


Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

γ -Cyclodextrin duplex connected with two disulfide bonds: synthesis, structure and inclusion complexes

Sergey Volkov,^a Lukáš Kumprecht,^a Miloš Buděšínský,^a Martin Lepšík,^a Michal Dušek^b and Tomáš Kraus^{a*}

^a*Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry AS CR, v.v.i., Flemingovo nám. 2, 166 10 Prague 6, Czech Republic. E-mail: kraus@uochb.cas.cz*

^b*Institute of Physics, AS CR, v.v.i., Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Praha 8, Czech Republic.*

Abstract

Per(2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl)- γ -cyclodextrin was debenzylated by DIBAL-H to produce a mixture of C6^I,C6^{IV} and C6^I,C6^V isomeric diols, which were separated and isolated. The C₂-symmetrical C6^I,C6^V diol was transformed into dithiol and dimerized to produce γ -cyclodextrin duplex structure. Crystal structure revealed tubular cavity whose peripheries are slightly elliptically distorted. The solvent accessible volume of the cavity of the γ -CD duplex is about 740 Å³. Due to this large inner space the duplex forms very stable inclusion complexes with steroids; bile acids examined in this study show binding affinities to the γ -cyclodextrin duplex in the range 5.3×10⁷ M⁻¹ - 1.9×10⁸ M⁻¹.

Introduction

Complexation of molecules and ions, both organic and inorganic, by receptors with high affinity and selectivity in aqueous environment plays a key role in various biological processes. Chemists gave rise to a broad range of synthetic analogues that were designed to mimic these processes. Within macrocyclic receptors operating in aqueous media, cyclodextrins¹ (CDs) play important role due to their abilities to form host-guest complexes with wide range of organic molecules. Binding of guest molecules inside CDs is assumed to be driven mainly by hydrophobic effect (favorable solvation entropy due to release of host-bound water molecules upon complexation) and van der Waals interactions between the guest and non-polar parts of the cavity.² It was suggested that the free energy of binding in such host-guest systems is proportional to the surface area of the guest buried upon binding.³ Since cavities of CDs are relatively shallow (\approx 6-7 Å) they do not allow complete inclusion of molecules longer than one benzene ring preventing thus strong binding unless additional attractive interactions, such as ionic⁴ or coordination⁵ bonding with suitably modified CD

hosts, are involved. Consequently, binding affinities of native CDs mostly fall in the 10^2 - 10^3 M^{-1} interval^{3, 6} limiting the scope of their applications in fields such as drug delivery systems or in supramolecular analytical chemistry,⁷ namely in fluorescent indicator displacement assays,⁸ operating at low concentrations in complex biological environment.^{9, 10}

In order to improve binding affinities of CDs, dimeric constructs were prepared with the enlarged inner cavity by means of connection of two CD macrocycles together via single or multiple linkers. Singly-bridged dimers¹¹ revealed binding affinities significantly higher as compared to native CDs in some cases, yet the cavities acted independently^{12, 13} in other cases due to the large flexibility of the single connection, yielding 2:1 complexes with usual stabilities known for native CDs. To prevent flexibility, rotational freedom of the two CDs was restricted by introduction of additional linking groups. These molecules, termed duplex CDs¹⁴ (or CD duplexes¹⁵), were composed of α - or β -cyclodextrin units connected with two bridges of variable length and with varying mutual orientations of the bridged macrocycles.¹⁴⁻²⁵ In addition, α -cyclodextrin duplexes connected with three²⁶ and six²⁷ linkers have been reported. Nevertheless, only few examples of significantly increased binding capabilities are known,^{25, 26, 28} supposedly due to non-optimal spacing of the two macrocyclic cavities or low solubilities of the duplexes.

We have recently described the syntheses and properties of new host systems composed of two α -CD or β -CD macrocycles linked with disulfide bonds.^{25, 26, 29-31} These tube-like molecules showed unusually high binding affinities (K_a up to 10^{10} M^{-1}) to various organic compounds from hydrophobic α,ω -alkanediols^{25, 26} to fairly hydrophilic medium-sized molecules such as imatinib.³¹ Now, we have turned our attention to a larger γ -CD homologue. In this work, we report on the synthesis, crystal structure and binding properties of a novel tubular receptor consisting of two γ -CDs linked with two disulfide bonds on their primary rims in a head-to-head manner designed for the complexation of larger organic molecules, such as steroids, in aqueous media.


Results and Discussion

Synthesis

In our previous studies^{25, 31} of dimerization of α -CD or β -CD macrocycles, the C6^I,C6^{IV}-disulfanyl α -CD or β -CD precursors were prepared by a sequence of synthetic transformations starting from perbenzylated α -CD or β -CD that were selectively debenzylated at C6^I and C6^{IV} positions by DIBAL-H promoted procedure.^{32, 33} This method allowed cleavage of benzylic

groups in positions C6^I,C6^{IV} of perbenzylated α -CD or β -CD macrocycles with high selectivities and yields. However, preparation of pure selectively bis(de-*O*-benzylated) γ -CD homologue(s) has not been reported yet. Thus, in order to prepare the required C_2 -symmetrical C6^I,C6^V-disulfanyl γ -CD we needed to develop a procedure for the preparation of C6^I,C6^V-debenzylated γ -CD.

Starting perbenzyl- γ -CD **1** (Scheme 1) was prepared by exhaustive benzylation of anhydrous γ -CD with benzyl chloride in DMSO using sodium hydride as a base. Next, we investigated



Scheme 1. Reaction conditions: *a*) DIBAL-H, toluene, 0°C, 41 hours, yield – see text; *b*) CBr₄, Ph₃P, DMF, 65°C, 4 hours, 76%; *c*) Pd/C, 40 barr, DMF-EtOH, 6 hours., r.t., 71%; *d*) CH₃COSK, DMF, 12 hours, r.t., 73%; *e*) NaOH, H₂O, 5 hours, r.t., 66%; *f*) 1. NaOH, H₂O, 5 hours, r.t., 2. NaHCO₃-Na₂CO₃ aq. buffer, pH 9, air atmosphere, 72 hours, 82%.

the course of the debenzoylation reaction at various concentrations of DIBAL-H as we had observed earlier^{29, 31} with smaller α -CD or β -CD homologues that more concentrated solutions allow smoother cleavage at or below room temperature. We found that 3M solution of DIBAL-H in toluene allowed partial control over the extent of debenzoylation allowing isolation of a mixture of products containing perbenzyl- γ -CD diols de-*O*-benzylated at C6^I,C6^{IV} and C6^I,C6^V positions, respectively, which could be separated by combined column and HPLC chromatographies. Thus, treatment of perbenzyl- γ -CD **1** with 3M solution of DIBAL-H in toluene for 41 hours at 0 °C gave a mixture of two isomeric diols **2a** and **2b**, which could be resolved by TLC on silica in dichloromethane-acetone mixture. On a preparative scale, the symmetrical isomer **2a** was partly separated from the mixture by column chromatography on silica using a mixture of dichloromethane-acetone 98:2, which allowed isolation of **2a** in 14% yield. The residual mixture was separated by preparative HPLC using the same solvent system allowing another crop of **2a** (10% yield) and **2b** (10% yield). In total, pure compounds **2a** and **2b** were isolated in 24% and 10% yields, respectively. The identification of the constitution of the isomers was then achieved by NMR methods; compound **2a** possesses C_2 symmetry axis, hence ¹H NMR spectrum reveals four signals of H-1 protons (Figure 1a), whilst C_1 -symmetrical isomer **2b** shows eight doublets, one for each H-1 proton (Figure 1b). The C6^I-C6^{IV} substitution pattern in C_1 -symmetrical isomer **2b**, which was proposed with help of “hex-5-enose method” in the first report³² on selective de-*O*-benzoylation of CDs, is consistent with the full NMR assignment achieved in this work. For further transformations, only the isomer **2a** was used. Conversion of the free hydroxyl groups of **2a** to bromides was achieved by action of triphenylphosphane and tetrabromomethane in DMF allowing the isolation of the corresponding dibromide **3** in 76% yield. Subsequently,




Figure 1. Part of ¹H NMR (600 MHz) spectra showing H-1 protons of: a) compound **2a**, b) compound **2b**. Non-labeled signals belong to benzylic protons.

benzyl groups were removed by hydrogenation using palladium on charcoal as a catalyst in DMF-ethanol mixture²⁵ and compound **4** was isolated in 71% yield. Reaction of dibromide **4** with potassium thioacetate in DMF at room temperature for 12 hours gave rise to the acetylated disulfanyl derivative **5** in 73% yield. Subsequent alkaline hydrolysis of **5** under argon atmosphere allowed isolation of the disulfanyl derivative **6** in 66 % yield.

The oxidative dimerization experiments were performed under the conditions described in our previous reports,^{25, 31} i.e., in aqueous solution at pH~9, in concentration range 0.1 to 10 mM. For practical reasons the acetylated disulfanyl derivative **5** was used as starting material for dimerization and it was deprotected *in situ* by treatment with aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide (0.17 M) under inert atmosphere after which the solution was diluted to required concentration. The pH of the solution was adjusted to ~9 by introduction of gaseous carbon dioxide and the mixture was exposed to air. Monitoring of the oxidation reaction by reversed phase TLC revealed formation of one product accompanied – above approximately one millimolar concentration – with another material, presumably oligomeric/polymeric by-products, which did not move on RPTLC. The analysis of the major product isolated by reversed phase chromatography revealed its dimeric structure. The structure was confirmed by MALDI-HRMS, NMR and X-ray analysis of a single crystal. In contrast to our previous studies carried out with smaller α -CD or β -CD homologues the presence of monomeric product (intramolecular disulfide) was not detected, or this by-product remained hidden with inseparable polymeric material. The preparative run was carried out at 0.8 mM concentration of the starting thioacetate **5**. The product precipitated out of the solution upon neutralization and could be isolated by simple centrifugation in 82% yield. The isolation was possible due to relatively low solubility of the product - aprox. 0.1 mM in water or in phosphate buffer at pH 7.

The above described procedure allowed the isolation both C6^I,C6^V and C6^I,C6^{IV} debenzylated isomers **2a** and **2b**, the former being then converted to required C₂-symmetrical dithiol **6**. In addition to this strategy for the synthesis of duplex **7**, we have explored two alternative pathways avoiding somewhat laborious separation of the isomers **2a** and **2b**. First approach relies on the use of the mixture of isomers **2a** and **2b** for the subsequent two synthetic steps and separation of isomeric 6^I,6^V- and 6^I,6^{IV}-dibromo- γ -CDs by means of reversed-phase chromatography. Alternatively, the whole synthesis was carried out with the unseparated mixture of 6^I,6^V and 6^I,6^{IV} derivatives which gave rise to a mixture of duplexes from which compound **7** was isolated by reversed phase chromatography. The separation of the mixture of

the three other possible non-symmetrical duplexes was not successful. Both approaches allowed somewhat more efficient separation of isomeric derivatives at later stages of synthesis than that of diols **2a** and **2b**. On the other hand, the use of the mixture of isomers precludes proper analysis and characterization of the intermediate compounds (especially by NMR methods) in the course of the synthesis. For this reason, these alternative approaches are not described in detail here, nevertheless the individual synthetic steps are analogous to those described for transformation of pure isomer **2a**.

Crystal structure

A single crystal suitable for X-ray analysis was grown by hanging drop method from aqueous dimethyl sulfoxide solutions. Its analyses revealed that the geometry of the parent γ -CD is preserved in the duplex, nevertheless the macrocycles, especially their wider rims, are somewhat elliptically distorted. The lengths of the longer and shorter axes of the pseudoellipse measured between the most proximal opposite C-2 atoms ("a" and "b" in Figure 2) are 15.3 Å and 12.2 Å, respectively. The length of the duplex expressed as the average internuclear distance ("c" in Figure 2) between closest H-3 and H-3' protons located on the opposite rims of the duplex is 12.1 Å, an analogous distance between O-3 to O-3' is about 13.5 Å.

The solvent accessible volume of the inner cavity of duplex **7** in the crystal structure was computed using Surfnet algorithm³⁴ to be 740 Å³ (Figure 3). Volumes of the smaller β - and α -CD homologues^{25, 26, 31} reported earlier by us were also calculated and compared with that of duplex **7**. Two disulfide bond-connected β - and α -CD duplexes^{25, 31} reveal cavities with volumes of 426 Å³ and 296 Å³ respectively. Interestingly, three disulfide bonds in triply-connected α -CD duplex²⁶ close the cavity in the center of the duplex forming two smaller cavities with volumes of 114 Å³.




Figure 2. Dimensions of the duplex **7** derived from its crystal structure; the diameters of the elliptically distorted γ -CD macrocycle ($a=15.3$ Å³, $b=12.2$ Å³) and the length ($c=13.5$ Å³) of the duplex structure; see crystal structure discussion for details.




Figure 3. Solvent accessible cavities (cyan) of duplex **7** as calculated by Surfnet. Comparison with smaller homologues. a) γ -CD duplex **7** (740 \AA^3); b) β -CD duplex (426 \AA^3); c) α -CD duplex (296 \AA^3); d) α -CD duplex connected with three disulfide bonds ($2 \times 114 \text{ \AA}^3$). The dark blue-colored spots are small solvent-accessible voids outside the cavity.

Inclusion complexes

The above discussed size parameters of cavity of duplex **7** indicate that it should be able to efficiently bind larger compounds than its smaller α -CD or β -CD homologues. Thus, the ability to form inclusion complexes was investigated by means of isothermal titration calorimetry in aqueous phosphate buffer at pH 7 using a series of twelve guest compounds that had been used in our previous study³¹ on analogous β -CD duplexes. Out of that series of compounds, a satisfactory fit to 1:1 model could only be obtained for deoxycholic acid (**9**) and hexadecafluorodecane-1,10-dioic acid (**12**, Table 1 and Figure 4). For other compounds, complexes with more complicated stoichiometry were apparent, for which we were unable to find models allowing a convincing fit. Therefore, we expanded the series of investigated

Table 1. Binding affinities and thermodynamic parameters of formation of inclusion complexes of duplex **7** and guest compounds **8-13** (Figure 5) as determined with isothermal titration calorimetry.

entry	guest compound	binding stoichiometry (ligand:7)	$K \pm \sigma_K$ M^{-1}	$\Delta H^\circ \pm \sigma_H$ $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$	$T\Delta S^\circ \pm \sigma_{TAS}$ $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
1	lithocholic acid (8)	1:1	$1.90 \pm 0.43 \times 10^8$	-11.02 ± 0.08	0.27 ± 0.19
2	deoxycholic acid (9)	1:1	$5.31 \pm 2.16 \times 10^7$	-3.57 ± 0.06	6.97 ± 0.27
3	chenodeoxycholic acid (10)	1:1	$1.05 \pm 0.60 \times 10^8$	-5.08 ± 0.08	5.86 ± 0.38
4	dehydrocholic acid (11)	1:1	$8.06 \pm 1.83 \times 10^7$	-6.76 ± 0.05	4.03 ± 0.16
5	hexadecafluorodecane-1,10-dioic acid (12)	1:1	$3.25 \pm 0.43 \times 10^5$	-2.37 ± 0.06	5.15 ± 0.13
6	6-(p-toluidino)-2-naphthalensulfonic acid (13)	2:1	$5.78 \pm 0.79 \times 10^6$ $1.06 \pm 1.50 \times 10^7$	-13.62 ± 0.15 -10.91 ± 0.13	-4.38 ± 0.13 -1.32 ± 0.83

structurally analogous salts³⁵ of bile acids (Figure 4) to see whether subtle structural changes translate into apparent binding affinities. These were found to be comparable across the series, being in the range $5.3 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$ - $1.9 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}$. Although the differences in binding affinities expressed as free energy changes are quite small ($0.8 \text{ kcal.mol}^{-1}$ between the largest and smallest value), there is a clear distinction between lithocholic acid (**8**) and the remaining bile acids when comparing their thermodynamic parameters; the complex of **7** with lithocholic acid (**8**) is strongly enthalpy driven ($-11 \text{ kcal.mol}^{-1}$) whilst complexes with the other bile acids, in particular with deoxycholic acid (**9**) and chenodeoxycholic acid (**10**), show significantly larger entropic components. Lithocholic acid (**8**) is known³⁶ to form strong complex with native β -CD ($\sim 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$) that exhibits significantly higher stability than that with 5α -cholanic acid ($\sim 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$), the difference being explained by *cis* fusion of A and B rings of the former which is apparently more favorable for inclusion into the β -CD macrocycle than *trans* AB fused 5α -cholanic acid. In our series all bile acids are *cis* AB fused and thus other structural features must affect the observed behavior. Interestingly, lithocholic acid (**8**) appears to be the most hydrophobic compound of this series having only one hydroxyl group, whilst deoxycholic (**9**) and chenodeoxycholic (**10**) acids have two hydroxyls, and dehydrocholic acid (**11**) possesses three keto groups on the steroid skeleton. These oxygen atoms could participate in hydrogen bonding with hydroxyl groups on the cyclodextrin skeleton and surrounding water molecules affecting the solvation free energy which would in turn change the thermodynamic signature of the binding process. The duplex **7** thus appears to




Figure 4. Structure formulas of compounds **8-13** used for calorimetric study.

have some ability to differentiate subtle structural changes in steroid structures, number of hydroxyl groups in particular. The molecular model of the inclusion complex of duplex **7** with lithocholic acid (**8**) calculated with Autodock Vina³⁷ using crystal structure data of both components revealed a probable mode of inclusion (Figure 5); the steroid skeleton occupies both cavities of the duplex, in contrast to complexes with more flexible singly-bridged dimers.^{12, 13} It should be noted that within the commonly used native CDs the β -CD macrocycle is known to be a better host for steroids than γ -CD while in the duplex series the reverse order is observed, as can be deduced from this and previous³¹ studies. Solvent accessible surface models illustrated in Figure 3 suggest that the disulfide moieties – being oriented inside the cavity – occupy some space in the central part of the cavity and may thus cause sterical hindrance. Inclusion of sterically bulkier guests may require expulsion of sulfur atoms from the favorable equilibrium positions through rotation about C5-C6 bond (*gauche-trans* conformation).³¹ This unfavorable effect is likely to be more pronounced in smaller β -CD duplex accounting thus for higher binding affinity of steroid compounds to larger γ -CD-duplex **7**.




Figure 5. A docking model of a complex of duplex **7** and lithocholic acid (**8**); a) side view; b and c) top down views of both rims of the complex.

Hexadecafluorodecane-1,10-dioic acid forms a 1:1 complex with duplex **7** with K_a 3.3×10^5 M⁻¹, that is more than two orders of magnitude lower than the analogous complex with β -CD duplex.³¹ Moreover, while the complex with β -CD duplex was found to be strongly enthalpy-driven ($\Delta H^\circ = -12.5$ kcal.mol⁻¹, $T\Delta S^\circ = -1.7$ kcal.mol⁻¹), in the larger duplex **7** the entropy contribution overwhelms the enthalpy term and becomes the driving force ($\Delta H^\circ = -2.4$ kcal.mol⁻¹, $T\Delta S^\circ = 5.2$ kcal.mol⁻¹), indicating that larger space allows larger rotational and translational freedom of the guest molecule.

Out of the complexes showing higher apparent stoichiometry, convincing fit for two “subsequent binding sites” model was observed with sodium salt of 6-(*p*-toluidino)-2-

naphthalensulfonic acid (**13**), providing two binding constants of similar magnitude (5.78×10^6 and $1.06 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$). This is the only complex out of the series showing negative value for $T\Delta S^\circ$ term indicating that rotational and translational freedom of both guest molecules is restricted. It is assumed that each molecule occupies one cavity with the sulfonic group being oriented outside the cavity to aqueous media. The phenyl rings are likely to overlap in part inside the cavity interacting through π - π stacking as deduced from known complexes of planar aromatic compounds in native γ -CD.³⁸

Conclusions

The synthetic procedures described in this paper allow preparation of both C6^I,C6^{IV} and C6^I,C6^V bis-*O*-debenzylated γ -CD isomers. The latter, being symmetrical, was subsequently converted to dithiol and used for the synthesis of γ -CD duplex connected with two disulfide bonds. The oxidative dimerization proceeded well in 0.1-1 mM concentration range at pH 9 yielding the γ -CD duplex in high yield. In contrast to our preceding studies on α - or β -CD homologues the formation of monomeric product was not observed, probably due to unfavorable relatively large deformation of γ -CD macrocycles required for intramolecular disulfide bond formation.

Due to the large solvent accessible volume of the cavity of the γ -CD duplex (740 \AA^3), the molecule is ideally suited for complexation of relatively large organic molecules such as steroids. Bile acids examined in this study show binding affinities in the range $5.3 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$ - $1.9 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}$, i.e. two to three orders of magnitude larger than those to the native β -CD which has been known to be the best host for steroid structures among native CDs. The ITC titrations revealed that the cavity of γ -CD duplex is able to accommodate multiple smaller molecules or to form higher aggregates with them. Altogether with smaller α -CD or β -CD homologues^{25, 31} we have developed a system of host molecules that enables complexation of the broad range of organic molecules in aqueous media with high binding affinities ($K_a \sim 10^7$ - 10^9 M^{-1}). We presume that they could be used in various indicator displacement assays at low analyte concentration or for drug delivery purposes.

Acknowledgment


This work was financially supported by the Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry AS CR v.v.i. (Z40550506), COST (Action CM1005) and by Ministry of Education, Youth

and Sport of the Czech Republic (LD12019). M. D. is indebted to the Czech Science Foundation for the support of the project 14-03276S.

References

1. H. E. Dodziuk, *Cyclodextrins and Their Complexes*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006.
2. L. Liu and Q.-X. Guo, *J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocyclic Chem.*, 2002, **42**, 1-14.
3. K. N. Houk, A. G. Leach, S. P. Kim and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2003, **42**, 4872-4897.
4. T. Kraus, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **15**, 802-814.
5. F. Bellia, D. La Mendola, C. Pedone, E. Rizzarelli, M. Saviano and G. Vecchio, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 2756-2781.
6. M. V. Rekharsky and Y. Inoue, *Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **98**, 1875-1917.
7. E. V. Anslyn, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 687-699.
8. B. T. Nguyen and E. V. Anslyn, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **250**, 3118-3127.
9. W. M. Nau, G. Ghale, A. Hennig, H. s. Bakirci and D. M. Bailey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 11558-11570.
10. G. Ghale, V. Ramalingam, A. R. Urbach and W. M. Nau, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 7528-7535.
11. Y. Liu and Y. Chen, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2006, **39**, 681-691.
12. Y. Liu, Y. W. Yang, E. C. Yang and X. D. Guan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 6590-6602.
13. P. R. Cabrer, E. Azvarez-Parrilla, F. Meijide, J. A. Seijas, E. R. Nunez and J. V. Tato, *Langmuir*, 1999, **15**, 5489-5495.
14. I. Tabushi, Y. Kuroda and K. Shimokawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1979, **101**, 1614-1615.
15. O. Bistri, K. Mazeau, R. Auzely-Velty and M. Sollogoub, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 8847-8857.
16. R. Breslow and S. Chung, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 9659-9660.
17. D. Q. Yuan, S. Immel, K. Koga, M. Yamaguchi and K. Fujita, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 3501-3506.
18. D.-Q. Yuan, K. Koga, I. Kouno, T. Fujioka, M. Fukudome and K. Fujita, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 828-830.
19. T. Lecourt, J. M. Mallet and P. Sinay, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 5533-5536.
20. T. Lecourt, J. M. Mallet and P. Sinay, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, 4553-4560.
21. O. Bistri, T. Lecourt, J. M. Mallet, M. Sollogoub and P. Sinay, *Chem. Biodiversity*, 2004, **1**, 129-137.
22. T. Lecourt, P. Sinay, C. Chassenieux, M. Rinaudo and R. Auzely-Velty, *Macromolecules*, 2004, **37**, 4635-4642.
23. D. X. Dong, D. Baigl, Y. L. Cui, P. Sinay, M. Sollogoub and Y. M. Zhang, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 2973-2977.
24. O. Bistri-Aslanoff, Y. Bleriot, R. Auzely-Velty and M. Sollogoub, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2010, **8**, 3437-3443.
25. L. Kumprecht, M. Buděšínský, J. Vondrášek, J. Vymětal, J. Černý, I. Císařová, J. Brynda, V. Herzig, P. Koutník, J. Závada and T. Kraus, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 1082-1092.
26. L. Krejčí, M. Buděšínský, I. Císařová and T. Kraus, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 3557-3559.
27. A. Wang, W. Li, P. Zhang and C.-C. Ling, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 3572-3575.
28. R. Breslow, N. Greenspoon, T. Guo and R. Zarzycki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 8296-8297.
29. L. Kumprecht, M. Buděšínský, P. Bouř and T. Kraus, *New J. Chem.*, 2010, **34**, 2254-2260.
30. P. Maloň, L. Bednarová, M. Straka, L. Krejčí, L. Kumprecht, T. Kraus, M. Kubanová and V. Baumruk, *Chirality*, 2010, **22**, E47-E55.
31. A. Grishina, S. Stanchev, L. Kumprecht, M. Buděšínský, M. Pojarová, M. Dušek, M. Rumlová, I. Křížová, L. Rulišek and T. Kraus, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 12292-12304.
32. A. J. Pearce and P. Sinay, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 3610-3612.

33. T. Lecourt, A. Herault, A. J. Pearce, M. Sollogoub and P. Sinay, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 2960-2971.
34. R. A. Laskowski, *J. Mol. Graph.*, 1995, **13**, 323-330.
35. Due to $pK_a \sim 5$ of the investigated bile acids in aqueous solutions they are nearly completely dissociated under the conditions (pH 7) of ITC experiments. For reference see: A. Fini and A. Roda, *J. Lipid Res.*, 1987, **28**, 755-759.
36. Z. W. Yang and R. Breslow, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 6171-6172.
37. O. Trott and A. J. Olson, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2010, **31**, 455-461.
38. A. Nakamura and Y. Inoue, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **125**, 966-972.



54x16mm (300 x 300 DPI)