

Showcasing research from the laboratories of Prof. Kang Mun Lee at the Kangwon National University, Prof. Myung Hwan Park, and Prof. Min Kim at the Chungbuk National University.

Synthesis of *o*-carborane-functionalized metal–organic frameworks through ligand exchanges for aggregation-induced emission in the solid state

The preparation of *o*-carborane-functionalized metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) were successfully performed through post-synthetic ligand exchanges (PSE) and their photoluminescence (PL) were drastically shifted by aggregation-induced emission (AIE) in the solid state.

As featured in:



See Myung Hwan Park, Kang Mun Lee, Min Kim *et al.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 11844.



rsc.li/chemcomm

Registered charity number: 207890

ChemComm

COMMUNICATION



View Article Online

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 11844

Received 17th August 2019, Accepted 6th September 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9cc06386b

rsc.li/chemcomm

Synthesis of o-carborane-functionalized metalorganic frameworks through ligand exchanges for aggregation-induced emission in the solid state;

Sangdon Choi,‡^a Ha-Eun Lee,‡^a Chan Hee Ryu,‡^b Jooyeon Lee,^a Jihyun Lee,^c Minyoung Yoon, ^b^d Youngjo Kim, ^b^a Myung Hwan Park, ^b*^e Kang Mun Lee ^b*^b and Min Kim ^{*}

The carborane (CB)-functionalized ligand was installed in a variety of MOFs through postsynthetic ligand exchange processes. This methodology is a general method for preparing *o*-CB-functionalized MOFs with known frameworks. Furthermore, the photoluminescence (PL) spectra revealed intriguing aggregation-induced emission (AIE) features following the systematic incorporation of *o*-CB functionalities into framework-type materials.

Icosahedral carboranes are inorganic cage molecules that consist of two carbon atoms and ten boron atoms and are utilized in the organometallic and materials fields because of their hydrophobicity and chemical/physical stability. In particular, *o*carborane (*o*-CB) cages are considered a benzene substituent because they have three-dimensional aromaticity.^{1,2} In addition, various organometallic species have been shown to have unique photophysical properties, and as a result, they have been extensively studied for use in energy transfer materials.^{3–11} Recently, the incorporation of carborane cages into solid-state materials, such as in polymers and porous metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) along with optoelectronics, gas separation devices and hydrophobicity sensors, has been reported.^{12–14}

MOFs are porous polymeric materials that consist of metal clusters and multitopic organic ligands. Their controllable porosity, relatively low density, and broad structural diversity as well as the chemical tunability of their ligands make these organic/inorganic hybrid materials of great importance for various applications, such as molecular storage, separation, and catalysis.¹⁵ The ligand tunability and/or installation of functional groups on MOFs are directly related to the performance of MOFs in the target applications. Since both MOFs and *o*-CB are tunable inorganic-based materials, the combination of these two materials is receiving considerable attention from the inorganic and materials chemistry fields. The *o*-CB unit is generally considered a benzene substituent in MOF ligands, serving as a strut in the framework; however, each ligand is designed for a specific MOF, and the tunability of the MOFs/*o*-CB is very limited in this system (Scheme 1).^{16–19} In addition, studies on carborane-MOFs have only focused on their hydrogen storage properties, stability and structural features, while their unique photophysical properties have not yet been investigated.

Herein, we demonstrate a postsynthetic ligand exchange (PSE) process for the installation of o-CB functionalities into MOFs and the photoluminescence (PL) of o-CB-functionalized MOFs. For this protocol, o-CB-functionalized benzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid (BDC-CB) was prepared as the main ligand, and two representative BDC-based MOFs, IRMOF (IsoReticular MOFs) and UiO-66 (UiO = University of Oslo), were investigated (Scheme 1).^{20,21} Both IRMOF and UiO-66 were synthesized under simple solvothermal conditions with the appropriate metal salt (i.e., Zn(NO₃)₂ for IRMOF and ZrCl₄ for UiO-66) and BDC ligand. IRMOF is a Zn(II)-based, landmark MOF that was reported in 1999 by Yaghi and co-workers, and various ligand functionalization processes involving this MOF have been studied.²² Since the practical uses of IRMOF are limited due to its low stability to humidity and water, CB installation into Zr(IV)-based UiO-66 as well as the practicality of the resulting material were also studied. UiO-66 shows superior chemical and physical stability due to the high oxophilicity of the zirconium cation, and a variety of organic functional groups were successfully installed in UiO-66 frameworks with functionalized BDCs. The solid-state PL of carboranebearing MOFs with different functionalities in the framework was studied, and unprecedented aggregation-induced emission (AIE) was clearly visible from the MOFs.

^a Department of Chemistry and BK21Plus Research Team, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, 28644, Korea. E-mail: minkim@chungbuk.ac.kr

^b Department of Chemistry, Institute of Molecular Science and Fusion Technology, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 24341, Korea. E-mail: kangmunlee@kangwon.ac.kr

^c Department of Nanochemistry, Gachon University, Sungnam 13120, Korea

^d Department of Chemistry, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Korea

^e Department of Chemistry Education, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, 28644, Korea. E-mail: mhpark98@chungbuk.ac.kr

 [†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental detail and spectroscopic data for ¹H and ¹³C NMR PDF. See DOI: 10.1039/c9cc06386b
 [‡] S. Choi, H.-E. Lee, C. H. Ryu are equally contributed to this work.

Communication



The *o*-CB-functionalized BDC (BDC-CB, **1**) was prepared through multiple organic reactions (Scheme S1, ESI[†]). All reactions were performed with the methyl ester form to facilitate separation by column chromatography. The key intermediate is an alkyne-functionalized BDCE, which can be synthesized by a Sonogashira cross-coupling with palladium and copper catalysts. Then, the *o*-CB cage was generated using decaborane (B₁₀H₁₄). After hydrolysis under basic conditions, the target BDC-CB was obtained.

As an initial study, the direct synthesis of UiO-66-CB using ZrCl₄ with BDC-CB was attempted; however, the o-CB-functionalized UiO-66-CB was not observed from the screening of various solvothermal conditions. Since the carborane cage is a relatively larger functional group than known functional groups in the UiO-66 pores, we also tried direct synthesis using a mixed ligand strategy. Several ratios of non-functionalized BDC and BDC-CB were tested, and carborane-functionalized UiO-66 was not obtained; only non-functionalized UiO-66 was synthesized. Therefore, a PSE strategy with the pre-synthesized UiO-66 was explored. Using PSE, the functionalized ligand could be incorporated into the MOF pores by exposing the parent MOF to the target ligand solution.^{23,24} Dry, non-functionalized UiO-66 was immersed in an aqueous solution of BDC-CB for 18 h, and UiO-66-CB was obtained by simple centrifugation. The crystallinity was completely retained during the PSE process, as evidenced by PXRD (powder X-ray diffraction, Fig. 1 and Fig. S1, ESI⁺). Infrared (IR) spectra displayed signals characteristic of B-H bonds after PSE, and the ¹H NMR spectra after acid digestion showed that 14% BDC-CB was incorporated into the UiO-66 framework (Fig. S2, ESI[†]). This ratio did not change after extensive washing, which confirmed that the BDC-CB is not trapped in the pore but is exchanged into the framework. At the same time, PSE for IRMOF was also tested in an organic solvent (e.g., THF) due to





its low stability in water. A smaller exchange ratio was achieved relative to that of UiO-66; thus, the exchange time and temperature were increased to 1 day and 40 $^{\circ}$ C. The retention of the bulk crystallinity of IRMOF was confirmed by PXRD, and a total of 4% BDC-CB was incorporated into the IRMOF structures, as evidenced by the IR and ¹H NMR spectra of IRMOF after acid digestion (Fig. 1 and Fig. S3, S4, ESI†). Due to its chemical stability, UiO-66 is much easier to handle, better suited to carborane installation through ligand exchanges, and more practically applicable. Therefore, additional functionalizations were focused on UiO-66 with chemical tags.

Functionalized UiO-66-R (R = Br, NO₂, and Naph) species were prepared using the solvothermal reaction between ZrCl₄ and BDC-R (or NDC = 1,4-naphthalene dicarboxylic acid). Amino-functionalized UiO-66-NH2 and hydroxy-functionalized UiO-66-OH were not employed for this methodology because they have unique and relatively weak photoluminescence. The retention of the crystallinity of the UiO-66-Rs during the PSE process was confirmed by PXRD (Fig. 1 and Fig. S5-S7, ESI[†]). Notably, UiO-66-Naph has the smallest pore and window sizes among the functionalized UiO-66-Rs, and this prevented it from undergoing PSE. Therefore, a ligand mixing strategy between NDC and BDC (1:3 ratio, see the ESI⁺ for details) was employed to obtain more empty space for ligand exchange. The exchange ratio was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy after acid digestion of thoroughly washed materials, and IR spectroscopy was employed to confirm the presence of B-H bonds in the ortho-carborane cages (Fig. S8-S10, ESI⁺). The remaining porosity in exchanged UiO-66-(R)(CB) was confirmed by N2 adsorption at 77 K, and the BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller) surface area was calculated (Fig. S11-S15, ESI⁺). Generally, the surface area decreased upon the introduction of carborane functionalities; however, the main pores of the MOFs were retained after installation of the bulky carborane cages. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) confirmed the thermal stability of the carborane-functionalized UiO-66s. The exchanged MOFs were generally stable up to 300 °C under N₂ (Fig. S16, ESI†).



Fig. 2 Photoluminescence spectra of carborane-functionalized ligands and UiO-66s.

Prior to investigating the luminescent properties of the o-CBappended MOFs, the PL spectra of the o-CB-functionalized ligands (BDCE-CB and BDC-CB (1)) were acquired. The ligands showed virtually no emission in solution (DMSO), which is typical of o-carborane derivatives;^{25,26} however, both BDCE-CB and BDC-CB in the solid state displayed intense emission in the high-energy region over $\lambda_{em} = 400 \text{ nm} (\lambda_{em,max} = 345 \text{ nm for}$ BDC-CB and 360 nm for BDC-CB, Fig. 2(a)). This finding strongly indicates that the intense emission bands could be attributed to o-CB-based AIE induced by the restricted rotation of the carborane moiety in the rigid structure.^{27,28} To further check the AIE phenomenon observed in the solid state of BDC-CB ligand, the additional PL experiments were performed in DMSO-water mixed solvents.^{10,29} Unfortunately, no aggregation occurred upon increasing volumetric fractions of water in the DMSO-water mixed solvents and no emission peak was observed. Next, the emission spectra of o-CB-functionalized MOFs in the solid state were also investigated. A similar AIE was observed in the o-CB-functionalized IRMOFs in the region of 350-400 nm (Fig. 2(b), blue line). However, the only AIE from o-CB-bearing MOFs could not be precisely measured due to the strong emission at 442 nm originating from the IRMOF core (Fig. 2(b), dark blue line).³⁰ To clarify the AIE characteristic of o-CB within MOF structures, UiO-66 MOFs, which are non-emissive, were utilized as a basic framework. Interestingly, all the o-CB-functionalized

UiO-MOFs clearly exhibited broad emission in the range of 450 nm to 600 nm (Fig. 2(c)-(f)), which is significantly redshifted compared to the emission of o-CB-functionalized ligands. Furthermore, the PL spectra of UiO-66-(H)(CB) gradually intensified with increasing content of the carborane, verifying that the signals resulted from AIE caused by the presence of o-CBs (Fig. 2(c)). However, the non-emissive nature of o-CB-functionalized MOFs in the high-energy regions was also observed. The changes in the emissive behaviors of o-CB-appended MOFs could be attributed to the desired intermolecular interactions between the carborane cages, which are regularly incorporated into the building blocks of the framework. In contrast, the physical mixture of BDC-CB and UiO-66 (10 mol% of BDC-CB to UiO-66) did not show significant redshift in the PL spectra (Fig. S17, ESI[†]). These findings further suggest that such framework materials containing carborane moieties could play an important role in controlling the aggregation effects favored by various o-carborane-based molecules.

In conclusion, we have successfully prepared *o*-CB-functionalized BDC ligands for the installation of carborane cages into various BDC-based MOFs. Two representative frameworks, Zn(II)-based IRMOF and Zr(IV)-based UiO-66, were subjected to *o*-CB functionalization with a postsynthetic ligand exchange strategy, and approximately 10% carborane functionalities were incorporated into MOF structures. The good functional group tolerance of the carborane installation was confirmed for UiO-66 since it has superior chemical stability, which is an important advantage for practical applications. The maxima in the PL spectra were drastically shifted upon installation into MOFs, and these changes mainly originate from AIE. This methodology provides a general approach for the formation of systematic carborane-functionalized MOFs, which show intriguing photophysical properties as a novel class of carborane-bearing framework materials.

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program (2019R1A2C4070584) and the Science Research Center (2016R1A5A1009405) through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 R. E. Williams, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 177-207.
- 2 V. I. Bregadze, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 209-223.
- 3 A. V. Marsh, N. J. Cheetham, M. Little, M. Dyson, A. J. P. White, P. Beavis, C. N. Warriner, A. C. Swain, P. N. Stavrinou and M. Heeney, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 10640–10645.
- 4 N. Van Nghia, S. Park, Y. An, J. Lee, J. Jung, S. Yoo and M. H. Lee, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2017, 5, 3024–3034.
- 5 H. Naito, Y. Morisaki and Y. Chujo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 5084–5087.
- 6 Y. H. Lee, J. Park, J. Lee, S. U. Lee and M. H. Lee, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 8018–8021.
- 7 A. M. Prokhorov, T. Hofbeck, R. Czerwieniec, A. F. Suleymanova, D. N. Kozhevnikov and H. Yersin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 9637–9642.
- 8 R. Visbal, I. Ospino, J. M. López-De-Luzuriaga, A. Laguna and M. C. Gimeno, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 4712–4715.
- 9 K. R. Wee, W. S. Han, D. W. Cho, S. Kwon, C. Pac and S. O. Kang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 2677–2680.

- 10 Y. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 5361-5388.
- 11 J. Liu, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 5799–5967.
- 12 K. Kokado and Y. Chujo, *Macromolecules*, 2009, 42, 1418–1420.
- 13 M. H. Park, K. M. Lee, T. Kim, Y. Do and M. H. Lee, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2011, **6**, 1362–1366.
- 14 M. Eo, M. H. Park, T. Kim, Y. Do and M. H. Lee, *Polymer*, 2013, 54, 6321–6328.
- H.-C. Zhou, J. R. Long and O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 673–674.
 O. K. Farha, A. M. Spokoyny, K. L. Mulfort, M. F. Hawthorne, C. A.
- Mirkin and J. T. Hupp, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 12680–12681.
 17 S. L. Huang, L. H. Weng and G. X. Jin, Dalton Trans., 2012, 41, 11657–11662.
- 18 D. J. Clingerman, W. Morris, J. E. Mondloch, R. D. Kennedy, A. A. Sarjeant, C. Stern, J. T. Hupp, K. Farha and C. A. Mirkin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 6521–6523.
- S. Rodríguez-Hermida, M. Y. Tsang, C. Vignatti, K. C. Stylianou, V. Guillerm, J. Pérez-Carvajal, F. Teixidor, C. Viñas, D. Choquesillo-Lazarte, C. Verdugo-Escamilla, I. Peral, J. Juanhuix, A. Verdaguer, I. Imaz, D. Maspoch and J. Giner Planas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 16049–16053.

- 20 H. Li, M. Eddaoudi, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Nature*, 1999, **402**, 276–279.
- 21 J. H. Cavka, S. Jakobsen, U. Olsbye, N. Guillou, C. Lamberti, S. Bordiga and K. P. Lillerud, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 13850–13851.
- 22 M. Eddaoudi, J. Kim, N. Rosi, D. Vodak, J. Wachter, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2002, **295**, 469–472.
- 23 M. Kim, J. F. Cahill, Y. Su, K. A. Prather and S. M. Cohen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, 3, 126–130.
- 24 M. Kim, J. F. Cahill, H. Fei, K. A. Prather and S. M. Cohen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 18082–18088.
- 25 A. Ferrer-Ugalde, E. J. Juárez-Pérez, F. Teixidor, C. Viñas, R. Sillanpää, E. Pérez-Inestrosa and R. Núñez, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2012, 18, 544–553.
- 26 K. Kokado and Y. Chujo, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 316-319.
- 27 L. Weber, J. Kahlert, R. Brockhinke, L. Böhling, A. Brockhinke, H.-G. Stammler, B. Neumann, R. A. Harder and M. A. Fox, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, 18, 8347–8357.
- 28 B. H. Choi, J. H. Lee, H. Hwang, K. M. Lee and M. H. Park, Organometallics, 2016, 35, 1771–1777.
- 29 Y. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, Chem. Commun., 2009, 4332-4353.
- 30 M. Ji, X. Lan, Z. Han, C. Hao and J. Qiu, Inorg. Chem., 2012, 51, 12389-12394.