

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, 12, 5102 All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

# Directly visualizing carrier transport and recombination at individual defects within 2D semiconductors†

Joshua W. Hill and Caleb M. Hill \*

Two-dimensional semiconductors (2DSCs) are promising materials for a wide range of optoelectronic applications. While the fabrication of 2DSCs with thicknesses down to the monolayer limit has been demonstrated through a variety of routes, a robust understanding of carrier transport within these materials is needed to guide the rational design of improved practical devices. In particular, the influence of different types of structural defects on transport is critical, but difficult to interrogate experimentally. Here, a new approach to visualizing carrier transport within 2DSCs, Carrier Generation-Tip Collection Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy (CG-TC SECCM), is described which is capable of providing information at the single-defect level. In this approach, carriers are locally generated within a material using a focused light source and detected as they drive photoelectrochemical reactions at a spatially-offset electrolyte interface created through contact with a pipet-based probe, allowing carrier transport across well-defined,  $\mu\text{m}$ -scale paths within a material to be directly interrogated. The efficacy of this approach is demonstrated through studies of minority carrier transport within mechanically-exfoliated n-type  $\text{WSe}_2$  nanosheets. CG-TC SECCM imaging experiments carried out within pristine basal planes revealed highly anisotropic hole transport, with in-plane and out-of-plane hole diffusion lengths of 2.8  $\mu\text{m}$  and 5.8 nm, respectively. Experiments were also carried out to probe recombination across individual step edge defects within n- $\text{WSe}_2$  which suggest a significant surface charge ( $\sim 5 \text{ mC m}^{-2}$ ) exists at these defects, significantly influencing carrier transport. Together, these studies demonstrate a powerful new approach to visualizing carrier transport and recombination within 2DSCs, down to the single-defect level.

Received 25th December 2020  
Accepted 8th February 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d0sc07033e

[rsc.li/chemical-science](http://rsc.li/chemical-science)

## Introduction

The unique optoelectronic properties of two-dimensional semiconductors (2DSCs), such as the transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), make them attractive candidates for use in a variety of electronic and photonic devices, including photovoltaic cells,<sup>1–7</sup> photodetectors,<sup>8–10</sup> and LEDs.<sup>11,12</sup> The inherent 2D structure of these materials allows them to be prepared as ultrathin films down to the monolayer limit, which can serve as flexible active layers with favorable optical properties as compared to the bulk material.<sup>13–18</sup> Unfortunately, the fabrication of efficient, practical optoelectronic devices based on 2DSCs remains difficult due to an incomplete understanding of the factors governing carrier generation, transport, and recombination in these materials. In particular, the roles played by various types of structural or chemical defects (step edge sites, basal plane vacancies/substitutions, *etc.*) are not yet

completely understood. Such defects, whether introduced within a material during synthesis or at interfaces within a device, are known to significantly influence device performance, often serving as detrimental recombination centers.<sup>19–21</sup>

Detailed insights into the behavior of 2DSCs are often difficult to generate due to their heterogeneous structures, which exhibit a variety of defects distributed randomly throughout the material. Traditional characterization techniques produce data which reflects both the bulk properties of a material and collective effects from any defects present within a particular sample. Clearly distinguishing the behavior of defects from that of the bulk material will require high-resolution imaging techniques capable of probing carrier transport and recombination, and a variety of experimental strategies along these lines have been demonstrated. Techniques such as scanning photocurrent microscopy,<sup>22–30</sup> scanning near-field optical microscopy,<sup>31,32</sup> electron beam induced current measurements,<sup>33–35</sup> or transient absorption microscopy<sup>36–40</sup> have been employed to generate valuable insights into the transport and recombination of carriers within 2DSCs. However, these experiments are often limited in terms of the complexity of the generated response or by the need for carriers to exhibit a strong spectroscopic signature.

Department of Chemistry, University of Wyoming, 1000 E University Ave, Laramie, WY 82071, USA. E-mail: [caleb.hill@uwyo.edu](mailto:caleb.hill@uwyo.edu)

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional data, theoretical derivations, details on finite element simulation, and SECCM movies for Fig. 2, 4, and 6. See DOI: 10.1039/d0sc07033e



Here, we demonstrate that detailed insights into carrier transport and recombination within 2DSCs can be generated using simple, steady-state electrochemical measurements. In this approach, Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy (SECCM) is employed to image the rate of an electrochemical reaction occurring in the vicinity of a localized excitation, directly reflecting the spatial distribution of photogenerated carriers. SECCM utilizes small, electrolyte-filled pipets as probes, creating miniaturized electrochemical cells.<sup>41–55</sup> By creating and interrogating a series of these cells in a “hopping-mode” fashion, images are constructed which reveal variations in the local electrochemical behavior of a sample. SECCM has been successfully employed to study the catalytic and photoelectrochemical properties of a variety of materials, including 2DSCs.<sup>56–59</sup> In the studies presented here, we demonstrate a new “Carrier Generation-Tip Collection” (CG-TC) mode of SECCM designed to quantify carrier diffusion lengths within semiconducting materials and locally probe recombination at individual, well-defined defects. This method is applied to visualize carrier transport within mechanically-exfoliated n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheets, directly revealing the distance photogenerated holes travel within this material and the dramatic impact individual nanoscale defects can have on transport.

## Experimental methods

### Materials and chemicals

I<sub>2</sub> (Mallinckrodt, U.S.P grade) and NaI (Sigma Aldrich, ≥ 99%) were obtained from the indicated sources and employed without further purification. Ag wire (Alfa-Aesar, 0.25 mm, 99.99%) was utilized as a counter electrode for probe fabrication, and stored in an aqueous solution containing 100 mM NaI and 10 mM I<sub>2</sub> when not in use. Indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated cover glass slides (22 × 22 mm, #1.5, 30–60 Ω sq.<sup>-1</sup>, SPI) were employed as sample substrates. Bulk n-type WSe<sub>2</sub> crystals with dopant densities of ~10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> prepared *via* chemical vapor transport methods<sup>74–76</sup> were donated by Prof. Bruce Parkinson.

### Sample preparation and characterization

ITO slides were cleaned *via* sequential sonication in isopropanol and deionized (DI) H<sub>2</sub>O. n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes were prepared *via* mechanical exfoliation from bulk crystals and transferred onto ITO substrates using PDMS tape (Gel-Pak, Gel-Film, Pf-40/17-X4). AFM measurements were conducted on a Cypher ES AFM in tapping mode using standard probes (Nanosensors, PPP-NCHR-20, n-Si, 0.01–0.02 Ω cm).

### Probe fabrication and characterization

Pipet-based electrochemical probes were fabricated from quartz capillaries (1.2 mm outer diameter, 0.9 mm inner diameter, Sutter) using a laser-based pipet puller (Sutter P-2000). Probes were fabricated by employing the following two-line program: heat = 750, fil = 4, vel = 30, delay = 135, pull = 80/heat = 685, fil = 3, vel = 30, delay = 135, pull = 150. These probes were filled with an aqueous electrolyte solution containing 0.1 M NaI and 0.01 M I<sub>2</sub>, and a AgI-coated Ag wire was then inserted into

the back end of the pipette, completing the probe. The Ag/AgI wire provided a well-defined reference potential in the electrolyte solution corresponding to the AgI + e<sup>-</sup> → Ag + I<sup>-</sup> couple. All data provided here is referenced *vs.* this potential. An FEI Quanta FEG 450 field emission scanning electron microscope operating at 5 keV was used for pipette characterization.

### CG-TC SECCM measurements

Samples were mounted onto the stage of an inverted optical microscope. Electrical contacts to the sample were made using Cu tape. The electrochemical probe was mounted to a 3-axis piezoelectric stage (PI P-611.3S). A patch clamp style amplifier was employed to apply an electrical bias between the sample and the Ag/AgI counter electrode and measure the resulting current flow. The sample was placed under focused laser illumination (NA = 0.5, 633 nm, 0.60 μW) and the electrochemical probe was brought to the sample surface while a potential difference of -0.5 V *vs.* Ag/AgI was held at the substrate and the current flowing in the system was monitored. After probe-sample contact was established (indicated by a current spike), the probe was stopped, and a triangular potential waveform (2000 mV s<sup>-1</sup>) was applied, during which the current flowing was recorded. Upon completion of the waveform, the probe was retracted and moved to another location. This process was repeated across a rectangular array of points and the resulting current data was stored as a two-dimensional array with M rows and N columns, where M is the total number of interrogated points and N is the number of current measurements in each voltammogram. All instrumentation was controlled through custom LabView software and a National Instruments DAQ interface (cDAQ-9174). Photocurrent images and voltammograms at specific points of interest were generated from the raw data *via* custom Python scripts.

## Results and discussion


### Methodology

The continuous, localized illumination of a semiconductor will generate steady-state carrier profiles in the vicinity of the excitation. For a Gaussian excitation beam, the concentration profile resulting from diffusive transport in the 2D limit (*i.e.*, for very thin sheets) can be approximated as (see ESI† for details):

$$C(r) = \frac{N_0 t_c (1 - e^{-\alpha w})}{2\pi w L^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\left[\frac{\rho^2}{2(s_0^2 + 2\tau)} + \tau\right]} \frac{d\tau}{s_0^2 + 2\tau} \quad (1)$$

here,  $N_0$  represents the power of the beam (in photons s<sup>-1</sup>),  $t_c$  is the carrier lifetime,  $L$  is the carrier diffusion length,  $\alpha$  is the absorption coefficient,  $w$  is the sheet thickness,  $\rho$  is a normalized radial distance ( $r/L$ ), and  $s_0$  is the normalized standard deviation of the beam profile ( $\sigma_0/L$ ). Within pristine, defect-free basal planes of a 2D semiconductor, minority carrier profiles should be expected to roughly follow the expression in (1), a plot of which is given in Fig. 1b. These profiles contain valuable information about carrier transport, as their widths will be directly related to the diffusion length of the carriers,  $L = \sqrt{D_c t_c}$ . Near defects





**Fig. 1** Carrier Generation-Tip Collection Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy (CG-TC SECCM). (a) Minority carriers are generated within a semiconductor through localized illumination and diffuse outward within the material. As carriers reach a probe positioned some distance away, they can be utilized to drive an electrochemical reaction. (b) Idealized 2D carrier profile resulting from illumination with a Gaussian beam. (c) Expected CG-TC SECCM response corresponding to this carrier profile. Dashed lines represent limits corresponding to carrier transport within the semiconductor ( $i_{ct}$ ) and the mass transfer of redox species in the electrolyte solution ( $i_{mt}$ ). The calculations in (b) and (c) were carried out for a system with  $N_0 = 3.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $t_c = 1 \text{ ns}$ ,  $\alpha = 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\sigma_0 = 0.725 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$ ,  $w = 50 \text{ nm}$ ,  $L = 3 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$ ,  $r_0 = 150 \text{ nm}$ ,  $\theta_p = 10^\circ$ ,  $D_r = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and  $C_r^* = 100 \text{ mM}$ .

which serve as recombination centers, such as steps between adjacent basal planes, these idealized profiles would be altered, introducing anisotropies which reflect the rate at which carriers are transported to the defects and recombine.

Here, SECCM is employed as a tool to locally interrogate minority carrier profiles within 2DSCs, generating valuable information on “bulk” transport within pristine regions of a material and recombination processes at well-defined structural defects. In this approach, referred to here as carrier generation-tip collection (CG-TC) SECCM (Fig. 1a), a small, well-defined electrochemical interface is created by contacting the surface of a semiconductor with an electrolyte-filled pipet. The application of a bias across the semiconducting material and a counter electrode in the electrolyte creates a space charge layer which extends into the material from this interface. A focused laser beam is used to locally generate carriers through photoexcitation of the semiconductor, which then assume spatial profiles similar to those described above. Carriers which reach the boundary of this space charge layer *via* diffusion will be accelerated towards the solid–electrolyte interface and utilized to drive a photoelectrochemical reaction, the rate of which is

recorded as the current flowing in the SECCM cell. Provided the probe dimensions are small in comparison to the carrier diffusion length,  $L$ , this scheme can directly generate information on the spatial distribution of carriers within 2DSCs. Instrumentation schematics and example probe images are provided in the ESI (Fig. S1†).

The resulting CG-TC SECCM currents will depend on the local carrier concentration profile, as well as the kinetics of charge transfer at the solid–electrolyte interface and the mass transfer of redox active species in the electrolyte solution. At steady-state, the rates of each process must be equal, and the overall current can be expressed as (see ESI† for details):

$$i = \frac{i_{ct} + i_{mt} + \beta \pm \sqrt{(i_{ct} + i_{mt} + \beta)^2 - 4i_{ct}i_{mt}}}{2} \quad (2)$$

$$\beta = \frac{i_{mt}i_{ct}}{i_k} \quad (3)$$

here,  $i_{ct}$ ,  $i_{mt}$ , and  $i_k$  represent the currents which would be measured if the system was dictated solely by carrier transport within the semiconductor, mass transport of redox species in



the electrolyte, and heterogeneous charge transfer at the interface, respectively.  $\beta$  can be viewed as a constant parameter which accounts for the importance of kinetic effects. Assuming purely 2D transport within the semiconductor, these limiting currents can be expressed as:

$$i_{ct} \approx \frac{2\pi q_e C(r) w L^2}{t_c \ln\left(\frac{L}{r_0}\right)} \quad (4)$$

$$i_{mt} \approx \frac{2\pi n q_e D_r C_r^* r_0 (1 - \cos \theta_p)}{\tan \theta_p} \quad (5)$$


$$i_k \approx k^0 C(r) C_r^* \quad (6)$$

here,  $q_e$  is the elementary charge,  $r_0$  is the probe radius,  $\theta_p$  is the half-angle of the probe,  $n$  is the number of electrons transferred in the photoelectrochemical reaction,  $D_r$  is the diffusion constant for the redox active species,  $C_r^*$  is the bulk concentration of the redox active species, and  $k^0$  is the heterogeneous rate constant associated with the photoelectrochemical reaction (with units of  $\text{cm}^4 \text{s}^{-1}$ ).

An idealized CG-TC SECCM response in the absence of recombination effects (*i.e.*, within an inert basal plane) based on these expressions is depicted in Fig. 1c. Three regions can generally be distinguished based on the excitation-probe distance ( $r_p$ ). Far away from the excitation centroid, currents will be limited by carrier transport to the electrolyte interface ( $i \approx i_{ct}$ ). Near the excitation centroid, currents are limited by the mass transfer of redox species in solution to the interface ( $i \approx i_{mt}$ ). The shape of the zone between these limits will be influenced by the heterogeneous kinetics of the reaction, becoming more abrupt with increasing kinetic facility ( $\beta \rightarrow 0$ ). As the transition between these regions will be dictated by the relative magnitudes of  $i_{ct}$  and  $i_{mt}$  (the latter of which depends on the probe geometry in a well-defined manner), analysis of these “top hat” profiles will allow for direct, quantitative insights into carrier transport. These analytical expressions describe the complete CG-TC SECCM response at a qualitative level, but are only rigorously valid in the 2D limit (*i.e.*, for vanishingly thin sheets). Finite element simulations of carrier transport were utilized to quantitatively analyze CG-TC SECCM data in the studies presented below, and are described in detail in the ESI.†

### Carrier transport within pristine basal planes

CG-TC SECCM was first employed to visualize carrier transport within individual basal planes of n-type WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. Mechanically exfoliated n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheets were prepared on ITO substrates *via* established techniques and basal planes within these structures were identified *via* optical microscopy. Small (~300 nm diameter) pipets were then employed to carry out CG-TC SECCM imaging within these basal planes, taking measurements across an array of points spanning a focused excitation source (633 nm laser). Pipets were filled with an aqueous electrolyte containing 100 mM NaI and 10 mM I<sub>2</sub>, allowing photogenerated holes in the n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheets to drive the oxidation of I<sup>-</sup> at the electrolyte interface:



which reflects the electrochemical oxidation of iodide to iodine and the eventual homogeneous formation of triiodide. Results from a representative experiment are shown in Fig. 2. An optical image of the interrogated nanosheet, with a thickness of 90 nm, is given in Fig. 2b. CG-TC SECCM imaging produces a series of photocurrent images which reflect how the rate of the above reaction changes as a function of probe location. A small subset of these images is provided in Fig. 2a; full photocurrent “movies” were also generated from the CG-TC SECCM data, examples of which are provided in the ESI.† In the photocurrent images, symmetric features are observed centered on the excitation centroid. These features grow in size as increasingly anodic potentials are applied, forming a depletion layer which drives photogenerated holes to the electrolyte interface. The size of these features eventually saturates potentials positive of ~0.6 V *vs.* the reference employed, at which point the response becomes limited by the diffusion of holes to the boundary of the depletion region within the n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheet, which is confined within ~100 nm of the electrolyte interface. Alternatively, these data can also be visualized in terms of photocurrent onset potentials through analysis of the point-by-point voltammograms, examples of which are given in Fig. S2 in the ESI.†

Cross-sections of these photocurrent images are provided in Fig. 2d, which clearly show the responses obtained at anodic potentials resemble the idealized, “top hat” response depicted in Fig. 1c. Currents of ~1 nA are observed in the flat region of the response, which is consistent with the expected mass transfer limit based on diffusion (eqn (5),  $n = 2/3$ ,  $D = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and suggests migration does not significantly impact the mass transfer of I<sup>-</sup> in these experiments. Additionally, these data are not significantly affected by *iR* drops, due to the low currents involved (see ESI† for details). At distances of ~5 μm, currents begin to decrease significantly due to an insufficient density of carriers to drive the oxidation of iodide at the mass transfer limit. The distance at which this transition occurs therefore holds information on the diffusion length of photogenerated carriers. In the analysis below, we take the radial distance where the current falls to half of its mass transfer limited value,  $r_{1/2}$ , as the key metric. Example voltammograms at representative points in the photoelectrochemical image are provided in Fig. 2e. As would be expected, limiting currents increase and photocurrent onset potentials decrease as the probe nears the excitation centroid. In the vicinity of the excitation centroid, non-ideal features in the photocurrent response are also observed at 0.3 V and >0.8 V *vs.* the Ag/AgI reference. The slight drop in current and subsequent noise at 0.3 V is attributable to the formation of an I<sub>2</sub> film on the n-WSe<sub>2</sub> surface at high current densities, an effect which has been described in detail previously by a number of researchers.<sup>60–62</sup> The increase in current beyond 0.8 V at high illumination intensities can be attributed to the onset of photocorrosion of the n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheet.







Fig. 2 CG-TC SECCM imaging of carrier transport within basal planes of n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. (a) Photocurrent images at a series of different applied potentials (1  $\mu$ m resolution). (b) Optical transmission image of the n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheet with the area imaged *via* SECCM and location of the AFM height profile indicated. (c) AFM height profile of the n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheet. (d) Cross-sections of the SECCM photocurrent images given in (a). (e) Example voltammograms obtained at different points within the imaged area. SECCM data was acquired using a pipet ( $d = 400$  nm,  $\theta_p = 10^\circ$ ) filled with an aqueous solution containing 100 mM NaI, 10 mM I<sub>2</sub> at a sweep rate of 2000 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. Imaging was carried out in the vicinity of a 633 nm Gaussian beam with  $P_0 = 600$  nW and  $\sigma_0 = 0.73$   $\mu$ m.

While the spatial extent of the observed CG-TC response provides information on carrier transport, 2DSCs like WSe<sub>2</sub> are known to be highly anisotropic, with transport occurring significantly faster in-plane than out-of-plane. In order to resolve the in-plane and out-of-plane contributions to hole transport in n-WSe<sub>2</sub>, a series of experiments were performed on nanosheets of varying thickness, results from which are summarized in Fig. 3. Photocurrent images obtained within basal planes of a series of nanosheets are given in Fig. 3a, which show the size of the observed CG-TC pattern generally decreases with increasing sheet thickness. This can be attributed to the back-illumination configuration employed in these experiments, making it more difficult for holes to reach the electrochemical interface at larger sheet thicknesses due to slow out-of-plane transport. This effect can be quantitatively expressed in terms of  $r_{1/2}$  as depicted in Fig. 3c. Finite element simulations were employed to analyze these values, which are described in detail in the ESI.† In these simulations, steady-state solutions to

Poisson's equation (describing the potential distribution in the nanosheet) and the drift-diffusion equation (describing carrier transport) were found simultaneously. Carrier transport limited currents ( $i_{ct}$  values) were calculated from these simulations based on the flux at the pipet interface, and overall currents were calculated from these values following eqn (2).  $i_{mt}$  values were determined experimentally, and  $\beta$  was employed as a variable parameter (though its value did not significantly impact the determination of diffusion lengths).

Example carrier generation ( $R_g$ ), potential ( $\phi$ ), and carrier concentration ( $C$ ) profiles are provided in Fig. 3d-f. Based on these simulations, fields within the nanosheet are confined to within  $\sim 100$  nm of the pipet interface. Holes which reach the boundary of this space charge region *via* diffusion are accelerated towards the pipet interface by these fields, contributing to the rate of the photoelectrochemical process. As the size of the space charge region is much smaller than the distance traveled by carriers in these CG-TC experiments ( $\sim 5$   $\mu$ m), it can be safely





**Fig. 3** Quantifying carrier transport through analysis of CG-TC SECCM data. (a) CG-TC photocurrent images (0.65 V vs. Ag/AgI) and cross-sectional profiles obtained within basal planes of a series of exfoliated n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. Black dots indicate experimental data, and red lines represent finite element simulations. Sheet thickness is indicated in each image. (b) Simplified experimental geometry employed in finite element simulations. (c) Experimental  $r_{1/2}$  values as a function of sheet thickness and results from finite element simulations for  $L_{xy} = 2.8 \mu\text{m}$  and  $L_z = 5.8 \text{ nm}$ . (d–f) Example steady-state carrier generation, potential, and carrier concentration profiles from finite element simulations. Experimental data was acquired under the same conditions as in Fig. 2. Simulation details are provided in the SI. Note the scales in (d)–(f) are highly anisotropic in order to aid visualization of the results.

assumed that results from these experiments are dictated largely by diffusion. In-plane ( $L_{xy}$ ) and out-of-plane ( $L_z$ ) diffusion lengths were thus varied to match the experimental  $r_{1/2}$  values presented in Fig. 3c, and good agreement between experimental results and simulations was obtained for  $L_{xy} = 2.8 \mu\text{m}$  and  $L_z = 5.8 \text{ nm}$ . Hole transport is thus highly anisotropic, exhibiting a diffusion length ratio of  $L_{xy}/L_z \approx 500$  (which would correspond to a mobility ratio of  $\sim 2.5 \times 10^5$ ). This ratio of in-plane to out-of-plane minority carrier diffusion lengths is significantly larger than reported values for n-WSe<sub>2</sub> generated through traditional, bulk photoelectrochemical experiments,<sup>63</sup> which may be attributable to the degree to which the experimental geometry is defined and the influence of defects can be controlled in the CG-TC SECCM experiments presented here. This value is, however, consistent with the broader range of studies of carrier transport in TMD materials, where mobility ratios up to  $\sim 10^7$  have been reported.<sup>63–68</sup>

#### Carrier recombination at individual, nanoscale defects

While CG-TC SECCM experiments carried out within pristine basal planes allow carrier diffusion lengths within single, well-

defined nanostructures to be quantified, a potentially more powerful application of this technique lies in interrogating carrier transport across different types of structural defects. Because the excitation source generating carriers and the SECCM probe serving as a collection point can be arbitrarily configured within a structure, transport across individual nanoscale defects can be straightforwardly probed in the CG-TC geometry, allowing recombination effects to be clearly visualized and local recombination rates or transport mechanisms to be quantitatively interrogated.

Experiments demonstrating this approach in the n-WSe<sub>2</sub> system are depicted in Fig. 4, and additional examples are provided in Fig. S3 in the ESI.† Here, CG-TC SECCM imaging was carried out within an n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheet with the excitation located  $\sim 3 \mu\text{m}$  from a  $\sim 60 \text{ nm}$  step edge. An optical micrograph of the nanosheet is provided in Fig. 4b. Photoelectrochemical reaction rates were mapped across both sides of the step, allowing the density of photogenerated carriers to be probed both near the illuminated region and across the defect. Photocurrent images at various potentials are provided in Fig. 4a. Similar to measurements taken within basal planes, photocurrents increased in magnitude and widened spatially with






Fig. 4 CG-TC SECCM imaging of carrier transport across an individual step edge defect within an n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheet. (a) Photocurrent images at a series of different applied potentials (1  $\mu\text{m}$  resolution). (b) Optical transmission image of the n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheet with the area imaged *via* SECCM and locations of the AFM height profiles indicated. (c) AFM height profiles of the n-WSe<sub>2</sub> nanosheet. (d) Cross-sections of the SECCM photocurrent images given in (a). SECCM data was acquired using a pipet ( $d = 250 \text{ nm}$ ,  $\theta_p = 8.5^\circ$ ) filled with an aqueous solution containing 100 mM NaI, 10 mM I<sub>2</sub> at a sweep rate of 2000  $\text{mV s}^{-1}$ . Imaging was carried out in the vicinity of a 633 nm Gaussian beam with  $P_0 = 600 \text{ nW}$  and  $\sigma_0 = 0.73 \mu\text{m}$ .

increasing potential, eventually saturating as the signals become limited by diffusion to the boundary of the space charge region. Within the illuminated side of the step edge, photo-generated holes diffuse isotropically away from the excitation centroid in a similar fashion to the basal plane studies in Fig. 2. However, photocurrents at and across the edge (indicated by the dashed line) were significantly reduced, providing a clear,

unambiguous visualization of carrier recombination at a single nanoscale defect. Cross-sectional photocurrent profiles are provided in Fig. 4d, with the relative location of the step edge indicated. Distinctly different shapes are observed here as compared to the basal plane measurements. A significant asymmetry exists within these profiles, with photocurrents increasing more drastically away from the step edge.




Fig. 5 Finite element modeling of hole transport across an individual step edge. (a) Experimental and simulated CG-TC SECCM responses, utilizing diffusion lengths determined from basal plane measurements as inputs. An effective surface charge at the step edge surface was varied between 0 and  $-8 \text{ mC m}^{-2}$  in  $1 \text{ mC m}^{-2}$  increments. Simulations were carried out for the sheet geometry depicted in Fig. 4, assuming diffusion lengths of  $L_{xy} = 2.8 \mu\text{m}$  and  $L_z = 5.8 \text{ nm}$ . (b) Simulated potential ( $\phi$ ) and hole concentration ( $C$ ) profiles in the vicinity of the step edge defect in the absence or presence of a  $-5 \text{ mC m}^{-2}$  surface charge.



Photocurrents measured at and across the step edge were significantly lower, reflecting efficient charge carrier recombination at the defect surface. These results do not reflect local variations in the kinetics of  $\text{I}^-$  oxidation, as all measurements are obtained at basal plane surfaces.

Finite element simulations were employed to quantitatively examine recombination at these defects, finding solutions to the drift-diffusion equations while treating the defect surface as an efficient recombination center (hole concentration set to zero). Results from these simulations, which employed the diffusion lengths determined in the basal plane studies, are provided in Fig. 5. Due to the large anisotropy in diffusion lengths, simulations which considered transport to the step edge surface *via* diffusion did not predict step edge defects would exhibit a considerable impact on CG-TC experiments. While holes generated within the “top” section of the structure could be efficiently transported to the defect surface and recombine, carriers produced within the “bottom” section would be largely unaffected due to slow out-of-plane diffusion. In order to explain the drastic limitations in transport observed experimentally, a significant field-driven mechanism must also be considered. This can be accomplished through the inclusion of an effective negative surface charge across the step edge, creating an electric field within the nanosheet which drives transport towards the defect. Results from these simulations suggest that an effective surface charge of *ca.*  $-5 \text{ mC m}^{-2}$  exists across the step edge surface, likely originating from surface oxides which form selectively at these defect-rich sites.<sup>69–73</sup> Surface oxide layers on  $\text{WSe}_2$  have been shown to exhibit electron trap densities upwards of  $10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ,<sup>70</sup> which is consistent with the  $\text{mC m}^{-2}$ -scale surface charges observed here. These results suggest that chemically modifying step edge defects with species which prevent oxide formation or counteract the resulting surface charge may serve as an effective means of mitigating carrier recombination in these materials.

### Carrier confinement within more complex defect geometries

The dramatic reduction in carrier transport across step edge defects observed above would be expected to confine carriers within more complex geometries. An example applying CG-TC

SECCM to visualize this confinement is provided in Fig. 6. Here, imaging was carried out within an n- $\text{WSe}_2$  nanosheet with step edge defects enclosing a triangular area. As before, the obtained SECCM patterns increased with increasing potential, moving radially outward from the excitation centroid. At large potentials, the signals are abruptly halted at each step edge, indicating strong hole confinement due to the presence of these defects. These experiments provide direct, visual confirmation of the inability of carriers to travel over large distances laterally within n- $\text{WSe}_2$  in the presence of surface defects, further demonstrating the need to develop passivation techniques to mitigate these effects in applications where significant carrier diffusion lengths are necessary.

## Conclusions

In this report, carrier transport within exfoliated n- $\text{WSe}_2$  nanosheets was investigated using a Carrier Generation-Tip Collection (CG-TC) mode of Scanning ElectroChemical Cell Microscopy. This approach, wherein carriers are generated locally within a focused excitation source and utilized to drive a photoelectrochemical reaction at a spatially-offset probe, enables carrier transport across arbitrarily defined pathways within individual nanostructures to be quantitatively investigated. Analysis of CG-TC SECCM experiments carried out within pristine basal planes of n- $\text{WSe}_2$  nanosheets of varying thickness revealed in-plane and out-of-plane diffusion lengths of  $L_{xy} = 2.8 \mu\text{m}$  and  $L_z = 5.8 \text{ nm}$ . Experiments investigating carrier transport across well-defined step edge defects provided direct, visual evidence of the dramatic limitations to carrier transport imposed by these features, suggesting a significant surface charge exists which drives the transport of holes to these recombination centers. Together, these experiments demonstrate CG-TC SECCM to be a uniquely powerful tool for investigating carrier transport within 2D semiconducting materials.

## Author contributions

J. W. H. and C. M. H. designed the project. J. W. H. acquired all data. J. W. H. and C. M. H. analyzed data, performed simulations, and wrote the paper.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

J. W. H. and C. M. H. acknowledge support for this work from the University of Wyoming, Wyoming NASA Space Grant Consortium (NASA Grant #NNX15AI08H), and NIH Wyoming INBRE (2P20GM103432). The authors thank Prof. Bruce Parkinson for valuable discussions and his generous donation of the  $\text{WSe}_2$  crystals used in this work.




Fig. 6 Carrier confinement within more complex defect geometries. (a) CG-TC SECCM photocurrent images with the photoexcitation located near a triangular boundary within an n- $\text{WSe}_2$  nanosheet. (b) An optical transmission image of the same nanosheet, with the area interrogated *via* SECCM indicated. Experimental parameters were identical to those employed in Fig. 2.





## Notes and references

- 1 D. Jariwala, A. R. Davoyan, J. Wong and H. A. Atwater, Van Der Waals Materials for Atomically-Thin Photovoltaics: Promise and Outlook, *ACS Photonics*, 2017, **4**(12), 2962–2970.
- 2 J. Wong, D. Jariwala, G. Tagliabue, K. Tat, A. R. Davoyan, M. C. Sherrott and H. A. Atwater, High Photovoltaic Quantum Efficiency in Ultrathin van Der Waals Heterostructures, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**(7), 7230–7240.
- 3 T. Chen, Y. Chang, C. Hsu, K. Wei, C. Chiang and L. Li, Comparative Study on MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> for Electrocatalytic Water Splitting, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2013, **38**(28), 12302–12309.
- 4 K. Sivula and R. van de Krol, Semiconducting Materials for Photoelectrochemical Energy Conversion, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2016, **1**(2), 15010.
- 5 U. Gupta and C. N. R. Rao, Hydrogen Generation by Water Splitting Using MoS<sub>2</sub> and Other Transition Metal Dichalcogenides, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **41**, 49–65.
- 6 L. Wang and J. B. Sambur, Efficient Ultrathin Liquid Junction Photovoltaics Based on Transition Metal Dichalcogenides, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**(5), 2960–2967.
- 7 C. M. Went, J. Wong, P. R. Jahelka, M. Kelzenberg, S. Biswas, M. S. Hunt, A. Carbone and H. A. Atwater, A New Metal Transfer Process for van Der Waals Contacts to Vertical Schottky-Junction Transition Metal Dichalcogenide Photovoltaics, *Sci. Adv.*, 2019, **5**, eaax6061.
- 8 N. Perea-López, A. L. Elias, A. Berkdemir, A. Castro-Beltran, H. R. Gutiérrez, S. Feng, R. Lv, T. Hayashi, F. López-Urías, S. Ghosh, B. Muchharla, S. Talapatra, H. Terrones and M. Terrones, Photosensor Device Based on Few-Layered WS<sub>2</sub> Films, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**(44), 5511–5517.
- 9 J. Kwon, Y. K. Hong, G. Han, I. Omkaram, W. Choi, S. Kim and Y. Yoon, Giant Photoamplification in Indirect-Bandgap Multilayer MoS<sub>2</sub> Phototransistors with Local Bottom-Gate Structures, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**(13), 2224–2230.
- 10 S. H. Jo, D. H. Kang, J. Shim, J. Jeon, M. H. Jeon, G. Yoo, J. Kim, J. Lee, G. Y. Yeom, S. Lee, H. Y. Yu, C. Choi and J. H. Park, A High-Performance WSe<sub>2</sub>/h-BN Photodetector Using a Triphenylphosphine (PPh<sub>3</sub>)-Based n-Doping Technique, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**(24), 4824–4831.
- 11 G. Clark, J. R. Schaibley, J. Ross, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, J. R. Hendrickson, S. Mou, W. Yao and X. Xu, Single Defect Light-Emitting Diode in a van Der Waals Heterostructure, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**(6), 3944–3948.
- 12 C. Wang, F. Yang and Y. Gao, The Highly-Efficient Light-Emitting Diodes Based on Transition Metal Dichalcogenides: From Architecture to Performance, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2020, **2**(10), 4323–4340.
- 13 Y. Yang, H. Fei, G. Ruan, C. Xiang and J. M. Tour, Edge-Oriented MoS<sub>2</sub> Nanoporous Films as Flexible Electrodes for Hydrogen Evolution Reactions and Supercapacitor Devices, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**(48), 8163–8168.
- 14 G. H. Lee, Y. J. Yu, X. Cui, N. Petrone, C. H. Lee, M. S. Choi, D. Y. Lee, C. Lee, W. J. Yoo, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, C. Nuckolls, P. Kim and J. Hone, Flexible and Transparent MoS<sub>2</sub> Field-Effect Transistors on Hexagonal Boron Nitride-Graphene Heterostructures, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**(9), 7931–7936.
- 15 E. Singh, P. Singh, K. S. Kim, G. Y. Yeom and H. S. Nalwa, Flexible Molybdenum Disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) Atomic Layers for Wearable Electronics and Optoelectronics, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**(12), 11061–11105.
- 16 K. F. Mak, K. He, C. Lee, G. H. Lee, J. Hone, T. F. Heinz and J. Shan, Tightly Bound Trions in Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**(3), 207–211.
- 17 A. Splendiani, L. Sun, Y. Zhang, T. Li, J. Kim, C. Y. Chim, G. Galli and F. Wang, Emerging Photoluminescence in Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**(4), 1271–1275.
- 18 K. F. Mak, C. Lee, J. Hone, J. Shan and T. F. Heinz, Atomically Thin MoS<sub>2</sub>: A New Direct-Gap Semiconductor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2010, **105**(13), 2–5.
- 19 H. Wang, C. Zhang and F. Rana, Ultrafast Dynamics of Defect-Assisted Electron-Hole Recombination in Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**(1), 339–345.
- 20 N. S. Lewis, Chemical Control of Charge Transfer and Recombination at Semiconductor Photoelectrode Surfaces, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**(20), 6900–6911.
- 21 K. Chen, A. Roy, A. Rai, H. C. P. Movva, X. Meng, F. He, S. K. Banerjee and Y. Wang, Accelerated Carrier Recombination by Grain Boundary/Edge Defects in MBE Grown Transition Metal Dichalcogenides, *APL Mater.*, 2018, **6**, 056103.
- 22 G. A. Elbaz, D. B. Straus, O. E. Semonin, T. D. Hull, D. W. Paley, P. Kim, J. S. Owen, C. R. Kagan and X. Roy, Unbalanced Hole and Electron Diffusion in Lead Bromide Perovskites, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**(3), 1727–1732.
- 23 H. Yamaguchi, J. C. Blancon, R. Koppera, S. Lei, S. Najmaei, B. D. Mangum, G. Gupta, P. M. Ajayan, J. Lou, M. Chhowalla, J. J. Crochet and A. D. Mohite, Spatially Resolved Photoexcited Charge-Carrier Dynamics in Phase-Engineered Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**(1), 840–849.
- 24 Z. Nilsson, M. Van Erdevyk, L. Wang and J. B. Sambur, Molecular Reaction Imaging of Single-Entity Photoelectrodes, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2020, **5**(5), 1474–1486.
- 25 R. Xiao, Y. Hou, Y. Fu, X. Peng, Q. Wang, E. Gonzalez, S. Jin and D. Yu, Photocurrent Mapping in Single-Crystal Methylammonium Lead Iodide Perovskite Nanostructures, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**(12), 7710–7717.
- 26 L. Wang, Z. N. Nilsson, M. Tahir, H. Chen and J. B. Sambur, Influence of the Substrate on the Optical and Photoelectrochemical Properties of Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**(13), 15034–15042.
- 27 L. Wang, M. Schmid, Z. N. Nilsson, M. Tahir, H. Chen and J. B. Sambur, Laser Annealing Improves the Photoelectrochemical Activity of Ultrathin MoSe<sub>2</sub> Photoelectrodes, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**(21), 19207–19217.
- 28 L. Wang, M. Tahir, H. Chen and J. B. Sambur, Probing Charge Carrier Transport and Recombination Pathways in Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> Heterojunction Photoelectrodes, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**(12), 9084–9094.



- 29 A. E. Isenberg, M. A. Todt, L. Wang and J. B. Sambur, Role of Photogenerated Iodine on the Energy-Conversion Properties of MoSe<sub>2</sub> Nanoflake Liquid Junction Photovoltaics, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**(33), 27780–27786.
- 30 M. A. Todt, A. E. Isenberg, S. U. Nanayakkara, E. M. Miller and J. B. Sambur, Single-Nanoflake Photo-Electrochemistry Reveals Champion and Spectator Flakes in Exfoliated MoSe<sub>2</sub> Films, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**(12), 6539–6545.
- 31 Y. T. Chen, K. F. Karlsson, J. Birch and P. O. Holtz, Determination of Critical Diameters for Intrinsic Carrier Diffusion-Length of GaN Nanorods with Cryo-Scanning near-Field Optical Microscopy, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**(1), 21482.
- 32 M. Mensi, R. Ivanov, T. K. Uždavinsys, K. M. Kelchner, S. Nakamura, S. P. DenBaars, J. S. Speck and S. Marcinkevičius, Direct Measurement of Nanoscale Lateral Carrier Diffusion: Toward Scanning Diffusion Microscopy, *ACS Photonics*, 2018, **5**(2), 528–534.
- 33 P. Tchoulfian, F. Donatini, F. Levy, A. Dussaigne, P. Ferret and J. Pernot, Direct Imaging of P-n Junction in Core-Shell GaN Wires, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, **14**(6), 3491–3498.
- 34 C. Gutsche, R. Niepelt, M. Gnauck, A. Lysov, W. Prost, C. Ronning and F. J. Tegude, Direct Determination of Minority Carrier Diffusion Lengths at Axial GaAs Nanowire P-n Junctions, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**(3), 1453–1458.
- 35 A. Jakubowicz, D. Mahalu, M. Wolf, A. Wold and R. Tenne, WSe<sub>2</sub>: Optical and Electrical Properties as Related to Surface Passivation of Recombination Centers, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1989, **40**(5), 2992–3000.
- 36 J. M. Snaider, Z. Guo, T. Wang, M. Yang, L. Yuan, K. Zhu and L. Huang, Ultrafast Imaging of Carrier Transport across Grain Boundaries in Hybrid Perovskite Thin Films, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2018, **3**(6), 1402–1408.
- 37 L. Yuan, T. Wang, T. Zhu, M. Zhou and L. Huang, Exciton Dynamics, Transport, and Annihilation in Atomically Thin Two-Dimensional Semiconductors, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, American Chemical Society, July 20, 2017, pp. 3371–3379.
- 38 Z. Guo, Y. Wan, M. Yang, J. Snaider, K. Zhu and L. Huang, Long-Range Hot-Carrier Transport in Hybrid Perovskites Visualized by Ultrafast Microscopy, *Science*, 2017, **356**(6333), 59–62.
- 39 Z. Guo, J. S. Manser, Y. Wan, P. V. Kamat and L. Huang, Spatial and Temporal Imaging of Long-Range Charge Transport in Perovskite Thin Films by Ultrafast Microscopy, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**(1), 1–8.
- 40 S. J. Yoon, Z. Guo, P. C. Dos Santos Claro, E. V. Shevchenko and L. Huang, Direct Imaging of Long-Range Exciton Transport in Quantum Dot Superlattices by Ultrafast Microscopy, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**(7), 7208–7215.
- 41 C. L. Bentley, M. Kang, F. M. Maddar, F. Li, M. Walker, J. Zhang and P. R. Unwin, Electrochemical Maps and Movies of the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction on Natural Crystals of Molybdenite (MoS<sub>2</sub>): Basal: vs. Edge Plane Activity, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**(9), 6583–6593.
- 42 C. L. Bentley, M. Kang and P. R. Unwin, Nanoscale Structure Dynamics within Electrocatalytic Materials, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**(46), 16813–16821.
- 43 V. Shkirskiy, L. C. Yule, E. Daviddi, C. L. Bentley, J. Aarons, G. West and P. R. Unwin, Nanoscale Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy and Correlative Surface Structural Analysis to Map Anodic and Cathodic Reactions on Polycrystalline Zn in Acid Media, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2020, **167**(4), 041507.
- 44 E. Daviddi, Z. Chen, B. Beam Massani, J. Lee, C. L. Bentley, P. R. Unwin and E. L. Ratcliff, Nanoscale Visualization and Multiscale Electrochemical Analysis of Conductive Polymer Electrodes, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**(11), 13271–13284.
- 45 P. Saha, J. W. Hill, J. D. Walmsley and C. M. Hill, Probing Electrocatalysis at Individual Au Nanorods *via* Correlated Optical and Electrochemical Measurements, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**(21), 12832–12839.
- 46 Y. Liu, C. Jin, Y. Liu, K. H. Ruiz, H. Ren, Y. Fan, H. S. White and Q. Chen, Visualization and Quantification of Electrochemical H<sub>2</sub> Bubble Nucleation at Pt, Au, and MoS<sub>2</sub> Substrates, *ACS Sensors*, 2020, DOI: 10.1021/acssensors.0c00913.s001.
- 47 C. H. Chen, L. Jacobse, K. McKelvey, S. C. S. Lai, M. T. M. Koper and P. R. Unwin, Voltammetric Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy: Dynamic Imaging of Hydrazine Electro-Oxidation on Platinum Electrodes, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **87**(11), 5782–5789.
- 48 N. Ebejer, M. Schnippering, A. W. Colburn, M. A. Edwards and P. R. Unwin, Localized High Resolution Electrochemistry and Multifunctional Imaging: Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy, *Anal. Chem.*, 2010, **82**(22), 9141–9145.
- 49 A. G. Güell, A. S. Cuharuc, Y.-R. Kim, G. Zhang, S. Tan, N. Ebejer and P. R. Unwin, Redox-Dependent Spatially Resolved Electrochemistry at Graphene and Graphite Step Edges, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**(4), 3558–3571.
- 50 C. L. Bentley, R. Agoston, B. Tao, M. Walker, X. Xu, A. P. O'Mullane and P. R. Unwin, Correlating the Local Electrocatalytic Activity of Amorphous Molybdenum Sulfide Thin Films with Microscopic Composition, Structure, and Porosity, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**(39), 44307–44316.
- 51 B. D. B. Aaronson, J. Garoz-Ruiz, J. C. Byers, A. Colina and P. R. Unwin, Electrodeposition and Screening of Photoelectrochemical Activity in Conjugated Polymers Using Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy, *Langmuir*, 2015, **31**(46), 12814–12822.
- 52 Y. Wang, E. Gordon and H. Ren, Mapping the Nucleation of H<sub>2</sub> Bubbles on Polycrystalline Pt *via* Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**(14), 3887–3892.
- 53 Y. Wang, E. Gordon and H. Ren, Mapping the Potential of Zero Charge and Electrocatalytic Activity of Metal-Electrolyte Interface *via* a Grain-by-Grain Approach, *Anal. Chem.*, 2020, **92**(3), 2859–2865.
- 54 L. C. Yule, V. Shkirskiy, J. Aarons, G. West, C. L. Bentley, B. A. Shollock and P. R. Unwin, Nanoscale Active Sites for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction on Low Carbon Steel, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, **123**(39), 24146–24155.



- 55 L. C. Yule, V. Shkirskiy, J. Aarons, G. West, B. A. Shollock, C. L. Bentley and P. R. Unwin, Nanoscale Electrochemical Visualization of Grain-Dependent Anodic Iron Dissolution from Low Carbon Steel, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2020, 332.
- 56 J. W. Hill, Z. Fu, J. Tian and C. M. Hill, Locally Engineering and Interrogating the Photoelectrochemical Behavior of Defects in Transition Metal Dichalcogenides, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**(31), 17141–17149.
- 57 J. W. Hill and C. M. Hill, Directly Mapping Photoelectrochemical Behavior within Individual Transition Metal Dichalcogenide Nanosheets, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**(8), 5710–5716.
- 58 B. Tao, P. R. Unwin and C. L. Bentley, Nanoscale Variations in the Electrocatalytic Activity of Layered Transition-Metal Dichalcogenides, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**(1), 789–798.
- 59 L. E. Strange, J. Yadav, S. Garg, P. S. Shinde, J. W. Hill, C. M. Hill, P. Kung and S. Pan, Investigating the Redox Properties of Two-Dimensional MoS<sub>2</sub> Using Photoluminescence Spectroelectrochemistry and Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**(9), 3488–3494.
- 60 H. Tributsch, T. Sakata and T. Kawai, Photoinduced Layer Phenomenon Caused by Iodine Formation in MoSe<sub>2</sub>: Electrolyte (Iodide) Junctions, *Electrochim. Acta*, 1981, **26**(1), 21–31.
- 61 G. Kline, K. K. Kam, R. Ziegler and B. A. Parkinson, Further Studies of the Photoelectrochemical Properties of the Group VI Transition Metal Dichalcogenides, *Sol. Energy Mater.*, 1982, **6**(3), 337–350.
- 62 A. E. Isenberg, M. A. Todt, L. Wang and J. B. Sambur, Role of Photogenerated Iodine on the Energy-Conversion Properties of MoSe<sub>2</sub> Nanoflake Liquid Junction Photovoltaics, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**(33), 27780–27786.
- 63 V. M. Nabutovsky, K. Eherman and R. Tenne, Collection Efficiency of Photoexcited Carriers of Electrochemically Etched Surface, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1993, **73**(6), 2866–2870.
- 64 M. S. Kang, S. Y. Kang, W. Y. Lee, N. W. Park, K. C. Kwon, S. Choi, G. S. Kim, J. Nam, K. S. Kim, E. Saitoh, H. W. Jang and S. K. Lee, Large-Scale MoS<sub>2</sub> Thin Films with a Chemically Formed Holey Structure for Enhanced Seebeck Thermopower and Their Anisotropic Properties, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**(17), 8669–8677.
- 65 X. Yu and K. Sivula, Photogenerated Charge Harvesting and Recombination in Photocathodes of Solvent-Exfoliated WSe<sub>2</sub>, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**(16), 6863–6875.
- 66 R. W. Evans and P. A. Young, Optical Absorption and Dispersion in Molybdenum Disulphide, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 1965, **284**(1398), 402–422.
- 67 S. Y. Hu, M. C. Cheng, K. K. Tiong and Y. S. Huang, The Electrical and Optical Anisotropy of Rhenium-Doped WSe<sub>2</sub> Single Crystals, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2005, **17**(23), 3575–3583.
- 68 L. Ruan, H. Zhao, D. Li, S. Jin, S. Li, L. Gu and J. Liang, Enhancement of Thermoelectric Properties of Molybdenum Diselenide Through Combined Mg Intercalation and Nb Doping, *J. Electron. Mater.*, 2016, **45**(6), 2926–2934.
- 69 M. Yamamoto, S. Nakaharai, K. Ueno and K. Tsukagoshi, Self-Limiting Oxides on WSe<sub>2</sub> as Controlled Surface Acceptors and Low-Resistance Hole Contacts, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**(4), 2720–2727.
- 70 M. Yamamoto, K. Ueno and K. Tsukagoshi, Pronounced Photogating Effect in Atomically Thin WSe<sub>2</sub> with a Self-Limiting Surface Oxide Layer, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2018, **112**(18), 181902.
- 71 M. J. Shearer, W. Li, J. G. Foster, M. J. Stolt, R. J. Hamers and S. Jin, Removing Defects in WSe<sub>2</sub> via Surface Oxidation and Etching to Improve Solar Conversion Performance, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2019, **4**(1), 102–109.
- 72 Z. Li, S. Yang, R. Dhall, E. Kosmowska, H. Shi, I. Chatzakis and S. B. Cronin, Layer Control of WSe<sub>2</sub> via Selective Surface Layer Oxidation, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**(7), 6836–6842.
- 73 M. Yamamoto, S. Dutta, S. Aikawa, S. Nakaharai, K. Wakabayashi, M. S. Fuhrer, K. Ueno and K. Tsukagoshi, Self-Limiting Layer-by-Layer Oxidation of Atomically Thin WSe<sub>2</sub>, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**(3), 2067–2073.
- 74 G. Kline, K. K. Kam, R. Ziegler and B. A. Parkinson, Further Studies of the Photoelectrochemical Properties of the Group VI Transition Metal Dichalcogenides, *Sol. Energy Mater.*, 1982, **6**(3), 337–350.
- 75 G. Kline, K. Kam, D. Canfield and B. A. Parkinson, Efficient and Stable Photoelectrochemical Cells Constructed with WSe<sub>2</sub> and MoSe<sub>2</sub> Photoanodes, *Sol. Energy Mater.*, 1981, **4**(3), 301–308.
- 76 S. Prybyla, W. S. Struve and B. A. Parkinson, Transient Photocurrents in WSe<sub>2</sub> and MoSe<sub>2</sub> Photoanodes, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1984, **131**(7), 1587–1594.

