RSC Advances

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

REVIEW

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 35191

Received 27th April 2017 Accepted 8th July 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra04715k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Limonoids, a group of highly oxygenated triterpenoids, mainly exist in the Rutaceae and Meliaceae plant families.¹ When they first attracted people's attention, limonoids were considered a major problem for the citrus juice industry due to the bitter principles through the biochemical transformation of a tasteless limonoid aglycone precursor to a bitter one.² Tetranortriterpenoids is an alternative name for limonoids because in the process of oxidative changes of triterpenoids, the side chain is eventually oxidized to an α -substituted furyl ring by the loss of four carbon atoms.³ Basic limonoids contain the 4,4,8 trimethyl-17-furyl steroidal signature-skeleton, and all members of the family of limonoid natural products either contain this structure or are derived from such a precursor with different degrees of oxidation and skeletal rearrangement. **EVALUATION SECTION S**

Research Institute of Pesticidal Design & Synthesis, College of Chemistry & Pharmacy, Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi Province, P. R. China. E-mail: orgxuhui@nwsuaf.edu.cn

Recent progress in the chemistry and biology of limonoids

Yuanyuan Zhang and Hui Xu^{D*}

This review covers the isolation and structure determination of limonoids reported during 2014–2016 (with 363 new compounds in 68 papers), together with the relevant biological activities and source organisms. Furthermore, the total synthesis and structural modifications of limonoids and their analogs regarding the bioactivities reported during 2011–2016 have also been summarised.

> Limonoids are classified into different subcategories such as ring-intact limonoids, ring-seco limonoids, degraded limonoids, and highly oxidatively modified limonoids.⁴ Limonoids exhibited a wide spectrum of biological properties including cytotoxic,⁵⁻⁸ antioxidant,^{9,10} antiinflammatory,^{11,12} neuroprotective,^{13,14} antiviral,¹⁵ antimicrobial,^{16,17} antiprotozoal,¹⁸ antimalarial, $19-21$ insect antifeedant, $22-26$ and insecticidal activities.²⁷–²⁹ The present review highlights the advances of limonoids in regard to isolation, total synthesis, and structural modifications with the relevant biological properties.

2. Reviews

Some excellent reviews on various aspects of limonoids studies are listed here. Overview of the distribution and chemistry of limonoids in plants kingdom was collated in $2006¹$ A comprehensive review of 'Meliaceous limonoids: chemistry and biological activities' has appeared in 2011.⁴ Topics on the chemistry and pharmacological activities of some limonoids have also been presented.³⁰⁻³⁵ In 2011, biosynthesis and total

Yuanyuan Zhang was born and raised in Shanxi Province, China. She received her BSc from Shanxi Agricultural University in 2015. In the same year, she joined Prof. Hui Xu's group to pursue her master studies at the College of Chemistry and Pharmacy, Northwest A&F University. Currently, she works in the semisynthesis of limonoids as insecticidal agents.

Prof./Dr Hui Xu was born and raised in Zhejiang Province, China. He obtained his PhD under the supervision of Prof. Yan-Guang Wang at Zhejiang University. He then joined the group of Prof. Bruno Figadere at University of Paris-Sud 11 as a postdoctoral fellow. Since 2008, he holds the position of full professor at Northwest A&F University. Up to now, he has published over 90 peer-reviewed

SCI articles. His main research interests are on the activity-guided isolation, structural modifications and structure-activity relationships (SARs) of natural bioactive products.

synthesis of limonoid natural products from an organic synthesis perspective were reviewed.^{36,37} On the other hand, it is noteworthy that some interesting limonoids with their relevant biological activities on annual reviews of 'Marine natural products' (covering 2011-2014)³⁸⁻⁴¹ and 'Triterpenoids' (covering 2011–2013) have been summarised.⁴²–⁴⁴

3. Phytochemistry

To efficiently extract and isolate new limonoid natural products from plants, recently, several techniques have been developed. By combination of preparative high-speed countercurrent chromatography (HSCCC) and off-line LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis, Rodríguez-Rivera et al. reported a new chromatographic technique to detect very low concentrated natural products from Citrus limetta peels; moreover, four detected limonoid glucosides such as nomilinic acid glucoside, limonin glucoside, nomilin glucoside and obacunone glucoside, were easily recovered in the fast eluting.⁴⁵ Haldar et al. developed the medium pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) and LC-ESI-MS/MS-based technique to quickly isolate, identify and obtain some basic limonoids such as azadirone, epoxyazadiradione and azadiradione from neem fruits in preparative scale.⁴⁶ The LC-HRMS-guided and preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (prep-HPLC)-based protocol was efficiently performed to isolate twenty-one secondary metabolites (including one limonoid, 1-O-methylclausenolide) from the leaves and stem bark extracts of Clausena anisata.⁴⁷ Recently, supercritical $CO₂$ extraction has been applied to obtain Review **Solution**

Specializer is the contrast article in a significal spin and its licentifical scatter in which common and the different common and the set of common and the set of common and the set of common and the s

limonoid extracts from the seeds of C. aurantifolia swingle in shorter time when compared with that of the conventional methods.⁴⁸ De Paula et al. reported an inexpensive and quick ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) and HPLC-photodiode array detector (PDA) technique to extract and determine azadirachtin from dried entire fruits of Azadirachta indica A. Juss (Meliaceae).⁴⁹ More recently, Rangiah et al. have developed an ultra high performance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry/selected reaction monitoring (UHPLC/MS/SRM) assay for quantification of five neem metabolites $(e.g., azadir$ achtin A, nimbin, salanin, azadiradione and epoxy or hydroxyazadiradione) from leaf extracts of Meliaceae family plants.⁵⁰

With the development of technology, during 2014–2016, a wide array of new limonoid natural products were isolated from different parts of plants. Recent advances on the isolation and structure determination of limonoids, together with their relevant biological activities are presented according to their source organisms such as Meliaceae, Rutaceae, Euphorbiaceae and Simaroubaceae families.

3.1. Meliaceae

3.1.1. Trichilia. As shown in Fig. 1, 25 new limonoids were isolated from Trichilia genus. For example, trichiconin A–C 1– 3, ⁵¹ trichiliton I 4, ⁵² 12-deacetoxyltrijugin A 5, ⁵² trichiconlides A 6 and B 7, ⁵³ together with spirotrichilins A 8 and B 9 (ref. 54) were isolated from different parts (e.g., twigs, roots and fruits) of Trichilia connaroides. Hypothetical biosynthetic pathways for 1– 3, 6, 8 and 9 were also proposed. Rubescins D 10 and E 11 were

Fig. 2 Limonoids 26–33 from Swietenia genus.

obtained from the roots and stem barks of T. rubescens.⁵⁵ Compounds 2 and 3 showed modest anti-HIV activities with EC_{50} values of 5.9, and 3.6 μ M, respectively; whereas compound

6 showed a moderate inhibitory effect on lipopolysaccharide (LPS) induced nitric oxide (NO) production with an IC_{50} value of 40.5 μ M. Compound 11 possessed the ability to induce

Fig. 3 Limonoids 34–64 from Cipadessa genus.

apoptosis in hepatoma cells.⁵⁶ Ethanolic extracts of the roots of T. sinensis afforded four new limonoids, trichinenlides U–X 12– 15, which showed weak acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitory

activity at 50 mg mL^{-1} (their inhibition ratios: 18.8% (12), 21.2% (13), 18.5% (14), and 23.7% (15)).⁵⁷ Ten cedrelone limonoids 16–25 were isolated from the leaves of T. Americana. The

Fig. 4 Limonoids 65–86 from Chukrasia genus.

structure of 16 was determined by X-ray crystallographic studies. Compounds 20–25 exhibited potent or selective cytotoxic activities with IC_{50} values ranging from 1.0 to 39.6 μ M against five human tumor cell lines (e.g., HL-60, SMMC-7721, A-549, MCF-7, and SW480).⁵⁸

3.1.2. Swietenia. Swietemacrophin 26 (ref. 59) and swielimonoids A–F 27–32 (ref. 60) (Fig. 2) were isolated from the seeds of Swietenia macrophylla which is a tropical timber tree natively distributed throughout tropical regions of the Americas, mainly in Mexico, Bolivia and Central America. 2-Methoxykhayseneganin E 33 (Fig. 2) was obtained from the leaves and twigs of S. mahagoni.⁶¹ Compound 26 showed potent inhibition against LPS-induced NO generation (IC₅₀: 33.45 μ M), and compound 28 exhibited significant antidengue virus 2 activity (EC₅₀: 7.2 μ M).

3.1.3. Cipadessa. As described in Fig. 3, 6 methyl angolensate type limonoids, cipaferen E–J 34–39, and 3 mexicanolide-type limonoids, cipaferen K–M 40–42 were isolated from the seeds of Cipadessa baccifera.⁶² 3-De(2methylbutanoyl)-3-propanoylcipadesin 43, ⁶³ cineracipadesin G 44, ⁶⁴ cipacinoids A–D 45–48, ⁶⁵ trijugin-type limonoids ciparasins A–G 49–55, ⁶⁶ cipadesin-type limonoids ciparasins H–O 56– 63, ⁶⁶ and prieurianin-type limonoid ciparasins P 64 (ref. 66) were isolated from the fruits, branches and leaves of C. cinerascens. The absolute configurations of 45 and 47 were unambiguously confirmed by the solid evidence of X-ray crystallography.⁶⁵ Interestingly, compounds 53–55 contained a rare γ -hydroxylbutenolide moiety at C-17 position.⁶⁶

Compound 37 displayed potent cytotoxic activity against B– 16 with an IC₅₀ value of 8.51 µg mL⁻¹.⁶² Compound 44 showed the potent antifeedant activity against fruit fly (Drosophila melanogaster; antifeedant index (AI) at 1 mM: 32.8%).⁶⁴ Compound 45 (IC₅₀: 16.7 µM) displayed moderate inhibition activity against protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B).⁶⁵ Compounds 50 $(EC_{50}: 5.5 \mu M)$ and 64 $(EC_{50}: 6.1 \mu M)$ showed significant anti-HIV activities.⁶⁶

3.1.4. Chukrasia. As shown in Fig. 4 and 5, 26 new phragmalin-type limonoids (including velutinasins A–H 65– 72, ⁶⁷ velutinalide C 73, ⁶⁸ tabulalin K–M 74–76, ⁶⁹ velutabularins K–M 77–79, ⁷⁰ chukbularisins A–E 80–84, ⁷¹ tabularisins S 85 and T 86, ⁷² chuklarisin A 87 and chuklarisin B 88, ⁷³ and chukvelutilide Y 89 and Z 90 (ref. 74)), and 2 new mexicanolide-type limonoids (ivorenoid G 91 and andirolide Q 92 (ref. 74)) were isolated from the seeds, twigs, stem barks, and leaves of Chukrasia tabularis. The absolute configurations of 65-68 were determined by the CD exciton chirality method. Compounds 65–68, ⁶⁷ 89 and 90 (ref. 74) were a rare class of C15-acyl phragmalin-type limonoids, especially compounds 66–68 contained a δ -lactone ring formed between C-16 and C-30 positions. 67 The steric structure of 77 was further confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction.⁷⁰ **EXAMPELIES ARE ARROWS ARTHUR CONSULTER COMPOUND CONSULTER ARTICLE CONSULTER ARTICLE COMPOUND ARTICLE COMPOUND ARE COMPOUND ARTICLE COMPOUND ARE COMPOUND ATTENTION CONSULTER ARTICLE CONSULTER ARTICLE CONSULTER ARTICLE CON**

Compound ⁶⁵ exhibited significant inhibition activity against LPS-induced NF-kB production. It suggested that the ortho ester group and/or the 2,7-dioxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane moiety in these phragmalin limonoids were crucial for the activities.⁶⁷

Fig. 5 Limonoids 87-92 from Chukrasia genus.

Compounds 81-84, 86, and 88 exhibited significant inhibitory activities against α -glucosidase in vitro with IC₅₀ values of 0.06, 0.04, 0.52, 1.09, 0.15, and 0.96 mM, respectively.⁷¹–⁷³

3.1.5. Walsura. Nine new cedrelone limonoids 93–101 (Fig. 6), including walsuranolide B 93, 11b-hydroxy-23-O-methylwalsuranolide 94, yunnanolide A 95, yunnanol A 96, 11b-

Fig. 6 Limonoids 93-128 from Walsura genus.

hydroxyisowalsuranolide 97, 11b-hydroxy-1,2-dihydroisowalsuranolide 98, 1 α ,11 β -dihydroxy-1,2-dihydroisowalsuranolide 99, 11b-hydroxy-1a-methoxy-1,2-dihydroisowalsuranolide 100 and yunnanolide B 101, were isolated from the leaves and twigs of Walsura yunnanensis. ⁷⁵ As shown in Fig. 6, walsucochinoids C–R 102–117 were obtained from the twigs and leaves of W. cochinchinensis. The steric structures of 102 and 111 were

determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments.⁷⁶ The isolation of walsunoids A-I 118-126,⁷⁷ and walsuronoids D 127 and E 128 (ref. 78) from the leaves of W. robusta were reported (Fig. 6). Among them, compound 118 is a novel degradation product of cedrelone-type limonoids, and 126 is a rare cedrelone-type limonoid amide. The structure of 121 was unambiguously measured by X-ray diffraction.

Fig. 7 Limonoids 129-162 from Toona genus.

Compounds 95, 97, 127 and 128 exhibited potent cytotoxic activities against five human tumor cell lines (e.g., HL-60, SMMC-7721, A-549, MCF-7, and SW480) with IC_{50} values in

the range of 2.2–4.5 μ M.^{75,78} Compounds 103 and 104 exhibited mild inhibitory activities against mouse and human 11β -HSD1 with IC₅₀ values of 13.4 and 8.25 μ M, respectively.⁷⁶

Fig. 8 Limonoids 163-187 from Carapa genus.

3.1.6. Toona. As shown in Fig. 7, a 9,11-seco limonoid (toonasecone A 129),⁷⁹ four B-seco-29-nor-limonoids (toonaciliatones A-D 130-133),⁸⁰ and seven B-seco-limonoids (toonaciliatones E–H 134–137) $^{\text{80}a}$ and ciliatonoids A–C 137a–137c (ref. 80b) were isolated from the stem barks and the twigs of Toona ciliata. The absolute configurations of α , β -unsaturated ketone moiety of 130 and 134-136 were confirmed by CD exciton chirality method and electronic circular dichroism calculation.^{80a} Compound 137b was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.^{80b} In addition, toonasinenines A-J 138-147, ⁸¹ toonasinemines A–L 148–159, ⁸² and toonasins A–C 160– 162 (ref. 83) were obtained from the leaves and the root barks of T. sinensis. It is noteworthy that compounds 148–154, and 160– 162 contained the rare lactam moiety at C-17 position.^{82,83}

Compound 160 was characterized by X-ray crystallographic analyses.⁸³

Compound 132 exhibited modest cytotoxicity against HL-60 $(IC₅₀: 5.38 \mu M)$ and HepG2 cells $(IC₅₀: 5.22 \mu M).⁸⁰ Compounds$ 141, 142 and 144–147 showed potent radical scavenging activities (DPPH IC₅₀: 51.3-104.0 µM; ABTS⁺ IC₅₀: 52.2-167.3 µM); compounds 138-141 exhibited significant anti-inflammatory (selective inhibition of Cox-1 and Cox-2 at 100 μ M: >88%), and cytotoxic activities against seven human tumor cell lines $(IC_{50}:$ 2.1-14.7 μ M).⁸¹ Compounds 148 (IC₅₀: 10.21 μ M), 149 (IC₅₀: 20.05 μ M), 153 (IC₅₀: 12.56 μ M), 155 (IC₅₀: 12.56 μ M) and 156 (IC₅₀: 20.68 μ M) exhibited marked inhibitory effects on NO production in LPS-activated RAW 264.7 macrophages at nontoxic concentration.⁸²

Fig. 9 Limonoids 188-204 from Munronia genus.

Review **RSC Advances** RSC Advances **RSC Advances**

3.1.7. Carapa. As described in Fig. 8, 22 carapanolides C–X 163–184 (ref. 84–87) were isolated from the seeds of Carapa guianasis, a traditional medicine in Brazil and Latin American countries. The structure of 174 was unambiguously confirmed by single crystal X-ray measurements. Andirolides W–Y 185–187 (ref. 88) were obtained from the flower oil of C . guianasis. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic analyses using 1D/2D NMR spectra and FABMS. Among them, compounds 170 (IC₅₀: 37.4 μ M), 180 (IC₅₀: 22.0 μ M), and 181

 $(IC₅₀: 23.3 \mu M)$ showed potent NO production inhibitory activities.^{85,87}

3.1.8. Munronia. As shown in Fig. 9, 17 munronins A–Q 188–204 (ref. 89 and 90) were isolated from the whole plants of Munronia henryi. The structure of 195 was confirmed by singlecrystal X-ray diffraction analysis.⁸⁹ Interestingly, compound 188 contained a novel 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane moiety at the C-11 and C-14 positions. Among them, compounds 189, 195–199, and 202-204 showed significant anti-TMV activity with IC_{50}

Fig. 10 Limonoids 205–230 from Khaya genus.

values in the range of 14.8–48.3 $\mu\mathrm{g\;mL}^{-1}$.^{89,90} Compound 188 (IC₅₀ values: 0.44-2.3 μ M) exhibited potent cytotoxic activities against five cancer cell lines (e.g., HL-60, SMMC-7721, A-549, MCF-7, and SW480).⁸⁹

3.1.9. Khaya. As shown in Fig. 10, 14,15-didehydroruageanin A 205,⁹¹ 3-O-methylbutyrylseneganolide A 206,⁹¹ and ivorenoids A–F 207–212 (ref. 92) were isolated from the fruits and stems of Khaya ivorensis. Compounds 207 and 208

Fig. 11 Limonoids 231–255 from Xylocarpus genus.

possessed a rare rearranged skeleton and a unique γ -lactone (C-16/C-8). Additionally, khaysenelide A–F 213–218 (ref. 93) with modified furyl ring, and khasenegasins O-Z 219-230 (ref. 94) were obtained from the stem barks and seeds of K. senegalensis, respectively. Compounds 213 and 215 were confirmed by singlecrystal X-ray crystallography data.

Fig. 12 Limonoids 256–299 from Aphanamixis genus.

Compounds 209 (IC₅₀: 15.3 μ M) and 212 (IC₅₀: 17.5 μ M) exhibited moderate cytotoxic activity against HL-60 cell line.⁹² Compound 230 displayed the significant neuroprotective activity against glutamate-induced injury in primary rat cerebellar granule neuronal cells with increased viability of 83.3% at 10 μ M and 80.3% at 1 μ M.⁹⁴

3.1.10. Xylocarpus. As depicted in Fig. 11, 3 new limonoids, 2,3-dideacetylxyloccensin S 231, 30-deacetylxyloccensin W 232 and 7-hydroxy-3-oxo-21β-methoxy-24,25,26,27-tetranortirucall-1,14-dien-23(21)-lactone 233, were isolated from the seeds of the Chinese mangrove, Xylocarpus granatum.⁹⁵ With an investigation conducted on the seeds of the Trang mangrove plant X. moluccensis, two phragmalins limonoids 234 and 235, ⁹⁶ two mexicanolides limonoids 236 and 237, ⁹⁶ twelve thaixylomolins G–R 238–249, 96,97 and six trangmolins A–F 250–255 (ref. 98) were obtained. The absolute stereostructures of 246, 248 and 250 were unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis. Compound 249 was the first 7-nor-limonoid with a 6oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-one motif. Compound 254 contained the first oxidative cleavage on the C2–C3 bond in limonoids. Moreover, the biosynthetic origins of 250–255 traced back to a andirobin-type limonoid with 1,2-bisketone were also proposed.⁹⁸ **ESC**

Accelered on 13 26 (C_{Co}: 15.3 (M) and 212 (C_O: 17.5 (M)

continued access accelered temperature contents are contents are composed temperature contents are composed under a Creative Commons Article is licensed

Among them, compound 240 (IC₅₀: 77.1 μ M) exhibited moderate anti-H1N1 activity;⁹⁶ compound 247 showed moderate cytotoxicities against ovarian A2780 and A2780/T cells with equal IC₅₀ values of 37.5 μ M for each.⁹⁷

Fig. 14 Chisotrijugin 312 from Chisocheton genus.

3.1.11. Aphanamixis. As shown in Fig. 12, 30 new highly oxygenated prieurianin-type limonoids, zaphaprinins A–Y 256– 280 (ref. 99) and aphagranols D–H 281–285, ¹⁰⁰ were isolated from the fruits of Aphanamixis grandifolia, which is a wild timber tree distributed mainly in the tropical and subtropical areas of South and Southeast Asia. The absolute configuration of 256 was assigned by single crystal X-ray measurements. On the other hand, 8 aphanamixoid-type aphanamixoids C–J 286– 293, and 6 prieurianin-type aphanamixoids K–P 294–299, were obtained from A. polystachya.¹⁰¹

Among them, compounds 264 and 274 showed strong insecticidal activities against Plutella xylostella.⁹⁹ Compounds 286, 289 and 290 exhibited potent antifeedant activities against the generalist *Helicoverpa armigera* with EC_{50} values of 0.017, 0.008, and 0.012 μ mol cm⁻², respectively. Preliminary structure–activity relationship indicated that Δ (ref. 2 and 30)

Fig. 13 Limonoids 300-311 from Melia genus.

configuration and the acetoxy substituent at C-12 position were vital to the antifeedant activity.¹⁰¹

3.1.12. Melia. As described in Fig. 13, 8 new limonoids, including 3-deacetyl-28-oxosalannolactone 300, 3-deacetyl-28 oxosalanninolide 301, 3-deacetyl-17-defurano-17,28-dioxosalannin 3**02**, 3-deacetyl-4′-demethylsalannin 3**03**, 3-deacetyl-28oxosalannin 304, 1-detigloylohchinolal 305, 3a-acetoxy-1a,7adihydroxy-12a-methoxynimbolinin 306, and 3a-acetoxy-1a,12adihydroxy-7a-(2-methylprop-2-enoyl)nimbolinin 307, were isolated from the leaves, fruits and stem barks of Melia azedarach.¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁴ Recently, an investigation on the fruits of M. toosendan resulted in four new limonoids, such as 1a,7a-dihydroxyl-3a-acetoxyl-12a-ethoxylnimbolinin 308, ¹⁰⁵ 1a-tigloyloxy-3a-acetoxyl-7ahydroxyl-12β-ethoxylnimbolinin **309,**¹⁰⁵ and 12-ethoxynimbolinins E 310 and F 311.¹⁰⁶

Among them, compound 300 (IC₅₀: 86.0 μ M) showed inhibitory effects against LPS-induced NO production in RAW 264.7 cell line; the IC_{50} values of compounds 301 and 302 against the Epstein-Barr virus early antigen (EBV-EA) were 299 and 318 molar ratio/32 pmol TPA, respectively.¹⁰² Compound 309 (MIC: 31.25 μ g mL⁻¹) exhibited the potent antibiotic activity against Porphyromonas gingivalis ATCC 33277.¹⁰⁵

3.1.13. Chisocheton. A new 30-nor trijugin-type limonoid, chisotrijugin 312 (Fig. 14), was isolated from the bark of Chisocheton cuminganus.¹⁰⁷ The chemical structure of 312 was confirmed by spectroscopic techniques such as UV, IR, MS, 1D and 2D NMR.

3.1.14. Neobeguea. As described in Fig. 15, 11 new limonoids, namely, dodoguin 313, dormir A-G 314-320,¹⁰⁸ libiguins A 321, libiguins B (a) 322 and libiguins B (b) 323, ¹⁰⁹ were isolated from the root barks of Neobeguea mahafalensis, a medicinal plant in Madagascar. Interestingly, compounds 318, and 321–323 contained a $C-16/30$ δ -lactone ring, which was the first time reported in this species. Compounds 322 and 323 were existing in tautomers. Among them, compound 313 displayed sleep-inducing activity in Swiss albino mice;

Fig. 15 Limonoids 313-323 from Neobeguea genus.

and compound 322 exhibited a potent sexual enhancing activity.

3.1.15. Entandrophragma. Sixteen entangolensins A–P 324–339 (Fig. 16) were isolated from the stem barks of Entandrophragma angolensea, a genus of the Meliaceae family restricted to tropical Africa.^{110a} Their planar structures were comprehensively characterized by HRMS and 1D/2D NMR, and the absolute configurations of most isolates were established by time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) calculations of the electronic circular dichroism (ECD) data. Especially compound 324 was the first natural product example of $C-9/10$ seco mexicanolide. Compounds 329 (IC₅₀: 1.75 μ M) and 334

 $(IC₅₀: 7.94 \mu M)$ exhibited significant NO inhibitory activities against LPS-activated RAW 264.7 macrophages. Furthermore, the plausible biosynthetic pathway of these compounds has been described.

Additionally, as shown in Fig. 16, entanutilin A (339a) and B (339b) were isolated from the stem barks of Entandrophragma utile.^{110b} Their absolute configurations were confirmed by CD exciton chirality method.

3.2. Rutaceae

3.2.1. Hortia. As shown in Fig. 17, 3 new limonoids 340–342 were isolated from the taproots and stem of Hortia oreadica.¹¹¹

Fig. 16 Limonoids 324–339, 339a, and 339b from Entandrophragma genus.

3.2.2. Dictamnus. As described in Fig. 17, kihadanin C 343 with an unusual 3,4-dihydroxy-2,5-dimethoxytetrahydrofuran moiety as E ring, and 23-methoxydasylactone A 344, were isolated from the root barks of *Dictamnus dasycarpus*.¹¹² Meanwhile, 9 dictangustones A–I 345–353, were obtained from the root barks of *D. angustifolius*.^{113,114} Among them, compound 346 displayed significant neuroprotective activity against neuronal death induced by oxidative stress, and compound 352 exhibited potent cytotoxic activities against four cell lines (e.g., Hela, A549, MCF7, and LN229) with IC₅₀ values lower than 25 μ M.

3.3. Euphorbiaceae

Two highly oxygenated limonoids, such as flexuosoids A 354 and B 355 (Fig. 18), with a C-19/29 lactol bridge and heptaoxygenated substituents at C-1, C-2, C-3, C-7, C-11, C-17, and C-30 positions,

Fig. 18 Limonoids 354 and 355 from Euphorbiaceae family.

Fig. 17 Limonoids 340–353 from Rutaceae family.

were isolated from the roots of Phyllanthus flexuosus.¹¹⁵ Compounds 354 and 355 showed antifeedant activities against the beet army worm (Spodoptera exigua) with EC_{50} values of 25.1 and 17.3 μ g cm $^{-2}$, respectively. In addition, compounds 354 (IC $_{50}$: 11.5 μ M) and 355 (IC₅₀: 8.5 μ M) displayed moderate cytotoxic activities against the ECA109 human esophagus cancer cell line.

3.4. Simaroubaceae

As shown in Fig. 19, two new 16-nor limonoids, harperspinoids A 356 and B 357, with a unique 7/5/5/6/5 ring system, were obtained from the leaves and branches of Harrisonia perforata.¹¹⁶ Especially the absolute structure of 356 was further confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis. Moreover, compound 356 exhibited the notable inhibitory activity against the 11β -HSD1 enzyme with an IC₅₀ value of 0.60 μ M. The biogenetic pathway of these two compounds was also proposed. Perforanoid A 358 (Fig. 19), isolated from the leaves of H. perforata, showed cytotoxic activities against HEL, K562, CB3, DP17, and WM9 tumor cell lines (IC₅₀: 4.24–25.96 μ M).¹¹⁷

4. Total synthesis

4.1. Cipadonoid B

In 2011, an efficient strategy for the total synthesis of cipadonoid B 359 was reported (Scheme 1). First, compound 361 was

prepared by reaction of silyl enol ether with 360. Then, azedaralide 363 reacted with 361 via the intermediate 362, to give 359 (20% yield) by a ketal-Claisen rearrangement.¹¹⁸

4.2. Khayasin, proceranolide, and mexicanolide

Based upon cipadonoid B 359,¹¹⁸ Faber et al. further reported a concise and enantioselective total synthesis of proceranolide 364, khayasin 365 and mexicanolide 366 (Scheme 2). First, compound 364 was obtained from 370 by epoxidation and cyclization; then, compound 365 was afforded by acylation of 364; finally, conversion of 364 to 366 in the presence of Jones reagent was achieved.¹¹⁹

4.3. Limonin

As depicted in Scheme 3, Yamashita et al. described the total synthesis of (\pm) -limonin 379 in 35 steps. *Via* an intermediate 372, a tandem radical cyclization of geraniol 371 gave 373 containing a BCD ring system with the $C-13\alpha$ configuration. Then, the limonoid androstane framework 374 was constructed by a Robinson annulation of 373. Subsequently, compound 376 was produced by epoxidation and nitrile addition, followed by acetonitrile elimination. Through a singlet-oxygen cycloaddition and a Baeyer–Villiger oxidation, compound 378 was obtained via the intermediate 377. Finally, construction of target compound 379 was achieved by a Suarez reaction.¹²⁰ This study

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: (a) silyl enol ether, TiCl₄, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C; (b) KH, PhH; (c) MeOTf, CH₂Cl₂; (d) azedaralide 363, PTSA, xylenes, 180 °C, 4 h.

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) KHMDS, THF, -78 °C, then (-)-DIP-Cl, (ii) 3-furylaldehyde, 33-44% yield, 80-90% ee; (b) (+)-DIP-Cl, DIPEA, 2-butanone, Et₂O, -78 °C, then -105 °C to -30 °C, 16 h, 47% yield, 92.5% ee; (c) TsOH, xylenes, 180 °C, 4 h; (d) 30% H₂O₂, K₂CO₃, MeOH, 0 °C to r.t., 12 h, 75%; (e) Al/Hg, EtOH/THF/H₂O/NaHCO₃, r.t., 1 h, 30%; (f) isobutyric acid, EDCI, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to r.t., 4 h, 71%; (g) K₂Cr₂O₇/ H₂SO₄, Me₂CO, r.t., 15 min, 68%.

Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: (a) Mn(OAc)₃·2H₂O, EtOH, r.t.; (b) Zn, AcOH, r.t.; (c) MVK, tBuOK, tBuOH, 35 °C; (d) MeI, tBuOK, tBuOH, 40 °C; (e) LiAlH₄, THF, 0 °C to reflux; (f) TBSCl, NaH, THF, 0 °C to r.t.; (g) Ac₂O, pyridine, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, r.t.; (h) m-CPBA, NaHCO₃, CH₂Cl₂, -20 °C to -5 °C; (i) NaCN, DMSO, 120 °C; (j) Ac₂O, pyridine, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, r.t.

Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: (a) 2-methylpropenal (1.0 equiv.), aminonaphthol (15 mol%), 2-butyne (2.0 equiv.), Cy2BH (2.0 equiv.), Me₂Zn (2.0 equiv.), toluene, r.t. to -78 °C then -30 °C; (b) 381 or 382, LDA, THF, -78 °C; (c) Burgess reagent.

Fig. 20 Biotransformation of limonoids.

will lay the foundation for future synthesis of diverse limonoid skeletons.

4.4. Perforanoid A and 10-epi-perforanoid A

More recently, as shown in Scheme 4, Hao et al. developed an efficient way to total synthesis of perforanoid A 358 and 10-epiperforanoid A 386. The key steps were as follows: allylic alcohol 384 was enantioselectively obtained by alkenylation of 3-formylfuran 383 with 2-methylpropenal; then, Pd-catalyzed coupling of 384 with a vinyl ether gave the γ -lactone ring, with stereoselective construction of the C13 all carbon quaternary center, followed by formation of the cyclopentenone ring by a Rh-catalyzed Pauson-Khand reaction to give 385. Finally, reaction of 385 with 381 or 382 produced 358 (33% yield) and 386 (36% yield), respectively.¹¹⁷ Compound 358 showed potent cytotoxic activities against HEL, K562, and CB3 tumor cell lines with IC₅₀ values of 6.17, 4.24, and 3.91 μ M, respectively; in contrast, compound 386 did not display any cytotoxic activity.

5. Structural modifications

5.1. Biocatalytic modifications

Biotransformation is a good choice for the production of sufficient amounts of scientifically and commercially valuable

Scheme 5 Semisynthesis of libiguin A and its analogs from phragmalin. Reagents and conditions: (a) MeNHOMe HCl, 2 M Me₃Al in Hex, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 68%; (b) TESCl, imidazole, DMF, r.t.,79%; (c) 10 M aq. KOH, THF, r.t.; (d) EDCI, DMAP, MeOH, r.t., 63%; (e) Ac₂O, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 92%; (f) TFA, DMF, r.t., 78%; (g) Ac₂O or i-PrCOCl, Py, r.t.; (h) MeNHOMe·HCl, 2 M Me₃Al in Hex, CH₂Cl₂, r.t.; (i) Dess-Martin periodinane, CH₂Cl₂, r.t.; (j) TMSOTf, CH₂Cl₂, r.t.; (k) for 405, i-butyric anhydride, TMSOTf, CH₂Cl₂, r.t.

RSC Advances **Review Advances** Review **Review Advances** Review Advanced Review **Review Advances**

compounds with the advantages of strict stereo- and regionselectivity, mild reaction conditions and simple operation procedure. As shown in Fig. 20, 8 limonoids including azadiradione 387, 1,2-dihydroazadiradione 388, 1,2a-epoxyazadiradione 389, epoxyazadiradione 390, 1,2 dihydroepoxyazadiradione 391, nimbocinol 392, 7-deacetylepoxyazadiradione 393 and gedunin 394, were converted into their corresponding 12 β - and/or 17 β -hydroxy derivatives via fungi M881-mediated biocatalysis. Interestingly, when $14\beta,15\beta$ -epoxidation was on the basic limonoid skeleton (e.g., 390, 391, 393, and 394), only 12β -hydroxy derivative was produced as the single metabolite.¹²¹

5.2. Chemical modifications

5.2.1. Phragmalin. As depicted in Scheme 5, starting from phragmalin 395 isolated from the seeds of C. tabularis, libiguin A 321 and its analogs 401, 405 and 406 were efficiently obtained by structural modification. This was based on selective aminolysis of the lactone in 395 with MeONHMe, followed by TMSOTf-promoted lactonization of the resulting Weinreb amide with the 30-OH group after protection or oxidation of the 17 -OH group. 122

5.2.2. Toosendanin. Starting from toosendanin 407, we prepared a series of 28-acyloxy derivatives of toosendanin 408– 434 (Scheme 6).123,124 Among them, compounds 410, 417 and 431 exhibited more potent insecticidal activity than 407 against the pre-third-instar larvae of Mythimna separata Walker in vivo at 1 mg mL $^{-1}$. Interestingly, it indicated that the proper length of the side chain at the 28-position of 407 was important for the insecticidal activity; however, introduction of the double bond on the side chain decreased the activity.

5.2.3. Limonin. As shown in Scheme 7, a series of limonin derivatives 436-465 were prepared by structural modifications on the A, B or D-ring of limonin 379.^{125,126} It demonstrated that oxygen bridge between C-14 and C-15 positions in limonin derivatives was important for analgesic and anti-inflammatory activities. Compound 443 displayed a promising analgesic and anti-inflammatory activities with high water-solubility (14.5 mg) mL^{-1}). Among 446-465, compounds 451 and 459 showed higher antimicrobial activities than 379 against 20 microorganisms.

5.2.4. Obacunone. As depicted in Scheme 8, we semisynthesized a series of obacunone (466) derivatives, including C7-oxime esters 468–491, C7-oxime sulfonate esters 492–497, and C7-esters 500–532.^{127–129} The structures of 480, 485, 486, 498, 499, and 518 were unambiguously determined by singlecrystal X-ray diffraction. Interestingly, when compound 466 was reduced by NaBH₄, the ratio of reductive products 498 and 499 was related to the reaction mixing solvents. In addition, compounds 472, 485, 486, 490, 495, 501 and 510 (the final mortality rates (FMRs) at 1 mg mL⁻¹: 55.2-72.4%), showed more potent insecticidal activity against M. separata than their precursor 466 (FMR: 41.4%) and toosendanin (FMR: 48.3%). It demonstrated that the configuration of C7-OH of 498 (FMR: 32.1%) and 499 (FMR: 46.4%) was important for the insecticidal activity, and introduction of a chlorine atom on the phenyl ring of the substituents could improve the activity. **EXAMPRONS ARROWS ARTICLE CONDUCT THE CONDUCT CONDUCT THE SURFACE CONDUCT CON**

5.2.5. Fraxinellone. As shown in Scheme 9, to discover more potent fraxinellone (533)-based insecticidal agents, first, in the presence of selenium dioxide or chromium trioxide, we developed an efficient method for regioselectively allylic oxidation of 533 at its C-4 or C-10 position (A ring) to afford 534 and 579, respectively; then, a series of esters 559–578 and 580–596, ¹³⁰ hydrazones 535–544 and 603–615, ¹³¹ and oxime esters 545–558 and 597–602, ¹³² were smoothly prepared. On the other hand, when reduction of 533 with Red-Al reagent, we found that the kinds and the amount of the reduction products 617, 628 and 656 at the C-1 or C-8 position (B ring) were related with the molar ratio of Red-Al/533; subsequently, esters 629–655 and 657–665, were synthesized from 628 and 656, respectively.¹³³ It was noteworthy that when compound 533 reacted with different chlorination/bromination reagents,

408: Me 409: Et 410: n-propyl 411: Ph 412: PhCl(p) 413: PhCl(m) 414: PhCl(o) 415: PhOMe(p) 416: PhNO₂(p) 417: CH=CHPh 418: PhCH₂CH₂ 419: CH₂Ph 420: CICH₂ 421: CH=CH₂ 422: (CH₃)₂CH 423: C(CH₃)=CH₂ 424: CH₃(CH₂)₃ 425: (CH₃)₂CHCH₂ 426: CH₃(CH₂)₄ 427: CH=CHCH=CHCH₃ 428: CH₃(CH₂)₅ 429: CH₃(CH₂)₆ 430: CH₃(CH₂)₉ 431: CH₃(CH₂)₁₀ 432: (Z)-CH₃(CH₂)₇CH=CH(CH₂)₇ 433: CH₃(CH₂)₇OCO(CH₂)₆ 434: CH₃(CH₂)₇OCO(CH₂)₈

Scheme 6 Semisynthesis of a series of 28-acyloxytoosendanin derivatives. Reagents and conditions: (a) (RCO)₂O/NaOAc/acetone/reflux, 10-20 h; or RCO₂H/DIC/DMAP/CH₂Cl₂/r.t., 6-12 h, 14-88%

Scheme 7 Semisynthesis of limonin derivatives. Reagents and conditions: (a) NH₂OH · HCl, pyridine, EtOH, reflux; (b) (i) RCl, NaOH, TBAB, dry THF, 80 °C; (ii) HCl, dry ether, CH₂Cl₂; (c) HI, HOAc; (d) condition (i): abs. EtOH, appropriate amine, montmorillonite K-10, microwaveassisted; condition (ii): abs. EtOH, appropriate amine, montmorillonite K-10, reflux; condition (iii): CH₂Cl₂, appropriate amine, montmorillonite K-10, ultrasonic bath; (e) R^1 Br or R^1 Cl, NaH, DMF, 0 °C to r.t.; (f) for <mark>461</mark>, sodium ascorbate, Cu(OAc)₂.H₂O, THF : H₂O (1 : 1), 1-(azidomethylene)-4-bromobenzene or 1-(azidomethylene)-4-methylbenzene, r.t., 10 h.

some unexpected furyl-ring (C ring) halogenation products 623–627 were obtained. Moreover, their possible reaction mechanism was also proposed.¹³⁴ Especially, 20 steric structures of compounds 534, 539, 544, 553, 566, 601, 611, 617, 620–628, 659, 660 and 663, were unambiguously established by X-ray analysis. Among them, compounds 534, 535, 557, 566, 575, 578, 579, 596, 598, 602, 606, 617, 620, 622, 627, 652, 653 and 665 (FMRs: 51.7–73.3%) displayed more promising insecticidal activity than toosendanin (FMR: 48.3%).

The structure–activity relationships demonstrated introduction of the carbonyl or oxime group on the C-4 position of 533 generally resulted in more promising derivatives than those containing a carbonyl or oxime one at the C-10 position; introduction of the heterocyclic fragments at C-4 or C-10 position of 533 was necessary for the insecticidal activity;

Scheme 8 Semisynthesis of B-ring modified obacunone derivatives. Reagents and conditions: (a) $NH₂OH·HCl/EtOH/Py,$ 60 °C, 2 h, 93%; (b) R¹CO₂H/DCC/DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 5–20 h, 64–97%; (c) R²SO₂Cl/Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 14–30 h, 54–89%; (d) NaBH₄; (e) (R³CO)₂O, reflux, 2 or 4 h; (f) R⁴CO₂H/DCC/DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 6-24 h, 40-97%.

the lactone (B ring) of 533 was important for the insecticidal activity; the double bond at the C-2 position of 533 was not necessary for the insecticidal activity; substitution of the oxygen atom on the carbonyl group of 533 by the sulfur one did not improve the insecticidal activity; introduction of the acyl group on the C ring of 533 could lead to more potent compounds than those containing the halogen atom at the same position.¹³⁰⁻¹³⁴

6. Biological activities of the most active limonoids

Due to exhibiting a large number of biological properties, currently, limonoids and their analogs have received much research attention in the medicinal and agricultural fields. Additionally, the most active limonoids and

535,603: COPh 536,604: COPhNO₂(p) 537,605: COPhCl(m) 538,606: 4-pyridylcarbonyl 539,607: 3-thienylcarbonyl 540,608: COCH₂CN 541,609: Ph 542,610: PhNO₂(p) 543,611: PhNO₂(o) 544,612: 2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl 613: COPhOMe(p) 614: COPhMe(m) 615: 2,4-dinitrophenyl $R¹$

545, 597: CH₃ 546, 598: CH₂CH₃ 547: CH₂CH₂CH₃ 548: (CH₂)₃CH₃ 549, 599: Ph 550, 600: PhMe(*m*) 551: PhMe(*p*) 552: PhOMe(*p*) 553, 601: PhCl(m) 554: PhCl(o) 555, 602: PhNO₂(p) 556: PhNO₂(m) 557: PhF(p) 558: PhF(m)

 R^2

559, 580: CH₃CH₂ 560, 581: CH₃(CH₂)₆ 561, 582: CH₃(CH₂)₉ 562, 583: CH₂Ph 563, 584: 1-naphthylmethylene 564, 585: Ph 565, 586: PhMe(p) 566, 587: PhF(p) 567, 588: PhF(m) 568, 589: PhCl(p) 569, 590: PhBr(m) 570, 591: PhBr(p) 571, 592: PhNO₂(m) 572, 593: PhNO₂(p) 573, 594: PhNC(p) 574, 595: pyrid-3-yl 575, 596: pyrid-4-yl 576: fur-2-yl 577: quinolin-8-yloxymethylene 578: 8-methoxyquinolin-2-yl R^3

629, 642, 657: CH₂Ph 630, 643: CH₂PhF(p) 631, 644: CH₂PhCl(p) 632, 645: CH₂PhBr(p) 633, 646, 658: 1-naphthylmethylene 634, 647, 659: Ph 635, 648, 660: PhMe(p) 636, 649, 661: PhOMe(p) 637, 650, 662: PhCN(p) 638, 651, 663: PhF(p) 639, 652, 664: PhBr(p) 640, 653: fur-2-yl 641, 654: thien-2-yl 655: pyrid-4-yl 665: PhCl(p)

Scheme 9 Semisynthesis of fraxinellone derivatives. Reagents and conditions: (a) CrO₃, Py, t-BuOOH, MW, 25 W, 25 h, 33 °C; (b) HOAc, hydrazides or hydrazines, reflux, 5–48 h; (c) NH₂OH·HCl, EtOH, Py, 80 °C; (d) R¹CO₂H, DCC, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, r.t.; (e) NaBH₄, MeOH, 0–5 °C, 1.5 h; (f) R^2/R^3CO_2H , DIC, DMAP, r.t.; (g) SeO₂, MW, 150 W, 2.5 h, 110 °C; (h) Lawessson's reagent, toluene, reflux, 12 h; (i) Red-Al, THF-PhMe, -78-10 °C, 24 h; (j) R⁴COCl, AlCl₃, r.t., 5–12 h; (k) 2.2 equiv. DCDMH, DMF, 0–5 °C, 1 h; (l) 2.2 equiv. NBS, DMF, 0–5 °C, 2 h; (m) 2.2 equiv. NCS, DMF, 0– 5 °C, 2.5 h, then 5 °C – r.t., 2.5 h.

Table 1 14 most active limonoids against human cancer cell lines

SW480 0.86

their analogs in each series against human cancer cell lines and insect pests were summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Table 2 33 most active limonoids and their analogs as insecticidal agents

Compounds	Insect pests	FMRs (at 1 mg mL^{-1})
Cineracipadesin G 44 (ref. 64)	Drosophila melanogaster	$AI^a = 32.8\%$ at 1 mM (nicotine: $AI =$ 28.5% at 1 mM)
Aphanamixoids C 286 (ref. 101)	Helicoverpa armigera	$EC_{50}{}^{b} = 9.27 \text{ µg cm}^{-2}$
Aphanamixoids F 289 (ref. 101)		$EC_{50} = 4.28 \text{ µg cm}^{-2}$
Aphanamixoids G 290 (ref. 101)		$EC_{50} = 6.82 \text{ µg cm}^{-2}$ (neem oil: $EC_{50} =$ 2.62 µg cm ⁻²)
Flexuosoids A 354 (ref. 115)	Spodoptera exigua	$ED_{50}^{\circ} = 25.1 \text{ µg cm}^{-2}$
Flexuosoids B 355 (ref. 115)		$ED_{50} = 17.3 \text{ µg cm}^{-2}$
410 (ref. 123)	Pre-third-instar larvae of Mythimna separata	73.1% toosendanin: 50.0%
417 (ref. 123)		61.5%
431 (ref. 124)	Pre-third-instar larvae of M. separata	63.0%
472 (ref. 127)	Pre-third-instar larvae	62.1%
485 (ref. 127)	of <i>M. separata</i>	72.4%
486 (ref. 127)		65.5%
490 (ref. 127)		62.1%
566 (ref. 131)	Pre-third-instar larvae	63.0%
575 (ref. 131)	of <i>M. separata</i>	66.7%
578 (ref. 131)		63.0%
534 (ref. 132)	Pre-third-instar larvae	73.3%
552 (ref. 132)	of M. separata	70.0%
558 (ref. 132)		73.3%
597 (ref. 132)		66.7%
598 (ref. 132)		70.0%
600 (ref. 132)		66.7%
602 (ref. 132)		70.0%
535 (ref. 130)	Pre-third-instar larvae	76.9%
536 (ref. 130)	of M. separata	65.4%
537 (ref. 130)		69.2%
540 (ref. 130)		61.5%
603 (ref. 130)		65.4%
604 (ref. 130)		61.5%
606 (ref. 130)		73.1%
608 (ref. 130)		69.2%
612 (ref. 130)		61.5%
662 (ref. 133)	Pre-third-instar larvae of M. separata	65.5%

^a Antifeedant index: AI. b EC₅₀ value: the effective concentration for 50% feeding reduction. c ED₅₀ value: the effective dosage for 50% feeding reduction.

7. Conclusions

However, the availability of limonoids is very limited from natural resources because of intensive collection of plants from the wild and long plant growth period. Although total chemical synthesis of limonoids remains a challenge of significant novelty and interest, it is not a practical option from a commercial point of view. Consequently, sustainable biotechnology and tissue culture techniques may be extensively exploited to enhance production of limonoids to meet the increasing demands. Additionally, to improve the water solubility and bioactivities, structural modifications of limonoids should be further strengthened. Review Western Homology Christian Controlling (C. H. Download Mounter (C. H. Download Mounter (C. H. Download V. C. Kim, J. N. C. Winter, Article. C. C. Kim, J. N. P. C. Winter a Creative Common Licensed university in the

In the present review, we summarised 363 new limonoid natural products isolated from plants during 2014–2016, together with their relevant biological activities and source organisms. Moreover, we highlighted recent developments in the total synthesis, and structural modifications of limonoids and their analogs regarding their bioactivities during 2011– 2016. We hope that this review can provide necessary information for synthetic, medicinal and pesticidal chemistry, and phytochemistry researchers who are interested in the chemistry and biology of limonoids.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31071737, 31171896, 31672071), and Special Funds of Central Colleges Basic Scientific Research Operating Expenses, Northwest A&F University (2452015096, YQ2013008) for generous financial support.

References

- 1 A. Roy and S. Saraf, Biol. Pharm. Bull., 2006, 29, 191–201.
- 2 V. P. Maier and G. D. Beverly, J. Food Sci., 1968, 33, 488–492.
- 3 N. T. Kipassa, T. Iwagawa, H. Okamura, M. Doe, Y. Morimoto and M. Nakatani, Phytochemistry, 2008, 69, 1782–1787.
- 4 Q. G. Tan and X. D. Luo, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 7437–7522.
- 5 S. M. Poulose, E. D. Harris and B. S. Patil, J. Nutr., 2005, 135, 870–877.
- 6 S. Ejaz, A. Ejaz, K. Matsuda and C. W. Lim, J. Sci. Food Agric., 2006, 86, 339–345.
- 7 B. T. Murphy, P. Brodie, C. Slebodnick, J. S. Miller, C. Birkinshaw, L. M. Randrianjanaka, R. Andriantsiferana, V. E. Rasamison, K. TenDyke, E. M. Suh and D. G. I. Kingston, J. Nat. Prod., 2008, 71, 325–329.
- 8 K. Awang, C. S. Lim, K. Mohamad, H. Morita, Y. Hirasawa, K. Takeya, O. Thoisone and A. H. A. Hadi, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2007, 15, 5997–6002.
- 9 J. Yu, L. M. Wang, R. L. Walzem, E. G. Miller, L. M. Pike and B. S. Patil, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2005, 53, 2009–2014.
- 10 A. P. Breksa and G. D. Manners, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2006, 54, 3827–3831.
- 11 F. Xie, M. Zhang, C. F. Zhang, Z. T. Wang, B. Y. Yu and J. P. Kou, J. Ethnopharmacol., 2008, 117, 463–466.
- 12 J. J. Chen, S. S. Huang, C. H. Liao, D. C. Wei, P. J. Sung, T. C. Wang and M. J. Cheng, Food Chem., 2010, 120, 379– 384.
- 13 J. S. Yoon, S. H. Sung and Y. C. Kim, J. Nat. Prod., 2008, 71, 208–211.
- 14 G. S. Jeong, E. Byun, B. Li, D. S. Lee, Y. C. Kim and R. B. An, Arch. Pharmacal Res., 2010, 33, 1269–1275.
- 15 E. Balestrieri, F. Pizzimenti, A. Ferlazzo, S. V. Giofre, D. Iannazzo, A. Piperno, R. Romeo, M. A. Chiacchio, A. Mastino and B. Macchi, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2011, 19, 2084–2089.
- 16 S. A. Abdelgaleil, T. Iwagawa, M. Doe and M. Nakatani, Fitoterapia, 2004, 75, 566–572.
- 17 Y. Nakai, S. Pellett, W. H. Tepp, E. A. Johnson and K. D. Janda, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2010, 18, 1280–1287.
- 18 A. E. Hay, J. R. Ioset, K. M. Ahua, D. Diallo, R. Brun and K. Hostettmann, J. Nat. Prod., 2007, 70, 9–13.
- 19 M. F. Dolabela, S. G. Oliveira, J. M. Nascimento, J. M. Peres, H. Wagner, M. M. Povoa and A. B. de Oliveira, Phytomedicine, 2008, 15, 367–372.
- 20 J. Bickii, N. Njifutie, J. A. Foyere, L. K. Basco and P. Ringwald, J. Ethnopharmacol., 2000, 69, 27–33.
- 21 K. Kaur, M. Jain, T. Kaur and R. Jain, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2009, 17, 3229–3256.
- 22 M. Nakatani, S. A. M. Abdelgaleil, J. Kurawaki, H. Okamura, T. Iwagawa and M. Doe, J. Nat. Prod., 2001, 64, 1261–1265.
- 23 X. D. Luo, S. H. Wu, D. G. Wu, Y. B. Ma and S. H. Qi, Tetrahedron, 2002, 58, 7797–7804.
- 24 G. Ruberto, A. Renda, C. Tringali, E. M. Napoli and M. S. J. Simmonds, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2002, 50, 6766– 6774.
- 25 K. Nihei, Y. Asaka, Y. Mine, Y. Yamada, M. Iigo, T. Yanagisawa and I. Kubo, J. Nat. Prod., 2006, 69, 975–977.
- 26 J. Wu, S. Zhang, T. Bruhn, Q. Xiao, H. X. Ding and G. Bringmann, Chem.–Eur. J., 2008, 14, 1129–1144.
- 27 M. Lü, W. J. Wu and H. X. Liu, Pestic. Biochem. Physiol., 2010, 98, 263–268.
- 28 G. Singh, P. J. Rup and O. Koul, Bull. Entomol. Res., 2007, 97, 351–357.
- 29 R. Fowles, B. Mootoo, R. Ramsewak, A. Khan, A. Ramsubhag, W. Reynolds and M. Nair, Pest Manage. Sci., 2010, 66, 1298–1303.
- 30 R. Tundis, M. R. Loizzo and F. Menichini, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr., 2014, 54, 225–250.
- 31 V. Paritala, K. K. Chiruvella, C. Thammineni, R. G. Ghanta and A. Mohammed, Rev. Bras. Farmacogn., 2015, 25, 61–83.
- 32 R. Gualdani, M. M. Cavalluzzi, G. Lentini and S. Habtemariam, Molecules, 2016, 21, 1530.
- 33 S. Z. Moghadamtousi, B. H. Goh, C. K. Chan, T. Shabab and H. A. Kadir, Molecules, 2013, 18, 10465–10483.
- 34 G. W. Wang, H. Z. Jin and W. D. Zhang, Phytochem. Rev., 2013, 12, 915–942.
- 35 J. A. Shilpi, S. Saha, S. L. Chong, L. Nahar, S. D. Sarker and K. Awang, Chem. Biodiversity, 2016, 13, 483–503.
- 36 X. Fang, Y. T. Di and X. J. Hao, Curr. Org. Chem., 2011, 15, 1363–1391.
- 37 B. Heasley, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 19–46.
- 38 J. W. Blunt, B. R. Copp, R. A. Keyzers, M. H. Munro and M. R. Prinsep, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2013, 30, 237–323.
- 39 J. W. Blunt, B. R. Copp, R. A. Keyzers, M. H. Munro and M. R. Prinsep, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2014, 31, 160–258.
- 40 J. W. Blunt, B. R. Copp, R. A. Keyzers, M. H. Munro and M. R. Prinsep, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2015, 32, 116–211.
- 41 J. W. Blunt, B. R. Copp, R. A. Keyzers, M. H. Munro and M. R. Prinsep, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2016, 33, 382–431.
- 42 R. A. Hill and J. D. Connolly, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2013, 30, 1028– 1065.
- 43 R. A. Hill and J. D. Connolly, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2015, 32, 273– 327.
- 44 R. A. Hill and J. D. Connolly, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2017, 34, 90– 122.
- 45 M. P. Rodríguez-Rivera, E. Lugo-Cervantes, P. Winterhalter and G. Jerz, Food Chem., 2014, 158, 139–152.
- 46 S. Haldar, P. B. Phapale, S. P. Kolet and H. V. Thulasiram, Anal. Methods, 2013, 5, 5386–5391.
- 47 S. J. N. Tatsimo, J. D. D. Tamokou, M. Lamshoft, F. Mouafo, A. Lannang, P. Sarkar, P. Bag and M. Spiteller, Med. Chem. Res., 2015, 24, 1468–1479.
- 48 G. A. Castillo-Herrera, L. J. Farías-Álvarez, J. A. García-Fajardo, J. I. Delgado-Saucedo, A. M. Puebla-Pérez and E. Lugo-Cervantes, J. Supercrit. Fluids, 2015, 101, 81–86.
- 49 J. A. M. de Paula, L. F. Brito, K. L. F. N. Caetano, M. C. de Morais Rodrigues, L. L. Borges and E. C. da Conceicao, Talanta, 2016, 149, 77–84.
- 50 K. Rangiah, B. A. Varalaxmi and M. Gowda, Anal. Methods, 2016, 8, 2020–2031.
- 51 C. P. Liu, J. B. Xu, Y. S. Han, M. A. Wainberg and J. M. Yue, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 5478–5481.
- 52 K. L. Ji, D. H. Cao, X. F. Li, J. Guo, P. Zhang and Y. K. Xu, Phytochem. Lett., 2015, 14, 234–238.
- 53 F. L. An, J. Luo, X. B. Wang, M. H. Yang and L. Y. Kong, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 1231–1235.
- 54 F. L. An, J. Luo, R. J. Li, J. G. Luo, X. B. Wang, M. H. Yang, L. Yang, H. Q. Yao, H. B. Sun, Y. J. Chen and L. Y. Kong, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 1924–1927.
- 55 T. T. Armelle, N. K. Pamela, M. Pierre, I. B. Muller, K. Marat, G. Sass and N. A. Ephrem, Med. Chem., 2016, 12, 1–7.
- 56 N. Lange, A. T. Tontsa, C. Wegscheid, P. Mkounga, A. E. Nkengfack, C. Loscher, G. Sass and G. Tiegs, PLoS One, 2016, 11, e0160843.
- 57 S. B. Liu, W. L. Mei, H. Q. Chen, Z. K. Guo, H. F. Dai and Z. N. Wang, Molecules, 2016, 21, 1152.
- 58 K. L. Ji, P. Zhang, X. N. Li, J. Guo, H. B. Hu, C. F. Xiao, X. Q. Xie and Y. K. Xu, Phytochemistry, 2015, 118, 61–67.
- 59 L. C. Chen, H. R. Liao, P. Y. Chen, W. L. Kuo, T. H. Chang, P. J. Sung, Z. H. Wen and J. J. Chen, Molecules, 2015, 20, 18551–18564.
- 60 Y. B. Cheng, Y. T. Chien, J. C. Lee, C. K. Tseng, H. C. Wang, I. W. Lo, Y. H. Wu, S. Y. Wang, Y. C. Wu and F. R. Chang, J. Nat. Prod., 2014, 77, 2367–2374.
- 61 W. M. Zhang, J. Q. Liu, Y. Y. Deng, J. J. Xia, Z. R. Zhang, Z. R. Li and M. H. Qiu, Nat. Prod. Bioprospect., 2014, 4, 53–57.
- 62 B. Siva, B. Poornima, A. Venkanna, K. R. Prasad, B. Sridhar, V. L. Nayak, S. Ramakrishna and K. S. Babu, Phytochemistry, 2014, 98, 174–182.
- 63 X. Y. Wang, C. M. Yuan, G. H. Tang, T. Zou, F. Guo, J. H. Liao, H. Y. Zhang, G. Y. Zuo, G. X. Rao, Q. Zhao, X. J. Hao and H. P. He, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2014, 16, 795–799. **Solution** Access Article is a computer Access Article is licensed on 13 25 2732. The Principle Maccess Article is licensed on 5/10/2567 2013. A computer Article is licensed under Solution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported under
	- 64 L. R. Fu, Q. Y. Ma, S. Z. Huang, H. F. Dai, Z. K. Guo, Z. F. Yu and Y. X. Zhao, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2014, 16, 1054–1059.
	- 65 J. H. Yu, Q. F. Liu, L. Sheng, G. C. Wang, J. Li and J. M. Yue, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 444–447.
	- 66 J. H. Yu, G. C. Wang, Y. S. Han, Y. Wu, M. A. Wainberg and J. M. Yue, J. Nat. Prod., 2015, 78, 1243–1252.
	- 67 F. Zhang, C. R. Zhang, X. Tao, J. Wang, W. S. Chen and J. M. Yue, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2014, 24, 3791–3796.
	- 68 H. L. Liu, X. L. Chen, W. Xiao and Y. W. Guo, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2014, 97, 1445–1451.
	- 69 H. Li, J. Luo and L. Y. Kong, Rec. Nat. Prod., 2015, 9, 190– 195.
	- 70 W. X. Liu, D. Z. Chen, J. Y. Ding, X. J. Hao and S. L. Li, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2015, 98, 1403–1410.
	- 71 J. L. Peng, J. Wang, F. D. Kong, Z. Q. Liu, P. Wang, C. J. Gai, B. Jiang, W. L. Mei and H. F. Dai, Molecules, 2016, 21, 58.
	- 72 J. L. Peng, J. Wang, W. L. Mei, F. D. Kong, Z. Q. Liu, P. Wang, C. J. Gai, B. Jiang and H. F. Dai, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2016, 18, 629–636.
	- 73 J. L. Peng, J. Wang, F. D. Kong, Z. Q. Liu, P. Wang, C. J. Gai, B. Jiang, W. L. Mei and H. F. Dai, Phytochem. Lett., 2016, 15, 230–233.
	- 74 L. Yi, H. Zhang, X. Tian, J. Luo, J. Luo and L. Kong, Phytochem. Lett., 2017, 19, 12–17.
	- 75 K. L. Ji, P. Zhang, H. B. Hu, S. Hua, S. G. Liao and Y. K. Xu, J. Nat. Prod., 2014, 77, 1764–1769.
	- 76 M. L. Han, Y. Shen, Y. Leng, H. Zhang and J. M. Yue, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 19150–19158.
	- 77 G. C. Wang, J. H. Yu, Y. Shen, Y. Leng, H. Zhang and J. M. Yue, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2016, 79, 899-906.
	- 78 K. L. Ji, X. N. Li, S. G. Liao, H. B. Hu, R. Li and Y. K. Xu, Phytochem. Lett., 2016, 15, 53–56.
	- 79 J. J. Xia, X. Y. Li, S. Z. Zhang, J. Q. Liu, W. M. Zhang, Y. X. Yan, Z. T. Ding and M. H. Qiu, Tetrahedron Lett., 2014, 55, 2104–2106.
	- 80 (a) M. S. Yang, S. M. Hu, L. Y. Kong and J. Luo, Tetrahedron, 2015, 71, 8472–8477; (b) C. P. Liu, G. C. Wang, L. S. Gan, C. H. Xu, Q. F. Liu, J. Ding and J. M. Yue, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 2894–2897.
	- 81 J. Hu, Y. Song, X. Mao, Z. J. Wang and Q. J. Zhao, J. Funct. Foods, 2016, 20, 1–9.
	- 82 J. H. Li, Y. Li, F. L. An, M. M. Zhou, J. Luo, K. L. Jian, J. Luo and L. Y. Kong, Tetrahedron, 2016, 72, 7481–7487.
	- 83 Q. Q. Meng, X. R. Peng, S. Y. Lu, L. S. Wan, X. Wang, J. R. Dong, R. Chu, L. Zhou, X. N. Li and M. H. Qiu, Nat. Prod. Bioprospect., 2016, 6, 239–245.
	- 84 T. Inoue, Y. Matsui, T. Kikuchi, Y. In, O. Muraoka, T. Yamada and R. Tanaka, Fitoterapia, 2014, 96, 56–64.
	- 85 Y. Matsui, T. Kikuchi, T. Inoue, O. Muraoka, T. Yamada and R. Tanaka, Molecules, 2014, 19, 17130–17140.
- 86 T. Miyake, S. Ishimoto, N. Ishimatsu, K. Higuchi, K. Minoura, T. Kikuchi, T. Yamada, O. Muraoka and R. Tanaka, Molecules, 2015, 20, 20955–20966.
- 87 T. Inoue, Y. Matsui, T. Kikuchi, T. Yamada, Y. In, O. Muraoka, C. Sakai, K. Ninomiya, T. Morikawa and R. Tanaka, Tetrahedron, 2015, 71, 2753–2760.
- 88 A. Sakamoto, Y. Tanaka, T. Yamada, T. Kikuchi, O. Muraoka, K. Ninomiya, T. Morikawa and R. Tanaka, Fitoterapia, 2015, 100, 81–87.
- 89 Y. Yan, J. X. Zhang, T. Huang, X. Y. Mao, W. Gu, H. P. He, Y. T. Di, S. L. Li, D. Z. Chen and Y. Zhang, J. Nat. Prod., 2015, 78, 811–821.
- 90 Y. Yan, C. M. Yuan, Y. T. Di, T. Huang, Y. M. Fan, Y. Ma, J. X. Zhang and X. J. Hao, Fitoterapia, 2015, 107, 29–35.
- 91 K. L. Ji, S. G. Liao, X. L. Zheng, Z. Na, H. B. Hu, P. Zhang and Y. K. Xu, Molecules, 2014, 19, 3004–3011.
- 92 W. B. Wu, H. Zhang, H. C. Liu, S. H. Dong, Y. Wu, J. Ding and J. M. Yue, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 3570–3575.
- 93 Y. Li, Q. P. Lu, J. Luo, J. S. Wang, X. B. Wang, M. D. Zhu and L. Y. Kong, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2015, 63, 305–310.
- 94 X. Tian, H. Li, F. An, R. Li, M. Zhou, M. Yang, L. Kong and J. Luo, Planta Med., 2017, 83, 341–350.
- 95 Y. B. Wu, D. Liu, P. Y. Liu, X. M. Yang, M. Liao, N. N. Lu, F. Sauriol, Y. C. Gu, Q. W. Shi, H. Kiyota and M. Dong, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2015, 98, 691–698.
- 96 W. Li, Z. Jiang, L. Shen, P. Pedpradab, T. Bruhn, J. Wu and G. Bringmann, J. Nat. Prod., 2015, 78, 1570–1578.
- 97 Y. G. Dai, W. S. Li, P. Pedpradab, J. Liu, J. Wu and L. Shen, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 85978–85984.
- 98 W. S. Li, L. Shen, T. Bruhn, P. Pedpradab, J. Wu and G. Bringmann, Chem.–Eur. J., 2016, 22, 11719–11727.
- 99 Y. Zhang, J. S. Wang, Y. C. Gu, X. B. Wang and L. Y. Kong, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 6594–6606.
- 100 Y. Zhang, J. S. Wang, Y. C. Gu and L. Y. Kong, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2014, 97, 1354–1364.
- 101 J. Y. Cai, D. Z. Chen, S. H. Luo, N. C. Kong, Y. Zhang, Y. T. Di, Q. Zhang, J. Hua, S. X. Jing, S. L. Li, S. H. Li, X. J. Hao and H. P. He, J. Nat. Prod., 2014, 77, 472–482.
- 102 X. Pan, M. Matsumoto, Y. Nishimoto, E. Ogihara, J. Zhang, M. Ukiya, H. Tokuda, K. Koike, M. Akihisa and T. Akihisa, Chem. Biodiversity, 2014, 11, 1121–1139.
- 103 X. Pan, M. Matsumoto, Y. Nakamura, T. Kikuchi, J. Zhang, M. Ukiya, T. Suzuki, K. Koike, R. Akihisa and T. Akihisa, Chem. Biodiversity, 2014, 11, 987–1000.
- 104 Q. Jin, C. Lee, J. W. Lee, J. Y. Choi, J. T. Hong, Y. S. Kim, M. Lee and B. Y. Hwang, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2014, 97, 1152– 1157.
- 105 Q. Zhang, Q. H. Zheng, J. Y. Liang, Q. S. Li and Z. D. Min, Chin. J. Nat. Med., 2016, 14, 692–696.
- 106 Q. Zhang, Y. G. Zhang, Q. S. Li and Z. D. Min, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2016, 99, 462–465.
- 107 D. G. Katja, K. Farabi, V. A. Nuraini, N. Nurlelasari, A. T. Hidayat, T. Mayanti, D. Harneti and U. Supratman, Int. J. Chem., 2016, 8, 30–34.
- 108 T. Fossen, A. Yahorau, S. Yahorava, F. Raharinjato, S. Razafimahefa, P. Rasoanaivo and J. E. S. Wikberg, Planta Med., 2016, 82, 1087–1095.
- 109 S. Razafimahefa, F. Mutulis, I. Mutule, E. Liepinsh, M. Dambrova, H. Cirule, B. Svalbe, S. Yahorava, A. Yahorau, B. Rasolondratovo, P. Rasoanaivo and J. E. Wikberg, Planta Med., 2014, 80, 306–314.
- 110 (a) W. Y. Zhang, F. L. An, M. M. Zhou, M. H. Chen, K. L. Jian, O. Quasie, M. H. Yang, J. Luo and L. Y. Kong, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 97160–97171; (b) J. Luo, X. Tian, H. Zhang, M. Zhou, J. Li and L. Kong, Tetrahedron Lett., 2016, 57, 5334–5337. Review Workers Articles. Published on 13 26 2000 Maximilian Commons and Maximilian Creative Commons and Hamps Creative Commons Articles. Published and 2000 Maximilian Creative Commons Articles. The Commons Article is lice
	- 111 V. G. P. Severino, S. D. L. de Freitas, P. A. C. Braga, M. R. Forim, M. F. G. F. da Silva, J. B. Fernandes, P. C. Vieira and T. Venancio, Molecules, 2014, 19, 12031– 12047.
	- 112 L. L. Wang, C. S. Jiang, Y. Fu, F. F. Chen, L. F. Lan, H. Y. Zhang and Y. W. Guo, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2014, 97, 1301–1306.
	- 113 J. B. Sun, N. Jiang, M. Y. Lv, P. Wang, F. G. Xu, J. Y. Liang and W. Qu, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 24750–24757.
	- 114 J. B. Sun, B. Q. Tang, Q. Li, B. Wang, J. Y. Liang and L. Chen, Fitoterapia, 2016, 115, 92–95.
	- 115 J. Q. Zhao, Y. M. Wang, H. T. Zhu, D. Wang, S. H. Li, R. R. Cheng, C. R. Yang, Y. F. Wang, M. Xu and Y. J. Zhang, Nat. Prod. Bioprospect., 2014, 4, 233–242.
	- 116 X. H. Yan, P. Yi, P. Cao, S. Y. Yang, X. Fang, Y. Zhang, W. Bin, Y. Leng, Y. T. Di, Y. Lv and X. J. Hao, Sci. Rep., 2016, 6, 36927.
	- 117 C. Lv, X. Yan, Q. Tu, Y. Di, C. Yuan, X. Fang, Y. Ben-David, L. Xia, J. Gong, Y. Shen, Z. Yang and X. Hao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 7539–7543.
	- 118 J. M. Faber and C. M. Williams, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 2258–2260.
	- 119 J. M. Faber, W. A. Eger and C. M. Williams, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 8913–8921.
	- 120 S. Yamashita, A. Naruko, Y. Nakazawa, L. Zhao, Y. Hayashi and M. Hirama, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 8538– 8541.
	- 121 S. Haldar, S. P. Kolet and H. V. Thulasiram, Green Chem., 2013, 15, 1311–1317.
	- 122 L. Grigorjeva, E. Liepinsh, S. Razafimahefa, A. Yahorau, S. Yahorava, P. Rasoanaivo, A. Jirgensons and J. E. S. Wikberg, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 4148–4153.
	- 123 H. Xu and J. L. Zhang, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2011, 21, 1974–1977.
	- 124 J. L. Zhang, H. Qu, X. Yu, X. Y. Zhi, H. Chen and H. Xu, Comb. Chem. High Throughput Screening, 2013, 16, 394–399.
	- 125 Y. Yang, X. Wang, Q. Zhu, G. Gong, D. Luo, A. Jiang, L. Yang and Y. Xu, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2014, 24, 1851–1855.
	- 126 L. C. Tavares, T. S. Fernandes, V. Ilha, A. T. Neto, E. W. dos Santos, R. A. Burrow, F. A. Duarte, E. M. M. Flores, U. F. Silva, M. A. Mostardeiro and A. F. Morel, J. Braz. Chem. Soc., 2016, 27, 161–178.
	- 127 X. Yu, D. F. Shi, X. Y. Zhi, Q. Li, X. J. Yao and H. Xu, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 31700–31707.
	- 128 X. Yu, G. D. Ding, Z. N. Gao, J. Zha and H. Xu, Heterocycles, 2015, 90, 1367–1374.
	- 129 X. Yu, G. D. Ding, X. Y. Zhi and H. Xu, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2015, 25, 25–29.
- 130 Y. Guo, Y. Y. Yan, C. Yang, X. Yu, X. Y. Zhi and H. Xu, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2012, 22, 5384–5387.
- 131 Y. Guo, Y. Y. Yan, X. Yu, Y. Wang, X. Y. Zhi, Y. Hu and H. Xu, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2012, 60, 7016–7021.
- 132 Q. Li, X. B. Huang, S. C. Li, J. C. Ma, M. Lv and H. Xu, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2016, 64, 5472–5478.
- 133 Y. Guo, H. Qu, X. Zhi, X. Yu, C. Yang and H. Xu, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2013, 61, 11937–11944.
- 134 Y. Guo, R. G. Yang and H. Xu, Sci. Rep., 2016, 6, 35321.