


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 29826

DDQ as a versatile and easily recyclable oxidant: a systematic review

 Meshari A. Alsharif,^a Qandeel Alam Raja,^b Nida Abdul Majeed,^b Rabab. S. Jassas,^c Abdulrahman A. Alsimaree,^d Amina Sadiq,^e Nafeesa Naeem,^b Ehsan Ullah Mughal,^b Reem I. Alsantali,^f Ziad Moussa^g and Saleh A. Ahmed^h

2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) is the most widely used quinone with a high reduction potential, and it commonly mediates hydride transfer reactions and shows three accessible oxidation states: quinone (oxidized), semiquinone (one-electron-reduced), and hydroquinone (two-electron-reduced). DDQ has found broad utility as a stoichiometric oxidant in the functionalization of activated C–H bonds and the dehydrogenation of saturated C–C, C–O, and C–N bonds. The cost and toxicity of DDQ triggered recent efforts to develop methods that employ catalytic quantities of DDQ in combination with alternative stoichiometric oxidants. The aerobic catalytic approach was established for the selective oxidation of non-sterically hindered electron-rich benzyl methyl ethers and benzylic alcohols, and effectively extended to the oxidative deprotection of *p*-methoxybenzyl ethers to generate the alcohols

 Received 13th June 2021
 Accepted 18th August 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra04575j

rsc.li/rsc-advances
^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Applied Science, Umm Al-Qura University, 21955 Makkah, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: saahmed@uqu.edu.sa

^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Gujrat, Gujrat-50700, Pakistan. E-mail: ehsantbtq@gmail.com

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Jamoum University College, Umm Al-Qura University, 21955 Makkah, Saudi Arabia

^dDepartment of Basic Science (Chemistry), College of Science and Humanities, Shaqra University, Afj, Saudi Arabia

^eDepartment of Chemistry, Govt. College Women University, Sialkot-51300, Pakistan

^fDepartment of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Pharmacy College, Taif University, 888-Taif, Saudi Arabia

^gDepartment of Chemistry, College of Science, United Arab Emirates University, P.O. Box 15551, Al Ain, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

^hResearch laboratories unit, Faculty of Applied Science, Umm Al-Qura University, 21955 Makkah, Saudi Arabia

ⁱDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, 71516 Assiut, Egypt


Ehsan Ullah Mughal was born in Wazirabad, Pakistan in 1978 and obtained his master's degree from Quaid-e-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan in organic chemistry. He obtained his PhD in 2013 from Bielefeld University, Germany under the supervision of Prof. Dr Dietmar Kuck. For postdoctoral studies, he joined the group of Prof. Dr Xinliang Feng, Max-Planck-Institute for Polymer

Research, Mainz, Germany. In 2015, he started his independent research group at the Department of Chemistry in University of Gujrat, Gujrat, Pakistan. His current research interests focus on the design and synthesis of bioactive heterocycles, synthetic flavonoids, transition metals-based terpyridine complexes and their fabrication in dye-sensitized solar cells and nanographenes syntheses for applications in organic electronics.



Saleh Abdel-Mgeed Ahmed was born in Assiut, Egypt in 1968 and received his bachelor's and master's degrees from Assiut University, Egypt, and PhD in photochemistry (photochromism) under the supervision of Prof. Dr Heinz Dürr in Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany as DAAD fellow. During his last 27 years of stay in Europe, Japan, and USA, he had successfully published more

than 195 publications and has more than 15 registered and filled patents in US-patent office. His current research interests include synthesis and photophysical properties of novel organic compounds such as material-based gels, electronic devices, solar energy conversion and bioactive materials.



in high selectivity. A combination of DDQ and protic acid is known to oxidize several aromatic donors to the corresponding cation radicals. The excited-state DDQ converts benzylic, heteroarenes, fluoroarenes, benzene, and olefins into their radical cation forms as well as chloride and other anions into their respective radicals. These reactive intermediates have been employed for the generation of C–C and C–X (N, O, or Cl) bonds in the synthesis of valuable natural products and organic compounds. To the best of our knowledge, however, there is still no review article exclusively describing the applications of DDQ in organic synthesis. Therefore, in the present review, we provide an overview of DDQ-induced organic transformations with their scope, limitations and the proposed reaction mechanisms.

1. Introduction

Oxidation reactions play a vital role in organic synthesis and offer access to various important organic compounds and are key to the interconversion of functional groups from one to the other.¹ Though frequent stoichiometric oxidants of inorganic nature have been conventionally used in many oxidation reactions, however, they are associated with some serious drawbacks. These drawbacks include low stability, high cost, and the formation of toxic or hazardous pollutants.² In order to circumvent these problems, a versatile, mild and eco-friendly oxidant such as 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) has been employed in various organic reactions for the oxidation of chemical compounds. Besides serving as an oxidizing agent, it has proved useful as a multipurpose reactant for numerous organic chemical conversions.^{3–5}

DDQ has found a multitude of applications in the oxidation of various organic compounds such as ketones,⁶ alcohols,⁷ phenols,⁸ aromatic compounds,⁹ and heterocyclic structures, *etc.*¹⁰ Apart from that, due to the presence of two chlorine atoms along with two nitrile groups on the benzoquinone ring, it may also behave as a potential chlorinating agent. Consequently, DDQ could behave as the chlorinating agent as well as the oxidant simultaneously.¹¹ It can also be used to remove the protective functional groups during deprotections of different chemical entities.¹²

For dehydrogenation by DDQ, the hydride transfer mechanism has been proposed as shown in Scheme 1. The mechanism includes the transfer of hydride to the quinone oxygen followed by the transfer of a proton to the phenolate ion.¹³ DDQ

is a strong oxidizing quinone that is indefinitely stable in dry conditions. It is widely employed for the dehydrogenation of organic molecules to form aromatic and α,β -unsaturated carbonyls, and the oxidation of activated methylene and hydroxy groups to carbonyl compounds.¹⁴ With the ongoing increase in demand for the development of selective organic reactions, certain reagents have witnessed substantial growth and expansion in their applications and now offer access to new synthesis opportunities.

Although several uses of DDQ have been reported in the literature from the pharmaceutical and specialty chemical industries, we shall restrict this article to an overview of organic reactions where DDQ has a significant contribution. Herein, we review the applications of DDQ that have been explored by several research groups and described in the literature. The applications are categorized according to different reaction types, with a special focus on the role of DDQ. In several instances, DDQ is coupled with some other oxidant for improved stability, decreased toxicity, and enhanced yield.¹⁵ This mini-review provides an overview of such DDQ-initiated organic transformations with their scope, limitations and discusses the proposed reaction mechanisms.

2. Synthesis of DDQ

This reagent was first synthesized by Thiele and Gunther in 1906 and is commercially available from several chemical vendors.¹⁶ In the laboratory, it can directly be synthesized by acid-catalyzed chlorination of 2,3-dicyanohydroquinone followed by treatment with an oxidant such as PbO₂ under reflux



Scheme 1 DDQ as an oxidant.





Scheme 2 Synthesis of DDQ.



Scheme 3 Benzylic alcohol oxidation by DDQ.

conditions as depicted in Scheme 2. Furthermore, charcoal can also be used in the second step.^{16,17} DDQ is a yellow solid with a melting point of 213–215 °C. It can be stored in a dry environment, however, it is prone to decomposition in the presence of moisture. Notably, the rate of decomposition can be prevented by the presence of a strong acid as DDQ is very stable in aqueous mineral acid. It can be purified by crystallization from methylene chloride.¹⁸ The availability, excellent yields, high chemo- and regioselectivity, durability, and catalytic character are noteworthy features of DDQ. These features render it as a remarkable stoichiometric and catalytic oxidant for reactions in organic synthesis.¹⁹

3. General reactivity

DDQ is generally reactive against many classes of compounds like alcohols, ethers,²⁰ ketones,²¹ α,β -unsaturated carbonyl

compounds, arenes²² and imines²³ as described above. Besides, it is used in C–H bond oxidation,²⁴ and C–C bond formation reactions (Scholl reaction),²⁵ protection and deprotection reactions, cross-coupling reactions,^{26a} and in several other organic transformations such as DDQ-initiated visible-light reactions.^{26b} We shall discuss these classes one by one in the following paragraphs.

3.1. Oxidation of alcohols

In 1983, Harvey and co-workers reported that the oxidation of benzylic alcohols like compound **1** can be performed by treating with DDQ (Scheme 3). This outcome can be defined by the relative potential of solvent used to improve the charge-transfer complexation in the initial stage of the reaction between the alcohol and DDQ.²⁷

Later on, Kasturi and co-workers (1993) described a procedure for the bis(2-hydroxyl-naphthyl)methane **3** oxidation by using DDQ, and the resulting products were spironaphthalenone (**4a**), dispironaphthalenones (**4b**), and 1,2-naphthoquinone-I-methide dimer (**4c**) (Scheme 4). Their studies towards the synthesis of novel organic compounds had scrutinized oxidative processes for the production of isomeric and dimeric products from bis(2-hydroxyl-naphthyl)methane.²⁸

Scheme 4 DDQ-induced oxidation of naphthol like compound **3**.



Scheme 5 Oxidation of 1,2-diols by DDQ.

Thereafter, Pan and co-workers (2005) developed a mild and comprehensive method for the regioselective oxidation of chiral secondary 1,2-diols **5**. The protocol highlights the synthetic utility of DDQ to affect the oxidation of *sec*-1,2-diols selectively at their benzylic or allylic hydroxyl group under mild ultrasound irradiation conditions. During this process, the arrangement of the adjoining chiral center did not change (Scheme 5).²⁹

Progressively, Helquist and co-workers (2011) reported a protocol that describes the chemo-selective oxidation of alcohols in which a catalytic amount of DDQ served as the main

oxidant, while a small quantity of co-oxidant $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3$ was also used (Scheme 6; eqn (i)–(iii)). The oxidation of electron-rich chiral benzylic alcohols **7**, **9**, and **11** were carried out successfully to afford carbonyls, whereas benzylic alcohols that are not sufficiently electron-rich remained unreacted. The procedure was comparatively easy, cheap, less time-consuming, and showed noteworthy chemo-selectivity that favored allylic alcohols as compared to benzylic alcohols in as demonstrated in intramolecular competition studies.³⁰

In 2012, Gao and co-workers developed another simple procedure for the oxidation of benzylic alcohols such as **13** to formylbenzene **14** by taking a small quantity of DDQ as a catalyst. The co-catalyst used during this process was sodium nitrite (NaNO_2), and oxygen (O_2) was used as a finishing oxidant (Scheme 6; eqn (iv)). Nitric oxide was generated by NaNO_2 in the presence of acetic acid. This step was crucial for the success of the catalytic cycle at 25 °C. This catalytic process is practically useful for the selective oxidation of unsaturated alcohols and is

**Reagents, conditions:**

- 1) DDQ, NaNO_2 ³¹
- 2) DDQ, $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, O_2 ³³

Scheme 6 DDQ initiated oxidation of alcohols.

Scheme 7 Selective benzylic oxidation of β -O-4 model compound **15**.

scalable as established in the large gram-scale conversion of cinnamyl alcohol to the corresponding aldehyde.³¹

In 2015, Westwood and co-workers used DDQ as a benzylic oxidant for lignin, because it exhibited excellent selectivity in oxidizing benzylic and allylic alcohols like **15**. It was used in combination with oxygen and an appropriate co-oxidant. The substrate **15** was treated with the appropriate amount of DDQ at ambient temperature to produce ketone **16** in a high yield. The secondary benzylic alcohol can be selectively oxidized by controlling the amounts of DDQ and co-oxidant (Scheme 7).³²

Moreover, the DDQ/*t*BuONO/O₂ catalytic set-up showed significant selectivity and reactivity when used with 2-methoxyethanol for the oxidation of benzylic alcohols like **17**, **19**, and **21**. The expected ketones **18**, **20**, and **22** thus obtained were isolated in excellent yields (Scheme 8).³²

Li and co-workers (2018) described an improved eco-friendly oxidative mixture comprising Fe(NO₃)₃ and DDQ to perform the same type of oxidation reported by Gao³¹ with O₂ as the ultimate oxidant as mentioned above in Scheme 6, eqn (iv). This catalytic system displayed outstanding functional group tolerance and diversity and proved to oxidize several functionalities such as allylic, heterocyclic, propargylic, and benzylic alcohols to the corresponding carbonyl compounds in moderate to excellent yields. Furthermore, a reasonable reaction mechanism was also proposed in this report.³³

More recently (2020), Jean and co-workers introduced a practical, scalable and selective method for the oxidation of diphenylmethanol **23** to benzophenone **24** by using a catalytic amount of the mixture DDQ and nitric acid (HNO₃). However, that procedure was selectively used for the oxidation of allylic, benzylic, and propargylic alcohols (Scheme 9).³⁴

The selective oxidation of alcohols has always been a thriving topic of discussion in organic synthesis. Mostly metallic



Scheme 9 Oxidation of benzylic alcohols.

oxidants, such as manganese oxides, hypervalent I₂ and chromium oxides, are used for this purpose. Unfortunately, metallic oxidants produce toxic by-products and contribute to environmental pollution. Thus, to eliminate all these problematic reagents, the green oxidant DDQ serves as an eco-friendly alternative. The reagent has been found, as discussed above, to oxidize benzylic, phenolic, allylic, propargylic alcohols and chiral secondary 1,2-diols in a highly chemoselective and regioselective manner without causing any racemization to chiral substrates. Even more remarkable, DDQ may be used in catalytic amounts along with other co-oxidants to promote oxidations of alcohols. Interestingly, in many cases DDQ tolerates other green oxidants like molecular oxygen, which is inert because of the high energy barrier between alcohols and O₂, and yet couples well with the cheap and less toxic oxidant DDQ to selectively oxidize alcohols. Hence, DDQ is being used preferably in such type of oxidations owing to its practical relevance.

3.2. Oxidation of ethers

Bhattacharya and co-workers (1989) reported that the reaction of silyl imidates of the 4-aza-3-keto steroids with DDQ produces lactams *via* the intermediacy of a unique adduct formation between the substrate and quinone oxidant followed by an



Scheme 8 Selective benzylic alcohol oxidation by DDQ.





Scheme 10 Oxidation of ethers to enones by DDQ.

electrocyclic reaction to form the double bond during this process to establish unsaturation. Similarly, ketones were found to react as silyl enol ethers with DDQ to furnish enones, as illustrated in Scheme 10 for the conversion of **25** to **26**. This conversion takes place through the intermediacy of quinone-silyl enol ether adduct as shown below.³⁵

In the same year, Ruff and co-workers proposed that allylic and benzylic ethers like **27** undergo oxidation by DDQ to yield the substitution product **28** via an allylic cation intermediate (Scheme 11). Moreover, primary ethers can be oxidized with DDQ in high yield and give the corresponding esters. The oxidation may continue from **28** by a mechanism that includes abstraction of initial hydride to produce a stable benzylic or allylic cation, then the loss of a proton can occur followed by the formation of reduced DDQ-hydroquinone form, which can precipitate out of the reaction mixture usually in nonpolar solvents.³⁶


Later on, Wang and co-workers (1997) proposed the use of DDQ as an efficient oxidant for the oxidation of the bisbenzyl ethers into the corresponding monoaldehyde products as in the conversion of ether **29** to aldehyde **30** (Scheme 12). They also analogized this DDQ mediated oxidation of ethers with other oxidations of benzylic systems such as bisbenzyl alcohols and benzyl bromides. In this case, though, DDQ oxidation was comparatively advantageous and mild, generating monoaldehydes selectively. The authors also discussed the factors that impact reaction course and demonstrated the utility of the method by synthesizing several cyclic aldehydes intermediates.³⁷

In 2017, West and co-workers explored the use of DDQ in the Nazarov cyclization reaction. This involves the oxidative cyclization of pentadienyl ethers like compound **31** to cyclopentenone derivative **32** (Scheme 13). Regioselective hydrogen abstraction can cause termination that retains both stereocenters formed during the electrocyclization process, which can




Scheme 11 Oxidation of allylic ethers by DDQ.






Scheme 12 DDQ mediated selective benzylic oxidation.



Scheme 15 A carbon-carbon bond formation by DDQ.




Scheme 13 Oxidation-initiated cyclization of pentadienyl ethers by DDQ.

yield chiral cyclopentanones like **32** containing an exocyclic double bond. They also demonstrated the use of DDQ as a catalyst with MnO_2 as a terminal oxidant.³⁸

Thereafter, Min and co-workers (2018) proposed a brief synthesis of 8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]-octanes *via* inter- and intramolecular Mannich-type reactions of *N*-aryl pyrrolidines with silyl enol ether. The main step in their strategy was an oxidative-Mannich coupling reaction between pyrrolidine derivatives like **33** and silyl enol ether to afford aminoketones like **34** (Scheme 14). Eventually, **34** would undergo intramolecular cyclization to produce the final target bicycle. The key oxidant utilized for these reactions was DDQ.³⁹

The ether moiety is well known of its inertness, justifying the use of tetrahydrofuran and diethyl ether, among others, as solvents in organic synthesis. Thus, the selective oxidation of ethers is challenging in synthetic chemistry, often resulting in low yield and selectivity. Although many oxidants have been used in the past to promote ether oxidation reactions, most have failed to afford selective oxidation. Even though some reagents have succeeded in oxidizing ethers selectively, yields were often very low. Only DDQ proved as a suitable oxidant and succeeded in achieving both, selectivity, and high yield without



Scheme 14 Construction of 8-azacyclooctanes by DDQ mediated reactions.

any competing degradative oxidation due to its mild oxidation conditions. With DDQ, silyl enol ethers furnished enones, allylic and benzylic ethers gave substituted products, and bis-benzyl ethers produced monoaldehyde products, proving advantageous in generating monoaldehydes selectively compared to oxidations of benzylic systems such as bisbenzyl alcohols and benzyl bromides. Moreover, DDQ promoted the Nazarov cyclization reaction through the oxidative cyclization of pentadienyl ethers. Also, the synthesis of 8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]-octanes *via* inter- and intramolecular Mannich-type reactions of *N*-aryl pyrrolidines with silyl enol ether was efficiently carried out with DDQ. In addition, it is also used as deprotecting agent for the benzyl ethers function, a very difficult group to cleave.


3.3. C-C bond formation

In 2011, Todd and co-workers investigated the mechanism of DDQ controlled oxidative C-C bond-forming reactions. They employed DDQ in nitromethane (MeNO_2) as solvent and reagent to perform cross-dehydrogenative couplings. This reaction generated β -nitroamine **36** from *N*-phenylisoquinoline **35** under oxidative conditions (Scheme 15). It is supposed that a reactive iminium ion might be generated as an intermediate by DDQ oxidation to couple the benzylic methylene group adjacent to the heteroatom. Here though, DDQ did not just play the role of an oxidant, rather a concerted type of nucleophilic reaction was thought to occur, and a hydride was transferred to DDQ to synthesize the nitroamines.⁴⁰ The group was able to trap and characterized the putative iminium ion intermediate resulting from the oxidation of DDQ and react it further with other nucleophiles.


Likewise, Dehaen and co-workers (2013) developed an approach to synthesize multiple functionalized naphthodithiophene **38** structures by adding substitutions to dithienylbenzene **37** *via* DDQ/ BF_3 -initiated oxidative cyclization reaction (Scheme 16). During this process, a C-C bond was established between two arene units. This newly reported DDQ-Lewis acid prompted method proved more efficient and high yielding in comparison to the already known FeCl_3 catalyzed processes for performing such oxidative cyclization reactions (*i.e.*, Scholl reaction). It is feasible to block other side-polymerization reactions by protecting the reactant **37**. The extremely reactive α -positions of thiophenes can permit the addition of further functionalities.⁴¹

In continuation, Tobe and co-workers (2017) proposed a procedure, under Scholl's reaction conditions, in which a spiral-polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon **39a** was treated with DDQ along with $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$ in chlorobenzene at a different range






Scheme 16 DDQ-mediated oxidative cyclization via Scholl reaction.



Scheme 17 Skeletal rearrangement under Scholl's reaction conditions by DDQ.


of temperatures. Surprisingly, instead of cyclodehydrogenation, an unexpectedly skeletal rearrangement was observed. The eight-membered ring in compound **39a** was converted into the seven-membered ring structure **39b** which was further converted into a six-membered ring analog **40** on further treatment with DDQ. They reported different yields at different temperatures as depicted in Scheme 17.⁴²

In 2018, Toyota and co-workers reported a C-C bond-forming procedure in which 9,10-diarylanthracene analog **41** was treated with DDQ in the presence of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid to furnish rubicene derivative **42** containing two five-membered rings in moderate to excellent yields (Scheme 18). This methodology could be beneficial for the preparation of a variety of functionalized rubicene derivatives, which may find applications as building blocks for the construction of curved or planar polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) as functional organic materials.⁴³



Scheme 18 Synthesis of rubicene derivatives under Scholl's reaction conditions.





Scheme 19 DDQ/FeCl₃-controlled sequential oxidative C–C bond formation.

In the current year (2021), Jana and co-workers used DDQ as a key mild oxidant in the chemical transformation of indole derivative **43** into indole-fluorene hybrid **44** in high yield. This approach involves electrophilic attack onto nearby arene position resulting in the formation of C–C bond. Noteworthy, dramatic improvement in product yield was observed in the presence of additives such as FeCl₃ or molecular sieves (4 Å). This pathway offers high functional group tolerance as shown in Scheme 19.⁴⁴

During oxidative C–C bond-forming reactions, the control of cyclization and polymer formation is quite tricky. DDQ has provided solution to these challenging problems by controlling the cyclization during C–C bond formation and suppressing the process of polymerization. DDQ, owing to the mild oxidation conditions it offers, selectively constructs the C–C bonds to avoid polymerization. Furthermore, it is suitable oxidant to obtain the cyclization at the desired position, providing regioselective control. Thus, it provides facile synthetic route to construct planar and curved PAH systems.

3.4. Protection and deprotection by DDQ

DDQ also plays a vital role in promoting organic chemical conversions aimed toward the protection and deprotection of

some functional groups. For instance, encouraged by previous reports, Oikawa and co-workers (1982) disclosed a facile and efficient method to remove the methoxybenzyl (PMB) protecting group of alcohols like **45** with DDQ in a dichloromethane-water mixture at 25 °C. They described that this type of deprotection *via* DDQ was selective, as all other typical protecting groups except methoxybenzyl remained unchanged due to this deprotection (Scheme 20).⁴⁵

Using the same protocol, they also described the use of DDQ oxidant in the deprotection of the primary hydroxyl group in compound **47** under anhydrous conditions to generate an acid-sensitive methoxybenzylidene acetal **48** by intramolecular oxidative reaction (Scheme 21).⁴⁶

Later on, the same research group (1984) proposed another DDQ-promoted deprotection method (Scheme 22). In this protocol, they demonstrated that the 3,4-dimethoxybenzyl protecting group **49** for the hydroxy functionality may easily be cleaved by DDQ oxidation under an inert atmosphere. This methodology was then applied to the preparation of macrolide and polyether antibiotics.⁴⁷

In 1986, Yonemitsu and co-workers developed a method to remove the 4-methoxybenzyl (PMB) protection **51** with DDQ in wet dichloromethane (DCM) for alcohols at 25 °C (Scheme 23).



Scheme 20 Removal of *p*-methoxybenzyl protection by DDQ.




Scheme 21 Deprotection of PMB-protected primary hydroxyl group by DDQ.





Scheme 22 Cleavage of DMPM protecting group for hydroxy functionality by DDQ oxidation.



Scheme 23 Deprotection of PMB for hydroxy functionality by DDQ.

These conditions tolerate a wide range of functional groups. Along with DDQ, 3,4-dimethoxybenzyl (DMPM) protection is a better reactive group as compared to PMB protection. They also presented deprotection of PMB, Bn, and DMPM groups selectively.⁴⁸

In 1994, McDonald and co-workers described the use of DDQ in deprotecting acetal **53** in high yield. In spite of offering mild oxidative conditions, this reagent exhibited low chemoselectivity in selectively removing the acetal function in the presence of other protecting groups such as benzyl (Bn) (Scheme 24).⁴⁹

Furthermore, Sampson and Honek (1999) devised a process to remove the protecting group diphenylmethyl amine **55** efficiently by converting the amine to an imine **56a** via oxidation by DDQ (Scheme 25). The resulting imine can be simply hydrolyzed under mildly acidic conditions to give the salt **56b**. This method is predominantly applied for the synthesis of α/β -amino phosphonates.⁵⁰

Progressively, Sharma (2001) introduced a new process for the deprotection of the protecting group *para*-phenylbenzyl (PPB) as novel 'PMB' like protecting group **57** by DDQ (Scheme 26). Meanwhile, considering the cost associated with using DDQ in stoichiometric amounts and the formation of undesired quinol/hydroquinone by-products during this process, they treated the substrates with a mixture of DDQ and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3$ as coupled oxidative system to avoid these problems.⁵¹

Afterward, Pan and co-workers (2002) synthesized 2-arylbenzoxazoles **60a, b** starting from the condensation of *o*-aminophenols **59a, b** with aromatic aldehydes followed by DDQ-initiated oxidative cyclization (Scheme 27). This one-pot strategy was highly significant for making separate aryl benzoxazole compounds in moderate to excellent yields. The results obtained from those experiments confirmed that DDQ is an effective and essential oxidative agent for the preparation of benzoxazole-containing biaryl structures.⁵²

In 2005, Toshima and co-workers designed a procedure for benzyl ethers deprotection **61** by employing DDQ under



Scheme 24 DDQ-mediated oxidative removal of acetal.



Scheme 25 Oxidative deprotection of diphenyl methylamines by DDQ.





Scheme 26 Deprotection of benzyl phenyl by DDQ.

continuous irradiation of low energy radiations (Scheme 28). During their work, they found that these conditions are crucial for some compounds. Though the exact mechanism was unclear at that stage as well as the procedure also had some associated drawbacks, this new photo-induced deprotection procedure of benzyl ethers was a useful substitute for other applications in organic synthesis.⁵³

Marra and co-workers (2010) described the oxidation of some hydroxyisochromans **63**, **65**, and **67** by using DDQ (Scheme 29). ¹H NMR spectroscopy was used to check the reaction progress and several side-products were separated and further characterized. They observed the formation of some isochroman-6,7-diols during the reaction of dihydroxyphenyl ethanol with various substituted aromatic aldehydes. In this Scheme 29, the hemiacetal compounds **63**, **65** and **67** are deprotected by DDQ and transformed into their corresponding/ respective keto-enol form **64**, **66**, and **68**. The signal of the respective *ortho* benzoquinone was observed in all cases after the addition of DDQ. In this process, the orthoquinone moiety was converted into the main oxidation product, a hydroxybenzophenone analog originating from C₁-dibenzyl carbon

oxidation to a carbonyl group such as in structures **64**, **66**, and **68**.⁵⁴

Not long ago, Hu and co-workers (2013) disclosed a facile and promising protocol for the deprotection of benzyl ether **69** by DDQ-catalysed oxidation (Scheme 30). The reaction was carried out in an open atmosphere along with *tert*-butyl nitrite (TBN). The diverse groups of *p*-phenylbenzyl (PPB), benzyl (Bn), and *p*-methoxybenzyl ethers (PMB) were successfully deprotected under optimal conditions to their respective alcohols in high yields with high selectivity.⁵⁵


Then Kumar and co-workers (2014) developed a chemo-selective and efficient procedure for the deprotection of *N*-allylic amine **71** via DDQ assisted oxidation (Scheme 31). The utilization of DDQ offers a smooth and effective single-step removal of the allyl group with a wide range of tertiary amine derivatives that are protected orthogonally.⁵⁶

During the same year, Moody and co-workers demonstrated a process for *p*-methoxybenzyl ether **73** deprotection with high selectivity by employing a catalytic amount of DDQ along with sodium nitrite (NaNO₂) under atmospheric oxygen that was taken as terminal oxidant (Scheme 32). Excellent yields were obtained for structurally diverse protected alcohols. These reaction conditions were reported as highly chemoselective to deprotect PMB type of protecting groups in the presence of other alcohol protecting groups like acetate, ester, benzyl ether, EOM, and silyl ethers, among others. This extensively used oxidant methodology is being utilized in the synthesis of numerous natural products.⁵⁷



Scheme 27 DDQ-promoted synthesis of 2-arylbenzoxazoles.






Scheme 28 Deprotection of benzyl ethers using DDQ.



Scheme 29 Deprotection of hydroxyisochromans by DDQ.

Scheme 30 Deprotection of benzyl-type ethers by DDQ/*tert*-butyl nitrite mixture.

Recently, Penta and co-workers (2017) devised a facile and effective protocol for the regioselective protection of primary alcohol **75** mostly present in nucleosides with a combination of DDQ and 4-methoxy-benzyl-2,7-dimethylpiperylether (MBDPE) in high yield (Scheme 33). Interestingly, the same oxidative system can be used to quickly deprotect the same group in **76** in

Scheme 31 *N*-Allylic amine deprotection using DDQ.

methanol. Each protocol was effectively applied to the synthesis of altered nucleosides at a gram-scale level. This procedure offered neutral conditions for the protection and deprotection of the dimethylpiperyl group (DMPx) with high selectivity and their further deprotection in the presence of other typical protecting groups such as benzyl, benzoyl, and acetonide, among others.⁵⁸

The regio- and chemo-selective protection and deprotection of functional groups is one of the most essential tasks in modern organic synthesis and has been key to the successful execution of total synthesis of many small and large molecules. Since robust acid-resistant protecting groups are often required to withstand the harsh conditions of multi-step synthesis, the subsequent removal of such groups presents extreme challenges. DDQ has proven as a mild, and selective acid-resistant oxidant and is the reagent of choice which can be used in stoichiometric or catalytic amounts to deprotect alcohols, diols, allylic amines, and cleave hydroxyisochromans. The reagent cleaves the PPB, Bn, and PMB, which are otherwise very difficult to remove, very easily.



Being able to use DDQ in catalytic amount along other terminal oxidants like atmospheric oxygen or continuous irradiation is notable, reducing cost and avoiding the formation of undesired quinol/hydroquinone by-products. Although there are less applications of DDQ in protection reactions, the regioselective protection of primary alcohol groups in nucleosides with a combination of DDQ and 4-methoxy-benzyl-2,7-dimethylpiperylether (MBDPE) is an important reaction. Further, as a benzylic oxidant, DDQ can selectively oxidize secondary benzylic alcohols, leaving primary alcohols intact for further manipulation. Also, it is used for electron-donating groups selectively and constructs charge transfer complexes with them to provide high yield products.

3.5. Oxidation of phenolic compounds

In 1965, Becker used DDQ against phenols as an effective oxidizing agent, and thus applied this reagent to oxidatively introduce substitution onto the phenolic ring (Scheme 34). This reaction was performed in methanolic solution at ambient temperature to give the substituted products in high yields. This reaction proceeds through oxidative dimerization by either C–O or C–C coupling. DDQ reacted promptly with the substrate **77a** in the presence of CH₃OH at ordinary temperature to produce colored intermediates with different stabilities. The resulting intermediate **77b** was added to 4-hydroxydiphenyl thioether **77c** to form the substitution product **78** in excellent yield. In the end, DDQ was obtained in reduced form (DQ–H₂).⁵⁹

Later on (1969), researchers explored the use of DDQ for the dehydrogenation of phenolic compounds. For example, the derivatives of *p*-cresol were treated with DDQ in the presence of



Scheme 32 *p*-Methoxybenzyl ether deprotection by DDQ.

Scheme 33 DDQ controlled regiospecific protection and deprotection of primary alcohol.

methanol to yield the corresponding quinones. However, it was found that quinone methides type of unstable intermediates were formed. This problem was resolved by introducing bulky-alkyl groups onto the phenolic moiety (Scheme 35). As such, this strategy produced the desired quinone **80** from the phenol **79** *via* DDQ-assisted dehydrogenation in higher yield.⁶⁰

Schofield and co-workers (1971) explored the use of DDQ in stoichiometric amounts (1.0 eq.) to perform oxidative cyclization of the phenol **81** to produce a mixture of 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)benzo[*b*]furan **82a** and 2,3-dihydro-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)benzo[*b*]furan **82b** (Scheme 36). The latter compound was further subjected to dehydrogenation with DDQ (1.0 eq.) in benzene to furnish the target compound **82a** in good yield.⁶¹

Many typical oxidants have been used for the oxidation of phenols previously. DDQ is a novel oxidant for phenols that is

capable of generating phenoxonium ion intermediate. Consequently, it is the only oxidant that can change the course of phenol oxidation. It oxidizes sterically hindered phenols quite easily in short time at room temperature. High yield products are often obtained by this most effective and cheap oxidant. Remarkably, DDQ oxidizes phenols 5500 times faster than chloranil and can be removed easily from reaction mixture *via* ion exchange resins.

3.6. Oxidation of steroids

In this section, we will shed light on the applications of DDQ in the reactions of steroids. In this regard, Pradhan and Ringold (1964) described the oxidation of a steroid **83** with DDQ to give dehydrogenated product **85** under anhydrous conditions. The



Scheme 34 Oxidation of sterically hindered phenols by DDQ.





Scheme 35 Oxidation of 4-hydroxytriphenylethane.

sequence of reaction steps includes the abstraction of hydride at C-7, elimination of a proton at C-2 from the intermediate **84**, and hydride elimination from C-1. However, in the presence of water, the reaction proceeds quickly through hydrolysis of the intermediate **84** rather than proceeding through a second path whereby it loses a proton to generate **86** in good yield (Scheme 37).⁶²

In 1994, Böhme and Kempfle proposed a mechanism for the formation of steroids-based fluorescent probes with an aliphatic side chain or without it. Starting from steroidal alcohols **87** and **91**, they prepared different intermediate compounds *via* DDQ-assisted oxidation (Scheme 38).⁶³


In continuation, Xu and co-workers (2010) explored the synthesis of keto-steroids **96** and **98** through the dehydrogenation of the reactants **95** and **97** by employing DDQ as an oxidant

in the presence of *tert*-butyldimethylchlorosilane (TBDMSCl) at ordinary temperature (Scheme 39). From these results, they concluded that the addition of chlorosilane reagent could enhance the regioselectivity and reactivity in the synthesis of desired compounds under these oxidative conditions.⁶⁴

Later on, Shen and co-workers (2015) performed great work on the oxidation of sensitive hydroxysteroids **99** and **101** to their respective ketosteroids **100** and **102** by utilizing a mixture of DDQ and a catalytic amount of tetramethyl piperidinyl-1-oxyl (TEMPO) reagent as an effective oxidative system (Scheme 40). These oxidative conditions proved a high yielding. This procedure was most effective for the preparation of 4,6-diene-3-one from the corresponding hydroxysteroids.⁶⁵

Enzymatic dehydrogenation of ketosteroids was quite popular method but it had two disadvantages associated with it. Firstly, it was not much stable and secondly it was difficult to handle. To replace this tedious and less stable process, DDQ is used as an oxidant for facile and quick oxidation of ketosteroids. Moreover, it controlled the selectivity of the reaction as well. The reaction proceeds rapidly at room temperature under acidic conditions with high chemo- and regioselectivity. Thus, the main advantages here are short reaction time, high yield, selectivity (chemo and regio) and stability with easy-to-handle methodology.

3.6.1 Aromatization of steroids. Braude and Linstead (1954) revealed the promising oxidizing potential of compounds containing quinone-like moieties such as DDQ, chloranil, and tetra chloro-*o*-benzoquinone, *etc.* These oxidizing agents could



Scheme 36 Oxidative cyclization of a substituted phenol by DDQ.



Scheme 37 Oxidation of alkyl-enol ethers by DDQ.




have effectively been used for the dehydrogenation of organic compounds leading to aromatization.⁶⁶ Later on, Walker explained that the products of these reactions were not only dependent upon the substrate but also were reliant on the reagent and reaction conditions (*i.e.*, temperature and solvent, *etc.*). The above-mentioned reagents were well-known for the creation of C=C double bonds to extend conjugation in α,β -unsaturated ketones in order to furnish dienones as well as extend aromatic conjugation (Scheme 41).⁶⁷

In 1960, Braude reported various examples to exemplify the process of aromatization. The possibilities of previously mentioned reactions might have occurred in the second step


during the process of aromatization. For instance, an earlier and a facile example was the preparation of 1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene **106** from 1,5,5-trimethyl-3-methylenecyclohexene **105** by the use of DDQ (Scheme 42).⁶⁸

In the following years (1963 and 1964), Gaudry and co-workers presented a facile route for the dehydrogenation of 19-acetoxyketone **107** by using DDQ to form the product equilin **108**.⁶⁹ The estrogen dehydrogenation process was highly dependent on the nature of the carbon-17 substituent and the DDQ reagent. Therefore, estrone methyl ether **109** dehydrogenation was performed with chloranil in the presence of dioxane




Scheme 38 Synthesis of various steroid structures via DDQ-initiated oxidation.





Scheme 39 Dehydrogenation of ketosteroids by DDQ.



Scheme 40 Oxidation of hydroxysteroids by DDQ.


and *t*-butanol to produce the product **110** and **111** which emerged from the cleavage of steroidal ring D (Fig. 1).⁷⁰

Another complex example was given in 1970 by Dannenberg in which the preparation of three hydrocarbons **112**, **113**, and **114** was possible from cholesterol **115** dehydrogenation using chloranil and DDQ oxidants (Fig. 2).⁷¹⁻⁷⁴

Findlay and Turner (1971) reported that the oxidation of phenol **116** by DDQ leads to the formation of ketone **117**.⁷⁵ In addition, when methyl abietate **118** dehydrogenation took place with DDQ, an unexpected intermediate **119** was obtained.⁷⁶


Menthofuran **120** oxidation by DDQ generated the lactone **121** instead of a *p*-cymene analog (Fig. 3).⁷⁷

To avoid the prolonged treatment and by product formation during aromatization of steroids, DDQ is preferred as oxidant. It is considered as highly superior reagent for aromatization of steroids in neutral and weakly acidic conditions at a faster rate. DDQ is quite useful in case of sterically hindered molecules. The work we have described here has already referred to several useful aspects of DDQ as oxidant.



Scheme 41 Dehydrogenation of acetoxy ketones.





Scheme 42 Aromatization induced by DDQ.

3.7. Oxidative cyclization

In 1960, Creighton and Jackman reported an interesting reaction involving an oxidative coupling process catalyzed by DDQ (Scheme 43).⁷⁸ These types of coupling reactions (C–O bond formation) involve the formation of a carbocation intermediate, the stability of which is highly dependent upon the nature of attached substituents.

Thereafter, in 1965, chemists suggested that intermolecular coupling could be possible if enolizable ketones and phenols could not undergo α,β -dehydrogenation. However, oxidative dimerization was detected for a few starting materials, for instance, 2,6-dimethoxy-phenol.⁵⁶

DuPont Pharm chemists synthesized Efavirenz (SUSTIVATM: anti-HIV) drug in which oxidative cyclization of butynol

derivative **124** was achieved using DDQ in toluene to give the benzoxazine derivative **125** (Scheme 44).⁷⁹

In recent years, Cho and Scott (2015) proposed an efficient way to synthesize triply-fused benzene rings system **127** (Scheme 45). This intermolecular oxidative cyclotrimerization reaction involves highly reactive benzyne intermediates generated, *in situ*, in the presence of DDQ and trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (TfOH) in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE). This protocol is a convenient and advantageous metal-free reagent system as compared to the literature reported metal-based Scholl-type oxidants since it eradicates the probability of aromatic halogenation as a side reaction.⁸⁰

Several methods were reported in the literature for the oxidative cyclization of aromatic compounds. But low yields and low chemical purity were always the main problems faced by the synthetic chemists. DDQ reduced these problems by improving the yield and purity of products obtained in oxidative cyclization reactions. Furthermore, it also eliminated the risk of environmental pollution by promoting metal-free synthesis.

3.8. Role of DDQ in flavonoids synthesis

Flavonoids constitute an interesting class of secondary metabolites with plant origin. Researchers have paid special attention




Fig. 1 Aromatization using quinone-based oxidants.




Fig. 2 Hydrocarbons prepared by the dehydrogenation of cholesterol.





Fig. 3 Aromatization promoted by DDQ.






Scheme 43 Oxidative coupling by DDQ.


to the preparation of synthetic analogs in the laboratory. For instance, Kagei and colleagues (1978) described a unique procedure to prepare flavone **129a** in excellent yield from the

corresponding flavanone **128a** by treating the latter with DDQ (Scheme 46).⁸¹ Subsequently, in 1983 Shanker and team members applied these oxidative conditions to other substrates such as **128b** to synthesize flavone derivative **129b** under dehydrogenation conditions. Prior to this work, dehydrogenation of chromanones and flavanones to chromones and flavones, respectively, by DDQ had not been investigated yet. Therefore, a various number of chromanones and flavanones were dehydrogenated by using DDQ at reflux temperature.⁸²


Later on, Hoshino and Takeno (1987) determined the extent of the dehydrogenation of flavanones **130** and **132** with DDQ through HPLC analyses (Scheme 47). While substituents residing in the *ortho* position of the phenyl ring (ring B) of



Scheme 44 Synthesis of Efavirenz by DDQ-mediated oxidation.



Scheme 45 Oxidative cyclotrimerization of 1,2-dimethoxybenzene induced by DDQ.

Scheme 46 Dehydrogenation of 7-hydroxyflavanone (**128a**) and 7-methoxyflavanone (**128b**).


flavanones retarded the reaction, a corresponding study to explain the *ortho* effect by employing the linear combination model concluded that the inductive effect was more critical than the resonance and steric effects.⁸³

In 1999, Somogyi's group reported a simple and efficient single-step dehydrogenation of 1-thioflavanone **134** into 1-thioflavone **135** in excellent yield by employing DDQ (Scheme 48). They applied the same conditions on the conversion of their oxidized and halogenated derivatives as well. For example, the bromo derivative **136** was successfully oxidized to form flavone **137** in high yield by treating the former with DDQ. Noteworthy, the same chemical transformation of flavanones **134** and **136** into flavones **135** and **137** failed when *o*-chloranil oxidant was used (Scheme 48).⁸⁴

Vercauteren and co-workers (2001) worked on the gram-scale preparation of ¹³C-labelled procyanidin **139** from anthocyanidin analog **138** by treating the latter with DDQ in an acidic medium (Scheme 49). This oxidation procedure was fairly stereoselective and exclusively produced one diastereomer. Higher yields (up to 76%) were achieved as compared to low-scale reactions performed in preliminary studies.⁸⁵

In 2005, Tzeng and co-workers designed a method to synthesize flavone **141** and flavanone **142** from the requisite chalcone **140** by oxidizing the latter with DDQ at 110 °C. The reaction outcome depended highly on temperature and the group demonstrated the prospects of getting different products by gradually manipulating the reaction conditions (Scheme 50).⁸⁶

Although flavonoids may be oxidized by a number of oxidants, the applicability of these reagents is often limited due to several demerits. The sensitivity of substituents, side reactions, and certain substitution pattern of flavonoid molecules limits the use of these typical oxidants. To avoid these limitations, the mild and selective oxidant DDQ has been used as an alternative as discussed above. It also avoids tedious purification and provides higher yields. Moreover, it promotes one-step reaction that minimizes the risk of impurities.




Scheme 48 Dehydrogenation of 1-thioflavanone and 3-bromo analog by DDQ.

3.9. Dehydrogenation by DDQ

DDQ plays a vital role in the dehydrogenation of aliphatic hydrocarbons to simple aromatic compounds. In this regard, Müller (1973) disclosed a method for the dehydrogenation of 1,4-cyclohexadiene **143** as well as *cis*- and *trans*-3,6-dimethyl-1,4-cyclohexadiene by employing a mixture of DDQ and tritylium tetrafluoroborate as an oxidizing agent (Scheme 51). The mechanism of dehydrogenation using tritylium tetrafluoroborate along with DDQ was investigated and proposed on the basis of kinetic isotopic effects studies they conducted and the products obtained.⁸⁷

In 2008, Xu and co-workers extended this work and reported a method of oxidative dehydrogenation of dihydroarenes like **145** by DDQ and NaNO₂ under an open atmosphere to furnish the aromatic system **146** (Scheme 52). The amalgamation of DDQ and NaNO₂ offered better capability and selectivity than other benzoquinone and anthraquinone-based oxidants.⁸⁸

In continuation, Wang and co-workers (2010) developed a DDQ controlled oxidation–dehydrogenation protocol. In this process, they synthesized 2-arylbenzopyran-4-one (**148**) from 2-aryl-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-benzopyran (**147**) in the presence of a stoichiometric quantity of DDQ and acetic acid (Scheme 53).




Scheme 47 Dehydrogenation of flavanones with DDQ.






Scheme 49 Conversion of catechin to flavanol analog by DDQ.



Scheme 50 Synthesis of 5,2'-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone and flavanone.



Scheme 51 Dehydrogenation of 1,4-cyclohexadiene by DDQ.

Firstly, DDQ and acetic acid generate DDQH⁺ which abstracts one of the benzylic hydrogens of **147** to generate a benzylic cation intermediate. As DDQH⁺ carries a positive charge, it behaves as a stronger electrophile compared to neutral DDQ. Therefore, the deprotonation process of chroman at the C-4 position is enhanced. After this dehydrogenation step, oxidation was carried out to introduce C=O in the chroman moiety. Then, two DDQ equivalents were used sequentially for dehydrogenation to introduce C=C in chroman ring to afford 2-arylbenzopyran-4-one (**148**).⁸⁹

In 2011, Crabtree and co-workers⁹⁰ introduced a DDQ catalyzed oxidative dehydrogenation of secondary amines which served as a model system for simulated storage of hydrogen.


The group conducted a computational to provide mechanistic information about a chemical reaction. They effectively performed dehydrogenation of secondary amine like **149** in the presence of a metal-free organocatalyst and produced **150** (Scheme 54). Additional work is still required to optimize the reported procedure.⁹⁰

Furthermore, Li and co-workers (2013) devised a mild procedure for thiazolines and oxazolines **151** oxidation into thiazoles and oxazoles **152** with the aid of DDQ (Scheme 55). The applied method showed several benefits over previously reported similar methods. For instance, this process offered a feasible, metal-free, and high functional group tolerance for the formation of heteroaryl compounds. To acquire an improved understanding of the reaction mechanism, they performed the natural population analysis.⁹¹

DDQ proved highly selective oxidation system for the dehydrogenation of different organic compounds like aliphatic hydrocarbons. It is the main replacement to the classical oxidative dehydrogenating agents and provides greater yields. The rapid reaction rate avoids the slow H₂ evolution and the reagent may can be used in smaller amounts as mixture with other reagents. It is also easy to remove at the completion of the reaction. Further, it is metal free oxidation system that eliminates the risk of pollution.


3.10. DDQ-induced condensation of polyphenylenes into nanographenes

Over the past decade, DDQ-catalyzed condensation of polyphenylene hydrocarbons has gained increased attention in the scientific community involved in the synthesis of π -electrons rich aromatics. In this context, Rathore and co-workers (2009),⁹² probably for the first time, explored the use of DDQ in Scholl's reaction. They reported that Scholl's precursors could be subjected to oxidative cyclization with DDQ in the presence of




Scheme 52 Dehydrogenation of dihydroarenes by DDQ.






Scheme 53 DDQ controlled oxidation-dehydrogenation of dihydrobenzopyrans.



Scheme 54 Secondary amine dehydrogenation by DDQ.



Scheme 55 DDQ-induced dehydrogenation of saturated heterocycles.

a readily available methanesulfonic acid (MeSO₃H) under the standard conditions to afford the corresponding cyclo-dehydrogenated products in excellent yields (Fig. 4). Remarkably, the Scholl reaction with the DDQ/CH₃SO₃H oxidation system was equally effective with substrates undergoing both intramolecular and intermolecular aryl-aryl C–C bond formations.

Further, the DDQ/acid system (which readily oxidizes aromatics to the corresponding cation radicals) has been shown

that it can be employed for the Scholl reaction. Mechanistically, the DDQ/H⁺ system probably proceeds through cationic intermediate as depicted in Fig. 4. Here, a high-potential quinone is used in conjunction with an aprotic organic acid to affect dehydrogenative biaryl formation. Although a full mechanistic understanding of this reaction remains elusive, it has been suggested that the formation of a radical cation or arenium cation precedes the crucial biaryl C–C bond-forming step. Noteworthy, acid is necessary with DDQ to work effectively. However, mostly other oxidants don't require Brønsted acid, because they are strong enough to oxidize the parent arene system themselves. The isolation of cyclized products and the recovery of the reduced DDQ–H₂, which can be readily recycled into DDQ by oxidation with nitric acid, are easy.

Moreover, Rathore and his team also reported that the DDQ/H⁺ method can be efficiently employed for oxidative biaryl synthesis (or C–C bond formation) in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). The biaryl synthesis has been particularly useful for the oxidative cyclodehydrogenation of a variety of substituted hexaarylbenzene and *o*-terphenyls to produce the corresponding planar PAHs, for example, hexa-*peri*-hexabenzocoronenes (HBC's) and triphenylenes, respectively. The usefulness of the DDQ-acid system for the oxidative cyclodehydrogenation in the Scholl reaction was further validated by the generation of soluble HBC's [154a, b, c] from hexakis(4-isoalkylphenyl)benzenes [153a, b, c], where six new C–C bonds were formed in one step to give graphitic products in excellent yields and high purity (Scheme 56). Later, the DDQ/H⁺ oxidative system was applied in the oxidation of a variety of aromatic donors, with oxidation potential as high as ~1.7 V, to the corresponding radical cations and can be utilized for the synthesis of a variety of PAHs including graphitic hexa-*peri*-hexabenzocoronenes.⁹²





Fig. 4 Possible mechanistic pathways for the C–H abstraction from Scholl's precursors by DDQ.






Scheme 56 Synthesis of soluble HBCs from hexaarylbenzene precursors.

Wong and co-workers (2012) developed a new set of reaction conditions for the oxidative cyclodehydrogenation of electron-poor polyphenylenes with various electron-withdrawing groups like bromo and fluoro, among others. Their coupling into planar nanographenes was achieved using the DDQ- $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ oxidative system.⁹³ Bromo- and fluoro-HBC derivatives **158a–c** were prepared from hexaphenylbenzene precursors **157a–c** by treatment with a combination of DDQ and $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$. It is noteworthy that there are two proposed reaction mechanisms for the oxidative cyclodehydrogenation (Scholl) reaction, proceeding through either an arenium-ion or a radical-cation intermediates. In both pathways, acids play a vital role in the


progress of the reaction. The hexaphenylbenzene precursors **157a–c** were synthesized from the Diels–Alder cycloaddition reaction of acetylenes **155a–c** to cyclo-pentadienones **156a–c** followed by CO extrusion and aromatization (Scheme 57).

In 2012, Miao and co-workers also reported the synthesis of slightly non-planar nanographene molecules with overcrowded fjord regions like derivatives of hexabenzoperylene (HBP) **163** and **164** that led to the formation of both chiral twisted and anti-folded conformers. The oxidative cyclization step of the precursors **161** and **162** involves the use of a DDQ/ $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ oxidizing system to give the PAHs **163** and **164** excellent yields without any side products (Scheme 58). Interestingly,



Scheme 57 Synthesis of a series of HBC derivatives containing EWGs via DDQ.





Scheme 58 Synthesis of hexabenzoperylene via DDQ.

introducing alkoxy groups at the *o*- or *p*-positions next to the reaction sites was essential for successful and optimal cyclodehydrogenation process.⁹⁴


Up till now, we have only discussed the use of DDQ in constructing a six-membered ring in nanographenes.

However, Scott and co-workers (2013) made remarkable progress in introducing a challenging two-step synthesis of completely warped nanographene molecule embedded with five seven-membered rings. Surprisingly, the intramolecular cyclization of the regioisomeric precursors **166** effectively afforded curved graphene molecules **167** in good yields, overcoming the high steric demand to produce five distorted seven-membered rings. The C–H arylation of corannulene **165** was successfully conducted in moderate yield. Subsequently, the oxidative cyclodehydrogenation of the precursor **166** was successfully achieved by utilizing a DDQ/trifluoromethane sulphonic acid (TfOH) oxidant mixture to furnish a distinctive convex-concave nanographene **167** containing five seven-membered rings (Scheme 59).⁹⁵ The above-mentioned studies proved the success of the current protocol, thus paving the way to synthesize the

challenging heptagon containing non-planar PAHs from polyphenylenes after condensing with DDQ/H⁺ oxidant system.


Inspired by previous findings, Müllen, and co-workers (2014) explained the synthesis of biphenylene-based condensed PAH **169** merged with two 8-membered and one 4-membered rings by cyclodehydrogenation of the precursor octaaryl biphenylene **168** using DDQ/MeSO₃H mixture (Scheme 60). The cyclodehydrogenation did not proceed any further, possibly due to the greater steric hindrance and selectively, exclusively producing nanographene **169** in 90% yield.⁹⁶ No doubt, this was also a breakthrough in showcasing the significance of DDQ as an oxidant in the field of nanographene syntheses. Additionally, the authors concluded that the isomeric graphene nanostructures were prepared to show a combination of four-, six- and eight-membered rings in a single structure.

In follow-up, Müllen and co-workers (2015) further demonstrated recent progress in their work by illustrating the synthesis of nanographene by newly devised methods. These methods immensely broadened the scope of already available nanographene molecules. A great range of configurations, symmetries, embedded more than six-membered rings, edge



Scheme 59 DDQ-induced synthesis of grossly warped graphene molecules.






Scheme 60 Synthesis of biphenylene-based PAH by DDQ.

functionalization, and heteroatom doping are included in these graphene molecules. They prepared these nanographenes by using various oxidants, and one of them was DDQ. For instance, they utilized DDQ in the chemical transformation of precursor **170** to the PAH **171** through consequent cyclodehydrogenation in 89% yield (Scheme 61).⁹⁷

In 2015, Miao and co-workers synthesized two new saddle-shaped polycyclic arenes containing two heptagons from saddle-shaped diketone **172a, b** (Scheme 62). The synthesis of two novel saddle-shaped PAHs **174a, b** and **176a, b** with two entrenched heptagons was conducted *via* the oxidative cyclodehydrogenation of the precursors **173a, b** and **175a, b** by employing DDQ/CF₃SO₃H initiated oxidation.⁹⁸ These findings further strengthened the importance of the DDQ/H⁺ system in the insertion of a seven-membered ring in nanographenes structures, which could offer exciting electronic properties for applications in organic electronics.

Encouraged by the findings, Kuck and co-workers (2016) published, for the first time, the challenging approach for the attachment of three *o*-phenylene units at the bay regions of C_{3v}-symmetrical hat-shaped polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon called tribenzotriquinacene (TBTQ) **177a**. The key step in their

synthetic sequence includes three Scholl-type cycloheptatriene rings formation around the TBTQ core. This has been a long-standing dream of Kuck to incorporate three 7-membered rings at the bay regions of the TBTQ carbon framework. Over the past years, they attempted several oxidants to promote these oxidative cyclization reactions and ultimately were successful in fulfilling their goals by utilizing DDQ/TfOH oxidant system. In this regard, they treated the hydrocarbon **177a** with stoichiometric amounts of DDQ and TfOH in dichloromethane as displayed in Scheme 63. These oxidative conditions produced intermediate product **177b** with incomplete cyclodehydrogenation in good yield. To get multiple functionalization around the peripheral arene positions of TBTQ is itself quite challenging. Anyhow, upon increasing the amount of DDQ to 3.0 equivalents, they observed the attachment of two 1,2-arylene units around the TBTQ core in the form of an unwanted product **178a** (Scheme 63) produced in moderate yield. Nevertheless, the desired target compound **178b** could be obtained in 37% yield by using 5.0 equivalents of DDQ along with TfOH. Despite the relatively lower yield, the TBTQ merged with three cycloheptatriene units represents a promising key intermediate for the construction of nonplanar nanographene molecules bearing a TBTQ at the



Scheme 61 Synthesis of PAHs by DDQ.

central core.⁹⁹ These intriguing results opened the door for others to design and prepare organic functional materials based on the TBQT motif.

In the following years, Kuck and co-workers (2017) extended their research ventures to apply the previously reported oxidative conditions (DDQ/TfOH) in the synthesis of cycloheptatriene merged fenestrindane derivatives as shown in Scheme 64. Promoted by DDQ/TfOH, the key step featured four Scholl-type cycloheptatriene formation steps starting from the corresponding tetraarylfenestrindane derivatives **179** and **180** bearing electron-rich substituents. Consequently, the precursor hydrocarbons **179** and **180** were reacted with DDQ/TfOH in slight excess to produce a mixture of twofold-and fourfold-cyclization reactions in order to afford the products **181a** (52%) and **182** (20%), respectively (Scheme 64), in moderate yields. In other attempts, neither products of single nor triple cyclization were observed. With the peripheral $-\text{OCH}_3$ groups (**184**, **185**) being available for further functionalization and C–C cross-coupling reactions, these molecules should provide access to various novel π -extended saddle-shaped nanographenes.¹⁰⁰


In 2017, a review by Stepien and co-workers discussed the extended form of two-dimensional polycyclic heteroaromatics like **187** which is also known as heterocyclic nanographene. These molecules were identified as an extremely versatile class of organic materials. The authors focused on the synthesis, properties, and applications of large π -extended heteroatom-doped nanographenes, and thus highlighted the use of DDQ as a privileged oxidant for their synthesis.¹⁰¹ A representative

example of such heteroatom-doped planar nanographene molecule is illustrated in Scheme 65.

Recently, Kuck and coworkers (2018) described the formation of porous and complex bowl-shaped TBQT-based nanographenes (Scheme 66). The precursor **188** was synthesized over several steps from easily accessible starting materials.¹⁰² The compound **188** was treated with different equivalents of DDQ–TfOH in order to promote Scholl type macrocyclization to produce the porous nanographene molecules **189–191** in different yields (Scheme 66). However, they concluded that in order to achieve threefold oxidative cyclization, 4.5 equivalents of DDQ/TfOH mixture were needed. The further extension of this cyclic porous nanographene **191** by Scholl's macrocyclization using DDQ/TfOH is still underway by the researchers to prepare a number of different novel PAHs.¹⁰²


In 2020, Bonifazi and co-workers exploited the Pummerer oxidative annulation reaction to increase π -extension of the structure of **192** through an intramolecular C–O bond formation catalyzed by the use of appropriate oxidants (Scheme 67). The peripheral topology of the precursor **192** was used to regulate the formation of 5, 6, and 7-membered O-containing rings. They used DDQ as a key oxidant for the preparation of PAH **193** in good yield.¹⁰³

In continuation, Gryko and co-workers (2020) demonstrated that oxidative aromatic coupling is central to the contemporary field of aromatic compounds. This type of coupling can be used for the construction of large and puzzling architectures from precursor-like indole **194**. Substantial effort was also dedicated to the implementations of Scholl's reaction for the formation of




Scheme 62 Graphene syntheses with an embedded 7-membered ring through cyclodehydrogenation.






Scheme 63 DDQ promoted oxidative cycloheptatriene ring formation around the TBTQ core.



Scheme 64 DDQ-mediated Scholl-type oxidative cycloheptatriene formation; a fourfold bridging of the fenestrindanes core with electron-rich aryl units.


these nanographene-containing natural products and chiral bisphenols like **195** (Scheme 68). The researchers used DDQ as a primary oxidant to promote this kind of aromatic coupling in order to furnish the valuable target compound **195**.¹⁰⁴

Very recently, Miao and co-workers (2020) devised a protocol for the synthesis of novel aromatic saddle-shaped nanographenes by employing Scholl's reaction conditions. In this context, different equivalents of DDQ were used throughout the experiment. It is worth mentioning that the stoichiometric



Scheme 65 Synthetic route to the synthesis of hexapyrrolohexaazacoronenes by DDQ.






Scheme 66 DDQ-TfOH-promoted Scholl macrocyclization for porous nanographene syntheses.

Scheme 67 Synthesis of *O*-annulated PAHs by DDQ.

amount of DDQ furnished incomplete oxidative cyclodehydrogenation as depicted in Scheme 69. Nevertheless, to overcome this problem and push the reaction towards complete oxidation, they used DDQ in excess (11.0 eq.). The

nanographenes **199** and **200** were prepared from the reactant hydrocarbon **198** using DDQ along with TfOH in moderate to good yields. Thus, the team successfully synthesized novel aromatic strained non-planar nanographenes with embedded octagon by employing DDQ/TfOH oxidizing mixture. Moreover, this oxidant system helped avert the problems of skeletal rearrangement and incomplete cyclization.¹⁰⁵

This year (2021), Kuck and co-workers disclosed the synthesis of π -extended TBTQ-based wizard hat-shaped nanographene **202** from the precursor polycyclic aromatic compound **201** through Scholl type dehydrocyclization (Scheme 70). The key step involves Scholl-type cyclodehydrogenation of precursor **201** upon treatment with the DDQ-TfOH/CH₂Cl₂



Scheme 68 Oxidative aromatic coupling by DDQ.




oxidative system to afford the unique nonplanar PAH **202** in a 38% isolated yield. This DDQ-induced methodology has paved the way to design and synthesize π -electrons rich conjugated aromatics with a combination of five-, six-, and eight-membered carbocycles having improved optical and electronic properties.¹⁰⁶

The main challenge in the condensation of polyphenylenes into planar- and non-planar nanographenes lies in the complete removal of hydrogens in order to make multiple C–C bonds. In this context, several oxidant systems including iron chloride, vanadium chloride, and copper chloride have been used to achieve this goal. However, mostly these oxidants result in complex mixture of products. To circumvent these drawbacks, DDQ was used as an efficient, ecofriendly and easily recyclable alternative oxidant. It provided high yields and selective products under optimized conditions. The planar, non-planar, and porous nanographenes with extensive structures can be synthesized quite easily in less time using DDQ. It also promotes intramolecular multiple Scholl reactions. Other oxidants are metallic in nature, and thus hazardous for the environment and also lead to the formation of incomplete

reaction. Therefore, DDQ is an effective and stable alternative oxidant to synthesize nanographenes from their precursors.



3.10.1 DDQ-initiated organic synthetic transformations under visible-light irradiation. In 2017, König and co-workers reported that the C–H amination of benzene derivative **205** was achieved using DDQ as photocatalyst and BocNH₂ as the amine source **204** under aerobic conditions and visible-light irradiation (Scheme 71). Electron-deficient and electron-rich benzene react as substrates with moderate to good product yields.¹⁰⁷ Visible-light-mediated photocatalysis has emerged as a mild and useful tool for the functionalization of organic molecules.

In 2017, Yuan and co-workers reported that DDQ acts as an effective catalyst for C–C bond formation reactions under visible-light irradiation. They described a DDQ-photocatalyzed direct trifluoromethylation of (hetero)arenes **206** using CF₃-SO₂Na **207** as the trifluoromethyl (CF₃) radical source under visible-light irradiation (Scheme 72). In this method, different benzoquinone derivatives including benzoquinone, methyl-*p*-benzoquinone, 2,6-dimethyl-*p*-benzoquinone and DDQ were tested. A wide variety of (hetero)arenes **206** with EWGs or EDGs



Scheme 69 Synthesis of the aromatic saddle-shaped nanographenes by DDQ/TfOH system.



Scheme 70 Synthesis of the π -extended nanographene from the aryloxy-substituted TBQT via DDQ.

Scheme 71 Amination of aromatic ring via DDQ under visible light irradiation.


gave the expected trifluoromethyl products **208** in moderate to good yields (Scheme 71).¹⁰⁸

In 2017, Song and co-workers reported a DDQ-photocatalyzed methodology for the direct C₂-H amination of thiophene **209** by azoles **210** under visible-light irradiation (Scheme 72). This protocol has been found applicable to a series of thiophenes containing either EDGs (such as alkyl, trimethylsilyl or dioxolan) or EWGs (including chloride or bromide). They were selectively transformed into their corresponding amination products **211** in good yield. Moreover, various nitrogen sources like pyrazole, triazole, benzotriazole and their derivatives have shown to be tolerated and afforded the expected product in moderate to good yields (Scheme 73).¹⁰⁹

In 2018, Natarajan and co-workers devised a unique protocol for the homocoupling reaction of readily available mono- and disubstituted olefins to afford symmetrical di- and tetra-substituted 1,3-butadienes in the presence of catalytic amounts of DDQ under visible-light-irradiation. The reaction works for a wide range of reactants giving 1,3-butadienes in moderate to good yields. Herein, they propose a reaction mechanism involving direct oxidative radical coupling followed



by proton and hydrogen atom elimination. Primarily, a stoichiometric amount of DDQ was used as an oxidizing agent. Accordingly, a solution of ethene-1,1-diyldibenzene **212** (0.5 mmol) and DDQ (0.5 mmol) in anhyd. MeCN (5 mL) under a N₂ atmosphere was irradiated with a 5 W blue LED at room temperature. After 10 hours, the desired product 1,1,4,4-tetraphenylbuta-1,3-diene **213** was obtained in moderate to good yield (Scheme 74).¹¹⁰

In 2020, Sheridan and co-workers reported a protocol using DDQ for the C-F amination of fluoroarenes **214** with pyrazoles **215** under visible-light irradiation (Scheme 75). In this method, the authors used either trifluoroethanol (TFE) or a 1 : 1 mixture of dichloroethane (DCE) and trifluoroethanol (TFE) as a reaction medium due to its ability to stabilize the radical cation intermediate of the substrate. Moreover, to avoid the direct reaction of pyrazole with DDQ, the authors added azole slowly throughout the reaction. However, it is likely that the DDQ*-assisted one-electron oxidation of fluoroarene to the corresponding radical cation intermediate followed by nucleophilic pyrazole attack and rearrangement gave the reaction products **216**.¹¹¹



Scheme 72 Radical trifluoromethylation of six-membered aromatics.




Scheme 73 DDQ-photocatalyzed direct C₂-H amination of thiophene under visible-light irradiation.

Scheme 74 DDQ-catalyzed transformation of varying substituted 1,3-butadienes under visible-light-irradiation.


In 2020, Cavedon and co-workers described a DDQ-assisted protocol for the oxidative debenzoylation of benzyl ethers **217** under visible-light irradiation (Scheme 76). This protocol is applicable to a variety of substrates containing acetyl, benzoyl and isopropylidene protecting groups and smoothly deprotected them in less than 4 h in good yield. The authors pointed out that hydroxyethers **218**, and several common protecting groups (that do not survive in a hydrogenolysis or Birch reduction) such as fluorenylmethoxy, carbonyl, levulinic ester, allyl carbonate, and propargyl carbonate, and benzylidene were tolerated by the photooxidative benzyl ether cleavage. Notably, green light irradiation was reported to be superior to blue light in suppressing

the formation of side products during reactions. The control studies confirmed that light and DDQ are necessary for the reaction.¹¹²

DDQ, in combination with visible light, catalyzes several useful organic transformations like the photooxidative benzyl ether cleavage mentioned earlier. The ready availability, cheap price, lower toxicity, and good solubility in various solvents renders DDQ easy to handle and often leads to high product yields. The reagent enables functionalization of fluoroarenes of poor electronic nature and hydrocarbons. It is easier to oxidize and use it as photocatalyst. Owing to all these properties, DDQ



Scheme 75 DDQ-assisted direct C-F amination of chlorofluoroarenes by pyrazoles under visible-light irradiation.



Scheme 76 DDQ-assisted oxidative debenzoylation of benzyl ethers under visible-light irradiation.



is used in many synthetic pathways for small- and large-scale applications.

4. Conclusions & future perspectives

The commercially available DDQ plays an important role as an oxidant in numerous organic reactions. Throughout this review, we have showcased the facile and effective applications of DDQ in a wide range of organic transformations like oxidation of alcohols and ethers, oxidative cyclization and aromatization, oxidative protection and deprotection reactions, oxidative carbon-carbon bond formation reactions, dehydrogenation reactions, oxidation of phenols, amines, steroid ketones, and other such reactions. Additionally, we have focused on its uses in the condensation of polyphenylenes into planar and nonplanar nanographenes. Furthermore, we have also discussed the scope of DDQ oxidant in a vast range of organic chemical conversions. Most of the reactions known for C-H bond functionalization involve the use of aromatic compounds as starting material and only two examples used benzylic compounds and olefins. The use of aliphatic compounds as starting substrates in the DDQ-mediated synthesis of organic compounds awaits studies. DDQ, as a powerful oxidant, permits an effective metal-free oxidation. The methodology is quite simple and strong oxidant precludes the need of expensive transition-metal catalysts. It also minimizes the risk of environmental pollution by replacing poisonous metals. Its methodology is easy to handle and reactions often proceed at room temperature. Being a mild oxidizing agent, it promotes selective hydride-abstraction with good to excellent yields. That favours the synthesis of highly pure compounds and avoids the formation of side-products. DDQ is one of the most stable oxidants in acidic conditions. It is easily separable from the reaction mixture after the completion of reaction *via* ion-exchange separation technique. It can operate at room temperature, so no extra energy consumption is much needed. It is soluble in many solvents, such as DCM, dioxane, toluene, and acetic acid, facilitating its reactions. Noteworthy, polar solvents accelerate the rate of reaction with DDQ. We have seen so far how DDQ has broken all the taboos set by conventional oxidants. We anticipate that DDQ will be further explored and used in novel and selective transformations to design novel and highly selective complex organic compounds. Moreover, asymmetric reactions, improved reaction selectivity and larger-scale application are future challenges in DDQ catalysis.

Abbreviations

APEX	Annulative <i>p</i> -extension
Bn	Benzyl
BME	Benzyl methyl ethers
C ^{Bz}	N ⁴ -Bz-cytidine
CDCs	Cross-dehydrogenative couplings
DCM	Dichloromethane
DDQ	2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone
DMPM	3,4-Dimethoxybenzyl

EOM	Ethoxy methyl
H-NMR	Proton nuclear magnetic resonance
HPLC	High-performance liquid chromatography
MeCN	Methyl cyanide
DMPM	3,4-Dimethoxy benzyl
PAH	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
<i>p</i> -HBC	<i>p</i> -Hexabenzocoronene
PMB	<i>p</i> -Methoxy benzyl
TBDMSCl	<i>tert</i> -Butyldimethylchlorosilane
TBTQ	Tribenzotriquinacene
TEMPO	Tetramethyl piperidiny 1-oxyl
TfOH	Triflic acid
UV-Vis	Ultraviolet-visible

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Deanship of Scientific Research at Umm Al-Qura University for financial support under grant code 19-SCI-1-01-0008. The authors are also highly grateful to the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan (HEC) for providing financial assistance under Project No. (NRPU-6484).

References

- M. G. Clerici and P. Ingallina, *Catal. Today*, 1998, **41**, 351–364.
- Z. Shen, J. Dai, J. Xiong, X. He, W. Mo, B. Hu, N. Sun and X. Hu, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2011, **353**, 3031–3038.
- S. B. Bharate, *Synlett*, 2006, **205**, 0496–0497.
- (a) J. J. V. Eynde, F. Delfosse, P. Lor and Y. Van Haverbeke, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, **51**, 5813–5818; (b) J. Thiele and F. Günther, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1906, **349**, 45–66.
- D. Walker and T. D. Waugh, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1965, **30**, 3240.
- M. Bouquet, A. Guy, M. Lemaire and J. Guette, *Synth. Commun.*, 1985, **15**, 1153–1157.
- Q. Huang, B.-Z. Zheng and Q. Long, *J. Chem. Sci.*, 2010, **122**, 203–207.
- T. Tomakinian, R. Guillot, C. Kouklovsky and G. Vincent, *Angew. Chem.*, 2014, **126**, 12075–12079.
- Y. Ding, Z. Huang, J. Yin, Y. Lai, S. Zhang, Z. Zhang, L. Fang, S. Peng and Y. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 9495–9497.
- Y. Cui and P. E. Floreancig, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 1720–1723.
- A. Ali, F. Cheng, W.-H. Wen, X. Ying, J. Kandhadi, H. Wang, H.-Y. Liu and C.-K. Chang, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **29**, 1888–1892.
- A. G. Slater, Y. Hu, L. Yang, S. P. Argent, W. Lewis, M. O. Blunt and N. R. Champness, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 1562–1569.
- E. S. Lewis, J. M. Perry and R. H. Grinstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **92**, 899–905.
- K. Tanemura, Y. Nishida and T. Suzuki, *Bull. Nippon Dental Univ.*, 2011, **40**, 31–34.



- 15 W. Brown and A. Turner, *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 1971, 2566–2572.
- 16 D. R. Buckle, S. J. Collier and M. D. McLaws, *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*, 2001.
- 17 P. Mitchell, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1963, **41**, 550–553.
- 18 J. Ma, Z. Hu, M. Li, W. Zhao, X. Hu, W. Mo, B. Hu, N. Sun and Z. Shen, *Tetrahedron*, 2015, **71**, 6733–6739.
- 19 N. Iranpoor and I. M. Baltork, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, **31**, 735–738.
- 20 I. Paterson, C. J. Cowden, V. S. Rahn and M. D. Woodrow, *Synlett*, 1998, **98**, 915–917.
- 21 Z.-L. Wang, H.-L. Li, L.-S. Ge, X.-L. An, Z.-G. Zhang, X. Luo, J. S. Fossey and W.-P. Deng, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 1156–1165.
- 22 P. Röse, S. Emge, C. A. König and G. Hilt, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2017, **359**, 1359–1372.
- 23 B. Bortolotti, R. Leardini, D. Nanni and G. Zanardi, *Tetrahedron*, 1993, **49**, 10157–10174.
- 24 V. S. Batista, R. H. Crabtree, S. J. Konezny, O. R. Luca and J. M. Praetorius, *New J. Chem.*, 2012, **36**, 1141–1144.
- 25 L. Zhai, R. Shukla and R. Rathore, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 3474–3477.
- 26 (a) Y. Zhang and C.-J. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 4242–4243; (b) P. Natarajan and B. König, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, 1–18.
- 27 H. Lee and R. G. Harvey, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1983, **48**, 749–751.
- 28 T. R. Kasturi, S. K. Jayaram, J. A. Sattigeri, P. V. Pragnacharyulu, T. N. G. Row, K. Renuka, K. Venkatesan, N. S. Begum and N. Munirathinam, *Tetrahedron*, 1993, **49**, 7145–7158.
- 29 K. Peng, F. Chen, X. She, C. Yang, Y. Cui and X. Pan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, **46**, 1217–1220.
- 30 C. C. Cosner, P. J. Cabrera, K. M. Byrd, A. M. A. Thomas and P. Helquist, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 2071–2073.
- 31 L. Wang, J. Li, H. Yang, Y. Lv and S. Gao, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 790–794.
- 32 C. S. Lancefield, O. S. Ojo, F. Tran and N. J. Westwood, *Angew. Chem.*, 2015, **127**, 260–264.
- 33 Y. Hu, L. Chen and B. Li, *Catal. Commun.*, 2018, **103**, 42–46.
- 34 T. Katsina, L. Clavier, J.-F. Giffard, N. Macedo Portela da Silva, J. Fournier, R. Tamion, C. Copin, S. Arseniyadis and A. Jean, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2020, **24**, 856–860.
- 35 A. Bhattacharya, L. M. DiMichele, U. H. Dolling, E. J. Grabowski and V. J. Grenda, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1989, **54**, 6118–6120.
- 36 E. Lee-Ruff and F. Ablenas, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1989, **67**, 699–702.
- 37 W. Wang, T. Li and G. Attardo, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, **62**, 6598–6602.
- 38 R. J. Fradette, M. Kang and F. West, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 6335–6338.
- 39 H. Jo, A. H. Hassan, S. Y. Jung, J. K. Lee, Y. S. Cho and S.-J. Min, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 1175–1178.
- 40 A. S.-K. Tsang, P. Jensen, J. M. Hook, A. S. K. Hashmi and M. H. Todd, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2011, **83**, 655–665.
- 41 D. Waghay, C. de Vet, K. Karypidou and W. Dehaen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 11147–11154.
- 42 S. Nobusue, K. Fujita and Y. Tobe, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 3227–3230.
- 43 M. Kawamura, E. Tsurumaki and S. Toyota, *Synthesis*, 2018, **50**, 134–138.
- 44 A. Kar, B. Chakraborty, S. Kundal, G. Rana and U. Jana, *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry* 2021.
- 45 Y. Oikawa, T. Yoshioka and O. Yonemitsu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, **23**, 885–888.
- 46 Y. Oikawa, T. Yoshioka and O. Yonemitsu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, **23**, 889–892.
- 47 Y. Oikawa, T. Tanaka, K. Horita, T. Yoshioka and O. Yonemitsu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984, **25**, 5393–5396.
- 48 K. Horita, T. Yoshioka, T. Tanaka, Y. Oikawa and O. Yonemitsu, *Tetrahedron*, 1986, **42**, 3021–3028.
- 49 C. E. McDonald, L. E. Nice and K. E. Kennedy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, **35**, 57–60.
- 50 P. B. Sampson and J. F. Honek, *Org. Lett.*, 1999, **1**, 1395–1397.
- 51 G. Sharma, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 5571–5573.
- 52 J. Chang, K. Zhao and S. Pan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 951–954.
- 53 M. A. Rahim, S. Matsumura and K. Toshima, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, **46**, 7307–7309.
- 54 M. Guiso, C. Marra and F. Piccioni, *Nat. Prod. Res.*, 2010, **24**, 331–340.
- 55 Z. Shen, L. Sheng, X. Zhang, W. Mo, B. Hu, N. Sun and X. Hu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, **54**, 1579–1583.
- 56 P. Kumar, S. K. Cherian, R. Jain and K. Show, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 7172–7176.
- 57 K. Walsh, H. F. Sneddon and C. J. Moody, *Tetrahedron*, 2014, **70**, 7380–7387.
- 58 P. Srishylam, A. R. Reddy, S. Banerjee, S. Penta and Y. S. Sanghvi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2017, **58**, 2588–2591.
- 59 H.-D. Becker, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1965, **30**, 982–989.
- 60 H. D. Becker, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1969, **34**, 1203–1210.
- 61 K. Schofield, R. Ward and A. Choudhury, *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 1971, 2834–2837.
- 62 S. Pradhan and H. J. Ringold, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1964, **29**, 601–604.
- 63 R. M. Böhme and M. A. Kempfle, *Steroids*, 1994, **59**, 265–269.
- 64 K. Chen, C. Liu, L. Deng and G. Xu, *Steroids*, 2010, **75**, 513–516.
- 65 W. Zhang, D. Pan, A. Wu and L. Shen, *Steroids*, 2015, **96**, 16–20.
- 66 E. Braude and R. Linstead, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1954, 3544–3547.
- 67 D. Walker and J. D. Hiebert, *Chem. Rev.*, 1967, **67**, 153–195.
- 68 E. Braude, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, 3123.
- 69 J. Bagli, P. Morand, K. Wiesner and R. Gaudry, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1964, **5**, 387–389.
- 70 A. Cross, H. Carpio and P. Crabbé, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1963, 5539–5544.
- 71 H. Dannenberg, *Synthesis*, 1970, **309**, 74–81.
- 72 S. G. Boots and W. S. Johnson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1966, **31**, 1285–1287.
- 73 R. Cambie and V. F. Carlisle, *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 1970, 1706–1710.
- 74 A. Bodenberger and H. Dannenberg, *Chem. Ber.*, 1971, **104**, 2389–2426.



- 75 J. Findlay and A. Turner, *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 1971, 23–29.
- 76 T. Kasturi, E. Raghavan, S. Dev and D. Banerjee, *Tetrahedron*, 1966, **22**, 745–752.
- 77 M. De Lucia, F. Mainieri, L. Verotta, M. Maffei, L. Panzella, O. Crescenzi, A. Napolitano, V. Barone, G. Appendino and M. d'Ischia, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 10123–10129.
- 78 A. Creighton and L. Jackman, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, 3138–3144.
- 79 H. Y. Meltzer, *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 1999, **21**, 106–115.
- 80 H. Y. Cho and L. T. Scott, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2015, **56**, 3458–3462.
- 81 S. Matsuura, M. Iinuma, K. Ishikawa and K. Kagei, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1978, **26**, 305–306.
- 82 C. G. Shanker, B. V. Mallaiah and G. Srimannarayana, *Synthesis*, 1983, **985**, 310–311.
- 83 Y. Hoshino and N. Takeno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1987, **60**, 4468–4470.
- 84 L. Somogyi, *Synth. Commun.*, 1999, **29**, 1857–1872.
- 85 V. Arnaudinaud, B. Nay, S. Vergé, A. Nuhlich, G. Deffieux, J.-M. Mérillon, J.-P. Monti and J. Vercauteren, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 5669–5671.
- 86 Y. K. Rao, S.-H. Fang and Y.-M. Tzeng, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2005, **13**, 6850–6855.
- 87 P. Müller, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1973, **56**, 1243–1251.
- 88 W. Zhang, H. Ma, L. Zhou, Z. Sun, Z. Du, H. Miao and J. Xu, *Molecules*, 2008, **13**, 3236–3245.
- 89 L.-Y. Chen, S.-R. Li, P.-Y. Chen, H.-C. Chang, T.-P. Wang, I.-L. Tsai and E.-C. Wanga, *Arkivoc*, 2010, **11**, 64–76.
- 90 O. R. Luca, T. Wang, S. J. Konezny, V. S. Batista and R. H. Crabtree, *New J. Chem.*, 2011, **35**, 998–999.
- 91 X. Li, C. Li, B. Yin, C. Li, P. Liu, J. Li and Z. Shi, *Chem. - Asian J.*, 2013, **8**, 1408–1411.
- 92 L. Zhai, R. Shukla and R. Rathore, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 3474–3477.
- 93 D. J. Jones, B. Purushothaman, S. Ji, A. B. Holmes and W. W. Wong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 8066–8068.
- 94 J. Luo, X. Xu, R. Mao and Q. Miao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 13796–13803.
- 95 K. Kawasumi, Q. Zhang, Y. Segawa, L. T. Scott and K. Itami, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, **5**, 739–744.
- 96 F. Schlütter, T. Nishiuchi, V. Enkelmann and K. Müllen, *Angew. Chem.*, 2014, **126**, 1564–1568.
- 97 A. Narita, X.-Y. Wang, X. Feng and K. Müllen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 6616–6643.
- 98 K. Y. Cheung, X. Xu and Q. Miao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 3910–3914.
- 99 H.-W. Ip, C.-F. Ng, H.-F. Chow and D. Kuck, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 13778–13781.
- 100 W. S. Wong, C. F. Ng, D. Kuck and H. F. Chow, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 12356–12360.
- 101 M. Stepien, E. Gonka, M. Żyła and N. Sprutta, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 3479–3716.
- 102 L. He, C. F. Ng, Y. Li, Z. Liu, D. Kuck and H. F. Chow, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 13635–13639.
- 103 L. Đorđević, D. Milano, N. Demitri and D. Bonifazi, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 4283–4288.
- 104 M. Grzybowski, B. Sadowski, H. Butenschön and D. T. Gryko, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 2998–3027.
- 105 S. H. Pun, C. K. Chan, Z. Liu and Q. Miao, *Organic Materials*, 2020, **2**, 248–252.
- 106 H.-W. Ip, Y. Li, D. Kuck and H.-F. Chow, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, **86**, 5546–5551.
- 107 S. Das, P. Palani Natarajan and B. König, *Chemistry*, 2017, **23**, 18161.
- 108 B. Chang, H. Shao, P. Yan, W. Qiu, Z. Weng and R. Yuan, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2017, **5**, 334–341.
- 109 C. Song, H. Yi, B. Dou, Y. Li, A. K. Singh and A. Lei, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 3689–3692.
- 110 D. Chuskit, R. Chaudhary, P. Venugopalan, B. König and P. Natarajan, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2018, **5**, 3553–3556.
- 111 T. Sheridan, H. G. Yayla, Y. Lian, J. Genovino, N. Monck and J. W. Burton, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2020, **20**, 2766–2770.
- 112 C. Cavedon, E. T. Sletten, A. Madani, O. Niemeyer, P. H. Seeberger and B. Pieber, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 514–518.

