

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, 15, 4452

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 12th November 2023
Accepted 10th February 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d3sc06052g

rsc.li/chemical-science

Mechanochemistry enabling highly efficient Birch reduction using sodium lumps and D-(+)-glucose†

Keisuke Kondo,^a Koji Kubota^{ab} and Hajime Ito^{ab}

In this study, a mechanochemical protocol for highly efficient and ammonia-free sodium-based Birch reduction was developed, leveraging the use of cheap and easy-to-handle sodium lumps. The key to achieving this transformation is the use of D-(+)-glucose as a proton source, which solidifies the reaction mixture in bulk state, enhancing the efficiency of the *in situ* mechanical activation of sodium lumps through the ball-milling process. Under the developed conditions, a diverse array of aromatic and heteroaromatic compounds were selectively reduced to produce the corresponding 1,4-cyclohexadiene derivatives in high yields within 30 min. Notably, all synthetic operations can be carried out without inert gases or the need for dry or bulk organic solvents. Furthermore, a scaled-up synthesis can be conducted without any yield losses. These results suggest that the present mechanochemical approach offers a more convenient, economically attractive, and sustainable alternative to previously established Birch reduction protocols.

Introduction

Birch reduction using alkali metals has been recognized as a powerful synthetic approach to convert arenes into 1,4-cyclohexadiene derivatives.¹ Notable examples among several others are lithium-based Birch reduction protocols, which have garnered significant attention owing to the exceptional reduction ability of lithium metal.² However, considering the limited availability of lithium resources, there is an increasing need to explore alternative options, such as replacing lithium metal with sodium metal in organic synthesis.³ In this context, sodium-based Birch reduction has attracted considerable attention as a more sustainable alternative to well-established lithium-based methodologies. Conventional sodium-based Birch reduction uses sodium lumps.¹ However, this protocol necessitates the use of caustic and toxic liquid ammonia, which substantially reduces its practical utility in organic synthesis.^{1a} To address this issue, several ammonia-free modifications have been devised. In 2005, Dye *et al.* reported an ammonia-free method using sodium nanocrystals absorbed in silica gel [Na-SG(I)] (Scheme 1a).⁴ Later, An *et al.* developed Birch reduction using sodium dispersion with 15-crown ether (Scheme 1a).⁵ More recently, Takai, Asako, and co-workers revealed that the use of 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (DMI) as a ligand facilitates Birch reduction with sodium dispersion (Scheme 1a).⁶

These activated sodium sources have a large surface area, enabling highly efficient reduction even in the absence of liquid ammonia. Although these achievements are remarkable, the requirement for activated sodium sources imposes substantial costs and renders them less appealing for large-scale synthesis. In the case of sodium dispersions, the inherent lack of shelf stability presents an additional drawback for practical synthetic applications.⁷ Therefore, the use of commercially available, cost-effective, and easy-to-handle sodium lumps remains significant from economic and practical perspectives.


^aDivision of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan. E-mail: hajito@eng.hokudai.ac.jp; kbt@eng.hokudai.ac.jp^bInstitute for Chemical Reaction Design and Discovery (WPI-ICReDD), Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3sc06052g>

Table 1 Optimization of the mechanochemical sodium-based Birch reduction of 1-phenyldecane (**1a**)^a

Entry	Variation from standard conditions	Yield (%)
1	None	96
2	<i>t</i> -BuOH instead of D-(+)-glucose	6
3	<i>i</i> -PrOH instead of D-(+)-glucose	18
4	<i>t</i> -BuOH instead of D-(+)-glucose sea sand (100 mg), 30 min	13
5	1-AdOH instead of D-(+)-glucose	18
6	HMPA instead of DMI	19
7	15-Crown-5 instead of DMI	23
8	Without DMI	<5
9	10 mm ball × 1 (stainless steel)	85
10	Ball milling (25 Hz)	72
11	Na lumps, DMI (4.0 equiv.)	86
12	5 min	61

^a Unless otherwise noted, reactions were carried out in a 10 mL stainless-steel ball-milling jar with two 10 mm stainless-steel balls at 30 Hz. The yields were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using an internal standard.



Fig. 1 Reaction mixtures after grinding in a ball mill: (a) *i*-PrOH. (b) 1-AdOH. (c) D-(+)-glucose.

Over the past decades, ball milling has attracted attention as a new tool for organic synthesis.^{8,9} This protocol has the advantages of requiring few organic solvents for the reactions, a short reaction time, and simple experimental operations. Furthermore, recent findings have revealed that zero-valent metals can be efficiently activated by the mechanical impact provided by the ball-milling process, and metal-surface reactions with organic molecules are significantly accelerated under mechanochemical conditions.^{10,11} Recently, we reported an ammonia-free, extremely fast lithium-based Birch reduction using a ball-milling technique.¹² The reduction of various aromatic compounds can be conducted without inert gases and achieved within a short duration of 1 min, without the need for a bulk organic solvent. The observed exceptional efficiency is likely attributed to the *in situ* mechanical activation of lithium metal, which serves to eliminate the inactive surface layer and increase the reactive surface area. Motivated by this success, we envisioned that a mechanochemical protocol could activate sodium lumps, which are much less reactive than sodium dispersions. This concept has the capacity to facilitate the realization of a highly efficient, sustainable, and economically viable sodium-based Birch reduction method.

Herein, we report the development of a highly efficient Birch reduction method using unactivated sodium lumps under mechanochemical conditions (Scheme 1b). Notably, this procedure can be performed without inert gases or the need for a bulk solvent. Under optimized ball-milling conditions, a diverse array of aromatic compounds exhibited significant chemoselectivity upon reduction. The successful realization of this conversion relies on the synergistic use of mechanical activation of sodium metal in conjunction with D-(+)-glucose as a solid proton source. Notably, D-(+)-glucose is a safe, sustainable, abundant, and inexpensive reagent. A scaled-up synthesis was also accomplished without decreasing the yield, emphasizing the synthetic utility of this protocol. These results suggest that mechanochemistry opens vast possibilities for the widespread implementation of a more sustainable and economically appealing sodium-Birch reduction method in organic synthesis.

Results and discussion

Our study commenced with the optimization of the reaction conditions using 1-phenyldecane **1a** as the substrate (Table 1). All mechanochemical reactions were performed using a Retsch MM400 ball-mill. After removing the mineral oil by wiping with paper, the sodium lump was subjected to cutting, resulting in a diameter of approximately 4–5 mm. Subsequently, the sodium lump was weighed and introduced into a 10 mL stainless steel jar along with two 10 mm stainless steel balls in air. Through a series of extensive trials, it was determined that mechanochemical sodium-based Birch reduction proceeded efficiently to produce the corresponding reduction product **2a** in 96% yield when employing a combination of sodium lump (6 equiv.), D-(+)-glucose (3 equiv.) as a proton source, and 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (DMI) (6 equiv.), which is an effective additive for sodium



Table 2 Substrate scope of the mechanochemical sodium-based Birch reduction^a

2a 99% yield	2b 86% yield	2c (76% yield)	2d 60% yield	2e 82% yield	2f 67% yield	2g (51% yield)
2h (88% yield)	2i 86% yield	2j 89% yield	2k (44% yield)	2l 81% yield	2m 78% yield	2n 55% yield
2o 91% yield	2p 58% yield	2q (34% yield)	2q' (43% yield)	2r 96% yield	2s 50% yield	2t (49% yield)

^a Unless otherwise noted, the following reaction conditions were used: 1.0 mmol arene; 10.0 mL stainless steel ball-milling jar with two 10 mm stainless steel balls; 30 Hz. See the ESI for detailed reaction conditions. Isolated yields are presented normally, and ¹H NMR yields are shown in parentheses.




Scheme 2 Scaled-up synthesis of 2r.

dispersion-based Birch reduction developed by Takai, Asako, and co-workers (entry 1).⁶ The requirement of an excess of sodium lumps is comparable to that in conventional sodium-based Birch reduction protocols using ammonia.¹ Notably, *D*-(+)-glucose was found to be an optimal proton source under ball milling conditions. When the reactions were carried out using proton sources commonly used in solution-based Birch reduction, such as *t*-BuOH and *i*-PrOH, the desired product 2a was obtained in only 6% and 18% yield, respectively (entries 2 and 3). Under these conditions, the reaction mixture exhibited an oily state, and the sodium lumps were not crushed into smaller particles but just elongated because of their inherent softness (Fig. 1a). We expected that solidifying the reaction mixture in the bulk state would facilitate the effective transmission of mechanical force provided by ball milling to the sodium metal, promoting the Birch reduction process. Taking this into consideration, sea sand was added to the mixture as a grinding auxiliary. However, the reaction efficiency improved only slightly, even after a prolonged reaction time (13%, entry 4). We also attempted to use solid alcohols, such as 1-

adamantanol (1-AdOH), instead of liquid alcohols to solidify the reaction mixture (entry 5). However, the reaction mixture retained its oily consistency after ball milling, which was probably due to the high solubility of 1-AdOH in DMI (Fig. 1b). As such, a suboptimal yield was obtained (18%), and the sodium lumps remained intact (entry 5 and Fig. 1b). Given this result, we decided to use *D*-(+)-glucose, which is hardly soluble in organic solvents, as the solidifying alcohol additive. Notably, the reaction mixture using *D*-(+)-glucose after ball milling exhibited a solid state, and the sodium lumps were fully consumed (Fig. 1c), providing the desired product 2a in 96% yield (entry 1). We also tested other commonly used additives, such as 15-crown-5 and hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPA), instead of DMI, which resulted in poor efficiency (entries 6 and 7, 19% and 23% yields, respectively).^{5,13} No reaction occurred in the absence of DMI (entry 8). When the number of balls or the frequency of ball milling was decreased, lower yields were obtained (entries 9 and 10, 85% and 72% yields, respectively), suggesting that a strong mechanical impact to activate the sodium metal is essential for efficient Birch reduction. Furthermore, reducing the sodium and DMI loadings to 4.0 equivalents led to a decreased yield (entry 11, 86%). Similarly, shortening the reaction time (5 min) also reduced the yield of 2a (entry 12, 61%).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we explored the substrate scope of the mechanochemical Birch reduction using sodium lumps (Table 2). Overall, this method is amenable to a broad range of arenes and heteroarenes and is comparable to conventional solution-based Birch reduction protocols. The





Scheme 3 Reactivities of sodium lumps in solution (a) our developed conditions in THF. (b) Takai and Asako's conditions originally developed for the use of sodium dispersion. (c) An's conditions originally developed for the use of sodium dispersion.

reduction of alkylbenzene-containing acetal (**1b**), alcohol (**1c**), and primary amine (**1d**) afforded the corresponding products (**2b–2d**) in moderate to high yields (86%, 76%, and 60% yields, respectively). Substrates bearing amines protected with acetyl groups (**1e**) and *tert*-butoxycarbonyl groups (**2f**) efficiently underwent Birch reduction. Unsubstituted amide (**2g**) and carboxylic acid (**2h**) were also selectively reduced at the aromatic ring in good to excellent yields (51% and 88% yields, respectively). The less-reactive electron-rich disubstituted alkylbenzenes (**1i** and **1j**) also readily reacted with sodium lumps under mechanochemical conditions to afford the corresponding products (**2i** and **2j**) in high yields (86% and 89%, respectively). In contrast, the reaction of *meta*-disubstituted alkylbenzene (**1k**) afforded the reduction product (**2k**) in moderate yield (44%). The reactions of conjugated aromatic compounds also provided the desired reduction products (**2l–2o**) in moderate to high yields (55–91%). While these arenes are often over reduced under conventional solution-based conditions to produce complex mixtures, only trace amounts of the overreduced products were observed under these mechanochemical conditions. Electron-rich aromatic ether (**1p**) was successfully reduced, but the yield was moderate (58%). 2-Methoxynaphthalene also reacted to yield the desired product **2q** (34%); however, the demethylation side product (**2q'**) was the major product (43%).^{6,14} Furthermore, we conducted the reduction of *N*-heteroarenes. Acridine (**1r**) was reduced to an excellent yield (96%) without over-reduction. The reactions of *N*-alkylated indole (**1s**) and quinoline (**1t**) also afforded the corresponding *N*-heterocycles (**2s** and **2t**) in moderate yields (50% and 49%, respectively). Although most of the products were isolated by column chromatography on silica gel, non-polar products such as **2i** can be isolated by simple washing the crude mixture with water (for details, see the ESI†). This result highlights the practical utility of this mechanochemical protocol.

To demonstrate the practical utility of this reaction, we investigated the scaled-up synthesis of **2r** (Scheme 2). A 3 mmol-scale reaction was conducted in 10 mL stainless steel jars with two 10 mm stainless steel balls. Using MM400, two simultaneous reactions were carried out to obtain **2r** on the gram scale (Scheme 2). After ball milling, each 3 mmol-scale reaction was quenched with methanol. These reaction mixtures were then mixed, subjected to extraction, and purified by silica gel column chromatography to afford **2r** in 95% yield (1.030 g), which was comparable to the yield obtained in the small-scale reaction. This result emphasizes the practical utility of the protocol.¹⁵

Control experiments were performed in solution to confirm the effectiveness of this mechanical activation approach using ball milling (Scheme 3). Initially, a commercially available sodium lump was used in a solution-based reaction with tetrahydrofuran (THF) as the solvent in the presence of *D*-(+)-glucose and DMI. Under these conditions, no reaction was observed, and a large amount of bulk sodium metal remained unaltered (Scheme 3a). Subsequently, we conducted an examination of the reactions in solvent using sodium lumps according to the experimental settings established by Takai and Asako (Scheme 3b), as well as the conditions devised by An (Scheme 3c), which were originally developed for sodium dispersions.^{5,6} Both reactions afforded poor results (<5% and 9% yields, respectively), and a large amount of bulk sodium metal remained unreacted. These results indicate that the strong mechanical impact of ball milling is necessary for efficient Birch reduction using unactivated sodium lumps without liquid ammonia. We assumed that the *in situ* mechanical activation of sodium metal by ball milling could form smaller metal particles with high reactivity comparable to that of sodium dispersion.

Conclusion

In summary, we developed a mechanochemical protocol for highly efficient, economically attractive, and operationally simple Birch reduction using sodium lumps. The use of *D*-(+)-glucose as a proton source, which is a safe, sustainable, abundant, and inexpensive reagent,¹⁶ is the key to efficient transformations. Notably, this protocol can be performed without a complicated reaction setup involving inert gases or the pre-activation of sodium metal. These results demonstrate the practical utility of Birch reduction using sodium lumps as an alternative to established protocols that use lithium metal or other expensive and difficult-to-store activated sodium sources.

Data availability

All experimental data is available in the ESI.†

Author contributions

Koji Kubota and Hajime Ito conceived and designed the study. All authors co-wrote the paper. Keisuke Kondo performed the chemical experiments and analyzed the data. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.



Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) via KAKENHI grants 22H00318 (H. I.), 21H01926 (K. K.), 22H05328 (K. K.), and 22K18333 (H. I.), as well as by the JST via CREST grant JPMJCR19R1 (H. I.) and FOREST grant JPMJFR201I (K. K.), as well as by the Institute for Chemical Reaction Design and Discovery (ICReDD), which was established by the World Premier International Research Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan. We thank Mr Sota Kawamura for his help in cross-checking the experiments.

Notes and references

- (a) A. J. Birch and H. Smith, *Q. Rev., Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **12**, 17; (b) A. A. Akhrem, I. G. Reshetova and Y. A. Titov, *Birch Reduction of Aromatic Compounds*, Springer, US, 1972; (c) P. W. Rabideau, *Tetrahedron*, 1989, **45**, 1579; (d) P. W. Rabideau and Z. Marcinow, *Org. React.*, 1992, **42**, 1; (e) A. J. Birch, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1996, **68**, 553; (f) A. G. Schultz, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 1263; (g) H. E. Zimmerman, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **45**, 164; (h) M. J. O'Mahony, R. A. M. O'Ferrall, D. R. Boyd, C. M. Lam and A. C. O'Donoghue, *J. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **26**, 989; (i) R. Stodt, S. Gencaslan, I. M. Müller and W. S. Sheldrick, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 1873.
- (a) A. L. Wilds and N. A. Nelson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1953, **75**, 5360; (b) M. E. Garst, L. J. Dolby, S. Esfandiari, N. A. Fedoruk, N. C. Chamberlain and A. A. Avey, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **65**, 7098; (c) T. J. Donohoe and D. House, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 5015; (d) J. Burrows, S. Kamo and K. Koide, *Science*, 2021, **374**, 741.
- P. C. K. Vesborg and T. F. Jaramillo, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 7933.
- (a) J. L. Dye, K. D. Cram, S. A. Urbin, M. Y. Redko, J. E. Jackson and M. Lefenfeld, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 9338; (b) M. J. Costanzo, M. N. Patel, K. A. Peterson and P. F. Vogt, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 5463; (c) M. Carraro, N. Pisano and U. Azzena, *Synthesis*, 2017, **49**, 1931.
- P. Lei, Y. Ding, X. Zhang, A. Adijiang, H. Li, Y. Ling and J. An, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 3439.
- S. Asako, I. Takahashi, T. Kurogi, Y. Murakami, L. Llies and K. Takai, *Chem. Lett.*, 2022, **51**, 38.
- Some reports pointed out poor shelf stability of sodium dispersion, see: (a) S. Asako, H. Nakajima and K. Takai, *Nat. Catal.*, 2019, **2**, 297; (b) S. Asako, I. Takahashi, H. Nakajima, L. Llies and K. Takai, *Commun. Chem.*, 2021, **4**, 76.
- For selected reviews on the use of ball milling for organic synthesis, see: (a) S. L. James, C. J. Adams, C. Bolm, D. Braga, P. Collier, T. Frišćić, F. Grepioni, K. D. M. Harris, G. Hyett, W. Jones, A. Krebs, J. Mack, L. Maini, A. G. Orpen, I. P. Parkin, W. C. Shearouse, J. W. Steed and D. C. Waddell, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 413; (b) G.-W. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 7668; (c) J.-L. Do and T. Frišćić, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2017, **3**, 13; (d) J. G. Hernández and C. Bolm, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 4007; (e) T.-X. Métro, J. Martinez and F. Lamaty, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2017, **5**, 9599; (f) T. K. Achar, A. Bose and P. Mal, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **13**, 1907; (g) O. Eguaogie, J. S. Vyle, P. F. Conlon, M. A. Gilea and Y. Liang, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **14**, 955; (h) J. L. Howard, Q. Cao and D. L. Browne, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 3080; (i) J. Andersen and J. Mack, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**, 1435; (j) C. Bolm and J. G. Hernández, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 3285; (k) T. Frišćić, C. Mottillo and H. M. Titi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 1018; (l) K. Kubota and H. Ito, *Trends Chem.*, 2020, **2**, 1066; (m) A. Porcheddu, E. Colacino, L. De Luca and F. Delogu, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 8344; (n) J. A. Leitch and D. L. Browne, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 9721–9726; (o) P. Ying, J. Yu and W. Su, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2021, **363**, 1246; (p) K. J. Ardila-Fierro and J. G. Hernández, *ChemSusChem*, 2021, **14**, 2145; (q) V. Martinez, T. Stolar, B. Karadeniz, I. Brekalo and K. Užarević, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2023, **7**, 51.
- For the selected mechanochemical synthesis using ball milling, see (a) K. Kubota, T. Seo, K. Koide, S. Hasegawa and H. Ito, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 111; (b) K. Kubota, Y. Pang, A. Miura and H. Ito, *Science*, 2019, **366**, 1500; (c) T. Seo, K. Kubota and H. Ito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 9884; (d) Y. Pang, J. Lee, K. Kubota and H. Ito, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 22570; (e) T. Seo, N. Toyoshima, K. Kubota and H. Ito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 6165; (f) K. Kubota, N. Toyoshima, D. Miura, J. Jiang, S. Maeda, M. Jin and H. Ito, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 16003; (g) T. Seo, K. Kubota and H. Ito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 6823.
- For a tutorial review on the mechanical activation of zero-valent metals, see: A. C. Jones, J. A. Leitch, S. E. Raby-Buck and D. L. Browne, *Nat. Synth.*, 2022, **1**, 763.
- For selected examples of mechanical activation of zero-valent metals, see (a) Q. Cao, J. L. Howard, E. Wheatley and D. L. Browne, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 11339; (b) W. Nicholson, J. Howard, G. Magri, A. Seastram, A. Khan, R. R. A. Bolt, L. Morrill, E. Richards and D. L. Browne, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 23128; (c) R. Takahashi, A. Hu, P. Gao, Y. Gao, Y. Pang, T. Seo, S. Maeda, J. Jiang, H. Takaya, K. Kubota and H. Ito, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 6691; (d) V. S. Pfennig, R. C. Villella, J. Nikodemus and C. Bolm, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202116514; (e) P. Gao, J. Jiang, S. Maeda, K. Kubota and H. Ito, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e20220711; (f) R. Takahashi, P. Gao, K. Kubota and H. Ito, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 49; (g) N. Davison, J. A. Quirk, F. Tuna, D. Collison, C. L. McMullin, H. Michaels, G. H. Morritt, P. G. Waddell, J. A. Gould, M. Freitag, J. A. Dawson and E. Lu, *Chem*, 2023, **9**, 576; (h) K. Fujishiro, Y. Morinka, Y. Ono, T. Tanaka, L. T. Scott, H. Ito and K. Itami, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 8163.



- 12 Y. Gao, K. Kubota and H. Ito, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202217723.
- 13 G. M. Whitesides and W. J. Ehmann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 3800.
- 14 R. L. Burwell Jr, *Chem. Rev.*, 1954, **54**, 615.
- 15 Although we did not encounter any hazards in the gram-scale mechanochemical Birch reduction in air, anyone who wants to scale up should pay attention to safety issues.
- 16 Retail price: *ca.* US \$30 per kg (TCI chemicals) in Dec 2023.

Organosodium compounds and sodium are commonly considered pyrophoric compounds. On the other hand, Birch reduction is an exothermic reaction that might release flammable vapors. Risk management must be performed to avoid fire and explosion hazards before attempting to scale up this reaction in the air.

16 Retail price: *ca.* US \$30 per kg (TCI chemicals) in Dec 2023.

