



Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*,
2025, 27, 16371

Influence of substitution pattern on the dynamics of internal conversion and intersystem crossing in thiopyridone isomers†

Douglas Garratt,^a Sambit K. Das,^b Kacie J. Nelson,^c Jessica Harich,^d Antonia Freibert,^d Camila Bacellar,^e Claudio Cirelli,^e Philip J. M. Johnson,^e Rebeca G. Castillo,^f Marija R. Zoric,^c Ru-Pan Wang,^d Hyeongtaek Lim,^c Amy A. Cordones,^c Nils Huse,^d Michael Odelius^{*b} and Kelly Gaffney^{*c}

We report a combined experimental and theoretical investigation of the ultrafast internal conversion (IC) and intersystem crossing (ISC) dynamics of two thiopyridone (TP) isomers in solution. Our study used ultrafast transient X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) at the sulfur K-edge, in conjunction with electronic excited state surface hopping molecular dynamics and simulations of the excited state XAS, to investigate the impact of the functional group substitution pattern and solvent on the dynamics of IC and ISC. The combination of the localized X-ray probe and the simulation results enables, in part, the differentiation between $\pi\pi^*$ and $n\pi^*$ character excited states, as well as singlet and triplet states. Access to $n\pi^*$ character excitations has particular value since they often prove challenging to assess with optical spectroscopy. For 2-TP, the photoexcited S_2 ($\pi\pi^*$) state rapidly undergoes IC to the S_1 ($n\pi^*$) state below the instrument response time, followed by ISC to the T_1 ($\pi\pi^*$) state on a timescale of 600 fs in acetonitrile. For 4-TP, the timescale of S_2 to S_1 IC increases to 330 fs and the timescale of ISC increases to more than 10 ps. The differences between isomers are rationalized by considering the key role of the, $n\pi^*$ intermediates in mediating the intersystem crossing of these systems. Varying the substitution pattern of the molecule can stabilize or destabilize these intermediates leading to the increase in ISC rate in the *ortho* isomer as compared to the *para* isomer, while changing the solvent from acetonitrile to water had minimal effect on the electronic excited state relaxation mechanism.

Received 16th April 2025,
Accepted 4th July 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5cp01456e

rscl.li/pccp

1 Introduction

The interplay of internal conversion and intersystem crossing is crucial in determining the excited state properties and reactivity of organic molecules. Many organic chromophores undergo rapid internal conversion to the ground state following photo-excitation making them photo-stable and non-reactive, important properties for the photoprotection of DNA from ultraviolet (UV) light.^{1,2} Intersystem crossing generates population in triplet and higher lying spin states which have long radiative lifetimes.³ For triplet states with slow non-radiative decay, these long-lived electronic excited states often prove photochemically active, making them useful in organic photosensitizers and in organic photocatalysis.^{4–6} Understanding the chemical and environmental factors which determine the relative rates and efficiency of ISC and IC therefore offers a route towards optimizing the excited state properties of a molecular system for a particular application. The rate and efficiency of these processes ultimately depend on the character of the electronic

^a Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS), SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

^b Department of Physics, Stockholm University, AlbaNova University Center, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

^c Stanford PULSE Institute, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA


^d Department of Physics, University of Hamburg and Center for Free-Electron Laser Science, Hamburg, Germany

^e Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

^f Laboratory of Ultrafast Spectroscopy, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Supplemental experimental datasets for 2-TP, 4-TP in water. Full experimental data-sets to 100 ps time delay. Power titration scans performed for each sample. Anisotropy of the XAS signal in 2-TP and a discussion of rotational effects. Detailed description of the data analysis and fitting procedures. Computational details, optimized molecular structures, Wigner sampling, UV-vis spectra, excited state dynamics dynamics trajectories, polarization dependence of calculated XA spectra, comparison of theoretical solvation models. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5cp01456e>

‡ These authors contributed equally.



excited states,^{7–9} the shape of the corresponding excited state potential energy surfaces^{9,10} and the dynamics at points where these surfaces are close in energy or intersect. In organic heteroaromatic compounds internal conversion and intersystem crossing are often mediated by excited states with $n\pi^*$ character. These states have low absorption cross section from the ground state meaning that they are populated indirectly *via* internal conversion from bright $\pi\pi^*$ character states following photoexcitation. In a single-electron picture, $n\pi^*$ states correspond to excitation of a lone pair electron which is localized at the heteroatom and in the plane of the π -conjugated molecule to an out-of-plane, delocalized π^* orbital. The strong localization of the n hole and the fact that the orbital is perpendicular to the π molecular orbital enables efficient intersystem crossing between states of $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ character in accordance with El-Sayed's rules.^{11,12} Therefore the relative energetics and coupling between the $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ character states are important in determining the rate of intersystem crossing in these systems. In turn the energetics of these states can be strongly influenced by both solvent environment and chemical modifications.

The class of thiocarbonyl compounds form a striking example of how substitution of an oxygen atom with a sulfur can dramatically impact the optical properties and excited state dynamics of a system. Thiocarbonyls typically undergo efficient intersystem crossing to form long-lived triplet excited states with rich photochemistry.¹³ Of particular recent interest are sulfur substituted nucleobases (thiobases) which absorb in the UV A–B region and have close to unity triplet yield, leading to potential applications in photodynamic therapy.^{14–16} This behavior is unlike that of the canonical nucleobases which absorb below 250 nm and undergo rapid and efficient IC to the ground state.^{17,18} Previous time resolved studies on these systems^{19–21} have suggested that the efficient triplet state population in thiobases is primarily due to the stabilizing effect of the sulfur atom on the heteroatom-centered $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ excited states. This lowers the energy of these states relative to the conical intersections responsible for the fast non-radiative decay of canonical nucleobases to the ground state and therefore blocks these relaxation channels in thiobases. The efficient ISC is then due to a combination of the strong localization of the n and π orbitals on the sulfur atom and the energetic proximity of the sulfur centered $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ states.

Directly probing these processes with optical spectroscopy is challenging due to their ultrafast nature and the fact that $n\pi^*$ states typically have low optical cross section,²² meaning that their role is often inferred indirectly. Time resolved X-ray spectroscopy offers a new tool in this regard due to the distinct X-ray transitions which, in an orbital picture, depend on the dipole overlap between core orbitals localized at the heteroatom and the unoccupied valence orbitals of the molecule.^{23–25} This has been utilized in pioneering X-ray absorption studies to directly probe the role of dark $n\pi^*$ excitations in the internal conversion and electronic relaxation of organic chromophores^{26–29} using either high-harmonic generation or X-ray free electron laser (XFEL) based sources in the soft X-ray range. Extending time resolved heteroatom K-edge absorption spectroscopy

to biologically and chemically relevant sulfur containing compounds requires probing in the tender X-ray range (2–5 keV). Time resolved studies at synchrotron facilities have demonstrated the chemical sensitivity of S K-edge X-ray spectroscopy to photochemical reaction products,^{30–33} and more recently developed XFEL sources and beamlines in the tender X-ray spectral range are opening up time-resolved S K-edge spectroscopy on femtosecond timescales.^{34,35}

The focus of this work is thiopyridone (TP), the sulfur substituted analogue of hydroxypyridine, which in turn is one of the simplest model systems for the photophysics and tautomerization of DNA base-pairs. Photo-excitation of thiopyridone leads to rapid and efficient intersystem crossing to a long-lived reactive triplet state.^{36,37} Previous X-ray and optical studies on 2-thiopyridone^{38,39} have focused on the photochemistry of the triplet state, in particular the possibility of it undergoing excited-state proton transfer to form the thiol form 2-mercaptopyridine (2-MP). However, the process of triplet state formation has not been resolved due to the ultrafast timescales involved. Theoretical calculations for 2-TP^{40–42} have predicted rich intersystem crossing dynamics which proceed *via* a dark singlet $n\pi^*$ character state and a triplet excited state of mixed $n\pi^*$, $\pi\pi^*$ character to form the lowest triplet state within 1 ps.

Here, we directly probe the role of the intermediate dark $n\pi^*$ states in the IC and ISC of thiopyridone with time resolved sulfur K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy, electronic excited state molecular dynamics with surface hopping to describe non-adiabatic changes in electronic state, and quantum chemical simulations of the sulfur K-edge X-ray absorption spectra. To understand the impact of chemical structure and solvent environment on the excited state dynamics, we compare 2-TP (*ortho* isomer) with 4-TP (*para* isomer) dissolved in acetonitrile and water. This combination of experimental and theoretical methods provides a firm foundation for interpreting the isomer dependence of the electronic excited state dynamics of solution phase thiopyridone.

1.1 Methods

1.1.1 Experimental methods. Time resolved sulfur K-edge X-ray absorption (XA) experiments were performed at the Alvrá Prime instrument at swissFEL (proposal no. 20221944). XA spectra were measured in total fluorescence yield using an avalanche photodiode placed 1.5 cm from the sample and a Si(111) crystal monochromator to select the photon energy. The resolution of the monochromator was sufficient to resolve the 0.7 eV lifetime broadening of the XA features at the sulfur K-edge. Thiopyridone isomers 2-mercaptopyridine and 4-mercaptopyridine were purchased from TCI chemical and used without further purification. When dissolved in acetonitrile or water, both 2- and 4-mercaptopyridine convert to the 2- and 4-thiopyridone structures. The photon energy axis of the monochromator was calibrated to align the $S_{1s} \rightarrow \pi^*$ resonance position of 2-TP in acetonitrile with the spectra reported in ref. 38, which are in turn calibrated to the sulfur K edge XA spectrum of sodium thiosulfate. 2-TP was dissolved in water or acetonitrile at 43 mM concentration and 4-TP was dissolved at 11 mM concentration also in water and acetonitrile. The samples dissolved in



acetonitrile were stored over molecular sieves and argon was bubbled through the solvent prior to use to remove dissolved H₂O. Samples were delivered to the interaction point as a cylindrical jet with 50 micron diameter. The experiments were performed under a helium atmosphere at a pressure of 1 bar to maximize the X-ray flux at the sample.

We pumped 2-TP/4-TP in acetonitrile and 2-TP in water at a wavelength of 357 nm (3.47 eV) at a fluence of 5.9 mJ cm⁻² giving an excitation fraction of approximately 8% for 2-TP and 30% for 4-TP. The pulse intensity was chosen so that the pulse energy dependence of the transient bleach signal at 5 ps was in the linear regime (see Fig. S4, ESI†). We recorded the majority of the data with the pump polarization parallel to the X-ray polarization. For 2-TP in acetonitrile, we also recorded a full dataset of kinetic and spectral traces with perpendicular pump and probe polarization in order to resolve the polarization anisotropy of the XA signal. For all samples, we recorded energy scans for a series of quasi-logarithmically spaced time delays between 0.2 and 200 ps and time delay scans to ~100 ps (sample dependent) were recorded at the main absorption features. The temporal instrument response function was estimated to be 90 fs from fits to the kinetic traces. To account for temporal drifts in the laser X-ray arrival time, reference kinetic traces at the bleach feature were recorded regularly and the time axis of subsequent or prior scans were aligned to the nearest reference scan. Note that this means that there is a systematic uncertainty in the exact position of time zero in the experimental kinetic traces. We also subtracted the below-edge absorption from the static spectra and normalized the difference spectra to the static absorption above the edge.

1.1.2 Theoretical methods. We used density functional theory (DFT) and multi-configurational quantum chemical calculations to accurately describe chemical bonding and electronic excitations in the 2-TP and 4-TP isomers. The calculations of electronic excitations, excited state molecular dynamics (ESMD) simulations, and computation of XA spectra were performed on different gas phase and solution models. Geometry optimizations for both ground states and various valence excited states were performed with the Gaussian 16 software package⁴³ using DFT and linear-response time-dependent DFT (TDDFT)⁴⁴ with the ω B97XD⁴⁵ exchange–correlation functional and the def2-TZVP^{46,47} basis set. For additional details on the theoretical methods, please see Section S5 in the ESI.† In 4-TP, geometry optimizations resulted in ground and excited state structures with C_{2v} symmetries. However, in 2-TP, due to unsuccessful attempts to optimize all structures in C_1 symmetry, geometry optimizations were also performed in C_s symmetry, and used to derive sulfur K-edge XA spectra. Nevertheless, the 2-TP ground and excited state structures in C_1 and C_s symmetries, exhibit only negligible differences in geometries (please see Table S10, ESI†). Structural analysis also revealed an alternative geometry featuring an out-of-plane C–S bond in the S_2 excited state of 4-TP. This structure was found to be 0.2 eV more stable than the planar C_{2v} configuration and was thus included in subsequent spectral comparisons. However, this geometry was obtained only for the S_2 state of 4-TP on imposing no symmetry

constraint; similar optimization for the ground and excited states of 2-TP and 4-TP (other than S_2) did not provide such non-planar structures. The primary conclusions are derived from the results within an implicit acetonitrile solvation model⁴⁸ for the two isomers, 2-TP^{aceto}_{impl} and 4-TP^{aceto}_{impl}. Information on additional gas phase and explicit solvation models considered in the analysis are collected in the ESI,† under Section S5.1, Fig. S12 and Tables S8–S11. Electronic valence excitations for the ground state geometries were calculated in the ORCA-5.0.3 program package⁴⁹ using the def2-TZVP basis set and exchange–correlation functional ω B97X⁵⁰ together with D4 dispersion correction.⁵¹ This provides reference to the valence excitation energies at the same level of theory prior to the dynamical simulations.

ESMD simulations of the two constitutional isomers were executed using the surface hopping⁵² approach, as implemented in the SHARC-3.0 code.^{53–55} Simulations were performed on the 2-TP^{aceto}_{impl} and 4-TP^{aceto}_{impl} models, with forces, energies, and wavefunction overlaps from TDDFT calculations in ORCA using the same settings as in the static calculations. Initial conditions with geometries and associated velocities were generated from a discrete sampling of the Wigner distribution of vibrational normal modes. From analysis of the corresponding UV-visible spectra, we concluded that photoexcitations associated with $S_2(\pi\pi^*)$ excitations are deemed essential for the current study. Accordingly, out of the 300 initial conditions in the Wigner sampling, we simulated 150 trajectories from the ESMD- S_2 set for the 2-TP and 4-TP models. The default parameters in SHARC were used for determining the non-adiabatic coupling and spin–orbit coupling (SOC) in the ESMD simulations.^{56–63}

We simulated sulfur K-edge XA spectra for 2-TP and 4-TP with a multi reference approach to assign features in the transient spectra. Initially, we compared the valence excitation results from TDDFT with those obtained using multistate complete active space perturbation theory (MS-CASPT2)^{64–68} with a CAS(12, 10) active space in OpenMolcas v.23.06.⁶⁹ To accommodate the core excitations, the active space was expanded using restricted active space perturbation theory (RASPT2).^{65,70} The sulfur 1s core orbital (with two electrons) was incorporated into the active space alongside at most one hole in the core orbital. The resulting RAS(14,1,0;1,10,0) active space, combined with the highly excited state scheme,^{71,72} allowed us to determine the core excited states and derive XA spectra for ground and valence excited states. The transition dipole moments of the valence and core excitations were calculated in the integrated restricted active space state interaction (RASSI) framework^{73,74} in OpenMolcas.

2 Results

2.1 Valence electronic excited states

To understand the character of the valence electronic excited state structure, we first examine the energy and orbital character of the excited states of 2-TP and 4-TP at the optimized geometry of the ground state (Franck–Condon point).






Fig. 1 (A) Qualitative depiction of the singlet (S_n) and triplet (T_n) excited state energies of 2-TP at the Franck–Condon point calculated at the TDDFT level (energies summarized in Tables S12 and S13 in the ESI†). The dominant expected IC and ISC pathway is depicted on the diagram. (B) Equivalent diagram for 4-TP, ISC from S_1 to T_2 in this isomer is expected to be less efficient due to the same orbital character of these states at the Franck–Condon point as illustrated with the dashed orange line. (C) Experimental UV-vis spectra of 2-TP and 4-TP in water and acetonitrile. The inset in the top right shows a zoom-in on the 2.2 eV to 3.5 eV region of 2-TP and 4-TP in acetonitrile. (D) Frontier molecular orbitals which describe the low lying singlet and triplet states of 2-TP and 4-TP.

Fig. 1A and B presents the vertical excitation energies and energetic ordering of the low lying singlet and triplet states in 2-TP and 4-TP calculated *via* TDDFT in an implicit acetonitrile solvent medium. The full datasets at the TDDFT and CASPT2 levels of theory are tabulated in Tables S12, S15 (2-TP) and S13, S16 (4-TP) in the ESI†. The frontier molecular orbitals contributing to the low-lying singlet and triplet states are shown in Fig. 1D. The complete active space implemented in the multi reference approach is illustrated in Fig. S13 in the ESI†. As noted in previous studies, the n and π orbitals both have significant sulfur 3p character and are distinguished by the orientation of the orbital with respect to the plane of the molecule. The n orbitals are in the molecular plane and the π orbitals are out of plane. Transient S K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) directly probes the S 3p character of the unoccupied molecular orbitals and provides a powerful means of characterizing the orbital character of electronic excited states.

Experimentally measured UV-visible spectra for both isomers in acetonitrile and water are shown in Fig. 1C. The UV-visible spectrum of 2-TP shows two absorption bands at approximately 3.4 and 4.2 eV in acetonitrile. This finding is in qualitative agreement with the computed UV-vis spectra in Fig. S14 (ESI†). Based on the TDDFT and CASPT2 calculations, and consistent with previous studies,^{75,76} we assign the lower lying absorption band to the $S_2(\pi\pi_1^*)$ state, while the higher lying band is assigned to $S_3(\pi\pi_2^*)$ and higher singlet state excitations. The UV-visible spectrum of 4-TP is dominated by a single absorption at around 3.55 eV which is assigned primarily to the $S_2(\pi\pi_1^*)$ state. Higher lying singlet states in

this isomer absorb above 5 eV. For both isomers, photo-excitation at 3.5 eV populates the $S_2(\pi\pi_1^*)$ state.

The TDDFT calculations suggest that the S_1 state of 2-TP has $n\pi_1^*$ character and is very close in energy to the $S_2(\pi\pi_1^*)$ state (separated by less than 200 meV). Indeed, the CASPT2 calculation in this study of 2-TP gives an inverted energetic ordering $n\pi_1^*$ and $\pi\pi_1^*$ character singlet states but also place them very close in energy. The lowest lying triplet state of 2-TP has $\pi\pi_1^*$ character and is separated by more than 1 eV from $S_1(n\pi_1^*)$, indicating that direct intersystem crossing from $S_1(n\pi_1^*)$ to $T_1(\pi\pi_1^*)$ is unlikely to be efficient. However, in between these states are two higher lying triplet states of $n\pi_1^*$ and $\pi\pi_2^*$ characters which are not only close in energy to each other but also to the $S_1(n\pi_1^*)$ state at the Franck–Condon point. The small energy separation between these states gives a potential ISC pathway from $S_1(n\pi_1^*)$ to $T_1(\pi\pi_1^*)$ through these states.

For 4-TP, TDDFT calculations at the electronic ground state geometry give the same energetic ordering of the singlet and triplet states as 2-TP but predict a stabilization of the $S_1(n\pi_1^*)$, $T_2(n\pi_1^*)$ and $T_1(\pi\pi_1^*)$ states relative to the bright $S_2(\pi\pi_1^*)$ state and the higher lying $T_3(\pi\pi_2^*)$ state. We see evidence for the stabilization of the $S_1(n\pi_1^*)$ state of 4-TP in the UV-vis spectra, which has a very weak low energy shoulder on the 3.5 eV absorption band in acetonitrile due to the $S_1(n\pi_1^*)$ state (see Fig. S5, ESI†). The strength of this absorption feature is approximately 0.5% of the main $\pi\pi^*$ band. The equivalent lowest energy band in 2-TP does not exhibit a low energy shoulder, consistent with the weak absorption of the S_1 state overlapping in energy with the bright state transitions at the Franck–Condon point. Therefore, the energy separation between the S_1 state and the bright S_2 state calculated to be larger in 4-TP than in 2-TP appears consistent with the experimental spectra.

2.2 ESMD calculations

Fig. 2 summarizes the results from the excited state molecular dynamics simulations performed for 2-TP and 4-TP in an implicit acetonitrile solvent. Panels A and B show the time-dependent adiabatic state populations over the 1 ps simulation time for 2-TP and 4-TP respectively. For additional details, see Section S5.2.2 of the ESI†. In general and consistent with the qualitative interpretation of the excited state ordering in the ground state geometry, we see a much faster build-up of the T_1 state population in 2-TP than in 4-TP. For 2-TP, T_1 exhibits a dominant state population among all the adiabatic states within 1 ps. In contrast, in 4-TP only a minimal triplet state population appears within the first picosecond, with a significant population accumulating in the S_1 state.

For 2-TP, the simulations initially show a very rapid IC from the bright S_2 state into the dark S_1 state, followed by a subsequent rise of triplet states population. A transient T_2 population builds up over the first 200 fs, which eventually decays into T_1 . To identify the ISC pathway, we follow the transition matrix shown in Table 1, which collects the number






Fig. 2 Dynamical simulations of 2-TP and 4-TP molecules in acetonitrile solution (implicit solvation model). The population is presented in the adiabatic representation. Panels (A) and (B) show population dynamics of the ESMD- S_2 set of trajectories for 2-TP and 4-TP respectively.

Table 1 The difference transition matrices determined from dynamical simulations of 2-TP and 4-TP. In each case, the positive values define the overall (net) number of transitions from state^{column} $\rightarrow$ state^{row}, while the negative values define the same, but from state^{row} $\rightarrow$ state^{column}.

	S_0	S_1	S_2	S_3	S_4	S_5	T_1	T_2	T_3
2-TP									
S_0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S_1	0	0	140	0	-1	0	0	-83	-30
S_2	0	-140	0	0	1	0	0	8	-17
S_3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S_4	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
S_5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
T_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	102	-11
T_2	0	83	-8	0	0	0	-102	0	53
T_3	0	30	17	0	0	0	11	-53	0
4-TP									
S_0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S_1	0	0	134	-4	0	0	1	-20	-2
S_2	0	-134	0	3	1	0	0	-1	-1
S_3	0	4	-3	0	-1	0	0	0	0
S_4	0	0	-1	1	0	0	0	0	0
S_5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
T_1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	18	0
T_2	0	20	1	0	0	0	-18	0	2
T_3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	-2	0

of hops between states in the dynamical simulation. This shows a large number of $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ transitions consistent with the fast initial IC to S_1 . The dominant ISC transition occurs through $S_1 \rightarrow T_2$, followed by the $S_1 \rightarrow T_3$ channel, corresponding to triplet ($\pi\pi_2^*$) and ($n\pi_1^*$) electronic state configurations in the Franck-Condon region, respectively. The $S_1 \rightarrow T_3$ pathway does not lead to significant T_3 population within the state dynamics, largely due to a fast $T_3 \rightarrow T_2$ internal conversion. Subsequently, an efficient IC from T_2 to T_1 results in a considerable T_1 population within 1 ps. The ESMD simulation thus suggests that the dominant photorelaxation pathway to T_1 in 2-TP is as follows: $S(\pi\pi_1^*) \rightarrow S(n\pi_1^*) \rightarrow [T(n\pi_1^*) \rightarrow T(\pi\pi_2^*)] \rightarrow T(\pi\pi_1^*)$.

Excitations to higher lying singlet states are insignificant and do not affect the ISC dynamics due to rapid internal conversion to the S_1 state within the first 50 fs.

For 4-TP, the ESMD simulations show a much slower rate of internal conversion from S_2 to S_1 with population transfer between these states taking place over a time scale of ~ 200 fs. This is consistent with an increased energy separation between the S_1 state and the higher lying singlet states in 4-TP as compared to 2-TP. ISC from S_1 is also much slower in this isomer and primarily proceeds *via* $S_1 \rightarrow T_2$ ISC followed by $T_2 \rightarrow T_1$ IC, as shown in Table 1. Therefore despite the $S_1 \rightarrow T_2$ pathway being the predominant route for singlet-to-triplet transitions in both isomers, the rate of intersystem crossing is significantly faster in the *ortho* than in the *para* isomer. This can be explained by considering the underlying electronic characters of these states. While the S_1 state is ($n\pi_1^*$) in both 2-TP and 4-TP, the character of the T_2 state is ($\pi\pi_2^*$) in 2-TP and ($n\pi_1^*$) in 4-TP. Consequently, the dominant ISC pathway is El-Sayed^{11,12,77} allowed in 2-TP, but El-Sayed forbidden in 4-TP. For 4-TP, the corresponding El-Sayed allowed pathway is an $S_1 \rightarrow T_3$ transition, which is inefficient due to the large energy gap between the S_1 and T_3 states.

We also note oscillatory population dynamics along the S_1 , S_2 , T_2 , and T_3 states of 2-TP in the ESMD. These oscillations are due to minimal energy separation between the two singlet states, which leads to frequent re-ordering of $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ states. The same is true for the two triplet states. This results in recurrent state transitions between the electronic states. However, the oscillatory behavior vanishes when the population dynamics is followed along the character of these states, instead of the adiabatic state representation. Such oscillatory behavior is absent in the T_1 population of 2-TP and all electronic states in 4-TP, suggesting that the states are energetically distinct. Additionally, photo-induced stretching vibrations have been observed in the dynamical simulation. These vibrations tend to induce motion in the aromatic ring, which is governed by the geometrical differences between the relaxed geometries of S_1 and S_2 . Thus, the recurrent surface crossings along these modes can give rise to the oscillatory population transfer between S_1 and S_2 . An analysis was performed on the evolution of the vibrational normal modes of the ground state in the simulation, which allowed for the identification of some of the associated vibrations. We present a detailed discussion of these vibrational dynamics in Section S5.2.3 of the ESI,[†] including Fig. S17–S19, and Table S18.

The theoretical predictions point towards the key importance of the energy and character of the triplet intermediates in the intersystem crossing dynamics of thiopyridone. The simulations show a slower ISC rate in 4-TP than in 2-TP, in agreement with picosecond optical transient absorption measurements by Alam *et al.*,³⁶ which reported a delayed rise time of 144 ps of the triplet-triplet absorption band in 4-TP and an instrument response limited, sub 100 ps ISC timescale in 2-TP. However, optical spectroscopy is not strongly sensitive to $n\pi^*$ character states and the time resolution of these measurements was not sufficient to resolve the formation of the T_1 state in 2-TP.



2.3 Time-resolved X-ray absorption spectroscopy

To probe the IC and ISC dynamics of these isomers, we employ time resolved X-ray absorption spectroscopy at the sulfur K-edge. This method probes the sulfur 3p character of the unoccupied valence orbitals of the molecule and is therefore expected to be sensitive to both $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ excited states due to the significant sulfur 3p character of the n and π orbitals holes created by the valence excited states.

The static S K-edge XA spectra of 2-TP and 4-TP in water and acetonitrile (Fig. 3) show three main absorption features around the absorption edge. The lowest peak at around 2471 eV corresponds to a $S_{1s} \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition associated with the C=S bond and the two higher lying peaks at 2473.3 eV and 2475 eV correspond to $S_{1s} \rightarrow \sigma^*$ transitions. The energy of the $S_{1s} \rightarrow \pi^*$ peak is 0.4 eV lower in energy in 4-TP compared to 2-TP and shows a solvatochromic shift in going from acetonitrile to water. Comparison with the calculated ground state XA spectra shown in Fig. 5 indicates that this peak has contributions from transitions to the π_1^* and π_2^* orbitals in 2-TP, while in 4-TP it corresponds to a transition to the π_1^* orbital, reflecting the lack of amplitude on the sulfur atom in the π_2^* orbital in this isomer (see Fig. 1D). The peak at 2475 eV, visible in the acetonitrile spectra of both isomers, also shows a strong sensitivity to hydrogen bonding and is significantly broadened and shifted to higher energy in water.

For the time resolved measurements, we first focus on a comparison between 2-TP and 4-TP in acetonitrile. The key spectral changes observed in 2-TP and 4-TP over the first 4 ps following photo-excitation are depicted in Fig. 4. The difference XA spectra of 2-TP (Fig. 4A) show a strong below-edge transient absorption at positive time delays corresponding to a transition into the vacant n or π orbital. At short time delays this absorption feature is accompanied by an increase in absorption




Fig. 4 Summary of the experimental transient XA spectra of 2-TP and 4-TP in acetonitrile. (A) Full transient XA spectra of 2-TP recorded at 0.2, 0.5 and 2 ps time delay. The inset shows a zoom in to the below edge transient absorption feature. (B) Kinetic traces of 2-TP at select photon energies over the first 4 ps. The energetic positions of the traces correspond to the color coded lines in panel (A). The data points show the raw data and associated uncertainty while the solid lines show the result of a global fit to the traces detailed in the text. (C) and (D) Equivalent plots for 4-TP in acetonitrile.

at around 2475 eV. Within the first picosecond, the below-edge transient absorption peak blue-shifts in energy by (0.37 ± 0.01) eV from (2467.78 ± 0.01) eV to (2468.15 ± 0.01) eV with a time constant of (0.58 ± 0.04) ps. The fit of the spectral data indicates that this shift in energy is best described by a change in amplitude of two discrete peaks, corresponding to distinct electronic states, rather than a continuous energy shift of a single peak. A detailed discussion of this analysis can be found in Section S4 of the ESI.† The shift in the below-edge absorption is accompanied by the decay of the 2475 eV feature, also on a sub-picosecond timescale. After this point in time, the energy of the spectral features remains constant, but the relative amplitudes of the peaks continue to evolve on a timescale of 5 ps, with the magnitude of the below-edge transient absorption and $S_{1s} \rightarrow \pi^*$ bleach increasing with time and the magnitude of the $S_{1s} \rightarrow \sigma^*$ bleach decreasing with time.

This spectral evolution is reflected in the kinetic traces and corresponding fits, as shown in Fig. 4B. We fit the kinetics with a global sequential kinetic model described in detail in Section S2.2 of the ESI,† where the final species in the model is assumed to be long-lived on the timescale of the experimental data and corresponds to a triplet electronic excited state. The number of kinetic species required was determined by comparing the reduced χ^2 of the fit for 2, 3 and 4 species models as discussed in the ESI,† Section S3. For 2-TP, three kinetic species gave the best description of the data. The time constants obtained from the model using three kinetic species are collected in Table 2 and the result of the fit can be found in Fig. 4B. The first kinetic species, which decays with a (0.58 ± 0.04) ps time constant describes the spectral shift in the below-




Fig. 3 (A) Static XA spectra of 2-TP and 4-TP in acetonitrile and water. (B) Illustration of the sulfur K-edge X-ray transient absorption spectroscopy methodology, where the UV pulse in orange opens a new channel for the subsequent X-ray pulse in blue. The plot shows static and pumped XA spectra recorded for 2-TP in acetonitrile at 2 ps. The orbital character of the XA features are labeled on the plot. The inset shows a qualitative illustration of the time resolved X-ray absorption methodology. An optical pump pulse photo-excites the system and the ensuing dynamics are probed with a time delayed X-ray pulse at the sulfur K-edge. The strong new feature below the edge in the pumped spectrum is due to a transition into the vacancy in the π or n orbital.



Table 2 Energies of the below-edge induced absorption feature and time constants describing the sequential kinetic model fit to the data recorded for 2-TP and 4-TP in acetonitrile. The energies are obtained by fitting two (2-TP) or three (4-TP) Lorentzian peaks with fixed energies and widths and variable amplitude to the spectra recorded between 0.2 ps and 20 ps. The fit optimizes the energy and width of the peaks as described in detail in Section S4 (ESI). The decay constants are obtained from a global fit of a three or four component kinetic model to the traces shown in Fig. 4 and described in detail in the ESI, Section S2.2

Parameter	2-TP acetonitrile	4-TP acetonitrile
E_1 (eV)	(2467.78 ± 0.01) eV	(2467.2 ± 0.2) eV
E_2 (eV)	(2468.15 ± 0.01) eV	(2467.7 ± 0.1) eV
E_3 (eV)	N/A	(2467.93 ± 0.07) eV
τ_1 (ps)	(0.58 ± 0.04) ps	(0.33 ± 0.03) ps
τ_2 (ps)	(4.8 ± 0.5) ps	(15 ± 6) ps
τ_3 (ps)	N/A	(46 ± 1) ps

edge feature and the decay of the 2475 eV absorption, while the second spectral evolution associated with a (4.8 ± 0.5) ps time constant describes changes in peak amplitudes associated with a decay in the anisotropy of the transient XA signal as discussed in the ESI,[†] Section S3.1.3. Therefore, we assign the first time constant to ISC to the long-lived triplet state, while we assign the slower time constant to rotational diffusion effects. Our analysis indicates our measurement lacks the time resolution to resolve the $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ IC consistent with the sub-50 fs IC dynamics seen in the ESMD for 2-TP.

To assign the spectral features, we compare the experimental spectra with RASPT2 calculations of the S K-edge XA spectra for 2-TP in its low lying singlet and triplet excited states shown in Fig. 5A. Note that the state associated with a particular character may vary with molecular geometry and computation method. Moreover, in the ESMD simulation, the dynamics primarily involves singlet or triplet states associated

with π_1^* character orbital. Therefore, we focus on states exhibiting the desired characteristics ($n\pi_1^*$ and $\pi\pi_1^*$) and spin multiplicity in the X-ray spectral calculations, rather than their precise excited state ordering. When referring specifically to the calculated XA spectra we therefore drop the subscript denoting the adiabatic ordering of the state and label them $S(\pi\pi_1^*)$, $S(n\pi_1^*)$, $T(\pi\pi_1^*)$ and $T(n\pi_1^*)$. We reintroduce the subscript when making assignments of spectra to adiabatic states in the ESMD calculations. Sulfur K-edge XA spectra were calculated for the ground state geometry and for the relaxed geometries of the excited states to investigate the effect of vibrational relaxation. The calculated spectra shown in Fig. 5 correspond to the excited state geometry. Additional discussion of the computed spectral features can be found in Section S5.3.1 of the ESI,[†] including Fig. S20 and Tables S19 and S20. Consistent with the experimental data, the calculated XA spectra for all the excited states considered show a strong absorption feature below the edge and an additional absorption feature above the $S_{1s} \rightarrow \sigma^*$ transition. An analysis of the features based on the natural transition orbitals (NTO) and transition dipole moments is shown in Fig. S8 and discussed in Section S5.3.2 of the ESI.[†] In essence, the below-edge feature corresponds to a transition into the vacant n orbital in the case of $S(n\pi_1^*)$ and $T(n\pi_1^*)$ states or the vacant π orbital for the $S(\pi\pi_1^*)$ and $T(\pi\pi_1^*)$ states while the higher lying feature is due to a change in energy of the $S_{1s} \rightarrow \sigma^*$ transition in the excited state.

While the singlet and triplet states with $n\pi_1^*$ character have essentially identical XA spectra at their relaxed geometries, there are clear differences between these and the calculated XA spectra of the $\pi\pi_1^*$ character states $S(\pi\pi_1^*)$ and $T(\pi\pi_1^*)$. The spectral position of the below-edge transient absorption feature is within 10 meV for the $S(\pi\pi_1^*)$, $S(n\pi_1^*)$ and $T(n\pi_1^*)$ states, but is significantly blue-shifted in the $T(\pi\pi_1^*)$ state, consistent with differences between the experimental spectra at 0.2 ps and 2 ps, as shown in Fig. 5. The calculated energies of the below-edge peak for each state are summarized in Table S21 of the ESI.[†] The absolute energetics and magnitude of the predicted shift of the $T(\pi\pi_1^*)$ state relative to $S(n\pi_1^*)$ is in excellent agreement with the experimentally measured value of (0.37 ± 0.01) eV. Furthermore, the experimentally measured timescale for the shift in the energy of the transient absorption of (0.58 ± 0.04) ps shows excellent agreement with the ESMD prediction of the timescale of the $T_1(\pi\pi_1^*)$ state formation in 2-TP.

We also considered the effect of vibrational relaxation on the excited state sulfur K-edge spectra by calculating the spectra for both the ground and excited state geometries. As discussed in the ESI,[†] Section S5.3.1, structural relaxation blue-shifts the energy of the below-edge feature in the singlet states by 400 meV to 500 meV but does not significantly affect the triplet spectra. However, the energy of the below-edge XA feature in the ground state geometry in either the $S(\pi\pi_1^*)$ or $S(n\pi_1^*)$ state is calculated to be much lower than what is observed experimentally at 200 fs and therefore ISC to $T_1(\pi\pi_1^*)$ from a higher lying state gives a





Fig. 5 S K-edge XA spectra of (A) 2-TP_{aceto} and (B) 4-TP_{aceto} models for the S_1 , S_2 , T_1 and T_2 states. Spectra are calculated at the optimized geometry of the respective valence excited state. The experimental spectra at 0.2 ps, 2 ps are shown for comparison. The dashed lines on the experimental spectra show the peak position obtained from a multi-peak fit to the spectra summarized in Table 2. The energy axis of the theoretical spectra is shifted by 4.45 eV to align the ground state π^* resonance with the experiment. Ground state experimental and RASPT2-computed spectra are compared in the top panel (black and gray curves respectively).



better description of the measured data than vibrational relaxation of the $S_1(\pi\pi^*)$ state. In addition, vibrational relaxation would lead to a continuous shift in energy of the below-edge feature with time delay. Fitting to the available experimental spectra (Fig. S10 described in Section S4 of the ESI†) shows that a transition between two discrete peaks better represents the experimental observations than a single shifting peak.

Based on the below-edge absorption feature alone, the time-resolved experimental difference spectra of 2-TP are consistent with an electronic transition from either $S(\pi\pi^*)$, $S(n\pi^*)$, or $T(n\pi^*)$ to the long-lived $T(\pi\pi^*)$ state. We also considered the high-lying absorption feature at ~ 2475 eV which shows differences in energy and amplitude between the calculated $S(\pi\pi^*)$, $S(n\pi^*)$, $T(n\pi^*)$, and $T(\pi\pi^*)$ XA spectra. The feature is predicted to be strongest and at highest energy in the $n\pi^*$ character states, $S(n\pi^*)$, $T(n\pi^*)$. It is somewhat red shifted and reduced in amplitude in $T(\pi\pi^*)$ and further red shifted and reduced in amplitude for $S(\pi\pi^*)$. Therefore the experimental data, which shows a decay of the feature to a small residual shoulder of the $S_{1s} \rightarrow \sigma^*$ transition with the same time constant as the blue-shift of the lower energy excited state absorption, is in better agreement with an $n\pi^*$ to $\pi\pi^*$ transition (either $S(n\pi^*)$, or $T(n\pi^*)$ to $T(\pi\pi^*)$) rather than a direct $S(\pi\pi^*)$ to $T(\pi\pi^*)$ transition, which would give an increase in the magnitude of this feature with time. The assignment of the photo-induced absorption at 2475 eV based on the calculated spectra is less robust than the analysis of the excited-state absorption at 2468 eV because of the limitations for higher energy transitions in the active space used for the RASPT2 calculations.


2.4 4-TP

Fig. 4C and D summarizes the measured S K-edge time-resolved XA spectra of 4-TP in acetonitrile. We see similar features in this isomer as in 2-TP, including a strong below-edge transient absorption feature and a weaker increase in absorption above the $S_{1s} \rightarrow \sigma^*$ transition accompanied by bleaching of the static XA features. However, there are some notable differences with respect to the 2-TP spectra. Focusing on the kinetic traces shown in Fig. 4D, there is a faster decay (0.33 ± 0.03 ps time constant) in the lowest energy trace recorded at 2467.19 eV (red kinetic trace in Fig. 4D). Secondly, the amplitude of the difference signal at very early time delays is reduced relative to the signal strength at 2467.89 eV after 1 ps (purple kinetic trace). This is reflected in the full spectra shown in Fig. 4 panel C, which shows only a weak shoulder on the low energy side of the below-edge peak at 200 fs. Then, between 200 and 500 fs, there is an increase in the amplitude of the below-edge peak. The kinetic traces and the evolution associated spectra obtained from a global fit to these traces shown in Fig. S6 of the ESI† indicate that this shoulder is due to a reduced amplitude feature which has mostly decayed by 200 fs. Note that we did not record spectra at shorter time delays due to uncertainty in the position of time zero. As detailed in Section S4 of the ESI†, we fit the below-edge absorption feature

recorded at select time delays with three Lorentzian peaks. In this fit, we constrain the energy of the peaks to be constant with time delay and the width of the peaks to be the same. The energy of the fitted peaks is summarized in Table 2. The lowest energy fitted peak at (2467.2 ± 0.2) eV only has amplitude in the earliest time delay spectrum, indicating that it corresponds to the fast decay component seen in the 2467.19 eV kinetic trace.

Fig. 5B shows the calculated sulfur K-edge spectra of 4-TP for the two lowest lying singlet and triplet excited states. The states with $n\pi^*$ character, $S(n\pi^*)$ and $T(n\pi^*)$, are again predicted to have identical below-edge absorption in 4-TP. The $T(\pi\pi^*)$ state is also predicted to give a very similar below-edge feature with slightly reduced amplitude and shifted energy. Therefore transitions between these states do not explain the reduced amplitude of the below-edge feature at early time delays observed in the experiment. This means that intersystem crossing from $S(n\pi^*)$ to $T(\pi\pi^*)$ or $T(n\pi^*)$ would not explain the experimental observations in 4-TP on sub-picosecond timescale. In contrast, the calculated $S(\pi\pi^*)$ state spectrum shows reduced below-edge absorption cross section and is red shifted with respect to the other states. The calculated energy of this feature in the $S(\pi\pi^*)$ state of 2467.28 eV shows good agreement with the experimental value of (2467.2 ± 0.2) eV obtained from the fit. Therefore, we conclude the experimental spectra are most consistent with the (0.33 ± 0.03) ps time constant describing the relaxation of the $S_2(\pi\pi^*)$ state. In principle, this could be due to either internal conversion to $S_1(n\pi^*)$ or ISC to one of the triplet states. However, the measured time constant is also in excellent agreement with the predicted timescale of $S_2(\pi\pi^*) \rightarrow S_1(n\pi^*)$ internal conversion from the ESMD calculations. Therefore, while we cannot rule out alternative ISC or IC channels in 4-TP on the basis of the experimental spectra alone, the good theoretical agreement between both the XA spectra and the observed timescales indicates $S_2(\pi\pi^*) \rightarrow S_1(n\pi^*)$ internal conversion with a time constant of (0.33 ± 0.03) ps provides the best description of the data recorded at short time delays.

Unlike 2-TP, which does not show significant spectral evolution beyond the first picosecond, we observe subtle changes in the spectral position of the below-edge absorption feature on longer timescales in 4-TP. Between 0.2 ps and 20 ps there is a small shift in the central energy of the below-edge absorption feature as well as a decay of the low energy shoulder assigned to the $S(\pi\pi^*)$ state. Within the three peak spectral model described above, this corresponds to a transition between a peak centered at (2467.7 ± 0.1) eV, which has dominant amplitude at 0.2 ps to a peak centered at (2467.93 ± 0.07) eV which grows in amplitude and dominates the spectrum at 20 ps (see Fig. S4 in Section S4 of the ESI†). Further, in the *para* isomer, the ESMD simulations and previous optical studies by Alam *et al.*³⁶ suggest that the triplet state will be formed on longer timescales. The calculated spectra show a small difference in the energy of the below-edge absorption feature between the singlet and triplet $n\pi^*$ states at around 2467.66 eV and the $T(\pi\pi^*)$ state at 2467.83 eV. These energies are in good



agreement with the experimentally fitted values indicating that intersystem crossing from $S_1(n\pi^*)$ to $T_1(\pi\pi^*)$ may play a role in the spectral changes observed on a ~ 10 ps timescale. With this in mind, we fit the kinetic traces in 4-TP to a 4 component sequential kinetic model, which gives two time constants describing the longer timescale evolution summarized in Table 2. We tentatively assign the faster (15 ± 6) ps component to rotational diffusion, and the (46 ± 1) ps component to the formation of the triplet state (see Section S4 of the ESI† for detailed discussion). However, due to the limited number of kinetic traces recorded and the lack of distinct spectral changes, it is difficult to definitively distinguish rotational dynamics from potential electronic state changes in this isomer. We also note that Alam *et al.* concluded ISC occurred with a significantly longer time constant of 144 ps.

2.4.1 Solvent dependence. Experimental data recorded for 2-TP and 4-TP in water are presented in the ESI†, Section S1.1. We observe very similar dynamics and spectral features as in acetonitrile and therefore apply the same fitting procedures to these datasets. Time constants obtained from a global fit to the kinetic traces and the energetic positions of spectral features are summarized in Table S1 in the ESI†. The static XA spectra of 2-TP show a strong solvent dependence in the energy of the $S_{1s} \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition and in the shape of the spectrum in the vicinity of the $S_{1s} \rightarrow \sigma^*$ transitions. In contrast, the energy of the pre-edge absorption at early times and the magnitude of the energetic shift in the feature over the first picosecond do not show a solvent dependence and are the same as in acetonitrile. The main solvent induced difference in the excited state dynamics is the increase in the ISC time constant from (0.58 ± 0.04) ps in acetonitrile to (0.68 ± 0.04) ps in water. The timescale of rotational diffusion is also increased to (12 ± 2) ps in water, consistent with the increased solvent viscosity. The calculated XA spectra and the absorption energies for the gas phase and explicit water solvation model are collected in Fig. S21, S22, and Table S21 (ESI†), with additional discussion in Section S5.3.4 of the ESI†. It should be noted that while the various solvation models provide excellent agreement with each other, the gas model exhibits the largest discrepancy in computed spectral shifts. The experimental data-set recorded for 4-TP in water is limited with lower signal to noise than the other measurements. As shown in Fig. S1D (ESI†), we nonetheless obtain a (0.30 ± 0.08) ps IC timescale from a fit to the kinetic traces, which is the same within the uncertainty as in acetonitrile. We also see an evolution in the kinetic traces on a similar timescale to 4-TP in acetonitrile (see Fig. 3). However, the reduced data quality precludes quantitative characterization of these dynamics for 4-TP in water.


3 Discussion and closing remarks

We have used time resolved X-ray absorption spectroscopy at the sulfur K-edge to access the IC and ISC dynamics of 2-TP and 4-TP in acetonitrile and water. The experimental measurements are in excellent agreement with key predictions from ESMD simulations of the excited state dynamics and calculated

excited-state S K-edge spectra. For 2-TP, the sulfur K-edge time-resolved XAS primarily probes the timescale of triplet state formation which is measured to be (0.58 ± 0.04) ps in acetonitrile and (0.68 ± 0.04) ps in water. This observed timescale is in good agreement with the overall triplet formation time from the ESMD calculations. The energetic shift in the below-edge absorption feature of (0.37 ± 0.01) eV is also in excellent agreement with the calculated energy difference between this feature for the $T(\pi\pi^*)$ state and the higher lying excited states. However, the definitive assignment of the short timescale experimental spectra based on the RASPT2 calculations of the XA spectra is precluded by the small predicted energy shift between the $S(\pi\pi^*)$, $S(n\pi^*)$, and $T(n\pi^*)$ states. The similarity of the XA spectra of these states in part reflects the theoretical prediction that they are very close in energy and mixed in character in 2-TP. Despite this limitation, a comparison of the calculated ESMD and the S K-edge XAS for the electronic excited state difference spectra with the experimental spectral dynamics leads us to conclude that the population dynamics are dominated by the decay of the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ excited state and the formation of the long-lived $T_1(\pi\pi^*)$ character excited state. The simulation of 2-TP dynamics indicates the experiment lacks the necessary time-resolution to observe the $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ IC.

In contrast both IC and ISC are much slower in 4-TP. We assign the sub-ps population dynamics in the experiment to the $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ internal conversion on a (0.33 ± 0.03) ps timescale in acetonitrile and (0.30 ± 0.08) ps in water. This adds evidence in support of the hypothesis that a stabilization of the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ state in 4-TP is responsible for the increased IC timescale in this isomer. We also observe subtle spectral changes on a timescale of (46 ± 1) ps in 4-TP which we tentatively assign to ISC to the T_1 triplet state. This is again consistent with the theoretical prediction that ISC is less efficient in this isomer due to the energetically favored pathway ($S_1(n\pi^*) \rightarrow (T_2(n\pi^*))$) being nominally El-Sayed forbidden and the El-Sayed allowed pathway through $T_3(\pi\pi^*)$ being inefficient due to the higher energy of the $T_3(\pi\pi^*)$ state relative to S_1 . We note that the determination of the ISC pathway proves less experimentally conclusive for 4-TP than 2-TP, due to challenges in separating ISC on > 10 ps timescale from rotational effects. Therefore, the conclusions drawn for 4-TP regarding the timescale ISC relies on the good agreement between the fast time constant extracted from the experimental data and timescale of IC predicted in the ESMD calculations, as well as the good agreement between the calculated XA spectra and the peak positions extracted from the available experimental spectra.

Due to the large contribution of sulfur 3p character in the π and n frontier orbitals occupied in the electronic ground state and depopulated in the lowest energy valence electronic excited states of 2-TP and 4-TP, time-resolved sulfur K-edge absorption proves to be sensitive to both $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ character excited states with either singlet and triplet spin multiplicities. While time resolved S K-edge XAS provides a universal probe of the low lying electronic excited states in these systems, distinguishing $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ states, which are close in energy, is challenging



due to the ~ 0.7 eV lifetime broadening of the absorption features and the similar $S_{1s} \rightarrow \pi$ and $S_{1s} \rightarrow n$ cross-sections. We have augmented our measurements with electronic excited state molecular dynamics simulations and RASPT2 simulations of the S K-edge difference spectra for distinct electronic excited state configurations. These theoretical findings prove essential to extract robust mechanistic information from the experimental results. Future studies targeting time resolved S K-edge RIXS could improve the spectral resolution beyond the 1s core hole lifetime broadening and potentially distinguish singlet from triplet excitations based on the anti-Stokes scattering signal.⁷⁸ In general, polarization-dependent ultrafast XAS will assist in identifying the character of the electronic excited states. Unfortunately for 2-TP and 4-TP, excitation of the S-1s electron into the n or the π vacancy created in $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ character valence excited states have polarizations nearly orthogonal to the S_2 ($\pi\pi^*$) valence excited state and, consequently, exhibit the same polarization dependence. Also combining S K-edge spectroscopy with either X-ray measurements at a complimentary absorption edge or optical spectroscopy could further disentangle $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ states which are close in energy. For example in thiopyridone, the $n\pi^*$ states are predicted to have reduced electron density on the nitrogen atom meaning that nitrogen or carbon K-edge spectroscopy could selectively probe the role of $\pi\pi^*$ states in the photophysics of these molecules.

In the theoretical investigations, we assessed the accuracy of the implicit solution models in comparison with other gas phase and micro-solvated models, referred to as 2-TP^{gas}, 2-TP^{water}_{expl}, 4-TP^{gas}, and 4-TP^{water}_{expl}. To summarize briefly, the results indicate consistency between the overall non-adiabatic dynamics of the explicit aqueous (micro-solvated) solvation models and those observed in the implicit acetonitrile solvation models. These similarities are primarily noticed in the evolution of electronic states, while structural variations exist between the two solvent models. In contrast, simulations of gas phase models at the TDDFT and CASSCF levels, including the previously published investigation,⁴¹ suggest large variations in the electronic structure of the gas phase and the solvated thiopyridone systems. Such alterations are attributed to the difference in the valence excited states and their underlying characteristics, which may modify the nature of the dynamics. Similar observations are also made in the computed sulfur K-edge absorption spectra of 2-TP. For instance, as presented in Table S21 (ESI[†]), while the computed spectral shifts of the transient absorption features of 2-TP are consistent among the solvated models, the largest deviations are noted for the gas phase calculations. Nevertheless, these intricate details of the theoretical aspects are outside the scope of the present paper and will be the subject of an independent study.⁷⁹

Finally, previous studies have suggested that 2-TP may undergo excited-state proton transfer on ultrafast timescales⁷⁶ prior to triplet state formation. We did not see evidence for this process in the isomers and solvents addressed here. Our results are consistent with previous studies which found that the photochemistry of thiopyridones originates from the

long-lived triplet state rather than the singlets which are rapidly depopulated in <1 ps in the case of 2-TP. We also performed comparative measurements on the triplet state photochemistry between the isomers and solvents discussed in this work. These measurements indicate that the formation of excimers is the dominant deactivation mechanism of the triplet state. The dynamics of excimer formation will be discussed in a future publication.⁸⁰

Author contributions

DG: formal analysis, investigation, project administration. SKD: formal analysis, software. KJN: investigation, formal analysis. JH: investigation. AF: investigation. CB: investigation. CC: investigation, software. PJMJ: investigation. RGC: investigation. MRZ: investigation. RPW: investigation. HL: investigation. AAC: supervision, resources. NH: supervision, resources. MO: conceptualization, supervision, funding acquisition. KG: conceptualization, supervision, funding acquisition. DG, SKD, MO and KG wrote the manuscript with comments from all of the authors.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Data availability

Data for this article, including underlying datasets for each figure are available at supporting data: influence of substitution pattern on the dynamics of internal conversion and intersystem crossing in thiopyridone isomers at <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/CTJ7A>. Additional supporting data has been included as part of the ESI.[†]

Acknowledgements


We acknowledge the Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland for provision of free-electron laser beamtime at the Alva instrument of the SwissFEL ARAMIS branch. We thank Emma V. Beale and Dardan Gashi for sample delivery support in the experiments. K. G., D. G., M. Z., H. L., K. J. N. and A. A. C. were supported by the Department of Energy, Laboratory Directed Research and Development program at SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, under contract DE-AC02-76SF00515. M. O. acknowledges funding from Swedish Research Council grant agreement no. 2021-04521. S. K. D. and M. O. acknowledge support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 860553 (ITN Network SMART-X) and valuable discussions with Michael R. Coates, who also prepared a series of structures for the explicit aqueous solvation model. The computations were enabled by resources provided by the National Academic Infrastructure for Supercomputing in Sweden (NAISS) and the Swedish National Infrastructure for



Computing (SNIC) at NSC and PDC partially funded by the Swedish Research Council through grant agreement no. 2022-06725 and no. 2018-05973. J. H., A. F. and N. H. acknowledge financial support from the Cluster of Excellence 'CUI: Advanced Imaging of Matter' of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) – EXC 2056 – project ID 390715994.

Notes and references

- W. J. Schreier, P. Gilch and W. Zinth, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 2015, **66**, 497–519.
- A. Pinnola and R. Bassi, *Biochem. Soc. Trans.*, 2018, **46**, 467–482.
- D. Sasikumar, A. T. John, J. Sunny and M. Hariharan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 6122–6140.
- X. Zhang, Z. Wang, Y. Hou, Y. Yan, J. Zhao and B. Dick, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2021, **9**, 11944–11973.
- M. A. Bryden and E. Zysman-Colman, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 7587–7680.
- T. Bortolato, S. Cuadros, G. Simionato and L. Dell'Amico, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, **58**, 1263–1283.
- M. C. Daza, M. Doerr, S. Salzmann, C. M. Marian and W. Thiel, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 1688–1696.
- M. Baba, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2011, **115**, 9514–9519.
- T. J. Penfold, E. Gindensperger, C. Daniel and C. M. Marian, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 6975–7025.
- C. M. Marian, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 2021, **72**, 617–640.
- M. A. El-Sayed, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1968, **1**, 8–16.
- S. Lower and M. El-Sayed, *Chem. Rev.*, 1966, **66**, 199–241.
- J. D. Coyle, *Tetrahedron*, 1985, **41**, 5393–5425.
- T. C. Pham, V.-N. Nguyen, Y. Choi, S. Lee and J. Yoon, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 13454–13619.
- V.-N. Nguyen, Y. Yan, J. Zhao and J. Yoon, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **54**, 207–220.
- J. Tang, L. Wang, A. Loreda, C. Cole and H. Xiao, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 6701–6708.
- R. Borrego-Varillas, A. Nenov, P. Kabaciński, I. Conti, L. Ganzer, A. Oriana, V. K. Jaiswal, I. Delfino, O. Weingart and C. Manzoni, *et al.*, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 7285.
- V. I. Prokhorenko, A. Picchiotti, M. Pola, A. G. Dijkstra and R. D. Miller, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **7**, 4445–4450.
- S. Mai, M. Pollum, L. Martínez-Fernández, N. Dunn, P. Marquetand, I. Corral, C. E. Crespo-Hernández and L. González, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 13077.
- R. Borrego-Varillas, D. C. Teles-Ferreira, A. Nenov, I. Conti, L. Ganzer, C. Manzoni, M. Garavelli, A. Maria de Paula and G. Cerullo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 16087–16093.
- D. Mayer, F. Lever, D. Picconi, J. Metje, S. Alisauskas, F. Calegari, S. Düsterer, C. Ehlert, R. Feifel and M. Niebuhr, *et al.*, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 198.
- D. C. Teles-Ferreira, I. Conti, R. Borrego-Varillas, A. Nenov, I. H. Van Stokkum, L. Ganzer, C. Manzoni, A. M. de Paula, G. Cerullo and M. Garavelli, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 336–343.
- R. M. Jay, K. Kunnus, P. Wernet and K. J. Gaffney, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 2022, **73**, 187–208.
- D. Garratt, M. Matthews and J. Marangos, *Struct. Dyn.*, 2024, **11**, 010901.
- U. Bergmann, J. Kern, R. W. Schoenlein, P. Wernet, V. K. Yachandra and J. Yano, *Nat. Rev. Phys.*, 2021, **3**, 264–282.
- T. Wolf, R. H. Myhre, J. Cryan, S. Coriani, R. Squibb, A. Battistoni, N. Berrah, C. Bostedt, P. Bucksbaum and G. Coslovich, *et al.*, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 29.
- V. Scutelnic, S. Tsuru, M. Pápai, Z. Yang, M. Epshtein, T. Xue, E. Haugen, Y. Kobayashi, A. I. Krylov and K. B. Møller, *et al.*, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 5003.
- Y.-P. Chang, T. Balciunas, Z. Yin, M. Sapunar, B. N. Tenorio, A. C. Paul, S. Tsuru, H. Koch, J.-P. Wolf and S. Coriani, *et al.*, *Nat. Phys.*, 2024, 1–9.
- A. Freibert, D. Mendive-Tapia, N. Huse and O. Vendrell, *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.*, 2022, **54**, 244003.
- M. Ochmann, I. Von Ahnen, A. A. Cordones, A. Hussain, J. H. Lee, K. Hong, K. Adamczyk, O. Vendrell, T. K. Kim and R. W. Schoenlein, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 4797–4804.
- M. Ochmann, A. Hussain, I. Von Ahnen, A. A. Cordones, K. Hong, J. H. Lee, R. Ma, K. Adamczyk, T. K. Kim and R. W. Schoenlein, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 6554–6561.
- K. Ledbetter, C. B. Larsen, H. Lim, M. R. Zoric, S. Koroidov, C. D. Pemmaraju, K. J. Gaffney and A. A. Cordones, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, **61**, 9868–9876.
- A. A. Cordones, J. H. Lee, K. Hong, H. Cho, K. Garg, M. Boggio-Pasqua, J. J. Rack, N. Huse, R. W. Schoenlein and T. K. Kim, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1989.
- M. Ochmann, J. Harich, R. Ma, A. Freibert, Y. Kim, M. Gopannagari, D. H. Hong, D. Nam, S. Kim and M. Kim, *et al.*, *Nat. Commun.*, 2024, **15**, 8838.
- Y. Kim, D. Nam, R. Ma, S. Kim, M.-J. Kim, J. Kim, I. Eom, J. H. Lee and T. K. Kim, *J. Synchrotron Radiat.*, 2022, **29**, 194–201.
- M. Alam, *et al.*, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1998, 817–824.
- R. Du, C. Liu, Y. Zhao, K.-M. Pei, H.-G. Wang, X. Zheng, M. Li, J.-D. Xue and D. L. Phillips, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2011, **115**, 8266–8277.
- B. E. Van Kuiken, M. R. Ross, M. L. Strader, A. A. Cordones, H. Cho, J. H. Lee, R. W. Schoenlein and M. Khalil, *Struct. Dyn.*, 2017, **4**, 044021.
- S. Eckert, J. Norell, R. M. Jay, M. Fondell, R. Mitzner, M. Odelius and A. Föhlisch, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 1733–1739.
- J. Norell, S. Eckert, B. E. Van Kuiken, A. Föhlisch and M. Odelius, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **151**, 114117.
- J. Norell, M. Odelius and M. Vacher, *Struct. Dyn.*, 2020, **7**, 024101.
- J. Norell, A. Ljungdahl and M. Odelius, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2019, **123**, 5555–5567.
- M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci,



- H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian~16 Revision C.01*, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 44 E. Runge and E. K. U. Gross, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1984, **52**, 997–1000.
- 45 J.-D. Chai and M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 6615–6620.
- 46 F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **7**, 3297–3305.
- 47 A. Schäfer, C. Huber and R. Ahlrichs, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1994, **100**, 5829–5835.
- 48 R. Cammi, B. Mennucci and J. Tomasi, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2000, **104**, 5631–5637.
- 49 F. Neese, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 2022, **12**, e1606.
- 50 J.-D. Chai and M. Head-Gordon, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **128**, 084106.
- 51 E. Caldeweyher, S. Ehlert, A. Hansen, H. Neugebauer, S. Spicher, C. Bannwarth and S. Grimme, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **150**, 154122.
- 52 J. C. Tully, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1990, **93**, 1061–1071.
- 53 M. Richter, P. Marquetand, J. González-Vázquez, I. Sola and L. González, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2011, **7**, 1253–1258.
- 54 S. Mai, P. Marquetand and L. González, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 2018, **8**, e1370.
- 55 S. Mai, P. Marquetand and L. González, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 2015, **115**, 1215–1231.
- 56 G. Granucci, M. Persico and A. Toniolo, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2001, **114**, 10608–10615.
- 57 F. Plasser, G. Granucci, J. Pittner, M. Barbatti, M. Persico and H. Lischka, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **137**, 22A514.
- 58 F. Plasser, M. Ruckebauer, S. Mai, M. Oppel, P. Marquetand and L. González, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2016, **12**, 1207–1219.
- 59 D. R. Yarkony, *Nonadiabatic Derivative Couplings*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2002.
- 60 B. H. Lengsfeld III and D. R. Yarkony, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1986, **84**, 348–353.
- 61 B. De Souza, G. Farias, F. Neese and R. Izsak, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2019, **15**, 1896–1904.
- 62 G. Granucci and M. Persico, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **126**, 134114.
- 63 G. Granucci, M. Persico and A. Zocante, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **133**, 134111.
- 64 B. O. Roos, P. R. Taylor and P. E. Siegbahn, *Chem. Phys.*, 1980, **48**, 157–173.
- 65 P. Å. Malmqvist, A. Rendell and B. O. Roos, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1990, **94**, 5477–5482.
- 66 B. O. Roos, *et al.*, *Adv. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **69**, 399–445.
- 67 K. Andersson, P.-Å. Malmqvist and B. O. Roos, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1992, **96**, 1218–1226.
- 68 J. Finley, P.-Å. Malmqvist, B. O. Roos and L. Serrano-Andrés, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1998, **288**, 299–306.
- 69 G. Li Manni, I. F. Galván, A. Alavi, F. Aleotti, F. Aquilante, J. Autschbach, D. Avagliano, A. Baiardi, J. J. Bao and S. Battaglia, *et al.*, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2023, **19**, 6933–6991.
- 70 V. Sauri, L. Serrano-Andrés, A. R. M. Shahi, L. Gagliardi, S. Vancoillie and K. Pierloot, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2011, **7**, 153–168.
- 71 M. Guo, L. K. Sørensen, M. G. Delcey, R. V. Pinjari and M. Lundberg, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 3250–3259.
- 72 M. G. Delcey, L. K. Sørensen, M. Vacher, R. C. Couto and M. Lundberg, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2019, **40**, 1789–1799.
- 73 P. Å. Malmqvist and B. O. Roos, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1989, **155**, 189–194.
- 74 P. Å. Malmqvist, B. O. Roos and B. Schimmelpfennig, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2002, **357**, 230–240.
- 75 S. Eckert, P. Miedema, W. Quevedo, B. O'Conneide, M. Fondell, M. Beye, A. Pietzsch, M. Ross, M. Khalil and A. Föhlich, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2016, **647**, 103–106.
- 76 S. Eckert, J. Norell, P. S. Miedema, M. Beye, M. Fondell, W. Quevedo, B. Kennedy, M. Hantschmann, A. Pietzsch and B. E. Van Kuiken, *et al.*, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 6088–6092.
- 77 M. El-Sayed, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1963, **38**, 2834–2838.
- 78 K. Kunnus, I. Josefsson, I. Rajkovic, S. Schreck, W. Quevedo, M. Beye, S. Gröbel, M. Scholz, D. Nordlund and W. Zhang, *et al.*, *New J. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 103011.
- 79 S. K. Das, D. Garratt, K. Gaffney and M. Odelius, *Frontier Orbital Changes Induce Slow-down of Excited State Population Dynamics in Thiopyridone Isomers*, <https://chemrxiv.org/engage/chemrxiv/article-details/67c180a6fa469535b94b0725>.
- 80 S. K. Das, D. Garratt, K. J. Nelson, J. Harich, A. Freibert, C. Bacellar, C. Cirelli, P. J. M. Johnson, M. Zoric, R.-P. Wang, H. Lim, A. A. Cordones, N. Huse, K. Gaffney and M. Odelius, Probing Excimer Dynamics in Aromatic Thiones with Sulfur K-edge Absorption Spectroscopy (Unpublished).

