



Showcasing research from Professor Honghao Hou's laboratory, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, P. R. China.

Transdermal drug delivery *via* microneedles for musculoskeletal systems

Microneedles, with the advantages of oral administration and local injection, have become a novel strategy for administration and treatment of musculoskeletal diseases. We emphasized the progress and broad applications of MN-based transdermal drug delivery for MSK systems.

As featured in:



See Honghao Hou *et al.*,
J. Mater. Chem. B, 2023, 11, 8327.

Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2023,
11, 8327

Transdermal drug delivery *via* microneedles for musculoskeletal systems

Haibin Zheng,^{ab} Xuankun Xie,^{ab} Haocong Ling,^{ab} Xintong You,^b Siyu Liang,^b
Rurong Lin,^b Renjie Qiu^b and Honghao Hou^{id}*^b

As the population is ageing and lifestyle is changing, the prevalence of musculoskeletal (MSK) disorders is gradually increasing with each passing year, posing a serious threat to the health and quality of the public, especially the elderly. However, currently prevalent treatments for MSK disorders, mainly administered orally and by injection, are not targeted to the specific lesion, resulting in low efficacy along with a series of local and systemic adverse effects. Microneedle (MN) patches loaded with micron-sized needle array, combining the advantages of oral administration and local injection, have become a potentially novel strategy for the administration and treatment of MSK diseases. In this review, we briefly introduce the basics of MNs and focus on the main characteristics of the MSK systems and various types of MN-based transdermal drug delivery (TDD) systems. We emphasize the progress and broad applications of MN-based transdermal drug delivery (TDD) for MSK systems, including osteoporosis, nutritional rickets and some other typical types of arthritis and muscular damage, and in closing summarize the future prospects and challenges of MNs application.

Received 25th June 2023,
Accepted 17th July 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3tb01441j

rsc.li/materials-b

1. Introduction

The musculoskeletal (MSK) system consists of bones, cartilages, skeletal muscle, tendons, ligaments, and other connective tissues, which is the structural framework of the human body and plays an important role in movement.¹ Therefore, it is subject to wear and tear throughout the whole life and vulnerable to trauma and degenerative diseases. Thus, the high prevalence of MSK disorders is conceivable, with about 1.7 billion people worldwide suffering from it. Also, the MSK diseases are the second leading cause of disability in the world, accounting for about 20% of all years of disability.² Moreover, the morbidity will be gradually ascending with the prolongation of life expectancy and the trend of population aging. Diseases of the MSK system are mainly composed of skeletal diseases, joint diseases, and skeletal muscle diseases, most of which have effects that last a lifetime and seriously reduce the quality of people's lives. It has been reported that the disability and reduced abilities caused by MSK diseases are much more common than the damage caused by some chronic diseases, such as hypertension and hyperlipidemia.³ Meanwhile, MSK diseases are also prone to other complications. For example, in the physical state, the

decline or loss of activity may lead to obesity, cardiovascular, and cerebrovascular diseases. Also, patients who have been bedridden for a long time may also be fatally threatened by collapsing pneumonia. On the other hand, in the mental state, due to long-term suffering from illness, patients have a higher risk of developing depression. Therefore, MSK diseases pose a huge challenge to global health, which requires great attention.

Nowadays, although medical treatment has made great progress compared to the past, the drug treatment for some MSK diseases is still very limited. Traditional drug delivery methods, such as oral administration and injection, are sometimes not appropriate for treating the MSK diseases mainly due to the anatomical characteristics of the MSK system, which will be discussed in the following part. Briefly, it is difficult for circulating drugs to accumulate to an effective concentration on oral administration since the MSK system lacks blood flow,⁴ and it inevitably causes adverse effects to other tissues on account of the nonspecific distribution.⁵ As for conventional injection, it greatly creates fear in patients, causes damage to the injection site and risks infection, which is not conducive to the long-term management of chronic diseases in the MSK system. Therefore, there is an urgent need for a new drug delivery strategy to optimize the administration of MSK diseases at present. It is therefore expected to integrate the respective advantages of oral and injection administration, while avoiding their disadvantages. Transdermal drug delivery (TDD) seems to give a satisfactory answer to it. Attempting to realize the local or systemic treatment through skin, TDD can be divided into three

^a The Second School of Clinical Medicine, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510280, P. R. China

^b Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Construction and Detection in Tissue Engineering, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510515, P. R. China. E-mail: ss.hhh89@hotmail.com

generations according to their development (Table 1).⁶ Among them, microneedle patch (MNP), an array formed by hundreds of microscale needles attached to the patch, has its unique advantages that can better meet the needs of TDD.

Herein, we will first briefly demonstrate the anatomical foundation including both the skin and MSK system for better understanding how the microneedles (MNs) work in the MSK system. Also, we will explain the advantages and characteristics of MNs used in the MSK system compared with other methods of TDD such as sonophoresis and iontophoresis. Then, we will introduce some evaluations and clinical requirements of MNs used in the MSK system. Finally, we will discuss the application and current challenges as well as the future prospect of MNs in treating MSK diseases.

2. Anatomy foundation and characteristics of MNs for TDD in the MSK system

As the largest organ, the skin covers the entire surface of human body with an area of about 1.8 m². It can be generally divided into three layers from the outside to the inside, which are epidermis, dermis, and subcutaneous tissue layer¹² (Fig. 1). Devoid of blood vessels and nerves, the epidermis is free from pain while stimulated. Differently, consisting of the papillary layer and the reticular layer,¹³ the dermis is rich in blood vessels and free nerve endings. As for the transdermal absorption of drugs, it is now acknowledged that there are generally three pathways,¹⁴ including through the stratum corneum (SC), hair follicles, and sweat ducts. Among them, the most important one is the stratum corneum pathway because of its large surface area. However, as the outermost layer of the epidermis, SC is a hydrophobic barrier and only allows lipophilic small molecules (<500 Da) to pass through freely, limiting the effective absorption of transdermal administration on a large scale.¹⁵ The other two routes can only absorb negligibly small amount of drugs.¹⁶

Located beneath the skin and subcutaneous tissue, bone is the supporting structure of the human body. The minerals of bone account for about 60–70%,⁵ which leads to poor permeability and low blood flow. It is difficult for circulating drugs to accumulate to an effective concentration in the target bone tissue,⁵ while nonspecific drug distribution inevitably causes adverse effects to other tissues. Joints, as the connection of the two or more bones, is surrounded by the joint capsule in which the articular cartilage is avascular.¹⁷ These anatomical properties may prevent the drug that absorbed into the bloodstream orally from reaching intra-articular lesions. As for intra-articular injection, drugs can easily leave the joint cavity through the blood and lymphatic vessels in the synovium within a short time. Thus, it requires an increased frequency of administration to maintain effective concentration in the joint cavity.¹⁸ Also, it greatly creates pain and fear in patients and causes a risk of infection. As the component of the peripheral fascial compartment, the skeletal muscle is characterized by low blood velocity

Table 1 Summary of transdermal drug delivery (TDD) systems^{7–11}

Generation	Principle	Representative	Advantage	Disadvantage
The first	Passive diffusion Enhancement technique	Liquid spray, gel, cream Vesicles and their analogues: liposomes, ethosomes, niosomes, phytosomes	Convenient Enhance penetration High biocompatibility	Low efficiency Lipophilic and small molecule only Low reproducibility High cost
The second	Modifying the SC Providing the driving force	Occlusive wet patches Chemical enhancers Surfactants Fatty acids, alcohols Iontophoresis Ultrasound	Convenient Increase drug permeation Increasing skin absorption; drug delivery scales with the electrical current, providing control over drug dosing Safe, convenient Increases absorption	May be toxic and causes skin irritation, concentration-dependent Skin irritation Skin damage Small molecular drugs (<10 000 Da) Complex and expensive device Affected by drug Dosage form Small molecule only
The third	Disrupt or remove the SC	Electroporation Sonophoresis Thermal ablation Tape stripping/microdermabrasion Microneedles	Create microspores in the skin Delivery of various drugs; minimal invasion Increase permeability Simple and feasible; low price Simple, efficient, sustainable, minimal invasion and high bioavailability; no extra energy device needed	High-cost; time-consuming; cell damage Time-consuming, sophisticated instrumentation, healthy skin condition needed Costly devices Low reproducibility Low drug-loading capacity



Fig. 1 The structure of skin and the permeation routes of the drug molecule through the skin.

and poor blood flow, resulting in efficacy similar to that of bones and joints when administered orally.⁴

Considering these, TDD seems to be a good option in the drug management of MSK diseases, which provides a satisfactory method for drug management, among which ultrasound and the use of electricity have been proven to be effective.^{19,20} However, in the MSK system, they may not be perfectly suitable. For instance, some characteristics of ultrasound may limit its application in the MSK system. Firstly, ultrasound has a good effect on the delivery of hydrophobic micromolecules instead of hydrophilic ones. Secondly, the penetration depth is mainly affected by ultrasonic frequency and intensity. The MSK system is located deeply and thus requires a high sound intensity if directly sending the drug to the lesion, which may do great damage to the tissues nearby. Also, achieving precise targeted therapy will be difficult because the microflow generated beside the highly reflective bones is unpredictable.^{21,22} Compared to ultrasound, electrical methods such as iontophoresis and electroporation, though extend the delivering drug to hydrophilic macromolecules, are still limited to charged molecules within a certain molecular weight and a small loading capacity. In addition, electrical methods can cause great disturbance to the cells and even lead to cell death. The thermal effect of the current can potentially harm the drug molecules. Moreover, it relies on professional personnel and expensive and sophisticated equipment, which is unfavorable for the long-term management of chronic diseases such as arthritis and osteoporosis.^{23,24} The characteristics of other types of TDD are listed in Table 1, omitting the examples here.

Fortunately, MNs are expected to overcome these limitations. Differently, MNs can directly reach the dermis, where there is

abundant blood flow, and can better deliver various kinds of drugs from micromolecules to macromolecules and hydrophilic drugs to hydrophobic ones. They also allow the drugs to permeate to the target site such as bones,²⁵ joint cavity²⁶ and skeletal muscle²⁷ nearby and reach the effective concentration. In addition, the operation of MNs in the drug delivery process, either with bare hands or with an applicator, is relatively simple, freeing from additional auxiliary equipment and professionals, which has great potential in the TDD of the MSK system. When applying, MNs can form microchannels to overcome the barrier of TDD, and the adverse reaction is mainly mild inflammation of the skin, which is often self-limited.²⁸

In comparison to oral drug delivery, MNs can avoid the first-pass effect of the digestive enzymes in the gastrointestinal tract on the drug. Thus, the dosage of the drug can be reduced.²⁹ In addition, it works locally to reduce the systemic adverse reactions of the drug when increasing the local drug concentration.³⁰ In contrast with injection administration, MNs hardly touch the sensory nerve endings,³¹ making it painless and reducing the risk of infection, which can greatly improve patients' compliance, thereby further contributing to the smooth and continuous running of healthcare. In short, MNs as a highly promising method for TDD combine the convenience of oral drug delivery with the high bioavailability of injection administration.³² However, due to the relatively deep anatomical position of the MSK system and slightly special construction of the MN system, there are few studies focusing on the application in this field. There is a very broad application prospect for MNs if designed properly.

Generally, MNs can be divided into five types: solid MNs, coated MNs, hollow MNs, dissolving MNs, and hydrogel-forming

MNs.³³ To date, several articles have described each type of MNs in great detail. Herein, we only briefly introduce the characteristics related to TDD in the MSK system.

Made of materials with high hardness, solid MNs have excellent mechanical property and can easily penetrate the SC for pre-treatment by forming microchannels, through which the drugs can easily enter the epidermis and dermis.³⁴ Also, if designed properly, such as the ST-needles, they are expected to reach the target site such as the surface of bones, joint cavity and muscles. However, since solid MNs are drug-free, it requires two-step administration, thus reducing patient compliance in the long-term management of chronic MSK diseases. Also, the microchannels tend to close as time goes by, leaving the drugs on the surface and epidermis, which causes irritation and errors in precise administration.³⁵ The low-elastic tips are prone to be broken, resulting in local foreign body reaction and formation of granulation tissue. To simplify the administration process, drugs are loaded on the surface of the needle to fabricated coated MNs, which have the characteristics of high stability and fast release rate of loaded drugs. They are suitable for relieving the symptoms in acute inflammation such as muscle damage and gouty arthritis. However, their shortcomings are similar to those of solid ones. In addition, the total amount of drug loading is small³⁶ and there is inevitable drug waste because of the mutual frictions with the skin during insertion. Coated MNs are quite suitable for the TDD of potent drugs such as hormones,³⁷ among which PTH-coated MNs has been used to treat osteoporosis. For a better development, it is of great significance to solve the problems of drug loss and loading limitation of MNs. Characterized by a hollow structure in the central part, hollow MNs are similar to that of a syringe and can accommodate more drugs than the coated ones.³⁸ There is no need for excessive processing on the drug formulation, avoiding the damage to the drug activity and allowing hollow MNs to load some macromolecular drugs such as proteins and biologics when treating MSK diseases such as arthritis and muscle fibrosis. However, the sharpness of MNs decreases and it is easily broken due to the hollow structure. The fabrication requirements are stricter and often require a micro-pump or other pressurized devices to facilitate drug entry into the tissue,³⁹ inevitably increasing the cost.⁴⁰ Furthermore, the pin-hole is so tiny that it can be easily blocked by the relatively dense skin tissue, and the drug may be spilled, wasted, or delivered erroneously during the improper administration process.³⁵ Also, the above three types are mostly disposable, which brings the issues of needle contamination and disposal.

Fortunately, dissolving MNs and hydrogel-forming MNs get rid of the hassle of needle disposal and the risk of cross infection⁴¹ because they are made of biodegradable polymers. Also, both of them have increased drug-loading capacity as the drug is encapsulated inside the MNs,⁴² enabling the MNs to release sufficient drugs to maintain effective concentration in the joint cavity. Better still, the drug release rate can be regulated by selecting different polymer types to meet the different drug release patterns in MSK disease.⁴³ However, their mechanical property is relatively weak, so they sometimes fail to penetrate into the SC.⁴⁴ Unlike the dissolving ones, hydrogel-forming MNs

only swell without degrading when inserting the skin, presenting a crosslinking state as a whole and retaining the shape after water absorption and swelling.⁴⁵ In addition, the characteristics of these five types of MNs have been summarized in Fig. 1 and Table 2.

3 The evaluation and clinical requirements of MNs in the MSK system

3.1 Dimensional evaluation

The insertion depth and drug-loading amount are related to the dimensions of MNs, which are essential to the treatment of MSK diseases with MNs. Firstly, the longer the length, the better the TDD, especially in the MSK systems, as the target site tends to be internal to the body. Thus, the longer ones can reach deeper even to the target site for better drug diffusion to the lesion. For example, ST-needles, inspired by acupuncture, are long enough to reach the subchondral bone within the joints for TDD.⁴⁹ Also, the longer ones can load more drugs due to the increased surface area or volume. However, overlong MNs may cause pain, and the efficiency of drug delivery can be lowered since a part of the needle body is exposed outside the skin during insertion. The overall volume or surface area of MNs determines the drug-loading amount, which is quite important to TDD in the MSK system. The MNs with large loading amount can meet the requirement for sustained drug release, effective drug concentration, and reduced frequency of TDD when treating chronic MSK disease such as arthritis. To maximize the loading amount, we can increase the density of the needles and enlarge the area of the patch. However, an enlarged patch can give rise to uneven force and incomplete insertion of needles,⁵⁰ which can be avoided by using an applicator to reduce the variability of TDD and raise the efficiency of insertion,^{51,52} among which a film-trigger applicator (FTA) system has been developed to eliminate the complexity brought by the applicators.⁵¹ Unlike others, this applicator can deliver the drug into the skin layer through micropillars using the energy of the carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) film fracture, which may optimize the process of TDD in MSK diseases if introduced properly. Meanwhile, MNP with high density sometimes cause the “bed of nails” effect mainly due to the dispersed and weakened force during insertion.⁵³ A study with subjects found that firstly, high density of the microneedles could significantly lower transdermal efficiency, and secondly, the subjects could tolerate the MNs well when needle length exceeds 1000 μm . No evident skin irritation was observed despite the length being increased to 1500 μm .²⁸ It can provide a guideline for designing an MNP with large loading capacity and the ability of sustained drug release when treating MSK disease.

3.2 Mechanical property and behavior of insertion

Applied in the system, MNs are expected to possess excellent mechanical property to penetrate the SC to reach deep enough to the dermis and sometimes to the surface of bones, entering the joint cavity and muscle if needed, facilitating the drug to diffuse into the lesion,⁵⁴ which puts forward higher

Table 2 The classification of MNs and characteristics of each type^{46–48}

MN types	Solid MNs	Coated MNs	Hollow MNs	Dissolving MNs	Hydrogel-forming MNs
Disentangles Preparation method	Poke and patch 3D printing, dry or wet etching, laser ablation, electroplating, micromolding <i>etc.</i>	Coat and poke Spray coating, dip coating, rolling coating based on solid MNs	Poke and flow Laser micromachining, deep reactive ion etching, lithographic molding, deep X-ray photolithography, wet chemical etching, and microfabrication	Poke and dissolve Solvent casting, droplet-born air blowing, laser machining, hot embossing, microinjection molding, ultrasonic welding, lithography, drawing lithography, 3D printing	Poke and release Micromolding, lithography, <i>etc.</i>
Drug loading capacity	No drug loading	Small loading amount, usually less than 1 mg	Large loading amount, suitable for high molecular weight compounds	Flexible drug loading from small to large amount	Flexible drug loading from small to large amount
Mechanical property	Superior mechanical properties	High mechanical strength	Relatively low mechanical strength	Low mechanical strength	Low mechanical strength
Dimension for ideal targeted tissue	Reach the deep epidermis or the superficial dermis; sometimes can even enter the joint cavity, reach the bone surface, and muscles such as ST needles, if necessary		Can reach the deep epidermis or the superficial dermis		
Advantages	Sharp tips to penetrate the skin effectively, with the possibility to combine with external forces (iontophoresis and sonophoresis) for better TDD	Have the advantages of solid MNs; convenient; improved stability of drugs; reduce exposure risks	Reduce the frequency of insertion with a higher drug loading capacity; realize TDD with a quantitative, precise dosage in a speed-controlled manner, have potential in the delivery of biomacromolecules	Excellent biocompatibility; easy to be fabricated and used, low production cost; no waste after use; good acceptance by patients	Relatively high drug-loading capacity, with the possibility to modify drug release rate; high biocompatibility; no residual in skin
Disadvantages	Need two steps to accomplishment, fragile and prone to breakage, low biocompatibility	Low capacity; insertion affected by the coating; drug retention caused by friction	Complex preparation process; high cost; fragile, prone to be broken and clogged; requires external force such as syringe plunger force, pressure difference, and spring elasticity	High requirements of the material; insufficient mechanical strength	Low mechanical strength; relatively higher risk of infection




Fig. 2 Scheme of endogenous and exogenous stimuli applied in MNs.

requirements for the mechanical property. Insertion through the SC to the dermis can be examined by various experiments such as compression test⁵⁵ and nanoindentation.⁵⁶ With the former test, the average fracture force⁵⁷ and safety factor⁵⁸ (defining as the fracture force/insertion force, usually the force is about 0.098 N per needle^{57,59}) can be obtained. Also, the nanoindentation can obtain the hardness value and Young's modulus (usually more than 1 Gpa⁶⁰) of each MN instead of the average value with the Oliver–Pharr method⁵⁶ for more accurate results. Note that both the experiments are conducted on the machine instead of the real skin, unavoidably giving rise to errors. To overcome this, we can use skin simulants such as sealing film (Parafilm M[®]) or aluminum (Al) foil,^{61–63} and the skin of animals⁶⁴ and subjects²⁸ to characterize it. After insertion, the actual penetrating depth in the simulants can be speculated by the pierced layers while the insertion behavior in the skin can be studied by staining the skin with dye,^{64,65} cryosection,⁵⁷ and even optical coherence tomography (OCT).⁵⁵ When the needles are needed to reach the surface of the bones and enter the joint cavity and muscle, unlike the skin, there is no specific method or quantitative value to determine whether the MNs are qualified. In the study of ST-needle being directly inserted into the joint cavity, researchers cut the cartilage open to identify the actual position the needle had reached.⁴⁹ Further study is expected to be conducted to fill the gaps for better promoting the development of MNs in the MSK system.

3.3 Drug release property of MNs

Commonly, the patterns of drug release can be classified as instant release, sustained release, and stimulus-responsive release, suitable for different circumstances. Instant drug delivery

is mostly used for the treatment of acute states such as the attack of pain and acute inflammation by arthritis and muscle damage, which requires a massive release of the drug within a short period of time to relieve the symptoms. Differently, sustained drug release is suitable for the long-term management of chronic diseases such as chronic arthritis and osteoporosis for achieving a stable and effective drug concentration in the target site while reducing the adverse effects. As a kind of on-demand drug delivery, stimulus-responsive drug release is quite promising and can be used for some ever-changing or acute attack of MSK diseases such as arthritis and muscle damage. According to the source, stimulus can be divided into endogenous stimulus (pH, redox, and enzymes) and exogenous ones (temperature, pressure, and light) (Fig. 2). For example, during an acute phase of arthritis, the locally increased temperature and acid production can become the stimulus to design thermoresponsive⁶⁶ and pH-responsive MNs,⁶⁷ respectively. The strategies of detailed preparation have been mentioned in other articles^{68,69} so we don't discuss them here. Moreover, if different stimulating factors can be combined properly, undeniably the specificity of MNs can be improved greatly since single stimulant sometimes fails to mediate the TDD in the complex changes of signals and microenvironment.⁷⁰ For instance, the MNs can run in the pattern of sustained release in the long term management of arthritis, but when acute attack occurs, it can quickly transform into an instant release one.

To study the release behavior of MNs, some experiments need to be carried out. Usually, we can detect the releasing amount of the drug by putting the drug-loaded MNs in the medium fluid⁷¹ or using the Franz diffusion cells.^{72,73} The procedure of using the Franz cell have been shown in Fig. 3.




Fig. 3 The procedure of using the Franz cell to determine the release property of MNs. This figure was adapted from the ref. 73.

Sometimes, if the result accuracy or the actual distribution of drugs in the lesion is required, we can determine it by labeling molecules with fluorescence.

4. Application of MNs in the musculoskeletal system

Herein, the current treatments of some common MSK diseases will be described combined with MNs (Table 3). Furthermore, we will also draw the blueprint based on the advance treatments that can be hopefully integrated with MNs for the treatment of MSK diseases.

4.1 Osteoporosis

Osteoporosis is characterized by low bone mass and deterioration of the microstructure of bone tissue, followed by bone weakness and susceptibility to fracture⁷⁴ as the rate of bone resorption is greater than that of bone formation.⁷⁵ The current primary goal of treatment is to prevent or slow down the bone loss and avoid fracture by taking drugs such as bisphosphonates (BPs), parathyroid hormone (PTH), and estrogens orally or intravenously.⁷⁶

However, the side effects of such administration cannot be ignored. For example, oral BPs can cause nausea and gastrointestinal symptoms such as abdominal pain, gastritis, and erosive esophagitis,^{77,78} while intravenous BPs may lead to kidney damage, mandibular osteonecrosis, atrial fibrillation, and other serious side effects.⁷⁹ Also, about 1/3 of patients may experience transient fever, muscle and joint pain, and other acute reactions for the first time.⁸⁰ Therefore, it is urgent to seek out a new method to optimize the drug administration, and MNs seem to be an appropriate way to satisfy it.

For this purpose, Katsumi *et al.*²⁵ fabricated dissolving MNs with hyaluronic acid, which are only loaded with the alendronate, a kind of BPs, in the needle tip to treat osteoporosis. It shows that the bioavailability of the drug increases to 96% and in the rat model, it achieves the therapeutic efficacy without

causing evident irritation in the treatment of osteoporosis by regulating bone resorption by osteoclasts. In contrast to the former one that is loaded with alendronate in the whole needle, the tip-loaded MNs can improve the bioavailability while reducing irritation to the skin.^{81,82}

Unlike BPs, parathyroid hormone (PTH) is an anabolic hormone that can stimulate bone formation to exert anti-osteoporosis effects, mainly by coordinating the balance between bone resorption and formation. Low-dose PTH has synthetic metabolic function while the high-dose one exhibits the catabolic effect.⁸³ Therefore, aiming to exert anti-osteoporosis effects, intermittently and tightly controlled dosage administration of PTH is required to achieve the anti-osteoporosis effect.⁸⁴ In this case, the commonly used subcutaneous injection of human PTH (1–34) peptide such as FORTEO[®] has its shortcomings. For example, patient may fail to receive regular treatment since their compliance will be reduced due to the invasive operation, which will have a negative effect on the anabolic effect of PTH. Besides, it requires strict refrigerated storage conditions, which troubles the patients much.

To improve the treatment protocol, Daddona *et al.*⁸⁵ developed an MNP system coated with PTH (1–34) (ZP-PTH) that can stay stable at room temperature for more than 2 years and causes little discomfort to the patient. Regrettably, its bioavailability is only 34% compared to the subcutaneous injection due to the short needle (190 μm), failing to reach the dermis effectively. Also, made of metal, the MNs have the risk of fracture during insertion, resulting in long-term residue in the body. In another research, Chihiro Naito *et al.*⁸⁶ designed a dissolving MNs composed of hyaluronic acid (HA), a degradable material to avoid the residual needles. They also increase the length of the needle to 800 μm , enabling the MNs to reach the dermis layer directly, which can improve the bioavailability to $100 \pm 4\%$, as expected. Undeniably, during the preparation, processes such as drying, may increase the drug loss and reduce the efficacy of the drugs. To maintain the stability, Jeeho Sim *et al.*⁸⁷ added trehalose to the HA MNs loaded with teriparatide to form bonds with protein

Table 3 The current MN-based treatment for musculoskeletal systems

Disease	Administration route	Payload	Fabricating material	MNs' type	Advantage	Ref.
Osteoporosis	Transdermal	Teriparatide	Polycarbonate, titanium metal HA	Coated MNs	Delivery of a consistent and therapeutically relevant PTH PK profile	85
Osteoporosis	Transdermal	Alendronate		Dissolving MNs	Completely dissolves and resorbs in the skin; creates micron-scale pores into the skin for the transdermal delivery of macromolecular, highly polar, and hydrophilic drugs	82
Osteoporosis	Transdermal	Alendronate	Glycerin, BHT	Dissolving MNs	High absorbability of water-soluble drugs and high molecular drugs such as peptides and proteins.	89
Osteoporosis	Transdermal	Alendronate	HA	Dissolving MNs	Rapid release and absorption without skin irritation	25
Osteoporosis	Transdermal	PTH (1–34)	HA	Dissolving MNs	High storage stability; no skin irritation	86
Osteoporosis	Transdermal	Teriparatide	HA, TA, PU films	Dissolving MNs	Efficient transdermal delivery ability; minimizing TA activity reduction	87
Rheumatoid arthritis	Transdermal	Methotrexate	PVP K90	Dissolving MNs	Better therapeutic performance compared with cream or conventional oral administration; stable drug loading and fast intradermal dissolution	90
Rheumatoid arthritis	Transdermal	Tetramethylpyrazine	PVP K90	Dissolving MNs	Safety and biocompatibility to human fibroblast synovial cells; effective alleviation of inflammation and synovial tissue damage	91
Acute gout arthritis	Transdermal	Colchicine (Col)	PAM	Hydrogel-forming MNs	Mechanical and ultraswelling properties and sustain Col-releasing	92
Rheumatoid arthritis	Transdermal	MTX and polydopamine/PDA@MnO ₂	PVP	Dissolving MNs	A new RA treatment by combining chemotherapy and antioxidative treatment	93
Rheumatoid arthritis	Transdermal	Melitricin	MeHA	Dissolving MNs	Enhancing administration convenience and modulating release properties of the loaded drug	94
Rheumatoid arthritis	Transdermal	Tofacitinib	PVA	Dissolving MNs	Effective properties in restoring histological alterations (synovial hyperplasia and constriction, cartilage and articular erosions)	95
Psoriatic arthritis	Transdermal	Tacrolimus (TAC) and diclofenac (DIC)	HA, PVP K17, Dextran (w/v)	Dissolving MNs	Effectively alleviating skin lesions in psoriasis; reducing serum markers and arthritis symptoms caused by psoriatic arthritis	26
Collagen-Induced Arthritis	Transdermal	DEK-targeting aptamer (DTA)	HA	Dissolving MNs	Strong stability and anti-inflammatory efficacy; joints protection from cartilage/bone erosion in collagen-induced arthritis (CIA) mice	96
Juvenile idiopathic arthritis	Transdermal	Methotrexate	PVA, PVP	Hydrogel-forming MNs	Minimal toxicity and maximal biocompatibility of MTX	97
Rheumatoid Arthritis	Transdermal	Neurotoxin	PVP, CS and CMC	Dissolving MNs	Penetrate the skin in a biocompatible manner; strong therapeutic effects on rat RA by transdermal delivery	98
Rheumatoid Arthritis	Transdermal	Etanercept	HA	Dissolving MNs	Good biocompatibility; little influence on the bioactivity of EN; high anti-inflammatory efficacy	99
Arthritis	Transdermal	Paeoniflorin	silicon	Solid MNs	Enhance the penetration and storability of paeoniflorin	100
Arthritis	Transdermal	Meloxicam	PVA and PVP	Dissolving MNs	High penetration efficiency, advantageous stability and safety	101
Rheumatic arthritis	Transdermal	Powder capsaicin	HA, PVP	Dissolving MNs	Applicable for delivering drugs lack of appropriate solvents, with high molecular weight and low bioavailability	102
Rheumatoid arthritis/collagen-induced arthritis (CIA)	Transdermal	Triptolide	PVP K90	Hydrogel-forming MNs	Effectively avoiding the toxic effects of triptolide and providing stable long-term release; significant therapeutic effects in the CIA model	103
Muscle injury	Transdermal	Aspirin or ibuprofen	PLGA	Dissolving MNs	Combined treatment using medication and electrostimulation; activated wirelessly for electrostimulation and sustainable delivery; fabricated with bioresorbable materials	104
Muscle injury	Transdermal	Carbonized wormwood and prostaglandin E2	PVA	Dissolving MNs	Carbonized wormwood imparted the MNP with near infrared light heating characteristics that improved the efficiency of prostaglandin E2 delivery while also promoting circulation in the damaged muscle area	27
Achilles tendinopathy (AT)	Transdermal	Exosomes (EXO) derived from tendon stem cells (TSCs)	GelMA, PVA, HA	Hydrogel-forming MNs	The special array dedicated to joint parts keeps the MN tips stabilized after detachment; the NO in AT microenvironment enhances the treatment effect	105
OA	Transdermal	Hydrogel microspheres, PARKIN protein	Stainless steel	ST-needle	Using dynamic spiral Mosaic technology with thermosensitive adhesive triblock copolymer to transfer drugs and heat	106
Poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP), polyacrylamide (PAM).						

drugs, thus slowing down the protein dynamics. After adding 10% trehalose, the activity of the drugs increases to $75.4 \pm 8.3\%$ while the activity is only $59.0 \pm 3.6\%$ in the control group.⁸⁸

Besides, estrogen has the effect of preventing osteoporosis by affecting the balance between bone resorption and formation.¹⁰⁷ Due to the lack of estrogen, postmenopausal women are vulnerable to osteoporosis due to the decreased ovarian function and it is generally recommended for women with contraindications to BPs.¹⁰⁸ In light of the adverse effects associated with high doses of estrogen intake, such as breast pain or postmenopausal vaginal bleeding, the clinical application is very strict in the selection of estrogen dosage, medication regimen, and indications.¹⁰⁹ To avoid this, transdermal low-dose of estrogen with MNs can establish and maintain therapeutic levels *in vivo* as well as reduce the risk of gallbladder disease.¹¹⁰ For better dose control, the electronically-controlled MNs can be introduced to the transdermal management of estrogen, achieving automated on-demand drug release and regulating the drug release rate by applying different voltages.¹¹¹

As mentioned above, though effective and with fewer adverse effects as expected from the MNs, the skin irritation mainly caused by the long retention time of the drug such as alendronate cannot be ignored sometimes.⁸¹ From this point, we can design an MNP combined with electroosmosis¹¹² and gas-propelled technology to promote the drug to reach the blood flow, shortening the retention time in the upper dermis, which can reduce skin irritation to some extent. Reactive oxygen species (ROS), produced and released from the inflammatory such as macrophages and neutrophils, is the main cause of skin irritation.⁸¹ Therefore, adding some antioxidants such as butylhydroxytoluene (BHT)¹¹³ and selenium (Se)¹¹⁴ may be effective.

4.2 Nutritional rickets

Characterized by the decreased level of serum calcium and/or phosphate, rickets is associated with the defective mineralization of the growth plate in the childhood.¹¹⁵ There are many subtypes of rickets, among which nutritional rickets is the most common one and mainly results from the deficiency of vitamin D and/or calcium.¹¹⁶ Calcium salts, whose deficiency can be easily corrected with diet or calcium supplements, have the ability to mineralize osteoid into mature bone. VD3, also known as calcitriol, is the main active form that regulates bone mineralization by balancing calcium and phosphate levels. VD is synthesized mainly by endogenous pathways (as shown in the diagram), while a small amount of it is taken from food. VD deficiency is commonly seen due to (1) insufficient sunlight exposure, which leads to insufficient VD synthesis in the skin; (2) insufficient intake in diet; (3) VD malabsorption caused by hepatobiliary diseases and short bowel syndrome; (4) drug factors such as long-term use of anticonvulsants such as phenobarbital, which affects VD metabolism.

At present, although it is relatively economical to prevent and treat rickets by appropriately increasing the time of sun exposure and by strengthening the diet,¹¹⁷ in some special factors, such as the dark-skinned who immigrate to high latitudes, special clothing for religious reasons, and excessive

use of sunscreen, sunlight alone is possibly inadequate. In addition, the amount of VD contained in regular diet is very small, making it difficult to achieve effective supplementation, especially for patients with digestive system diseases. Thus, oral or intramuscular supplementation of VD3 is required occasionally. When taken orally, VD has poor solubility in the gastrointestinal tract since it is fat-soluble, along with low absorption rate, low bioavailability, and the first-pass effect in the liver, leading to an unsatisfactory effect.¹¹⁸ Intramuscular VD also has the corresponding disadvantages mentioned above, such as pain and infection. Therefore, there is a great need to seek new methods that overcome these shortcomings.

Considering the small recommended daily therapeutic dose of VD, MNs can be applied for transdermal administration, which can mimic natural light-based biosynthesis of VD when overcoming the above mentioned shortcomings of oral or injectable administration. In the delivery strategy of MNs-loaded VD, nanoparticles (NPs) are often used for VD encapsulation to reduce the inherent cytotoxicity and solve the problem of high sensitivity of VD to the external environment. Currently, some studies have adopted poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) enveloping VD to fabricate NPs, which are able to control the release rate and dose of VD flexibly by adjusting the molecular and composition proportion of NPs. Kim *et al.* used coated MNs loaded with PLGA-D3 nanoparticles and achieved an efficiency of 81.08% for TDD.¹¹⁹ In another research, Lalit K. Vora *et al.* loaded PLGA-D3 NPs into the tips *via* centrifugation and fabricated bilayer dissolving MNs, which exhibits a typical tri-phasic release profile *in vitro* for more than 5 days and effectively reduce the waste of drugs to a certain extent.^{120,121} Better still, both the MNs showed superior administration efficiency compared with the ointment patch without MNs.

However, when preparing NPs, the process such as heating and the use of organic solvents, will have a negative influence on the stability of VD. Made of cyclodextrin (CD) derivatives, the supramolecular dissolving MNs (CD DMN) have been developed to load hydrophobic drugs directly and free from the usage of NPs, which can be an alternative method to prepare VD-loaded MNs.¹²² Due to the various noncovalent bonds inside the MNs, it can provide sufficient mechanical property to penetrate the skin and enable the drug molecule to diffuse into deep layers of the skin rapidly. Though simplifying the process, the cytotoxicity of the VD in this case needs to be determined for safety.

4.3 Rheumatoid arthritis

As a chronic systemic autoimmune disease, rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is characterized by synovial inflammation, leading to cartilage destruction and bone erosion eventually.^{123,124} The current drug treatments including taking nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), glucocorticoids (GCs), and disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) have the effects of anti-inflammation, pain relief, and immunosuppression.¹²⁵

Oral NSAIDs is quite common in the treatment of RA but still has complex adverse effects such as gastrointestinal and cardiovascular hazards. Thus, long-term oral NSAIDs are not

recommended for the treatment of RA.^{126,127} MNs seem to provide an alternative method to avoid the side effects and low bioavailability of NSAIDs in oral administration (Fig. 4). Sonja R *et al.*¹²⁸ used poly(lactic acid) (PLA) as a carrier for ketoprofen, a kind of NSAIDs, to fabricate silicon MNs to increase the solubility, half-life, and bioavailability of the drug molecule, reaching a better topically therapeutic effect.

Though potent in the efficacy of anti-inflammation, taking GCs orally has more serious and extensive side effects than NSAIDs, such as osteoporosis, femoral head necrosis, endocrine imbalance, and immunosuppression. Therefore, it is not adopted as a long-term treatment of RA, but is only used in low doses during acute episodes. Topical administration such as intra-articular injection can send the GC directly to the target site, reducing the systemic side effects, but the pain, secondary damage, and rapid clearance cannot be ignored.¹²⁹ At this point, MNs can be an ideal choice, but unfortunately, there are very few studies that develop MNs to load GC for the treatment of RA, which is worth further study.

Differently, as the second-line treatment, DMARDs can delay the progression of RA but act slowly and have no effect against acute inflammation. Some DMARDs such as minocycline are administered intravenously, which is an invasive operation, damaging the blood vessels and skin in a long-term management. It also reduces the compliance of the patients and sometimes results in infection. Others such as MTX and leflunomide are generally oral administration and have the gastrointestinal symptoms and extensive systemic side effects. Fortunately, it proves that MNs can be an excellent alternative strategy for the prolonged administration of DMARDs without much side effects mentioned above.¹³⁰ Vemulapalli *et al.* fabricate MTX-loaded MNs combining iontophoretic (ITP), which can promote the permeation of the drugs *via* local current on the skin surface, enabling the drugs to reach C_{max} easily and controlling the dosage better.¹³¹ In another study, hydrogel-forming MNs have

been designed for MTX delivery, which can delay the peak time while the total amount of drugs is 1.2 times higher than taking orally within 48 hours.⁹⁷ If ITP is combined, about 25-fold of the drug amount is observed, which is quite promising.

In addition, as a kind of acupuncture techniques of TCM, the bee sting therapy is often applied to arthritis treatment. Based on MNs system, a bee venom gel (BVG) has been designed to mimic bee sting therapy and exert anti-inflammation effect,¹³² which is consistent with the previous clinical trials.¹³³ In another research, researchers prepared HA MNs loaded with melittin, releasing the drug in a sustained way to maintain a stable drug concentration topically.⁹⁴ Also, it functions by suppressing the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-17 and TNF- α as well as upregulating the percentage of CD4 T cells. Though effective, fabricated on a plane, MNs sometimes cannot be fully inserted into the skin and release enough drugs due to the individual differences and curved surface of the joints.¹³⁴ To address this, MNs with specific curve surface have been fabricated *via* 3D printing, achieving the goal of personalized medicine. Unfortunately, mainly because the thickness of the skin samples has not been assessed yet, its penetrating rate is only 64%, lower than that of the average rate of 90%.¹³⁴ For a better effect, the condition and thickness of patients' skin should also be fully taken into account in further studies.

4.4 Osteoarthritis

As a chronic inflammatory disease, OA is manifested as pain, loss of function, stiffness, and swelling in multiple joints of the body.¹³⁵ The pathogenesis of OA is complex and has not yet been completely elucidated, mainly involving metabolic and physical injury and inflammatory factors.¹³⁶ Therefore, the treatment in clinical practice is symptomatic, aiming to control the inflammation, relieve pain, and improve the quality of life. Similar to the RA, taking NSAIDs and GCs is a conventional treatment. Among them, celecoxib (CXB), as a novel NSAID, can



Fig. 4 MNs treatments in arthritis and comparison with conventional administrations.

selectively inhibit cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 and has better anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects and fewer adverse effects compared to others in the treatment of OA.¹³⁷ But its poor water solubility and low bioavailability have limited the clinical application on oral intake.¹³⁸ Besides, the intra-articular injection of some nutritional supplements to protect the cartilage from damage and taking some disease-modifying OA drugs (DMOADs) to control the process of OA are applied to the treatment of OA.¹³⁹ Also, traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) has also been explored for the better treatment of OA. However, conventional administrations of such drugs have the similar side effects mentioned above, and MNs seem to offer a perfect solution.

For a better therapeutic effect, Qiuyue Wang *et al.*¹⁴⁰ developed dissolving MNs loaded with CXB-nanocrystals (CXB-NCs), which can significantly improve the water solubility and loading capacity of CXB in MNs while avoiding the first-pass effect. It can effectively modify the inflammatory cell infiltration, joint destruction, and swelling within the joints in the rat model with sustained drug release. Made into microemulsion, α -linolenic acid can be also used to improve the water solubility of CXB.^{141,142} Notably, α -linolenic acid has also been shown to have a pharmacological effect of inhibiting the production of COX (especially COX-2) at the site of inflammation.¹⁴³ Therefore, when loaded in MNs, CXB and α -linolenic acid can exert synergistic anti-inflammatory benefits, allowing MNs to carry a relatively low dose of CXB to alleviate the inflammation of joints with less side effects compared with the conventional administrations.¹⁴¹ As the main active ingredient of tripterygium in TCM, triptolide (TP) has immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory effects by reducing inflammatory factors and downregulating the expression of matrix metalloprotein,¹⁴⁴ which is appropriate for the treatment of OA. Unfortunately, its poor water solubility and severe toxicity to several systems of the body have limited its clinical application.^{145,146} To overcome this, Ping Zhou *et al.*¹⁴⁴ prepared dissolved MNs loaded with triptolide liposome (TP-Lipo) *via* ethanol injection method. It can markedly alleviate the symptoms, cartilage damage, and swelling of the joints as well as reduce the levels of inflammatory factors such as TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6. Better still, after applying the MNs, blood biochemical analysis shows lowered systemic toxicity. Besides the OA, triptolide has also been encapsulated into the liposome to fabricate hydrogel MNs patch, which functions in the collagen-induced arthritis (CIA).¹⁰³ Also, for MNs delivery of TP, choosing an appropriate encapsulation method to increase its water solubility and reduce the toxicity is of significance to clinical application.

Currently, several anti-arthritis drugs suffer from poor water solubility and/or route of administration-dependent adverse effects to varying degrees. Also, MNs are combined with techniques such as solubilizers,¹⁴⁷ salinization,¹⁴⁸ solid dispersion,¹⁴⁹ nanoscale bar¹⁵⁰ as well as the nanocrystals and microemulsions mentioned above to increase the solubility of the drug molecules for better TDD. In addition, the rapid clearance of the joint cavity will greatly reduce the efficacy of TDD.¹⁵¹ In this situation, some nanomaterials such as liposomes, micelles, and nanoparticles have been combined with MNs to solve the problems, improving

the bioavailability and half-life of the drugs as well.^{97,140,150,152} However, the toxicity of nanomaterials is not negligible, though sometimes their surface has been modified with certain polymers or compounds to minimize the toxicity.¹⁵³ Further elucidating and eliminating the toxicological effects of nanomaterials to obtain the optimal therapeutic effects is of great value for the TDD administration of various types of arthritis with MNs.

Acupuncture, as a mature traditional Chinese medicine therapy, occupies a certain position in the treatment of osteoarthritis. Inspired by acupuncture, Feng Lin *et al.* modified the Chinese acupuncture needle (CA needle) to design ST needle loading Lipo as the carrier of baicalin with a threaded tip structure for transporting the hydrogel to the subchondral bone.⁴⁹ After applying the ST needle, the levels of inflammatory cytokines 15-LOX-1 and TGF- β 1, which function in the development of OA, were significantly decreased after treatment with ST needle. Also, it promotes the bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells' migration to the surface of the cartilage through the micron-sized pores left after the ST needle to participate in repair. Furthermore, loss of autophagy resulting in increased apoptosis in mitochondria in aging cells is another important mechanism in the development of OA,^{154,155} which means if mitochondrial autophagy can be restarted and mitochondrial apoptosis can be inhibited, the repair of chondrocytes could be dramatically improved. Studies have shown that PARKIN protein plays an important role in the regulation of mitochondrial autophagy, and thermal energy can rapidly inhibit chondrocyte apoptosis caused by mitochondria. Lin *et al.* designed heat transfer microneedles with spiral Mosaic micro/nanohydrogel microspheres (ST needles).¹⁰⁶ Hydrogel microspheres containing the biological factor PARKIN protein are loaded into the threaded groove of the ST needle using a thermosensitive adhesive triblock copolymer so that they can pass through the barrier of cartilage on the ST needle with the double protection provided by the threaded groove and the viscous polymer. In response to thermal stimulation with molecular chain motion, the hydrogel microspheres will detach from the needle body. The results showed that the hydrogel microspheres could accumulate stably in the cartilage for a long time without being cleared and release PARKIN protein to induce mitochondrial autophagy. At the same time, by heating the needle with specific instrument, the good thermal conductivity of ST needle enables the transference of heat to deep lesion site and inhibit mitochondrial apoptosis rapidly. In this way, the targeted regulation of mitochondria to treat OA can be achieved.

In addition, there are numerous cutting-edge treatments such as platelet-rich plasma (PRP) injection and gene and stem cell therapy for arthritis that deserve attention. Obtained from autologous whole blood after centrifugation, PRP contains high concentrations of platelets, white blood cells, and fibrin.¹⁵⁶ After transfusion to the lesion site, various growth factors released from activated platelet can stimulate and accelerate tissue repair and regeneration.^{157,158} PRP is the best source of natural growth factors, without the risk of disease transmission and rejection.¹⁵⁹ This technique has been clinically verified and is approaching maturity. So far, PRP treatment has been performed by

traditional injection. If we introduce microneedles to deliver PRP, it is expected to improve the accuracy and continuity of injection and shows promising potential to bring better therapeutic effect and acceptance of patients. In gene therapy, after transduction by a retroviral, chondrocytes that can express the genes encoding chondrogenic growth factors and anti-inflammatory cytokines are injected intraarticularly or intravenously into the targeted joint, leading to sustained intra-articular synthesis of the gene products for therapeutic effects,¹⁶⁰ which has been proved in animal models of OA and RA.¹⁶¹ It is revealed that mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) can control inflammation and immune response, repair cartilage, and improve clinical symptoms in arthritis,¹⁶² which is available in the therapy of arthritis. However, gene or stem therapy is mainly delivered by injection, with the uncertainty of cell viability and migration to target tissues due to the limited migratory capacity of MSCs, which directly affects clinical translation.¹⁶³ Recently, the GelMA-MNs system has been applied to culture and deliver MSCs, improve the viability of MSCs, and achieve more precise delivery.^{163,164} Unfortunately, in the field of arthritis, gene or stem therapy combined with MNs remains a blank and has great potential.

4.5 Skeletal muscle damage

Skeletal muscle is mainly composed of muscle fibers, extracellular matrix (ECM), and other supporting tissues, among which ECM constitutes about 10% of the skeletal muscle framework structure, playing an important role in force transmission and repair of muscle fibers after injury.¹⁶⁵ Commonly seen daily, skeletal muscle damage varies from minor injuries (sprains and contusions) to severe ones such as volumetric muscle loss (VML), resulting from high-energy traffic injuries, blast injuries, surgery, and exercises.¹⁶⁶ Generally, skeletal muscle has a certain capacity for self-repair, while beyond the threshold, complete regeneration seems to be impossible, which will result in a loss of contractile tissue and excessive fibrosis of ECM, leading to muscle fibrosis.¹⁶⁷ Though nonfatal, muscle fibrosis will damage the structure and function of skeletal muscle and impair muscle regeneration while increasing susceptibility to re-injury,¹⁶⁸ thus reducing the patients' quality of life in the long term.

Early inflammatory response is mainly about recruiting inflammatory cells, producing inflammatory cytokines and clearing damaged tissue in preparation for the subsequent repair process, which is critical for muscle repair.¹⁶⁹ Actual repair begins with two simultaneous processes, the regeneration of damaged muscle fibers (and nerves) and the formation of connective tissue scarring, which are in synergy and competition at the same time. Once the balance is disturbed, excessive fibrosis will occur, mainly resulting from the persistent inflammation^{170,171} and high level of ROS. The persistent inflammation will increase the secretion of profibrotic cytokines such as transforming growth factor- β 1 (TGF- β 1), CTGF, and muscle inhibitors.¹⁶⁵ Among them, TGF- β 1 plays a central role in the fibrosis process,¹⁷² which is consistent with the result that in rats with glycerol-induced skeletal muscle injury,¹⁷³ the treatment with TGF- β 1 can bring about extensive fibrosis due to the inhibition of the muscle regeneration, especially in the early stage. Similar to the early inflammatory response to injury, a

moderate level of ROS can promote the repair of skeletal muscle while excessive ROS, caused by the mitochondrial dysfunction in the myocyte, will impair the number and activity of satellite cells (SCs), which initiate muscle regeneration, thus contributing to a blockage of muscle regeneration.¹⁷⁴ Although the inflammatory response and ROS are two different major factors in fibrogenesis, they do promote and influence each other.¹⁷⁵

Currently, the treatments for muscle damage are mainly related to RICE principles, drugs, and surgery. The conventional drug treatments are nonspecific and often inhibit inflammatory pathways, including NSAIDs, COX-2 inhibitors, and corticosteroids, which may lead to poor contractility and progressive loss of muscle strength when taken for a long term,¹⁷⁶ making serious muscle injury difficult to deal. Here, based on the key aspects of the pathophysiological process after injury, we will focus on how to promote repair and inhibit the fibrosis of muscle with MNs to paint a blueprint for MNs in the field of skeletal muscle injury (Fig. 5).

In terms of promoting repair, Chuxi Zhang *et al.*²⁷ developed a MNP photothermal system containing carbonized wormwood and prostaglandin E2 (PGE-2), in which PGE-2 can promote the proliferation of muscle stem cells *via* signal transduction at EP4 receptors, while carbonized wormwood can stimulate microvascular dilation and improve local blood circulation due to its property to impart near-infrared photothermal. This system can avoid the problems of local overheating and smoke contamination that occur during traditional moxibustion and is proved to be effective in the rat model. In addition, growth factors secreted after the onset of injury are important for endogenous repair, the absence of which will contribute to poor muscle regeneration and scar formation.¹⁷⁷ To promote regeneration, appropriate amounts of growth factors such as VEGF, IGF, and SDF-1a are supplemented either intramuscularly or intravenously¹⁷⁸ and have several side effects. For example, when delivered intravenously, the concentration at the target site will be very low since the half-life is very short and easily removed in the blood circulation. Worse, it may also trigger cardiovascular complications and tumors. In the case of intramuscular injection, although with less frequent systemic complications, the injection itself is an invasive operation that may cause additional damage. To avoid these, MNs loaded with growth factors can be designed to achieve minimally invasive drug delivery, and the stability of the drug molecule may be improved while achieving a controlled release pattern when encapsulating with the appropriate nanomaterials.

For muscle fibrosis, the state of persistent inflammation and excessive oxidative stress are important promoting factors,^{179,180} which we can inhibit the process of fibrosis. Ya Huang *et al.*¹⁰⁴ developed an anti-inflammatory electronic MNs device consisting mainly of a drug-loaded MNs and a radio frequency-based wireless power transmission system. It reduces inflammation and relieves pain by releasing anti-inflammatory drugs aspirin and ibuprofen, as well as regulate the behavior of skeletal muscle cells and tissue regeneration through the periodic electrostimulation generated by the electrical device. However, this system requires an extra RF-powered device, making it complex and unusable when the device is damaged or not




Fig. 5 A summary of advanced treatments combined with MNs in muscle damage from ref. 27, 167, 182 and 186 and created by authors.

available. Considering this, self-power electrical stimulation system can be combined with MNs, which can convert the mechanical energy of finger sliding into electrical energy *via* the sliding independent friction nanogenerator, free from the external power source. This system has been applied to the research in the field of vascular regeneration and wound healing,¹⁸¹ from which the treatment of skeletal muscle injury can learn. However, it has been verified that taking NSAIDs for a long term can negatively affect skeletal muscle regeneration and contractile function, probably due to their extensive effects on the immune system, affecting the central role of inflammation in the healing process. Accordingly, studies have now targeted inhibition on the key targets of fibrosis such as TGF- β 1, CTGF, and muscle inhibitors. Chitosan (Cs) and curcumin (Cn) can inhibit TGF- β 1 and thus reduce inflammation and muscle fibrosis,¹⁸² but their large molecular weight and poor water solubility make it difficult to achieve effective blood concentrations at the injury site *via* conventional administrations.¹⁸³ To conquer this, Mohamed A. A. Mahdy *et al.*¹⁶⁷ prepared Cs and Cn as nanoparticles for intraperitoneal injection in a rat model of glycerol-induced skeletal muscle injury and found that they were able to significantly reduce fibrosis, attenuate inflammation during the regeneration phase, and promote muscle regeneration. In a way, MNs system can substitute for intraperitoneal or intravenous administration to reduce the dose and systemic side effects.

Besides persistent inflammation, oxidative stress is another key factor in fibrosis; thus, ways to avoid excessive oxidation is

of great significance in anti-fibrosis. Based on this, we can prepare drugs with antioxidant properties such as selenium,¹⁸⁴ melatonin,¹⁸⁵ angiotensin II antagonist,¹⁷⁸ and acetylcysteine¹⁷⁸ by wrapping them with nanomaterials and using MNs as a carrier to improve the oxidative environment at the site of injury. Yu-Xia Yang *et al.*¹⁸⁶ prepared porous Se@SiO₂ NP by encapsulating Se element with SiO₂, allowing the slow release of Se from the porous material to attenuate its toxicity. Also, it has been confirmed that the mitochondrial function and high level of oxidative environment were improved in the rat models *via* intraperitoneal injection. They found that after treatment by intraperitoneal injection, the mitochondrial function and high level of oxidative environment were improved in the rat models, promoting the proliferation and functional differentiation of SCs and attenuating fibrosis. We can accordingly load Se@SiO₂ NP on MNs to improve the targeted delivery level of this drug, thus reducing the dose of Se elements and minimizing its toxicity to the organism. In addition, melatonin has also been studied in aged mice and found to reduce mitochondrial damage, decrease intracellular oxidative stress and autophagic state, increase the number of SCs, and inhibit skeletal muscle atrophy. Thus, melatonin could be considered for use in the treatment of skeletal muscle injury in a high level of oxidative stress state.^{187,188} However, it is known that melatonin is secreted *in vivo* by the pineal gland and has a circadian rhythm-regulating effect, which may lead to sleep disorders if excessive exogenous melatonin is ingested; moreover, there may be a risk

of hypogonadism or infertility. Therefore, the topical delivery of melatonin by MNs could be included in future studies for the treatment of skeletal muscle injury.

In severe injuries such as VML, when the extent of damaged is beyond the ability of complete muscle repair, cell-based or tissue-engineered therapies may be potential ways to minimize functional impairment when fibrosis exists. Since the ultimate goal of injury repair is the formation of contractible muscle fibers, this therapy is promising in the study of skeletal muscle repair.¹⁷⁸ Presently, cell-based therapy mainly consists of delivering myogenic or nonmyogenic cells to the injury site.¹⁷⁶ Myogenic cells include SCs, pericytes, mesenchymal stem cells, and some other cells that can proliferate and differentiate to produce muscle fibers, and experiments have been conducted to transplant SCs into dystrophin-deficient mdx mice, and it was found that the transplantation improved the muscle contractile function of the mice.¹⁸⁹ In nonmyogenic cell therapy, cells that can secrete cytokines required for muscle regeneration are majorly selected, such as MSCs, to achieve the stimulation of endogenous muscle regeneration signaling pathways.¹⁹⁰ Muscle-induced decellularized scaffolds are also widely used for VML repair these days as the microenvironment of this scaffold can better mimic human tissue.¹⁶⁶ Compared with conventional ECM, the decellularized scaffold can obtain more blood vessels due to the rich matrix, thus better promoting the proliferation of myofibers. Hydrogels containing muscle-derived decellularized scaffolds have been injected into rat models and have shown excellent myo-proliferative capacity. Although there are studies related to cell delivery by MNs, few of them have been associated with skeletal muscle injury. MNs are quite promising in this field. After all, the form of injection needles is still universally adopted to deliver cells or scaffolds, and secondary damage from needles along with the shear force generated by needles to inject viscous cell suspensions are problems that need to be solved.¹⁷⁶ At the same time, the host reactivity and rejection invoked by the decellularized scaffolds resulting from the residual cell debris and potential immunogenic substances in the scaffold also need further exploration.¹⁹¹

5. Clinical translation of MNs in the MSK system

Achieving clinical translation of the research is the ultimate goal of the studies on MN for TDD. Also, 141 clinical trials have been found on MNs so far (clinicaltrials.gov), only five of which are related to the transdermal treatment of the MSK system (as shown in Table 4). It is almost a blank field thus worthy of attention. By summarizing the current clinical trials of MNs in other fields, some common features of MNs that are able to be clinically translated can be derived, which can better provide the theoretical and practical basis for future preclinical studies of microneedles in the musculoskeletal system.

Firstly, MNs must be proven safe, nontoxic, and minimally invasive in clinical trials, which are the most essential characteristics they should possess. At the cellular level, cytotoxicity

Table 4 Clinical trials listed on www.clinicaltrials.gov related to MNs for TDD in MSK systems

Interventions	Type of application/ dose	Trade name	No. enrolled/age	Phase	Status	NCT number	Sponsor/ collaborator	Summary
Abaloparatide	Transdermal micro-needle patch/50–150 µg daily for 6 months	BA058	250/up to 85 or postmenopausal women with osteoporosis	II	Completed	NCT01674621	Radius Health, Inc. and Nordic Bioscience A/S	BMD and serum markers of bone metabolism greatly improved in Abaloparatide transdermal treatment for 6 months.
Zosano Pharma Parathyroid Hormone (ZP-PTH)	Transdermal Micro-needle Patch/40 mg daily for 30 minutes once for 14 days	—	24/55–85 or postmenopausal women with osteoporosis	I	Completed	NCT02478879	Zosano Pharma Corporation	Subjects' preference for ZP-PTH and FORTEO(R) Pen overall in the safety evaluation. No results are posted yet.
Abaloparatide-sMTS	Solid microneedle transdermal patch/300 µg daily for 5 minutes once for 29 days	BA058	22/50–85 or postmenopausal women with osteoporosis	I	Completed	NCT04366726	Radius Health, Inc.	The same pharmacokinetic characteristics as abaloparatide-SC in 29 days. High subject-rated satisfaction, convenience, and acceptability
Abaloparatide-sMTS	Solid microneedle transdermal patch/300 µg daily for 5 minutes once for 29 days	BA058	511/50–85 or postmenopausal women with osteoporosis	III	Completed	NCT04064411	Radius Health, Inc.	Similar BMD changes in lumbar spine, total hip, and femoral neck were observed in patients applying sMTS as in those with SC administered daily (80 g).
Abaloparatide-sMTS (Patheon)/abaloparatide-sMTS (kindeva)	Solid microneedle transdermal patch/300 µg/4 or 7 minutes	BA058	36/40–65 years or postmenopausal women with osteoporosis	I	Completed	NCT04936984	Radius health, Inc. and Medpace, Inc.	Evaluation of the bioequivalence of two groups of doses 300 µg (Patheon sterile and Kindeva ultra-low bioburden abaloparatide-sMTS) applied to the thigh for 5 minutes. No results are posted yet.

tests are commonly carried out to verify the biocompatibility of MNs *in vitro*, mainly including LIVE/DEAD staining and cell counting-8 kit (CCK-8) assay.^{63,192} To identify whether the MNs induce evident inflammation or damage to the skin, the Draize grading scale¹⁹³ and the transepidermal water loss (TEWL)¹⁹⁴ have been performed at times. The former is used to rate the degree of skin irritation such as erythema, edema, and scabbing, and the latter is used to reflect the degree of structural and functional damage of the skin. It is worth mentioning that the skin damage and irritation caused by the MNs is only mild and temporary, a vast majority of which can subside within a few hours among the subjects.²⁸

Besides, the degree of pain is another important factor to the clinical translation of MNs in the MSK system. Some widely accepted scales such as the visual analog scale (VAS) are available for assessing the pain level of MNs.¹⁹⁵ Notably, it is considered that length contributes a greater value to pain level compared to needle density and number.¹⁹⁶ Though common MNs cause negligible pain in some clinical trials,¹⁹⁵ MNs used in the MSK system are expected to have longer needle bodies, allowing closer access to the lesion site. Thus, further investigation of the relationship between pain and MNs parameters is necessary for the clinical translation of MNs in the MSK system.

Next, increased stability and high loading capacity of the drugs are also essential properties before MNs can be put into clinical use of MSK disorders. At the stability aspect, it is expected that the drug loaded on MNs remains stable for a long time at ambient conditions and can tolerate long transport distances to some remote areas, reducing the additional expense of storage conditions and drug loss. In terms of drug loading capacity in the treatment of arthritis with acute exacerbations, for example, the increased drug-loading capacity enables to reduce the frequency and cost of TDD, reaching the effective drug concentration at the lesion site earlier. However, as a matter of fact, it is generally limited by their size.¹⁹⁷ To make a change, Suping Jiang *et al.* developed a hydrogel MNP for the treatment of gouty arthritis that possesses both good loading capacity and delivery effect, carrying 1 mg colchicine (to meet the clinical dose requirement) and achieving a release rate of 80% within 48 hours.⁹²

Lastly, reducing the production costs is also integral to the clinical translation of MNs as high manufacturing costs and expenses inevitably limit their feasibility for scale-up and market introduction.¹⁹⁸ Selecting low-cost material alternatives and simplifying the fabricating process can definitely lower the cost.¹⁹⁹ In addition, improving the fabricating technology to enable the mass production of MNs and promoting efficient production models that can then be transformed into clinical applications²⁰⁰ are also ideal steps.

6. Conclusion and future perspectives

MNs offer a painless and minimally invasive way for drug delivery to the MSK system, combining the advantages as well as circumventing the disadvantages of traditional oral and injectable ways. Nevertheless, relatively few studies have been

conducted on the application of MNs in the MSK system due to the specificity of the MSK system. Many issues need to be addressed to facilitate its translation from basic research to the clinic and further practically bring benefits to patients.

At the level of MNs, given their micrometer sizes, how to further improve their loading capacity and the efficacy of the drugs is a topic worthy of in-depth discussion, which directly affects the curative effects. Drug loading has the possibility to weaken the mechanical strength of MNs and thus affect their insertion behavior. Therefore, it is of great necessity to study increasing drug loading while maintaining the effective transdermal delivery of MNs at the same time. Although the current studies confirm that the side effects of MNs are minimal, we must take into account the following questions: can safety and satisfaction be guaranteed if MNs are applied to a broader patient population, leaving aside the controlled conditions during the experiments? If MNs are applied frequently for drug delivery over a long period of time, are there toxic reactions and immune responses that can build up? In addition, the industrial production of MNs through process improvement to reduce costs and bring true benefits to the general public is crucial to the success of clinical translation and will promote innovation in drug delivery methods across the board. There is no pharmacopeial standard related to MNs that has been put forward so far; thus, corresponding application regulations shall be prepared and improved as soon as possible to standardize the development and use of MNs.

At the level of MSK diseases, as the related position is located so deep that the MNs sometimes cannot reach directly so the drugs can only enter the lesion site from the dermis through penetration. Therefore, it is particularly important to promote the permeation to the target site with the help of some advanced techniques. Firstly, the photothermal and photodynamic therapy can be applied with MNs. Preparing MNs made of photosensitive materials can enhance the release and permeation of the drugs by means of infrared light irradiation.²⁰¹ Besides, combining the MNs with other TDD methods such as ultrasound²⁰² and iontophoresis²⁰³ can also be a good choice. Moreover, together with gas (including O₂ and CO₂) propulsion devices, diffusion can be promoted through thrust and convection^{204,205} In addition, in the MSK system, targeted therapy also deserves further study. The carriers of neutrophils,²⁰⁶ cell penetrating peptides (CPPs),²⁰⁷ as well as the targeting of macrophages²⁰⁸ provide new strategies for this. It is expected that activated neutrophils and derived vesicles as carriers can directly target the inflammation site and alleviate the early inflammatory state. Playing an important role in the arthritis and inflammation of later stage, macrophages can be targeted for the treatment of arthritis by combining the MNs with nanotechnology.²⁰⁹ As the promoters of intracellular delivery, CPPs can deliver drugs directly into the cell, which is expected to target the main pathways of inflammation, thus accurately alleviating fibrosis after muscle injury.

In addition, the current drug treatments for MSK diseases are limited and mostly nonspecific, which may require the further elucidation of the pathophysiological process of the diseases and development of targeted drugs as well as the introduction of new

strategies such as stem cells and gene therapy to make MNs treatments more effective. With the development of precision medicine, smart MNs that integrate analysis, diagnosis, and drug delivery are expected to be developed, which has the potential to greatly broaden the prospects of MNs applications in the MSK system by interweaving with other high-tech fields. For example, by capturing changes in various endogenous and exogenous indicators during the development of diseases in the MSK system and combining them with signal monitoring and robotic drug management systems, early autonomous drug delivery is expected to be realized along with disease diagnosis. Achieving the clinical translation of MNs in drug delivery to the MSK system is the ultimate goal of conducting related research, which does not rely solely on the efforts of basic researchers but also requires a full understanding of the technology and multi-party collaboration among clinicians, especially orthopedic surgeons, who participate together in the design and development of MNs products based on clinical practice.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (52003113), and Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2021A1515010745, 2020A1515110356).

References

- 1 A. Salhotra, H. N. Shah, B. Levi and M. T. Longaker, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2020, **21**, 696–711.
- 2 R. Lozano, M. Naghavi, K. Foreman, S. Lim, K. Shibuya, V. Aboyans, J. Abraham, T. Adair, R. Aggarwal, S. Y. Ahn, M. Alvarado, H. R. Anderson, L. M. Anderson, K. G. Andrews, C. Atkinson, L. M. Baddour, S. Barker-Collo, D. H. Bartels, M. L. Bell, E. J. Benjamin, D. Bennett, K. Bhalla, B. Bikbov, A. Bin Abdulhak, G. Birbeck, F. Blyth, I. Bolliger, S. Boufous, C. Bucello, M. Burch, P. Burney, J. Carapetis, H. Chen, D. Chou, S. S. Chugh, L. E. Coffeng, S. D. Colan, S. Colquhoun, K. E. Colson, J. Condon, M. D. Connor, L. T. Cooper, M. Corriere, M. Cortinovis, K. C. de Vaccaro, W. Couser, B. C. Cowie, M. H. Criqui, M. Cross, K. C. Dabhadkar, N. Dahodwala, D. De Leo, L. Degenhardt, A. Delossantos, J. Denenberg, D. C. Des Jarlais, S. D. Dharmaratne, E. R. Dorsey, T. Driscoll, H. Duber, B. Ebel, P. J. Erwin, P. Espindola, M. Ezzati, V. Feigin, A. D. Flaxman, M. H. Forouzanfar, F. G. Fowkes, R. Franklin, M. Fransen, M. K. Freeman, S. E. Gabriel, E. Gakidou, F. Gaspari, R. F. Gillum, D. Gonzalez-Medina, Y. A. Halasa, D. Haring, J. E. Harrison, R. Havmoeller, R. J. Hay, B. Hoen, P. J. Hotez, D. Hoy, K. H. Jacobsen, S. L. James, R. Jasrasaria, S. Jayaraman, N. Johns, G. Karthikeyan, N. Kassebaum, A. Keren, J. P. Khoo, L. M. Knowlton, O. Kobusingye, A. Koranteng, R. Krishnamurthi, M. Lipnick, S. E. Lipshultz, S. L. Ohno, J. Mabweijano, M. F. MacIntyre, L. Mallinger, L. March, G. B. Marks, R. Marks, A. Matsumori, R. Matzopoulos, B. M. Mayosi, J. H. McAnulty, M. M. McDermott, J. McGrath, G. A. Mensah, T. R. Merriman, C. Michaud, M. Miller, T. R. Miller, C. Mock, A. O. Mocumbi, A. A. Mokdad, A. Moran, K. Mulholland, M. N. Nair, L. Naldi, K. M. Narayan, K. Nasser, P. Norman, M. O'Donnell, S. B. Omer, K. Ortblad, R. Osborne, D. Ozgediz, B. Pahari, J. D. Pandian, A. P. Rivero, R. P. Padilla, F. Perez-Ruiz, N. Perico, D. Phillips, K. Pierce, C. A. Pope, 3rd, E. Porrini, F. Pourmalek, M. Raju, D. Ranganathan, J. T. Rehm, D. B. Rein, G. Remuzzi, F. P. Rivara, T. Roberts, F. R. De León, L. C. Rosenfeld, L. Rushton, R. L. Sacco, J. A. Salomon, U. Sampson, E. Sanman, D. C. Schwebel, M. Segui-Gomez, D. S. Shepard, D. Singh, J. Singleton, K. Sliwa, E. Smith, A. Steer, J. A. Taylor, B. Thomas, I. M. Tleyjeh, J. A. Towbin, T. Truelsen, E. A. Undurraga, N. Venketasubramanian, L. Vijayakumar, T. Vos, G. R. Wagner, M. Wang, W. Wang, K. Watt, M. A. Weinstock, R. Weintraub, J. D. Wilkinson, A. D. Woolf, S. Wulf, P. H. Yeh, P. Yip, A. Zabetian, Z. J. Zheng, A. D. Lopez, C. J. Murray, M. A. AlMazroa and Z. A. Memish, *Lancet*, 2012, **380**, 2095–2128.
- 3 Z. A. Cupler, M. Alrwaily, E. Polakowski, K. S. Mathers and M. J. Schneider, *Chiropr. Man. Therap.*, 2020, **28**, 52.
- 4 C. Shi, T. Wu, Y. He, Y. Zhang and D. Fu, *Pharmacol. Ther.*, 2020, **207**, 107473.
- 5 E. J. Carbone, K. Rajpura, B. N. Allen, E. Cheng, B. D. Ulery and K. W. Lo, *Nanomedicine*, 2017, **13**, 37–47.
- 6 S. A.-J. K. Ahmed, S. Mahmood, A. S. Hamed, V. J. Reddy, H. A. Rebhi, M. Azmana and S. Raman, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2020, **587**, 119673.
- 7 F. Qu, R. Geng, Y. Liu and J. Zhu, *Theranostics*, 2022, **12**, 3372–3406.
- 8 Y. Zhang, J. Yu, A. R. Kahkoska, J. Wang, J. B. Buse and Z. Gu, *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.*, 2019, **139**, 51–70.
- 9 S. Kumari, A. Goyal, E. Sönmez Güreş, E. Algin Yapar, M. Garg, M. Sood and R. K. Sindhu, *Pharmaceutics*, 2022, **14**, 1091.
- 10 A. Kováčik, M. Kopečná and K. Vávrová, *Expert Opin. Drug Delivery*, 2020, **17**, 145–155.
- 11 B. C.-Q. Seah and B. M. Teo, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2018, **13**, 7749.
- 12 M. S. Lhernould, M. Deleers and A. Delchambre, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2015, **480**, 152–157.
- 13 L. M. Mikesh, L. R. Aramadhaka, C. Moskaluk, P. Zigrino, C. Mauch and J. W. Fox, *J. Proteomics*, 2013, **84**, 190–200.
- 14 B. W. Barry, *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2001, **14**, 101–114.
- 15 S. Chandrasekhar, L. K. Iyer, J. P. Panchal, E. M. Topp, J. B. Cannon and V. V. Ranade, *Expert Opin. Drug Delivery*, 2013, **10**, 1155–1170.
- 16 E. Larrañeta, M. T. McCrudden, A. J. Courtenay and R. F. Donnelly, *Pharm. Res.*, 2016, **33**, 1055–1073.
- 17 C. Loebel and J. A. Burdick, *Cell Stem Cell*, 2018, **22**, 325–339.

- 18 T. K. Mwangi, I. M. Berke, E. H. Nieves, R. D. Bell, S. B. Adams and L. A. Setton, *J. Controlled Release*, 2018, **283**, 76–83.
- 19 V. S. Bachu, J. Kedda, I. Suk, J. J. Green and B. Tyler, *Ann. Biomed. Eng.*, 2021, **49**, 1975–1991.
- 20 S. Shin Low, C. Nong Lim, M. Yew, W. Siong Chai, L. E. Low, S. Manickam, B. Ti Tey and P. L. Show, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, 2021, **80**, 105805.
- 21 T. Han and D. B. Das, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, 2015, **89**, 312–328.
- 22 J. Sitta and C. M. Howard, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2021, **22**, 11491.
- 23 A. K. Banga, S. Bose and T. K. Ghosh, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 1999, **179**, 1–19.
- 24 D. Omata, L. Munakata, K. Maruyama and R. Suzuki, *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, 2021, **44**, 1391–1398.
- 25 H. Katsumi, Y. Tanaka, K. Hitomi, S. Liu, Y.-S. Quan, F. Kamiyama, T. Sakane and A. Yamamoto, *Pharmaceutics*, 2017, **9**, 29.
- 26 K. Yu, X. Yu, S. Cao, Y. Wang, Y. Zhai, F. Yang, X. Yang, Y. Lu, C. Wu and Y. Xu, *Acta Pharm. Sin. B*, 2021, **11**, 505–519.
- 27 C. Zhang, S. Jia, J. Huang, H. Peng, J. Zhang, L. Liu, W. Zhang, H. Xin and X. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2021, **9**, 8014–8020.
- 28 W. Li, S. Li, X. Fan and M. R. Prausnitz, *J. Controlled Release*, 2021, **339**, 350–360.
- 29 M. Chen, G. Quan, Y. Sun, D. Yang, X. Pan and C. Wu, *J. Controlled Release*, 2020, **325**, 163–175.
- 30 S. Mahmood, M. Taher and U. K. Mandal, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2014, **9**, 4331–4346.
- 31 G. Ma and C. Wu, *J. Controlled Release*, 2017, **251**, 11–23.
- 32 N. S. Rejinold, J. H. Shin, H. Y. Seok and Y. C. Kim, *Expert Opin. Drug Delivery*, 2016, **13**, 109–131.
- 33 A. Tucak, M. Sirbubalo, L. Hindija, O. Rahić, J. Hadžiabdić, K. Muhamedagić, A. Čekić and E. Vranić, *Micromachines*, 2020, **11**, 961.
- 34 R. Li, X. Liu, X. Yuan, S. Wu, L. Li, X. Jiang, B. Li, X. Jiang and M. Gou, *Int. J. Bioprint*, 2022, **8**, 553.
- 35 Z. Hu, C. S. Meduri, R. S. J. Ingrole, H. S. Gill and G. Kumar, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2020, **116**, 203703.
- 36 Y. Chen, B. Z. Chen, Q. L. Wang, X. Jin and X. D. Guo, *J. Controlled Release*, 2017, **265**, 14–21.
- 37 K. van der Maaden, E. Sekerdag, P. Schipper, G. Kersten, W. Jiskoot and J. Bouwstra, *Langmuir*, 2015, **31**, 8654–8660.
- 38 Q. K. Anjani, A. D. Permana, Á. Cárcamo-Martínez, J. Domínguez-Robles, I. A. Tekko, E. Larrañeta, L. K. Vora, D. Ramadon and R. F. Donnelly, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, 2021, **158**, 294–312.
- 39 C. J. W. Bolton, O. Howells, G. J. Blayney, P. F. Eng, J. C. Birchall, B. Gualeni, K. Roberts, H. Ashraf and O. J. Guy, *Lab Chip*, 2020, **20**, 2788–2795.
- 40 Á. Cárcamo-Martínez, B. Mallon, J. Domínguez-Robles, L. K. Vora, Q. K. Anjani and R. F. Donnelly, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2021, **599**, 120455.
- 41 A. Z. Alkilani, M. T. McCrudden and R. F. Donnelly, *Pharmaceutics*, 2015, **7**, 438–470.
- 42 E. Caffarel-Salvador, S. Kim, V. Soares, R. Y. Tian, S. R. Stern, D. Minahan, R. Yona, X. Lu, F. R. Zakaria, J. Collins, J. Wainer, J. Wong, R. McManus, S. Tamang, S. McDonnell, K. Ishida, A. Hayward, X. Liu, F. Hubálek, J. Fels, A. Vegge, M. R. Frederiksen, U. Rahbek, T. Yoshitake, J. Fujimoto, N. Roxhed, R. Langer and G. Traverso, *Sci. Adv.*, 2021, **7**, e2620.
- 43 K. J. Lee, S. S. Jeong, D. H. Roh, D. Y. Kim, H. K. Choi and E. H. Lee, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2020, **573**, 118778.
- 44 R. Ali, P. Mehta, M. S. Arshad, I. Kucuk, M. W. Chang and Z. Ahmad, *AAPS PharmSciTech*, 2019, **21**, 12.
- 45 R. F. Donnelly, T. R. Singh, A. Z. Alkilani, M. T. McCrudden, S. O'Neill, C. O'Mahony, K. Armstrong, N. McLoone, P. Kole and A. D. Woolfson, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2013, **451**, 76–91.
- 46 P. Gadziński, A. Froelich, M. Wojtyłko, A. Białek, J. Krysztofiak and T. Osmałek, *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.*, 2022, **13**, 1167–1184.
- 47 X. Li, X. Xie, Y. Wu, Z. Zhang and J. Liao, *Drug Delivery Transl. Res.*, 2023, 1–18.
- 48 D. Kulkarni, F. Damiri, S. Rojekar, M. Zehravi, S. Ramproshad, D. Dhoke, S. Musale, A. A. Mulani, P. Modak, R. Paradhi, J. Vitore, M. H. Rahman, M. Berrada, P. S. Giram and S. Cavalu, *Pharmaceutics*, 2022, **14**, 1097.
- 49 F. Lin, Z. Wang, L. Xiang, L. Wu, Y. Liu, X. Xi, L. Deng and W. Cui, *Adv. Sci.*, 2022, **9**, 2200079.
- 50 H. Kathuria, H. Li, J. Pan, S. H. Lim, J. S. Kochhar, C. Wu and L. Kang, *Pharm. Res.*, 2016, **33**, 2653–2667.
- 51 Y. Kim, H. S. Min, J. Shin, J. Nam, G. Kang, J. Sim, H. Yang and H. Jung, *Biomater. Res.*, 2022, **26**, 1–14.
- 52 J. W. Coffey, N. M. D. van der Burg, T. Rananakomol, H.-I. Ng, G. J. P. Fernando and M. A. F. Kendall, *Adv. Nanobiomed. Res.*, 2022, 2100151.
- 53 P. Makvandi, M. Kirkby, A. R. J. Hutton, M. Shabani, C. K. Y. Yiu, Z. Baghbantaraghdari, R. Jamaledin, M. Carlotti, B. Mazzolai, V. Mattoli and R. F. Donnelly, *Nanomicro Lett.*, 2021, **13**, 93.
- 54 J. Li, M. Zeng, H. Shan and C. Tong, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **24**, 2413–2422.
- 55 O. Howells, G. J. Blayney, B. Gualeni, J. C. Birchall, P. F. Eng, H. Ashraf, S. Sharma and O. J. Guy, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, 2022, **171**, 19–28.
- 56 M. S. Azizi, A. K. Nguyen, L. Vanderwal, S. Stafslie and R. J. Narayan, *Pharmaceutics*, 2022, **14**, 2413.
- 57 A. S. Al-Badry, M. H. Al-Mayahy and D. J. Scurr, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2022, **112**, 1011–1019.
- 58 C. O'Mahony, *Biomed. Microdevices*, 2014, **16**, 333–343.
- 59 W. Yu, G. Jiang, Y. Zhang, D. Liu, B. Xu and J. Zhou, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2017, **80**, 187–196.
- 60 J. H. Park, M. G. Allen and M. R. Prausnitz, *J. Controlled Release*, 2005, **104**, 51–66.
- 61 T. Wu, X. You and Z. Chen, *Sensors*, 2022, **22**, 4253.
- 62 J. G. Turner, L. R. White, P. Estrela and H. S. Leese, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2021, **21**, 2000307.
- 63 Y. Yang, H. Chu, Y. Zhang, L. Xu, R. Luo, H. Zheng, T. Yin and Z. Li, *Nano Res.*, 2022, **15**, 8336–8344.

- 64 M. Kirkby, A. B. Sabri, D. Scurr and G. Moss, *Pharm. Res.*, 2022, **39**, 1945–1958.
- 65 J. Chen, X. Liu, S. Liu, Z. He, S. Yu, Z. Ruan and N. Jin, *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.*, 2021, **47**, 1578–1586.
- 66 V. Ben-Yashar and Y. Barenholz, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1989, **985**, 271–278.
- 67 X. M. Liu, L. D. Quan, J. Tian, Y. Alnouti, K. Fu, G. M. Thiele and D. Wang, *Pharm. Res.*, 2008, **25**, 2910–2919.
- 68 C. Li, H. Li, Q. Wang, M. Zhou, M. Li, T. Gong, Z. Zhang and X. Sun, *J. Controlled Release*, 2017, **246**, 133–141.
- 69 C. M. Wells, M. Harris, L. Choi, V. P. Murali, F. D. Guerra and J. A. Jennings, *J. Funct. Biomater.*, 2019, **10**, 34.
- 70 B. Yang, Y. Dong, Y. Shen, A. Hou, G. Quan, X. Pan and C. Wu, *Bioact Mater.*, 2021, **6**, 2400–2411.
- 71 M. Yuan, K. Liu, T. Jiang, S. Li, J. Chen, Z. Wu, W. Li, R. Tan, W. Wei, X. Yang, H. Dai and Z. Chen, *J. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2022, **20**, 147.
- 72 T. J. Franz, *J. Invest. Dermatol.*, 1975, **64**, 190–195.
- 73 M.-C. Chen, S.-F. Huang, K.-Y. Lai and M.-H. Ling, *Biomaterials*, 2013, **34**, 3077–3086.
- 74 W. A. Peck, *Am. J. Med.*, 1993, **94**, 646–650.
- 75 A. Feldstein, P. J. Elmer, E. Orwoll, M. Herson and T. Hillier, *Arch. Intern. Med.*, 2003, **163**, 2165–2172.
- 76 T. Luhmann, O. Germershaus, J. Groll and L. Meinel, *J. Controlled Release*, 2012, **161**, 198–213.
- 77 S. T. Harris, N. B. Watts, H. K. Genant, C. D. McKeever, T. Hangartner, M. Keller, C. H. Chesnut Iii, J. Brown, E. F. Eriksen and M. S. Hoseyni, *JAMA*, 1999, **282**, 1344–1352.
- 78 P. C. De Groen, D. F. Lubbe, L. J. Hirsch, A. Daifotis, W. Stephenson, D. Freedholm, S. Pryor-Tillotson, M. J. Seleznick, H. Pinkas and K. K. Wang, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 1996, **335**, 1016–1021.
- 79 D. M. Black, I. R. Reid, J. A. Cauley, F. Cosman, P. C. Leung, P. Lakatos, K. Lippuner, S. R. Cummings, T. F. Hue and A. Mukhopadhyay, *J. Bone Miner. Res.*, 2015, **30**, 934–944.
- 80 R. E. Coleman, *Br. J. Cancer*, 2008, **98**, 1736–1740.
- 81 H. Katsumi, M. Nakatani, J.-I. Sano, M. Abe, K. Kusamori, M. Kurihara, R. Shiota, M. Takashima, T. Fujita and T. Sakane, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2010, **400**, 124–130.
- 82 H. Katsumi, S. Liu, Y. Tanaka, K. Hitomi, R. Hayashi, Y. Hirai, K. Kusamori, Y.-S. Quan, F. Kamiyama and T. Sakane, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2012, **101**, 3230–3238.
- 83 S. Lotinun, J. D. Sibonga and R. T. Turner, *Endocrine*, 2002, **17**, 29–36.
- 84 M. E. Kraenzlin and C. Meier, *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.*, 2011, **7**, 647–656.
- 85 P. E. Daddona, J. A. Matriano, J. Mandema and Y.-F. Maa, *Pharm. Res.*, 2011, **28**, 159–165.
- 86 C. Naito, H. Katsumi, T. Suzuki, Y.-S. Quan, F. Kamiyama, T. Sakane and A. Yamamoto, *Pharmaceutics*, 2018, **10**, 215.
- 87 J. Sim, G. Kang, H. Yang, M. Jang, Y. Kim, H. Ahn, M. Kim and H. Jung, *Polymers*, 2022, **14**, 4027.
- 88 E. Jacoby, C. Jarrahan, H. F. Hull and D. Zehrunge, *Vaccine*, 2015, **33**, 4699–4704.
- 89 H. Katsumi, *Yakugaku Zasshi*, 2014, **134**, 427–431.
- 90 W. Zhao, L. Zheng, J. Yang, Z. Ma, X. Tao and Q. Wang, *Drug Delivery*, 2023, **30**, 121–132.
- 91 W. Zhao, L. Zheng, J. Yang, Y. Li, Y. Zhang, T. Ma and Q. Wang, *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2023, 106409.
- 92 S. Jiang, W. Wang, J. Ke, S. Huang, J. Wang, C. Luo, X. Li, K. Zhang, H. Liu and W. Zheng, *Biomater. Sci.*, 2023, **11**, 1714–1724.
- 93 C. Wu, J. Cheng, W. Li, L. Yang, H. Dong and X. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 55559–55568.
- 94 G. Du, P. He, J. Zhao, C. He, M. Jiang, Z. Zhang, Z. Zhang and X. Sun, *J. Controlled Release*, 2021, **336**, 537–548.
- 95 Y. Li, Y. Sun, S. Wei, L. Zhang and S. Zong, *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.*, 2021, **47**, 878–886.
- 96 J. Cao, J. Su, M. An, Y. Yang, Y. Zhang, J. Zuo, N. Zhang and Y. Zhao, *Mol. Pharm.*, 2021, **18**, 305–316.
- 97 I. A. Tekko, G. Chen, J. Domínguez-Robles, R. R. S. Thakur, I. M. N. Hamdan, L. Vora, E. Larrañeta, J. C. McElnay, H. O. McCarthy, M. Rooney and R. F. Donnelly, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2020, **586**, 119580.
- 98 W. Yao, C. Tao, J. Zou, H. Zheng, J. Zhu, Z. Zhu, J. Zhu, L. Liu, F. Li and X. Song, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2019, **563**, 91–100.
- 99 J. Cao, N. Zhang, Z. Wang, J. Su, J. Yang, J. Han and Y. Zhao, *Pharmaceutics*, 2019, **11**, 235.
- 100 Y. Cui, Y. Mo, Q. Zhang, W. Tian, Y. Xue, J. Bai and S. Du, *Molecules*, 2018, **23**, 3371.
- 101 S. Amodwala, P. Kumar and H. P. Thakkar, *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2017, **104**, 114–123.
- 102 M. Dangol, H. Yang, C. G. Li, S. F. Lahiji, S. Kim, Y. Ma and H. Jung, *J. Controlled Release*, 2016, **223**, 118–125.
- 103 G. Chen, B. Hao, D. Ju, M. Liu, H. Zhao, Z. Du and J. Xia, *Acta Pharm. Sin. B*, 2015, **5**, 569–576.
- 104 Y. Huang, H. Li, T. Hu, J. Li, C. K. Yiu, J. Zhou, J. Li, X. Huang, K. Yao, X. Qiu, Y. Zhou, D. Li, B. Zhang, R. Shi, Y. Liu, T. H. Wong, M. Wu, H. Jia, Z. Gao, Z. Zhang, J. He, M. Zheng, E. Song, L. Wang, C. Xu and X. Yu, *Nano Lett.*, 2022, **22**, 5944–5953.
- 105 A. Liu, Q. Wang, Z. Zhao, R. Wu, M. Wang, J. Li, K. Sun, Z. Sun, Z. Lv and J. Xu, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 13339–13350.
- 106 F. Lin, Y. Zhuang, L. Xiang, T. Ye, Z. Wang, L. Wu, Y. Liu, L. Deng and W. Cui, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2023, 2212730.
- 107 C.-H. Cheng, L.-R. Chen and K.-H. Chen, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2022, **23**, 1376.
- 108 M. Gambacciani and M. Levancini, *Przegl. Menopauzalny*, 2014, **13**, 213–220.
- 109 A. M. S. North, *Menopause*, 2017, **24**, 728–753.
- 110 N. Carroll, *J. Womens Health*, 2010, **19**, 47–55.
- 111 Y. Yang, B. Z. Chen, X. P. Zhang, H. Zheng, Z. Li, C. Y. Zhang and X. D. Guo, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 31645–31654.
- 112 D. Terutsuki, R. Segawa, S. Kusama, H. Abe and M. Nishizawa, *J. Controlled Release*, 2023, **354**, 694–700.
- 113 K. Kusamori, H. Katsumi, M. Abe, A. Ueda, R. Sakai, R. Hayashi, Y. Hirai, Y. S. Quan, F. Kamiyama and T. Sakane, *J. Bone Miner. Res.*, 2010, **25**, 2582–2591.
- 114 S. M. Heffernan, K. Horner, G. De Vito and G. E. Conway, *Nutrients*, 2019, **11**, 696.

- 115 S. Uday and W. Högler, *Indian J. Med. Res.*, 2020, **152**, 356.
- 116 S. Uday and W. Högler, *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2019, **188**, 141–146.
- 117 R. Chanchlani, P. Nemer, R. Sinha, L. Nemer, V. Krishnappa, E. Sochett, F. Safadi and R. Raina, *Kidney Int. Rep.*, 2020, **5**, 980–990.
- 118 L. Junqueira, H. Polonini, C. Ramos, A. O. Ferreira, N. Raposo and M. Brandão, *Curr. Drug Delivery*, 2022, **19**, 614–624.
- 119 H.-G. Kim, D. L. Gater and Y.-C. Kim, *Drug Delivery Transl. Res.*, 2018, **8**, 281–290.
- 120 R. R. S. Thakur, I. A. Tekko, F. Al-Shammari, A. A. Ali, H. McCarthy and R. F. Donnelly, *Drug Delivery Transl. Res.*, 2016, **6**, 800–815.
- 121 L. K. Vora, R. F. Donnelly, E. Larrañeta, P. González-Vázquez, R. R. S. Thakur and P. R. Vavia, *J. Controlled Release*, 2017, **265**, 93–101.
- 122 H. Wang, Y. Fu, J. Mao, H. Jiang, S. Du, P. Liu, J. Tao, L. Zhang and J. Zhu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, e2207832.
- 123 M. Auréal, I. Machuca-Gayet and F. Coury, *Biomolecules*, 2020, **11**, 48.
- 124 E. A. Littlejohn and S. U. Monrad, *Prim. Care*, 2018, **45**, 237–255.
- 125 Y.-J. Lin, M. Anzaghe and S. Schülke, *Cells*, 2020, **9**, 880.
- 126 C. A. Heyneman, C. Lawless-Liday and G. C. Wall, *Drugs*, 2000, **60**, 555–574.
- 127 F. Salvo, A. Fourrier Réglat, F. Bazin, P. Robinson, N. Riera Guardia, M. Haag, A. P. Caputi, N. Moore, M. C. Sturkenboom and A. Pariente, *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 2011, **89**, 855–866.
- 128 S. R. Vučen, G. Vuleta, A. M. Crean, A. C. Moore, N. Ignjatović and D. Uskoković, *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, 2013, **65**, 1451–1462.
- 129 B. Combe, R. Landewe, C. I. Daien, C. Hua, D. Aletaha, J. M. Álvaro-Gracia, M. Bakkers, N. Brodin, G. R. Burmester and C. Codreanu, *Ann. Rheum. Dis.*, 2017, **76**, 948–959.
- 130 M. Qindeel, D. Khan, N. Ahmed, S. Khan and U. R. Asim, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 4662–4681.
- 131 V. Vemulapalli, Y. Yang, P. M. Friden and A. K. Banga, *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, 2008, **60**, 27–33.
- 132 M. Zhao, J. Bai, Y. Lu, S. Du, K. Shang, P. Li, L. Yang, B. Dong and N. Tan, *J. Tradit. Chin. Med.*, 2016, **3**, 256–262.
- 133 J.-D. Lee, H.-J. Park, Y. Chae and S. Lim, *J. Evidence-Based Complementary Altern. Med.*, 2005, **2**, 79–84.
- 134 S. F. Lahiji, M. Dangol and H. Jung, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 7914.
- 135 J. Wang, J. Zeng, Z. Liu, Q. Zhou, X. Wang, F. Zhao, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, M. Liu and R. Du, *Pharmaceutics*, 2022, **14**, 1736.
- 136 D. J. Hunter and S. Bierma-Zeinstra, *Lancet*, 2019, **393**, 1745–1759.
- 137 M. Krasselt and C. Baerwald, *Expert Opin. Pharmacother.*, 2019, **20**, 1689–1702.
- 138 S. B. Murdande, D. A. Shah and R. H. Dave, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2015, **104**, 2094–2102.
- 139 H. A. Wieland, M. Michaelis, B. J. Kirschbaum and K. A. Rudolph, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2005, **4**, 331–344.
- 140 Q. Wang, X. Yang, X. Gu, F. Wei, W. Cao, L. Zheng, Y. Li, T. Ma, C. Wu and Q. Wang, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2022, **625**, 122108.
- 141 J. Li, X. Tian, K. Wang, Y. Jia and F. Ma, *J. Drug Targeting*, 2022, 1–11.
- 142 Q. Li, X. Liu, X. Wang, S. Qiu, K. Byambasuren, L. Dang and Z. Wang, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2019, **67**, 11518–11526.
- 143 R. Anand and G. Kaithwas, *Inflammation*, 2014, **37**, 1297–1306.
- 144 P. Zhou, C. Chen, X. Yue, J. Zhang, C. Huang, S. Zhao, A. Wu, X. Li, Y. Qu and C. Zhang, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2021, **609**, 121211.
- 145 L. Liu, Z. Jiang, J. Liu, X. Huang, T. Wang, J. Liu, Y. Zhang, Z. Zhou, J. Guo and L. Yang, *Toxicology*, 2010, **271**, 57–63.
- 146 Z.-L. Zhou, Y.-X. Yang, J. Ding, Y.-C. Li and Z.-H. Miao, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2012, **29**, 457–475.
- 147 Y. Zhao, P. Wan, J. Wang, P. Li, Q. Hu and R. Zhao, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2020, **229**, 115473.
- 148 D. Gupta, D. Bhatia, V. Dave, V. Sutariya and S. Varghese Gupta, *Molecules*, 2018, **23**, 1719.
- 149 A. R. Tekade and J. N. Yadav, *Adv. Pharm. Bull.*, 2020, **10**, 359.
- 150 K. S. Kim, K. Suzuki, H. Cho, Y. S. Youn and Y. H. Bae, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 8893–8900.
- 151 P. Maudens, O. Jordan and E. Allémann, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2018, **23**, 1761–1775.
- 152 D. Zhou, F. Zhou, S. Sheng, Y. Wei, X. Chen and J. Su, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2023, **28**, 103482.
- 153 S. M. Hosseinikhah, M. Barani, A. Rahdar, H. Madry, R. Arshad, V. Mohammadzadeh and M. Cucchiari, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2021, **22**, 3092.
- 154 M. Abate, A. Festa, M. Falco, A. Lombardi, A. Luce, A. Grimaldi, S. Zappavigna, P. Sperlongano, C. Irace and M. Caraglia, *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.*, 2020, 139–153.
- 155 N. Hafsia, M. Forien, F. Renaudin, D. Delacour, P. Reboul, P. Van Lent, M. Cohen-Solal, F. Lioté, F. Poirier and H. K. Ea, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2020, **21**, 1486.
- 156 K. Riewruja, S. Phakham, P. Sompolpong, R. Reantragoon, A. Tanavalee, S. Ngarmukos, W. Udomsinprasert, T. Suantawee, S. Dechsupa and S. Honsawek, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2022, **23**, 890.
- 157 A. Di Martino, A. Boffa, L. Andriolo, I. Romandini, S. A. Altamura, A. Cenacchi, V. Roverini, S. Zaffagnini and G. Filardo, *Am. J. Sports Med.*, 2022, **50**, 609–617.
- 158 B. O. Connell, N. M. Wragg and S. L. Wilson, *Cell Tissue Res.*, 2019, **376**, 143–152.
- 159 S. Wang, X. Liu and Y. Wang, *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.*, 2022, **10**, 808248.
- 160 C. H. Evans, S. C. Ghivizzani and P. D. Robbins, *Transl. Res.*, 2013, **161**, 205–216.
- 161 S. C. Ghivizzani, E. Gouze, J. N. Gouze, J. D. Kay, M. L. Bush, R. S. Watson, P. P. Levings, D. M. Nickerson, P. T. Colahan, P. D. Robbins and C. H. Evans, *Curr. Gene Ther.*, 2008, **8**, 273–286.
- 162 J. J. Hwang, Y. A. Rim, Y. Nam and J. H. Ju, *Front. Immunol.*, 2021, **12**, 631291.
- 163 K. Lee, Y. Xue, J. Lee, H. J. Kim, Y. Liu, P. Tebon, E. Sarikhani, W. Sun, S. Zhang, R. Haghniaz, B. Çelebi-Saltik, X. Zhou, S. Ostrovidov, S. Ahadian, N. Ashammakhi, M. R. Dokmeci and A. Khademhosseini, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 2000086.

- 164 M. Han, H. Yang, X. Lu, Y. Li, Z. Liu, F. Li, Z. Shang, X. Wang, X. Li, J. Li, H. Liu and T. Xin, *Nano Lett.*, 2022, **22**, 6391–6401.
- 165 M. A. A. Mahdy, *Cell Tissue Res.*, 2019, **375**, 575–588.
- 166 J. Liu, D. Saul, K. O. Böker, J. Ernst, W. Lehman and A. F. Schilling, *BioMed Res. Int.*, 2018, **2018**, 1984879.
- 167 M. A. A. Mahdy, M. A. Akl and F. A. Madkour, *BMC Musculoskeletal Disord.*, 2022, **23**, 670.
- 168 P. Prazeres, A. O. M. Turqueti, P. O. Azevedo, R. S. N. Barreto, M. A. Miglino, A. Mintz, O. Delbono and A. Birbrair, *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 2018, **99**, 109–113.
- 169 S. M. Greising, B. T. Corona and J. A. Call, *Int. J. Sports Med.*, 2020, **41**, 495–504.
- 170 B. T. Corona, J. C. Rivera, K. A. Dalske, J. C. Wenke and S. M. Greising, *Tissue Eng., Part A*, 2020, **26**, 636–646.
- 171 J. Zhang, S. Shen, R. Lin, J. Huang, C. Pu, P. Chen, Q. Duan, X. You, C. Xu and B. Yan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, **35**, 2209497.
- 172 K. Delaney, P. Kasprzycka, M. A. Ciemerych and M. Zimowska, *Cell Biol. Int.*, 2017, **41**, 706–715.
- 173 M. A. A. Mahdy, K. Warita and Y. Z. Hosaka, *Anim. Sci. J.*, 2017, **88**, 1811–1819.
- 174 E. Le Moal, V. Pialoux, G. Juban, C. Groussard, H. Zouhal, B. Chazaud and R. Mounier, *Antioxid. Redox Signaling*, 2017, **27**, 276–310.
- 175 K. Richter, A. Konzack, T. Pihlajaniemi, R. Heljasvaara and T. Kietzmann, *Redox Biol.*, 2015, **6**, 344–352.
- 176 T. H. Qazi, G. N. Duda, M. J. Ort, C. Perka, S. Geissler and T. Winkler, *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*, 2019, **10**, 501–516.
- 177 I. M. Aragón, B. H. Imbroda and M. F. Lara, *Int. J. Med. Sci.*, 2018, **15**, 195–204.
- 178 S. Bersini, M. Gilardi, M. Mora, S. Krol, C. Arrigoni, C. Candrian, S. Zanotti and M. Moretti, *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.*, 2018, **129**, 64–77.
- 179 Y. He, Q. Li, P. Chen, Q. Duan, J. Zhan, X. Cai, L. Wang, H. Hou and X. Qiu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 7666.
- 180 K. Mukund and S. Subramaniam, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Syst. Biol. Med.*, 2020, **12**, e1462.
- 181 Y. Yang, R. Luo, S. Chao, J. Xue, D. Jiang, Y. H. Feng, X. D. Guo, D. Luo, J. Zhang, Z. Li and Z. L. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 6908.
- 182 M. Ashrafizadeh, A. Zarrabi, K. Hushmandi, V. Zarrin, E. R. Moghadam, F. Hashemi, P. Makvandi, S. Samarghandian, H. Khan, F. Hashemi, M. Najafi and H. Mirzaei, *Front. Pharmacol.*, 2020, **11**, 585413.
- 183 X. Cai, Y. He, C. Liu, J. Zhan, Q. Li, S. Zhong, H. Hou, W. Wang and X. Qiu, *Biomater. Sci.*, 2023, **11**, 3227–3240.
- 184 M. P. Rayman, *Lancet*, 2000, **356**, 233–241.
- 185 D. X. Tan, L. C. Manchester, M. P. Terron, L. J. Flores and R. J. Reiter, *J. Pineal. Res.*, 2007, **42**, 28–42.
- 186 Y. X. Yang, M. S. Liu, X. J. Liu, Y. C. Zhang, Y. Y. Hu, R. S. Gao, E. K. Pang, L. Hou, J. C. Wang and W. Y. Fei, *Nanomedicine*, 2022, **17**, 1547–1565.
- 187 J. A. Boga, B. Caballero, Y. Potes, Z. Perez-Martinez, R. J. Reiter, I. Vega-Naredo and A. Coto-Montes, *J. Pineal. Res.*, 2019, **66**, e12534.
- 188 R. K. A. Sayed, M. Fernández-Ortiz, M. E. Diaz-Casado, I. Rusanova, I. Rahim, G. Escames, L. C. López, D. M. Mokhtar and D. Acuña-Castroviejo, *J. Gerontol., Ser. A*, 2018, **73**, 1330–1338.
- 189 M. Cerletti, S. Jurga, C. A. Witezak, M. F. Hirshman, J. L. Shadrach, L. J. Goodyear and A. J. Wagers, *Cell*, 2008, **134**, 37–47.
- 190 J. H. Oh, S. W. Chung, S. H. Kim, J. Y. Chung and J. Y. Kim, *J. Shoulder Elbow Surg*, 2014, **23**, 445–455.
- 191 H. Wu, R. Zhang, B. Hu, Y. He, Y. Zhang, L. Cai, L. Wang, G. Wang, H. Hou and X. Qiu, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **32**, 3940–3947.
- 192 Y. Shan, B. Tan, M. Zhang, X. Xie and J. Liao, *J. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2022, **20**, 238.
- 193 C. Charmeau-Genevois, S. Sarang, M. Perea, C. Eadsforth, T. Austin and P. Thomas, *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, 2021, **123**, 104922.
- 194 Q. Zhang, M. Murawsky, T. LaCount, G. B. Kasting and S. K. Li, *Toxicol. In Vitro*, 2018, **51**, 129–135.
- 195 H. X. Nguyen and C. N. Nguyen, *Pharmaceutics*, 2023, **15**, 277.
- 196 T. T. Nguyen and J. H. Park, *Expert Opin. Drug Delivery*, 2018, **15**, 235–245.
- 197 K. Tran, T. D. Gavitt, N. J. Farrell, E. J. Curry, A. B. Mara, A. Patel, L. Brown, S. Kilpatrick, R. Piotrowska and N. Mishra, *Nat. Biomed. Eng.*, 2021, **5**, 998–1007.
- 198 M. Olowe, S. K. Parupelli and S. Desai, *Pharmaceutics*, 2022, **14**, 2693.
- 199 K. J. Cha, T. Kim, S. J. Park and D. S. Kim, *J. Micromech. Microeng.*, 2014, **24**, 115015.
- 200 B. Z. Chen, M. C. He, X. P. Zhang, W. M. Fei, Y. Cui and X. D. Guo, *Drug Delivery Transl. Res.*, 2022, **12**, 2730–2739.
- 201 D. Zhi, T. Yang, J. O'Hagan, S. Zhang and R. F. Donnelly, *J. Controlled Release*, 2020, **325**, 52–71.
- 202 T. Han and D. B. Das, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2013, **102**, 3614–3622.
- 203 V. Sachdeva, Y. Zhou and A. K. Banga, *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.*, 2013, **14**, 180–193.
- 204 P. Liu, Y. Fu, F. Wei, T. Ma, J. Ren, Z. Xie, S. Wang, J. Zhu, L. Zhang, J. Tao and J. Zhu, *Adv. Sci.*, 2022, **9**, e2202591.
- 205 M. Zhang, B. Yang, X. Luan, L. Jiang, C. Lu, C. Wu, X. Pan and T. Peng, *Pharmaceutics*, 2023, **15**, 1059.
- 206 D. Chu, X. Dong, X. Shi, C. Zhang and Z. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, e1706245.
- 207 M. Zorko, S. Jones and Ü. Langel, *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.*, 2022, **180**, 114044.
- 208 M. Mukhtar, H. Ali, N. Ahmed, R. Munir, S. Talib, A. S. Khan and R. Ambrus, *Expert Opin. Drug Delivery*, 2020, **17**, 1239–1257.
- 209 E. Nogueira, A. C. Gomes, A. Preto and A. Cavaco-Paulo, *Nanomedicine*, 2016, **12**, 1113–1126.