



Hydrogen Bonding Interactions Can Decrease Clar Sextet Character in Acridone Pigments

Journal:	Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry
Manuscript ID	OB-COM-09-2021-001720.R1
Article Type:	Communication
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Oct-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Wen, Zhili; University of Houston, Department of Chemistry Karas, Lucas; University of Houston, Department of Chemistry Wu, Judy; University of Houston, Chemistry

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

COMMUNICATION

Hydrogen Bonding Interactions Can Decrease Clar Sextet Character in Acridone Pigments

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx Zhili Wen, Lucas José Karas and Judy I-Chia Wu*

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Computed nucleus-independent chemical shifts (NICS), contour plots of isotropic magnetic shielding (IMS), and gauge-including magnetically induced current (GIMIC) plots suggest that polarization of the π -system of acridones may perturb the numbers and positions of Clar sextet rings. Decreasing numbers of Clar sextets are connected to experimental observations of a narrowing HOMO-LUMO gap and increased charge mobility in solid-state assemblies of quinacridone and epindolidione.

Acridone pigments like quinacridone (1) and epindolidione (2) (a structural isomer of indigo) (Figure 1) are low-cost, airstable, and have use as paints, cosmetics, and printing inks, but their potential application as components of organic field-effect transistors has received little attention until the last decade.¹⁻⁵ These compounds were intentionally avoided in the designs of organic electronics, as the amine and carbonyl groups were thought to disrupt π -conjugation. Yet many solid-state pigments, containing N-H and C-O groups, have been found to exhibit high charge mobilities, rivaling those of acenes.³⁻⁶ In the solid state, 1 has a hole mobility comparable to that of pentacene (0.1 vs. 0.1 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹)³ and 2 displays a hole mobility higher than that of tetracene (1.5 vs. 0.1 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹).⁴ It was suggested that hydrogen bonding can increase acene character of the monomers (see Figure 1, imminium-enolate resonance structures on right).

Many N–H and C=O containing chromophores display large bathochromic UV-vis absorption shifts going from dilute solution to the solid state.⁵⁻⁷ Quinacridone is yellow in solution, but turns red to violet in the solid state.^{8,9} Epindolidione is blue in solution, and turns yellowish to orange in the solid state.⁴ When dissolved in sulfuric acid, the doubly protonated quinacridone displays a narrower HOMO-LUMO gap than the neutral parent, showing a UV-vis spectrum similar to that of pentance.⁵ These changes suggest that polarization of the π system through hydrogen bonding, can reduce the HOMO-

Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77204, United States.

LUMO gaps of acridone pigments giving rise to increased charge mobility.⁵ Hydrogen bonding interactions were found to improve the electronic properties of other conjugated organic systems^{10,11} and donor-acceptor pairs.¹²⁻¹⁴



Figure 1. a) Quinacridone, b) epindolidione, and their imminium-enolate resonance forms. Clar sextets are drawn in red. A red arrow across two rings indicates equivalent Clar structures.

Here, we relate the known effects of enhanced charge mobility in hydrogen-bonded acridones to decreased aromaticity of the π -system.^{15,16} First called the "aromatic sextet" in 1925,17 and then proposed by Clar to follow a selective placement in polycyclic systems,18 the "Clar sextet" describes a fully benzenoid ring where all π -electrons of a six membered ring belong to a single sextet. As shown in Figure 1, 1 has three Clar sextets, and 2 has two, but polarization of the N-H and C=O sites enhance the contribution of "acene-like" resonance forms which can have only one Clar sextet.¹⁹⁻²¹ In this way, hydrogen bonding self-assembly can reduce Clar sextet ratio of the acridone π -system, and the drop in aromaticity can be related to a decreased HOMO-LUMO gap.^{15,22,23} Isomers of closed-shell polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) with fewer numbers of Clar sextets typically have lower ionization potentials, light absorption shifted towards the visible region, and a smaller HOMO-LUMO separation.²⁴⁻³⁰ We previously reported that formally non-aromatic organic dyes, like diketopyrrolopyrrole, naphthodipyrrolidone, indigo, and isoindigo, can show increased antiaromaticity upon hydrogen bonding.³¹ The effects of intermolecular hydrogen bonding on

E-mail: jiwu@central.uh.edu

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Computed IMS plots, GIMIC plots, and NICS(1)zz values of 2-hydroxypyridine, 2-pyridone, 3, 3-pro, 3taut, chrysene, and hydrogen-bonded trimers of 1-3. Optimized Cartesian coordinates for all structures also are included]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

aromaticity also can be seen in contrasting examples of the 2pyridone dimer (aromaticity gain upon hydrogen bonding) and the 2-hydroxypyridine dimer (aromaticity loss upon hydrogen bonding) (see also supplementary data in the Supporting Information, SI).³²

In this work, the Clar sextet patterns of **1** and **2** are compared to those of a doubly protonated form (**-prot**) and an acene-like tautomeric form (**-taut**), to model the highly polarized π -system of the monomers in the solid state. Figure 1 shows, for each structure, the most representative resonance form with the largest number of Clar sextet(s).^{18,33-35} Crystal structures of the α , β , and γ polymorphs of quinacridone³⁶⁻³⁹ have C=O bond distances (α : 1.373 Å, β : 1.266 Å, γ : 1.299 Å) longer than the typical carbonyl C=O length (ca. 1.23 Å), indicative of strong π -polarization of the monomers in the solid state. Comparing this data to the computed C=O lengths for **1** (1.227 Å), **1-prot** (1.321 Å), and **1-taut** (1.352 Å) suggests that the π -systems of self-assembled quinacridone monomers may be appropriately modeled by the π -systems of **1-prot** and **1-taut**.

geometries were optimized at B3LYP-D3/6-All 311+G(d,p)^{40,41} with constrained C_{2h} symmetry for 1, 2, 1-prot, 2-prot, 1-taut, and 2-taut employing the Gaussian16 program.⁴² Nucleus independent chemical shifts (NICS) computed at the PW91PW91/IGLOIII43,44 level quantified aromaticity of the individual rings. $NICS(1)_{zz}$ values were computed at 1 Å above the ring centers and include only shielding tensor components from the out-of-plane "zz" direction.45-48 Clar sextets are identified by a more negative NICS(1)_{zz} in a ring compared to all of its neighboring rings. Isotropic magnetic shielding (IMS) plots were computed at the same level at 1 Å above the molecular plane using regular grids of points with an interval of 0.1 Å.49 These plots were previously shown by Lampkin, Karadakov, and VanVeller to provide feature-rich descriptions of aromaticity in PAHs.⁴⁹ Gauge-including magnetically induced current (GIMIC) plots computed at B3LYP-D3/6-311+G(d,p) provided a visualization of the numbers and positions of Clar sextet(s).50 Diatropic ring currents are indicated by intensified clockwise currents at the locations of the Clar sextets.²⁶ The computed IMS and GIMIC plots include magnetic shielding contributions from both the σ and π framework, and therefore cannot be compared directly to the computed $NICS(1)_{zz}$ results. Nevertheless, these plots provide qualitative information about the locations of the Clar sextets. Time-dependent (TD) density functional theory⁵¹ computations were performed at TD- ω B97X-D/6-311+G(d,p)⁵² to estimate the HOMO and LUMO levels of each model system. HOMO-LUMO gaps were derived by the HOMO to LUMO excitation energies.53

Figure 2 compares the computed $NICS(1)_{zz}$ values of **1** and **2**, to their **-prot** and **-taut** forms, and acene reference. In **1**, the three arene rings show large negative $NICS(1)_{zz}$ values indicating three Clar sextets that are separated by two weak to non-aromatic pyridone rings, which have small negative $NICS(1)_{zz}$ values. In **1-prot**, the two pyridone rings gain significant aromatic character, but the three arene rings still display more negative $NICS(1)_{zz}$ values, and the NICS patterns of the individual rings indicate the presence of three Clar sextets. In **1-taut**, the two pyridone rings become more aromatic than the

terminal rings, and now only the central ring can be recognized as a Clar sextet. NICS patterns of the individual rings of **1-taut** follow that of pentacene.

 Table 1. Computed HOMO-LUMO gaps (in eV) for the monomer, hydrogenbonded trimer, doubly protonated form, and tautomeric form of 1 and 2.

Cmpd.	Monomer	H-bonded	Doubly	Tautomer		
		trimer	protonated			
1	3.23	2.95	2.50	2.44		
2	3.52	3.30	2.84	3.03		

Like 1, computed NICS(1)_{zz} for 2, 2-prot, and 2-taut, show increased diatropicity of the pyridone rings as the π -system becomes more polarized. NICS patterns of the individual rings of 2-taut resemble those of tetracene, indicating one Clar sextet. In 2-taut and in tetracene, the two central rings are equivalent Clar structures (indicated by a red arrow in Figure 2) like that in naphthalene (cf. data for iso-epindolidione in the SI, where polarization of the π -system *increases* the number of Clar sextet rings). Remarkably, hydrogen bonding can reduce aromaticity in **1** and **2** by lowering the numbers of Clar sextets in the π -system. Consistent with experimental findings, the computed HOMO-LUMO gaps (Table 1) of both 1, 3.23 eV (cf. 2.95 eV for a hydrogen-bonded trimer) and 2, 3.52 eV (cf. 3.30 eV for a hydrogen-bonded trimer) become narrower upon hydrogen bonding at the N-H and C=O sites. Kinked systems like the isoepindolidione (analogous to chrysene) show the opposite effect (i.e., hydrogen bonding reduces the number of Clar sextets in the π -system. See data in the SI).



Figure 2. Computed NICS(1)₂₂ values for **1**, **1-prot**, and **1-taut**, compared to pentacene, and for **2**, **2-prot**, and **2-taut**, compared to tetracene. Clar sextets are drawn in red. A red arrow across two rings indicates equivalent Clar structures.

Journal Name

Plots of isotropic magnetic shielding (IMS) (Figure 3) were computed to visualize the Clar sextet patterns of **1**, **2** and their π -polarized analogs. The IMS plot of **1** shows three particularly shielded regions (> +12 ppm) at the positions of the arene rings, indicative of three Clar sextets. In **1-prot**, the central ring becomes even more shielded (see dark purple region, > +15 ppm), suggesting enhanced aromaticity, and the shielded areas of the terminal arene rings move towards the pyridone rings. In **1-taut**, the central ring is the most strongly shielded region (note cyclic dark purple region, indicating a Clar sextet, > +15 ppm), followed by the pyridone rings, and then the terminal arene rings (cf. IMS plot for pentacene). IMS plots for **2**, **2-prot**, and **2-taut**, show the same trends, suggesting a migration of the Clar sextets from the outer rings to the central rings (cf. IMS plot for tetracene).



Figure 3. Computed IMS plots for 1, 1-prot, and 1-taut, compared to pentacene, and for 2, 2-prot, and 2-taut, compared to tetracene.

Details of the induced ring currents of **1**, **2**, and their π polarized analogs are shown in Figure 4 by the gauge-including magnetically induced current (GIMIC) plots. **1** displays three localized diatropic ring currents, located at the positions of the arene rings. A weakly diatropic current encompasses the periphery of the five fused rings. **1-prot** shows a pronounced macrocyclic diatropic ring current around the periphery of the molecule which intensifies at the central ring and terminal rings. Note that only the central ring shows a complete localized diatropic ring current, while the terminal rings show a breached local ring current. In **1-taut**, the macrocyclic diatropic current becomes dominant, and is strongest at the central ring and weakest at the terminal rings. These features agree with the findings of NICS and IMS, showing a reduced Clar sextet ratio going from **1** (three Clar sextets) **1-prot**, to **1-taut** (one Clar sextet). GIMIC plots for **2**, **2-prot**, and **2-taut**, also shown an increasingly delocalized diatropic ring current. In **2**, there are two localized diatropic ring currents, located at the positions of the arene rings, indicating the presence of two Clar sextets. But as the π -system becomes increasingly polarized, a macrocyclic diatropic ring current dominates, and the GIMIC plots of **2-prot** and **2-taut**, become more tetracene-like.



Figure 4. Computed GIMIC plots for 1, 1-prot, and 1-taut, compared to pentacene, and for 2, 2-prot, and 2-taut, compared to tetracene.

Conclusions

Hydrogen bonding interactions can play an important role in the molecular design of organic semiconductors. Besides controlling solid state structure, hydrogen bonding interactions can be used to perturb aromatic character of conjugated organic molecules to modulate electronic property. Here, we show that reducing the ratios of Clar rings can be considered as a design strategy to enhance charge transport property in popular organic dyes.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgment

We thank the National Science Foundation (CHE-1751370) and the Sloan Research Foundation (FG-2020-12811) for support. We also acknowledge use of the Sabine cluster and support from the Research Computing Data Core at the University of Houston.

Journal Name

Notes and references

- M. Gsänger, D. Bialas, L. Huang, M. Stolte, F. Würthner. Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 3615–3645.
- 2 H. Yanagisawa, J. Mizuguchi, S. Aramaki, Y. Sakai. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, 2008, **47**, 4728–4731.
- E. D. Głowacki, L. Leonat, M. Irimia-Vladu, R. Schwodiauer, M. Ullah, H. Sitter, H. Bauer, S. Sariciftci. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, 101, 023305.
- 4 E. D. Głowacki, G. Romanazzi, C. Yumusak, H. Coskun, U. Monkowius, G. Voss, M. Burian, R. T. Lechner, N. Demitri, G. J. Redhammer, N. Sünger, G. P. Suranna, S. Sariciftci. Adv. Funct. Mater., 2015, 25, 776–787.
- 5 E. D. Głowacki, M. Irimia-Vladu, M. Kaltenbrunner, J. Gsiorowski, M. S. White, U. Monkowius, G. Romanazzi, G. P. Suranna, P. Mastrorilli, T. Sekitani, S. Bauer, T. Someya, L. Torsi, N. S. Sariciftci. *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 1563–1569.
- 6 J. Weinstein, G. M. Wyman. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1956, 78, 2387–2390.
- 7 E. D. Głowacki, G. Voss, L. Leonat, M. Irimia-Vladu, S. Bauer, N. S. Sariciftci. *Isr. J. Chem.*, 2012, **52**, 540–551.
- 8 J. Mizuguchi, T. Senju. J. Phys. Chem. B, 2006, 110, 19154– 19161.
- 9 S. S. Labana, L. L. Labana, *Chem. Rev.*, 1967, **67**,1–18.
- 10 J. H. Oh, W.-Y. Lee, T. Noe, W.-C. Chen, M. Könemann, Z. Bao. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 4204–4207.
- 11 H. Zhang, K. Liu, K.-Y. Wu, Y.-M. Chen, R. Deng, X. Li, H. Jin, S. Li, S. C. Chuang, C.-L. Wang, Y. Zhu. J. Phys. Chem. C, 2018, 122, 5888–5895.
- 12 H. T. Black, N. Yee, Y. Zems, D. F. Perepichka. *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 17251–17261.
- 13 C.-H. Liu, M. R. Niazi, D. F. Perepichka. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**,17312–17321.
- 14 N. Yee, A. Dadvand, D. F. Perepichka. *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **4**, 3669–3677.
- 15 W. Chen, H. Li, J. R. Widawsky, C. Appayee, L. Venkataraman, R. Breslow. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 918–920.
- 16 T. Stuyver, T. Zeng, Y. Tsuji, S. Fias, P. Geerings, F. D. Proft. J. *Phys. Chem. C.*, 2018, **122**, 3194–3200.
- 17 J. W. Armit, R. Robinson. J. Chem. Soc., Trans., 1925, **127**, 1604-1618.
- 18 E. Clar. The Aromatic Sextet, Wiley, London, 1972.
- 19 E. Steiner, P. W. Fowler. Int. J. Quantum Chem., 1996, 60, 609– 616.
- 20 A. Soncini, P. W. Fowler, L. W. Jenneskenes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2004, **6**, 277–284.
- 21 P. v. R. Schleyer, M. Manoharan, H. Jiao, F. Stahl. *Org. Lett.*, 2001, **3**, 3643–3646.
- 22 C. Aumaitre, J.-F. Morin. Chem. Rev., 2019, 19, 1142-1154.
- 23 R. Gershoni-Poranne, A. P. Rahalkar, A. Stanger. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 14808–14817.
- 24 Y. Ruiz-Morales. J. Phys. Chem. A, 2002, 106, 11283–11308.
- 25 Y. Ruiz-Morales. J. Phys. Chem. A, 2004, 108, 10873–10896.
- 26 D. Moran, F. Stahl, H. F. Bettinger, H. F. Schaefer, P. v. R. Schleyer. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, **125**, 6746–6752.
- 27 A. T. Balaban, D. J. Klein. J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 19123– 19133.
- 28 M. Solà. Front. Chem., 2013, 1, 22.
- 29 A. T. Balaban, D. J. Klein. J. Phys. Chem. C., 2009, 113, 19123– 19133.
- 30 J. C. S. Costa, R. M. Campos, L. M. S. S. Lima, M.A. V. R. da Silva, L. M. N. B. F. Santos. J. Phys. Chem. A., 2021, **125**, 3696–3709.
- 31 Z. Wen, J. I. Wu. Chem. Commun., 2020, 56, 2008-2011.
- 32 J. I. Wu, J. E. Jackson, P. v. R. Schleyer. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 13526–13529.

- 33 E. Clar. Polycyclic hydrocarbons, Academic Press, London, 1964.
- 34 A. Misra, D. J. Klein, T. Morikawa. J. Phys. Chem. A., 2009, 113, 1151–1158.
- 35 G. Portella, J. Poater, M. Solà. J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2005, 18, 785–791.
- 36 E. Paulus, F. J. J. Leusen, M. U. Schmidt. *CrystEngComm.*, 2007, 9, 131–143.
- 37 G. Lincke, H.-U. Finzel. Cryst. Res. Technol., 1996, 31, 441–452.
- 38 G. D. Potts, W. Jones, J. F. Bullock, S. J. Andrew, S. J. Maginn. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1994, 22, 2565–2566.
- 39 J. Mizuguchi, T. Sasaki, K. Tojo. Z. Kristallogr. NCS., 2002, 217, 249–250.
- 40 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg. J. Chem. Phys., 2010, **132**, 154104.
- 41 M. P. Andersson, P. Uvdal. J. Phys. Chem. A., 2005, 109, 2937– 2941.
- 42 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 16; Revision C.01; Gaussian, Inc. Wallingford, CT, 2016.
- 43 J. P. Perdew, Y. Wang. *Phys. Rev. B.*, 1992, **45**, 13244–13249.
- 44 W. Kutzelnigg. Isr. J. Chem., 1980, 19, 193–200.
- 45 P. v. R. Schleyer, C. Maerker, A. Dransfeld, H. Jiao, N. J. R. v. E. Hommes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 6317–6318.
- 46 Z. Chen, C. S. Wannere, C. Corminboeuf, R. Puchta, P. v. R.
- Schleyer. Chem. Rev., 2005, 105, 3842–3888.
- 47 A. Stanger. Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2020, 21, 3120–3127.
- 48 R. Gershoni-Poranne, A. Stanger, In *Aromaticity: Modern Computational Methods and Applications*, ed. I. Fernandez, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1st edn., 2021, ch. 4, pp. 99–154.
- 49 B. J. Lampkin, P. B. Karadokov, B. VanVeller. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 19275–19281.
- 50 H. Fliegl, S. Taubert, O. Lehtonen, D. Sundholm. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 20500–20518.
- 51 E. Runge, E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1984, 52, 997-1000.
- 52 A. D. Laurent, D. Jacquemin. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 2013, **113**, 2019–2039.
- 53 J.-L. Bredas. *Mater. Horiz.*, 2014, **1**, 17–19.