



Cite this: *Polym. Chem.*, 2016, 7, 3465

# Ethynyl benziodoxolones: functional terminators for cell-penetrating poly(disulfide)s†

Paola Morelli,<sup>a,b</sup> Xavier Martin-Benlloch,<sup>a,b</sup> Romain Tessier,<sup>a,c</sup> Jerome Waser,<sup>a,c</sup> Naomi Sakai<sup>a,b</sup> and Stefan Matile<sup>\*a,b</sup>

Outperforming cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs), cell-penetrating poly(disulfide)s (CPDs) are attracting increasing interest. CPDs are accessible by ring-opening disulfide-exchange polymerization under mild conditions in neutral water. Initiation of the polymerization with thiols results in quantitative labeling of one CPD terminus with initiators of free choice. In contrast, labeling of the other terminus with iodoacetamides has so far been ineffective because of poor yields and the high excess of reagents needed. In this report, we introduce hypervalent iodine reagents as operational terminators of the synthesis of CPDs, also at high dilution. The power of the approach is exemplified with green-fluorescent initiators and ethynyl benziodoxolone terminators containing additional azides for CuAAC with red-fluorescent alkynes. The absorption spectra of the resulting CPDs demonstrate that stoichiometric application of ethynyl benziodoxolone terminators results in 46% incorporation. FRET between green-fluorescent initiators and red-fluorescent terminators demonstrates significant folding of CPDs in solution; it disappears upon reductive depolymerization. Substrates attached to the new termini are shown to enter into HeLa cells. Moreover, disappearance of FRET in the cytosol corroborated the reductive cleavage of CPDs upon internalization. Beyond the introduction of ethynyl benziodoxolones as operational terminators, these findings thus demonstrate also the compatibility of CuAAC with poly(disulfide)s and the usefulness of doubly-labeled CPDs for structural and mechanistic studies.

Received 30th March 2016,  
Accepted 22nd April 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6py00562d

www.rsc.org/polymers

## Introduction

Cell-penetrating poly(disulfide)s (CPDs)<sup>1–5</sup> have been introduced recently to address a central challenge with cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs),<sup>6,7</sup> i.e., cytotoxicity. Like CPPs, CPDs are guanidinium-rich polymers. However, the peptide backbone of CPPs is replaced by a poly(disulfide).<sup>8–13</sup> With dynamic covalent disulfide bonds in the backbone, a new way to enter cells opens up (Fig. 1).<sup>1,2</sup> Approaching the cell surface, ion pairing of CPDs with anionic lipids in the membranes will be strengthened by the powerful proximity effect known from CPPs and other polycationic oligomers.<sup>7</sup> However, at the same time, CPDs engage in dynamic covalent chemistry<sup>1,2,11,14</sup> on the cell surface. Namely, disulfide exchange with exofacial thiols, present on the surface to

protect against an oxidative environment,<sup>11</sup> covalently attaches the CPDs to the cell surface. Presence and significance of this thiol-mediated uptake mechanism has been demonstrated by uptake inhibition with Ellman's reagent, which converts all exofacial thiols into disulfides.<sup>1,2</sup> Once attached covalently to the surface of the cell, CPDs with insufficient activity, either too short or too hydrophobic, will be taken up by endocytosis and end up trapped in endosomes.<sup>1,4</sup> Long enough CPDs, however, will move across the bilayer membrane through transient micellar pores.<sup>7</sup> Arriving in the cytosol, CPDs will be destroyed by reductive depolymerization with glutathione. This destruction of the transporter right after work liberates the substrate and eliminates toxicity. As depolymerization requires longer time with increasing length,<sup>4</sup> fragments of long enough CPDs can escape into the nucleus and bind to the oligonucleotides in the nucleolus.<sup>1,3,4</sup> This mechanistically new way of CPDs to enter cells has been probed in detail<sup>1,2,4</sup> and applied to the delivery of small molecules such as fluorophores<sup>1</sup> but also larger substrates such as proteins,<sup>3,5</sup> antibodies,<sup>5</sup> nanoparticles,<sup>5</sup> and so on. Proteomics screens for targets of CPDs are ongoing and will be reported in due course.

The synthesis of CPDs is based on substrate-initiated ring-opening disulfide-exchange polymerization (Fig. 2).<sup>12</sup> Related


<sup>a</sup>National Centre of Competence in Research (NCCR) Chemical Biology, Switzerland.  
<http://www.nccr-chembio.ch>

<sup>b</sup>Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland.  
E-mail: [stefan.matile@unige.ch](mailto:stefan.matile@unige.ch); <http://www.unige.ch/sciences/chiorg/matile/>

<sup>c</sup>Laboratory of Catalysis and Organic Synthesis, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed procedures and results for all reported experiments. See DOI: 10.1039/c6py00562d






**Fig. 1** Cellular uptake of cell-penetrating poly(disulfide)s: supported by ion pairing of CPDs (blue) with anionic lipids (red), CPDs are attached covalently to the cell surface by disulfide exchange with exofacial thiols (magenta, top left). Slow translocation with less active CPDs (short, hydrophobic) results in endocytosis and endosomal trapping ( $k_e > k_t$ ). Fast translocation through transient micellar pores ( $k_t > k_e$ , top right) followed by fast internal depolymerization with glutathione ( $\text{GS}^-$ ,  $k_d > k_n$ ) results in cytosolic delivery of unmodified substrates (light blue circles, bottom). Slower internal depolymerization with longer CPDs results in binding to oligonucleotides (red) in the nucleoli ( $k_n > k_d$ ).

to protein folding, this simple and reliable process has been developed originally in the context of the construction of multi-component architectures on solid surfaces, particularly artificial photosystems.<sup>13</sup> Transcribed to cellular uptake, the same process is initiated in solution using thiolated substrates of free choice as initiator.<sup>12</sup> In this study, thiolated carboxy-fluorescein **1** will be used as green-fluorescent initiator. Among several propagators reported, the conjugate **2** composed of lipoic acid and arginine has been most effective. Disulfide formation with the thiolate of initiator **1** opens the cyclic disulfide in propagator **2**, releases the ring tension and generates a new thiolate for continuing polymerization.

Substrate-initiated ring-opening disulfide-exchange polymerization occurs within minutes in neutral water, at ambient temperature.<sup>1</sup> So far, iodoacetamides have been used to terminate the polymerization. However, these terminators were not very effective, incorporation yields remained far from quantitative, even at high concentrations. This poor performance of iodoacetamides is as such irrelevant but becomes problematic as soon as the termination is considered as an additional opportunity to covalently attach another substrate to the CPD. In this study, we introduce novel hypervalent iodine reagents<sup>15,16</sup> that allow an efficient termination at stoichiometric concentrations, even at high dilution. These results are important because they provide a new and practical method to attach substrates to CPDs for their delivery into cells.



**Fig. 2** (a) Synthesis of cell-penetrating poly(disulfide) **4** by ring-opening disulfide-exchange polymerization, initiated with thiolate **1** and propagated with the strained cyclic disulfide **2** (pH 7, rt, 30 min). Termination with the new hypervalent iodine reagent **3** places an azide in polymer **4** (termination yield 46%, the nature of the termini of CPDs without azides is unknown). (b) The azide termini in **4** can be coupled with alkyne **5** (sodium ascorbate,  $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , TBTA, rt, 17 h). (c) Reductive depolymerization can be followed by the disappearance of FRET from CF to TAMRA (using 100 mM DTT, pH 7, rt, 20 min).

## Results and discussion

Ethynyl benziodoxolones such as **3** have been introduced recently for the fast and highly chemoselective alkylation of thiols (Fig. 2).<sup>15</sup> Among other applications, they have been successfully used for the proteome-wide profiling of targets of cysteine-reactive probes and drugs.<sup>16</sup> To terminate the polymerization of propagators **2** on initiator **1**, ethynyl benziodoxolone **3** was selected because the resulting azide at one terminus of the resulting CPD **4** could be used to attach alkynylated substrates of free choice.<sup>16,17</sup> The red-fluorescent TAMRA **5** was of interest for this purpose to quantify the efficiency of terminator **3** and to explore the usefulness of FRET to follow reductive CPD depolymerization.


Initiator **1**, propagator **2** and terminator **3** were synthesized as described.<sup>1,2,15</sup> The alkynylated TAMRA **5** was accessible in one step from previously reported intermediates. Details can be found in the ESI.†

Polymers **4** were synthesized by ring-opening disulfide-exchange polymerization in neutral water at room temperature. To initiate the polymerization, the thiol in the green-fluorescent initiator **1** should be partially deprotonated. This thiolate then reacts with the strained disulfide in propagator **2**,




producing a disulfide bond and releasing ring tension together with a thiolate that reacts with the next propagator. After 30 minutes, the reactions were terminated by adding stoichiometric amounts of terminator 3. The obtained products were purified by gel-permeation chromatography (GPC). The example shown in Fig. 3a was characterized by  $M_w = 10.3$  kDa,  $M_n = 9.9$  kDa, and a resulting PDI = 1.04. Both length and polydispersity were very well reproducible and variable on demand.<sup>4</sup>

The incorporation of terminator 3 into polymers 4 was readily demonstrated by CuAAC (copper(i)-catalyzed alkyne-azide cycloaddition)<sup>17</sup> with alkynylated TAMRA 5. The absorption spectra of polymers 4 showed the maximum of TAMRA ( $\lambda_{\max} = 556$  nm,  $\epsilon_{\max} = 91.0$  mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) on the bathochromic side of that of CF ( $\lambda_{\max} = 494$  nm,  $\epsilon_{\max} = 83.0$  mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>, Fig. 4b, solid). Assuming quantitative CuAAC,<sup>17</sup> the absorbance of polymer 6 at these two maxima calibrated against the respective extinction coefficients indicated that terminator 3 was incorporated with an excellent yield of 46%. This yield was impressive considering that terminator 3 was applied in stoichiometric amounts at a comparably low concentration around 4.0 mM.



**Fig. 3** (a) GPC of polymer 4. (b) Absorption spectrum of polymer 6 (solid) and 9 (dashed) in TEOA buffer (1.0 M, pH 7.0). (c) Emission spectrum of polymer 6 in TEOA buffer (1.0 M, pH 7.0) before (solid) and after (dashed) incubation with 100 mM DTT (20 min, rt).



**Fig. 4** (a) Incorporation of control terminator 7 into CF-initiated polymer 8 (1, 2, pH 7.0, rt, 30 min, termination yield 11%, the nature of the termini of CPDs without azides is unknown). (b) CuAAC with TAMRA 5 (sodium ascorbate, CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, TBTA, rt, 17 h) to afford doubly-labeled polymer 9.

chiometric amounts at a comparably low concentration around 4.0 mM.

The emission spectra of the doubly-labeled polymer 6 revealed the occurrence of FRET (Fig. 4c, solid). Upon excitation of the CF donor at 488 nm, emission from the green-fluorescent donor and the red-fluorescent acceptor was roughly equal. Judged from  $M_n = 9.9$  kDa and a propagator weight of 378 Da, polymers 6 contained  $n \sim 24$  monomers, i.e., a degree of polymerization DP  $\sim 24$ . With an estimated length  $l \sim 6$  Å per monomer, this approximated to  $l \sim 14.4$  nm of polymers 4 at full length. This is far beyond the Förster radius  $R_0 = 55$  Å of the donor-acceptor pair.<sup>18</sup> This rough estimation suggested that in water, CPDs are folded down beyond half of their full length.

In the presence of 100 mM DTT, the FRET emission disappeared within minutes (Fig. 3c, dashed). This rapid response demonstrated that the observed FRET indeed originates from doubly-labeled monomers and not from aggregates. Detailed polymerization kinetics as a function of the length of the CPDs have been reported previously.<sup>4</sup> In studies on cellular uptake of 4, the additional presence of CPDs without terminal TAMRA will not influence results that are based on TAMRA emission (see below).

To compare results with hypervalent iodine terminators 3 with conventional terminators, iodoacetamide 7 with an additional azide was prepared (Fig. 4). Polymers 8 were synthesized from initiator 1 and propagators 2 under the conditions used to prepare polymer 4, i.e., neutral water, room temperature, thirty minutes. Also the conditions for the termination of polymer 4 with iodoacetamide 7 were kept identical to the stoichiometric termination of polymer 4 with ethynyl benziodoxolone 3. CuAAC of polymer 8 with TAMRA 5 gave the doubly-labeled polymer 9. Assuming quantitative CuAAC, the absorption spectrum of polymer 9 revealed that the termination with iodoacetamide 7 was as ineffective as expected (Fig. 3b, dashed). The identified yield of 11% with iodoacetamide terminators 7 was 4 times less than the 46% with the



new ethynyl benziodoxolone terminators **3**. This significant difference identified ethynyl benziodoxolones as terminators of choice for the efficient labelling of CPDs under stoichiometric conditions at high dilution. Moreover, the clearly different incorporation of TAMRA into polymers **4** and **8** confirmed that terminal CPD labelling is not determined by the yield of CuAAC. This finding demonstrated that CuAAC is compatible with poly(disulfide)s, despite the presence of disulfides at high effective molarity that could conceivably inactivate the copper catalyst.

Cellular uptake of the doubly-labeled polymer **6** was determined using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). Images were taken after 15 min incubation of polymer **6** at low concentration (500 nM) with HeLa Kyoto cells. The emission of CF detected at  $517 \pm 18$  nm upon excitation at 488 nm indicates the location of CF fluorophore without attached TAMRA. It was found mostly in the nucleoli, seen as the characteristic cluster of larger bright areas, and the cytosol, seen as the characteristic diffuse overall fluorescence of the cells (Fig. 5a). Drastically different pictures were obtained by detecting the TAMRA emission at  $610 \pm 40$  nm upon excitation of CF (Fig. 5b). The observed highly localized punctate spots indicated that FRET active, intact CF-CPD-TAMRA transporters **6** reside mainly in endosomes and, perhaps, lysosomes. Rare occurrence of faint emission in nucleoli coincided with the emission in CF channel (Fig. 5a), and thus could be assigned to the fluorescence of CF itself, which emits weakly within this detection window (Fig. 3c). The image obtained by detecting TAMRA emission upon TAMRA excitation appeared similar to

the sum of the two earlier pictures (Fig. 5c and d). This was as expected because all TAMRA fluorophores can be visualized here.


Compared to TAMRA emission from FRET (green, Fig. 5b), TAMRA emission from TAMRA excitation was overall much stronger, also in the endosomes (red, Fig. 5c). This difference supported that most CPDs are cleaved at least once already in the endosomes. This observation was consistent with the thiol-mediated uptake mechanism (Fig. 1), in which disulfide exchange between cell-surface thiols and disulfides in the backbone of the CPDs cleaves them in two. Evidence for thiol-mediated uptake of CPDs from inhibition experiments has been reported previously.<sup>1</sup> The rare occurrence of intact CF-CPD-TAMRA conjugates in endosomes (Fig. 5b) should then originate from a different mechanism. The most likely mechanism is the classical ion-pairing based one, as similar punctate spots are often found with conventional CPPs.<sup>7c</sup>

Alternatively, the overall dominance of emission from acceptor excitation (TAMRA, red, Fig. 5c) over emission from FRET (green, Fig. 5b) in endosomes/lysosomes could also originate from decreasing FRET from the pH-sensitive CF with decreasing pH from early endosomes to late endosomes and lysosomes. The occurrence of CF emission from endosomes has been supported previously with co-localization experiments.<sup>2</sup> Processed at different intensities, the weak red emission from TAMRA excitation that necessarily corresponds to the weak green (FRET) emission in Fig. 5b was below threshold in the more intense image in Fig. 5c and thus mostly absent in the green emission from endosomes in the merged images in Fig. 5d.

Taken together, these preliminary results demonstrate that cargos attached on both ends of CPDs can reach the cytosol and nucleoli, but only after at least partial cleavage of the CPD (Fig. 5a and c). Intact full length CPDs occur rarely and only in endosomes (Fig. 5b). These findings were consistent with the mechanism of thiol-mediated uptake (Fig. 1). Moreover, they provided experimental evidence as direct as possible in support of the occurrence of intracellular reductive depolymerisation of CPDs.

## Conclusion

Cell-penetrating poly(disulfide)s (CPDs) offer a conceptually innovative way to enter into cells, driving the idea of covalent delivery to completion. Strategies have been developed previously to introduce substrates of free choice (probes, drugs, proteins, *etc.*) on the side of the initiator, either by substrate-initiated ring-opening disulfide-exchange polymerization, or by biotin/streptavidin biotechnology. So far, substrates could not be effectively introduced at the side of the terminators because iodoacetamides did not react well. In this report, hypervalent ethynyl benziodoxolones are introduced as terminators of disulfide-exchange polymerization that operate efficiently. Covalent attachment of functional terminators is shown to occur with 46% yield even under stoichiometric



**Fig. 5** CLSM images of HeLa Kyoto cells after 15 min incubation with 500 nM of polymer **6** at 37 °C in Leibovitz's medium. The emission of CF was detected at  $517 \pm 18$  nm (a) and TAMRA at  $610 \pm 40$  nm (b, c) upon excitation of CF at 488 nm (a, b) and TAMRA at 561 nm (c). The images (b) and (c) are merged with the bright-field image in (d).



conditions at relatively high dilution. More favourable conditions will easily afford higher yields, if desired. The functional terminators are then compatible with diverse conjugation methods for quantitative substrate loading, including the already confirmed streptavidin/biotin technology or the here introduced CuAAC. Iodoacetamide controls give only 11% terminator incorporation under identical conditions.

Synthetic access to doubly-labeled CPDs is demonstrated with the attachment of a FRET pair. In preliminary results, both ends of doubly-labeled CPDs are shown to enter into cells although they are cut in two at the outer surface during thiol-mediated uptake. Synthetic access to doubly-labeled CPDs further demonstrates that CuAAC is compatible with poly(disulfide)s. This finding is not trivial considering possible copper binding to disulfides and most attractive considering possible applications to side-chain modifications or substrate conjugation. Studies along these lines are ongoing and will be reported in due course.

## Acknowledgements

We thank A. Roux, G. Gasparini and N. Chuard for access to and assistance with cell culture, the NMR and the Sciences Mass Spectrometry (SMS) platforms for services, and the University of Geneva, the EPFL, the European Research Council (ERC Advanced Investigator), the Swiss National Centre of Competence in Research (NCCR) Chemical Biology, the NCCR Molecular Systems Engineering and the Swiss NSF for financial support.

## Notes and references

- G. Gasparini, E.-K. Bang, G. Molinard, D. V. Tulumello, S. Ward, S. O. Kelley, A. Roux, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 6069–6074.
- G. Gasparini, G. Sargsyan, E.-K. Bang, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 7328–7331.
- G. Gasparini and S. Matile, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 17160–17162.
- N. Chuard, G. Gasparini, A. Roux, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 64–67.
- (a) J. Fu, C. Yu, L. Lu and S. Q. Yao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 12153–12160; (b) C. J. McKinlay, R. M. Waymouth and P. A. Wender, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 3510–3517.
- (a) E. Bartolami, C. Bouillon, P. Dumy and S. Ulrich, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 4257–4273; (b) M. Li, S. Schlesiger, S. K. Knauer and C. Schmuck, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 2941–2944; (c) B. M. deRonde, A. Birke and G. N. Tew, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 3013–3019; (d) R. Brock, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2014, **25**, 863–868; (e) G. A. Eggimann, E. Blattes, S. Buschor, R. Biswas, S. M. Kammer, T. Darbre and J. L. Reymond, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 7254–7257; (f) C. Bechara and S. Sagan, *FEBS Lett.*, 2013, **587**, 1693–1702; (g) S. Futaki, H. Hirose and I. Nakase, *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, 2013, **19**, 2863–2868; (h) E. Lei, M. P. Pereira and S. O. Kelley, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 9660–9663; (i) H. Tang, L. Yin, K. H. Kim and J. Cheng, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 3839–3844; (j) M. Lnoe, W. Tong, J. D. Esko and Y. Tor, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2013, **8**, 1383–1388; (k) F. Sgolastra, B. M. Deronde, J. M. Sarapas, A. Som and G. N. Tew, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2977–2987; (l) C. Ornelas-Megiatto, P. R. Wich and J. M. Fréchet, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 1902–1905; (m) I. Nakase, H. Akita, K. Kogure, A. Gräslund, Ü. Langel, H. Harashima and S. Futaki, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **45**, 1132–1139; (n) E. Koren and V. P. Torchilin, *Trends Mol. Med.*, 2012, **18**, 385–393; (o) J. S. Appelbaum, J. R. LaRoche, B. A. Smith, D. M. Balkin, J. M. Holub and A. Schepartz, *Chem. Biol.*, 2012, **19**, 819–830; (p) B. R. McNaughton, J. J. Cronican, D. B. Thompson and D. R. Liu, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, **106**, 6111–6116; (q) E. M. Kolonko, J. K. Pontrello, S. L. Mangold and L. L. Kiessling, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 7327–7333; (r) A. Hennig, G. J. Gabriel, G. N. Tew and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10338–10344; (s) J. M. Gump and S. F. Dowdy, *Trends Mol. Med.*, 2007, **13**, 443–448; (t) J. Fernandez-Carneado, M. Van Gool, V. Martos, S. Castel, P. Prados, J. De Mendoza and E. Giralt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 869–874; (u) Y. Fillon, J. Anderson and J. Chmielewski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 11798–11803; (v) P. Zhou, M. Wang, L. Du, G. W. Fisher, A. Waggoner and D. H. Ly, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 6878–6879; (w) A. O. Frankel and C. O. Pabo, *Cell*, 1988, **55**, 1189–1193; (x) M. Green and P. M. Lowenstein, *Cell*, 1988, **55**, 1179–1188.
- (a) N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 14348–14356; (b) J. B. Rothbard, T. C. Jessop, R. S. Lewis, B. A. Murray and P. A. Wender, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 9506–9507; (c) T. Takeuchi, M. Kosuge, A. Tadokoro, Y. Sugiura, M. Nishi, M. Kawata, N. Sakai, S. Matile and S. Futaki, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2006, **1**, 299–303; (d) D. Schmidt, Q. X. Jiang and R. MacKinnon, *Nature*, 2006, **444**, 775–779; (e) M. Whitney, E. N. Savariar, B. Friedman, R. A. Levin, J. L. Crisp, H. L. Glasgow, R. Lefkowitz, S. R. Adams, P. Steinbach, N. Nashi, Q. T. Nguyen and R. Y. Tsien, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 325–330; (f) H. D. Herce, A. E. Garcia and M. C. Cardoso, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 17459–17467; (g) K. Fujisawa, M. Humbert-Droz, R. Letrun, E. Vauthey, T. A. Wesolowski, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 11047–11056; (h) G. Gasparini, E.-K. Bang, J. Montenegro and S. Matile, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 10389–10402.
- E.-K. Bang, M. Lista, G. Sforazzini, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 1752–1763.
- (a) T.-I. Kim and S. W. Kim, *React. Funct. Polym.*, 2011, **71**, 344–349; (b) M. Piest and J. F. J. Engbersen, *J. Controlled Release*, 2011, **155**, 331–340; (c) C. R. Drake, A. Aissaoui, O. Argyros, M. Thanou, J. H. G. Steinke and A. D. Miller, *J. Controlled Release*, 2013, **171**, 81–90; (d) H. Zeng, H. C. Little, T. N. Tiambeng, G. A. Williams and Z. Guan,



- J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 4962–4965; (e) S. Son, R. Namgung, J. Kim, K. Singha and J. W. Kim, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **45**, 1100–1112; (f) M. Balakirev, G. Schoehn and J. Chroboczek, *Chem. Biol.*, 2000, **7**, 813–819; (g) P. K. Hashim, K. Okuro, S. Sasaki, Y. Hoashi and T. Aida, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 15608–15611.
- 10 (a) N. K. P. Samuel, M. Singh, K. Yamaguchi and S. L. Regen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 42–47; (b) D. Basak, R. Kumar and S. Ghosh, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2014, **35**, 1340–1344; (c) L. Monnereau, M. Nieger, T. Muller and S. Bräse, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, **24**, 1054–1058; (d) G. A. Barcan, X. Zhang and R. M. Waymouth, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 5650–5653; (e) D. J. Hansen, I. Manuguerra, M. B. Kjelstrup and K. V. Gothelf, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 14415–14418.
- 11 (a) A. G. Torres and M. J. Gait, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2012, **30**, 185–190; (b) D. Oupický and J. Li, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2014, **14**, 908–922; (c) T. Li and S. Takeoka, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2014, **9**, 2849–2861; (d) I. D. Alves, A. Walran, C. Bechara and S. Sagan, *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.*, 2012, **13**, 658–671; (e) M. M. Fretz, N. A. Penning, S. Al-Taei, S. Futaki, T. Takeuchi, I. Nakase, G. Storm and A. T. Jones, *Biochem. J.*, 2007, **403**, 335–342; (f) E. P. Feener, W. C. Shen and H. J. P. Ryser, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1990, **265**, 18780–18785; (g) L. Brülisauer, M. A. Gauthier and J.-C. Leroux, *J. Controlled Release*, 2014, **195**, 147–154; (h) C. Wu, S. Wang, L. Brülisauer, J.-C. Leroux and M. A. Gauthier, *Biomacromolecules*, 2013, **14**, 2383–2388.
- 12 (a) E.-K. Bang, G. Gasparini, G. Molinard, A. Roux, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 2088–2091; (b) E.-K. Bang, S. Ward, G. Gasparini, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 2433–2441.
- 13 (a) M. Lista, J. Areephong, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 15228–15231; (b) N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 18542–18455; (c) H. Hayashi, A. Sobczuk, A. Bolag, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 4610–4614; (d) K.-D. Zhang and S. Matile, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 8980–8983.
- 14 (a) J. Li, P. Nowak and S. Otto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 9222–9239; (b) J.-M. Lehn, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2011, **322**, 1–32; (c) S. Zarra, D. M. Wood, D. A. Roberts and J. R. Nitschke, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 419–432; (d) A. Herrmann, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 1899–1933; (e) Y. Jin, C. Yu, R. J. Denman and W. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 6634–6654; (f) A. Wilson, G. Gasparini and S. Matile, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 1948–1962; (g) X. Wu, Z. Li, X.-X. Chen, J. S. Fossey, T. D. James and Y.-B. Jiang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 8032–8048; (h) S. P. Black, J. K. M. Sanders and A. R. Stefankiewicz, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 1861–1872; (i) H. H. Jo, R. Edupuganti, L. You, K. N. Dalby and E. V. Anslyn, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 158–164; (j) A. G. Campaña, D. A. Leigh and U. Lewandowska, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 8639–8645; (k) J. F. Teichert, D. Mazunin and J. W. Bode, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11314–11321; (l) K. D. Okochi, Y. Jin and W. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 4418–4420; (m) B. Icli, E. Solari, B. Kilbas, R. Scopelliti and K. Severin, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 14867–14874; (n) L. Rocard, A. Berezin, F. De Leo and D. Bonifazi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 15739–15743; (o) S. Hagihara, H. Tanaka and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 5656–5657; (p) C. Wang, M. R. Krause and S. L. Regen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 664–666.
- 15 R. Frei, M. D. Wodrich, D. P. Hari, P. A. Borin, C. Chauvier and J. Waser, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 16563–16573.
- 16 D. Abegg, R. Frei, L. Cerato, D. P. Hari, C. Wang, J. Waser and A. Adibekian, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 10852–10857.
- 17 (a) V. V. Rostovtsev, L. G. Green, V. V. Fokin and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 2596–2599; (b) C. W. Tornøe, C. Christensen and M. Meldal, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 3057–3064; (c) J. E. Hein and V. V. Fokin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 1302–1315; (d) M. Meldal and C. W. Tornøe, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 2952–3015; (e) L. Liang and D. Astruc, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **255**, 2933–2945; (f) J.-F. Lutz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 1018–1025.
- 18 J. R. Lakowicz, *Principles of fluorescence spectroscopy*, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 1999.

