II) complex **Pt2** was identified as a *bona fide* Type II ICD inducer associated with oxidative ER stress out of 11 purposefully designed analogs with different substituents (Cl, H, and OMe) on the aminophosphonate-pyridine ligand.²¹⁴ **Pt2**, bearing two Cl substituents, showed the highest cytotoxicity and elicited DMAP signals *in vitro*, as well as induced anti-tumour immune response *in vivo*. A supramolecular construct, self-assembled by Pt(II) metallacycle and an aza-dipyrrromethene boron difluoride (aza-BODIPY) ligand to yield a triangular hexanuclear Pt(II) complex, was identified as a potent ICD inducer targeting lysosome.²¹⁵ Upon near-infrared (NIR) light excitation, the Pt(II) metallacycle-based supramolecule triggers significant ROS production in deep-seated tumours, as well as ICD-based antitumour immune response in vaccinated mice.

3.1.5 Pt(IV) prodrug complexes. Although Pt(II) anticancer agents have been highly successful in the clinical treatment of various solid tumours, the development of Pt(IV) prodrugs is emerging as a strategy to alleviate their significant drawbacks,



including severe undesirable side effects and the emergence of drug resistance. Additionally, extra axial ligands available offered a way to alter the chemical and biological properties of Pt(IV) prodrugs.^{216,217} One example is the enhancement of the immunomodulatory properties of CDDP through the design of CDDP-based Pt(IV) prodrugs with bioactive ligands that could facilitate ICD induction because CDDP alone cannot induce ICD. For instance, a tocopherol-conjugated Pt(IV) complex, **Pt3**, delivered intratumourally through hyaluronan (HA)-tocopherol nanocarriers, stimulated CRT translocation to the cell surface,¹⁵² as observed in AT84 cells overexpressing a mouse CRT-HaloTag-KDEL fusion protein. Wang *et al.* purposefully constructed a CDDP-based Pt(IV) complex **Pt4** by installing an ICD-inducing molecule, capsaicin, as axial ligands *via* carboxylic functionalities.²¹⁸ Compared to capsaicin, **Pt4** strengthens ICD effects and promotes phagocytosis by THP-1-derived macrophages and secretion of IFN- γ and TNF- α from human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (hPBMCs).

As previously discussed, toll-like receptors (TLRs) are essential pattern recognition receptors on DCs and macrophages for recognizing ICD-associated DAMPs and initiating an immune response.^{136–138} Given the important role of TLRs, Wang group fabricated OXP-based Pt(IV) prodrug **Pt5**

conjugated with a TLR7 agonist.²¹⁹ As expected, in addition to instigating CRT translocation and ATP secretion, **Pt5** promotes DC activation *in vitro* characterized by enhanced secretion of proinflammatory cytokines IFN- γ , TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-12 and clearly increased percentages of intratumourally infiltrated CD8⁺ T-cells *in vivo* compared to OXP or the TLR7 agonist itself.

In contrast to activation by reductants in the aforementioned studies, a photoactivatable Pt(IV) prodrug complex **Pt6** bearing coumarin axial ligands induced ICD upon photoirradiation.²²⁰ **Pt6** exhibited superior phototoxicity towards multiple cell lines, including two CDDP-resistant ones. Upon photoactivation of **Pt6**, distinct ICD biomarkers were observed in the treated A549cisR cells. In contrast, no detectable ICD effects were observed upon **Pt6** treatment in the dark. **Pt6**-treated A549cisR cells largely promoted T-cell proliferation in mixed leukocyte reactions. Another photoactivatable Pt(IV)-azido prodrug **Pt7** was capable of inducing ROS and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) production and, simultaneously, releasing cytotoxic Pt(II) species.²²¹ Under blue light irradiation, **Pt7** induces autophagic cell death accompanying 3 characteristic ICD signatures and promotes phagocytosis of Pt-treated CT26 carcinoma cells by J774.A1 macrophages as well.




Fig. 5 Molecular structures of reported Ir-based ICD inducers. Counter anions are omitted for clarity.

3.2 Ir-based ICD inducers

3.2.1 Ir(III)-polypyridyl complexes. Ir complexes bearing various modifiable ligands have been exploited as therapeutic agents in cancer diagnosis and treatment. Ligands of Ir complexes influence their subcellular localization, activity, and mechanism of action accompanied by different cell death modes. Recent studies have highlighted the potential of multiple Ir(III)-polypyridyl complexes for apoptotic, paraptotic or ferroptotic ICD. Chao *et al.* reported an ER-targeting Ir(III) complex, **Ir1** (Fig. 5), that induced ICD *via* apoptosis in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC).²²² **Ir1** triggered ER stress, which led to the release of Ca²⁺, mitochondrial dysfunction, and ROS overproduction, culminating in apoptosis *via* a caspase-dependent pathway. This was evidenced by increased caspase 3/7 activation. In particular, the vaccination assay conducted *in vivo* demonstrated that tumour volume was 4.59-fold smaller than the control and that the ratio of immunostimulant cytotoxic T-cells (CD8⁺) against immunosuppressive Foxp3⁺ T-cells was 4.9-fold higher. Another ppy-based Ir(III) complex, **Ir2**, with fluorinated tridentate derivative was also reported to accumulate in the ER and induce ROS-driven ERS-based ICD *via* apoptosis.²²³ Notably, evidence suggested that **Ir2** can enhance the anti-tumour immunity of PD-1 inhibitors in a poorly immunogenic B16-F10 melanoma model *in vivo*. Although **Ir2** or PD-1 treatment alone can reduce tumour growth, the combination of the **Ir2** and PD-1 groups displayed the most pronounced tumour suppression during the 12-day study duration. An increase in the ratio of CD8⁺ T-cells and Foxp3⁺ T-cells in tumour tissue further confirms the remodeling of the TME. Cyclometalated Ir(III) complex **Ir3** undergoes ER stress and paraptosis to induce DAMPs in HepG2 cells.²²⁴ Interestingly, no ROS generation was required for ICD induction of such cell death. Flow cytometry using the fluorescent probe 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate showed that the intracellular ROS levels decreased to a level lower than that of the control group when the concentration of **Ir3** increased.

Recently, Liang *et al.* reported cyclometalated Ir(III) complex **Ir4** based on isoquinoline alkaloid-induced autophagy-dependent ferroptosis and ICD response in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells, thereby triggering the emission of DAMPs.²²⁵ **Ir4** exerted its cytotoxic effect *via* the generation of ROS that induced ferroptosis and downregulation of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), an immunosuppressive enzyme. It also activated CD8⁺ T-cells and reduced regulatory T-cells (Tregs). **Ir4** showed superior efficacy compared to traditional chemotherapy agents, such as **OMP**. Similar to **Ir2**, the combination of **Ir4** with anti-PD1 therapy significantly improved tumour inhibition.

A recent study showcased two Ir(III) complexes, **Ir5a** and **Ir5b**, as effective inducers when they were delivered to the ER using a liposome-based encapsulation strategy.²²⁶ Liposomal encapsulation greatly enhanced the cellular uptake of **Ir5a** and **Ir5b**, which preferentially accumulated in the ER and triggered oxidative stress and apoptotic ICD. Without facilitated delivery, **Ir5a** and **Ir5b** alone exhibited weak cytotoxicity and CRT exposure owing to low cellular uptake efficiencies. Despite the

further structural optimization required, this study demonstrated the versatility of carrier-aided strategies to enhance ICD effects.

Another study by Zou group reported an ER stress-inducing cyclometalated Ir(III)-bis NHC complex (**Ir6**) that can elicit ICD hallmarks both *in vitro* and *in vivo* using the vaccination model.²²⁷ The innovative use of a specially designed clickable photoaffinity probe showed that **Ir6** could directly bind with and subsequently inhibit BiP, a key regulator of the UPR pathway that functions as a protein chaperone, aiding in protein proper folding and assembly.²²⁸ This work was significant as it was the first time that the molecular target of an ICD inducer was systematically uncovered using chemical biology approaches.

3.2.2 PDT-based Ir(III) complexes. The unique photo-physical properties of Ir(III) complexes make them highly suitable as photosensitizers for photodynamic therapy (PDT).²²⁹ In this approach, Ir(III)-based PDT agents continuously induced ROS through photoirradiation to exert ER stress, triggering ICD. The photocatalytic performance and therapeutic effects could be fine-tuned by modifying phenylpyridyl ligands. Multiple Ir(III) complexes, such as Ir(III) with phenylpyridine backbone (ppy) (**Ir7a**) and phenylisoquinoline (piq) (**Ir7b**)²³⁰ or modified imidazole (**Ir7c**),²³¹ demonstrated high effectiveness as photosensitizers for PDT and induced ICD upon irradiation. In particular, **Ir7c** selectively targeted cancer stem cells (CSCs).

Ir-pbt-Bpa **Ir8** was developed for two-photon excitation photodynamic immunotherapy by replacing the ancillary ligand 2-phenylpyridine with 2-phenylbenzo[d]thiazole.²³² This modification enhanced two-photon absorption, increased ROS production, and shifted the primary subcellular target from the ER to the mitochondria, leading to cell death in melanoma cells *via* ferroptosis. This stress response was enhanced by Ca²⁺ release from the ER, resulting in significant detection of ICD biomarkers and a significant reduction of both primary and distant melanoma tumours, even though only the primary tumour was directly treated. Histological examinations showed enhanced DC maturation and inhibition of tumour immunosuppression, as indicated by a favorable CD8⁺/Foxp3⁺ ratio. This study highlighted the significance of ligand modification in influencing their subcellular localization and biological activity, and the importance of targeting other organelles such as mitochondria, in addition to ER, for inducing ICD.

The incorporation of the carbonic anhydrase IX (CAIX)-targeting group into phenylpyridine-, difluorophenylpyridine-, and phenylquinoline-based Ir(III) complexes was investigated as an approach for the treatment of HT29 colon cancer cells *via* PDT.²³³ Upon irradiation with light (λ_{ex} at 425 nm), phenylquinoline-based **Ir9** induced pyroptosis under hypoxic conditions. **Ir9** targeted CAIX, an enzyme highly expressed in hypoxic tumours, leading to its degradation. This, in turn, downregulated the expression of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 α (HIF-1 α) levels and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression, improving the cancer immune microenvironment.

Another Ir(III) photosensitizer **Ir10** with a phenanthroline ligand modified with a hydrophobic long-chain ER targeting N-phenethylsuccinamide moiety generated ROS upon irradiation in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), which elicited ER



stress, leading to ICD and an upregulation of PD-L1 expression.²³⁴ The combination with PD-L1 inhibitor was particularly effective in converting “cold” tumours (with low immune activity) into “hot” tumours (with high immune activity) *in vivo*. The combination significantly upregulated the level of mature DCs (MHC II⁺ and CD80⁺CD86⁺ DCs), T-cell infiltration (CD4⁺ and CD8⁺) and cytokines (TNF- α and IFN- γ) while down-regulating immunosuppressive inflammatory cytokine IL-6, signifying transformation into “hot tumour”.

3.2.3 Other Ir(III) complexes. The incorporation of redox-active functional groups could be a strategy to develop ferroptosis inducers by imparting Ir(III) complexes with the ability to catalyze a Fenton-like reaction, generating hydroxyl radicals and lipid peroxidation. Mao and Tan group incorporated the ferrocene moiety to generate Ir(III) complexes containing ferrocene to induce ferroptosis-coupled ICD, subsequently enhancing

cancer immunity.²³⁵ In particular, cyclometalated ppy-based Ir(III) complex **Ir11** containing a ferrocene-modified diphosphine ligand was reported to induce ICD, characterized by DAMPs emission. A significant inhibition rate in primary and distal tumours was observed, with a 2-fold increase in CD8⁺ T-cells in distal tumours. Similarly, the same group reported a Type I Ir(III) photosensitizer with ferrocene moiety, **Ir12**, which also induced ferroptosis to initiate ICD.²³⁶ Upon light activation at 425 nm, **Ir12** was able to induce the 3 biomarkers *in vitro* in MDA-MB-231 cell lines and enhance the activation of cytotoxic T-cells and maturation of DC cells in the abscopal response model.

A cyclopentadienyl Ir(III) complex with natural product betulin, **Ir13**, activated the ferroptosis cascade through ferritinophagy and iron homeostasis regulation.²³⁷ **Ir13** activated PERK/eIF2 α pathway and CRT exposure, and HMGB1 and ATP




Fig. 6 Molecular structures of reported Au-based ICD inducers. Counter anions are omitted for clarity.



release were detected *in vitro* in A549 cancer cells. RNA sequence analysis indicated that ferroptosis and nuclear factor kappa light chain enhancer of activated B cells (NF- κ B) activation further amplified the antitumour effect. The *in vivo* vaccination model showed that **Ir13** inhibited tumour growth and upregulated the expression of proinflammatory cytokines and cytotoxic T-cells to stimulate a robust immune response.

3.3 Au-based ICD inducers

3.3.1 Au(I)-phosphane complexes. Owing to their unique chemical properties, Au complexes could effectively inhibit thioreductase (TrxR), a Se-containing enzyme responsible for redox homeostasis, leading to intracellular ROS generation.²³⁸ Multiple Au complexes were reported to induce ICD using this approach.^{75–77,82,182} Isab and Ang *et al.* reported the first Au(I) complex, an Au(I)-phosphane dithiocarbamate complex (**Au1**, Fig. 6) that was able to induce a dose-dependent ecto-CRT exposure resulting from PERK-mediated eIF2 α phosphorylation to initiate an immune response in ovarian cancer cells.²³⁹

Boullosa *et al.* reported that the FDA-approved auranofin (AUF) triggered ICD by inducing both apoptosis and ferroptosis in mutant p53 NSCLC cells *in vitro*.²⁴⁰ The treatment of AUF significantly induced DAMPs emission, and the co-culture of AUF-treated cancer cells with immature DCs led to their maturation. AUF further improved the innate immune response, as evidenced by the enhanced killing of cancer cells when they were co-cultured with natural killer cells. The group also reported that the combination of AUF and cold atmospheric plasma-treated PBS resulted in a synergistic ICD response in the glioblastoma cell culture.

3.3.2 Organometallic Au(I) complexes. Patil *et al.* identified **Au2** as a potential Au(I) ICD inducer.²⁴¹ Following the optimization of cytotoxicity and ATP release, a library of 40 Au(I)-NHC complexes were generated by placing different benzo[*a*]quinoxalinium (BQ) cores, ligands and counterions on Au. Among them, **Au2** displayed the greatest potential in NSCLC A549 cells, demonstrating a dose-dependent increase in ICD biomarkers. Further evaluation of **Au2** in human immune cells was conducted in co-culture with hPBMCs from healthy donors and phagocytosis assays with differentiated THP1 macrophages, and **Au2**-enhanced immunogenicity of A549 cells was observed.

A rationally designed redox-active Au(I)-NHC complex **Au3** exhibited potent ICD induction efficacy *in vitro* and *in vivo*.²⁴² The authors postulated that dual targeting of the cancer antioxidant network through TrxR inhibition by the redox-active Au(I)-NHC motif and redox cycling *via* the embedded naphthoquinone moiety could increase ROS generation and ER stress to promote ICD induction. In a vaccination model using mice inoculated with treated CT26 cells, low dose **Au3** (10 μ M) demonstrated a significantly higher percentage of tumour-free mice compared to high dose **OMP** (150 μ M), even after extended periods of recovery post-challenge (42 days).

Moreover, several Au(I) complexes targeting the TrxR-ROS-ERS-ICD axis were reported by Liu and co-workers. For example, the *in vitro* ICD effects of Au(I)-NHC complex incorporating selective estrogen receptor degrader (SERD) moiety

(G1T48) **Au4** were studied in human MCF7 cells.²⁴³ The Au(I)-NHC moiety in **Au4** was designed to inhibit TrxR activity, which consequently triggered ROS generation and ICD-associated DMAP emission, including CRT exposure, HMGB1 release, and ATP secretion. In addition, an NHC-Au(I) complex with liver-targeting scaffold 18 β -glycyrrhetic acid and mitochondria-directing triphenyl-phosphonium group (TPP⁺) **Au5** was discovered to simultaneously induce both ICD and cGAS-STING pathways to trigger an immune response.²⁴⁴ *In vivo* vaccination studies with **Au5** produced a stable population of 50% tumour-free mice after 30 days. Another Au(I)-NHC with the same 18 β -glycyrrhetic acid ligand **Au6** displayed a significant emission of DAMPs in Hepa1-6 cells after treatment.²⁴⁵ In particular, the **Au6**-treated cells saw no tumour growth for 30 days in an *in vivo* vaccination model. The treatment also increased the number of CD8⁺ T-cells and CD4⁺ T-cells by 3.9-fold and 5.6-fold, respectively.

Alkynyl ligands are widely used to stabilize Au(I) complexes owing to their strong electron donating abilities. Besides **Au6**, Liu and co-workers also designed a series of Au(I)-alkynyl complexes conjugated to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) with the aim of inhibiting TrxR activity and disrupting redox balance.²⁴⁶ Amongst these 7 Au(I)-NSAID complexes, naproxen-containing **Au7** triggered oxidative stress and ICD-associated DAMPs emission in human A2780 cells and elicited a more effective immune response, inducing the down-regulation of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and PD-L1, DC maturation and increased infiltration of CTLs.

3.3.3 Au(III) complexes. Au(III) complexes possess different coordination geometries from Au(I) congeners and are usually kinetically less stable. Thus, they are typically stabilized with chelating ligands and “soft” binding partners. Recently, an Au(III) 2-benzoylpyridine thiosemicarbazone complex **Au8** was shown to induce ICD.²⁴⁷ Apart from ER stress and ROS generation, the complex demonstrated the ability to cause severe mitochondrial damage, resulting in apoptosis. ICD-associated DAMPs were detected in SKOV-3 cells in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* models. Although *in vivo* anti-tumour efficacy was studied, the absence of a vaccination model in the context of ICD assessment prevents the validation of its effectiveness as an ICD inducer.

Babak, Berger and Ang *et al.* utilized novel Au(III)-thiocarbamate scaffolds to develop ICD inducers with superior efficacy and can reverse immunosuppressive TME. By applying a combinatorial coordination chemistry approach, a library of 35 cyclometalated Au(III)-thiocarbamate complexes was constructed, and their ability to inflict ICD effects was assessed in a malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) cell model.²⁴⁸ A systematic structure–activity relationship study revealed that the cyclometalated scaffold and the overall lipophilicity of the complexes are crucial for the phagocytosis of immunologically “cold” MPM cells upon treatment. A *bona fide* Au(III)-based inducer **Au9** was successfully identified from the library, as evidenced by a robust antitumour immune response against MPM in immunocompetent mice. Protective antitumour immunity was observed for more than 6 months in these mice,



demonstrating the viability of this Au(III) scaffold as a discovery platform for ICD inducers.

3.4 Ru-based ICD inducers

3.4.1 KP1339/IT-139/NKP1339/BOLD-100. One of the earlier Ru-based ICD inducer discovered was sodium *trans*-[tetrachloridobis(1*H*-indazole)-ruthenate(III)] (**KP1339/IT-139/NKP1339/BOLD-100**), a Ru(III) drug candidate under clinical investigation (Fig. 7).²⁴⁹ **KP1339** is postulated to act *via* a pro-drug mechanism through reduction to active Ru(II) species after aquation.^{250,251} Its redox chemistry is believed to be important determinant of its anticancer activity.^{252–254} **KP1339** binds to multiple biomolecules including serum proteins (*e.g.* albumin and transferrin), the ER ribosomal proteins (*e.g.* RPL10, RPL24) and the transcription factor GTF2I as evidenced in target profiling experiments,^{250,255,256} as well as Bip (also known as GRP78).²⁵⁷ As an ICD inducer, interaction with RPL10 and RPL24 led to ribosomal disturbance, while binding to GRP78 caused ROS generation. This triggered ER stress was marked by elevated phosphorylation of eIF2 α and PERK, which ultimately induced ICD. The ICD-inducing capacity of **KP1339** was amplified upon loading into glutathione (GSH)-responsive nanocarrier, as evidenced by enhanced emission of DAMPs compared to **KP1339** alone.²⁵⁸ The **KP1339**-loaded nanocarrier inhibited primary and distant tumour growth with low systemic toxicity and prevented pulmonary metastasis of breast cancer.

3.4.2 Ru(II)-polypyridyl complexes. Similar to Ir(III)-polypyridyl complexes, Ru(II)-polypyridyl complexes also constitute a class of important photosensitizers for PDT owing to their tuneable photophysical and biological properties, which have been exploited for ICD induction. These Ru(II) photosensitizers usually possess a tridentate polypyridyl ligand for near-infrared absorbance, a bidentate π -expanded *N,N*-ligand for sensitizing singlet oxygen and a monodentate ligand to fine-tune its overall properties. One such compound **Ru1** demonstrated superior ICD-inducing capacities both *in vitro* and *in vivo* under NIR activation.^{259–261} The potent efficacy of **Ru1** on tumour growth inhibition, enhancing mice survival, and antitumour immunity in the vaccination model suggested a potential for its clinical utility.

Another study described the ability of Ru(II)-polypyridyl complex **Ru2** in increasing ecto-CRT in mice although other ICD hallmarks were not measured.²⁶² Intriguingly, the combination of **Ru2** and natural killer (NK) cells led to the surprising finding that this combination treatment could foster NK cell infiltration, potentiate NK cell immunotherapy, and improve therapeutic efficacy against breast tumour *in vivo*. This study highlighted the immunoregulatory effects of **Ru2** as a potential ICD inducer and provided an innovative angle for applying ICD inducers to augment immunotherapy.

Chao and co-workers designed 3 cyclometalated Ru(II) complexes and found that **Ru3** targeted mitochondria and




Fig. 7 Molecular structures of reported Ru-based ICD inducers. Counter anions are omitted for clarity.



nucleus, leading to oncosis accompanied by ICD induction.¹⁵³ Its mechanism of action involved DNA damage causing activation of polyADP-ribose polymerase 1 (PARP1), associated ATP depletion and porimin activation, as well as concurrent mitochondria damage and ER stress. More importantly, macrophage M1 polarization was observed, indicating the activation of innate immune response on top of adaptive T-cell response. However, the limited solubility and bioavailability of **Ru3** necessitated an encapsulation approach *in vivo*.

3.4.3 Organometallic Ru(II) complexes. Plecstatin-1 **Ru4** is an organoruthenium anticancer drug candidate capable of inducing oxidative stress and exerting ICD in tumour spheroids²⁶³ even though it specifically targets a scaffold protein and cytolinker, plectin.^{264,265} Besides CRT, HSP70 and HSP 90 were also translocated to the cell surface upon **Ru4** treatment *in vitro*. Another half-sandwich Ru(II) complex with aryl-bis(imino) acenaphthene **Ru5** was identified as a *bona fide* ICD inducer in the vaccination model.²⁶⁶ ICD emission hallmarks were observed in melanoma cells. Its mode of action included mitochondrial impairment and metabolic reprogramming, leading to ER stress. A self-assembled supramolecule **Ru6** based on piano-stool Ru(II)-arene scaffold was constructed and validated as an ICD inducer.²⁶⁷ Upon NIR irradiation, **Ru6** enabled highly concentrated and precise ROS generation in deep-seated tumour and induced ICD with all biochemical markers detected. Further, an *in vivo* vaccination assay shows CD4⁺/CD8⁺ T-cell responses and downregulated immunosuppression with more than a 4-fold reduction of Foxp3⁺ T-cells.

3.5 Other metal-based ICD inducers

3.5.1 Re-based ICD inducers. Compared to Ir and Ru, Re complexes are less studied as photosensitizers, but their mechanism of action has been linked to ROS-associated ICD induction. Several interesting works have been reported on the design and modification of tricarbonyl Re(I) complexes to ICD inducers with impressive potency, theranostic function, or controlled activation modality (Fig. 8). The first Re-based ICD inducer, **Re1**, was purposefully designed with a CAIX anchor to destroy cancer cell membrane integrity *via* ROS generation upon photoirradiation at 425 nm.²⁶⁸ **Re1** exhibited remarkable photocytotoxicity in the nanomolar range against the MDA-MB-231 cell line under normoxia (20% O₂) and hypoxia (1% O₂) with negligible dark toxicity. ICD hallmarks were well-characterized *in vitro* by immunostaining and ATP detection assay. Cancer cells treated with **Re1** underwent cell death *via* pyroptosis. Using a 4T1-bearing bilateral BALB/c mice model, the authors observed an increased percentage of matured (CD80⁺CD86⁺) DCs and elevated antigen-presenting capacity in **Re1** suggested by a significant increase in TNF- α , IL-6 and IL-12p70 levels with a reduction in immunosuppressive cytokines IL-10 levels. Notably, the amount of tumour-filtrating CTLs and helper T-cells in tumour sites increased by 2–3 fold compared to that of the control (light only), suggesting the effective activation of an adaptive immune response. No systemic toxicity was observed in the experimental mice.

Tan *et al.* presented a theranostic Re(I) complex **Re2** appended with 4,4-difluoroboradiazaindacene (BODIPY) moiety, which was used for viscosity measuring and imaging.²⁶⁹ **Re2** was




Fig. 8 Molecular structures of reported Re, Cu and Mn-based ICD inducers. Counter anions are omitted for clarity.



preferentially localized in the ER, causing ER stress and, eventually, necrosis, and could be simultaneously used to monitor ER viscosity. **Re2** was described as a Type II ICD inducer based on its ability to induce the 3 classical ICD biomarkers.

A Re(i) aminomethylpyridine complex **Re3**, modified with a cleavable tetrazine moiety which could be triggered by *trans*-cyclooct-4-enol (TCO-OH), underwent a click-to-release reaction, giving rise to a more cytotoxic Re(i) ICD inducer.²⁷⁰ In the presence of TCO-OH and light, the released Re(i) compound led to substantial ROS generation, lysosome rupture, autophagy inhibition, necrosis, and ICD induction with accompanying biomarkers. Notably, ATP secretion was observed even when autophagosome formation was blocked in the process, in contrast to a previous claim that ATP secretion in ICD relied on the autophagic process. Remarkable increases in the proportion of mature DCs (CD80⁺CD86⁺) and cytotoxic T-cells (CD3⁺CD8⁺), and the expression level of TNF- α were observed in a co-incubation of treated-MDA-MB-231 with hPBMCs, further proving its ability of immune activation.

A Re(i) photosensitizer **Re4**, constructed by coordinating [Re(CO)₃]⁺ to g-C₃N₄ nanosheets (Re(i)-g-C₃N₄), was demonstrated as a Type II ICD inducer with ER-specific accumulation.²⁷¹ Upon two-photon excitation, **Re4** triggered robust ROS (¹O₂⁻ and [•]OH)-driven ER stress, with different cell death modes, including apoptosis, ferroptosis, and pyroptosis, and most importantly, ICD-related DAMPs emission. Activation of antitumour immune responses together with inhibited growth of primary and secondary distant tumour in mice was observed.

3.5.2 Cu-based ICD inducers. Cu is a crucial element involved in various physiological processes, such as cellular redox homeostasis and mitochondrial energy production. As one of the first-row transition metals able to initiate a Fenton-like reaction, Cu compounds are redox-active and contribute to ROS generation, thus perturbing redox homeostasis and causing cellular stress.¹⁷⁷ Harnessing the redox activity of Cu to induce ICD and subsequent antitumour immune response has been documented, including Cu-based nanoparticles and small molecules. For example, to produce ROS precisely in the ER to result in potentiated ER stress, Suntharalingam *et al.* designed Cu complexes with different polypyridyl ligands with the aim of facilitating their distribution to the ER.²⁷² Notably, a Cu(II) complex containing a Schiff base ligand and a polypyridyl ligand **Cu1** induced ICD in breast CSCs *via* ROS-driven ER stress, as evidenced by DAMPs emission, and promoted phagocytosis by macrophages. In another follow-up study, the same group encapsulated **Cu1** into polymeric nanoparticles to enhance cellular uptake by CSCs and observed improved ICD efficacy.²⁷³

Another recent study also showcased the superior ICD inducing capacity of Cu(II) complexes which can be attributed to their redox activities.²⁷⁴ **Cu2** depleted GSH forming monovalent Cu⁺ species that catalyzed [•]OH production *via* Fenton-like reaction. Replacing the center metal with Co, Pt or Pd resulted in the loss of cytotoxicity. **Cu2**-induced ICD was ferroptosis-dependent and enabled significant tumour growth prevention and effective antitumour immune response (increased CD8⁺ T-cell infiltration and decreased Foxp3⁺ T-cells) in vaccinated

c57BL/6 mice challenged with colorectal cancer. Importantly, **Cu2** exhibited cytotoxic specificity towards cancer cells only.

Another form of Cu-based ICD inducer is the combination of CuCl₂ with an anti-alcoholism drug disulfiram (DSF/Cu).^{169,275–279} DSF was repurposed as an anticancer agent that readily formed active metabolite Cu-diethyldithiocarbamate complex **Cu3**, significantly enhancing the anti-tumour effects of DSF.^{279–281} DSF/Cu treatment was found to induce potent ICD in multiple cancers and ICD-based immune response against primary and rechallenged tumours.^{169,275,277–279} The ICD evoked by DSF/Cu was associated with cuproptosis, a newly characterized cell death characterized by the accumulation of Cu in mitochondria.^{282,283} Multiple lines of evidence showed that cuproptosis elicited ICD and enhanced the immunogenicity of dying tumour cells.^{284–286} In addition, DSF/Cu treatment drove the reprogramming and reversal of the immunosuppressive TME in humanized mice.^{169,281} It could be used in combination with α PD-L1 to enhance cancer immunotherapy²⁷⁶ and trigger radiation therapy-induced ICD when combined with radiation and chemotherapeutic agents.^{169,277,278} Some DSF/Cu combination therapies were investigated in clinical trials, such as NCT02671890 (Phase I, solid tumours and pancreatic cancer), NCT02715609 (Phase I/II, glioblastoma), and NCT02678975 (Phase II/III, glioblastoma).

3.5.3 Mn-based ICD inducers. Mn(II) can catalyze the decomposition of excess H₂O₂ in cells, yielding [•]OH *via* a Fenton-like reaction.¹⁷⁷ However, investigation on ROS-ER stress-driven ICD inducers based on Mn remains rare. One recent study by Mao *et al.* found that Mn(III) *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin chloride **Mn1** could induce ICD and autophagy while they were investigating its activity in regulating anion transport into cells.²⁸⁷ Upon treatment with **Mn1** against HeLa cells, a 4-fold increase in intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration was observed. Classical ICD events, including relocation of CRT and ATP secretion, except for the liberation of HMGB1, were detected. Proteomics analysis revealed downregulation in natural anti-coagulant proteins, suggesting the implication of an immune response. Despite a lack of mechanistic investigations and further validation, this study broadened the scope of metal-containing ICD inducers, being the first example of an Mn-based ICD inducer.

4. Current challenges and limitations

4.1 Understanding the molecular targets

Genome-wide CRISPR screening, RNA interference (RNAi), and multi-omic techniques (*e.g.* genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics and metabolomics) have been frequently used to investigate targets of metallodrugs.^{227,264,288–294} However, there are only few studies on target identification and validation for ICD complexes. A noteworthy example reported by Zou and co-workers disclosed binding immunoglobulin protein (*i.e.* Bip/GRP78), an abundant ER chaperone regulating protein homeostasis in the ER, as a potential therapeutic target in ICD induction by photoaffinity-based target profiling.²²⁷ **KP1339**, **OXF** and a cyclometalated Ir(III)-bisNHC complex **Ir6** were found to interact with BiP, as evidenced by shifted *T_m* values in cellular



thermal shift assay (CETSA). Apart from Bip, the functional proteins in the ER that could be promising targets for ICD inducers remains elusive.

Compounds with different metals will likely have different molecular targets and MOA, while ROS generation and ER stress are believed to be strongly associated with ICD induction.^{75–77,82,182} Multiple targets might be implicated in the continuous generation of ROS and ER stress provoked by metal complexes. The mystery of the molecular targets of respective metal-based ICD inducers and the relationship between their targets and ROS-driven ER stress-based ICD remains an intriguing topic in this field.

Meanwhile, because of the lack of understanding of the respective molecular targets in the induction pathway of ICD, the rational design of potent metallic ICD inducers based on structural optimization is challenging. Thus, the target profiling of respective metallo molecules is highly demanding and of great significance in accelerating the understanding of mechanistic mysteries in ICD. Notably, ICD inducers that simultaneously target multiple pathways to provoke ER stress can potentiate ICD effects. This suggests that the design of novel ICD inducers with multiple targets could be reasonable. Overall, unraveling the molecular targets of metal-based ICD inducers to aid in the rational design of more effective and potent ICD inducers is needed to bridge this research gap.

4.2 Comprehensive structure–activity relationship (SAR) studies

Most of the development approaches for metallo-ICD inducers rely on screening and only few studies have attempted a systematic investigation of the effects of structural changes on their ICD-inducing capacity (e.g. **Pt-NHC** and **Au-NHC**).^{207,209,241} The scarcity of SAR studies occurs for several reasons. First, identification of a potential ICD inducer *in vitro* requires successful detection of multiple DAMPs as well as effective activation of immune cells, but related experimental procedures are highly laborious and resource-intensive. Second, to ensure robust ICD induction, it is necessary to monitor DAMPs at different time points and several drug concentrations.^{26,34,89} Despite the establishment of transgenic screening platforms, screening for large compound libraries at different concentrations with different treatment durations is challenging in practice.¹⁴⁹ Third, building up a reliable screening platform by genetic manipulation is not easy. Finally, owing to the complexity of immune regulatory pathways,⁸⁷ overall immunogenicity derived from ICD and the degree of activated antitumour immunity *in vivo* varies depending on the immunostimulatory and immunoinhibitory DAMPs balance, which complicates analyses. For example, gemcitabine triggers immunostimulatory DAMPs emission but also concurrently promotes the release of prostaglandin E2 as an immunoinhibitory signal, thus failing to provoke an effective antitumour immune response *in vivo*.²⁹⁵ An integrated screening platform that enables high throughput and systematic evaluation of ICD candidates would accelerate the drug discovery process and facilitate more robust mechanistic investigations, shedding light on their unique SAR.

4.3 Better cell and animal test models for ICD

In the design and screening of ICD inducers, the type of cell line models used is also crucial. Certain cell lines overexpressing ATP-hydrolyzing enzymes (*i.e.* CD39 and CD73) are likely to exhibit compromised immunostimulatory activity of DAMPs.^{122,296} This is because CD39 and CD73 reduce extracellular ATP by converting ATP to adenosine monophosphate (AMP) and adenosine, respectively.^{296,297} The accumulation of immunosuppressive extracellular adenosine, together with the decrease in the level of chemotactic and immunostimulatory ATP, weakens antitumour immunity.²⁹⁶ As ATP release is a pivotal marker of ICD, the activity of these enzymes might compromise the outcomes of ICD induction. Depending on whether the focus is on enhancing immune activation or studying immune evasion, it is key to consider the type of cell line carefully when designing the model. Next, human and mouse cell lines may exhibit differing responses to ICD inducers. A comprehensive ICD study should include both human and murine cell lines to compare the immunogenic response or ICD markers and ensure translational relevance because the ultimate goal of ICD research is to develop effective treatments for human patients in clinical settings.

Beyond *in vitro* assays, ICD should be validated *in vivo* to assess the induction of an immune response.^{162,163,295} However, validation can only be conducted in animal models with murine cell lines, as human cancer cells are intrinsically incompatible with *in vivo* immunological studies. Although attempts are being made to allow proper evaluation of ICD in the human system, the current state-of-the-art approach to identifying *bona fide* ICD inducers is through vaccination assays with immunocompetent syngeneic mice. Numerous studies despite showing promising *in vitro* results often validate through subcutaneous tumour models,^{219,223,234,243,244,246,247,262,298} where the primary focus is on analyzing the tumour growth curve to infer immunogenicity. Although informative, this approach may not fully capture the complexity of the immune response induced by ICD inducers. We therefore encourage researchers to standardize their validation processes by utilizing vaccination assays in syngeneic models because this will improve the reliability of ICD studies and better guide the development of novel ICD inducers.

5. Conclusion and future perspectives

This review highlights the significant advancements that have been made in the investigation, development and understanding of metal complexes for ICD in the past 2 decades since the ICD phenomenon was originally discovered. Given the strong interest in this field of research in recent years, this trend is expected to continue. Moving forward, we anticipate that the focus of effort will be channeled towards rationalizing the design of ICD complexes and developing specific clinical applications.

One strategy to rationalize the design of ICD complexes is to consider the indispensable role of continuous ER stress in ICD



initiation and, hence, to reinforce ER stress precisely *via* an ER targeting manner.^{65,84–86,226,299–302} In response to stressors, cancer cells initiate UPR to relieve stress and maintain ER homeostasis. Stressors can target and remain in the ER, invoke persistent ER stress and counteract stress relief. Multiple lines of evidence have shown the effectiveness of this method by modifying the ligand environment of metal compounds to ensure their accumulation in the ER^{86,222,234,299,303} or constructing ER targeting delivery systems to direct them into the ER.^{65,85,226,301,302}

The effectiveness of ICD in potentiating antitumour immunity depends on tumour immunogenicity and the host immune system. Another strategy is to develop complexes that act on immune cells, such as DCs and T-cells, and facilitate their detection, recognition and interaction of cancer antigens, which can boost ICD-primed immune response. Such functional molecules, aptly called “ICD enhancers” by Kroemer and co-workers, include hexokinase-2 inhibitors (immunometabolic modifiers) and ligands of pattern recognition receptor 3 (TLR3).³⁰⁴ Overall, the augmentation of ICD effects can be achieved by amplifying ICD in cancer cells or enhancing the perception of ICD by immune cells, suggesting promising ways to augment ICD and improve ICD-based therapies.

ICD-primed antitumour immune responses were also observed in the context of non-apoptotic RCD, such as ferroptosis,^{305–307} necroptosis,^{308,309} cuproptosis,^{285,286} and pyroptosis.^{303,310,311} These new RCDs could provide the basis and inspiration for the design of new ICD complexes. For example, cancer cells undergoing glutathione peroxidase 4 inhibition-induced ferroptosis were found to be immunogenic and can elicit robust antitumour immunity *in vivo*.^{305,306} Necroptotic cancer cells generated by genetic manipulation emit DAMPs, promote DC maturation and cross-priming of CTLs, and trigger an antigen-specific antitumour immune response.³⁰⁸ Pyroptosis is viewed as an ICD modality characterized by DAMPs emission that can enhance antitumour immune responses. Despite the poor direct killing of cancer cells, the pyroptotic cell death activator (*i.e.* GSDMD agonist) is an effective booster when synergized with other cancer immunotherapy.³¹⁰ Cuproptosis, a newly described cell death modality, occurs owing to the overload of Cu in mitochondria and has been linked to trigger ICD effects.^{285,286} Altogether, these studies highlight the significance of non-apoptotic RCD in ICD initiation and suggest a promising way to discover ICD inducers that trigger immunogenic non-apoptotic cell death. Although agents that lead to non-apoptotic RCD may not guarantee the discovery of *bona fide* ICD inducers, they are more likely to yield a successful ICD induction and effective antitumour immunity.^{303,312–314}

Regarding clinical applications, a promising avenue for ICD inducers may lie in complementing existing T-cell-based immunotherapy specifically targeting cold tumours.^{45,189,190,196,248,315–318} Cold tumours, also known as immune-excluded tumours, are characterized generally by a low expression of PD-L1 and lack of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes, and are thus poorly responsive to T-cell-based therapies, such as immune checkpoint inhibitors.³¹⁹ This represents a significant gap in cancer immunotherapy. ICD is one of the

therapeutic strategies that can promote T-cell priming in “cold” tumours. ICD inducers can change TME from a “cold” to “hot” immune status by enhancing the immunogenicity of the dead cells through the production of neoantigens, DAMPs and cytokines to recruit and activate APCs and effector T-cells (CD4⁺ and CD8⁺).^{45,196,203,204,315,318,319} The efficient release of antigen, along with antigen processing and presentation, can improve T-cell priming. Thus, this enables T-cells to be available in the tumour for future cancer cell elimination.

One example of ICD inducers described previously, **PT-112**, is effective against “cold” tumour.^{203–205} Its combination with ICIs such as CTLA4, PD1 and PD-L1 blockers has shown a synergistic effect and can induce a more potent immune response compared to either therapy alone *in vivo*. The study also shows that **PT-112** favored the establishment of an immunostimulatory tumour microenvironment. Collectively, the combination of **PT-112** with immune checkpoint inhibitors suggests a promising immunotherapy with improved clinical safety and efficacy for overcoming “cold” tumour. Besides **PT-112**, the cyclometalated Au(III) complex **Au9** has demonstrated the ability to boost the immune response against MPM cells, which can be classified as immunologically “cold tumours” owing to poor responses to the immune checkpoint blockade combination treatment. In a preclinical study involving vaccinated mice, **Au9** extended the tumour-free survival period to 5–7 months. Although lacking clinical investigation, this example highlights the potential of ICD inducers in targeting cold tumours that are less receptive to immunotherapies and hence are limited to chemotherapy. Apart from **PT-112** and **Au9**, a few metallic ICD inducers have been shown to upregulate the level of PD-L1 in cancer cell lines that are classified as “cold” tumour in recent years.^{198,199,223,225,234,246} The combination of these complexes and PD-1 displayed a synergistic effect *in vivo*, with the combination significantly upregulating the level of mature DCs, T-cell infiltration and cytokines while downregulating immunosuppressive inflammatory cytokines, signifying the transformation into “hot” tumour.

These studies underscore the potential of ICD inducers as promising agents for the treatment of cancers that display limited sensitivity to ICIs. Thus, enhancing the immunogenicity with ICD inducers while simultaneously reducing immunosuppression through ICIs offers a promising approach to convert the TME from an immunosuppressive “cold” to an immunostimulatory “hot” environment that can generate a robust immune response.

Data availability

No primary research results, software or code have been included and no new data were generated or analysed as part of this review.

Author contributions

Jiao Xia Zou – original draft, figures and table, data procuring, editing, citing, formatting; Meng Rui Chang – original draft, data procuring; Nikita A. Kuznetsov – writing; Jia Xuan Kee –



writing, review & editing. Prof. Wee Han Ang and Prof. Maria V. Babak: writing, formatting, review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

W. H. A. acknowledges financial support from the Singapore Ministry of Education (A-8002492-00-00). M. V. B. acknowledges financial support from the Pneumoconiosis Compensation Fund Board of Hong Kong (Project No. 9211315). The authors thank Clemen Yu Jie Ong (National University of Singapore) and Ho-Jung Choe (City University of Hong Kong) for their assistance in preparing the figures and graphics.

References

- 1 P. Sharma, S. Goswami, D. Raychaudhuri, B. A. Siddiqui, P. Singh, A. Nagarajan, J. Liu, S. K. Subudhi, C. Poon, K. L. Gant, S. M. Herbrich, S. Anandhan, S. Islam, M. Amit, G. Anandappa and J. P. Allison, *Cell*, 2023, **186**, 1652.
- 2 M. Morotti, A. Albukhari, A. Alsaadi, M. Artibani, J. D. Brenton, S. M. Curbishley, T. Dong, M. L. Dustin, Z. Hu, N. McGranahan, M. L. Miller, L. Santana-Gonzalez, L. W. Seymour, T. Shi, P. Van Loo, C. Yau, H. White, N. Wietek, D. N. Church, D. C. Wedge and A. A. Ahmed, *Br. J. Cancer*, 2021, **124**, 1759.
- 3 C. M. Southam, A. Brunschwig, A. G. Levin and Q. S. Dizon, *Cancer*, 1966, **19**, 1743.
- 4 A. D. Waldman, J. M. Fritz and M. J. Lenardo, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*, 2020, **20**, 651.
- 5 C. Guo, M. H. Manjili, J. R. Subjeck, D. Sarkar, P. B. Fisher and X. Y. Wang, *Adv. Cancer Res.*, 2013, **119**, 421.
- 6 P. Dobosz and T. Dzieciatkowski, *Front. Immunol.*, 2019, **10**, 2965.
- 7 S. J. Oiseth and M. S. Aziz, *J. Cancer Metastasis Treat.*, 2017, **3**, 250.
- 8 E. F. McCarthy, *Iowa Orthop. J.*, 2006, **26**, 154.
- 9 J. Couzin-Frankel, *Science*, 2013, **342**, 1432.
- 10 A. Haslam and V. Prasad, *JAMA Netw. Open*, 2019, **2**, e192535.
- 11 L. B. Alexandrov, S. Nik-Zainal, D. C. Wedge, S. A. Aparicio, S. Behjati, A. V. Biankin, G. R. Bignell, N. Bolli, A. Borg, A. L. Borresen-Dale, S. Boyault, B. Burkhardt, A. P. Butler, C. Caldas, H. R. Davies, C. Desmedt, R. Eils, J. E. Eyfjord, J. A. Foekens, M. Greaves, F. Hosoda, B. Hutter, T. Ilcic, S. Imbeaud, M. Imielinski, N. Jager, D. T. Jones, D. Jones, S. Knappskog, M. Kool, S. R. Lakhani, C. Lopez-Otin, S. Martin, N. C. Munshi, H. Nakamura, P. A. Northcott, M. Pajic, E. Papaemmanuil, A. Paradiso, J. V. Pearson, X. S. Puente, K. Raine, M. Ramakrishna, A. L. Richardson, J. Richter, P. Rosenstiel, M. Schlesner, T. N. Schumacher, P. N. Span, J. W. Teague, Y. Totoki, A. N. Tutt, R. Valdes-Mas, M. M. van Buuren, L. van't Veer, A. Vincent-Salomon, N. Waddell, L. R. Yates, I. Australian Pancreatic Cancer Genome, I. B. C. Consortium, I. M.-S. Consortium, I. PedBrain, J. Zucman-Rossi, P. A. Futreal, U. McDermott, P. Lichter, M. Meyerson, S. M. Grimmond, R. Siebert, E. Campo, T. Shibata, S. M. Pfister, P. J. Campbell and M. R. Stratton, *Nature*, 2013, **500**, 415.
- 12 S. Bagchi, R. Yuan and E. G. Engleman, *Annu. Rev. Pathol.*, 2021, **16**, 223.
- 13 C. Kandoth, M. D. McLellan, F. Vandin, K. Ye, B. Niu, C. Lu, M. Xie, Q. Zhang, J. F. McMichael, M. A. Wyczalkowski, M. D. M. Leiserson, C. A. Miller, J. S. Welch, M. J. Walter, M. C. Wendl, T. J. Ley, R. K. Wilson, B. J. Raphael and L. Ding, *Nature*, 2013, **502**, 333.
- 14 H. Nishikawa and S. Sakaguchi, *Curr. Opin. Immunol.*, 2014, **27**, 1.
- 15 M. J. Smyth, G. P. Dunn and R. D. Schreiber, *Adv. Immunol.*, 2006, **90**, 1.
- 16 S. Spranger and T. F. Gajewski, *Annu. Rev. Cancer Biol.*, 2018, **2**, 213.
- 17 S. Tang, Q. Ning, L. Yang, Z. Mo and S. Tang, *Int. Immunopharmacol.*, 2020, **86**, 106700.
- 18 M. D. Vesely, M. H. Kershaw, R. D. Schreiber and M. J. Smyth, *Annu. Rev. Immunol.*, 2011, **29**, 235.
- 19 P. S. Hegde and D. S. Chen, *Immunity*, 2020, **52**, 17.
- 20 D. S. Chen and I. Mellman, *Immunity*, 2013, **39**, 1.
- 21 J. Galon and D. Bruni, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2019, **18**, 197.
- 22 M. Pickup, S. Novitskiy and H. L. Moses, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2013, **13**, 788.
- 23 G. Willimsky, M. Czeh, C. Loddenkemper, J. Gellermann, K. Schmidt, P. Wust, H. Stein and T. Blankenstein, *J. Exp. Med.*, 2008, **205**, 1687.
- 24 S. T. Workenhe, J. Pol and G. Kroemer, *Oncoimmunology*, 2021, **10**, 1893466.
- 25 L. Galluzzi, I. Vitale, S. A. Aaronson, J. M. Abrams, D. Adam, P. Agostinis, E. S. Alnemri, L. Altucci, I. Amelio, D. W. Andrews, M. Annicchiarico-Petruzzelli, A. V. Antonov, E. Arama, E. H. Baehrecke, N. A. Barlev, N. G. Bazan, F. Bernassola, M. J. M. Bertrand, K. Bianchi, M. V. Blagosklonny, K. Blomgren, C. Borner, P. Boya, C. Brenner, M. Campanella, E. Candi, D. Carmona-Gutierrez, F. Cecconi, F. K. Chan, N. S. Chandel, E. H. Cheng, J. E. Chipuk, J. A. Cidlowski, A. Ciechanover, G. M. Cohen, M. Conrad, J. R. Cubillos-Ruiz, P. E. Czabotar, V. D'Angiolella, T. M. Dawson, V. L. Dawson, V. De Laurenzi, R. De Maria, K. M. Debatin, R. J. DeBerardinis, M. Deshmukh, N. Di Daniele, F. Di Virgilio, V. M. Dixit, S. J. Dixon, C. S. Duckett, B. D. Dynlacht, W. S. El-Deiry, J. W. Elrod, G. M. Fimia, S. Fulda, A. J. Garcia-Saez, A. D. Garg, C. Garrido, E. Gavathiotis, P. Golstein, E. Gottlieb, D. R. Green, L. A. Greene, H. Gronemeyer, A. Gross, G. Hajnoczky, J. M. Hardwick, I. S. Harris, M. O. Hengartner, C. Hetz, H. Ichijo, M. Jaattela, B. Joseph, P. J. Jost, P. P. Juin, W. J. Kaiser, M. Karin, T. Kaufmann, O. Kepp, A. Kimchi, R. N. Kitsis, D. J. Klionsky, R. A. Knight, S. Kumar, S. W. Lee, J. J. Lemasters, B. Levine, A. Linkermann,



- S. A. Lipton, R. A. Lockshin, C. Lopez-Otin, S. W. Lowe, T. Luedde, E. Lugli, M. MacFarlane, F. Madeo, M. Malewicz, W. Malorni, G. Manic, J. C. Marine, S. J. Martin, J. C. Martinou, J. P. Medema, P. Mehlen, P. Meier, S. Melino, E. A. Miao, J. D. Molkentin, U. M. Moll, C. Munoz-Pinedo, S. Nagata, G. Nunez, A. Oberst, M. Oren, M. Overholtzer, M. Pagano, T. Panaretakis, M. Pasparakis, J. M. Penninger, D. M. Pereira, S. Pervaiz, M. E. Peter, M. Piacentini, P. Pinton, J. H. M. Prehn, H. Puthalakath, G. A. Rabinovich, M. Rehm, R. Rizzuto, C. M. P. Rodrigues, D. C. Rubinsztein, T. Rudel, K. M. Ryan, E. Sayan, L. Scorrano, F. Shao, Y. Shi, J. Silke, H. U. Simon, A. Sistigu, B. R. Stockwell, A. Strasser, G. Szabadkai, S. W. G. Tait, D. Tang, N. Tavernarakis, A. Thorburn, Y. Tsujimoto, B. Turk, T. Vanden Berghe, P. Vandenabeele, M. G. Vander Heiden, A. Villunger, H. W. Virgin, K. H. Vousden, D. Vucic, E. F. Wagner, H. Walczak, D. Wallach, Y. Wang, J. A. Wells, W. Wood, J. Yuan, Z. Zakeri, B. Zhivotovsky, L. Zitvogel, G. Melino and G. Kroemer, *Cell Death Differ.*, 2018, **25**, 486.
- 26 L. Galluzzi, I. Vitale, S. Warren, S. Adjemian, P. Agostinis, A. B. Martinez, T. A. Chan, G. Coukos, S. Demaria, E. Deutsch, D. Draganov, R. L. Edelson, S. C. Formenti, J. Fucikova, L. Gabriele, U. S. Gaip, S. R. Gameiro, A. D. Garg, E. Golden, J. Han, K. J. Harrington, A. Hemminki, J. W. Hodge, D. M. S. Hossain, T. Illidge, M. Karin, H. L. Kaufman, O. Kepp, G. Kroemer, J. J. Lasarte, S. Loi, M. T. Lotze, G. Manic, T. Merghoub, A. A. Melcher, K. L. Mossman, F. Prosper, O. Rekdal, M. Rescigno, C. Riganti, A. Sistigu, M. J. Smyth, R. Spisek, J. Stagg, B. E. Strauss, D. Tang, K. Tatsuno, S. W. van Gool, P. Vandenabeele, T. Yamazaki, D. Zamarin, L. Zitvogel, A. Cesano and F. M. Marincola, *J. Immunother. Cancer*, 2020, **8**, e000337.
- 27 N. Casares, M. O. Pequignot, A. Tesniere, F. Ghiringhelli, S. Roux, N. Chaput, E. Schmitt, A. Hamai, S. Hervas-Stubbs, M. Obeid, F. Coutant, D. Metivier, E. Pichard, P. Aucouturier, G. Pierron, C. Garrido, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *J. Exp. Med.*, 2005, **202**, 1691.
- 28 R. S. Wong, *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.*, 2011, **30**, 87.
- 29 R. E. Cocco and D. S. Ucker, *Mol. Biol. Cell*, 2001, **12**, 919.
- 30 V. A. Fadok, D. L. Bratton, A. Konowal, P. W. Freed, J. Y. Westcott and P. M. Henson, *J. Clin. Invest.*, 1998, **101**, 890.
- 31 T. Panaretakis, N. Joza, N. Modjtahedi, A. Tesniere, I. Vitale, M. Durchschlag, G. M. Fimia, O. Kepp, M. Piacentini, K. U. Froehlich, P. van Endert, L. Zitvogel, F. Madeo and G. Kroemer, *Cell Death Differ.*, 2008, **15**, 1499.
- 32 J. Fucikova, P. Kralikova, A. Fialova, T. Brtnicky, L. Rob, J. Bartunkova and R. Spisek, *Cancer Res.*, 2011, **71**, 4821.
- 33 A. Tesniere, F. Schlemmer, V. Boige, O. Kepp, I. Martins, F. Ghiringhelli, L. Aymeric, M. Michaud, L. Apetoh, L. Barault, J. Mendiboure, J. P. Pignon, V. Jooste, P. van Endert, M. Ducreux, L. Zitvogel, F. Piard and G. Kroemer, *Oncogene*, 2010, **29**, 482.
- 34 L. Menger, E. Vacchelli, S. Adjemian, I. Martins, Y. Ma, S. Shen, T. Yamazaki, A. Q. Sukkurwala, M. Michaud, G. Mignot, F. Schlemmer, E. Sulpice, C. Locher, X. Gidrol, F. Ghiringhelli, N. Modjtahedi, L. Galluzzi, F. André, L. Zitvogel, O. Kepp and G. Kroemer, *Sci. Transl. Med.*, 2012, **4**, 143ra99.
- 35 C. A. Perez, A. Fu, H. Onishko, D. E. Hallahan and L. Geng, *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.*, 2009, **85**, 1126.
- 36 A. D. Garg, D. V. Krysko, P. Vandenabeele and P. Agostinis, *Cancer Immunol., Immunother.*, 2012, **61**, 215.
- 37 M. Korbely, W. Zhang and S. Merchant, *Cancer Immunol., Immunother.*, 2011, **60**, 1431.
- 38 A. Ahmed and S. W. G. Tait, *Mol. Oncol.*, 2020, **14**, 2994.
- 39 M. Choi, J. Shin, C. E. Lee, J. Y. Chung, M. Kim, X. Yan, W. H. Yang and J. H. Cha, *BMB Rep.*, 2023, **56**, 275.
- 40 K. P. Fabian, B. Wolfson and J. W. Hodge, *Front. Oncol.*, 2021, **11**, 728018.
- 41 L. Galluzzi, E. Guilbaud, D. Schmidt, G. Kroemer and F. M. Marincola, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2024, **23**, 445.
- 42 A. D. Garg, S. More, N. Rufo, O. Mece, M. L. Sassano, P. Agostinis, L. Zitvogel, G. Kroemer and L. Galluzzi, *Oncoimmunology*, 2017, **6**, e1386829.
- 43 Y. Han, X. Tian, J. Zhai and Z. Zhang, *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.*, 2024, **12**, 1363121.
- 44 Y. Li, X. Liu, X. Zhang, W. Pan, N. Li and B. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 12087.
- 45 Z. Li, X. Lai, S. Fu, L. Ren, H. Cai, H. Zhang, Z. Gu, X. Ma and K. Luo, *Adv. Sci.*, 2022, **9**, e2201734.
- 46 I. Vanmeerbeek, J. Sprooten, D. De Ruyscher, S. Tejpar, P. Vandenbergh, J. Fucikova, R. Spisek, L. Zitvogel, G. Kroemer, L. Galluzzi and A. D. Garg, *Oncoimmunology*, 2020, **9**, 1703449.
- 47 J. Zhai, X. Gu, Y. Liu, Y. Hu, Y. Jiang and Z. Zhang, *Front. Pharmacol.*, 2023, **14**, 1152934.
- 48 L. Galluzzi, O. Kepp, E. Hett, G. Kroemer and F. M. Marincola, *J. Transl. Med.*, 2023, **21**, 162.
- 49 G. Kroemer, C. Galassi, L. Zitvogel and L. Galluzzi, *Nat. Immunol.*, 2022, **23**, 487.
- 50 L. Galluzzi, A. Buque, O. Kepp, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*, 2017, **17**, 97.
- 51 D. V. Krysko, A. D. Garg, A. Kaczmarek, O. Krysko, P. Agostinis and P. Vandenabeele, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2012, **12**, 860.
- 52 S. Janssens, S. Rennen and P. Agostinis, *Immunol. Rev.*, 2024, **321**, 350.
- 53 M. Z. Jin and X. P. Wang, *Front. Immunol.*, 2021, **12**, 697964.
- 54 C. Pozzi, A. Cuomo, I. Spadoni, E. Magni, A. Silvola, A. Conte, S. Sigismund, P. S. Ravenda, T. Bonaldi, M. G. Zampino, C. Cancelliere, P. P. Di Fiore, A. Bardelli, G. Penna and M. Rescigno, *Nat. Med.*, 2016, **22**, 624.
- 55 Z. S. Guo, P. Kalinski, H. Chen and Z. Zhu, *Clin. Transl. Discovery*, 2022, **2**, e69.
- 56 T. Huang, S. Li, G. Li, Y. Tian, H. Wang, L. Shi, G. Perez-Cordon, L. Mao, X. Wang, J. Wang and H. Feng, *PLoS One*, 2014, **9**, e110826.
- 57 C. Sun, H. Wang, S. Mao, J. Liu, S. Li and J. Wang, *Immunol. Lett.*, 2015, **164**, 65.



- 58 A. Melacarne, V. Ferrari, L. Tiraboschi, M. Mishto, J. Liepe, M. Aralla, L. Marconato, M. Lizier, C. Pozzi, O. Zeira, G. Penna and M. Rescigno, *Cell Rep.*, 2021, **36**, 109312.
- 59 J. Huang, F. Duan, C. Xie, J. Xu, Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, Y. P. Tang and E. L. Leung, *Immunol. Rev.*, 2024, **321**, 128.
- 60 R. Alzeibak, T. A. Mishchenko, N. Y. Shilyagina, I. V. Balalaeva, M. V. Vedunova and D. V. Krysko, *J. Immunother. Cancer*, 2021, **9**, e001926.
- 61 A. D. Garg, D. V. Krysko, P. Vandenabeele and P. Agostinis, *Oncoimmunology*, 2012, **1**, 786.
- 62 K. Tatsuno, T. Yamazaki, D. Hanlon, P. Han, E. Robinson, O. Sobolev, A. Yurter, F. Rivera-Molina, N. Arshad, R. L. Edelson and L. Galluzzi, *Cell Death Dis.*, 2019, **10**, 578.
- 63 C. Y. Calvet, D. Famin, F. M. Andre and L. M. Mir, *Oncoimmunology*, 2014, **3**, e28131.
- 64 E. E. Sweeney, J. Cano-Mejia and R. Fernandes, *Small*, 2018, **14**, e1800678.
- 65 W. Li, J. Yang, L. Luo, M. Jiang, B. Qin, H. Yin, C. Zhu, X. Yuan, J. Zhang, Z. Luo, Y. Du, Q. Li, Y. Lou, Y. Qiu and J. You, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 3349.
- 66 E. B. Golden, D. Frances, I. Pellicciotta, S. Demaria, M. Helen Barcellos-Hoff and S. C. Formenti, *Oncoimmunology*, 2014, **3**, e28518.
- 67 P. Schildkopf, B. Frey, O. J. Ott, Y. Rubner, G. Multhoff, R. Sauer, R. Fietkau and U. S. Gaipl, *Radiother. Oncol.*, 2011, **101**, 109.
- 68 E. B. Golden and L. Apetoh, *Semin. Radiat. Oncol.*, 2015, **25**, 11.
- 69 J. Fucikova, I. Moserova, I. Truxova, I. Hermanova, I. Vancurova, S. Partlova, A. Fialova, L. Sojka, P. F. Cartron, M. Houska, L. Rob, J. Bartunkova and R. Spisek, *Int. J. Cancer*, 2014, **135**, 1165.
- 70 E. Freund, K. R. Liedtke, J. van der Linde, H. R. Metelmann, C. D. Heidecke, L. I. Partecke and S. Bekeschus, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 634.
- 71 D. Xie, Q. Wang and G. Wu, *Front. Immunol.*, 2022, **13**, 1017400.
- 72 I. Adkins, L. Sadilkova, N. Hradilova, J. Tomala, M. Kovar and R. Spisek, *Oncoimmunology*, 2017, **6**, e1311433.
- 73 A. M. Dudek, A. D. Garg, D. V. Krysko, D. De Ruysscher and P. Agostinis, *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.*, 2013, **24**, 319.
- 74 J. Zhou, G. Wang, Y. Chen, H. Wang, Y. Hua and Z. Cai, *J. Cell. Mol. Med.*, 2019, **23**, 4854.
- 75 O. Kepp, L. Menger, E. Vacchelli, C. Locher, S. Adjemian, T. Yamazaki, I. Martins, A. Q. Sukkurwala, M. Michaud, L. Senovilla, L. Galluzzi, G. Kroemer and L. Zitvogel, *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.*, 2013, **24**, 311.
- 76 G. Di Conza, P. C. Ho, J. R. Cubillos-Ruiz and S. C. Huang, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*, 2023, **23**, 546.
- 77 N. Rufo, A. D. Garg and P. Agostinis, *Trends Cancer*, 2017, **3**, 643.
- 78 C. Hetz, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2012, **13**, 89.
- 79 C. Hetz, K. Zhang and R. J. Kaufman, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2020, **21**, 421.
- 80 L. Zitvogel, O. Kepp, L. Senovilla, L. Menger, N. Chaput and G. Kroemer, *Clin. Cancer Res.*, 2010, **16**, 3100.
- 81 T. Panaretakis, O. Kepp, U. Brockmeier, A. Tesniere, A. C. Bjorklund, D. C. Chapman, M. Durchschlag, N. Joza, G. Pierron, P. van Endert, J. Yuan, L. Zitvogel, F. Madeo, D. B. Williams and G. Kroemer, *EMBO J.*, 2009, **28**, 578.
- 82 I. Martins, O. Kepp, F. Schlemmer, S. Adjemian, M. Tailler, S. Shen, M. Michaud, L. Menger, A. Gdoura, N. Tajeddine, A. Tesniere, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Oncogene*, 2011, **30**, 1147.
- 83 R. Zhang, J. D. Neighbors, T. D. Schell and R. J. Hohl, *Oncoimmunology*, 2022, **11**, 2104551.
- 84 J. R. Cubillos-Ruiz, S. E. Bettigole and L. H. Glimcher, *Cell*, 2017, **168**, 692.
- 85 H. Deng, Z. Zhou, W. Yang, L. S. Lin, S. Wang, G. Niu, J. Song and X. Chen, *Nano Lett.*, 2020, **20**, 1928.
- 86 Y. Liu, H.-R. Jia, X. Han and F.-G. Wu, *Smart Mater. Med.*, 2021, **2**, 334.
- 87 N. Yatim, S. Cullen and M. L. Albert, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*, 2017, **17**, 262.
- 88 J. Fucikova, O. Kepp, L. Kasikova, G. Petroni, T. Yamazaki, P. Liu, L. Zhao, R. Spisek, G. Kroemer and L. Galluzzi, *Cell Death Dis.*, 2020, **11**, 1013.
- 89 O. Kepp, L. Senovilla, I. Vitale, E. Vacchelli, S. Adjemian, P. Agostinis, L. Apetoh, F. Aranda, V. Barnaba, N. Bloy, L. Bracci, K. Breckpot, D. Brough, A. Buque, M. G. Castro, M. Cirone, M. I. Colombo, I. Cremer, S. Demaria, L. Dini, A. G. Eliopoulos, A. Faggioni, S. C. Formenti, J. Fucikova, L. Gabriele, U. S. Gaipl, J. Galon, A. Garg, F. Ghiringhelli, N. A. Giese, Z. S. Guo, A. Hemminki, M. Herrmann, J. W. Hodge, S. Holdenrieder, J. Honeychurch, H. M. Hu, X. Huang, T. M. Illidge, K. Kono, M. Korbelik, D. V. Krysko, S. Loi, P. R. Lowenstein, E. Lugli, Y. Ma, F. Madeo, A. A. Manfredi, I. Martins, D. Mavilio, L. Menger, N. Merendino, M. Michaud, G. Mignot, K. L. Mossman, G. Multhoff, R. Oehler, F. Palombo, T. Panaretakis, J. Pol, E. Proietti, J. E. Ricci, C. Riganti, P. Rovere-Querini, A. Rubartelli, A. Sistigu, M. J. Smyth, J. Sonnemann, R. Spisek, J. Stagg, A. Q. Sukkurwala, E. Tartour, A. Thorburn, S. H. Thorne, P. Vandenabeele, F. Velotti, S. T. Workenhe, H. Yang, W. X. Zong, L. Zitvogel, G. Kroemer and L. Galluzzi, *Oncoimmunology*, 2014, **3**, e955691.
- 90 A. D. Garg, L. Galluzzi, L. Apetoh, T. Baert, R. B. Birge, J. M. Bravo-San Pedro, K. Breckpot, D. Brough, R. Chaurio, M. Cirone, A. Coosemans, P. G. Coulie, D. De Ruysscher, L. Dini, P. de Witte, A. M. Dudek-Peric, A. Faggioni, J. Fucikova, U. S. Gaipl, J. Golab, M. L. Gougeon, M. R. Hamblin, A. Hemminki, M. Herrmann, J. W. Hodge, O. Kepp, G. Kroemer, D. V. Krysko, W. G. Land, F. Madeo, A. A. Manfredi, S. R. Mattarollo, C. Maueroeder, N. Merendino, G. Multhoff, T. Pabst, J. E. Ricci, C. Riganti, E. Romano, N. Rufo, M. J. Smyth, J. Sonnemann, R. Spisek, J. Stagg, E. Vacchelli, P. Vandenabeele, L. Vandenberg, B. J. Van den Eynde, S. Van Gool, F. Velotti, L. Zitvogel and P. Agostinis, *Front. Immunol.*, 2015, **6**, 588.
- 91 S. T. A. Melcher, N. Hardwick, M. Ford, M. Jacobson and R. G. Vile, *Nat. Med.*, 1998, **4**, 581.



- 92 B. Zunino, C. Rubio-Patino, E. Villa, O. Meynet, E. Proics, A. Cornille, S. Pommier, L. Mondragon, J. Chiche, J. M. Bereder, M. Carles and J. E. Ricci, *Oncogene*, 2016, **35**, 261.
- 93 A. Sistigu, T. Yamazaki, E. Vacchelli, K. Chaba, D. P. Enot, J. Adam, I. Vitale, A. Goubar, E. E. Baracco, C. Remedios, L. Fend, D. Hannani, L. Aymeric, Y. Ma, M. Niso-Santano, O. Kepp, J. L. Schultze, T. Tuting, F. Belardelli, L. Bracci, V. La Sorsa, G. Ziccheddu, P. Sestili, F. Urbani, M. Delorenzi, M. Lacroix-Triki, V. Quidville, R. Conforti, J. P. Spano, L. Pusztai, V. Poirier-Colame, S. Delalogue, F. Penault-Llorca, S. Ladoire, L. Arnould, J. Cyta, M. C. Dessoliers, A. Eggermont, M. E. Bianchi, M. Pittet, C. Engblom, C. Pfirschke, X. Preville, G. Uze, R. D. Schreiber, M. T. Chow, M. J. Smyth, E. Proietti, F. Andre, G. Kroemer and L. Zitvogel, *Nat. Med.*, 2014, **20**, 1301.
- 94 S. J. Martin, *FEBS J.*, 2016, **283**, 2599.
- 95 A. Schcolnik-Cabrera, B. Oldak, M. Juarez, M. Cruz-Rivera, A. Flisser and F. Mendlovic, *Apoptosis*, 2019, **24**, 245.
- 96 C. Hong, X. Qiu, Y. Li, Q. Huang, Z. Zhong, Y. Zhang, X. Liu, L. Sun, P. Lv and X. M. Gao, *J. Immunol.*, 2010, **185**, 4561.
- 97 M. Obeid, T. Panaretakis, N. Joza, R. Tufi, A. Tesniere, P. van Endert, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Cell Death Differ.*, 2007, **14**, 1848.
- 98 A. D. Garg, D. V. Krysko, T. Verfaillie, A. Kaczmarek, G. B. Ferreira, T. Marysael, N. Rubio, M. Firczuk, C. Mathieu, A. J. Roebroek, W. Annaert, J. Golab, P. de Witte, P. Vandenabeele and P. Agostinis, *EMBO J.*, 2012, **31**, 1062.
- 99 M. Obeid, A. Tesniere, F. Ghiringhelli, G. M. Fimia, L. Apetoh, J. L. Perfettini, M. Castedo, G. Mignot, T. Panaretakis, N. Casares, D. Metivier, N. Larochette, P. van Endert, F. Ciccosanti, M. Piacentini, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Nat. Med.*, 2007, **13**, 54.
- 100 P. Giglio, M. Gagliardi, N. Tumino, F. Antunes, S. Smaili, D. Cotella, C. Santoro, R. Bernardini, M. Mattei, M. Piacentini and M. Corazzari, *Oncoimmunology*, 2018, **7**, e1466765.
- 101 L. Bezu, A. Sauvat, J. Humeau, L. C. Gomes-da-Silva, K. Iribarren, S. Forveille, P. Garcia, L. Zhao, P. Liu, L. Zitvogel, L. Senovilla, O. Kepp and G. Kroemer, *Cell Death Differ.*, 2018, **25**, 1375.
- 102 L. Bezu, A. Sauvat, J. Humeau, M. Leduc, O. Kepp and G. Kroemer, *Oncoimmunology*, 2018, **7**, e1431089.
- 103 O. Kepp, M. Semeraro, J. M. Bravo-San Pedro, N. Bloy, A. Buque, X. Huang, H. Zhou, L. Senovilla, G. Kroemer and L. Galluzzi, *Semin. Cancer Biol.*, 2015, **33**, 86.
- 104 S. J. Gardai, K. A. McPhillips, S. C. Frasch, W. J. Janssen, A. Starefeldt, J. E. Murphy-Ullrich, D. L. Bratton, P. A. Oldenborg, M. Michalak and P. M. Henson, *Cell*, 2005, **123**, 321.
- 105 J. Fucikova, I. Truxova, M. Hensler, E. Becht, L. Kasikova, I. Moserova, S. Vosahlikova, J. Klouckova, S. E. Church, I. Cremer, O. Kepp, G. Kroemer, L. Galluzzi, C. Salek and R. Spisek, *Blood*, 2016, **128**, 3113.
- 106 I. Truxova, L. Kasikova, C. Salek, M. Hensler, D. Lysak, P. Holicek, P. Bilkova, M. Holubova, X. Chen, R. Mikyskova, M. Reinis, M. Kovar, B. Tomalova, J. P. Kline, L. Galluzzi, R. Spisek and J. Fucikova, *Haematologica*, 2020, **105**, 1868.
- 107 L. Kasikova, M. Hensler, I. Truxova, P. Skapa, J. Laco, L. Belicova, I. Praznovec, S. Vosahlikova, M. J. Halaska, T. Brtnicky, L. Rob, J. Presl, J. Kostun, I. Cremer, A. Ryska, G. Kroemer, L. Galluzzi, R. Spisek and J. Fucikova, *J. Immunother. Cancer*, 2019, **7**, 312.
- 108 J. Stagg and M. J. Smyth, *Oncogene*, 2010, **29**, 5346.
- 109 M. R. Elliott, F. B. Chekeni, P. C. Trampont, E. R. Lazarowski, A. Kadl, S. F. Walk, D. Park, R. I. Woodson, M. Ostankovich, P. Sharma, J. J. Lysiak, T. K. Harden, N. Leitinger and K. S. Ravichandran, *Nature*, 2009, **461**, 282.
- 110 K. S. Ravichandran, *Immunity*, 2011, **35**, 445.
- 111 I. Martins, A. Tesniere, O. Kepp, M. Michaud, F. Schlemmer, L. Senovilla, C. Seror, D. Metivier, J. L. Perfettini, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Cell Cycle*, 2009, **8**, 3723.
- 112 I. M. Mickaël Michaud, A. Q. Sukkurwala, S. Adjemian, Y. Ma, P. Pellegatti, S. Shen, O. Kepp, M. Scoazec, G. Mignot, S. Rello-Varona, M. Tailler, L. Menger, E. Vacchelli, L. Galluzzi, F. Ghiringhelli, F. di Virgilio, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 1573.
- 113 I. Martins, M. Michaud, A. Q. Sukkurwala, S. Adjemian, Y. Ma, S. Shen, O. Kepp, L. Menger, E. Vacchelli, L. Galluzzi, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Autophagy*, 2012, **8**, 413.
- 114 Y. Wang, I. Martins, Y. Ma, O. Kepp, L. Galluzzi and G. Kroemer, *Autophagy*, 2013, **9**, 1624.
- 115 I. Martins, Y. Wang, M. Michaud, Y. Ma, A. Q. Sukkurwala, S. Shen, O. Kepp, D. Metivier, L. Galluzzi, J. L. Perfettini, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Cell Death Differ.*, 2014, **21**, 79.
- 116 F. B. Chekeni, M. R. Elliott, J. K. Sandilos, S. F. Walk, J. M. Kinchen, E. R. Lazarowski, A. J. Armstrong, S. Penuela, D. W. Laird, G. S. Salvesen, B. E. Isakson, D. A. Bayliss and K. S. Ravichandran, *Nature*, 2010, **467**, 863.
- 117 S. Wang, G. Wang, W. Wu, Z. Xu, J. Yang, M. Cao, Q. Wang, J. Wang, C. Yang and W. Zhang, *Front. Immunol.*, 2022, **13**, 968686.
- 118 S. Mariathasan, D. S. Weiss, K. Newton, J. McBride, K. O'Rourke, M. Roose-Girma, W. P. Lee, Y. Weinrauch, D. M. Monack and V. M. Dixit, *Nature*, 2006, **440**, 228.
- 119 Y. Ma, S. Adjemian, S. R. Mattarollo, T. Yamazaki, L. Aymeric, H. Yang, J. P. Portela Catani, D. Hannani, H. Duret, K. Steegh, I. Martins, F. Schlemmer, M. Michaud, O. Kepp, A. Q. Sukkurwala, L. Menger, E. Vacchelli, N. Droin, L. Galluzzi, R. Krzysiek, S. Gordon, P. R. Taylor, P. Van Endert, E. Solary, M. J. Smyth, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Immunity*, 2013, **38**, 729.
- 120 K. V. Swanson, M. Deng and J. P. Ting, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*, 2019, **19**, 477.
- 121 F. Ghiringhelli, L. Apetoh, A. Tesniere, L. Aymeric, Y. Ma, C. Ortiz, K. Vermaelen, T. Panaretakis, G. Mignot, E. Ullrich, J. L. Perfettini, F. Schlemmer, E. Tasdemir,



- M. Uhl, P. Genin, A. Civas, B. Ryffel, J. Kanellopoulos, J. Tschopp, F. Andre, R. Lidereau, N. M. McLaughlin, N. M. Haynes, M. J. Smyth, G. Kroemer and L. Zitvogel, *Nat. Med.*, 2009, **15**, 1170.
- 122 M. Michaud, A. Q. Sukkurwala, I. Martins, S. Shen, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Oncoimmunology*, 2012, **1**, 393.
- 123 M. V. Zamaraeva, R. Z. Sabirov, E. Maeno, Y. Ando-Akatsuka, S. V. Bessonova and Y. Okada, *Cell Death Differ.*, 2005, **12**, 1390.
- 124 H. L. Zhang, D. Sandai, Z. W. Zhang, Z. J. Song, D. Babu, Y. Tabana, S. S. Dahham, M. Adam Ahmed Adam, Y. Wang, W. Wang, H. L. Zhang, R. Zhao, K. Barakat, M. S. R. Harun, S. N. M. Shapudin and B. Lok, *World J. Clin. Oncol.*, 2023, **14**, 549.
- 125 S. Muller, L. Ronfani and M. E. Bianchi, *J. Intern. Med.*, 2004, **255**, 332.
- 126 H. Yang, H. Wang, S. S. Chavan and U. Andersson, *Mol. Med.*, 2015, **21**(1), S6.
- 127 M. F. W. Guoqian Chen, A. E. Sama and H. Wang, *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.*, 2004, **24**, 329.
- 128 H. W. Ulf Andersson, K. Palmblad, A.-C. Aveberger, O. Bloom, H. Erlandsson-Harris, A. Janson, R. Kokkola, M. Zhang, H. Yang and K. J. Tracey, *J. Exp. Med.*, 2000, **192**, 565.
- 129 T. M. Paola Scaffidi and M. E. Bianchi, *Nature*, 2002, **418**, 191.
- 130 S. Jube, Z. S. Rivera, M. E. Bianchi, A. Powers, E. Wang, I. Pagano, H. I. Pass, G. Gaudino, M. Carbone and H. Yang, *Cancer Res.*, 2012, **72**, 3290.
- 131 P. Rovere-Querini, A. Capobianco, P. Scaffidi, B. Valentini, F. Catalanotti, M. Giazzone, I. E. Dumitriu, S. Muller, M. Iannaccone, C. Traversari, M. E. Bianchi and A. A. Manfredi, *EMBO Rep.*, 2004, **5**, 825.
- 132 H. Kazama, J. E. Ricci, J. M. Herndon, G. Hoppe, D. R. Green and T. A. Ferguson, *Immunity*, 2008, **29**, 21.
- 133 R. Palumbo, M. Sampaoli, F. De Marchis, R. Tonlorenzi, S. Colombetti, A. Mondino, G. Cossu and M. E. Bianchi, *J. Cell Biol.*, 2004, **164**, 441.
- 134 D. Tang, R. Kang, K. M. Livesey, C. W. Cheh, A. Farkas, P. Loughran, G. Hoppe, M. E. Bianchi, K. J. Tracey, H. J. Zeh 3rd and M. T. Lotze, *J. Cell Biol.*, 2010, **190**, 881.
- 135 E. Venereau, M. Casagrandi, M. Schiraldi, D. J. Antoine, A. Cattaneo, F. De Marchis, J. Liu, A. Antonelli, A. Preti, L. Raeli, S. S. Shams, H. Yang, L. Varani, U. Andersson, K. J. Tracey, A. Bachi, M. Uguccioni and M. E. Bianchi, *J. Exp. Med.*, 2012, **209**, 1519.
- 136 L. Apetoh, F. Ghiringhelli, A. Tesniere, M. Obeid, C. Ortiz, A. Criollo, G. Mignot, M. C. Maiuri, E. Ullrich, P. Saulnier, H. Yang, S. Amigorena, B. Ryffel, F. J. Barrat, P. Saftig, F. Levi, R. Lidereau, C. Nogues, J. P. Mira, A. Chompret, V. Joulin, F. Clavel-Chapelon, J. Bourhis, F. Andre, S. Delaloge, T. Tursz, G. Kroemer and L. Zitvogel, *Nat. Med.*, 2007, **13**, 1050.
- 137 L. Apetoh, F. Ghiringhelli, A. Tesniere, A. Criollo, C. Ortiz, R. Lidereau, C. Mariette, N. Chaput, J. P. Mira, S. Delaloge, F. Andre, T. Tursz, G. Kroemer and L. Zitvogel, *Immunol. Rev.*, 2007, **220**, 47.
- 138 J. S. Park, F. Gamboni-Robertson, Q. He, D. Svetkauskaite, J. Y. Kim, D. Strassheim, J. W. Sohn, S. Yamada, I. Maruyama, A. Banerjee, A. Ishizaka and E. Abraham, *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.*, 2006, **290**, C917.
- 139 R. Chen, R. Kang and D. Tang, *Exp. Mol. Med.*, 2022, **54**, 91.
- 140 P. Liu, L. Zhao, O. Kepp and G. Kroemer, *Methods Enzymol.*, 2020, **632**, 1.
- 141 L. Zhao, P. Liu, O. Kepp and G. Kroemer, *Methods Enzymol.*, 2019, **629**, 177.
- 142 O. K. Isabelle Martins, L. Menger, M. Michaud, S. Adjemian, A. Qader Sukkurwala, E. Vacchelli, L. Galluzzi and G. Kroemer, *Methods Mol. Biol.*, 2013, **1004**, 43.
- 143 Y. Zhang, R. Thangam, S. H. You, R. D. Sultonova, A. Venu, J. J. Min and Y. Hong, *Cancers*, 2021, **13**, 2801.
- 144 J. H. Sabrina Forveille, A. Sauvat, L. Bezu, G. Kroemer and O. Kepp, *Methods Enzymol.*, 2019, **629**, 103.
- 145 T. H. K. A. Vessey, A. I. Jobling, A. Y. Wang and E. L. Fletcher, *Methods Mol. Biol.*, 2020, **2041**, 209.
- 146 S. Forveille, J. Humeau, A. Sauvat, L. Bezu, G. Kroemer and O. Kepp, *Methods Enzymol.*, 2019, **629**, 103.
- 147 S. Barnay-Verdier, C. Gaillard, M. Messmer, C. Borde, S. Gibot and V. Marechal, *Cytokine*, 2011, **55**, 4.
- 148 B. L. Strehler and J. R. Totter, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 1952, **40**, 28.
- 149 A. Q. Sukkurwala, S. Adjemian, L. Senovilla, M. Michaud, S. Spaggiari, E. Vacchelli, E. E. Baracco, L. Galluzzi, L. Zitvogel, O. Kepp and G. Kroemer, *Oncoimmunology*, 2014, **3**, e28473.
- 150 P. Liu, L. Zhao, F. Loos, K. Iribarren, S. Lachkar, H. Zhou, L. C. Gomes-da-Silva, G. Chen, L. Bezu, G. Boncompain, F. Perez, L. Zitvogel, O. Kepp and G. Kroemer, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 14915.
- 151 H. Imamura, K. H. Huynh Nhat, H. Togawa, K. Saito, R. Iino, Y. Kato-Yamada, T. Nagai and H. Noji, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, **106**, 15651.
- 152 C. Groer, T. Zhang, R. Lu, S. Cai, D. Mull, A. Huang, M. Forrest, C. Berkland, D. Aires and M. L. Forrest, *Mol. Pharm.*, 2020, **17**, 4334.
- 153 T. Feng, Z. Tang, J. Shu, X. Wu, H. Jiang, Z. Chen, Y. Chen, L. Ji and H. Chao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e202405679.
- 154 C. R. Nascimento, N. A. Rodrigues Fernandes, L. A. Gonzalez Maldonado and C. Rossa Junior, *Biochem. Biophys. Rep.*, 2022, **32**, 101383.
- 155 G. H. Nam, Y. Hong, Y. Choi, G. B. Kim, Y. K. Kim, Y. Yang and I. S. Kim, *J. Immunol. Methods*, 2019, **470**, 27.
- 156 C. W. Chou, C. N. Hung, C. H. Chiu, X. Tan, M. Chen, C. C. Chen, M. Saeed, C. W. Hsu, M. A. Liss, C. M. Wang, Z. Lai, N. Alvarez, P. A. Osmulski, M. E. Gaczynska, L. L. Lin, V. Ortega, N. B. Kirma, K. Xu, Z. Liu, A. P. Kumar, J. A. Taverna, G. V. N. Velagaleti, C. L. Chen, Z. Zhang and T. H. Huang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 6569.
- 157 C. Xu, H. Wu, Y. Liu, F. Li, R. K. Manne and H. K. Lin, *STAR Protoc.*, 2023, **4**, 101940.
- 158 M. Feng, J. Y. Chen, R. Weissman-Tsukamoto, J. P. Volkmer, P. Y. Ho, K. M. McKenna, S. Cheshier,



- M. Zhang, N. Guo, P. Gip, S. S. Mitra and I. L. Weissman, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2015, **112**, 2145.
- 159 L. Kulzer, Y. Rubner, L. Deloch, A. Allgauer, B. Frey, R. Fietkau, J. Dorrie, N. Schaft and U. S. Gaipl, *J. Immunotoxicol.*, 2014, **11**, 328.
- 160 C. R. Justus, M. A. Marie, E. J. Sanderlin and L. V. Yang, *Methods Mol. Biol.*, 2023, **2644**, 349.
- 161 Y. Ma, L. Aymeric, C. Locher, S. R. Mattarollo, N. F. Delahaye, P. Pereira, L. Boucontet, L. Apetoh, F. Ghiringhelli, N. Casares, J. J. Lasarte, G. Matsuzaki, K. Ikuta, B. Ryffel, K. Benlagha, A. Tesniere, N. Ibrahim, J. Dechanet-Merville, N. Chaput, M. J. Smyth, G. Kroemer and L. Zitvogel, *J. Exp. Med.*, 2011, **208**, 491.
- 162 K. Tatsuno, P. Han, R. Edelson and D. Hanlon, *Methods Mol. Biol.*, 2021, **2255**, 171.
- 163 S. L. Juliette Humeau, G. Kroemer and J. G. Pol, *Methods Mol. Biol.*, 2019, **1884**, 297.
- 164 Y. Ma, E. Vacchelli, E. E. Baracco, A. Sistigu, D. P. Enot, F. Pietrocola, H. Yang, S. Adjemian, K. Chaba, M. Semeraro, M. Signore, A. De Ninno, V. Lucarini, F. Peschiaroli, L. Businaro, A. Gerardino, G. Manic, T. Ulas, P. Günther, J. L. Schultze, O. Kepp, G. Stoll, C. Lefebvre, C. Mulot, F. Castoldi, S. Rusakiewicz, S. Ladoire, L. Apetoh, J. M. Bravo-San Pedro, M. Lucattelli, C. Delarasse, V. Boige, M. Ducreux, S. Delaloge, C. Borg, F. André, G. Schiavoni, I. Vitale, P. Laurent-Puig, F. Mattei, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Science*, 2015, **350**, 972.
- 165 C. Bauer, F. Bauernfeind, A. Sterzik, M. Orban, M. Schnurr, H. A. Lehr, S. Endres, A. Eigler and M. Dauer, *Gut*, 2007, **56**, 1275.
- 166 S. K. Wculek, J. Amores-Iniesta, R. Conde-Garrosa, S. C. Khouili, I. Melero and D. Sancho, *J. Immunother. Cancer*, 2019, **7**, 100.
- 167 M. E. Rodriguez-Ruiz, I. Rodriguez, S. Garasa, B. Barbes, J. L. Solorzano, J. L. Perez-Gracia, S. Labiano, M. F. Sanmamed, A. Azpilikueta, E. Bolanos, A. R. Sanchez-Paulete, M. A. Aznar, A. Rouzaut, K. A. Schalper, M. Jure-Kunkel and I. Melero, *Cancer Res.*, 2016, **76**, 5994.
- 168 C. Vanpouille-Box, J. M. Diamond, K. A. Pilonis, J. Zavadil, J. S. Babb, S. C. Formenti, M. H. Barcellos-Hoff and S. Demaria, *Cancer Res.*, 2015, **75**, 2232.
- 169 W. Guo, L. Jia, L. Xie, J. G. Kiang, Y. Wang, F. Sun, Z. Lin, E. Wang, Y. Zhang, P. Huang, T. Sun, X. Zhang, Z. Bian, T. Tang, J. Guo, S. Ferrone and X. Wang, *Cell Death Dis.*, 2024, **15**, 298.
- 170 M. E. Rodriguez-Ruiz, I. Rodriguez, L. Mayorga, T. Labiano, B. Barbes, I. Etxeberria, M. Ponz-Sarvisé, A. Azpilikueta, E. Bolanos, M. F. Sanmamed, P. Berraondo, F. A. Calvo, M. H. Barcellos-Hoff, J. L. Perez-Gracia and I. Melero, *Mol. Cancer Ther.*, 2019, **18**, 621.
- 171 E. J. Anthony, E. M. Bolitho, H. E. Bridgewater, O. W. L. Carter, J. M. Donnelly, C. Imberti, E. C. Lant, F. Lermite, R. J. Needham, M. Palau, P. J. Sadler, H. Shi, F. X. Wang, W. Y. Zhang and Z. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 12888.
- 172 P. J. Sadler, *Adv. Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **36**, 1.
- 173 R. K. Sodhi, *Cancer Ther. Oncol. Int. J.*, 2019, **14**, 555883.
- 174 I. Romero-Canelon and P. J. Sadler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 12276.
- 175 P. Zhang and P. J. Sadler, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **2017**, 1541.
- 176 U. Jungwirth, C. R. Kowol, B. K. Keppler, C. G. Hartinger, W. Berger and P. Heffeter, *Antioxid. Redox Signaling*, 2011, **15**, 1085.
- 177 Y. Liu and J. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, **466**, 143147.
- 178 M. Clemente, I. H. Polat, J. Albert, R. Bosque, M. Crespo, J. Granell, C. López, M. Martínez, J. Quirante, R. Messeguer, C. Calvis, J. Badia, L. Beldomà, M. Font-Bardia and M. Cascante, *Organometallics*, 2018, **37**, 3502.
- 179 Z. Liu, I. Romero-Canelon, B. Qamar, J. M. Hearn, A. Habtemariam, N. P. Barry, A. M. Pizarro, G. J. Clarkson and P. J. Sadler, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 3941.
- 180 R. Franco, M. I. Panayiotidis and J. A. Cidlowski, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2007, **282**, 30452.
- 181 S. Abdolmaleki, S. Khaksar, A. Aliabadi, A. Panjehpour, E. Motieyan, D. Marabello, M. H. Faraji and M. Beihaghi, *Toxicology*, 2023, **492**, 153516.
- 182 Y. Zhang, L. Liu, L. Jin, X. Yi, E. Dang, Y. Yang, C. Li and T. Gao, *J. Invest. Dermatol.*, 2014, **134**, 183.
- 183 L. Zhang, N. Montesdeoca, J. Karges and H. Xiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202300662.
- 184 S. Sen, M. Won, M. S. Levine, Y. Noh, A. C. Sedgwick, J. S. Kim, J. L. Sessler and J. F. Arambula, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2022, **51**, 1212.
- 185 S. Sen, K. Karoscik, E. Maier and J. F. Arambula, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2023, **73**, 102277.
- 186 A. Terenzi, C. Pirker, B. K. Keppler and W. Berger, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2016, **165**, 71.
- 187 P. M. Bruno, Y. Liu, G. Y. Park, J. Murai, C. E. Koch, T. J. Eisen, J. R. Pritchard, Y. Pommier, S. J. Lippard and M. T. Hemann, *Nat. Med.*, 2017, **23**, 461.
- 188 J. Wang, H. Zhang, X. Yin and Y. Bian, *J. Oncol.*, 2022, **2022**, 3760766.
- 189 F. Sun, L. Cui, T. Li, S. Chen, J. Song and D. Li, *J. Recept. Signal Transduction Res.*, 2019, **39**, 208.
- 190 H. Zhu, Y. Shan, K. Ge, J. Lu, W. Kong and C. Jia, *Cell. Oncol.*, 2020, **43**, 1203.
- 191 Z. Huang, Y. Chen, J. Zhang, W. Li, M. Shi, M. Qiao, X. Zhao, H. Hu and D. Chen, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 39934.
- 192 S. W. Zhu, M. Ye, X. Ma, Z. Z. Wu, S. C. Wan, S. C. Yang, H. Li, Z. Xu and Z. J. Sun, *Acta Biomater.*, 2022, **154**, 497.
- 193 J. U. Choi, R. Maharjan, R. Pangen, S. K. Jha, N. K. Lee, S. Kweon, H. K. Lee, K. Y. Chang, Y. K. Choi, J. W. Park and Y. Byun, *J. Controlled Release*, 2020, **322**, 13.
- 194 J. Zheng, J. Sun, J. Chen, S. Zhu, S. Chen, Y. Liu, L. Hao, Z. Wang and S. Chang, *J. Controlled Release*, 2021, **332**, 448.
- 195 B. Feng, B. Hou, Z. Xu, M. Saeed, H. Yu and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, e1902960.
- 196 F. Zhou, B. Feng, H. Yu, D. Wang, T. Wang, Y. Ma, S. Wang and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, e1805888.



- 197 F. Shen, L. Feng, Y. Zhu, D. Tao, J. Xu, R. Peng and Z. Liu, *Biomaterials*, 2020, **255**, 120190.
- 198 L. Song, Y. Hao, C. Wang, Y. Han, Y. Zhu, L. Feng, L. Miao and Z. Liu, *J. Controlled Release*, 2022, **350**, 922.
- 199 W. Du, C. Chen, P. Sun, S. Zhang, J. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Liu, R. Zhang, C. Yan, C. Fan, J. Wu and X. Jiang, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 3317.
- 200 J. Guo, Z. Yu, M. Das and L. Huang, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 5075.
- 201 U. Jungwirth, D. N. Xanthos, J. Gojo, A. K. Bytcek, W. Korner, P. Heffeter, S. A. Abramkin, M. A. Jakupiec, C. G. Hartinger, U. Windberger, M. Galanski, B. K. Keppler and W. Berger, *Mol. Pharmacol.*, 2012, **81**, 719.
- 202 V. Novohradsky, L. Markova, H. Kostrhunova, J. Kasparkova, J. Hoeschele and V. Brabec, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2022, **226**, 111628.
- 203 T. Yamazaki, A. Buque, T. D. Ames and L. Galluzzi, *Oncoimmunology*, 2020, **9**, 1721810.
- 204 D. D. Karp, D. R. Camidge, J. R. Infante, T. D. Ames, J. M. Jimeno and A. H. Bryce, *Ann. Oncol.*, 2018, **29**, viii143.
- 205 D. D. Karp, D. R. Camidge, J. R. Infante, T. D. Ames, M. R. Price, J. Jimeno and A. H. Bryce, *eClinicalMedicine*, 2022, **49**, 101430.
- 206 P. M. Yang, Y. Y. Hsieh, J. L. Du, S. C. Yen and C. F. Hung, *Biomolecules*, 2020, **10**, 643.
- 207 D. Y. Wong, W. W. Ong and W. H. Ang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 6483.
- 208 T. Zou, C. N. Lok, Y. M. Fung and C. M. Che, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 5423.
- 209 M. J. R. Tham, M. V. Babak and W. H. Ang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 19070.
- 210 B. Wang, D. Tang, J. Karges, M. Cui and H. Xiao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2023, **33**, 2214824.
- 211 H. Y. Mu, Y. N. N. Ta, M. J. R. Tham, F. F. Hsu, Y. C. Lin, H. C. Huang, Y. C. Sung, C. I. Huang, C. L. Wu, C. H. Chang, S. Yang, T. Y. Lee, D. Wan, J. Wang, D. G. Duda, Y. Boucher, J. H. Huang, W. H. Ang and Y. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2023, **34**, 2303033.
- 212 M. Bian, R. Fan, Z. Yang, Y. Chen, Z. Xu, Y. Lu and W. Liu, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2022, **65**, 1848.
- 213 L. Tang, X. Chang, J. Shi, Z. Wen, C. Bi and W. Liu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2025, **282**, 117014.
- 214 K. B. Huang, F. Y. Wang, H. W. Feng, H. Luo, Y. Long, T. Zou, A. S. C. Chan, R. Liu, H. Zou, Z. F. Chen, Y. C. Liu, Y. N. Liu and H. Liang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 13066.
- 215 C. Li, L. Tu, Y. Xu, M. Li, J. Du, P. J. Stang, Y. Sun and Y. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e202406392.
- 216 J. Liang, F. Wei and H. Chao, *Smart Mol.*, 2024, **2**, e20240030.
- 217 X. Wang, X. Wang, S. Jin, N. Muhammad and Z. Guo, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 1138.
- 218 Y. Sun, E. Yin, Y. Tan, T. Yang, D. Song, S. Jin, Z. Guo and X. Wang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 3516.
- 219 L. Tang, D. Cai, M. Qin, S. Lu, M. H. Hu, S. Ruan, G. Jin and Z. Wang, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**, 726.
- 220 Z. Deng, N. Wang, Y. Liu, Z. Xu, Z. Wang, T. C. Lau and G. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 7803.
- 221 V. Novohradsky, J. Pracharova, J. Kasparkova, C. Imberti, H. E. Bridgewater, P. J. Sadler and V. Brabec, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **7**, 4150.
- 222 L. Wang, R. Guan, L. Xie, X. Liao, K. Xiong, T. W. Rees, Y. Chen, L. Ji and H. Chao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 4657.
- 223 Y. Rong, Z. Fan, Z. Yu, L. Wei, H. Shen, H. Huang, X. Hao, Z. Zhao and J. Wang, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2023, **10**, 5278.
- 224 J. Liao, Y. Zhang, M. Huang, Z. Liang, Y. Gong, B. Liu, Y. Li, J. Chen, W. Wu, Z. Huang and J. Sun, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2023, **140**, 106837.
- 225 Y. Lu, S. S. Wang, M.-Y. Li, R. Liu, M. F. Zhu, L. M. Yang, F. Y. Wang, K. B. Huang and H. Liang, *Acta Pharm. Sin. B*, 2024, **15**, 424.
- 226 Y. Chen, Y. Gu, H. Hu, H. Liu, W. Li, C. Huang, J. Chen, L. Liang and Y. Liu, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2023, **241**, 112134.
- 227 X. Xiong, K. B. Huang, Y. Wang, B. Cao, Y. Luo, H. Chen, Y. Yang, Y. Long, M. Liu, A. S. C. Chan, H. Liang and T. Zou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 10407.
- 228 J. Dudek, J. Benedix, S. Cappel, M. Greiner, C. Jalal, L. Muller and R. Zimmermann, *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, 2009, **66**, 1556.
- 229 L. Zhang and D. Ding, *View*, 2021, **2**, 20200179.
- 230 W. Li, C. Shi, X. Wu, Y. Zhang, H. Liu, X. Wang, C. Huang, L. Liang and Y. Liu, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2022, **236**, 111977.
- 231 G. Vigueras, L. Markova, V. Novohradsky, A. Marco, N. Cutillas, H. Kostrhunova, J. Kasparkova, J. Ruiz and V. Brabec, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2021, **8**, 4696.
- 232 L. Wang, J. Karges, F. Wei, L. Xie, Z. Chen, G. Gasser, L. Ji and H. Chao, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 1461.
- 233 Y. Y. Ling, Y. J. Kong, L. Hao, Z. Y. Pan, Z. W. Mao and C. P. Tan, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2023, **10**, 3284.
- 234 J. Y. Zhou, Q. H. Shen, X. J. Hong, W. Y. Zhang, Q. Su, W. G. Li, B. Cheng, C. P. Tan and T. Wu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, **474**, 145516.
- 235 W. J. Wang, Y. Y. Ling, Y. M. Zhong, Z. Y. Li, C. P. Tan and Z. W. Mao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202115247.
- 236 Y. Y. Ling, W. J. Wang, L. Hao, X. W. Wu, J. H. Liang, H. Zhang, Z. W. Mao and C. P. Tan, *Small*, 2022, **18**, e2203659.
- 237 M. Lv, Y. Zheng, J. Wu, Z. Shen, B. Guo, G. Hu, Y. Huang, J. Zhao, Y. Qian, Z. Su, C. Wu, X. Xue, H. K. Liu and Z. W. Mao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202312897.
- 238 A. Bindoli, M. P. Rigobello, G. Scutari, C. Gabbiani, A. Casini and L. Messori, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **253**, 1692.
- 239 H. V. Le, M. V. Babak, M. A. Ehsan, M. Altaf, L. Reichert, A. L. Gushchin, W. H. Ang and A. A. Isab, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, **49**, 7355.
- 240 L. Freire Boullosa, J. Van Loenhout, T. Flieswasser, J. De Waele, C. Hermans, H. Lambrechts, B. Cuypers, K. Laukens, E. Bartholomeus, V. Siozopoulou, W. H. De Vos, M. Peeters, E. L. J. Smits and C. Deben, *Redox Biol.*, 2021, **42**, 101949.
- 241 R. D. Mule, A. Kumar, S. P. Sancheti, B. Senthilkumar, H. Kumar and N. T. Patil, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 10779.



- 242 S. Sen, S. Hufnagel, E. Y. Maier, I. Aguilar, J. Selvakumar, J. E. DeVore, V. M. Lynch, K. Arumugam, Z. Cui, J. L. Sessler and J. F. Arambula, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 20536.
- 243 Y. Lu, X. Sheng, C. Liu, Z. Liang, X. Wang, L. Liu, Z. Wen, Z. Yang, Q. Du and W. Liu, *Pharmacol. Res.*, 2023, **190**, 106731.
- 244 F. Li, Z. Wen, C. Wu, Z. Yang, Z. Wang, W. Diao, D. Chen, Z. Xu, Y. Lu and W. Liu, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2024, **67**, 1982.
- 245 Z. Yang, M. Bian, L. Lv, X. Chang, Z. Wen, F. Li, Y. Lu and W. Liu, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2023, **66**, 3934.
- 246 Z. Xu, Q. Lu, M. Shan, G. Jiang, Y. Liu, Z. Yang, Y. Lu and W. Liu, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2023, **66**, 7813.
- 247 W. J. Li, S. H. Li, X. Y. Man, G. Xu, Z. L. Zhang, Y. Zhang, H. Liang and F. Yang, *Rare Met.*, 2024, **44**, 430.
- 248 M. R. Chang, E. M. Matnurov, C. Wu, J. Arakelyan, H. J. Choe, V. Kushnarev, J. Y. Yap, X. Xuan Soo, M. J. Chow, W. Berger, W. H. Ang and M. V. Babak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2025, **147**, 7908.
- 249 D. Wernitznig, K. Kiakos, G. Del Favero, N. Harrer, H. Machat, A. Osswald, M. A. Jakupec, A. Wernitznig, W. Sommergruber and B. K. Keppler, *Metalomics*, 2019, **11**, 1044.
- 250 C. G. Hartinger, S. Zorbas-Seifried, M. A. Jakupec, B. Kynast, H. Zorbas and B. K. Keppler, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2006, **100**, 891.
- 251 A. Blazevic, A. A. Hummer, P. Heffeter, W. Berger, M. Filipits, G. Cibin, B. K. Keppler and A. Rompel, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 40966.
- 252 R. Trondl, P. Heffeter, C. R. Kowol, M. A. Jakupec, W. Berger and B. K. Keppler, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 2925.
- 253 C. G. Hartinger, M. A. Jakupec, S. Zorbas-Seifried, M. Groessl, A. Egger, W. Berger, H. Zorbas, P. J. Dyson and B. K. Keppler, *Chem. Biodivers.*, 2008, **5**, 2140.
- 254 L. S. Flocke, R. Trondl, M. A. Jakupec and B. K. Keppler, *Invest. New Drugs*, 2016, **34**, 261.
- 255 B. Neuditschko, A. A. Legin, D. Baier, A. Schintlmeister, S. Reipert, M. Wagner, B. K. Keppler, W. Berger, S. M. Meier-Menches and C. Gerner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 5063.
- 256 P. Heffeter, K. Bock, B. Atil, M. A. Reza Hoda, W. Korner, C. Bartel, U. Jungwirth, B. K. Keppler, M. Micksche, W. Berger and G. Koellensperger, *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **15**, 737.
- 257 B. Schoenhacker-Alte, T. Mohr, C. Pirker, K. Kryeziu, P. S. Kuhn, A. Buck, T. Hofmann, C. Gerner, G. Hermann, G. Koellensperger, B. K. Keppler, W. Berger and P. Heffeter, *Cancer Lett.*, 2017, **404**, 79.
- 258 F. Zhang, F. Chen, C. Yang, L. Wang, H. Hu, X. Li, X. Zheng, Z. Wang, Z. Chang, T. Li, L. Li, M. Ge, J. Du, W. Sun, W. F. Dong and D. Shao, *Small*, 2021, **17**, e2100006.
- 259 P. Konda, J. A. Roque III, L. M. Lifshits, A. Alcos, E. Azzam, G. Shi, C. G. Cameron, S. A. McFarland and S. Gujar, *Am. J. Cancer Res.*, 2022, **12**, 210.
- 260 P. Konda, L. M. Lifshits, J. A. Roque 3rd, H. D. Cole, C. G. Cameron, S. A. McFarland and S. Gujar, *Oncimmunology*, 2020, **10**, 1863626.
- 261 L. M. Lifshits, J. A. Roque III, P. Konda, S. Monro, H. D. Cole, D. von Dohlen, S. Kim, G. Deep, R. P. Thummel, C. G. Cameron, S. Gujar and S. A. McFarland, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 11740.
- 262 Q. Chen, L. He, X. Li, L. Xu and T. Chen, *Biomaterials*, 2022, **281**, 121371.
- 263 D. Wernitznig, S. M. Meier-Menches, K. Cseh, S. Theiner, D. Wenisch, A. Schweikert, M. A. Jakupec, G. Koellensperger, A. Wernitznig, W. Sommergruber and B. K. Keppler, *Metalomics*, 2020, **12**, 2121.
- 264 S. M. Meier, D. Kreutz, L. Winter, M. H. M. Klose, K. Cseh, T. Weiss, A. Bileck, B. Alte, J. C. Mader, S. Jana, A. Chatterjee, A. Bhattacharyya, M. Hejl, M. A. Jakupec, P. Heffeter, W. Berger, C. G. Hartinger, B. K. Keppler, G. Wiche and C. Gerner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 8267.
- 265 S. M. Meier-Menches, K. Zappe, A. Bileck, D. Kreutz, A. Tahir, M. Cichna-Markl and C. Gerner, *Metalomics*, 2019, **11**, 118.
- 266 Z. Xu, M. Xu, X. Wu, S. Guo, Z. Tian, D. Zhu, J. Yang, J. Fu, X. Li, G. Song, Z. Liu and X. Song, *ChemMedChem*, 2023, **18**, e202300131.
- 267 L. Tu, C. Li, Q. Ding, A. Sharma, M. Li, J. Li, J. S. Kim and Y. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 8991.
- 268 X. Su, W. J. Wang, Q. Cao, H. Zhang, B. Liu, Y. Ling, X. Zhou and Z. W. Mao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202115800.
- 269 X. X. Chen, X. Y. Rao, Q. X. Guan, P. Wang and C. P. Tan, *Chem. Biomed. Imaging*, 2024, **2**, 64.
- 270 G. X. Xu, L. C. Lee, P. K. Leung, E. C. Mak, J. Shum, K. Y. Zhang, Q. Zhao and K. K. Lo, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 13508.
- 271 F. Wei, J. Liang, Z. Tan, S. Tang, H. Xu, H. Liang, X. C. Shen and H. Chao, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2024, **485**, 150154.
- 272 P. Kaur, A. Johnson, J. Northcote-Smith, C. Lu and K. Suntharalingam, *ChemBioChem*, 2020, **21**, 3618.
- 273 G. Passeri, J. Northcote-Smith and K. Suntharalingam, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**, 5290.
- 274 K. B. Huang, F. Y. Wang, Y. Lu, L. M. Yang, N. Long, S. S. Wang, Z. Xie, M. Levine, T. Zou, J. L. Sessler and H. Liang, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2024, **121**, e2404668121.
- 275 X. Gao, H. Huang, C. Pan, Z. Mei, S. Yin, L. Zhou and S. Zheng, *Cancers*, 2022, **14**, 4715.
- 276 B. Guo, F. Yang, L. Zhang, Q. Zhao, W. Wang, L. Yin, D. Chen, M. Wang, S. Han, H. Xiao and N. Xing, *Adv. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, e2212267.
- 277 J. Guo, Y. Ma, T. Tang, Z. Bian, Q. Li, L. Tang, Z. Li, M. Li, L. Wang, A. Zeng, S. Huang and W. Guo, *J. Cancer*, 2024, **15**, 1523.
- 278 T. Sun, W. Yang, S. M. Toprani, W. Guo, L. He, A. B. DeLeo, S. Ferrone, G. Zhang, E. Wang, Z. Lin, P. Hu and X. Wang, *Cell Commun. Signaling*, 2020, **18**, 36.
- 279 S. Y. You, W. Rui, S. T. Chen, H. C. Chen, X. W. Liu, J. Huang and H. Y. Chen, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2019, **513**, 891.
- 280 Z. Skrott, M. Mistrik, K. K. Andersen, S. Friis, D. Majera, J. Gursky, T. Ozdian, J. Bartkova, Z. Turi, P. Moudry,



- M. Kraus, M. Michalova, J. Vaclavkova, P. Dzubak, I. Vrobel, P. Pouckova, J. Sedlacek, A. Miklovicova, A. Kutt, J. Li, J. Mattova, C. Driessen, Q. P. Dou, J. Olsen, M. Hajduch, B. Cvek, R. J. Deshaies and J. Bartek, *Nature*, 2017, **552**, 194.
- 281 Y. Wang, D. L. Drum, R. Sun, Y. Zhang, F. Chen, F. Sun, E. Dal, L. Yu, J. Jia, S. Arya, L. Jia, S. Fan, S. J. Isakoff, A. M. Kehlmann, G. Dotti, F. Liu, H. Zheng, C. R. Ferrone, A. G. Taghian, A. B. DeLeo, M. Ventin, G. Cattaneo, Y. Li, Y. Jounaidi, P. Huang, C. Maccalli, H. Zhang, C. Wang, J. Yang, G. M. Boland, R. I. Sadreyev, L. Wong, S. Ferrone and X. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 5727.
- 282 D. Tang, X. Chen and G. Kroemer, *Cell Res.*, 2022, **32**, 417.
- 283 C. Xiong, H. Ling, Q. Hao and X. Zhou, *Cell Death Differ.*, 2023, **30**, 876.
- 284 Q. X. Huang, J. L. Liang, Q. W. Chen, X. K. Jin, M. T. Niu, C. Y. Dong and X. Z. Zhang, *Nano Today*, 2023, **51**, 101911.
- 285 X. Man, W. Li, M. Zhu, S. Li, G. Xu, Z. Zhang, H. Liang and F. Yang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e202411846.
- 286 W. Xiao, K. Qu, W. Zhang, L. Lai, L. He, F. Cheng and L. Wang, *Small Sci.*, 2024, **4**, 2300164.
- 287 F. X. Wang, J. W. Liu, X. Q. Hong, C. P. Tan, L. Zhang, W. H. Chen, P. J. Sadler and Z. W. Mao, *CCS Chem.*, 2022, **4**, 2409.
- 288 M. V. Babak, S. M. Meier, K. V. M. Huber, J. Reynisson, A. A. Legin, M. A. Jakupiec, A. Roller, A. Stukalov, M. Gridling, K. L. Bennett, J. Colinge, W. Berger, P. J. Dyson, G. Superti-Furga, B. K. Keppler and C. G. Hartinger, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 2449.
- 289 S. K. Fung, T. Zou, B. Cao, P. Y. Lee, Y. M. Fung, D. Hu, C. N. Lok and C. M. Che, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 3892.
- 290 D. Hu, Y. Liu, Y. T. Lai, K. C. Tong, Y. M. Fung, C. N. Lok and C. M. Che, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 1387.
- 291 D. Kreutz, A. Bileck, K. Plessl, D. Wolrab, M. Groessl, B. K. Keppler, S. M. Meier and C. Gerner, *Chemistry*, 2017, **23**, 1881.
- 292 L. Skos, Y. Borutzki, C. Gerner and S. M. Meier-Menches, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2023, **73**, 102257.
- 293 P. K. Wan, K. C. Tong, C. N. Lok, C. Zhang, X. Y. Chang, K. H. Sze, A. S. T. Wong and C. M. Che, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2021, **118**, e2025806118.
- 294 H. Y. Yin, J. J. Gao, X. Chen, B. Ma, Z. S. Yang, J. Tang, B. W. Wang, T. Chen, C. Wang, S. Gao and J. L. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 20147.
- 295 K. Hayashi, F. Nikolos, Y. C. Lee, A. Jain, E. Tsouko, H. Gao, A. Kasabyan, H. E. Leung, A. Osipov, S. Y. Jung, A. V. Kurtova and K. S. Chan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 6299.
- 296 B. Allard, P. A. Beavis, P. K. Darcy and J. Stagg, *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.*, 2016, **29**, 7.
- 297 B. Allard, M. S. Longhi, S. C. Robson and J. Stagg, *Immunol. Rev.*, 2017, **276**, 121.
- 298 M. Sabbatini, I. Zanellato, M. Ravera, E. Gabano, E. Perin, B. Rangone and D. Osella, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **62**, 3395.
- 299 C. Huang, T. Li, J. Liang, H. Huang, P. Zhang and S. Banerjee, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **408**, 213178.
- 300 A. P. King and J. J. Wilson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 8113.
- 301 J. Wang, Z. Zhang, Y. Zhuo, Z. Zhang, R. Chen, L. Liang, X. Jiang, D. Nie, C. Liu, Z. Zou, X. Li, J. Li, B. Wang, R. Wang, Y. Gan and M. Yu, *Acta Pharm. Sin. B*, 2024, **14**, 3643.
- 302 Z. Zhang, Z. Pan, Q. Li, Q. Huang, L. Shi and Y. Liu, *Sci. Adv.*, 2024, **10**, eadk0716.
- 303 F. Wei, J. Liang, X. C. Shen, Y. Pan, Y. He and H. Chao, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2025, **526**, 216319.
- 304 P. Liu, L. Zhao, L. Zitvogel, O. Kepp and G. Kroemer, *Immunol. Rev.*, 2024, **321**, 7.
- 305 I. Efimova, E. Catanzaro, L. Van der Meeren, V. D. Turubanova, H. Hammad, T. A. Mishchenko, M. V. Vedunova, C. Fimognari, C. Bachert, F. Coppieters, S. Lefever, A. G. Skirtach, O. Krysko and D. V. Krysko, *J. Immunother. Cancer*, 2020, **8**, e001369.
- 306 D. Tang, O. Kepp and G. Kroemer, *Oncoimmunology*, 2020, **10**, 1862949.
- 307 W. Wang, M. Green, J. E. Choi, M. Gijon, P. D. Kennedy, J. K. Johnson, P. Liao, X. Lang, I. Kryczek, A. Sell, H. Xia, J. Zhou, G. Li, J. Li, W. Li, S. Wei, L. Vatan, H. Zhang, W. Szeliga, W. Gu, R. Liu, T. S. Lawrence, C. Lamb, Y. Tanno, M. Cieslik, E. Stone, G. Georgiou, T. A. Chan, A. Chinnaiyan and W. Zou, *Nature*, 2019, **569**, 270.
- 308 T. L. Aaes, A. Kaczmarek, T. Delvaeye, B. De Craene, S. De Koker, L. Heyndrickx, I. Delrue, J. Taminiau, B. Wiernicki, P. De Groote, A. D. Garg, L. Leybaert, J. Grooten, M. J. Bertrand, P. Agostinis, G. Berx, W. Declercq, P. Vandenabeele and D. V. Krysko, *Cell Rep.*, 2016, **15**, 274.
- 309 Y. Gong, Z. Fan, G. Luo, C. Yang, Q. Huang, K. Fan, H. Cheng, K. Jin, Q. Ni, X. Yu and C. Liu, *Mol. Cancer*, 2019, **18**, 100.
- 310 P. Fontana, G. Du, Y. Zhang, H. Zhang, S. M. Vora, J. J. Hu, M. Shi, A. B. Tufan, L. B. Healy, S. Xia, D. J. Lee, Z. Li, P. Baldominos, H. Ru, H. R. Luo, J. Agudo, J. Lieberman and H. Wu, *Cell*, 2024, **187**, 6165.
- 311 S. Zeng, C. Chen, L. Zhang, X. Liu, M. Qian, H. Cui, J. Wang, Q. Chen and X. Peng, *Bioact. Mater.*, 2023, **25**, 580.
- 312 R. Tang, J. Xu, B. Zhang, J. Liu, C. Liang, J. Hua, Q. Meng, X. Yu and S. Shi, *J. Hematol. Oncol.*, 2020, **13**, 110.
- 313 Q. Meng, B. Ding, P. Ma and J. Lin, *Small Methods*, 2023, **7**, e2201406.
- 314 W. Gao, X. Wang, Y. Zhou, X. Wang and Y. Yu, *Signal Transduction Targeted Ther.*, 2022, **7**, 196.
- 315 W. Song, L. Shen, Y. Wang, Q. Liu, T. J. Goodwin, J. Li, O. Dorosheva, T. Liu, R. Liu and L. Huang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2237.
- 316 P. V. Raninga, A. C. Lee, D. Sinha, Y. Y. Shih, D. Mittal, A. Makhale, A. L. Bain, D. Nanayakar, K. F. Tonissen, M. Kalimutho and K. K. Khanna, *Int. J. Cancer*, 2020, **146**, 123.
- 317 G. H. Nam, E. J. Lee, Y. K. Kim, Y. Hong, Y. Choi, M. J. Ryu, J. Woo, Y. Cho, D. J. Ahn, Y. Yang, I. C. Kwon, S. Y. Park and I. S. Kim, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2165.
- 318 O. Kepp, L. Zitvogel and G. Kroemer, *Oncoimmunology*, 2019, **8**, e1637188.
- 319 S. Nersesian, N. Shakfa, N. Peterson, T. Vidotto, A. AfriyieAsante, E. Lightbody and M. Koti, *bioRxiv*, 2019, preprint, p. 824094, DOI: [10.1101/824094](https://doi.org/10.1101/824094).

