


Chemical Science

rsc.li/chemical-science



ISSN 2041-6539



Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, **15**, 14154

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 29th May 2024
Accepted 15th July 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc03516j
rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

The discovery of crown-ethers by Pedersen 55 years ago stands as the starting point for synthetic macrocyclic chemistry,^{1,2} laying the foundations for the broader fields of host-guest and supramolecular chemistry.^{3,4} Since that time, numerous classes of macrocyclic compounds have been developed, particularly finding applications as molecular sensors,⁵ mechanically interlocked supramolecules,^{6,7} and switchable systems. This latter class of compound has benefited from azobenzene photo-switches,⁸ leading to, for example, the development of the xanthene-derived azobenzene photoswitch **A** from Tamaoki and co-workers in 2005 (Fig. 1(a)).⁹ Since that time, significant efforts have gone towards the synthesis of azobenzene-containing macromolecules, essentially defining a new subfield in supramolecular chemistry.¹⁰ In addition to those nitrogen-containing macrocycles and their saturated derivatives (e.g. aza-crown ethers¹¹), considerable work has demonstrated that phosphine-containing macrocycles are an accessible class of macrocyclic support.^{12,13} It has also been demonstrated that 'free' diphosphenes, as well as their metal complexes, undergo both thermal and visible-light mediated conformational switching.^{14–19} Combining these concepts, one may consider heavier macrocyclic azobenzene derivatives: the macrocyclic diphosphenes. The coordination chemistry of diphosphenes is arguably richer than that of the lighter nitrogen congeners, possibly owing to the perturbed π -bonding in heavier p-block dimers allowing for more favourable complexation due to

a greater charge localisation at the P-centres.²⁰ Indeed, a range of binding modes are known for discreet diphosphene moieties, including monometallic η^2 -,^{21–23} bimetallic η^2 -^{24,25} and mixed bimetallic η^2/η^1 -binding (Fig. 1(b)).²⁶ We therefore hypothesized that macrocyclic diphosphene systems may be powerful scaffolds for access to discreet multi-metallic complexes (Fig. 1(c)). Though bis-diphosphenes are known,^{27–30}




Fig. 1 (a) An example of a xanthene-derived macrocyclic bis-azobenzene system; (b) mono- and bi-metallic binding modes of diphosphenes; (c) binding modes of macrocyclic bis-diphosphene systems described in this work.

Fakultät für Chemie, Technische Universität München, Lichtenberg Strasse 4, 85747 Garching, Germany. E-mail: terrance.hadlington@tum.de

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2357710–2357717. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4sc03516j>




a controlled synthetic protocol for access to such macrocyclic derivatives has prevented a deeper exploration of their coordination chemistry, particularly relative to that for well-established nitrogen congeners.

In this contribution, we describe our efforts towards developing such a synthetic route, in the reproducible Ni-mediated phosphinidene coupling of xanthene-derived NHC-stabilised bis-phosphinidenes (NHC-PPs).[‡] These reactions give access to macrocyclic bis-diphosphenes, bearing a central 14-membered ring featuring two P=P linkages. These macrocycles demonstrate both *exo*- and *endo*-cyclic binding of Ni⁰, in addition to simultaneous *exo*- and *endo*-cyclic binding of Ni and Cu, respectively. Solid- and solution-state behaviour of these species, in conjunction with DFT calculations, gives the first insights into bonding aspects of this novel class of macrocycles.

Results and discussion

Our starting point for access to macrocyclic diphosphene systems drew inspiration from tetrylene- and phosphinidene-coupling chemistry. Inoue *et al.* showed in 2012 the NHC-stabilised (silyl)(hydrido)silylene [^{Me}NHC·Si(H)(Si^tBu₃)] reacts with [Ni(COD)₂] in forming the bis(NHC) nickel-disilene complex (^{Me}NHC)₂Ni·[η²{Si(H)(Si^tBu₃)}₂].³¹ Similar chemistry was later demonstrated for related bis(aryl)stannylene species, by the group of Wesemann.³² More appropriate to the current work, Radius *et al.* reported that NHC-stabilised phenyl-phosphinidene also reacts with [Ni(COD)₂] to form the diphosphene complex [(NHC)₂Ni·{η²-(P₂Ph₂)}].³³ Therefore, we aimed to utilize NHC-PPs as building blocks for the targeted macrocyclic species. For this we employed our earlier reported 4,5-bis(phosphinidene)xanthene **1a**,³⁴ and additionally developed two further examples, namely the 2,7-di-*tert*-butylxanthene derivative **1b**, and 4,6-bis(phosphinidene)dibenzofuran system **1c**. Notably, NHC-PP **1b** has a considerably improved solubility over **1a**, whilst **1c** has a wider bite-angle (*i.e.* $d_{p\cdots p}$: for **1b**: 4.435(2) Å; for **1c**: 5.674(3) Å), similar to differences observed for related bis-phosphine ligands,^{35,36} which is expected to affect PP-coupling chemistry. These two novel examples of NHC-PPs were accessed *via* the same route as used for **1a**, that is through carbene reduction of the bis-phosphine systems



(Scheme 1). Both could be crystallised, as deep orange (**1b**) or red (**1c**) solids (see Fig. 2(a) and S40 in ESI†), with characteristic ³¹P NMR shifts at -72.8 (**1b**) and -86.0 (**1c**) ppm.

The PP-coupling reaction was carried out through the addition of 1 equiv. [Ni(COD)₂] to **1a–c** in toluene, followed by heating to 100 °C. For dibenzofuran system **1c** no coupling reaction was observed, and only the NHC-PP starting material was recovered, even after heating the mixture for 1 week. Conversely, heating of reaction mixtures involving **1a** or **1b** for 4 and 6 days, respectively, led to the gradual deposition of large, deep red crystals. Structural analysis of these samples revealed that targeted macrocyclic bis-diphosphene complexes **2a** and **2b** are indeed formed (Fig. 2 and S41 in ESI†). Optimisation of reaction conditions was key in maximizing the yield of these species: 100 mg mL⁻¹ of **1a** or **1b** in toluene was found to be the ideal concentration. Heating above 100 °C negatively affects yields, and lower temperatures led to considerably longer reaction times. Optimised conditions allow for a reproducible yield of both systems of between 35 and 40%. That **1c** did not lead to a PP-coupling reaction may suggest that pre-chelation of Ni⁰ plays a role in this process, which gives some evidence that formation of **2a** and **2b** is a templated synthesis, known to be an important factor more broadly in macrocycle synthesis.³⁷ This is further supported by the ready formation of 1:1 chelation complexes of both **1a** and **1b** towards [GeCl]⁺ upon addition of these ligands to [GeCl₂·dioxane],[§] a reaction which apparently does not proceed with **1c**.

Once crystallised, both macrocyclic species **2a** and **2b** are poorly soluble in aliphatic solvents. **2a** is only slightly soluble in




Fig. 2 Molecular structures of (a) **1b**, and (b) **2a**, with thermal ellipsoids at 30% probability, and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for **1b**: P1–C24 1.779(4); P2–C33 1.809(4); C2–P1–C24 106.6(2); C12–P2–C33 96.2(2). For **2a**: P1–P2 2.147(1); P1–Ni1 2.260(1); P2–Ni1 2.251(1); P1···P1' 3.542(2); C2–P2–P1 105.3(1); C12–P1–P2 99.0(1); P1–Ni1–P2 56.83(4).



THF, with the *t*Bu-substituents in **2b** improving this to some degree. Both species feature two xanthene scaffolds linked by diphosphene fragments, in a *trans,trans*-conformation, and forming a central 14-membered ring. Each [PP] fragment is η^2 -bound by a $[\text{Ni}(\text{NHC})_2]$ fragment perpendicular to the xanthene planes, and in the plane of the central $[\text{P}_4]$ unit. The molecular structures of both **2a** and **2b** are inversion symmetric, crystallising in the spacegroup $P2_1/c$, giving two identical P–P bond distances in each species, of $2.145(1)$ Å (**2a**) and $2.147(1)$ Å (**2b**), which are between typical P–P double and single bonds.³⁸ In addition, only slight *cis*-bending of the $[\text{P}_2]$ units is observed ($\text{C}-\text{P}-\text{P}-\text{C}$ torsion in **2a** = $5.4(1)^\circ$; in **2b** = $8.8(1)^\circ$), suggesting a high degree of π -complex character in the $[\text{NiP}_2]$ moieties in these complexes. In both systems the two diphosphene fragments do not lie perpendicular to the xanthene planes, with this twisting leading to short cross-ring $\text{P}1\cdots\text{P}1'$ distances (**2a**: $3.542(2)$ Å; **2b**: $3.505(1)$ Å), which sit just within the sum of the van der Waals radii (3.6 Å).³⁹ Despite the highly symmetric solid-state structure of **2b**, a complex multiplet is observed in the solution-state ^{31}P { ^1H } NMR spectrum of this species at room temperature (Fig. 3), which we presume arises from through-space coupling of $\text{P}1$ and $\text{P}1'$.¶ This is somewhat confirmed through the simulation of this multiplet, which gives large through-space $^1J_{\text{P}\cdots\text{P}}$ coupling value of 180 Hz.|| This value, however, is rather large when considering the observed $\text{P}1\cdots\text{P}1'$ distance in the solid state structure of **2b** ($3.505(1)$ Å), where literature known systems with such a coupling are expected to have a $\text{P}\cdots\text{P}$ distance of ~ 3.0 – 3.2 Å.^{40–42} We thus attempted to crystallise **2b** under varying conditions, and found that THF/pentane solutions of this species led to the crystallization of an additional polymorph at room temperature (*i.e.* **2b'**) which contains a markedly shorter $\text{P}1\cdots\text{P}1'$ distance of $3.153(2)$ Å, now correlating well with known systems demonstrating a large through-space PP-coupling constant. This shorter contact in polymorph **2b'** is apparently made viable through bending of the xanthene backbone (Fig. 4), also bringing one aryl group of this backbone (*viz* C8–C13) into coplanarity with the PP bonds, perhaps leading to favourable π -orbital overlap.

Further investigating this phenomenon using VT NMR ^{31}P { ^1H } NMR spectroscopy in the range from -80 to 60 °C yielded surprising results (Table 1). The multiplet features of the described RT ^{31}P { ^1H } NMR spectrum of **2b** coalesce to a relatively sharp singlet at -80 °C (Fig. S28†), suggesting that no short $\text{P}1\cdots\text{P}1'$ interaction is present at this temperature. On




Fig. 3 Experimental (above) and simulated (below) ^{31}P { ^1H } NMR spectrum for **2b** at ambient temperature in $\text{d}_8\text{-THF}$.




Fig. 4 Molecular structures of polymorphs (a) **2b** and (b) **2b'**, indicating distinct changes in cross-ring P–P distance.

warming, this resonance broadens and splits into a multiplet at -40 °C, becoming more resolved with increasing temperature. The J -coupling parameters within this multiplet can be determined by simulating each spectrum (Table 1): this reveals a gradual increase in the observed through-space $^1J_{\text{P}_A\cdots\text{P}_A'}$, up to a maximum of 188.5 Hz at 60 °C, and a minimum of 174.3 Hz at -40 °C. This would suggest that an increase in temperature leads to a shorter $\text{P}1\cdots\text{P}1'$ contact; we hypothesise that this energy is required to affect xanthene flexing, allowing for the through-space contact *e.g.* as observed in polymorph **2b'**. In addition to this observation, we note that all other PP-coupling constants increase dramatically at -40 °C (Table 1). This would suggest that all values tend towards parity at low temperatures, culminating in the observed singlet at -60 °C, relating to a symmetrical system in which the $\text{P}1\cdots\text{P}1'$ bond distance is too great to allow for through-space coupling. This is in-keeping with molecular flexibility being of importance in allowing for the observed coupling at higher temperatures. A computational analysis of the bonding in **2b** gives further information regarding this effect.** Optimisation of polymorph **2b'** leads to a lowest energy conformation in which a further contraction of the through-space $\text{P}1\cdots\text{P}1'$ distance is observed, from 3.153 to 3.082 Å. Analysing the frontier orbitals of this species, the

Table 1 Simulated J -coupling parameters for variable-temperature ^{31}P { ^1H } NMR spectra of **2b** between -40 and 60 °C^a

Temp. [°C]	AB	$\text{A}'\text{B}'$	AA'	BB'	AB'	$\text{A}'\text{B}$
60	404.7	404.7	188.5	0.6	1.5	1.5
40	401.3	406.5	183.4	1.0	9.7	6.4
20	403.1	403.1	179.8	1.0	1.4	1.4
0	403.6	398.0	175.4	1.3	9.6	6.1
-40	372.2	368.2	174.3	65.2	55.3	45.2

^a For solutions of **2b** in $\text{d}_8\text{-THF}$; all values given in Hz.



HOMO (-3.166 eV) represents electron density at P1 and P1', oriented towards each other (Fig. S44 in ESI[†]); this is known to be a key factor in systems which demonstrated a high through-space coupling constant, notably so in reported *peri*-substituted diphosphino-naphthalene,^{41–43} and tetraphosphine-ferrocenyl derivatives.⁴⁰ The HOMO-1 (-3.417 eV) and -2 (-3.424 eV) are Ni-centred, whilst HOMO-3 (-3.614 eV) and -4 (-3.629 eV) represent a degree of electron density at P2/P2'. Finally, the HOMO-9 (-4.156 eV) formally represents a weak σ -bonding interaction between P-centres P1 and P1'. A deeper analysis using NBO and QTAIM methodologies further reinforces this picture (Fig. 5): the former reveals a weak but present cross-ring P-P bonding interaction, with small Wiberg and Mayer bond indices of 0.07. A second-order perturbation theory analysis reveals that lone-pairs on P1 and P1' interact with the σ^* -orbital of the opposing P–Ni bond (*e.g.* $LP_{P1} \rightarrow \sigma_{P1'Ni1}^*$), with a total energy of 5.78 kcal mol⁻¹, visualised in Fig. 5(a) and S44.[†] Whilst such an interaction has been noted as a contributor to through-space coupling in *e.g.* *peri*-substituted phosphorus-tellurium systems,⁴⁴ the aforementioned lone-pair orientation likely plays a stronger role.^{42,45} Nevertheless, a QTAIMs analysis also shows a clear bond critical point between P1 and P1' (Fig. 5(b)), in agreement with the described weak but present interaction.

Given the 14 membered macrocyclic nature of **2a** and **2b**, we were curious as to their potential host-guest chemistry. For these studies only **2b** was utilized, due to its considerably more favourable solubility when compared with **2a**. We aimed to explore the potential formation of hetero-metallic species, focusing on coinage metals given their rich coordination chemistry. It quickly became apparent that, although **2b** itself is not particularly air sensitive, upon reaction with coinage metal species an extremely oxygen sensitive compound is formed. Conducting the reaction of CuCl with **2b** under stringent exclusion of oxygen and moisture leads to the formation of deep green solutions. Initial analysis *via* $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy indicates the disappearance of the characteristic multiplet for **2b**, and the appearance of two highly broadened resonances at $\delta = 129$ and -81 ppm, indicative of two distinct coordination environments for P. Careful crystallisation of concentrated THF solutions at -30 °C led to the formation of extremely air sensitive dichroic green-red crystals. Single-crystal X-ray




Fig. 6 Molecular structures of polymorphs (a) 3 and (b) 4, indicating distinct changes in cross-ring P–P distance. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 3: P1–P2 2.154(2); P1–Ni1 2.359(2); P1–Ni1' 2.278(2); P1–Ni1 2.229(2); Ni1–Ni1' 2.745(1); C2–P1–P2 98.3(2); C12–P2–P1 97.5(2); C2–P1–Ni1 105.6(2); C12–P2–Ni1 100.9(2); Ni1–P2–P1 65.10(6). For 4: P1–P2 2.353(2); P1–Ni1 2.141(2); P2–Ni1 2.259(2); P1–Cu1 2.218(2); P1–Cu1' 2.164(2); P2–Cu1 2.369(2); Cu1–Cu1' 2.551(1); C2–P1–P2 105.3(2); C12–P2–P1 115.2(2).




Fig. 5 (a) Combination of orbitals leading to a cross-ring P–P interaction, found through a second-order perturbation theory analysis of 2; (b) a QTAIMs analysis of 2 indicating a bond critical point between P1 and P1'.

diffraction (SC-XRD) analysis revealed this product to be the *endo*-cyclic $[\text{Ni}_2]$ macrocycle complex 3, through NHC-abstraction from *exo*-cyclic **2b** (Fig. 6(a)). At the core of 3 is a discrete $[\text{Ni}_2]$ unit, each Ni centre coordinated by three P-centres, and one NHC. This leads to the above observed differing P-environments, with P2 and P2' being 4-coordinate, and P1 and P1' being 3-coordinate. It is presumably the highly exposed nature of these P1/P1' centres which renders 3 highly reactive towards oxidation. The P–P distances in 3 ($d_{P1P2} = 2.154(2)$ Å) are similar to those observed in **2b** ($d_{P1P2} = 2.145(1)$ Å), as are the observed P–P torsion angles (C–P–P–C torsion = $7.3(2)^\circ$), again indicative of π -complex character. Given the long Ni–Ni distance of $2.745(1)$ Å, which is beyond what is expected for the sum of the covalent radii, only a very weak Ni–Ni bond is likely. This is corroborated by a computational NBO analysis, in



which a Wiberg bond index of 0.07 is found. The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of a d_8 -THF solution of **3** is in keeping with that observed in crude reaction mixtures, with two highly broadened doublet resonances at $\delta = 129.4$ and -81.2 ppm ($^1\text{J}_{\text{PP}} = 447$ Hz).^{††} This *J*-coupling value aligns with those simulated for the diphosphene units in **2b** (*i.e.* 403 Hz at 20 °C, Table 1). In addition, and again as per **2b**, this value between reported values for unsymmetrical diphosphenes and diphosphines.^{18,30,46–49} A single xanthene environment is observed in the ^1H NMR spectrum, however, which would indicate coordinate functionality in solution. THF solutions of **3** are deep green in colour, in contrast to orange **2a/b**, showing absorptions in the visible region at 614 and 416 nm. A TD-DFT analysis of a reduced version of **3** (*viz.* **3'**, Fig. S46 in ESI†) indicates numerous absorptions between 675 nm and 425 nm which contribute to a major absorption centred at ~ 530 nm (Fig. S45†), shifted from that observed experimentally ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 614$ nm). One main absorption here is found at 507 nm ($f = 0.0397$), comprising 6 transitions. These absorptions represent $\text{Ni} \rightarrow \text{P}$ or $\text{Ni}/\text{P} \rightarrow \text{Ar}$ charge transfer processes (Fig. S46 in ESI†), and are strongly delocalized within the $[\text{P}_4\text{Ni}_2]$ unit, which may point towards delocalized orbitals within this central moiety.

Conducting the described reaction of **2b** with CuCl in MeCN , without stirring, led to solutions of **3** in addition to the formation of a small amount of deep red block-like crystals, which contrasts to the green-red colouration of **3**. A SC-XRD analysis revealed this complex to be **4** (Scheme 2, Fig. 6(b)), a heterotetrametallic complex in which both Ni and Cu bind the macrocyclic bis-diphosphene ligand. Specifically, two *endo*-cyclic $[\text{NHC}\cdot\text{Cu}]$ units and two *exo*-cyclic $[\text{NHC}\cdot\text{NiCl}]$ units bind the macrocyclic system, presumably through the formal oxidative addition of *in situ* generated $\text{NHC}\cdot\text{CuCl}$ to concomitantly formed **3**.^{‡‡} Only a very low yield of **4** is formed (<5%), which may suggest that such a process is only weakly favourable. As **2a/b** and **3**, the molecular structure of **4** has a mirror plane which dissects the P–P bonds, and as such each P–P unit is identical. This species bears P–P bonds ~ 0.2 Å longer than the former two species, and is thus better described as having formal P–P single bonds, indeed longer than many diphosphines. Observing the bond distances between these diphosphene units (*i.e.* P1–P2) and the metal centres in this complex (*i.e.* Cu_1 , Cu_1' , and Ni_1), all such interactions are shorter when compared to those found in **2a/b** and **3**. This would therefore suggest formal covalent bonding character in complex **4**, compared to what we describe as π -complex character in **2a/b** and **3**. As such, **4** is best

described as containing formally Ni^{II} and Cu^{I} centres. Once crystallised, compound **4** has an extremely poor solubility in organic solvents, which, in conjunction with its low yield, precluded the acquisition of any data beyond its molecular structure. Nevertheless, its formation suggests that the class of macrocyclic ligand reported here may be a powerful scaffold for the development of hetero-polymetallic complexes.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a synthetic protocol for access to macrocyclic bis-diphosphenes, *via* the nickel-mediated coupling of NHC-PPPs. This reaction is dependent on the binding angle of the phosphindene precursors, with a wide bite angle failing to produce targeted macrocycles. Further, we have demonstrated both *exo*- and *endo*-cyclic homo-bimetallic binding of Ni^0 in these systems, in addition to the heterotetrametallic binding of $\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}/\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}$, demonstrating the impressive coordination capacity of this ligand class. This stands as an initial entry into the chemistry of these heavier macrocyclic azobenzene analogues, which we continue to explore.

Data availability

All data is available in the ESI† of this manuscript (synthetic and characterisation data, reprints of all spectra, crystallographic and computational details and coordinates), through the CCDC (X-ray data), or by contacting the authors.

Author contributions

L. N. K. carried out all experimental work and characterisation. T. J. H. designed and supervised the project, carried out computational work, and prepared the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.


Acknowledgements

T. J. H. thanks the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (FCI) for endowment of a Liebig Stipendium. T. J. H. and L. N. K. thank the Boehringer Ingelheim Stiftung (BIS), which supported this work with an Exploration Grant. T. J. H. thanks Prof. Fässler for his continued support. We would also like to thank P. Mollik for aiding in the collection of LIFDI-MS data, and T. Kalkuhl for aiding in the collection of VT-NMR data.

Notes and references

‡ We refer to these species as NHC-stabilised bis-phosphinidenes given that the chemistry described herein utilises these systems as phosphinidene transfer reagents. We are aware that these systems could equally be described as inversely-polarised bis-phosphaalkenes.

§ For **1a** this species can be found in ref. 34. For **1b**, this details for the synthesis and characterisation of this compound can be found in the ESI, as **1b**· GeCl .



Scheme 2 The synthesis of *endo*-cyclic $[\text{Ni}]_2$ complex **3**, and tetrametallic **4**.



¶ We note that this coupling is not observed for **2a**, possibly due to a longer cross-ring P···P bond. We hypothesise that the ¹Bu groups in **2b** lower the energy of xanthene flexing, through steric repulsion between those groups and Ni-coordinated NHC ligands.

|| The simulation was modelled using gNMR.

** In all cases, the full structure of **2a** was used, beginning from the coordinates for the solid-state structures of **2b** and **2b'** (i.e. through removal of ¹Bu). An initial optimisation was carried out at the B3LYP/def2SVP level of theory. A further single-point optimisation was carried using those geometries, at the B97D3//def2TZVPP level of theory, employing the SCF[THF] solvent model.

†† The resolution of these peaks does not improve in cold solutions, as ascertained by VT NMR studies, and the complex seems to decompose at elevated temperatures.

‡‡ We note that refinement with the *exo*-cyclic Ni and *endo*-cyclic Cu yields considerably more favourable *R*-values, making this the most realistic metal distribution mode in this system.

- 1 C. J. Pedersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 2495–2496.
- 2 C. J. Pedersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 7017–7036.
- 3 A. K. Yudin, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 30–49.
- 4 Z. Liu, S. K. M. Nalluri and J. F. Stoddart, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 2459–2478.
- 5 D. Dattler, G. Fuks, J. Heiser, E. Moulin, A. Perrot, X. Yao and N. Giuseppone, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 310–433.
- 6 J. F. Stoddart, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 11094–11125.
- 7 D. Sluysmans and J. F. Stoddart, *Trends Chem.*, 2019, **1**, 185–197.
- 8 H. M. D. Bandara and S. C. Burdette, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 1809–1825.
- 9 S. A. Nagamani, Y. Norikane and N. Tamaoki, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 9304–9313.
- 10 C. Ludwig and J. Xie, *ChemPhotoChem*, 2023, **7**, e202300126.
- 11 K. E. Krakowiak, J. S. Bradshaw and D. J. Zamecka-Krakowiak, *Chem. Rev.*, 1989, **89**, 929–972.
- 12 J.-P. Majoral and A.-M. Caminade, in *Studies in Inorganic Chemistry*, Elsevier, 1992, vol. 14, pp. 209–231.
- 13 A.-M. Caminade and J. P. Majoral, *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, **94**, 1183–1213.
- 14 A.-M. Caminade, M. Verrier, C. Ades, N. Paillous and M. Koenig, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 1984, 875–877.
- 15 M. Yoshifuji, T. Sato and N. Inamoto, *Chem. Lett.*, 1988, **17**, 1735–1738.
- 16 M. Yoshifuji, T. Hashida, N. Inamoto, K. Hirotsu, T. Horiuchi, T. Higuchi, K. Ito and S. Nagase, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1985, **24**, 211–212.
- 17 E. Niecke, O. Altmeyer and M. Nieger, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1991, **30**, 1136–1138.
- 18 C. Taube, J. Fidelius, K. Schwedtmann, C. Ziegler, F. Kreuter, L. Loots, L. J. Barbour, R. Tonner-Zech, R. Wolf and J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202306706.
- 19 J. Lin, S. Liu, J. Zhang, H. Grützmacher, C.-Y. Su and Z. Li, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 10944–10952.
- 20 P. P. Power, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 3463–3504.
- 21 J. Ho, T. L. Breen, A. Ozarowski and D. W. Stephan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 865–870.
- 22 N. Etkin, M. T. Benson, S. Courtenay, M. J. McGlinchey, A. D. Bain and D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 1997, **16**, 3504–3510.
- 23 A. Schumann, F. Reiß, H. Jiao, J. Rabeah, J.-E. Siewert, I. Krummenacher, H. Braunschweig and C. Hering-Junghans, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 7859–7867.
- 24 D. Fenske and K. Merzweiler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1986, **25**, 338–339.
- 25 G. Bai, P. Wei, A. K. Das and D. W. Stephan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 1141–1146.
- 26 K. M. Flynn, H. Hope, B. D. Murray, M. M. Olmstead and P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 7750–7751.
- 27 S. Shah, T. Concolino, A. L. Rheingold and J. D. Protasiewicz, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 3860–3867.
- 28 R. C. Smith and J. D. Protasiewicz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 2268–2269.
- 29 C. Moser, M. Nieger and R. Pietschnig, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 2667–2672.
- 30 R. Ura, A. Tsurusaki and K. Kamikawa, *Dalton Trans.*, 2022, **51**, 2943–2952.
- 31 S. Inoue and C. Eisenhut, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 18315–18318.
- 32 C. P. Sindlinger, S. Weiß, H. Schubert and L. Wesemann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 4087–4091.
- 33 L. Werner, G. Horrer, M. Philipp, K. Lubitz, M. W. Kuntze-Fechner and U. Radius, *Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie*, 2021, **647**, 881–895.
- 34 T. J. Hadlington, A. Kostenko and M. Driess, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 2476–2482.
- 35 P. W. N. M. Van Leeuwen and P. C. J. Kamer, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **8**, 26–113.
- 36 E. M. Vogl, J. Bruckmann, C. Krüger and M. W. Haenel, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1996, **520**, 249–252.
- 37 Z. R. Laughrey and B. C. Gibb, in *Templates in Chemistry II*, ed. C. A. Schalley, F. Vögtle and K. H. Dötz, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005, vol. 249, pp. 67–125.
- 38 B. Cordero, V. Gómez, A. E. Platero-Prats, M. Revés, J. Echeverría, E. Cremades, F. Barragán and S. Alvarez, *Dalton Trans.*, 2008, 2832.
- 39 M. Mantina, A. C. Chamberlin, R. Valero, C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2009, **113**, 5806–5812.
- 40 J.-C. Hierso, A. Fihri, V. V. Ivanov, B. Hanquet, N. Pirio, B. Donnadieu, B. Rebière, R. Amardeil and P. Meunier, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 11077–11087.
- 41 P. Kilian, A. M. Z. Slawin and J. D. Woollins, *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.*, 2004, **179**, 999–1002.
- 42 B. A. Chalmers, P. S. Nejman, A. V. Llewellyn, A. M. Felaar, B. L. Griffiths, E. I. Portman, E.-J. L. Gordon, K. J. H. Fan, J. D. Woollins, M. Bühl, O. L. Malkina, D. B. Cordes, A. M. Z. Slawin and P. Kilian, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 3387–3398.
- 43 B. A. Chalmers, K. S. Athukorala Arachchige, J. K. D. Prentis, F. R. Knight, P. Kilian, A. M. Z. Slawin and J. D. Woollins, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 8795–8808.
- 44 A. Nordheimer, E. Hupf, B. A. Chalmers, F. R. Knight, M. Bühl, S. Mebs, L. Checińska, E. Lork, P. S. Camacho, S. E. Ashbrook, K. S. Athukorala Arachchige, D. B. Cordes, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. Beckmann and J. D. Woollins, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 2435–2446.
- 45 J.-C. Hierso, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 4838–4867.



46 B. R. Headford, W. B. Kuhnel, F. Qu and K. H. Shaughnessy, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2023, **999**, 122816.

47 N. Nagahora, T. Sasamori, N. Takeda and N. Tokitoh, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 6146–6151.

48 T. Sasamori, A. Tsurusaki, N. Nagahora, K. Matsuda, Y. Kanemitsu, Y. Watanabe, Y. Furukawa and N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Lett.*, 2006, **35**, 1382–1383.

49 A. Petrov, T. Wellnitz, F. Hennersdorf, F. Reiß and C. Hering-Junghans, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2024, **30**, e202400575.

