

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 2826

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Binding of exogenous cyanide reveals new active-site states in [FeFe] hydrogenases†

Maria Alessandra Martini, ^{*a} Konstantin Bikbaev, ^b Yunjie Pang, ^{ac} Christian Lorent, ^d Charlotte Wiemann, ^{de} Nina Breuer, ^a Ingo Zebger, ^d Serena DeBeer, ^a Ingrid Span, ^b Ragnar Bjornsson, ^{af} James A. Birrell ^{*ag} and Patricia Rodríguez-Maciá ^{*h}

[FeFe] hydrogenases are highly efficient metalloenzymes for hydrogen conversion. Their active site cofactor (the H-cluster) is composed of a canonical [4Fe-4S] cluster ($[4\text{Fe}-4\text{S}]_{\text{H}}$) linked to a unique organometallic di-iron subcluster ($[2\text{Fe}]_{\text{H}}$). In $[2\text{Fe}]_{\text{H}}$ the two Fe ions are coordinated by a bridging 2-azapropane-1,3-dithiolate (ADT) ligand, three CO and two CN^- ligands, leaving an open coordination site on one Fe where substrates (H_2 and H^+) as well as inhibitors (e.g. O_2 , CO, H_2S) may bind. Here, we investigate two new active site states that accumulate in [FeFe] hydrogenase variants where the cysteine (Cys) in the proton transfer pathway is mutated to alanine (Ala). Our experimental data, including atomic resolution crystal structures and supported by calculations, suggest that in these two states a third CN^- ligand is bound to the apical position of $[2\text{Fe}]_{\text{H}}$. These states can be generated both by "cannibalization" of CN^- from damaged $[2\text{Fe}]_{\text{H}}$ subclusters as well as by addition of exogenous CN^- . This is the first detailed spectroscopic and computational characterisation of the interaction of exogenous CN^- with [FeFe] hydrogenases. Similar CN^- -bound states can also be generated in wild-type hydrogenases, but do not form as readily as with the Cys to Ala variants. These results highlight how the interaction between the first amino acid in the proton transfer pathway and the active site tunes ligand binding to the open coordination site and affects the electronic structure of the H-cluster.

Received 4th November 2022
Accepted 7th February 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc06098a
rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Hydrogenases are the most powerful natural catalyst for the production and utilization of molecular hydrogen.^{4,5} Depending on the metal content of the cofactor at their active site, hydrogenases are classified as [FeFe], [NiFe] or [Fe]

hydrogenases.⁷ For the [FeFe] type, the cofactor at the active site is called the H-cluster and consists of a canonical [4Fe-4S] cluster ($[4\text{Fe}-4\text{S}]_{\text{H}}$) linked through a cysteine thiolate to a unique organometallic diiron cluster ($[2\text{Fe}]_{\text{H}}$) (Fig. 1A).^{8,9} In $[2\text{Fe}]_{\text{H}}$, the two irons (distinguished as proximal, Fe_p , and distal, Fe_d , depending on their relative distance from $[4\text{Fe}-4\text{S}]_{\text{H}}$) are bridged by a 2-azapropane-1,3-dithiolate (ADT) ligand and a CO ligand, while additional CO and CN^- ligands are terminally bound to each Fe. The open coordination site at the apical position on Fe_d is where activation/formation of H_2 occurs, but also where inhibitors including CO,¹⁰ $\text{H}_2\text{S}^{2,11}$ and O_2 ¹² bind. Binding of O_2 generally leads to the destruction of the H-cluster, making [FeFe] hydrogenases highly oxygen-sensitive.¹²⁻¹⁵

Several states of the H-cluster differing in electron and proton distribution at the two subclusters and ligand binding to the open coordination site have been identified. However, the precise structure and the involvement of some of these states in the catalytic cycle (Fig. 1B) of [FeFe] hydrogenase is still a matter of debate.^{6,16} In $[2\text{Fe}]_{\text{H}}$ the strong-field CO and CN^- ligands stabilize low-spin and low-oxidation states for the two Fe ions, which cycle between Fe(II) and Fe(I) during catalysis. For instance, the active oxidized state H_{ox} has mixed valence $\text{Fe}_p(\text{II})\text{Fe}_d(\text{I})$ in $[2\text{Fe}]_{\text{H}}$.¹⁷ The one-electron reduced state H_{red} retains the $\text{Fe}_p(\text{II})\text{Fe}_d(\text{I})$ valence in $[2\text{Fe}]_{\text{H}}$ but has a reduced $[4\text{Fe}-4\text{S}]_{\text{H}}$. The

^aDepartment of Inorganic Spectroscopy, Max Planck Institute for Chemical Energy Conversion, Stiftstraße 34-36, 45470 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany. E-mail: maria.martini@cecm.mpg.de

^bDepartment of Chemistry and Pharmacy, Friedrich Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Bioinorganic Chemistry, Erlangen, Germany

^cCollege of Chemistry, Beijing Normal University, 100875, Beijing, China

^dInstitut für Chemie, Technische Universität Berlin, Straße des 17. Juni 135, 10623 Berlin, Germany

^eRuanda-Zentrum und Büro für Afrika-Kooperationen, Universität Koblenz-Landau, Universitätsstraße 1, 56070 Koblenz, Germany

^fUniv. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, CEA, IRIG, Laboratoire de Chimie et Biologie des Métaux, 17 Rue des Martyrs, F-38054 Grenoble, Cedex, France

^gSchool of Life Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, CO4 3SQ, UK. E-mail: james.birrell@essex.ac.uk

^hDepartment of Chemistry, Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3QR, UK. E-mail: patricia.rodriguezmacia@chem.ox.ac.uk

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc06098a>




Fig. 1 Structure of the H-cluster and proposed catalytic cycle. (A) Structure of the [2Fe]_H and [4Fe-4S]_H subclusters from *Clostridium pasteurianum* HydA1 (CpHydA1, PDB: 4XDC)¹ with the Cys in the proton transfer pathway also shown (C299 in CpHydA1, C178 in DdHydAB, C169 in CrHydA1). (B) Proposed catalytic cycle also showing the pathways of reversible inactivation by H₂S and CO, respectively. The pathway for H_{inact} formation is only applicable to DdHydAB as the H_{trans} state has not been identified for other [FeFe] hydrogenases. A different catalytic cycle has been proposed by some authors.⁶ (C) Schematic showing the proposed chemical structure of the H_{trans}-like and H_{inact}-like states identified in this study.

reduced states H_{redH⁺} and H_{sredH⁺} are thought to have an Fe_p(i) Fe_d(i) configuration at [2Fe]_H that is favored by concomitant protonation of the ADT ligand.^{18–20} The crucial two-electron reduced H_{hyd} state contains a terminal hydride on Fe_d and an overoxidized [2Fe]_H with a formal Fe_p(ii)Fe_d(ii) configuration.^{21–25} Recently, states with a terminal hydride on Fe_d differing in the redox state of [4Fe-4S]_H have been identified.²⁶

A similar overoxidized [2Fe]_H can be found in two inactive states called H_{trans} and H_{inact}.^{11,27–30} These states form upon reversible inactivation of [FeFe] hydrogenases by sulfide, which binds to the H-cluster under oxidizing conditions in some enzymes,^{2,11} or by binding of a nearby cysteine thiolate in others.^{31–33} In H_{inact}, an RS[–] ligand (where R can be H or the rest of the cysteine amino acid) is thus bound in the apical position to Fe_d, [2Fe]_H is in the overoxidized state and the [4Fe-4S]_H subcluster is oxidized ([4Fe-4S]_H²⁺–[Fe_p(ii)Fe_d(ii)–SR]_H). Notably, the H_{inact} state is stable under air as the RS[–] ligand prevents O₂ from binding to Fe_d. Reversible one-electron reduction of H_{inact}, in which SH[–] is bound, yields the H_{trans} state, which has a reduced [4Fe-4S]_H⁺ ([4Fe-4S]_H⁺–[Fe_p(ii)Fe_d(ii)–SH]_H). Conversion of H_{trans} to the active hydrogenase appears to require an additional reduction step.²⁹ However, the exact mechanism of conversion is not clear, but several theories have been proposed.^{2,11,34} So far, H_{trans} has not been identified in enzymes that bind a cysteine thiolate in H_{inact}.³³

Efficient exchange of protons between the solvent and the active site is crucial during H₂ conversion and is facilitated by a proton channel (also called the proton transfer pathway, PTP). This pathway is formed by a series of largely conserved (at least in prototypical hydrogenases)⁵ amino acids and water

molecules that form a network of hydrogen bonds connecting the protein surface with the H-cluster.^{35–37} Site-directed mutagenesis of amino acids along the proton transfer pathway can impair or even completely abolish catalytic activity, as a consequence of the slower proton exchange with the H-cluster.^{36,38–40} Additionally, it was observed that some H-cluster states accumulate differently in wild-type enzymes and in variants with deficient proton transfer. Closest to the H-cluster is a cysteine residue (C299 in *Clostridium pasteurianum* HydA1, C178 in *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* HydAB, DdHydAB, and C169 in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* HydA1) whose thiol is within hydrogen-bond distance of the bridgehead amine of the ADT ligand (Fig. 1A). When this Cys was mutated to alanine (Ala) or serine (Ser) in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* HydA1 (CrHydA1 C169A and C169S) and to Ser in *Clostridium pasteurianum* HydA1 (CpHydA1 C299S), these hydrogenases formed readily the H_{hyd} state.^{21–23,39,41,42} In addition, the CrHydA1 C169S variant was shown, using electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, to accumulate a state similar to H_{trans}, but the precise nature of this state remains unknown.^{21,22,43} Finally, the C169A variant of CrHydA1 has been reported to react with oxygen to form an H_{ox}–O₂ state (so far observed only in this particular mutant), which has been suggested to have a superoxide bound to Fe_d and an oxidized [4Fe-4S] cluster, yielding a [4Fe-4S]_H²⁺–[Fe_p(i)Fe_d(iii)–O₂[–]]_H electronic configuration.⁴⁴ However, the infrared (IR) spectrum of this state is very similar to the H_{inact} state and so a formal Fe_p(ii)Fe_d(ii) valence would seem more likely.

In this study, we investigated the effects of replacing the Cys in the proton transfer pathway with alanine in the hydrogenases DdHydAB and CrHydA1. DdHydAB is an exceptionally active

bidirectional hydrogenase that contains two additional [4Fe-4S] clusters (F-clusters) for electron transfer between the H-cluster and the protein surface. The mutation of amino acids along the proton transfer pathway of *DdHydAB* has not been investigated before. The C169A variant of *CrHydA1* has already been studied in particular in relation to the H_{hyd} and $H_{\text{ox}}-\text{O}_2$ states,^{23,41,44} but here we report two new active site states in *CrHydA1* C169A never identified before. In both *DdHydAB* C178A and *CrHydA1* C169A, we observed formation of unprecedented H-cluster states similar to H_{trans} and H_{inact} . By combining their spectroscopic and structural characterization, we demonstrated that these H_{trans} -like and H_{inact} -like states form upon binding of CN^- to the H-cluster (Fig. 1C). These CN^- -bound states form also in wild-type (WT) hydrogenases, but are stabilized in the Cys to Ala mutants. This study highlights how the interaction between the Cys in the proton transfer pathway and the H-cluster (specifically the bridgehead amine in [2Fe]_H) tunes the electronic structure of the H-cluster and regulates ligand binding to the apical position of Fe_d .

Results

DdHydAB C178A is isolated in an H_{trans} -like state

The *DdHydAB* C178A mutant was recombinantly expressed in *E. coli* as an “apo”-hydrogenase (*i.e.* containing the [4Fe-4S]_H subcluster and all the accessory F-clusters but lacking [2Fe]_H) and artificially matured *in vitro*, as routinely performed with the WT enzyme.⁴⁵ The WT *DdHydAB* is commonly isolated after maturation (under 2% H_2 and 98% N_2) as a mixture of states,

mainly H_{ox} , $\text{H}_{\text{ox}}-\text{CO}$, and $\text{H}_{\text{red}}\text{H}^+$.⁴⁵ As shown in the IR spectra in Fig. 2A, after artificial maturation the C178A mutant was, surprisingly, isolated in an almost pure unprecedented state that greatly differs from the states normally observed in freshly matured WT *DdHydAB* (Table S1†). In particular, the spectrum of the as isolated C178A mutant exhibits a broad absorption at 1853 cm^{-1} attributed to the bridging CO ligand, a single broad band at 1989 cm^{-1} attributed to the two overlapping CO ligands (potentially resulting from the two overlapping CO bands, exhibiting a shoulder at $\sim 2002\text{ cm}^{-1}$), and three bands at 2116 , 2100 and 2087 cm^{-1} attributed to CN^- ligands (instead of the expected two bands). Relative to the IR bands observed for the H_{ox} state in WT *DdHydAB*, the IR bands of the C178A variant are shifted to higher energies (blue-shifted) suggesting decreased electron density on [2Fe]_H. The frequencies of the IR bands are very similar to those of the H_{trans} state in WT *DdHydAB* (Table S1†),^{2,29} therefore, we hypothesized that this new state could be an H_{trans} -like state (*i.e.* with the same electronic configuration [4Fe-4S]_H⁺-[Fe_p(II)Fe_d(II)]_H). An H_{inact} -like state ([4Fe-4S]_H²⁺-[Fe_p(II)Fe_d(II)]_H) was formed both by oxidation of the as-isolated C178A variant under anaerobic conditions (using hexaammineruthenium(III) chloride, HAR) or by exposure to atmospheric oxygen (Fig. 2A, green bands), yielding identical IR spectra in each case. Exposure of the as-isolated sample to air did not result in any significant decrease in IR signal intensity, suggesting that all active site species present in the H_{trans} -like state transform into an H_{inact} -like state. Notably, this H_{inact} -like state is another example of an air-stable state in [FeFe] hydrogenases. Therefore, in addition to having the same




Fig. 2 IR and EPR spectra of *DdHydAB* C178A exhibit new H_{trans} -like and H_{inact} -like states. (A) IR spectra of freshly matured *DdHydAB* C178A (in 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 25 mM KCl): as isolated; oxidized under anaerobic conditions (with 10 mM HAR); oxidized under air. Bands from the H_{trans} -like and H_{inact} -like states are colored in orange and green, respectively. (B and C) Samples of *DdHydAB* C178A were prepared under different conditions. One aliquot was used for room temperature IR measurements (B), while the remaining sample was used for CW X-band EPR measurements (C). In (A and B), bands are color coded as follows: green for H_{inact} -like state, orange for H_{trans} -like state, purple for H_{trans} -like F_{red} state. The gray bands correspond to traces of an unidentified state that potentially lacks the third CN^- ligand (which protects the H-cluster from O_2 attack), as this state disappears after exposure to air. In (C), experimental spectra are in black, overlaid in one case with spectral simulations (dashed red line). EPR experimental conditions: microwave frequency = 9.64 GHz; microwave power = 1 mW for the first two conditions (under air, 250 μM NaDT), 0.1 mW for the bottom one (10 mM NaDT); temperature is specified in the figure.



electronic configuration of H_{trans} and H_{inact} , respectively, we hypothesized that the new states might have an additional ligand (likely different from SH^-) bound at the open coordination site.

To confirm our assignment of H_{trans} -like and H_{inact} -like states, we measured EPR spectra of *DdHydAB* C178A poised in different states as confirmed by IR spectroscopy (Fig. 2C). The H_{inact} -like state is EPR silent, like the H_{inact} state in WT *DdHydAB*.³⁰ Only a signal from a [3Fe-4S] cluster could be detected, probably due to oxidative damage to the F-cluster located in vicinity of the protein surface. Addition of one equivalent of reducing agent (sodium dithionite, NaDT) to the *DdHydAB* C178A sample, which had partially converted to the H_{inact} -like state during storage (Fig. S1A†) reverts it to the H_{trans} -like state (Fig. 2B). The H_{trans} -like state exhibits a rhombic EPR signal ($g = 2.06, 1.98, 1.91$) similar to the one of H_{trans} in WT *DdHydAB* (Fig. S2†),³⁰ confirming our initial assignment of *DdHydAB* C178A being isolated in an H_{trans} -like state. Addition of excess NaDT results in only minor shifts to lower energies (red-shift) of all IR bands and gives rise to a complex EPR interaction spectrum. We interpreted this behaviour with the H-cluster remaining in an EPR-active H_{trans} -like state while the accessory F-clusters are being reduced to EPR-active states by the excess of NaDT, resulting in strong dipolar spin-coupling (H_{trans} -like F_{red} state) as observed previously for the WT enzyme in the H_{ox} or H_{ox} -CO state with reduced F-clusters.⁴⁶ Samples in the as-isolated state and purged with CO showed no change to the IR spectrum (Fig. S1B†) indicating that this state was unable to bind CO, most likely due to an already occupied coordination site.

Evidence for an additional CN^- ligand at the H-cluster in *DdHydAB* C178A

For all the states we could observe in *DdHydAB* C178A (H_{trans} -like, H_{inact} -like and H_{trans} -like F_{red}), the IR spectra always exhibit three bands in the CN^- region. To test if all the bands derive from CN^- vibrations associated with the H-cluster, we performed the artificial maturation of the C178A mutant with a precursor of the [2Fe]_H cluster with both CN^- ligands labelled with ¹³C. As shown in Fig. 3A, we observed an isotope shift (46–44 cm^{-1}) of all three CN^- absorptions in the IR spectrum of the H_{inact} -like state. We could interpret these results in three ways: (i) three CN^- ligands are present at the H-cluster; (ii) two CN^- vibrations couple in an unusual way giving rise to three IR bands; (iii) spectra represent two very similar states with one strongly overlapping CN^- band, while the other CN^- band in each state is distinct. The second hypothesis is not likely as the CN^- ligands are on different Fe ions and such a structure is unlikely to give significant quadratic coupling.^{29,47} Previous isotope editing experiments on the CO ligands in WT enzyme showed very little perturbation in the vibrational frequency of the pCO ligand (the terminal CO on Fe_p), when dCO (the terminal CO on Fe_d) or μ CO (the bridging CO) were exchanged with ¹³CO.^{29,47}

The isotope shift could be reproduced by quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations of an

H-cluster model ($Fe(p)Fe(d)$ redox state) of the C178A variant of *DdHydAB*, with CN^- as the exogenous ligand on Fe_d , as shown in Fig. 3B. Two protonation states of the amine in the ADT ligand were calculated: singly protonated (ADT) and doubly protonated (ADTH). The experimental ¹³CN isotope shifts of 43–46 cm^{-1} could be satisfactorily reproduced with both models: 43–46 cm^{-1} (ADT) and 43–45 cm^{-1} (ADTH). The absolute experimental frequencies are reasonably well reproduced by scaled harmonic frequencies, though with some differences between ADT and ADTH models. The terminal CO modes were somewhat better predicted by the ADTH model while the CN^- modes and bridging CO modes were better predicted with the ADT model. We note that the CO frequencies are quite dependent on the quality of the model, density functional and scaling factor while the CN^- frequencies are less so (Fig. S3 and Materials and methods in the ESI†). The calculated relative intensities of the three CN^- modes, however, differ more strongly between models. The ADT model predicts an increase in CN^- mode intensity with decreasing energy, consistent with the experimental intensities, while the ADTH model does not. The reason is that the order of the assigned CN^- modes differs between ADT/ADTH models; the exogenous CN^- mode is the highest-energy CN^- mode for the ADT model but it is the lowest for the ADTH. These differences can be explained by a stronger exogenous CN^- -binding in the ADTH model (aided by stronger H-bonding to the doubly protonated amine). Other conformers of the ADT and ADTH models were explored (Fig. S4†) but were found to be energetically unfavorable. Overall the calculations suggest the H_{inact} -like state as best described by an $[Fe_p(p)Fe_d(d)]_H$ model featuring an exogenous CN^- ligand in the apical position with a singly protonated bridgehead amine of the ADT ligand.

To further investigate the properties of the H-cluster in the C178A variant of *DdHydAB*, we solved crystal structures of the enzyme in the H_{inact} -like and the H_{trans} -like states using X-ray crystallography (Fig. 4 and S5–S9†). After exposure to air to form the H_{inact} -like state, the protein was crystallized under aerobic conditions (Fig. 4A and S7†) as performed previously for the SH -bound H_{inact} state in the WT enzyme.¹¹ IR and resonance Raman (RR) measurements on crystals⁴⁸ prepared under the same conditions confirmed that the enzyme was indeed in the H_{inact} -like state (Fig. S5†). However, small shifts in the band positions compared with solution measurements were observed and are likely related to temperature-dependent changes or crystal packing effects. Such effects have been observed previously for $[FeFe]$ ^{11,49} and $[NiFe]$ hydrogenases.^{48,50,51} We also solved a structure of the H_{trans} -like state of the enzyme from crystals grown under anaerobic conditions (2% H_2 , 98% N_2) (Fig. 4B). *DdHydAB* C178A crystallized in an orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$ as observed for the previously reported WT *DdHydAB* in the H_{inact} state.¹¹ The crystals diffracted up to 1.0 \AA and the structures were solved at high resolution, 1.04 \AA for the H_{inact} -like state and 1.01 \AA for the H_{trans} -like state. The overall structure of the C178A variant in the H_{inact} -like state and the WT enzyme in the H_{inact} state is virtually identical with an RMSD of 0.237 \AA (calculated for all $C\alpha$ atoms of all residues, Table S2) (Fig. S7 and S8†). The structure at atomic resolution clearly






Fig. 3 (A) Experimental IR spectra of *DdHydAB* C178A matured with natural abundance (top) and $^{13}\text{CN}^-$ -labeled [2Fe]_H precursor (bottom), both exposed to air to form the H_{inact} -like state. The small additional feature in the ^{13}CN spectrum may represent a small amount of an unknown degradation product. (B) Calculated IR spectra of the H-cluster model with either a singly protonated (ADT) or doubly protonated (ADTH) ADT ligand. Insets are [2Fe]_H structures with chemical groups associated with modes labelled. A scaling factor of 0.964 was used.

shows a diatomic ligand in the apical position of Fe_d and the Ala residue that replaced the Cys at position 178. Moreover, we identified two additional well-defined water molecules appearing near the Ala178. Interestingly, in the crystal structure of the C299A variant from *CpHydA1* reported by Duan *et al.*,³⁶ the

space of the missing thiol group was replaced by an additional H_2O molecule (Wat962), which was located at 3.4–3.7 Å from the NH group of the ADT ligand and at 3.6–3.7 Å from the Wat826 molecule of the PTP.³⁶ This new water molecule was hypothesised to rescue proton transfer activity in the absence of the




Fig. 4 Crystal structures of the *DdHydAB* C178A mutant in two different states. (A) *DdHydAB* in the H_{inact} -like state (PDB ID 8BJ7) is shown as cartoon and colored in gray. (B) *DdHydAB* in the H_{trans} -like state (PDB ID 8BJ8) is shown as cartoon and colored in magenta. Close-up view of the active site showing the H-cluster, the Cys ligating the cofactor, the side chain of Ala178 and the well-defined water molecules with a distance <4.0 Å from Ala178. The protein backbone is shown as cartoon, the amino acid side chains and the H-cluster including the bound CN⁻ ligand are shown as stick model, and water molecules are shown as spheres. The cofactors and amino acid side chains are colored according to the element-specific color code. A 2Fo-Fc electron density map (blue mesh, contoured at 1.0 σ) is shown for the H-cluster including the CN⁻ ligand, the side chain of Ala178, and the water molecules.



thiol; however, the authors could not measure any significant catalytic activity.

The overall architecture of the H_{inact} -like and the H_{trans} -like states are also virtually identical with an RMSD of 0.053 Å (calculated for all $C\alpha$ atoms of all residues, Table S2) (Fig. S8†). While for the structure of WT *DdHydAB* in the H_{inact} state a reduced occupancy of the $[2Fe]_H$ subcluster led to better agreement between modelled and experimental data,¹¹ here we observed no negative difference density when refining the structural models with an occupancy of 100% for the $[2Fe]_H$ subcluster. This could be evidence for a better incorporation of the $[2Fe]_H$ subcluster during artificial maturation or higher stability of the H-cluster during crystallization in the C178A mutant compared to the WT protein.

The crystal structure clearly shows a well-defined, relatively symmetric bridging CO (Fig. S9†), with roughly equal Fe_p-C_b and Fe_d-C_b bond distances. A similar observation was made for the H_{inact} state in wild type *DdHydAB*,¹¹ whereas other $[FeFe]$ hydrogenase structures show slight lengthening of the Fe_p-C_b bond and shortening of the Fe_d-C_b bond.^{8,9,52} The differences here are attributed to the oxidation states and coordination environment of Fe_p and Fe_d . In our structure with cyanide bound and the previously published H_{inact} state¹¹ both Fe ions were $Fe^{(II)}$ and hexacoordinate. Meanwhile for structures obtained of the active enzyme,^{8,9,52} the Fe ions are more reduced (for H_{ox} Fe_d is reduced to $Fe^{(I)}$ and for $H_{red}H^+$ both Fe ions are reduced to $Fe^{(I)}$) and Fe_d is pentacoordinate. These effects lead to shortening of the Fe_d-C_b bond giving a semi-bridging CO.

On the basis of these results and the fact that the IR spectra of all H_{inact} -like and H_{trans} -like states in *DdHydAB* C178A exhibit three CN^- bands, we suggest that in these states a third CN^- is present at the H-cluster, bound at the apical position on Fe_d . Therefore, in the structure we modelled a CN^- ligand coordinated to the distal iron ion through its carbon atom, with a $Fe-C$ distance of 1.90 Å. To confirm the assignment of the ligands, we calculated an omit map in the absence of the $[2Fe]_H$ subsite and the additional ligand at the apical position on Fe_d . The omit map (Fig. S9†) supports the positioning of the atoms in the electron density. In addition, we are able to distinguish between the N and O atoms of the terminal and bridging ligands when increasing the contouring level to $\sigma = 2.8$ Å (Fig. S9C†). This result suggests that the exogenous CN^- ligand remains in the apical position, which agrees with the QM/MM calculations as well as the illumination experiments on *CrHydA1* C169A (see below).

A similar H_{trans} -like state in *CrHydA1* C169A

To gain further understanding of these CN^- bound states and on the role of the Cys in the proton transfer pathway in their formation, we decided to re-investigate the C169A variant of *CrHydA1*. As mentioned in the introduction, this particular mutation in *CrHydA1* has already been studied and showed accumulation of the H_{hyd} and $H_{ox}-O_2$ states.^{23,41,44} In contrast with what we observed with *DdHydAB* C178A, and consistent with the previous reports on *CrHydA1* C169A, this mutant is isolated after artificial maturation without an additional CN^-

ligand on the H-cluster. Indeed, *CrHydA1* C169A is initially isolated under 2% H_2 in a mixture of the H_{hyd} state (which can be enriched upon addition of NaDT) and another state that has been previously assigned as H_{ox} on the basis of the position of the IR bands (Fig. 5A).^{23,53} However, the EPR spectrum of the as-isolated enzyme lacks the characteristic rhombic signal for the H_{ox} state and shows only the H_{hyd} state as major component (75%) (Fig. 5B). The EPR spectrum of the H_{hyd} state in *CrHydA1* C169A ($g = 2.075, 1.942, 1.884$) is nearly identical to the one reported for the same state in the C169S mutant ($g = 2.07, 1.94, 1.88$).²² Therefore, we suggest that the state originally labelled as H_{ox} may instead be an EPR silent state with a similar electronic structure to the H_{red} state (H_{red} -like, light blue IR bands in Fig. 5A), which has a reduced $[4Fe-4S]_H$ ($[4Fe-4S]_H^{+/-}[Fe_{p(H)}Fe_{d(I)}]_H$). Oxidation of this state with one equivalent of oxidizing agent (HAR) under anaerobic conditions formed a new state with its most intense IR band at 1948 cm⁻¹, with an EPR spectrum ($g = 2.104, 2.046, 2.000$) similar to that observed for the H_{ox} state in WT *CrHydA1* (dark blue bands in Fig. 5A). Interestingly, these H_{red} -like and H_{ox} -like states have similar FTIR spectra to those observed for the recently characterised $H_{ox}H$ and $H'_{red}H$ states,⁵³⁻⁵⁵ with bands shifted to higher energy compared with the H_{red} and H_{ox} states in WT *CrHydA1*.

Despite being initially isolated in states lacking an additional CN^- ligand (H_{red} -like, H_{hyd}), incubation of *CrHydA1* C169A (pH 8) in the glovebox (2% H_2 , 98% N_2) for 24 h at room temperature led to the appearance in the IR spectrum of an H_{trans} -like state similar to the one observed in *DdHydAB* C178A, including a third CN^- band appearing at high energy (2114 cm⁻¹, Fig. 5A). This H_{trans} -like state could also be enriched upon addition of exogenous CN^- to freshly maturated enzyme (Fig. 5A), which is initially isolated as a mixture of H_{red} -like and H_{hyd} (Fig. 5A). CN^- binding to the H_{hyd} state seems less favored, consistent with the presence of a terminal hydride bound to Fe_d in H_{hyd} (Fig. 5A). Therefore, complete conversion of the as-isolated enzyme to the H_{trans} -like state required addition of half an equivalent of oxidizing agent (HAR) to first oxidize the H_{hyd} state (Fig. 5A). Addition of excess CN^- induces partial degradation of the H-cluster, as demonstrated by the appearance in the IR spectra of a broad band around 2037 cm⁻¹, which suggests formation of $[Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}$ (Fig. 5A),³ as already reported for other Fe-containing metalloenzymes like CODH upon treatment with CN^- .⁵⁶ Therefore, after the formation of the H_{trans} -like state, samples were buffer exchanged to remove degradation products as well as the excess of free CN^- to give cleaner IR spectra as in Fig. 5 and 6.

The EPR spectrum of the H_{trans} -like state in *CrHydA1* C169A could be simulated with two components having similar rhombic signals (Fig. 5B). The first component ($g = 2.068, 1.977, 1.916$), accounting for ca. 87% of the signal, resembles the EPR spectrum of the H_{trans} -like state in *DdHydAB* C178A as well as a similar H_{trans} -like state previously observed in *CrHydA1* C169S ($g = 2.065, 1.969, 1.906$), which was never assigned to a particular structure of the H-cluster.^{21,22,43} The second rhombic component ($g = 2.066, 2.008, 1.935$) could potentially relate to a different protein or H-cluster conformation. As observed for *DdHydAB* C178A, oxidation of *CrHydA1* C169A in the H_{trans} -like state yields






Fig. 5 IR and EPR spectra suggest formation of a CN^- -dependent H_{trans} -like state also in CrHydA1 C169A. (A) Room temperature IR spectra of CrHydA1 C169A under different conditions: as isolated; with NaDT; after 24 h incubation under 2% H_2 ; after addition of 5 mM NaCN, 100 μM HAR and then buffer exchanged ("+NaCN"); oxidized with 220 μM (1.1 eq.) HAR; after addition of 1 mM NaCN; after addition of 5 mM NaCN and 100 μM (0.5 eq.) HAR. Bands are color-coded as follows: light blue for H_{red} -like, pink for H_{hyd} , orange for the H_{trans} -like, and blue for the H_{ox} -like state. Bands in gray correspond to traces of the H_{inact} -like and H_{ox} -CO states. For the H_{ox} -like state the bands in the complex CN^- region could not be assigned. In the H_{hyd} state, CN^- binding is likely disfavored as a hydride is bound to Fe_d . The single asterisk marks the band of HCN (2093 cm^{-1}), while the double asterisk marks the band of $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ (2037 cm^{-1}),³ which suggests partial cofactor degradation upon CN^- addition. Clean spectra for the H_{trans} -like state where obtained after buffer-exchanging the protein to eliminate HCN, free CN^- and degradation products. (B) CW X-band EPR spectra for some of the conditions shown in (A). Experimental spectra are shown in black and are overlaid with spectral simulations (dashed red line) with component spectra underneath. The pink component corresponds to the H_{hyd} state. The orange component is likely the H_{trans} -like state, while the gray component may represent an alternative, as yet unidentified, state. Presence of the H_{trans} -like components in the EPR spectrum of as isolated enzyme suggests that a small amount of H_{trans} -like state has already formed in freshly matured CrHydA1 C169A (the small shoulder at 1988 cm^{-1} in the IR spectrum of the same sample is also consistent with the presence of traces of the H_{trans} -like state). The blue trace corresponds to the H_{ox} -like state, while the dark cyan trace corresponds to the H_{ox} -CO state. EPR experimental conditions: microwave frequency = 9.64 GHz; microwave power = 1 mW; temperature is specified in the figure. (C) IR spectra of the H_{inact} -like states in CrHydA1 C169A. From the H_{trans} -like state, two similar but slightly different H_{inact} -like states form by oxidation of the enzyme with HAR under anaerobic (top) or by oxidation by atmospheric oxygen (bottom).

an H_{inact} -like state. However, two similar H_{inact} -like states were formed depending on whether the oxidation was performed under anaerobic conditions by HAR or by atmospheric oxygen (Fig. 5B). A reason for this difference could be damage to the $[\text{4Fe-4S}]_h$ during the different oxidative treatments. Notably,

illumination of the air-oxidized CrHydA1 C169A at cryogenic temperature (Fig. S10†) did not reveal any photosensitivity, confirming again the assignment of a terminally bound CN^- for the H_{trans} -like and H_{inact} -like states, since a CO species in the apical position would likely be photolyzed.^{29,30}




Fig. 6 IR spectra of *CrHydA1* C169A prepared in the H_{trans} -like state using natural abundance and ^{13}C labelled CN^- exhibit an expected isotope shift of one of the CN^- bands. Small peaks in the region between 1930 and 1940 cm^{-1} are likely due to small contributions from states without CN^- bound.

Treatment of freshly-maturated *CrHydA1* C169A with $^{13}CN^-$ yielded an H_{trans} -like state which exhibited an isotope shift (46 cm^{-1}) of one of the CN^- band in the IR spectrum (Fig. 6). This result unambiguously confirms that a third CN^- ligand is bound at the H-cluster in the H_{trans} -like and H_{inact} -like states. Additionally, this result allows us to assign the exogenous CN^- ligand on Fe_d to the band with the highest vibrational frequency (in samples prepared with $^{12}CN^-$) among the three CN^- bands. In line with this observation, resonance Raman (RR) measurements on *CrHydA1* C169A in the H_{inact} -like state (Fig. S11A†) revealed $1\text{--}3\text{ cm}^{-1}$ downshifts in the region characteristic for metal-ligand vibrations with contributions from the cyanide ligands ($390\text{--}600\text{ cm}^{-1}$), when comparing samples prepared with $^{12}CN^-$ and $^{13}CN^-$. This is consistent with an expected lower vibrational frequency in the presence of a heavier atom. Calculated Raman spectra of the apical CN^- -bound H-cluster models in a $[Fe_p(n)Fe_d(n)]_H$ redox state (note: using the *DdHydAB* QM/MM model) reproduce the experimental RR spectra and ^{13}C isotope shifts fairly well (Fig. S11†). An observed mode at 603 cm^{-1} (experimental), assigned as a bridging CO bending mode, could only be reproduced (calculated 605 cm^{-1}) with the ADT model, suggesting the ADT ligand to be singly protonated.

Addition of CN^- to WT hydrogenases

Can these CN^- -dependent states only be formed in $[FeFe]$ hydrogenases with a disrupted proton transfer pathway? IR spectra of artificially matured WT *DdHydAB* quite often show a band at 1987 cm^{-1} ,⁴⁵ which has previously been difficult to assign to any known state of the H-cluster (Fig. 7A). However, we note that the vibrational frequency of this band is similar to the one of the terminal CO ligands in the CN^- -dependent H_{trans} -like state in *DdHydAB* C178A (1989 cm^{-1}) (Fig. 2). Indeed, addition of exogenous CN^- to WT *DdHydAB* caused an increase in

intensity of this band, together with the appearance of other bands characteristic of the CN^- -dependent H_{trans} -like state (Fig. 7B).

Oxidation with HAR under anaerobic conditions gives rise to multiple bands in the IR spectrum, some belonging to the H_{ox} -CO state and others similar to the H_{inact} -like state of the C178A mutant. Subsequent exposure of the enzyme to oxygen, yields a simpler IR spectrum with only an H_{inact} -like state present (slightly blue-shifted compared to the analogous state observed under anaerobic conditions, potentially reflecting a difference in the oxidation state of F-clusters, fully oxidized under aerobic conditions) (Fig. 7D). This same CN^- -dependent H_{inact} -like state is present in small amounts when WT *DdHydAB* is prepared in the H_{inact} state by addition of sulfide,² and probably derives from the small amount of the CN^- -dependent H_{trans} -like state that forms during artificial maturation of this enzyme. Addition of exogenous CN^- to WT *CrHydA1* also induces formation of a CN^- -dependent H_{trans} -like state (Fig. 7F and G). However, we noted that in this case addition of excess CN^- caused substantial degradation of the H-cluster (more than with the *CrHydA1* C169A mutant or WT *DdHydAB*). Therefore, we did not investigate the formation of the H_{inact} -like state in WT *CrHydA1* in more detail.

Discussion

In this work, we identified two new H-cluster redox states with electronic structures similar to those of the H_{trans} and H_{inact} states.^{2,11,29} These states have been characterized in detail *via* a combination of spectroscopic, crystallographic and computational techniques. We revealed that the H_{trans} -like and H_{inact} -like states form upon reaction of $[FeFe]$ hydrogenases with CN^- . Isotope labelling experiments and X-ray crystallography, supported by computational calculations, suggest that CN^- binds at the open coordination site of the H-cluster and, therefore, protects it from O_2 binding, as it blocks the vacant site. Unlike typical $[FeFe]$ hydrogenase inhibitors such as CO and H_2S , which bind to the H-cluster reversibly,^{2,57} CN^- binding appears to be irreversible, at least under the conditions studied here (pH 8). As noted earlier, purging the *DdHydAB* C178A variant with CO had no effect (Fig. S11†) and all samples are initially prepared under an atmosphere of 2% H_2 , suggesting that neither CO nor H_2 can effectively compete off CN^- . Although CN^- binding to the H-cluster confers air-stability to $[FeFe]$ hydrogenases, the irreversible nature of CN^- binding does not make the formation of the H_{inact} -like state a suitable strategy to protect $[FeFe]$ hydrogenases during aerobic handling, in contrast to the reversible formation of the H_2S -dependent H_{inact} state.^{2,58}

For the Cys-to-Ala variants and, to a smaller extent, also for the artificially matured WT *DdHydAB*, the H_{trans} -like state could form even in the absence of exogenous CN^- . We suggest that the source of CN^- in this case derives from the degradation of the $[2Fe]_H$ synthetic cofactor during artificial maturation. Degradation of the $[2Fe]_H$ cofactor leads to the dissociation of the CO and CN^- ligands, which can in turn bind to the 'intact' H-clusters. This process of cofactor "cannibalization" is a well-known source of the H_{ox} -CO state in WT enzymes,²⁹ but this is the






Fig. 7 Formation of the H_{trans} -like and H_{inact} -like states in WT [FeFe] hydrogenase. IR spectra of WT *DdHydAB* and WT *CrHydA1* under several conditions. Left panel – *DdHydAB*: as isolated (A); with 10 mM NaCN (B); with 10 mM NaCN and 10 mM HAR (C); with 10 mM NaCN, 10 mM HAR and after exposure to air (D); after preparation of the H_{inact} state with Na_2S as described in ref. 2. Right panel – *CrHydA1*: as isolated (F) and with 10 mM NaCN. Bands from the H_{trans} -like state are colored in orange, those from the H_{inact} -like state obtained under anaerobic conditions in light green and those from the H_{inact} -like state under air in dark green. The single and double asterisk indicate HCN and $[Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}$ respectively. In (A), the additional bands (not colored) correspond to the H_{ox} and $H_{red}H^+$ states, with small contributions from the H_{ox} -CO and H_{red} states. A small proportion of the H_{trans} -like state is often present even in freshly-matured *DdHydAB*. In (C), the gray bands belong to the H_{ox} -CO state. In (D), the small blue-shift observed when the enzyme is exposed to oxygen after addition of NaCN and HAR (H_{inact} -like air) might be caused by oxidation of the F-clusters not already oxidized by HAR. As shown in (E), the same " H_{inact} -like air" state is routinely present as a minor component when the H_{inact} state (not colored) is prepared by addition of Na_2S and HAR,² as a result of the oxidation of the H_{trans} -like state formed during artificial maturation (shown in A). In (F), the additional bands belong to the H_{red} state, with smaller contributions from the $H_{red}H^+$ and $H_{sred}H^+$ states. The H_{red} and $H_{sred}H^+$ states are present also in (G), after addition of CN^- to *CrHydA1*. Addition of CN^- to *CrHydA1* seems to induce a lot more H-cluster degradation, as demonstrated by the loss in intensity in the IR spectra (while the protein concentration was similar, 420 μM in (F) and 500 μM in (G)) and the presence of an intense band for $[Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}$.

first time that CN^- binding is also observed. The reason why *DdHydAB* enzymes (mutant and WT) form more of the H_{trans} -like state compared with *CrHydA1* is because this enzyme requires longer maturation times with a large excess of cofactor and higher temperature (see Materials and methods in the ESI†), therefore, promoting degradation of the $[2Fe]_H$ cofactor and allowing the accumulation of more free CN^- in solution. In contrast, artificial maturation of *CrHydA1* can be achieved in one hour with only a small excess of the $[2Fe]_H$ cofactor. Nevertheless, *DdHydAB* shows an unusually high affinity towards strong-field ligands, *i.e.* CO and CN^- , with a tendency to stabilize them in the apical position of the distal Fe ion, as is well known for the CO-inhibited state H_{ox} -CO in native *DdHydAB*. For example, Goldet *et al.* showed that *DdHydAB* had a 25-fold higher K_I (inhibition constant for CO during H_2 oxidation) than *CrHydA1*, and a 330-fold higher K_I than *HydA1* [FeFe] hydrogenase from *Clostridium acetobutylicum* (*CaHydA*).⁵⁷ In addition, the C169A variant of *CrHydA1*,^{23,41,44} the C299A variant of *CpHydA1*³⁶ and the C298A variant of *CaHydA*⁵⁹ have been produced and studied before. In none of these cases was spontaneous formation of CN^- -bound states observed during artificial maturation, further highlighting that the C178A variant of *DdHydAB* had especially

high affinity for CN^- . The H_{inact} -like crystal structure presented in this work (Fig. 4) at atomic resolution, shows a diatomic ligand at the apical position on Fe_d , which we have modelled as CN^- . The reason for this high affinity of *DdHydAB* towards strong-field ligands is not well understood and needs to be investigated further. Very recently, Duan *et al.* showed binding of CN^- to WT *CpHydA1* and *CrHydA1* using X-ray crystallography and IR spectroscopy.⁶⁰ Their structures show an identical binding mode for the CN^- ligand, but the authors propose additional hydrogen bonding interactions from the ADT ligand and nearby cysteine to the nitrogen of the CN^- ligand. While their IR spectra of CN^- -bound WT *CpHydA1* appear to be analogous to those from the H_{inact} -like states of *DdHydAB* C178A and *CrHydA1* C169A reported here, their IR spectrum of CN^- -bound *CrHydA1* appears to be analogous to our spectrum of *CrHydA1* C169A in the H_{trans} -like state.

Another example that illustrates the exceptional ligand binding properties of *DdHydAB* is the formation of the H_2S -dependent H_{inact} state. Previous work on WT *DdHydAB* showed that anaerobic oxidation in the presence of sulfide results in binding of SH_2 to the open coordination site, forming the H_{inact} state, for which the crystal structure revealed a SH^-

ligand on the apical position of Fe_d . Sulfide binding to the active site also requires an overoxidized $[\text{2Fe}]_\text{H}$ subcluster (*i.e.* $[\text{Fe}_\text{p}(\text{II})\text{Fe}_\text{d}(\text{II})]$).^{2,11} This has been shown to occur in both *DdHydAB* and *CrHydA1*,² but much less effectively in *CpHydA1*, *CaHydA* and *MeHydA* from *Megasphaera elsdenii*.³⁴ Interestingly, during electrochemistry *DdHydAB* inactivates with a slightly more negative potential (E_switch) than *CrHydA1* suggesting that H_2S binding is faster and H_2S release is slower for *DdHydAB* compared with *CrHydA1*.²

In both enzymes, *DdHydAB* and *CrHydA1*, the Cys-to-Ala mutation in the proton transfer pathway favors the formation of the CN^- bound states. We hypothesize that this may be related to the fact that the H-cluster in the Cys-to-Ala variants seems to be electron-deficient compared to the WT enzymes, as suggested by the blue-shifted bands observed in the IR spectra. This is also in line with previous reports on the altered $\text{H}_\text{ox}/\text{H}_\text{red}$ thermodynamics in *CrHydA1 C169S*.²² In contrast, in the *HydA1* [FeFe] hydrogenase from *Clostridium acetobutylicum*, the Cys mutation in the PTP to the ionizable residue aspartic acid seems to favor formation of H_ox over H_red .⁴⁰

Why does CN^- binding favor H_trans -/ H_inact -like states? While CN^- is generally considered a good π -acceptor, recent experimental and theoretical studies have shown that it is dominated by σ -donating properties with only weak π -accepting properties.^{61,62} Therefore, CN^- might stabilize higher oxidation states (*e.g.* the overoxidized $[\text{2Fe}]_\text{H}$ subcluster in the H_trans -like and H_inact -like states $[\text{Fe}_\text{p}(\text{II})\text{Fe}_\text{d}(\text{II})]_\text{H}$) relative for example to CO, which is a better π -acceptor than CN^- . According to the IR spectra, the Cys-to-Ala variants appear more electron-deficient and, therefore, they have higher affinity for CN^- compared to CO. Although we note that the interaction between the cysteine in the PTP and the H-cluster is crucial to modulate the electronic structure at the active site, the detailed understanding of how the Cys-to-Ala mutation affects the electronic distribution at the H-cluster is beyond the scope of this work. However, we suggest that this mutation affects the hydrogen-bonding network surrounding the H-cluster, in particular concerning the ADT amine which has been shown to be involved in electronic delocalization from the two Fe ions in $[\text{2Fe}]_\text{H}$ model compounds.⁶³ The reduced steric hindrance in the Cys-to-Ala mutants might also provide easier access to the open coordination site for the additional CN^- ligand.

Interestingly, during the synthesis of the $[\text{2Fe}]_\text{H}$ precursor, only two of the CO ligands in $\text{Fe}_2[(\text{SCH}_2)_2\text{NH}](\text{CO})_6$ can be substituted with CN^- .⁶⁴ This shows how the protein scaffold plays a crucial role in stabilizing an additional CN^- bound to $[\text{2Fe}]_\text{H}$. One reason could be that within the H-cluster, electron transfer from $[\text{2Fe}]_\text{H}$ to $[\text{4Fe-4S}]_\text{H}$ allows formation of an overoxidized binuclear site, $[\text{Fe}_\text{p}(\text{II})\text{Fe}_\text{d}(\text{II})]_\text{H}$, which is necessary for CN^- binding.

Our report of CN^- binding to [FeFe] hydrogenases also sheds light on the nature of previously uncharacterized active-site states. We have demonstrated that the unknown states present as impurities in artificially matured samples of WT *DdHydAB* are indeed CN^- bound states caused by the long artificial maturation of this enzyme. Previous EPR studies on *CrHydA1 C169S* have shown the formation of an unidentified

H_trans -like state, exhibiting almost identical *g*-values to the one studied here.^{21,22,43} Thus, it is also plausible that the previously observed H_trans -like state is caused by binding of CN^- to the H-cluster, favored by the Cys-to-Ser mutation. A recent study reported the accumulation over a long time-scale (24 h) of this very similar H_trans -like state for both the C169S variant and WT *CrHydA1* artificially matured inside *E. coli* cells, leading to the inhibition of H_2 production by the culture.⁴³ We hypothesize that this H_trans -like state is also CN^- -dependent as the one described here.

Cyanide binding to metals in biology is well known, with the most classic example being cytochrome c oxidase of the mitochondrial respiratory chain, where CN^- binds between heme a_3 and the Cu_B site.^{65,66} Interestingly, in the reduced structure, with $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ the Fe-C distance is 2.4 Å (ref. 65) and shortens to 2.0 Å in the oxidized structure, with $\text{Fe}(\text{III})$,⁶⁶ suggesting a shorter stronger bond. Cyanide has also been reported to bind ferric heme-proteins with a very high affinity, *e.g.* myoglobin⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹ and hemoglobin.^{70,71} In [NiFe] hydrogenase, CN^- is thought to bind transiently to the $\text{Ni}(\text{II})$ in the Ni-SI_a state, promoting oxidation to $\text{Ni}(\text{III})$ and formation of the Ni-B state.⁷² In CODH, CN^- binds again to a $\text{Ni}(\text{II})$ ion with a 1.8 Å Ni-C bond,⁷³ and inhibits CO oxidation rather than CO_2 reduction suggesting that it also binds favorably to a more oxidized active site. Overall, our results are consistent with literature observations that CN^- binds preferentially to more oxidized active sites, or alternatively that binding of CN^- favors metal oxidation.

Conclusions

Here, we have reported for the first time, a detailed spectroscopic and computational characterisation of the binding of CN^- to the active site of [FeFe] hydrogenases. CN^- binding is clearly favored in the Cys-to-Ala mutants, exemplifying the crucial role of the second coordination sphere of the H-cluster in preventing CN^- binding, and reflecting the electronic structure adaptations of the H-cluster environment to facilitate stabilization of a terminal $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ -hydride species during catalysis. Overall, our studies showed how the interaction between the Cys in the PTP and the ADT in $[\text{2Fe}]_\text{H}$ tunes the electronic structure of the active site, controlling ligand binding at the open coordination site.

Data availability

Data supporting the findings of this study are available in the article and the associated ESI files. Structural data for *DdHydAB C178A* have been deposited into the Protein Data Bank (PDB) under the following accession codes: 8BJ7 for *DdHydAB C178A* in the H_inact -like state and 8BJ8 for *DdHydAB C178A* in the H_trans -like state.†

Author contributions

Conceptualization: M. A. M., J. A. B. and P. R.-M.; methodology: M. A. M., K. B., Y. P., C. L., N. B., I. S., R. B.; investigation: M. A. M., K. B., Y. P., C. L., C. W.; writing – original



draft: M. A. M., J. A. B and P. R.-M; writing & editing: all authors; supervision: M. A. M., I. S., R. B., I. Z., S. D., J. A. B and P. R.-M.; funding acquisition: J. A. B, P. R.-M., S. D., I. S., I. Z.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Inge Heise and Tabea Mussfeld, for synthesizing the diiron cofactors. We also thank Melissa Jansing, Institut für Physikalische Biologie at Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf for preliminary data. We acknowledge DESY (Hamburg, Germany), a member of the Helmholtz Association HGF, for the provision of experimental facilities. M. A. M., Y. P., N. B., S. D., R. B. and J. A. B. would like to thank the Max Planck Society for funding. J. A. B., M. A. M., I. S., K. B., S. D., C. L., C. W. and I. Z. also acknowledge the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) Priority Programme “Iron–Sulfur for Life: Cooperative Function of Iron–Sulfur Centers in Assembly, Biosynthesis, Catalysis and Disease” (SPP 1927) Projects BI 2198/1-1 (J. A. B. and M. A. M.), IS 1476/4-1 (I. S. and K. B.), DE 1877/1-2 (S. D.), and ZE 510/2-2/311062227 (C. L., C. W. and I. Z.). P. R.-M. thanks the University of Oxford for a Glasstone Research Fellowship and Linacre College Oxford for a Junior Research Fellowship. Y. P. thanks the China Scholarship Council for a visiting scholar fellowship.

References

- 1 J. Esselborn, N. Muraki, K. Klein, V. Engelbrecht, N. Metzler-Nolte, U. P. Apfel, E. Hofmann, G. Kurisu and T. Happe, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 959–968.
- 2 P. Rodriguez-Macia, E. J. Reijerse, M. van Gastel, S. DeBeer, W. Lubitz, O. Rüdiger and J. A. Birrell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 9346–9350.
- 3 S. Yoshikawa, D. H. O’Keeffe and W. S. Caughey, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1985, **260**, 3518–3528.
- 4 W. Lubitz, H. Ogata, O. Rüdiger and E. Reijerse, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 4081–4148.
- 5 H. Land, M. Senger, G. Berggren and S. T. Stripp, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 7069–7086.
- 6 M. Haumann and S. T. Stripp, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 1755–1763.
- 7 P. M. Vignais, B. Billoud and J. Meyer, *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 2001, **25**, 455–501.
- 8 J. W. Peters, W. N. Lanzilotta, B. J. Lemon and L. C. Seefeldt, *Science*, 1998, **282**, 1853–1858.
- 9 Y. Nicolet, C. Piras, P. Legrand, C. E. Hatchikian and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *Structure*, 1999, **7**, 13–23.
- 10 B. J. Lemon and J. W. Peters, *Biochemistry*, 1999, **38**, 12969–12973.
- 11 P. Rodriguez-Macia, L. M. Galle, R. Bjornsson, C. Lorent, I. Zebger, Y. Yoda, S. P. Cramer, S. DeBeer, I. Span and J. A. Birrell, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 16786–16794.
- 12 S. T. Stripp, G. Goldet, C. Brandmayr, O. Sanganas, K. A. Vincent, M. Haumann, F. A. Armstrong and T. Happe, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2009, **106**, 17331–17336.
- 13 C. Lambertz, N. Leidel, K. G. V. Havelius, J. Noth, P. Chernev, M. Winkler, T. Happe and M. Haumann, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2011, **286**, 40614–40623.
- 14 K. D. Swanson, M. W. Ratzloff, D. W. Mulder, J. H. Artz, S. Ghose, A. Hoffman, S. White, O. A. Zadvornyy, J. B. Broderick, B. Bothner, P. W. King and J. W. Peters, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 1809–1816.
- 15 J. Esselborn, L. Kertess, U.-P. Apfel, E. Hofmann and T. Happe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 17721–17728.
- 16 J. A. Birrell, P. Rodriguez-Macia, E. J. Reijerse, M. A. Martini and W. Lubitz, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **449**, 214191.
- 17 E. J. Reijerse, V. Pelmenschikov, J. A. Birrell, C. P. Richers, M. Kaupp, T. B. Rauchfuss, S. P. Cramer and W. Lubitz, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 6794–6799.
- 18 A. Adamska, A. Silakov, C. Lambertz, O. Rüdiger, T. Happe, E. Reijerse and W. Lubitz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 11458–11462.
- 19 S. Katz, J. Noth, M. Horch, H. S. Shafaat, T. Happe, P. Hildebrandt and I. Zebger, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 6746–6752.
- 20 C. Sommer, A. Adamska-Venkatesh, K. Pawlak, J. A. Birrell, O. Rüdiger, E. J. Reijerse and W. Lubitz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 1440–1443.
- 21 D. W. Mulder, M. W. Ratzloff, M. Bruschi, C. Greco, E. Koonce, J. W. Peters and P. W. King, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 15394–15402.
- 22 D. W. Mulder, Y. Guo, M. W. Ratzloff and P. W. King, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 83–86.
- 23 M. Winkler, M. Senger, J. Duan, J. Esselborn, F. Wittkamp, E. Hofmann, U.-P. Apfel, S. T. Stripp and T. Happe, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 16115.
- 24 V. Pelmenschikov, J. A. Birrell, C. C. Pham, N. Mishra, H. Wang, C. Sommer, E. Reijerse, C. P. Richers, K. Tamasaku, Y. Yoda, T. B. Rauchfuss, W. Lubitz and S. P. Cramer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 16894–16902.
- 25 E. J. Reijerse, C. C. Pham, V. Pelmenschikov, R. Gilbert-Wilson, A. Adamska-Venkatesh, J. F. Siebel, L. B. Gee, Y. Yoda, K. Tamasaku, W. Lubitz, T. B. Rauchfuss and S. P. Cramer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 4306–4309.
- 26 C. Lorent, S. Katz, J. Duan, C. J. Kulka, G. Caserta, C. Teutloff, S. Yadav, U.-P. Apfel, M. Winkler, T. Happe, M. Horch and I. Zebger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 5493–5497.
- 27 A. J. Pierik, W. R. Hagen, J. S. Redeker, R. B. Wolbert, M. Boersma, M. F. Verhagen, H. J. Grande, C. Veeger, P. H. Mutsaers, R. H. Sands, *et al.*, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 1992, **209**, 63–72.
- 28 D. S. Patil, J. J. Moura, S. H. He, M. Teixeira, B. C. Prickril, D. V. DerVartanian, H. D. Peck Jr, J. LeGall and B. H. Huynh, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1988, **263**, 18732–18738.
- 29 W. Roseboom, A. L. De Lacey, V. M. Fernandez, E. C. Hatchikian and S. P. J. Albracht, *JBIC, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **11**, 102–118.
- 30 S. P. Albracht, W. Roseboom and E. C. Hatchikian, *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **11**, 88–101.



31 S. Morra, M. Arizzi, F. Valetti and G. Gilardi, *Biochemistry*, 2016, **55**, 5897–5900.

32 P. S. Corrigan, J. L. Tirsch and A. Silakov, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 12409–12419.

33 M. Winkler, J. Duan, A. Rutz, C. Felbek, L. Scholtysek, O. Lampret, J. Jaenecke, U.-P. Apfel, G. Gilardi, F. Valetti, V. Fourmond, E. Hofmann, C. Léger and T. Happe, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 756.

34 C. Felbek, F. Arrigoni, D. de Sancho, A. Jacq-Bailly, R. B. Best, V. Fourmond, L. Bertini and C. Léger, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 15162–15176.

35 G. Hong, A. J. Cornish, E. L. Hegg and R. Pachter, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.*, 2011, **1807**, 510–517.

36 J. Duan, M. Senger, J. Esselborn, V. Engelbrecht, F. Wittkamp, U.-P. Apfel, E. Hofmann, S. T. Stripp, T. Happe and M. Winkler, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 4726.

37 M. Senger, V. Eichmann, K. Laun, J. Duan, F. Wittkamp, G. Knör, U.-P. Apfel, T. Happe, M. Winkler, J. Heberle and S. T. Stripp, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 17394–17403.

38 A. J. Cornish, K. Gärtner, H. Yang, J. W. Peters and E. L. Hegg, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2011, **286**, 38341–38347.

39 P. Knorzer, A. Silakov, C. E. Foster, F. A. Armstrong, W. Lubitz and T. Happe, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2012, **287**, 1489–1499.

40 S. Morra, A. Giraudo, G. Di Nardo, P. W. King, G. Gilardi and F. Valetti, *PLoS One*, 2012, **7**, e48400.

41 S. Rumpel, C. Sommer, E. Reijerse, C. Fares and W. Lubitz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 3863–3866.

42 C. C. Pham, D. W. Mulder, V. Pelmenschikov, P. W. King, M. W. Ratzloff, H. Wang, N. Mishra, E. E. Alp, J. Zhao, M. Y. Hu, K. Tamasaku, Y. Yoda and S. P. Cramer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 10605–10609.

43 M. Lorenzi, P. Ceccaldi, P. Rodriguez-Macia, H. J. Redman, A. Zamader, J. A. Birrell, L. S. Meszaros and G. Berggren, *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, **27**, 345–355.

44 S. Mebs, R. Kositzki, J. Duan, L. Kertess, M. Senger, F. Wittkamp, U. P. Apfel, T. Happe, S. T. Stripp, M. Winkler and M. Haumann, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.*, 2018, **1859**, 28–41.

45 J. A. Birrell, K. Wrede, K. Pawlak, P. Rodriguez-Macia, O. Rüdiger, E. J. Reijerse and W. Lubitz, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 2016, **56**, 852–863.

46 P. Rodriguez-Macia, K. Pawlak, O. Rüdiger, E. J. Reijerse, W. Lubitz and J. A. Birrell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 15122–15134.

47 M. Senger, S. Mebs, J. Duan, F. Wittkamp, U.-P. Apfel, J. Heberle, M. Haumann and T. Stripp Sven, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2016, **113**, 8454–8459.

48 C. Lorent, V. Pelmenschikov, S. Frielingsdorf, J. Schoknecht, G. Caserta, Y. Yoda, H. Wang, K. Tamasaku, O. Lenz, S. P. Cramer, M. Horch, L. Lauterbach and I. Zebger, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 15854–15862.

49 J. A. Birrell, V. Pelmenschikov, N. Mishra, H. Wang, Y. Yoda, K. Tamasaku, T. B. Rauchfuss, S. P. Cramer, W. Lubitz and S. DeBeer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 222–232.

50 M.-E. Pandelia, H. Ogata, L. J. Currell, M. Flores and W. Lubitz, *JBIC, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **14**, 1227–1241.

51 G. Caserta, V. Pelmenschikov, C. Lorent, A. F. Tadjoung Waffo, S. Katz, L. Lauterbach, J. Schoknecht, H. Wang, Y. Yoda, K. Tamasaku, M. Kaupp, P. Hildebrandt, O. Lenz, S. P. Cramer and I. Zebger, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 2189–2197.

52 Y. Nicolet, A. L. de Lacey, X. Vernède, V. M. Fernandez, E. C. Hatchikian and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 1596–1601.

53 M. Senger, S. Mebs, J. Duan, O. Shulenina, K. Laun, L. Kertess, F. Wittkamp, U.-P. Apfel, T. Happe, M. Winkler, M. Haumann and S. T. Stripp, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 3128–3140.

54 M. Senger, K. Laun, F. Wittkamp, J. Duan, M. Haumann, T. Happe, M. Winkler, U.-P. Apfel and S. T. Stripp, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 16503–16506.

55 M. A. Martini, O. Rüdiger, N. Breuer, B. Nöring, S. DeBeer, P. Rodriguez-Macia and J. A. Birrell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 18159–18171.

56 A. Ciaccafava, D. Tombolini, L. Domnik, J. Fesseler, J.-H. Jeoung, H. Dobbek, M. A. Mroginski, I. Zebger and P. Hildebrandt, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 3162–3171.

57 G. Goldet, C. Brandmayr, S. T. Stripp, T. Happe, C. Cavazza, J. C. Fontecilla-Camps and F. A. Armstrong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 14979–14989.

58 A. A. Oughli, S. Hardt, O. Rüdiger, J. A. Birrell and N. Plumeré, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 9958–9961.

59 T. Lautier, P. Ezanno, C. Baffert, V. Fourmond, L. Cournac, J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, P. Soucaille, P. Bertrand, I. Meynial-Salles and C. Léger, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2011, **148**, 385–407.

60 J. Duan, A. Hemschemeier, D. J. Burr, S. T. Stripp, E. Hofmann and T. Happe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**(7), e202216903.

61 N. Levin, S. Peredkov, T. Weyhermüller, O. Rüdiger, N. B. Pereira, D. Grötzsch, A. Kalinko and S. DeBeer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 8272–8283.

62 S. K. Singh, J. Eng, M. Atanasov and F. Neese, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **344**, 2–25.

63 Ö. F. Erdem, M. Stein, S. Kaur-Ghumaan, E. J. Reijerse, S. Ott and W. Lubitz, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 14566–14572.

64 M. Schmidt, S. M. Contakes and T. B. Rauchfuss, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 9736–9737.

65 K. Muramoto, K. Ohta, K. Shinzawa-Itoh, K. Kanda, M. Taniguchi, H. Nabekura, E. Yamashita, T. Tsukihara and S. Yoshikawa, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2010, **107**, 7740–7745.

66 N. Yano, K. Muramoto, M. Mochizuki, K. Shinzawa-Itoh, E. Yamashita, S. Yoshikawa and T. Tsukihara, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. F: Struct. Biol. Cryst. Commun.*, 2015, **71**, 726–730.

67 Y. Dou, J. S. Olson, A. J. Wilkinson and M. Ikeda-Saito, *Biochemistry*, 1996, **35**, 7107–7113.

68 M. Bolognesi, C. Rosano, R. Losso, A. Borassi, M. Rizzi, J. B. Wittenberg, A. Boffi and P. Ascenzi, *Biophys. J.*, 1999, **77**, 1093–1099.

69 P. Ascenzi, A. di Masi, F. Gullotta, M. Mattu, C. Ciaccio and M. Coletta, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2010, **393**, 196–200.



70 M. Milani, Y. Ouellet, H. Ouellet, M. Guertin, A. Boffi, G. Antonini, A. Bocedi, M. Mattu, M. Bolognesi and P. Ascenzi, *Biochemistry*, 2004, **43**, 5213–5221.

71 A. Boffi, E. Chiancone, E. S. Peterson, D. L. Rousseau and J. M. Friedman, *Biochemistry*, 1997, **36**, 4510–4514.

72 S. V. Hexter, M.-W. Chung, K. A. Vincent and F. A. Armstrong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 10470–10477.

73 J.-H. Jeoung and H. Dobbek, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 9922–9923.

