INORGANIC CHEMISTRY

FRONTIERS

Accepted Manuscript





This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **Ethical guidelines** still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





http://rsc.li/frontiers-inorganic

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Syntheses, structures and gas sorption properties of two coordination polymers with a unique type of supramolecular isomerism

Xiao-Lin Qi,^a Jia-Wen Ye,^a Rui-Biao Lin,^a Pei-Qin Liao,^a Si-Yang Liu,^a Chun-Ting He,^a Jie-Peng Zhang^{*a} and Xiao-Ming Chen^a

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The solvothermal reaction of a short bridging ligand 1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid (H_2pc) and $Zn(NO_3)_2$ in mixed solvent containing *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA) at 110 °C produced two genuine

- supramolecular isomers $[Zn_2(pc)_2(DMA)]$ (1) and $[Zn_2(pc)_2]$ ·DMA (2). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction ¹⁰ studies showed that 1 is a densely packed layer structure with DMA molecules coordinated on the layer surface, and 2 is a porous three-dimensional framework structure with DMA molecules filling inside the pore without coordination to any metal ion, which represent a rare case of coordination-sphere isomerism in coordination polymers. Removal of the coordinated DMA molecules in 1 happens at high temperatures, accompanying the irreversible formation of an unidentified nonporous phase. On the other hand, the guest ¹⁵ DMA molecules in 2 can be readily removed at mild conditions to give a porous new phase $[Zn_2(pc)_2]$
- (2'). Gas-sorption measurements of 2' revealed that the framework has significant flexibility and selective CO_2 adsorption at room temperature.

Introduction

Porous coordination polymers (PCPs) or metal-organic ²⁰ frameworks (MOFs) have attracted much attention partly because of their rich structural diversity and potential applications.¹ Supramolecular isomerism in coordination polymers is the existence of more than one type of network superstructure from the same building blocks, which is caused from the structural

- ²⁵ uncertainty in the self-assembly processes, but can be also regarded as an invaluable opportunity for the study of the selfassembly and crystal growth processes, as well as the structureproperty relationship of these interesting materials.²
- According to the type of structure differences, supramolecular ³⁰ isomerism in PCPs can be categorized into many classes, such as structural isomerism, topological isomerism, and interpenetration isomerism, etc.³ For example, in the isomers [Cu(SCN)(dpt)] (dpt = 2,4-bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,5-triazine) reported by Champness et al., Cu(I) cation coordinated with SCN anions and dpt ligands to
- ³⁵ construct N₃S and N₂S₂ coordination spheres, respectively, forming 3D porous framework structure with $6^5.8$ topology and a stair-like chain.^{3b} With the same coordination geometries, the building units can be linked to form extended networks with different topologies, which can be defined as topological isomers.
- ⁴⁰ For example, Maverick et al. found that Cu(II) ion tends to coordinate with two β-ketonate and two pyridyl groups from four 3-(4-pyridyl)pentane-2,4-dionate ligands to form a square-planar 4-connected node, which can be linked to form two-dimensional (2D) sql network or 3D nbo networks depending on the solvent
- ⁴⁵ used in the syntheses.^{3c} With identical coordination environments and topologies, porous networks may interpenetrate differently to

form interpenetration isomers.^{3j, k} Comparison experiments indicate that larger interpenetration number usually leads to increase in the low-pressure adsorption performance, although the ⁵⁰ porosity and saturated adsorption capacity are decreased.⁴ Also, the interpenetration number is an important influencing factor of framework flexibility.⁵ In PCPs, supramolecular isomerism usually refers to the coordination frameworks rather than the whole crystal. Strictly, most supramolecular isomers in PCPs are ⁵⁵ not genuine supramolecular isomers because there are different guest molecules in the pore systems.³

Herein, we report two new coordination polymers, namely $[Zn_2(pc)_2(DMA)]$ (1) and $[Zn_2(pc)_2] \cdot DMA$ (2) (H₂pc = 4-carboxylpyrazole, DMA = *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide) showing a ⁶⁰ new type of supramolecular isomerism, in which the crystals have the same chemical composition but the coordination frameworks consist of different components. Because the DMA molecules serve as terminal coordination molecules and guests, respectively, the two isomers display totally different porosity and sorption ⁶⁵ behavior.

Experiment Section

Materials and Methods.

The ligand H₂pc was synthesized according to the literature method.⁶ Other reagents and solvents were commercially ⁷⁰ available and used without further purification. Elemental analyses (C, H, N) were performed with a Vario EL elemental analyzer. FT-IR spectra were obtained from KBr pellets on a Bruker EQUINOX 55 FT-IR spectrometer in the 4000–400 cm⁻¹ region. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

on a Bruker D8 ADVANCE or a D8 DAVANCI X-ray powder diffractometer (Cu K α). Thermogravimety (TG) analyses were performed using a TA Q50 instrument. Each sample was heated from room temperature to 700 °C with a heating rate of 5.0

s °C/min under nitrogen atmosphere. Gas sorption isotherms were measured on a Belsorp MAX or a Micromertics ASAP 2020M volumetric adsorption apparatus. The sample was placed in the sample tube and dried under high vacuum at 170 °C for 4 h to remove the remnant solvent molecules prior to measurements.

10 Synthesis

[Zn₂(pc)₂(DMA)] (1). A mixture of Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.2 mmol, 0.059 g), H₂pc (0.2 mmol, 0.022 g), H₂O (6.0 mL), and DMA (1.5 mL) was sealed in a 12-mL Teflon-lined reactor, heated at 110 °C for 3 days and cooled to room temperature at a rate of 5

- ¹⁵ °C/h. Colorless plate-like crystals of 1 were collected by filtration (yield 20% on the basis of Zn). Elemental analysis (%) calculated: C, 32.90, H, 2.99, N, 15.99; found: C, 32.92, H, 2.98, N, 15.91. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3097(w), 2933(w), 1610(m), 1555(s), 1510(m), 1454(m), 1405(w), 1296(s), 1170(w), 1056(m), 1006(m), 898(w), 20 797(m), 626(w), 589(w).
- [Zn₂(pc)₂]·DMA (2). a mixture of Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.2 mmol, 0.059 g), H₂pc (0.2 mmol, 0.022 g), methanol (MeOH, 6.0 mL), and DMA (1.5 mL) was sealed in a 12-mL Teflon-lined reactor, heated at 110 °C for 3 days, cooled by 5 °C/h to room
- ²⁵ temperature and colorless plate-like crystals of 2 were collected by filtration (yield 70% on the basis of Zn). Elemental analysis (%) calculated: C, 32.90, H, 2.99, N, 15.99; found: C, 32.87, H, 3.03, N, 15.79. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3072(m), 2933(w), 1638(ms), 1555(s), 1461(ms), 1391(m), 1296(s), 1176(w), 1056(m), 30 1012(m), 949(w), 879(w), 803(ms), 595(w).

Crystal Structure Determination.

Diffraction data were collected on a Bruker Apex CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K_{α} radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Absorption corrections were applied by using the ³⁵ multi-scan program SADABS.⁷ The structures were solved with the direct method and refined with the full-matrix least-squares technique with the SHELXTL program package.⁸ Anisotropic thermal parameters were applied to all non-hydrogen atoms. All hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically. The disordered ⁴⁰ bridging ligands and terminal coordinated DMA molecules were

subjected to geometric restrains during the refinements.

Results and Discussion

Syntheses and structures.

Both 1 and 2 were synthesized under similar, mild solvothermal ⁴⁵ conditions, except that H_2O and methanol were used as the main solvents, respectively. Small amount of DMA was added to serve as not only necessary component of 1 and 2, but also a base to deprotonate the H_2pc ligands. Without addition of DMA, no precipitation formed after the solvothermal reactions. Infared

⁵⁰ spectra of **1** and **2** show different adsorption peaks around $1640 \sim 1450 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, suggesting that the DMA molecules reside at different environments or the carboxylate groups adopt different coordination modes (Fig. S1).

1 crystallizes in the C2/m space group, containing two Zn ⁵⁵ atoms (Zn1 at a $C_{2\nu}$ axis, 1/2 occupancy, Zn2 at a mirror plane,

1/2 occupancy), two fully deprotonated pc²⁻ ligand both locating at mirror planes with 1/2 occupancies (the molecular axis of one is parallel to its mirror plane, while that of another is perpendicular to its mirror plane; so the pyrazolate and 60 carboxylate ends of the latter one are statistically disordered), and one DMA molecule (lying on the same mirror plane with Zn2, 1/2 occupancy) in the asymmetric unit. As shown in Fig. 1 and S2, Zn1 is tetrahedrally coordinated by two pyrazolate nitrogen atoms (Zn1-N1 1.994(4), Zn1-N2 2.005(4) Å) from two ordered pc²⁻ 65 ligands and two carboxylate/pyrazolate donors (Zn1-O3 1.995(7) and Zn1-N4 1.909(6) Å) from two symmetrically disordered pc²⁻ ligands. Zn2 coordinates with a chelating carboxylate from the ordered pc²⁻ (Zn2-O1 2.416(5) and Zn2-O2 1.996(3) Å) and an carbonyl oxygen (Zn2-O5 2.000(3) Å) of DMA, as well 70 as two carboxylate oxygen/pyrazolate nitrogen atoms [Zn2-N3 1.910(6) and Zn2-O4 2.048(8) Å] from the symmetrically disordered pc²⁻ to form a seriously distorted triangularbipyramidal coordination environment. All the Zn-N and Zn-O bond length are consistent with the expected values.⁹ The 75 ordered and symmetrically disordered pc²⁻ ligands are both four coordinated, but they serve as three- and four-connected linkers, respectively, which bridge the Zn atoms along two mutually perpendicular directions to form a thick, porous 2D layer extending across the bc-plane with DMA molecules pointing 80 outwards (Fig. 1a). These layers interdigitate to form the final 3D structure with DMA molecules inserting into pores of adjacent layers, so that no solvent accessible free volume is found in 1 (Fig. 1b).



85 Fig. 1 Perspective views of the (a) 2D layer (the symmetrically disordered pc²⁻ ligands are highlighted in magenta, and only one possible orientation is shown; the coordinated DMA molecules are highlighted in orange) and (b) 3D packing (adjacent layers are shown in different colours to highlight the interdigitation) of 1.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

² | *Journal Name*, [year], **[vol]**, 00–00

2 crystallizes in the *Pmma* space group containing a Zn atom (Zn1 at a mirror plane, 1/2 occupancy), two fully deprotonated pc^{2-} ligand (both at C_{2h} axis with 1/4 occupancy so that all the pyrazolate and carboxylate ends are symmetrically disordered) s and a non-coordinated DMA molecule (at a mirror plane, 1/2 occupancy) in the asymmetric unit. As shown in Fig. S3, Zn1 is tetrahedrally coordinated by four disordered carboxylate/pyrazolate donors (Zn1—N/O 1.9300(4)–1.9785(3) Å) from four pc^{2-} ligands. Each pc^{2-} ligand also adopts the same

- ¹⁰ coordination environment linking to four Zn1 atoms with exotetradentate coordination to construct a 3D porous framework (void 27%). There are small narrow 3D channels consisting of small cavities of $4.0 \times 5.1 \times 3.8$ Å³ (filled with DMA molecules) and even smaller apertures of 2.5×5.0 Å², 1.6×2.4 Å², and
- ¹⁵ 1.5×4.0 Å² running along *a*-, *b*-, and *c*-axes, respectively, taking into account the van der Waals radii (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2 Perspective views of the 3D coordination framework structure of **2** along the (a) *a*- and (b) *b*-axis. Note that all pc²⁻ ligands have statistically ²⁰ disordered prazolate/carboxylate ends, and only one possible ligand orientation is shown.

In **1** and **2**, many of the pyrazolate and carboxylate groups are statistically disordered, because pyrazolate usually adopts a similar bidentate bridging coordination mode as carboxylate. ²⁵ Several PCPs based on bifunctional pyrazolate-carboxylate

- ligands have been reported, some of which also show statistically disordered pyrazolate and carboxylate groups.⁹⁻¹⁰ The key structural difference between 1 and 2 is the role of the DMA molecules, which reside at different coordination spheres.
- ³⁰ Interestingly, the H₂O and MeOH molecules do not participate in the crystal composition although they are the main solvents and should serve as important additives in the syntheses of the two isomers.^{2b} In **1**, the DMA molecules coordinate to the surface of the grid layer, preventing it from extending along the *a*-axis into a
- $_{35}$ 3D structure. Also because of the coordination of DMA molecules, the pc²⁻ ligands in 1 connect with less Zn atoms as compared with those in 2. On the other hand, the DMA molecules fill inside the grid layer in 2, so that the coordination network is extended into a microporous 3D framework. In most cases, DMA
- ⁴⁰ serves merely as a solvent or a basic agent for deprotonating the ligand, rather than a ligand. It should be noted that, the crystal composition of **1** and **2** are the same, meaning that they are genuine supramolecular isomers. Further, supramolecular isomerism based on this type of structural difference has not been ⁴⁵ reported for coordination polymers in the literature so far.

Thermal Stability.

Thermal stabilities of **1** and **2** were studied by TG and PXRD. As shown in Fig. S5a, the PXRD pattern of as-synthesized **1** is

consistent well with the single crystal X-ray diffraction data. A 50 small weight loss of ca. 2.4% was observed from 180 °C to 220 °C (Fig. S4), which might be attributed to the DMA molecules coordinated on the external surface of the crystals (calculated weight loss for all the coordinated DMA molecules is 20%). At 200 °C, displacement and splitting of diffraction peaks in the 55 PXRD patterns between 11° and 13° suggest obvious distortion of the framework (Fig. S5a). Another weight loss of 17.2% appears in the range of $310 \sim 400$ °C, which corresponds to all the other coordinated DMA molecules inside the crystals. The widening and displacement of the diffraction peaks above 200 °C 60 indicate that the framework kept distorting during the loss of DMA molecules before decomposition. As proved by PXRD, the original framework cannot be recovered by dipping the desolvated samples (after heated at 350 °C) in DMA for 3 days (Fig. S4a), which suggests that the framework was irreversibly 65 damaged upon the loosing of coordinated DMA molecules and no porosity can be found in the desolvated structure. For 2, all DMA guest molecules escape at 170 ~ 220 °C (Fig. S4), and the distorted guest-free framework 2' decomposes over 400 °C (Fig. S3 and S4b). Obvious framework distortion can be found after ⁷⁰ the loss of guest molecules and the original framework structure 2 can be recovered by exposing 2' in MeOH vapor at room temperature, which suggests that 2 is a flexible framework and MeOH molecules can act as guest molecules to fill the pore system and drive the structural transformation of the host 75 framework. On the other hand, structural transformation of 2' to 2 can be realized by heating 2' in DMA at 140 °C, instead of dipping 2' in DMA at room temperature, which can be attributed to the bigger volume of DMA molecules, preventing them from

⁸⁰ S5b). Although **1** and **2** cannot transform to each other at high temperatures (Fig. S5), we tried to explore if the structural transformation of the two isomers could happen by either refluxing or solvothermal reaction in the solvent used for the syntheses of their counterparts. Nevertheless, no structural transformation between **1** and **2** can be observed (Fig. S6). By comparing the asymmetric units and packing structure of the two isomers, we can find that the transformation from **1** to **2** requires the departure of the coordinated DMA molecules, change of ⁹⁰ connection number of the pc^{2–} ligands from three to four, and translation of the disordered pc^{2–} ligands, which can only happen after network dissociation (Fig. S7).

getting across the small apertures under ambient temperature (Fig.

Gas sorption

To examine the pore characteristics and gas adsorption property ⁹⁵ of guest-free **2'**, CO₂, N₂, and H₂ isotherms were measured (Fig. 3). A type-I-like N₂ sorption isotherm at 77 K with an apparent Langmuir surface area of 781 m² g⁻¹ was obtained. Being different from the typical type I adsorption isotherm, the sorption amount keeps increasing at high pressures. The adsorption ¹⁰⁰ amount at $P/P_0 = 0.01$ and 0.95 are about 125 and 183 cm³(STP) g⁻¹. For comparison, the value calculated from the single-crystal structure is 122 cm³(STP) g⁻¹ (Fig. 3a). These results further confirm the significant flexibility of **2'** and it can be speculated that **2'** may expand to a state more porous than the as-synthesized ¹⁰⁵ one.¹¹

The H₂ sorption isotherm of 2' measured at 77 K shows a type-

I characteristic. The sorption amount is near to saturation at low pressure (86 cm³(STP) g⁻¹ at 0.26 bar) and the uptake increases gradually to 107 cm³(STP) g⁻¹ or 0.7 wt% at 1 atm (Fig. 3b). The relatively low saturated sorption amount is mainly caused from ⁵ the small pore volume. The H₂ density can be calculated to be 0.064 g·cm⁻³ in the pore system of **2'**, which is very close to the

density of liquid H₂ (0.07 g cm⁻³).¹²



Fig. 3 (a) N_2 , (b) H_2 and (c) CO_2 adsorption (solid) and desorption (open) isotherms of 2'.

CO₂ adsorption isotherms were measured for **2'** at 273 K and 298 K, which show moderate sorption amounts of 67 and 37 ¹⁵ cm³(STP) g⁻¹ at 1 atm, respectively (Fig. 3c). Using the Virial fitting method and the Clausius-Clapeyron equation, the CO₂ adsorption enthalpy on **2** is calculated to be around 25 kJ mol⁻¹ at zero coverage (Fig. S8), which is relatively low among PCPs.¹³

Further, **2** shows only surface adsorption for N_2 at 273 K and 298 ²⁰ K (2.0 cm³(STP) g⁻¹ and 0.45 cm³(STP) g⁻¹ at P = 101 kPa respectively). The CO₂/N₂ selectivity is estimated to be ca. 47 at 273 K and 17 at 298 K by comparing the CO₂ and N₂ uptakes at the relevant partial pressures for flue gas (CO₂ 0.15 atm; N₂ 0.75 atm),^{13b} which is similar to that of zeolite 13X (ca. 20) used for ²⁵ CO₂ capture.¹⁴

Conclusions

In short, two genuine supramolecular isomers, with a layer structure and a flexible microporous 3D framework, have been synthesized under similar solvothermal conditions using water ³⁰ and methanol as the main solvents, although water or methanol does not participate in the crystal composition. More interestingly, DMA molecules, as the minor component of the mixed solvents used for the syntheses, are included in the isomers as either terminal ligands on the layer structure or lattice guest molecules 35 in the pores of the 3D framework, representing a new type of supramolecular isomerism in coordination polymers. Interestingly, the 3D framework isomer can be activated to form an ultramicroporous material with high framework flexibility and adsorption affinity for H₂. These results highlight that, even ⁴⁰ subtle modification of the synthetic condition in the very simple metal-ligand system can produce very different outcomes in the context of material structures and properties.

Acknowledgment.

This work was supported by the "973 Project" (2012CB821706 45 and 2014CB845602), NSFC (21290173, 21225105 and 21371181) and NSF of Guangdong (S2012030006240).

Notes and references

^a MOE Laboratory of Bioinorganic and Synthetic Chemistry, School of 50 Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China. Fax: +86-20-84112245; Tel: +86-20-84115358; E-mail:

zhangjp7@mail.sysu.edu.cn
† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Summary of the crystal data, additional structural plots, adsorption enthalpies of CO₂,
ss and X-ray crystallographic files in CIF format.]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- (a) S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura and S. Noro, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 2334; (b) M. L. Foo, R. Matsuda and S. Kitagawa, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 310.
- 2 (a) B. Moulton and M. J. Zaworotko, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, 101, 1629;
 (b) J.-P. Zhang, X.-C. Huang and X.-M. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, 38, 2385;
 (c) T. A. Makal, A. A. Yakovenko and H.-C. Zhou, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, 2, 1682.
- 65 3 (a) P. Jensen, S. R. Batten, B. Moubaraki and K. S. Murray, J. Solid State Chem., 2001, 159, 352; (b) S. A. Barnett, A. J. Blake, N. R. Champness and C. Wilson, Chem. Commun., 2002, 1640; (c) B. Chen, F. R. Fronczek and A. W. Maverick, Chem. Commun., 2003, 2166; (d) F.-C. Liu, Y.-F. Zeng, J.-P. Zhao, B.-W. Hu, E. C. Sañudo, J. Ribas W. H. M. B. Chamber, Chem. Commun., 2007, M. 2006, Commun., 2007, M. 2007,
- and X.-H. Bu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 46, 7698; (e) R. Peng, S.-R. Deng,
 M. Li, D. Li and Z.-Y. Li, *CrystEngComm*, 2008, 10, 590; (f) S.
 Wang, H. Zang, C. Sun, G. Xu, X. Wang, K. Shao, Y. Lan and Z. Su,
 CrystEngComm, 2010, 12, 3458; (g) J. J. Jiang, M. Pan, J. M. Liu, W.
 Wang and C. Y. Su, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 10166; (h) X. Zhao, H.
 He, F. Dai, D. Sun and Y. Ke, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 8650; (i) D.
 Sun, S. Ma, J. M. Simmons, J. R. Li, D. Yuan and H. C. Zhou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 1329; (j) S. K. Elsaidi, M. H. Mohamed, L.

4 | Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00–00

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

Wojtas, A. Chanthapally, T. Pham, B. Space, J. J. Vittal and M. J. Zaworotko, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 5072; (k) C.-T. He, P.-Q. Liao, D.-D. Zhou, B.-Y. Wang, W.-X. Zhang, J.-P. Zhang and X.-M. Chen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 4755.

- ⁵ 4 (a) S. Ma, D. Sun, M. Ambrogio, J. A. Fillinger, S. Parkin and H.-C. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **129**, 1858; (b) P. Ryan, L. J. Broadbelt and R. Q. Snurr, Chem. Commun., 2008, 4132.
- 5 H.-L. Jiang, T. A. Makal and H.-C. Zhou, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **257**, 2232.
- 10 6 C. Foces-Foces, A. Echevarría, N. Jagerovic, I. Alkorta, J. Elguero, U. Langer, O. Klein, M. Minguet-Bonvehí and H.-H. Limbach, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 7898.
- 7 SADABS, SMART, and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2002.
- 8 G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXL*-97, Program for the Refinement of Crystal 15 Structures; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- G. Montoro, F. Linares, E. Q. Procopio, I. Senkovska, S. Kaskel, S. Galli, N. Masciocchi, E. Barea and J. A. Navarro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 11888; (b) D. J. Tranchemontagne, K. S. Park, H. Furukawa, J. Eckert, C. B. Knobler and O. M. Yaghi, J. Phys. Chem. 20 C, 2012, 116, 13143.
- E. Quartapelle Procopio, F. Linares, C. Montoro, V. Colombo, A. Maspero, E. Barea and J. A. Navarro, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, 49, 7308; (b) E. Quartapelle Procopio, T. Fukushima, E. Barea, J. A. Navarro, S. Horike and S. Kitagawa, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, 18, 13117;
- (c) N. M. Padial, E. Quartapelle Procopio, C. Montoro, E. Lopez, J. E. Oltra, V. Colombo, A. Maspero, N. Masciocchi, S. Galli, I. Senkovska, S. Kaskel, E. Barea and J. A. Navarro, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 8290; (d) X.-L. Qi, C. Zhang, B.-Y. Wang, W. Xue, C.-T. He, S.-Y. Liu, W.-X. Zhang and X.-M. Chen, *CrystEngComm*,
- 2013, 15, 9530; (e) C. Heering, I. Boldog, V. Vasylyeva, J. Sanchiz and C. Janiak, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, 15, 9757; (f) W. Y. Gao, R. Cai, L. Meng, L. Wojtas, W. Zhou, T. Yildirim, X. Shi and S. Ma, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 10516.
- 11 S. Kitagawa and K. Uemura, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2005, 34, 109.
- ³⁵ 12 (a) L. J. Murray, M. Dinca and J. R. Long, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1294; (b) M. P. Suh, H. J. Park, T. K. Prasad and D. W. Lim, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 782.
- 13 (a) J.-R. Li, Y. Ma, M. C. McCarthy, J. Sculley, J. Yu, H.-K. Jeong, P.
 B. Balbuena and H.-C. Zhou, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, 255, 1791; (b)
- 40 K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, Z. R. Herm, T. H. Bae and J. R. Long, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 724.
- Q. Yang, S. Vaesen, F. Ragon, A. D. Wiersum, D. Wu, A. Lago, T. Devic, C. Martineau, F. Taulelle, P. L. Llewellyn, H. Jobic, C. Zhong, C. Serre, G. De Weireld and G. Maurin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52 10316
- 45 **52**, 10316.

Short text and illustration for table of contents:

5

10



Two genuine supramolecular isomers with a unique type of structural differnce and completely different porous properties have been synthesized and characterized.