

Dalton Transactions

An international journal of inorganic chemistry

rsc.li/dalton



ISSN 1477-9226



ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

Celebrating
IYPT 2019

FRONTIER

Andreas Orthaber *et al.*

Heavier pnictogens – treasures for optical electronic and reactivity tuning

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 4460Received 7th February 2019,
Accepted 19th February 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9dt00574a

rsc.li/dalton

Heavier pnictogens – treasures for optical electronic and reactivity tuning

Joshua P. Green, † Jordann A. L. Wells † and Andreas Orthaber *

We highlight recent advances in organopnictogen chemistry contrasting the properties of lighter and heavier pnictogens. Exploring new bonding situations, discovering unprecedented reactivities and producing fascinating opto-electronic materials are some of the most prominent directions of current organopnictogen research. Expanding the chemical toolbox towards the heavier group 15 elements will continue to create new opportunities to tailor molecular properties for small molecule activation/reactivity and materials applications alike. This frontier article illustrates the elemental substitution approach in selected literature examples.

Introduction

The discovery of phosphorus by Hennig Brand in 1669 pictured in the famous painting by Joseph Wright of Derby was the beginning of pnictogen (Pn) chemistry (Fig. 1). Discoveries that followed the incidental P₄ preparation included the development of salvarsan (**1**), 3-amino-4-hydroxyphenyl arsenic(i), the first drug for syphilis treatment (Fig. 1).¹ Originally proposed to feature an arsenic–arsenic double bond by Ehrlich in the beginning of the 20th century, it was later argued to have an oligo- or polymeric structure with a saturated (*cyclo*-)polyarsine core since such unsaturated motifs would require kinetic stabilisation. In 2005, Lloyd *et al.* ultimately resolved the debate concerning the composition of “compound 606” as a mixture of various small ring structures (R–As)_{*n*}, where *n* = three (**2**) and five (**3**) dominate the original composition (Fig. 1).² In the second half of the 20th century, fascinating examples of stabilised unsaturated low-valent and low-coordinate organopnictogens have been reported, challenging the empirical double bond rule. These include the first kinetically stabilised diphosphene (**4**) reported by Yoshifuji in 1981 (Fig. 1),³ the first complete series of homologous dipnictenes R–Pn=Pn–R (Pn = P, As, Sb, or Bi, where R is a *meta*-terphenyl substituent), and the thermodynamically (aromatically) stabilised phosphabenzene **5** (λ^3 -phosphinine).⁴ Early unsaturated pnictogen chemistry was dominated by the discovery of new bonding motifs and fundamental reactivity studies, but despite all these efforts, not all of the possible unsaturated pnictogen–heteroelement combinations have been syntheti-

cally realised and fundamental work has continued to fascinate researchers around the world. More recently, exciting news of arsenic thriving species of bacteria⁵ have then been disproven to incorporate arsenate.⁶ On the other hand, newly developed synthetic routes have made previously scarce or difficult to handle building blocks readily available, with broader applications of these systems now being studied.

Research into replacing group 14 and 16 elements such as C, O, or S with their heavier analogues in small molecules and polymeric materials for optoelectronics applications has been investigated for a number of years. For example, the elemental substitution of S by Se or Te in unsaturated five membered rings can lead to alterations in energy levels and band gaps, solid-state packing and phosphorescence, and improved




Fig. 1 Depiction of Hennig Brand's discovery of phosphorus. Painted in 1771 (redone in 1795) by Joseph Wright of Derby: “The Alchemist, in Search of the Philosopher's Stone, Discovers Phosphorus, and prays for the successful Conclusion of his operation, as was the custom of the Ancient Chymical Astrologers”. Originally proposed structure of salvarsan (compound 606) by Ehrlich,¹ and the revised cycloarsine structures.² First isolated diphosphene (**4**) by Yoshifuji and coworkers,³ and the first isolated phosphinine (**5**) by Märkl.⁴

Synthetic Molecular Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Ångström Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. E-mail: andreas.orthaber@kemi.uu.se

† These authors contributed equally.



singlet–triplet energy conversion, making them of interest for a number of applications including organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), organic photovoltaics (OPVs), organic field-effect transistors (OFETs),⁷ and sensors.⁸ Similarly, the recent expansion in the use of heavier pnictogens has clearly demonstrated their potential to tailor opto-electronic properties and reactivity.

Exploration of the diverse reactivity of elemental pnictogens and unsaturated organopnictogens

Exploring the reactivity and properties of simple models is key to expanding our knowledge and discovering new areas where pnictogen-containing systems could have applications. The following sections describe important advances in molecular pnictogen chemistry, with a particular focus on contrasting the reactivity arising from pnictogen substitution. Demonstrative examples are given from seminal work and more recent contributions within the field.

Heavier cyanates, ChCPn^-

The pnictaethynolates $\text{Na}(\text{OCP})$ and $\text{Na}(\text{OCAs})$ have been known for many years and recent publications outlining simplified syntheses for these reagents has resulted in a wealth of interesting chemistry, including pnictogen-containing small molecules,⁹ larger π -delocalised organic molecules,¹⁰ and metal complexes spanning the p-, d- and f-blocks.¹¹ While the chemistries of P and As are often assumed to be similar, the reactivity of pnictaethynolates has shown that subtle differences can influence reaction outcomes. This is well exemplified by a recent contribution from the groups of Meyer and Grützmacher, who investigated the reactivity of $\text{Na}(\text{OCPn})$ ($\text{Pn} = \text{P}$ and As) with a highly reducing uranium(III) complex (5).¹² When exposed to a single equivalent of pnictaethynolate, a new complex with a terminal cyanpnictide ($[\text{C}\equiv\text{Pn}]^-$) is obtained in both cases (Fig. 2, 6). On the other hand, gas evolution was observed upon reaction with two equivalents of $\text{Na}(\text{OCAs})$ and a new complex with a bridging diarsaallenediide ($[\text{As}=\text{C}=\text{As}]^{2-}$) ligand was obtained (Fig. 2, 7). Notably, the formation of the phosphorus analogue was not observed even with prolonged reaction times. This is likely due to the tendency of the OCAs ion to act as an As atom transfer reagent by decarbonylation.¹³ With two previously unseen arsenic-based ligands ($[\text{C}\equiv\text{As}]^-$ and $[\text{As}=\text{C}=\text{As}]^{2-}$), this contribution from Meyer,




Fig. 2 Reactivity of a uranium(III) complex with phosphaehtynolate and arsaethynolate.




Fig. 3 Electron localisation differences in $[\text{PnCCh}]^-$. Resonance structures with localised charges on the carbon atom and chalcogens are seen for the S/Se and oxygen containing derivatives, respectively.

Grützmacher and co-workers highlights the usefulness of the pnictaethynolates for discovering new pnictogen chemistry.

The Goicoechea group have reported the synthesis and characterisation of the heavier chalcogen analogues $\text{Na}(\text{PnCS})$ and $\text{Na}(\text{PnCSe})$ ($\text{Pn} = \text{P}$ or As), based on a modified procedure for $\text{Na}(\text{OCPn})$.¹⁴ These were found to have higher stability towards air and moisture due the greater degree of electronic delocalisation across the molecules (Fig. 3). Unlike the O-containing derivatives, the negative charge was found to reside primarily on the central carbon atom. An earlier study by Chen, Cummins, Borden and Wang found that the electron density in PCS was distributed over the P and S centres. Additionally, the negative ion photoelectron spectra of the OCP, OCAs and PCS radicals revealed that the electron affinities of these radicals are markedly lower than those of the NCO and NCS radicals, suggesting them to be softer pseudohalide ligands than their N-containing congeners.¹⁵ These significant differences between the oxygen and heavier chalcogen analogues will most likely lead to new and interesting reactivity/chemistry.

Pn_4 activation

The need for high value phosphorus-containing fertilisers from inexpensive sources means that research into the chemistry of P_4 has been incentivised in both academic and industrial circles. This has resulted in many examples of P_4 activation, using compounds from across the periodic table.¹⁶ The chemistry of As_4 , on the other hand, remains relatively unexplored. For main-group elements, there are a few reports of disilenes and silylenes reacting with As_4 which demonstrate differing reactivity when compared to P_4 .¹⁷ For instance, early work published by West and co-workers shows that reaction of tetramesityldisilene (8) with As_4 not only forms the butterfly complex (9) seen for P_4 activation but also an arrested dimeric species 10 which forms the butterfly complex 9 by thermal decomposition (Fig. 4).

The scarce reports of As_4 activation can be attributed to the challenges associated with its preparation and handling (high light- and air-sensitivity). These challenges have recently been addressed by the Scheer group, with a report detailing the preparation of activated carbon-supported As_4 and P_4 ($\text{As}_4@\text{C}$




Fig. 4 Reaction of a disilene with Pn_4 illustrating the different reactivities of phosphorus and arsenic.¹⁷



opportunities for catalysis or other applications, potentially mirroring the development of compounds such as phospholes, pioneered by the groups of Réau and Hissler as active materials for opto-electronic devices. Detailed reviews of their contributions and those of others in this area already exist,²⁷ while the purpose of this Frontier article is to focus on materials containing higher pnictogens.

While this Frontier article aims to highlight some of the recent progress in heavier organopnictogens and point out the differences within the pnictogen family, readers are also referred to some excellent recent reviews discussing the history of the topic: Gates and coworkers examined p-block elements in polymers,²⁸ Rivard and coworkers discussed heavy main group elements in π -conjugated systems,²⁹ and a third from the Orthaber group predominantly focused on P-containing materials for use in organic electronics.³⁰ Readers interested in a more in-depth review of the history of organoarsenic π -conjugated materials are also encouraged to read Imoto and Naka's thorough overview of the topic.³¹

As-Containing heterocycles

There have been a number of interesting developments in the area of small molecules containing heavy pnictogens in recent years. Both the Heeney and Orthaber groups have investigated the optical properties and aromaticities of arsenic-containing heterocycles. In the first paper on this subject, a dithiazoloarsole compound (Fig. 8, **19**) was prepared,³² and its crystal structure and optical properties were compared to those of its dithiazolophosphole analogue, previously prepared and studied by Baumgartner *et al.*³³ Only minor changes were observed in the optical properties on replacing P with As, with λ_{ab} and λ_{em} red-shifting and blue-shifting by 5 nm, respectively, although there was a noticeable decrease in the photoluminescent quantum yield (PLQY; 4% for As vs. 22% for P). Subsequent work by the Orthaber group investigated the impact of changing As from the +3 to +5 valence state by coordination to Pd on the optical and structural properties of dithienoarsole (Fig. 8, **20**). Coordination to Pd had a more subtle effect on the optical properties of the silylated arsole (**21**, R = TIPS) than was seen for the analogous phosphole, with λ_{ab} shifting by only 5 nm and emission still taking place on photoexcitation of the ligand absorption band rather than from the charge-transfer band, as was seen for the phosphole.³⁴ Interestingly, work by Naka and coworkers found that

coordination of the non-silylated dithienoarsole (R = H) to AuCl led to a significant red shift (93 nm) in the emission spectrum of **22**.³⁵ However, this was accompanied by a substantial decrease in PLQY (<1% compared to 7% for the uncoordinated material), whereas for the analogous phosphole material the PLQY increased from 49% to 64% on coordination to AuCl.³⁶

In another unexpected result, it was found that altering the halide co-ligands in *trans*-[PtX₂L₂] complexes **23** led to significant alterations in both the geometry of the resulting crystals and the emissive properties of the complexes, with red shifts of up to 20 nm and 50-fold increases in PLQY being observed when replacing Cl with I.³⁷ Finally, work by Naka *et al.* in 2018 showed that the central arsole rings in heteropentacenes **24–26** (Fig. 8) showed weak anti-aromaticity as determined by NICS, which was attributed to the further expansion of the conjugated systems. Additionally, it was found that altering the flanking bridging elements could change the packing structure and hence the solid state optical properties and charge carrier mobility, with As–S interactions in **26** leading to enhanced charge carrier mobility but quenching of emission in the solid state.³⁸ Overall, the variation in optical properties obtainable by making minor modifications shows the diverse characteristics available when using arsole-containing moieties, and demonstrate that there is still much we do not understand about the optoelectronics of arsenic-containing heterocycles; hopefully this will stimulate further study in the future.

Sb- and Bi-containing heterocycles

Both λ^5 and λ^3 stibaindoles (Fig. 9, **27/28** and **29**, respectively) have been investigated by Gabbaï *et al.* as potential sensing materials capable of binding anions at their Sb sites. The pentacoordinated derivatives **27** and **28** showed strong $\sigma^*-\pi^*$ conjugation, and were capable of binding to fluoride ions *via* the σ^* orbital of the Ph–Sb bond due to the Lewis acidity of the Sb atom. Coordination of F[−] caused significant changes to the absorption spectra of **27** and **28**, as this prevented the $\sigma^*-\pi^*$ conjugation from taking place; the group subsequently tested **27** as an anion sensor for fluoride in aqueous solutions, achieving promising results.³⁹ Compound **29** also showed strong $\sigma^*-\pi^*$ conjugation, which again led to Lewis acidic behaviour at the Sb atom. Chloride anions were found to bind to Sb opposite the existing Cl, with the Cl–Sb–Cl angle being almost 180° (Fig. 9, **30**).⁴⁰

This behaviour is highly similar to the pnictogen bonding recently described by Matile and coworkers, who found that tri




Fig. 8 Structures of arsole-containing systems.




Fig. 9 Structures of stibole-containing systems.



new optical, electronic and bonding phenomena has stimulated a wealth of research over the past two decades, the history of which is summarised in reviews of main-group elements in polymeric systems by Jäkle and Vidal and small molecules by Quin.⁵⁰ Additionally, the continuing fundamental research into new reagents and the reactivity and properties of model systems provides a thriving environment to develop new motifs and discover new applications for heavier pnictogens. This context will allow this newly regenerated field to move in novel and unexpected directions while building on the knowledge of the past.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the EU-COST action on Smart Inorganic Polymers (CM1302, SIPs), the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet), the Swedish Energy Agency (Energimyndigheten), the Wenner Gren Foundation, Carl-Trygger foundation, Byggmästare-Olle-Engkvist Foundation.

Notes and references

- P. Ehrlich and A. Berthelm, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1912, **45**, 756–766.
- N. C. Lloyd, H. W. Morgan, B. K. Nicholson and R. S. Ronimus, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 941–944.
- M. Yoshifuji, I. Shima, N. Inamoto, K. Hirotsu and T. Higuchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 4587–4589.
- G. Märkl, *Angew. Chem.*, 1966, **78**, 907–908.
- F. Wolfe-Simon, J. S. Blum, T. R. Kulp, G. W. Gordon, S. E. Hoefft, J. Pett-Ridge, J. F. Stolz, S. M. Webb, P. K. Weber, P. C. W. Davies, A. D. Anbar and R. S. Oremland, *Science*, 2011, **332**, 1163–1166.
- M. L. Reaves, S. Sinha, J. D. Rabinowitz, L. Kruglyak and R. J. Redfield, *Science*, 2012, **337**, 470–473.
- (a) B. D. Datko, A. K. Thomas, Z. Fei, M. Heeney and J. K. Grey, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 28239–28248; (b) J. Mei, N. L. C. Leung, R. T. K. Kwok, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 11718–11940; (c) S. M. Parke and E. Rivard, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 2018, **58**, 915–926.
- X. He and T. Baumgartner, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 11334–11350.
- (a) A. R. Jupp and J. M. Goicoechea, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 19131–19134; (b) K. M. Szkop, A. R. Jupp and D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 12751–12755.
- Y. Mei, D.-J. Wu, J. E. Borger and H. Grützmacher, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 5512–5515.
- J. M. Goicoechea and H. Grützmacher, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 16968–16994.
- (a) C. J. Hoerger, F. W. Heinemann, E. Louyriac, L. Maron, H. Grützmacher and K. Meyer, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 4351–4354; (b) C. J. Hoerger, F. W. Heinemann, E. Louyriac, M. Rigo, L. Maron, H. Grützmacher, M. Driess and K. Meyer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 1679–1683.
- A. Hinz and J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 8536–8541.
- F. Tambornino, A. Hinz, R. Köppe and J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 8230–8234.
- G.-L. Hou, B. Chen, W. J. Transue, Z. Yang, H. Grützmacher, M. Driess, C. C. Cummins, W. T. Borden and X.-B. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 8922–8930.
- (a) M. Scheer, G. Balázs and A. Seitz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4236–4256; (b) M. Caporali, L. Gonsalvi, A. Rossin and M. Peruzzini, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4178–4235; (c) B. M. Cossairt, N. A. Piro and C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4164–4177; (d) Z. R. Turner, *Inorganics*, 2015, **3**, 597.
- (a) R. P. Tan, N. M. Comerlato, D. R. Powell and R. West, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1992, **31**, 1217–1218; (b) A. E. Seitz, M. Eckhardt, S. S. Sen, A. Erlebach, E. V. Peresypkina, H. W. Roesky, M. Sierka and M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 6655–6659.
- A. E. Seitz, F. Hippauf, W. Kremer, S. Kaskel and M. Scheer, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 361.
- E. Niecke, A. Fuchs, F. Baumeister, M. Nieger and W. W. Schoeller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 555–557.
- D. Scheschke, H. Amii, H. Gornitzka, W. W. Schoeller, D. Bourissou and G. Bertrand, *Science*, 2002, **295**, 1880–1881.
- A. Hinz, A. Schulz and A. Villinger, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 3692–3699.
- A. Hinz, A. Schulz and A. Villinger, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 668–672.
- (a) B. A. Chalmers, M. Bühl, K. S. Athukorala Arachchige, A. M. Z. Slawin and P. Kilian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 6247–6250; (b) B. A. Surgenor, M. Bühl, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. D. Woollins and P. Kilian, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 10150–10153.
- (a) M. Y. Abraham, Y. Wang, Y. Xie, R. J. Gilliard, P. Wei, B. J. Vaccaro, M. K. Johnson, H. F. Schaefer, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 2486–2488; (b) Y. Wang, Y. Xie, P. Wei, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 14970–14971.
- (a) P. Šimon, F. de Proft, R. Jambor, A. Růžička and L. Dostál, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 5468–5471; (b) J. Hyvl, W. Y. Yoshida, A. L. Rheingold, R. P. Hughes and M. F. Cain, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 17562–17565; (c) V. Kremláček, J. Hyvl, W. Y. Yoshida, A. Růžička, A. L. Rheingold, J. Turek, R. P. Hughes, L. Dostál and M. F. Cain, *Organometallics*, 2018, **37**, 2481–2490.
- T. Baumgartner, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 613–1622.
- M. P. Duffy, W. Delaunay, P. A. Bouit and M. Hissler, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 5296–5310.



- 28 A. M. Priegert, B. W. Rawe, S. C. Serin and D. P. Gates, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 922–953.
- 29 S. M. Parke, M. P. Boone and E. Rivard, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 9485–9505.
- 30 M. A. Shameem and A. Orthaber, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 10718–10735.
- 31 H. Imoto and K. Naka, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 1883–1894.
- 32 J. P. Green, S. J. Cryer, J. Marafie, A. J. P. White and M. Heeney, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 2632–2636.
- 33 X. He, A. Y. Y. Woo, J. Borau-Garcia and T. Baumgartner, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 7620–7630.
- 34 J. P. Green, A. K. Gupta and A. Orthaber, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1002/ejic.201801169.
- 35 H. Imoto, I. Kawashima, C. Yamazawa, S. Tanaka and K. Naka, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 6697–6703.
- 36 Y. Dienes, S. Durben, T. Kárpáti, T. Neumann, U. Englert, L. Nyulászi and T. Baumgartner, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 7487–7500.
- 37 H. Imoto, H. Sasaki, S. Tanaka, T. Yumura and K. Naka, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 2605–2611.
- 38 H. Imoto, T. Fujii, S. Tanaka, S. Yamamoto, M. Mitsuishi, T. Yumura and K. Naka, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 5952–5955.
- 39 A. M. Christianson, E. Rivard and F. P. Gabbaï, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 2670–2676.
- 40 A. M. Christianson and F. P. Gabbaï, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 3013–3015.
- 41 S. Benz, A. I. Poblador-Bahamonde, N. Low-Ders and S. Matile, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 5408–5412.
- 42 S. M. Parke, M. A. B. Narreto, E. Hupf, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, F. A. Hegmann and E. Rivard, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 7536–7549.
- 43 S. M. Parke, E. Hupf, G. K. Matharu, I. de Aguiar, L. Xu, H. Yu, M. P. Boone, G. L. C. de Souza, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, G. He, A. Brown and E. Rivard, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 14841–14846.
- 44 G. Tan, S. Li, S. Chen, Y. Sui, Y. Zhao and X. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 6735–6738.
- 45 Y. Fang, L. Zhang, C. Cheng, Y. Zhao, M. Abe, G. Tan and X. Wang, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 3156–3160.
- 46 (a) H. Miyake, T. Sasamori, J. I. C. Wu, P. v. R. Schleyer and N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 11440–11442; (b) H. Miyake, T. Sasamori and N. Tokitoh, *Angew. Chem.*, 2012, **124**, 3514–3517.
- 47 X. Pan, X. Wang, Z. Zhang and X. Wang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 15099–15102.
- 48 D. Morales Salazar, E. Mijangos, S. Pullen, M. Gao and A. Orthaber, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 1120–1123.
- 49 A. El Nahhas, M. A. Shameem, P. Chabera, J. Uhlig and A. Orthaber, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 5673–5677.
- 50 (a) F. Jäkle and F. Vidal, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201810611; (b) L. D. Quin, *A guide to organophosphorus chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 2000.

