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#### Abstract

New self-supported polyamine $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorbents are prepared by cross-linking branched polyethyleneimine (PEI) with 2,4,6-tris-(4-bromomethyl-3-fluoro-phenyl)-1,3,5-triazine (4BMFPT). Controlling the degree of cross-linking to ensure abundant free amine functionalities while maintaining a structure conducive to efficient mass transfer is key to accessing high $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption and fast kinetics at ambient temperature. The polyamine-based adsorbent, PEI-4BMFPT, $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$, is composed of spherical particles up to $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter and demonstrates fast $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake of 2.31 mmol g -1 under $1 \mathrm{~atm}, 90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Ar}$ at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Its $\mathrm{CO}_{2} /$ $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ selectivity, predicted by the ideal adsorbed solution theory is 575 , equalling that of highly selective metal-organic frameworks. Based on humidified thermogravimetric analysis, it was observed that the presence of water promotes $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake capacity of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ to $3.27 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ and results in strong chemisorption; likely by formation of ammonium carbonate and bicarbonate species. It is observed that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake enhancement is highly subject to relative humidity and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ partial pressure conditions. When adsorption conditions combined low temperatures with low partial pressure $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ showed reduced uptake. Tested under breakthrough conditions representative of post-combustion conditions, at $75 \% \mathrm{RH}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake was reduced by $83 \%$ of the dry adsorption capacity. This body of work further advances the development of support-free $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorbents for ambient temperature applications and highlights the drastic effect that relative humidity and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ partial pressure have on uptake behaviour.
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## Introduction

It is scientifically acknowledged that atmospheric carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ levels must be reduced in order to lessen the worst effects of climate change. ${ }^{1}$ Such is the quantity of this excess $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, that large-scale industrial carbon capture technologies are an essential part of our toolkit; ${ }^{2}$ however, to meet this challenge, our current $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture materials need to be significantly advanced beyond the liquid amine solutions that are currently used in the purification of natural gas. ${ }^{3,4}$ There is a wide consensus that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture technologies could be used to adsorb $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from flue gas, either from power stations or factories, ${ }^{5-8}$ but to reduce the absolute concentration of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in our atmosphere, negative emission technologies (NETs) which result in an overall net reduction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions, will also likely become a necessity. ${ }^{9}$ NETs include changing land management to increase the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up by soils, afforestation, reforestation, enhanced weathering, bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECCS) and direct air capture (DAC) of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{9,10}$ Of these, DAC has certain advantages in terms of its potential for developing to the
gigatonne scale: it does not require arable land which could put pressure on food production and natural habitats, it has the smallest physical footprint and it is highly flexible in where it can be deployed. ${ }^{11}$ Therefore, there is much interest in DAC technologies and it is the focus of much research carried out today. ${ }^{12,13}$

The separation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from the air is not facile, however, since the concentration of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is around 400 ppm , compared with a concentration of up to $14 \mathrm{vol} \%$ for flue gas from a coal-fired power station. ${ }^{14}$ Therefore, a strong enthalpic drive and high $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ selectivity over other gases $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ are fundamental for an effective adsorbent for DAC. Although aqueous amine absorbents have many drawbacks such as their regeneration costs ${ }^{15}$ and corrosive nature, ${ }^{16}$ one advantage is that due to the relatively strong and selective chemical bond formed on reaction with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, they can achieve their maximum uptake capacities at low $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ partial pressures. ${ }^{17}$ Amine-based solid chemisorbents, which combine the advantages of amine chemistry with the easier regenerability of solid sorbents, have emerged among the most promising routes to designing an effective adsorbent for DAC. To this end, they are being employed commercially by several companies including Global Thermostat based in the US, ${ }^{18}$ Skytree based in the Netherlands, ${ }^{19}$ and Swiss-based Climeworks, which uses aminosilane-grafted nanofibrillated cellulose. ${ }^{20}$

Solid amine adsorbents are very often composed of long-chain amines or polyamines deposited onto high surface area materials such as silica or alumina. ${ }^{21,22}$ Both migration of the amine within the structure of the support and high loadings often lead to slower or reduced uptake, ${ }^{23,24}$ and reduced amine efficiency, ${ }^{25}$ (where amine efficiency is the number of moles of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorbed per mole of amines). This is due to the saturation of pores, creating mass transfer limitations and reducing contact between $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and the adsorbent. ${ }^{25}$ Such diffusion resistance can often be overcome and higher adsorption may be achieved either under humid conditions, ${ }^{26,27}$ or at elevated temperatures above $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{23,24}$ under which, the intermolecular interactions between polyamine chains may become weakened, chain mobility increases and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion is more efficient. Although viable under postcombustion adsorption systems, higher temperatures are not applicable under DAC conditions and high water adsorption cannot necessarily be relied upon due to its contribution to increased sensible heat required for desorption. ${ }^{28}$ Rather, features intrinsic to the adsorbent such as porosity, surface area and the nature of the interaction with the adsorbate must enable effective $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake at low temperatures.

In terms of maximising low temperature adsorption, porous solid sorbents, such as zeolites, activated carbons, and metalorganic frameworks (MOFs), have certain advantages. ${ }^{29}$ Unlike chemisorbents, they form weaker non-covalent interactions with the adsorbate, and have lower heats of adsorption $\left(Q_{\mathrm{st}}\right)$ from 25 to around $50 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, while the $Q_{\mathrm{st}}$ for chemisorption can be over $90 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. ${ }^{2,30}$ Adsorption is exothermic, therefore inversely proportional to temperature, however, where low adsorption temperatures may hinder $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake for aminebased chemisorbents due to poor diffusion, high surface area physisorbents may excel. ${ }^{31}$ The different environmental


Fig. 1 Depiction of physisorption and chemisorption with likely $Q_{s t}$ values and physical conditions under which each may be preferred for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture. (Spheres represent atoms: grey, generic adsorbent; black, carbon; red, oxygen; blue, nitrogen; white, hydrogen.)
conditions under which chemisorption and physisorption may each be more efficiently deployed is depicted in Fig. 1. An advantage of a physisorbent with weakly adsorbed $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ may be that it can be more easily regenerated than a chemisorbent. ${ }^{29}$ Accordingly, an ideal DAC $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorbent could combine the high selectivity of a chemisorbent, with the lower $Q_{\mathrm{st}}$ of a physisorbent to enable efficient low temperature uptake of pure $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

Promising candidates to simultaneously access these adsorption phenomena are solid cross-linked polyaminebased materials. Supported cross-linked polyamine $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorbents have been reported. Polyethyleneimine (PEI) has been cross-linked with an epoxy resin and coated on a glass fibre matrix; ${ }^{32}$ or crosslinked with glyoxal, oxalic acid, 1,3-butadiene diepoxide and epichlorohydrin, and supported on a mesostructured cellular silica foam. ${ }^{33,34}$ A recent study demonstrated the formation of a new polyamine via the cross-linking of 1,3,5-tris(bromomethyl)benzene with ethylene diamine, which was then impregnated onto mesoporous silica SBA-15. Under air capture conditions, the material of $60 \mathrm{wt} \%$ organic loading adsorbed up to $1.9 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{CO} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, equating to $0.75 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ adsorbent. ${ }^{35}$

In their unsupported form, cross-linked polyamine adsorbents constitute a relatively new family of solid amine adsorbents, which has been significantly advanced in recent years by several groups, including ours. ${ }^{36,37}$ One particular advantage of these versatile materials over their supported polyamine counterparts is in their economy of mass: they are composed purely of amine-containing polymers that are crosslinked into solid structures. This negates the need for a support, enabling them to be lighter and potentially more easily regenerated and processed. ${ }^{37}$

Such adsorbents have been synthesised by Andreoli et al. using $\mathrm{C}_{60},{ }^{38-41}$ and carbon nanotubes, ${ }^{42}$ to cross-link PEI or polypropylenimine. PEI ( $M_{\mathrm{w}} 25000 \mathrm{Da}$ ) cross-linked with $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ showed excellent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption of $0.140 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}(3.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 0.1 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, with high selectivity for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ over $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2} .{ }^{43}$ We recently swapped $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ for the epoxy resin bisphenol A diglycidyl ether as a more economical cross-linker to give an adsorbent with a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake capacity of $0.101 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$
( $2.30 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ ) at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 0.1 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2} .{ }^{36}$ Hwang et al. have reacted PEI ( $M_{\mathrm{w}} 25000 \mathrm{Da}$ ) with glutaraldehyde via an inverse emulsion technique. ${ }^{44}$ The adsorbent cPEI-GA36 had a surface area of $10 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ and displayed the fastest adsorption and highest $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capacity of $2.18 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$. Thompson et al. used polyaldehyde phosphorus dendrimers to cross-link PEI $\left(M_{\mathrm{w}} 600\right) .{ }^{45}$ At $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under $1 \mathrm{~atm} 30 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / 60 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{He}$, PEI cross-linked with hexakis(4-formylphenoxy)cyclo(triphosphazene) (1-G0/600PEI) adsorbed $13.6 \mathrm{wt} \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2},\left(3.32 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}\right)$, reducing to $4.1 \mathrm{wt} \%\left(0.93 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hydrogel beads have been synthesised by Xu et al. by crosslinking PEI ( $M_{\mathrm{w}} 25000 \mathrm{Da}$ ) with epichlorohydrin. ${ }^{46}$ Although $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake of the dry adsorbent was minimal, adsorption by hydrated PEI HB- $4.0 \%$ EPC (under $15 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ at ambient temperature) was $0.0602 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\left(1.37 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$.

As indicated in Table 1, these adsorbents are mostly prepared with a higher molar ratio of amine to cross-linking reactive site, therefore having plentiful free primary and secondary amines remaining within PEI for the chemisorption of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. They are most effective at elevated temperatures, or under hydrated conditions, due to the high flexibility and self-affinity of the cross-linked polymeric networks, resulting in insufficient gas diffusion at lower adsorption temperatures. This effect has been demonstrated by Yoo et al. who synthesised macroporous adsorbents from PEI ( $M_{\mathrm{w}} 750000 \mathrm{Da}$ ) cross-linked with poly(ethylene glycol) diglycidyl ether via an ice-templating method. ${ }^{37}$ The optimum temperature of adsorption was dependent on the ratio of cross-linker used during preparation. Under $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{He}$, 1 atm , the adsorbent with the lowest cross-linker volume (E50FZ ) adsorbed the least $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but the highest at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at $3.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. Meanwhile, the adsorbent with the highest cross-linker volume (E200-FZ) adsorbed $2.01 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{CO} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but less than $0.6 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The latter adsorbent had a smaller average pore size of $13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a larger surface area. In this case, more of the primary and secondary amines are involved in cross-linking, resulting in reduced basicity such that chemisorption is impaired, however this is over-compensated by the increased surface area and better diffusion enabling improved uptake at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 1 Selected cross-linked polyamine adsorbents, detailing (poly)amine used, chemical group of cross-linker reactive site (CLRS), and number of amine groups per CLRS used during synthesis

|  |  |  | No. amines <br> Adsorbent | (Poly)amine |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | CLRS $\quad$ per CLRS | Ref. |
| :--- |
| PEI-C 60 |

Wang et al. developed porous polyamine particles synthesised via the precipitation polymerization of the monomer $N$-methyl $-N$ vinylformamide (MVF) and the cross-linker di[2-( $N$-vinylformamido)ethyl] (DVFE). In a similar trend as for E200-FZ, the resulting adsorbent - which had a surface area of $246 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ reached its optimum $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capacity of $2.30 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in $1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Adsorption at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in reduced uptake at all $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ partial pressures to $1 \mathrm{~atm} .{ }^{47}$ Huang et al. reported nanoporous crosslinked divinylbenzene-maleic anhydride copolymers functionalised with covalently bonded 'bridging' amines which exhibited an improved adsorption performance with lower temperature. ${ }^{48}$ The diethylenetriamine (DETA) grafted-copolymer, HCP-D, displayed both micro- and meso-porosity and a surface area of $343 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. Its uptake under pure $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at 1 bar was $1.30 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increasing to $1.53 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sun and co-workers also utilised small amine molecules, producing polyamine adsorbents from cross-linking diamines with 2,4,6-tris(chloromethyl)mesitylene (TCM). NUT-1, synthesised from ethylene diamine (ED), adsorbed $1.43 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, raising to $1.87 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under 1 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \cdot{ }^{49}$ From the same group, Mane et al. also observed $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption increase inversely with temperature, in the performance of NUT-11, again synthesised using TCM, except this time to cross-link PEI ( $M_{\mathrm{w}} 1800$ ). ${ }^{50}$ This microporous adsorbent had a surface area of $598 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. Its $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was $2.23 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$, and $4.52 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Its $Q_{\text {st }}$ value was between $46-49 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, more strongly indicative of physisorption rather than chemisorption - or it may be accessing both phenomena.

As shown in Table 1, E200-FZ, HCP-D, NUT-1 and NUT-11 were prepared using a more equal mol ratio of amine to crosslinker reactive site. Although more amine groups are therefore involved in cross-linking, reducing - or eliminating - the number of primary amines, the effect that the higher crosslinking density imparts on the structure, such as increased surface area, promotes higher $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake at lower temperatures. As in the case of HCP-D and NUT-1, a greater amount of crosslinker is indeed necessary when using small amine molecules to incorporate sufficient amine content. However, in addition to cross-linking density, the amine component can significantly affect adsorption behaviour. In a separate study, Thompson exchanged PEI for tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA) in their polyaldehyde phosphorus dendrimer cross-linked amines to give 1-G0-TEPA, using a similar amine:aldehyde ratio. ${ }^{51}$ Under the same conditions of adsorption tested for 1-G0/600PEI, at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, maximum $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake capacity increased to $10.7 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ( $2.43 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ).

The cross-linker structure itself is a crucial factor in imparting permanent porosity. The characteristic rigidity of conjugated $\pi$-systems is particularly attractive for this purpose. ${ }^{52} \mathrm{~A}$ series of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-sorbent, highly microporous organic polymers with surface areas of up to $809 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ were reported by Liebl and Senker utilising the rigid and highly conjugated $2,4,6$-tris(4-aminophenyl)-1,3,5-triazine and dianhydrides as building blocks. ${ }^{53}$

In our present work, we develop a new set of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorbents, utilising the conjugated $\pi$-system of a triazine-based cross-linker
with PEI ( $M_{\mathrm{w}} 25000$ ) to direct the formation of a rigid polymeric network and to access higher surface areas than achieved using an epoxy resin cross-linker. The bulky cross-linker acts as a spacer unit between polyamine chains, reducing intramolecular interactions and enabling good diffusion at ambient temperature. Through varying the ratios of amine to triazine units, the optimum cross-linking is achieved to enable low temperature adsorption and a highly $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-selective adsorbent is produced, with an uptake capacity of $2.31 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under $1 \mathrm{~atm}, 90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Ar}$. This demonstrates the potential of these materials to access low temperature adsorption applications. Finally, the material's behaviour under simulated postcombustion capture conditions is investigated.

## Experimental

## Chemicals

All starting material chemicals and solvents were used without further purification. THF ( $\geq 99.9 \%$ ), acetone ( $\geq 99.5 \%$ ), ethanol ( $\geq 99.8 \%$ ), ammonium hydroxide solution (28.0-30.0\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ basis), and branched polyethyleneimine (PEI, average $M_{\mathrm{w}}=$ 25000 Da ), were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. 4-Cyano-2-fluoro-benzyl bromide ( $98 \%, 214.037 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) and trifluoromethanesulphonic acid $\left(99 \%, 150.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ were purchased from Fluorochem. Potassium hydroxide pellets (85\%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Deionised water with $15 \mathrm{M} \Omega$ resistance from Merck Elix type 2 water purification system was used. Pureshield argon (99.9998\%) and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ( $99.8 \%$ ) were supplied by BOC while $14.10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}( \pm 2 \%)$ and 401.2 ppm $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}( \pm 2 \%)$ were supplied by Air Liquide.

## Materials synthesis

Preparation of the cross-linkers. 2,4,6-Tris-[4-(bromomethyl3 -fluoro)-phenyl]-1,3,5,-triazine (4BMFPT) was prepared by adding 10 g of 4-(bromomethyl)-3-fluorobenzonitrile to a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a stirrer bar and flushing with nitrogen. The flask was immersed in an ice bath and 10 mL of trifluoromethanesulphonic acid was added dropwise and the reaction stirred overnight. The resulting viscous, deep yellow solution was then poured out onto crushed ice, turning into a white solid, and the excess acid in the slurry was neutralised with concentrated ammonium hydroxide. The white solid was vacuum filtered and washed with approx. 100 mL deionised water and approx. 100 mL of ethanol before being transferred to a Petri dish and dried in the oven at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight.

4BMFPT: 9.516 g of product were recovered (yield: 95.2\%). Analysis found (calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{3}$ ): C, 44.13 (44.89); H, 2.59 (2.36); N, 6.45 (6.54).

Preparation of cross-linked PEI sorbents. Sorbents were named according to the ratios of amine: alkyl bromide groups in the starting materials. Sorbents were either prepared in a 40 mL vial, indicated by (V), or a 250 mL round-bottom flask (R).
$1: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 3: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 5: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 10: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ were prepared in a 40 mL vial by adding a solution of PEI ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$; $91 \mathrm{mg}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol} ; 140 \mathrm{mg}, 3.25 \mathrm{mmol} ; 301 \mathrm{mg}, 6.99 \mathrm{mmol}$;

731 mg , 16.97 mmol , respectively) dissolved in 6 mL THF at $82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to a solution of 4BMFPT ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.234 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 29 mL THF at $82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PEI was washed from the vial with an additional volume of THF ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} ; 3 \mathrm{~mL} ; 3 \mathrm{~mL} ; 6 \mathrm{~mL} ; 6 \mathrm{~mL}$, respectively) on combination of the reagents. The reaction mixtures were stirred at $82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three nights, then the obtained solid products were separated from the solvent by centrifugation. Approx. 200 mL acetone was added to the products and the mixtures were stirred for 3 days then centrifuged to remove acetone. The products were stirred overnight in a solution of approximately 66 mg of $\mathrm{KOH}(1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 106 mL of a $50: 50$ mixture of ethanol and water to remove the HBr formed during the reaction. The products were then washed in 106 mL of a 50:50 mixture of ethanol and water for 2.5 hours then this step was repeated. The products were stirred in approx. 112 mL ethanol for three nights, then centrifuged and dried in an oven overnight at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The products were gently ground in a mortar prior to analysis. Yields: $1: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 8.0 \mathrm{mg} ; 3: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 109.9 \mathrm{mg}$; $5: 1$ (V), $123.1 \mathrm{mg} ; 10: 1$ (V), $326.7 \mathrm{mg} ; 25: 1$ (V), 544.8 mg .
$10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ was prepared in a 250 mL round-bottom flask by adding a solution of PEI ( $317 \mathrm{mg}, 7.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 5 mL THF at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of 4BMFPT ( $162 \mathrm{mg}, 0.252 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 16 mL THF at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred at $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, then the obtained solid product was separated from the solvent by centrifugation. Approx. 50 mL acetone was added to the product and the mixture stirred for approx. 1 hour, then centrifuged to remove the acetone. The product was stirred overnight in a solution of approximately 65 mg of KOH ( 1.18 mmol ) dissolved in 100 mL of a $50: 50$ mixture of ethanol and water to remove the HBr formed during the reaction. The product was then washed in 100 mL of a $50: 50$ mixture of ethanol and water for 3 hours then this step was repeated. The product was stirred in approx. 75 mL ethanol overnight, centrifuged, and then dried in an oven for 3 nights at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product was gently ground in a mortar prior to analysis. 334.5 mg of product was obtained.

## Materials characterisation

Elemental analysis data were collected on an Elementar vario MICRO cube equipped with a ceramic ash crucible in the combustion tube. $2-5 \mathrm{mg}$ of sample was weighed into tin boats for analysis. A Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 FT-IR Spectrometer was used to collect the attenuated total reflectance infrared spectra of all samples. Spectra were recorded in the $650-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region with 32 scans. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ sorption isotherms at 77 K were measured with a Quantachrome Nova 2000e analyser. The samples (between $80-240 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were activated for a minimum of two hours under dynamic vacuum at $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to analysis. BET surface areas were calculated in the $0.1-0.2 P / P_{0}$ range as detailed in Table S1 (ESI $\dagger$ ). Thermal decomposition analysis was conducted on a TA Instruments SDT Q600 thermogravimetric analysis/differential scanning calorimeter (TGA/ DSC). Between $5-15 \mathrm{mg}$ of sample was placed in an open alumina crucible and the sample was heated until weight loss stabilised at a rate of $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$ under a flow of $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ dry argon (Ar) which was filtered through a PerkinElmer Ultra

Clean Moisture Filter. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were collected using a ZEISS EVO LS25 SEM using an EHT of 10 or 15 kV with a probe current of 200 or 250 pA . Prior to SEM analysis samples were sputter coated with 10.3 nm of platinum to prevent charging. Solid-state NMR measurements were carried out at ambient temperature on a Bruker Avance III 400 MHz wide-bore spectrometer equipped with a 3.2 mm triple resonance probehead tuned to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$. The magic angle spinning (MAS) rate was set at 12300 (PEI-4BMFPT) and 15000 Hz (4BMFPT) to avoid placing MAS sidebands over bona fide amine signals. Cross polarization (CP) experiments were used to improve sensitivity. For all samples, a recycle delay of 4 s was chosen based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ relaxation behaviour, $\mathrm{a}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ excitation pulse of 80 kHz was used, followed by a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-13} \mathrm{C}$ CP contact time of 2.5 ms . The PEI-4BMFPT sample was signal-averaged for 17 hours, while the 4BMFPT sample was signal-averaged for 5.8 hours.

## $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption analyses

All gravimetric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption measurements were recorded using a TA Instruments SDT Q600 (TGA/DSC) at 1 atm throughout. Between $5-15 \mathrm{mg}$ of sample was placed in an open alumina crucible. Prior to purging the sample, dry Ar was filtered through a PerkinElmer Ultra Clean Moisture Filter. Isothermal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture tests under $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ were carried out in the following sequence: (i) activation to remove the preadsorbed species at $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar flow of $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ for 3 hours; (ii) reduction of the temperature to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (iii) once stabilised at temperature, reducing the Ar flow to $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and introduction of dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $80 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and maintaining at temperature for 2 h , (iv) increase of Ar flow back to $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ and increase of temperature to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and remaining isothermal for 2 h , (v) reduction of temperature to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (vi) once stabilised at temperature, reducing the Ar flow to $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and introduction of dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $80 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and maintaining at temperature for 2 h , (vii) increase of Ar flow back to $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ and increase of temperature to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and remaining isothermal for 2 h , (viii) reduction of temperature to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (ix) once stabilised at temperature, reducing the Ar flow to $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and introduction of dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $80 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and maintaining at temperature for 2 hours.

Cyclic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption-desorption experiments were carried out under pure, dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the following sequence: (i) activation to remove the preadsorbed species at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar flow of $100 \mathrm{~mL} \min ^{-1}$ for 6 hours; (ii) reduction of the temperature to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (iii) stabilised at temperature for 1 hour; (iv) switch gas to dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ flow at $95 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and maintaining at temperature for $1 \mathrm{~h},(\mathrm{v})$ switch gas to Ar flow at $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and increase of temperature to $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and remaining isothermal for 30 minutes. Then steps ii to v are repeated for 25 adsorption-desorption cycles.

Isothermal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture tests under dilute $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (either $10 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ or $400 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) were carried out in the following sequence: (i) activation to remove the preadsorbed species at $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar flow of $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ for 3 h , (ii) reduction of the temperature to $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (iii) once stabilised at temperature, switching gas to dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ at a flow rate of $95 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and maintaining at temperature for 3 h , (iv) switching gas to Ar flow
of $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ and increase of temperature to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and remaining isothermal for 2 h , (v) reduction of temperature to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (vi) once stabilised at temperature, switching gas to dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ at a flow rate of $95 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ and maintaining at temperature for 3 h , (vii) switching gas to Ar flow of $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ and increase of temperature to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and remaining isothermal for 2 h , (viii) reduction of temperature to $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (xi) once stabilised at temperature, switching gas to dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ at a flow rate of $95 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ and maintaining at temperature for 3 hours.

Prehydration experiments: water uptake and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption experiments were carried out in the following sequence: (i) activation to remove the preadsorbed species at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ Ar flow for 2 hours, (ii) the temperature was reduced and stabilised to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (iii) the sorbent was equilibrated to a constant weight under a flow of $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ dry Ar with $80 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ Ar humidified using a water-filled bubbler (humidity calculated as $21.4 \%$ relative humidity (RH)), ${ }^{26}$ (iv) the humidified Ar was switched for humidified $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ of flow rate $80 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and flow maintained for 4 h ; (v) the material was subsequently desorbed under a flow of dry Ar at $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ for 6 h , (vi) desorption continued as the temperature was ramped to $155{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Volumetric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption isotherms were collected at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a Quantachrome iSorb HP1 High Pressure Gas Sorption Analyser. For each low-pressure adsorption isotherm, up to 21 data points were collected from 0.01-1.05 bar. For each data point, 5 equilibrium points were measured with a 30 -second interval. Prior to collection of the first isotherm, the sample ( $0.12-0.30 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was activated under dynamic vacuum at ambient temperature for one hour, then degassed at $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for four hours in an insulated thermal heat jacket, using a heating ramp rate of $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. Prior to collection of subsequent isotherms, the sample was degassed for 1 hour at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell void volume was calibrated by introducing helium in two stages: first at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then at the analysis temperature, controlled using an external temperature control system. The number of data points collected and equilibrium thresholds set for each isotherm are given in Table S2 (ESI $\dagger$ ). After analysis, the sample was degassed at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one to four hours.

Among all the synthesised material, the best performing at low temperature was selected for further $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ adsorption evaluation by dynamic vapour sorption and for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake by dynamic breakthrough analysis.

## $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ adsorption analysis

Water uptake was evaluated using a dynamic vapour sorption (DVS) analyser, Surface Measurement Systems (SMS), London, UK. The DVS analyser generates gas and/or vapour within a temperature range between 20 and $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and measures the sample's mass change over time as a function of RH or temperature. The temperature-controlled enclosure of the system allows a $\pm 0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ accuracy. The DVS system is equipped with rotary and turbomolecular pumps, to enable outgassing at high vacuum (maximum of $10^{-8}$ torr). The DVS experimental details are recorded in Table S3 (ESI $\dagger$ ). The methodology first involved an outgassing step where $20( \pm 0.1) \mathrm{mg}$ of sample was outgassed
under high vacuum ( $10^{-6} \mathrm{Torr}$ ) and heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 12 hours. Once the sample weight stabilised (indicating the dry mass), the sample was cooled down to the adsorption temperature of interest ( 30 or $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Then, the sample was exposed to different RH values ranging from 1 to $90 \%$, following 5-10\% increments. The mass equilibrium criteria of $\mathrm{d} m / \mathrm{d} t=0.001 \% / \mathrm{min}$ and a maximum equilibrium time of 400 min was applied for each step of the relative humidity.

## Breakthrough $\mathrm{CO}_{\mathbf{2}}$ experiments

Dynamic, i.e. breakthrough, adsorption experiments were carried out in a bespoke lab-scale rig (Fig. 2), specifically designed to mimic realistic typical coal-fired post-combustion conditions ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{bar}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration of $14 \mathrm{vol} \%$ ). The setup consists of a system of mass flow controllers from Bronkhorst (1) used to control the flow of gases fed into a fixed-bed reactor (3). The reactor is 20 cm long and has an internal diameter of 0.67 cm , and 250 mg of sample was used in the experiments. For wet conditions, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ or He can be fed to a bubbler (2) that can be either heated or cooled at the desired temperature, hence creating the wet stream with the $\%$ RH of interest. The dry or wet mixture of gases is passed through the fixed bed column that is heated by a furnace specifically manufactured for this system. All lines from the bubbler to the analysers are heated to avoid water condensation. The composition at the outlet of the reactor is monitored online by a mass spectrometer (HPR20-HIDEN) (5) and the total flow is measured by a Coriolis flowmeter from Bronkhorst (4).

For dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture experiments, 250 mg of sample, previously dried in a furnace for 12 hours at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, were placed in the reactor. A total flow of $40 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$ of He was fed to the fixed bed while heating to $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once the temperature was reached it was kept constant for 30 minutes and then the bed was cooled to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under He flow. Once the sample was dried and cooled to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reactor was closed, and the lines were purged with a flow of $20 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$ of He . Next, the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas mixture ( $1.4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $8.6 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$ of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) was fed to the reactor until sample saturation was reached.


Fig. 2 Schematic of the dynamic setup used for conducting dry and wet $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ breakthrough experiments.

For wet $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture experiments, the sample was dried following the same procedure as in the dry experiments. Upon drying, two different protocols were followed: (1) in the first type of wet experiment, the sample was initially pre-saturated and the effect of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption was evaluated. A flow of He of $40 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$ was fed to the bubbler heated to $34.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reaching $5.4 \mathrm{vol} \%$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the stream. This wet He flow was continuously fed to the reactor until the observed signal of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the mass spectrometer was constant, indicating saturation of the sample. Next, the reactor was closed and kept at a constant temperature of $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whilst the lines were purged with He $\left(20 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}\right)$. A mixture of wet $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{N}_{2}\right.$ ratios equal to $1.4: 0.54: 8$ ) was then fed to the reactor. (2) In the second type of wet experiment, the followed protocol was the same as previously described in (1) except for omitting presaturation of the sample. These experiments allowed for the evaluation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ co-adsorption in the bed. Additionally, blank experiments were conducted to determine the dead volume and the gas response through the system.

## Results and discussion

Elemental analysis and infrared spectroscopy characterisation
The cross-linker 4BMFPT was prepared following a procedure previously reported in the literature, ${ }^{54}$ except using 4 -(bromo-methyl)-3-fluorobenzonitrile in place of 4-(bromomethyl)benzonitrile as the precursor for the preparation of 4BMFPT. The synthesis was confirmed successful by CHN analysis and FTIR-ATR spectroscopy (Fig. S1, ESI $\dagger$ ). The absorption band at $2236 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ displayed by 4-(bromomethyl)-3-fluorobenzonitrile, originating from the stretching vibration of the $-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ group, is not present in the spectrum of 4BMFPT, showing the complete reaction of the nitrile group in the formation of the triazine ring. In the spectrum of 4BMFPT there are bands which are not in the spectrum of 4-(bromomethyl)-3-fluorobenzonitrile which originate from the triazine ring: at $1519 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1359 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, relating to in-plane stretching vibrations, and at $816 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $793 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, relating to out-of-plane bending vibration bands. ${ }^{55}$

The formation of the cross-linked materials proceeded via an amine alkylation reaction between the alkyl bromide of the cross-linker and the amine groups of PEI, as shown in Fig. 3. The solids ranged in colour and texture from the pale yellow powder of 1:1 (V), becoming increasingly darker and coarser, to the deep orange slightly spongy material of $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, shown in Fig. S2 (ESI $\dagger$ ).


Fig. 3 Reaction of 2,4,6-tris-[4-(bromomethyl-3-fluoro)-phenyl]-1,3,5,triazine (4BMFPT) with PEI to produce cross-linked polymer PEI-4BMFPT.

The samples were analysed for their elemental composition, as presented in Table 2 and Table S4 (ESI $\dagger$ ). The C/N ratios of the products were used to calculate the amine: alkyl (featuring $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond of the reacted alkyl bromide, or $1 / 3$ of the triazine ligand) ratios to indicate the extent of cross-linking which had taken place during the reaction, shown in Table 2. The calculation, using $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ as an example, is presented in the ESI, $\dagger$ following Table $\mathrm{S} 4(\mathrm{ESI} \dagger)$. The lower the ratio of the amine to the alkyl crosslinker in the starting materials, the more cross-linked the product material, starting with 3:1 (V), for which there were almost two alkyl groups per amine. The ratio increased on increasing the amount of PEI starting material, and for both $10: 1$ (V) and $25: 1$ (V) there was excess amine to alkyl cross-linker in the product, with 1.5 amines per alkyl group in the latter.

Branched chain PEI $M_{\mathrm{w}} 25000$ has close to equal numbers of primary, secondary and tertiary amines in its structure, therefore, based on the expectation that the tertiary amines do not react with the alkyl bromide, and on the assumption that the secondary amines can, it can be assumed that a 1:1 ratio of amine : alkyl has no primary or secondary amines. It would be expected, however, that the steric hindrance of secondary amines would reduce the likelihood of reaction with the alkyl bromide. Thus, a 1.5:1 (or $3: 2$ ) ratio could have one secondary amine for every two tertiary amines, and a 0.5:1 (or 1:2) ratio would suggest that there are unreacted alkyl bromide groups present within the product. Given that the maximum amount of alkyl bromide cross-linker used in the starting materials was in a sufficient stoichiometric ratio for up to a 1:1 amine : alkyl ratio, that there is less than 1 equivalent of amine per alkyl for $1: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 3: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $5: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ suggests PEI is lost during the reaction, i.e., it does not get cross-linked and incorporated into the product material.

The FTIR-ATR spectra of the samples, from 2000 to $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are shown in Fig. 4, with the spectra of 4BMFPT and PEI above and below, respectively. Spectra from 4000 to $650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are shown in Fig. S3 (ESI $\dagger$ ). Going from $25: 1$ (V) to $1: 1$ (V), it is apparent that some bands associated with the PEI at about $3275 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; 2930-2934 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; 2818-2826 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; 1456 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $1295 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1111 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ decrease in intensity or disappear, this can be associated with the established lesser quantity of amine for the more intensely cross-linked materials. The band in the products' spectra at $1653-1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is associated with the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bending vibration of adsorbed water, ${ }^{55}$ indicating that the lesser cross-linked materials, 10:1 (V) and 25:1 (V) contain more moisture. All products except $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ exhibit an extremely weak band that can be associated with stretching of the C-F bond of the cross-linker at $1269-1270 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. All of the

Table $2 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ ratios of the samples and calculated amine: alkyl ratios of the product materials

| Sample | C/N | Amine : alkyl |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ | 3.40 | $0.68: 1$ |
| $3: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ | 3.60 | $0.57: 1$ |
| $5: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ | 3.37 | $0.71: 1$ |
| $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ | 2.78 | $1.25: 1$ |
| $25: 1$ (V) | 2.65 | $1.52: 1$ |
| $10: 1$ (R) | 2.73 | $1.33: 1$ |



Fig. 4 FTIR-ATR spectra of synthesised 4BMFPT and as-received PEI against spectra of PEI:4BMFPT cross-linked products.
products show bands associated with the triazine ring: out-of-plane bending vibration bands at $775-776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $816-817 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and in-plane stretching vibrations at $1519-1521 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1360-$ $1362 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The band at $1420 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ disappears in $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $25: 1$ (V): this band, which could somewhat be masked by the band at $1456 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, is present in the more cross-linked materials, and so may instead be associated with the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deformation vibration of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}$ group of unreacted cross-linker. Similarly, the weak band at 1184-1186 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in $1: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 3: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $5: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, very weak in 10:1 (V) and absent in $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, may be associated with the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twisting vibration of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}$ group. This suggests that the more cross-linked materials have excess triazine linker such that some alkyl bromide groups are unreacted, as reflected in the low amine : alkyl ratio obtained from the elemental analysis data.

## Morphology and textural properties

SEM images of the samples show differences in their morphologies which appear dependent on their amine: alkyl ratios, (Fig. 5). $1: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ displays spherical particles of $0.1-0.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, arranged singularly or in large dense clusters. There are also smoothened masses of material with a fairly flat morphology. $3: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $5: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ appear quite similar in that they are both composed of spherical particles clustered together in bunches ranging in size from around $0.2-1 \mu \mathrm{~m} .10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ also features clustered spherical particles of about $0.3-1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter. However, unlike 3:1 (V) and 5:1 (V), this sample has a very inhomogeneous morphology. Other locations studied by SEM showed an interconnected network of fused platelets forming a continuous solid composition, with the smallest globules of about $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the smallest dimensions. 25:1 (V) is very similar to the latter description of $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$. It too has an


Fig. 5 SEM images of: (a) $1: 1(\mathrm{~V})$; (b) $3: 1(\mathrm{~V})$; (c) $5: 1(\mathrm{~V})$; (d) $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$; (e) $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and (f) $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$.
interconnected structure of an irregular globular morphology of a similar scale to that of $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, with few discrete spherical structures. In general, for these materials, it appears that a lower amine content promotes the formation of smaller, discrete spherical particles, and a higher amine content results in a higher degree of connectivity between constituent particles.

The $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption-desorption isotherms at 77 K obtained for $3: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, shown in Fig. 6 (and separately in Fig. S4-S6, ESI $\dagger$ ) further indicate these materials' different structures. 3:1 (V) displays a type II adsorptiondesorption isotherm with significant uptake between 0.9 and $1 P / P_{0}$, indicative of the presence of large mesopores and macropores. This isotherm shows hysteresis and some uptake at very low $P / P_{0}$, (inset) suggesting $3: 1$ (V) may have a small share of micropores. 10:1 (V) and $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ do not show this and can be considered non-porous to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.

## $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption analysis

The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption behaviour of the PEI:4BMFPT materials was measured at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to assess their response
to a range of temperatures relevant to both DAC and postcombustion $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture. Analysis was conducted over a period of 2 hours under $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Corresponding adsorption data are presented in Table S5 (ESI $\dagger$ ). Fig. $7(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c})$, shows that the maximum $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption capacity is consistently higher for the lesser cross-linked materials and is consistently highest for 25:1 (V). This may be expected given that 25:1 (V) has the highest amount of primary and secondary amines relative to triazine cross-linker. 25:1 (V) and 10:1 (V) show maximum final $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, reaching $2.45 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ and $1.95 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$, respectively. Their maximum uptakes at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are $1.90 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ and $1.73 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$, respectively, but saturation is not reached at this temperature within 2 hours, indicative of slow adsorption kinetics. Their adsorption rates increase with temperature, as after 10 minutes $10: 1$ (V) adsorbs $39.49 \%$ of its final capacity at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 81.88 \%$ at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $93.54 \%$ at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ adsorbs $38.26 \%$, $78.66 \%$ and $92.35 \%$, of its final capacity at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. Their slower adsorption and lower uptakes at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ suggest that $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ are limited in their


Fig. $6 \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ adsorption-desorption isotherms for $3: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $25: 1$ $(\mathrm{V})$, at 77 K , with section of low pressure adsorption up to $0.20 P / P_{0}$ (inset)
adsorption potential by a diffusional barrier; however, increasing temperature results in decreased hydrogen bonding between


Fig. 7 TGA- $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption ( $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ ) of PEI-4BMFPT $1: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 3: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 5: 1$ (V), $10: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ at (a) $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
polyamine chains and higher chain mobility, enabling $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to overcome this diffusion resistance, and uptake increases, as has been frequently observed for PEI-based $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorbents. ${ }^{23,24,27,56}$ From $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the maximum adsorption of $10: 1$ (V) increases by $12.47 \%$ (relative to adsorption at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), while $25: 1$ (V) improves by more than twice that at $29.00 \%$. Although $25: 1$ (V) has better absolute adsorption capacity, 10:1 (V) may be experiencing relatively less diffusion resistance at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which may suggest a structure that better enables gas transport. Both materials then show a reduction in their adsorption on moving from $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as previously observed on increasing temperature, ${ }^{23,24}$ due to the shifting of the thermodynamic adsorption-desorption equilibrium. ${ }^{57}$

The more cross-linked materials, $1: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 3: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $5: 1$ (V), have a lower final adsorption capacity compared with $10: 1$ (V) and $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, and they generally show faster adsorption. After 10 minutes, at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, they show much higher uptake relative to their final capacities than $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, at $67.49 \%, 85.80 \%$ and $84.04 \%$ for $1: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 3: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $5: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, respectively, (Table S5, ESI $\dagger$ ). The significant difference between the materials' uptake kinetics at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ can be rationalised by reference to their SEM images. The particles of $1: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ are far more discrete than those of $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, therefore offering greater access through the solid, i.e. they allow faster diffusion. The particles of 25:1(V) appear fused together such that they form a dense, more impenetrable structure, thus less favourable for fast adsorption. This lack of clear passage into the bulk of the material is probably the source of the diffusion limitation observed for $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In common with the lesser cross-linked adsorbents, $1: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, $3: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $5: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ also show faster $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake with increasing temperature, but conversely, increasing temperature is detrimental to their $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake. 1:1 (V), 3:1 (V) and 5:1 (V) reach their maximum adsorption of $1.17,1.44$, and 1.28 mmol $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$, respectively, at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Given that these adsorbents have excess triazine linker to amine content, they may only contain tertiary amines which are ineffective at adsorbing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ under dry conditions; ${ }^{58}$ therefore, physisorption may be their primary mode of adsorption. These adsorbents lose weight at the higher temperatures; 1:1 (V) proceeds to lose weight after 75 minutes at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and after 30 minutes at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thermal decomposition data, (shown in Fig. S7, ESI $\dagger$ ) confirms that these materials, and 4BMFPT, do not decompose below temperatures of about $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, therefore this weight loss can be attributed to the desorption of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, possibly due to a shift in the adsorption-desorption equilibrium over time.

As $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ showed the highest uptake at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, they were further investigated to evaluate their $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake behaviours. The adsorbents were analysed for their adsorption at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from $0.01-1$ bar. The isotherms are shown in Fig. 8. At 1 bar the interpolated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake of $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ is $1.87 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ and of $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ is $1.97 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$. These values are slightly higher than measured gravimetrically, possibly since here adsorption is measured under pure $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ as opposed to the $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Ar}$ used in the TGA. Also, both materials may be closer to their equilibrium capacities. Significantly, 10:1 (V) shows


Fig. 8 Single-component $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption isotherms of $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $25: 1$ $(\mathrm{V})$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from $0.1-1$ bar. The dashed lines show where the uptakes have been interpolated at 0.1 bar and 1.0 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
higher $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption in the low-pressure range of 0.01 0.5 bar. At 0.1 bar the interpolated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake of $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ is $1.15 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$, while the uptake of $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ is lower at $0.90 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$. This demonstrates that, although the CHN data shows that 10:1 (V) has relatively fewer adsorption sites (amines) compared to $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, it may present greater accessibility of these sites to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ such that, at lower pressures, there is a greater probability of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ coming into contact with them, improving uptake.

In terms of developing a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorbent that displays high capacity at low partial pressures, the former requirement is best fulfilled by $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, and the latter by $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$. Due to the importance of efficient uptake under dilute conditions, further investigations were pursued based on the synthesis of $10: 1$ (V). During the initial screening stage, parallel syntheses were conducted in vials using a multi-well heating block to increase throughput. This synthesis procedure was adapted by synthesising the $10: 1$ material under reflux conditions at $66{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a round bottom flask. The RBF was employed to optimise the synthesis by more uniform heating. Also, with regards to eventual scaling up of the synthesis of the adsorbent, it is beneficial to use a larger vessel and the use of a round bottom flask is also a convenient move to build flexibility into the synthesis procedure, for adding further reactants etc. The product, 10:1 ( R ), is light peach-coloured and has a fine, sand-like consistency (Fig. S2, ESI $\dagger$ ). Our group's previously reported epoxy cross-linked PEI adsorbents were often very dense spongey materials, unsuitable for industrial applications. Here we have advanced this work by utterly transforming these self-supported amine sorbents simply via switching the crosslinker to obtain a far more amenable texture in the powder-like 10:1(R). The powder form can better enable the shaping of the sorbent into industrially practical forms, such as pellets or extrudates. ${ }^{59}$

The IR spectrum of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ is almost identical to that of 10:1 (V) (Fig. S8, ESI $\dagger$ ). Interestingly, from the CHN data, 10:1 (R) also has a comparable amine: alkyl ratio to $10: 1$ (V) at $1.33: 1$, (Table 2) indicating that it has excess amine to alkyl cross-linker. Based on previous assumptions that secondary
amines will react with the alkyl bromide, this would suggest that $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ has one secondary amine for every three tertiary amines. In practice, it may be anticipated that steric hindrance would prevent the reaction of many secondary amines, so this ratio would indicate the presence of unreacted alkyl bromide groups. However, the signal associated with the carbon of the alkyl bromide (C8) is absent in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $10: 1$ (R), suggesting that complete amine alkylation has taken place (Fig. S9, ESI $\dagger$ ).

SEM imaging revealed that $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ is entirely composed of discrete spherical particles ranging in diameter from about 0.4 to $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Fig. 9. There are also 'doughnut' shaped elements of about $2-3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ across. The structure is more similar to that of the $3: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $5: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ than $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, in that is has discrete individual particles, although those of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ have a broader size distribution. The difference in structures between $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ and $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ are likely to be due to the lower reaction temperature and shorter reaction time given to $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$, in that a coalescence process may have initiated in $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ under the hotter and more prolonged heating conditions. Self-supported cross-linked amine adsorbents composed of spherical particles have previously been reported. Hwang et al. synthesised particles of $0.2-2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter in cross-linking PEI with glutaraldehyde. ${ }^{44}$ Larger spherical particles of $10-300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter were reported by Huang et al. who polymerised divinylbenzene and maleic anhydride before grafting diamines to the product. ${ }^{48}$

The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption behaviour of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ is superior to that of the other PEI-4BMFPT adsorbents at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a maximum capacity after 120 minutes of $2.31 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$, as shown in Fig. 10 (and against all PEI-4BMFPT adsorbents in Fig. S10, ESI $\dagger$ ). This is 1 mmol higher than that reported by Huang et al. for their high surface area functionalised co-polymers, ${ }^{48}$ and 0.1 mmol higher than reported by Mane et al. for alkyl chloride cross-linked PEI, ${ }^{50}$ both analysed at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and under 1 bar pure $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Compared to $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $25: 1(\mathrm{~V}), \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake by $10: 1$ $(\mathrm{R})$ is faster, adsorbing $59.51 \%$ of its final capacity after 10 minutes, reaching $1.38 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ uptake. In common with all other adsorbents, its adsorption kinetics is improved by increasing temperature, and like the more cross-linked


Fig. 9 SEM image of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$.
adsorbents, 1:1 (V), 3:1 (V) and 5:1 (V), 10:1 (R) shows its highest adsorption at the lowest temperature of $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Its final capacity reduces to $2.09 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ and $1.45 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, therefore it is less effective than $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ at higher temperatures, Fig. S10 (ESI $\dagger$ ). The adsorption behaviour of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ may be linked with its morphology: the clear pathway through the bulk of the material formed between the distinct spherical particles likely allows good contact between the amine groups and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, enabling higher adsorption at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Increasing temperature may aid diffusion, but any benefit is outweighed by the greater promotion of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ desorption, leading to reduced capacity.

The excellent low-temperature $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption performance of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ prompted us to further explore the synthesis of the material. Our previous work has shown that hydrophobic functionality within cross-linked polyamine adsorbents promotes adsorption, ${ }^{36}$ therefore the fluorinated cross-linker 4BMFPT was selected for this study. However, unlike our previous work in which hydrocarbon and fluorocarbon chains were introduced to the adsorbent, 4BMFPT offers only three fluorine atoms per linker, situated in relative isolation from one another. To understand what extent this may have had on adsorption, we compared 10:1 (R) against its non-fluorinated analogue, 10:1 (RH), synthesised using a similar procedure (see ESI $\dagger$ ). Although uptake is lower overall, $10: 1(\mathrm{RH})$, shows the same trend in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption as for $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$, (Fig. S11 and Table S5, ESI $\dagger$ ). The maximum $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake of $10: 1(\mathrm{RH})$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $2.09 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$, greater than both $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$. Thus, it is seen that higher adsorption at low temperature can be achieved via this synthesis even using a non-fluorinated cross-linker which has potential economic and environmental advantages.

The gas sorption isotherm of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ from $0.01-1$ bar at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was compared to those of $10: 1$ (V) and $25: 1$ (V), Fig. 11(a). The interpolated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ is $1.06 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at 0.1 bar and $2.01 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at 1 bar (Table S5, ESI $\dagger$ ). Although slightly lower than the uptake at 0.1 bar for $10: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ does also show higher low-pressure uptake than 25:1 (V). This is likely due to the greater access to the amine binding sites via the space between the spherical particles. To confirm this, the


Fig. $10 \mathrm{TGA}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption $\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ at: (a) $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.


Fig. 11 (a) Single-component $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption isotherms of $10: 1(\mathrm{~V}), 25: 1$ (V) and 10:1 (R) (in green) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from 0.1-1 bar. (b) Single-component $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption isotherms of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from 0.1-1 bar.
surface area of $10: 1$ (R) was measured by using the BET method. The $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption isotherm of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$, shown in Fig. S12 (ESI $\dagger$ ), is similar to that of $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, indicating a nonporous material. The surface area, calculated as $11.827 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ was even lower than for the other adsorbents, which showed no clear trend (Table S1, ESI $\dagger$ ). The surface areas do not corroborate the differences observed in the materials' microstructures, in that those composed of smaller, more discrete spherical particles would have a significantly higher surface area to those whose morphologies are of a more dense and continuous network. Gaining accurate surface area measurements for these materials is challenging. Firstly, they have very low surface areas. Secondly, the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption data are not necessary equilibrium isotherms; the affinity for these materials to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is very low, and further, at 77 K , the polymers are likely in a glassy state, lacking flexibility. It is probable that it is this flexibility that, at ambient temperatures, affords the formation of small voids receptive to the inclusion of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule, with a smaller kinetic diameter than $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, enabling access to amines with which $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ chemisorbs. Such a phenomenon has been suggested in previous work in which positron annihilation spectroscopy was carried out on a cross-linked $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorbent. ${ }^{60}$
$\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption isotherms of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ from $0.01-1$ bar were compared at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Fig. 11 (b). The isotherms become steeper with temperature, showing higher adsorption at lower pressures. At 0.1 bar the interpolated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptakes are $1.21 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g} ~{ }^{-1}$ and 1.25 mmol g , at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, higher than adsorption at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, temperature also influences the kinetics of uptake, particularly at lower pressure: at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the adsorption points reach equilibrium faster than at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at which temperature, equilibrium is not consistently obtained (Fig. S13, ESI $\dagger$ ). Therefore, it appears that at low pressure, temperature-enhanced diffusion enables more amine- $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reactions to take place faster.

The selectivity towards $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is a crucial factor for the success of an industrial adsorbent in order that it may release the purest $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ product. To predict the adsorption equilibrium of components within a gas mixture from pure component adsorption isotherms, the ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) model, ${ }^{61}$ may be applied. Single-component $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ sorption isotherms of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ were collected at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from $0.1-1 \mathrm{bar}$, Fig. S14 (ESI $\dagger$ ). Taking the partial pressures of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ as 0.1 bar and 0.9 bar, respectively, $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ adsorbs $1.06 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $0.017 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, giving $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ a predicted selectivity of 575 for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. This is higher than reported for comparable crosslinked polyamine adsorbents at similar temperatures, ${ }^{50}$ and is on a par with the most selective MOFs. ${ }^{62}$

For its economical industrial application, it is essential that an adsorbent be regenerable and capable of multiple adsorption-desorption cycles. ${ }^{2}$ To test its repetitive $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake, $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ was subjected to multiple adsorption events at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with each adsorption event lasting for one hour. The uptake of each cycle is presented in Fig. 12, with full data shown in Fig. S15 (ESI $\dagger$ ). Over the initial cycle, the adsorption is 1.899 mmol $\mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and this drops by $10 \%$ to $1.706 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ for the second cycle. Uptake then becomes stable, with the 25th cycle adsorbing $1.594 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$. Between the 2nd and 25th cycles, uptake deviates by a maximum of $0.154 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$. A slight drop in adsorption between the initial and subsequent adsorption cycles is also apparent in the cyclic adsorption of diethylenetriamine (DETA)


Fig. $12 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ under temperature swing adsorptiondesorption cycles. Uptake and desorption were carried out at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, in 1 atm pure, dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
modified porous organic polymers synthesised by Yang et al. for which adsorption was carried out under breakthrough conditions using a $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ mixture ( $20: 80 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with desorption at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{63}$ It may be that for $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$, on the first cycle, the most strongly $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-adsorbing amine sites, likely the primary amines on the most exposed, external surface, are saturated and the condition of desorption $\left(120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 30 minutes) is insufficient to regenerate them thereafter.

It can be seen from the data presented in Fig. S15 (ESI $\dagger$ ), that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption (weight increase) remains more constant than does the weight of adsorbent. From the beginning of the first sample to the end of the last sample, the adsorbent weight reduces by $3.4 \%$. A slight reduction in adsorbent weight is common in amine-based adsorbents over the duration of multiple cycles, as observed in our previous work, ${ }^{36}$ and that of others in which PEI is supported on a polyamide-carbon nanotube composite membrane, ${ }^{64}$ or graphene oxide. ${ }^{65}$ Goeppert et al. reported a reduction in adsorbent weight over the course of 50 adsorption-desorption cycles on silicasupported, epoxide-modified TEPA, with adsorption under $95 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - this was attributed to amine leaching. ${ }^{66}$ Despite the reduction in adsorbent mass, adsorption remains consistent to within $7 \%$ from the second to the last cycle.

Gravimetric experiments were carried out using dilute $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ mixtures of $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $400 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$, simulating the concentration of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in flue gas and air, respectively. Due to the high selectivity for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the weight increase from the gravimetric experiment is interpreted as pure $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake. As generally expected for an amine-based adsorbent, performance is lower under a more dilute $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ source. ${ }^{27}$ Under $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$, $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ adsorbed $1.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $0.55 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after 180 minutes dynamic adsorption, as shown in Fig. 13(a) and Table 3 (with normalised adsorption data shown in Fig. S16, ESI $\dagger$ ). Here, $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ follows a similar trend of adsorption seen under $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ : maximum adsorption is highest at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 minutes at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ takes up $1.01 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, while at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it adsorbs less than half of this at $0.47 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$. It is only after 115 minutes that adsorption at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ starts to surpass adsorption at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; this is much later than under $90 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, for which adsorption at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overtakes that at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after just 43 minutes. The slow kinetic uptake at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ suggests that under the more dilute $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ environment of $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, a higher temperature to overcome diffusion limitation is more beneficial for uptake than it is detrimental, over a longer duration. Under dilute $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the probability of each amine coming into contact with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is reduced (therefore maximising the number of accessible amines is imperative). Once the surface amines are saturated, the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reacts with the internalised amines, and due to temperature assisted diffusion enhancement, this occurs more readily at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Given sufficient time at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in terms of total uptake, the thermodynamic benefit outweighs the benefit of enhanced diffusion.

Under 400 ppm CO 2 10:1 (R) behaves entirely differently and uptake is significantly lower, Fig. 13(b). Adsorption is both fastest, and highest at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a final capacity of


## (b)


(a) $\quad 10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$
(a) $\quad 10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$

Fig. 13 TGA- $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption $\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ of $10: 1$ (R), in (a) $1 \mathrm{~atm} 10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} /$ $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (b) $1 \mathrm{~atm} 400 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{CO} 2 / \mathrm{N}_{2}$.

Table $3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption capacity of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ after 180, 120 and after 10 minutes in $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $400 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{CO} 2 / \mathrm{N}_{2}$

| Time (min) | Uptake at 1 bar, $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2},\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  | Uptake at 1 bar, $400 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{CO}_{2},\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 180 | 1.50 | 1.42 | 0.55 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| 120 | 1.40 | 1.39 | 0.55 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.01 |
| 10 | 0.47 | 1.01 | 0.38 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.02 |

$0.20 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, decreasing to $0.09 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ and $0.01 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. Uptake is fastest until about 30 minutes, then the rate of adsorption decreases sharply at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and more so at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereas for $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, desorption occurs after about 20 minutes. Under lower $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ partial pressures, the heats of adsorption for amine-based adsorbents are higher due to the dominance of the most strongly interacting amine sites. ${ }^{67}$ It is likely that within the first half-hour, the most reactive surface amines become saturated. The evolved heat may not be fully dissipated, resulting in some desorption after around 40 and 20 minutes at 60 and $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. After saturation of the surface amines, adsorption is via the less reactive and the more internalised amines, therefore it may occur at a lower rate partly due to slow
diffusion of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Rather than promoting diffusion and increasing adsorption, higher temperatures have a severely detrimental effect. This trend has also been reported by Goeppert et al. for silica-supported PEI adsorbents operating under air capture conditions. ${ }^{68}$ The lower driving force under the lower partial pressure of 400 ppm may overcome the benefit of enhanced diffusion at higher temperatures, as a greater decrease in entropy is required for adsorption.

Comparing the final adsorption capacities at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 400 ppm and $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, adsorption is reduced by a factor of 7.5 at the lower partial pressure. This is in line with what was observed in lower amine-loaded hyperbranched aminosilica adsorbents reported by Choi et al. ${ }^{69}$ Therefore, it can be inferred that with greater amine functionality, the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture performance of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ under 400 ppm CO 2 may be improved.

## $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Adsorption analysis

Amine-based chemisorbents generally increase their $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption capacity in the presence of water due to better diffusion, ${ }^{26,27}$ and improved amine efficiency from the formation of ammonium bicarbonate, theoretically enabling each amine to adsorb one molecule of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} .{ }^{58}$ The influence of water on the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption capacity of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ was measured using different techniques. Initially, an investigation using a TGA experiment was conducted in which the adsorbent was pre-humidified for almost four hours using a flow of wet argon at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, prior to contact with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, Fig. 14. The adsorbent took up a maximum of $0.207 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ water after 94 minutes, then lost some of this over the next hour, but regained weight and began to stabilise until reaching hydration of $0.198 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ( $11.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ ). This was taken to be the maximum hydration or saturation adsorption of the material. On subsequent exposure to wet $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, sorption was extremely rapid, reaching $0.342 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ within 28 minutes, therefore $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ accounting for $0.144 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\left(3.27 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$, exceeding the maximum adsorption under dry conditions $\left(2.31 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ by 0.96 mmol $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. During four hours under wet $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the adsorption equilibrium appears to shift resulting in slight desorption followed by re-adsorption until the final total adsorption was $0.347 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. Exposure to dry argon at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for eight hours


Fig. $14 \mathrm{TGA}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ sorption $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ in humid environment at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under $80 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{min}^{-1} \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{min}^{-1} \mathrm{Ar}$ and $21.4 \% \mathrm{RH}$.
reduced the adsorbed loading to $0.088 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ via mass displacement. On heating, complete desorption was achieved at $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Contrary to what we have previously observed in wet $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake experiments on crosslinked PEI materials, ${ }^{26,36}$ more adsorbate is removed ( $0.259 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ ) under the low temperature desorption step than water is adsorbed during initial hydration. Therefore, it can be inferred that at least some $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is desorbed at low temperature, which may have been weakly chemisorbed or possibly physisorbed, while the remaining $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is strongly chemisorbed, most probably in the form of carbonate and bicarbonate species.

An enhancement in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and adsorption kinetics was observed under humid conditions. Therefore, it was decided to further investigate the sorbent behaviour when exposed to water vapour using a DVS setup. Fig. 15 shows $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ uptake by $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ at 30 and $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at RH values between 0 and $95 \%$. At both temperatures, an $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ isotherm type III was measured which is characteristic of monolayer-multilayer adsorption onto favourable sites, ${ }^{70}$ common in water adsorption by nonporous or macroporous amine adsorbents. The water capacity increases exponentially with RH, and largely independently of temperature. At $21 \% \mathrm{RH}$, the capture capacity measured by DVS was $1.84 \mathrm{mmol}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ vapour per g , higher than the typical water uptake reported for amine functionalised sorbents $\left(1.10 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}\right) .{ }^{71}$ It can be seen that the amount of adsorbed $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ vapour obtained by DVS significantly differs from the water capacity measured by TGA at the same RH. However, comparisons between these experiments are not entirely direct. Firstly, the activation conditions are different which may significantly affect capture capacities. Secondly, the TGA analyser was operated at 1 atm , with the material exposed to both argon and water. For DVS the material was exposed only to water and the pressure inside the DVS chamber was kept at 0.015 bar to reach the desired $21 \%$ RH at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Such high variation in the gas pressure may result in the reduction of the surface energy, leading to adsorption-induced strains, a deformation of solid surface in non-porous or macroporous materials, which can impact the amount of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ adsorbed. ${ }^{72}$


Fig. $15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ isotherms of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ at 30 and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

As for $75 \% \mathrm{RH}$, (representing typical water content in postcombustion capture processes), 10:1 (R) adsorbs a high amount of water vapour at $14.55 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$, compared to the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ capacity of the commercial amine-based adsorbent Lewatit ${ }^{\mathbb{®}}$ VP OC $1065 .{ }^{73}$ For practical $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture applications it is vital to evaluate the effect of water on the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture performance of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$.

## Breakthrough experiments

The dynamic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption capacity of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ was measured at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, atmospheric pressure and $14 \mathrm{vol} \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, under dry and wet conditions. The breakthrough curves of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ obtained for the dry experiment are presented in Fig. 16, where the outletinlet flow ratio of each gas versus time is shown. It can be observed that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is the favoured adsorbed component whereas $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is the more weakly adsorbed, as indicated by the difference in breakthrough times, i.e. time that it takes for the gas to be detected at the outlet of the reactor ( 3 minutes for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ versus 6 minutes for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). The breakthrough curve of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ also shows that there is competitive adsorption between the two gas components, as indicated by the presence of a roll-up effect in the curve. ${ }^{74}$

The breakthrough curves of $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ obtained from wet experiments at $75 \% \mathrm{RH}$ are presented in Fig. 17. Two different scenarios were tested: one in which the pristine sorbent is exposed to a stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (co-adsorption case), the other in which a pre-water saturated sorbent is exposed to the same stream (pre-saturated case). In the co-adsorption case (Fig. 17(a)), it can be observed that $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is strongly and preferentially adsorbed, with a much longer breakthrough time ( 12 min ) compared to those measured for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ( 3 and 2 minutes respectively). The roll up effect in the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ curves also indicate competitive adsorption with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ breakthrough curve is far more extended than those of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, which are rather steep. In an ideal situation, when there are no hydrodynamic (axial dispersion) or kinetic (resistance to mass transfer) effects in the bed, the shape of the breakthrough curve or concentration


Fig. $16 \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ breakthrough curves of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ under dry conditions, atmospheric pressure, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $14 \%$ vol. $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$.

(b)


Fig. $17 \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ breakthrough curves of $10: 1$ (R) under atmospheric pressure, $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 14 vol\% $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$, under: (a) wet co-adsorption conditions; (b) wet pre-saturation conditions, ( $75 \% \mathrm{RH}$ in each case).
front would be that of a perfect step change in concentration. In this case, the breakthrough curve would appear vertical, from a value of 0 to 1 . When this is not the case, the steepness of the breakthrough curve gives an indication of the length of the mass transfer zone relative to the length of the bed. ${ }^{74}$ In the case of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, both curves are rather steep, indicating a shorter mass transfer zone. In the case of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the masstransfer and axial dispersion effects clearly influence the dynamics of adsorption, which is far slower than that of the other two gases. On the other hand, when the material is pre-saturated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Fig. 17(b)), the profiles for both $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ break simultaneously at about 1.5 minutes and no competitive adsorption is observed, with the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ breaking after about 2.5 minutes. In this case, there is not much difference between the slopes of the curves, although the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ front is still slower than those of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture capacities under dry and wet conditions were obtained from the breakthrough curves and are presented in Table 4.

Under the dynamic breakthrough conditions of $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $14 \mathrm{vol} \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}, 75 \% \mathrm{RH}, 1 \mathrm{~atm}$, the presence of water in the gas stream had a deleterious effect on the material's $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture performance. In the breakthrough experiment, uptake reduced by up to $83 \%$ under wet conditions, with little difference in uptake observed between co-adsorption and pre-saturation conditions. Although studies on amine-based adsorbents generally report improved uptake under humid conditions, there are instances where there appears to be a threshold at which higher RH values do not translate into significant increases in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake or can result in reduced adsorption. This has been reported for several adsorbents: a PEI-impregnated MCM-41 at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under simulated flue gas where $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake did not improve noticeably when RH exceeded $34 \% ;^{75}$ a $50 \mathrm{wt} \%$ TEPAmodified silica adsorbent, when $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake under $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ increased to a maximum at $37 \% \mathrm{RH} ;{ }^{76}$ and for a $50 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PEI-loaded resin, where $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake under 5000 ppm reached a maximum at $40 \% \mathrm{RH}$ and declined at $60 \% \mathrm{RH} .{ }^{77}$ At elevated humidity levels, such as $75 \% \mathrm{RH}$, reduced $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake has been attributed to competitive adsorption between water and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. It is established that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture by amine functionalised adsorbents in the presence of water takes place through the formation of different adsorbed species and can follow different mechanisms. ${ }^{58}$ One is through the formation of ammonium bicarbonate, and another is through the formation of hydronium carbonate in which carbamic acid is stabilised by a water molecule. ${ }^{78,79}$ However, hydronium formation may lead to the growth of a complex cluster of hydrogen-bonded solvating water molecules, which under high RH leads to the blocking of active sites to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, decreasing capture capacity. This was observed in a recent study on an amine functionalised adsorbent where the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture under wet conditions was not favoured, specifically as the RH and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ partial pressure increased. ${ }^{73}$

The observations in the dynamic breakthrough experiments are counter to what was observed by TGA, where humidity drastically improved $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake. The different observations between experiments may be attributed not only to the differences in RH, where TGA used a lower humidity, but by their different $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ partial pressures. (Based on the DVS experiment, it is not believed that the differences in temperature, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for TGA, and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the breakthrough, had a significant impact on the results.) The TGA experiment used a significantly higher concentration of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the feed gas, at approx. $90 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, versus $14 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the breakthrough experiment. The lower partial pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the breakthrough experiment, along with the higher RH , may have resulted in the competition for adsorption sites being too high for efficient $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake, especially given that TGA results show drastically different

Table $4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capacity of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ under dry conditions and wet conditions $(75 \% \mathrm{RH})$, with and without $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ pre-saturation

|  | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capacity $\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dry conditions | 1.15 |
| Wet conditions co-adsorption | 0.2 |
| Wet conditions pre-saturation | 0.3 |

maximum $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptakes for $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 90 and $10 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$, at 2.31 and $1.40 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$, respectively, under dry conditions. In the TGA experiment, it may be that the water content of the system was sufficient to benefit $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake, without out-competing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for adsorption sites given the significantly higher partial pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, at $90 \%$.

Lastly, in addition to the differences in adsorption conditions in terms of the feed gas composition, high RH values may affect the hydrodynamics inside the breakthrough reactor, which could negatively affect the gas-solid interaction and lead to decreased capture capacity. This is an area worth further investigation.

## Conclusions

A set of new unsupported solid polyamine-based $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorbents have been synthesised by cross-linking PEI with 2,4,6-tris-[4-(bromomethyl-3-fluoro)-phenyl]-1,3,5,-triazine. The ratio of amine to cross-linker in the products is consistently lower than the relative proportions used in the starting materials.

The most densely cross-linked adsorbents (with less than one amine per alkyl group of the reacted alkyl bromide) show evidence of microporosity and have faster uptake of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, but lower equilibrium capacity compared with the less cross-linked adsorbents, with uptake under $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Ar}$ decreasing on increasing temperature from $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The lesser crosslinked adsorbents (with more than one amine per alkyl group of the reacted alkyl bromide), 10:1 (V) and 25:1 (V), consistently show higher $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capacities due to their higher reactive amine content. Both perform most effectively at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ obtaining $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake of $1.95 \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$, and $25: 1$ (V) reaching $2.45 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$. The particles of $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ appear highly fused forming a less penetrable material, giving rise to the diffusion barrier limiting its $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Adjustment of the synthesis conditions of these adsorbents influences their structure and gas-diffusion properties and the number of accessible $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-reactive amines. 10:1 (R) has a similar cross-linking density to $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$, yet it shows its highest adsorption of $2.31 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - the highest of all the adsorbents at this temperature, and with faster uptake than $10: 1(\mathrm{~V})$ and $25: 1(\mathrm{~V})$. The superior performance of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ is due to the accessibility of reactive amine: the adsorbent is composed of spherical particles up to $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in size, the incomplete packing of which enables greater access to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ through the material. The non-fluorinated analogue of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ also shows its highest adsorption at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with uptake of $2.09 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$, suggesting that the fluorination is less important compared to the overall structure and amine content for effective low temperature adsorption.

10:1 (R) exhibits good selectivity of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ over $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ of 575. In TGA experiments, under dilute $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ multicomponent gas mixtures, $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ reaches $1.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capacity under $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$, and up $0.20 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ under $400 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{CO} 2 / \mathrm{N}_{2}$. In $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$, uptake is faster at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, leading to higher adsorption at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a longer period than
compared to adsorption under $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Ar}$. The TGA experiment under humid conditions at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption of $10: 1(\mathrm{R})$ increased to $3.27 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ with faster kinetics than under dry conditions. However, when the sample was further tested using a dynamic rig set-up at a high RH ( $75 \%$ ), low $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ partial pressure ( $14 \%$ ), and slightly higher temperature ( $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capacity drastically reduced, by up to $83 \%$ of the capacity under dry conditions. These findings suggest that the different water content in the gas streams, along with the differences in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ partial pressure, play a significant role in the material's performance. The results from the TGA do not allow us to accurately infer the performance of the adsorbent under the more closely replicated postcombustions provided by the dynamic rig set-up and comprehensive comparisons between the two techniques is worth further investigation.

From the thorough analysis of a set of unsupported crosslinked polyamine adsorbents, it is clear that with further development, these materials are becoming ever more credible candidates for application in industrial carbon capture technologies. Key to the success of this family of adsorbents is the selection of an effective cross-linker and tuning the crosslinking degree to optimise the structure for efficient $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion. The novel cross-linker 2,4,6-tris-(4-bromomethyl-3-fluoro-phenyl)-1,3,5,-triazine, as a rigid, conjugated spacer unit, has proven successful in developing and optimising this unsupported polyamine-based material for high $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture at ambient temperature.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work is part of the Flexible Integrated Energy Systems (FLEXIS) and Reducing Industrial Carbon Emissions (RICE) research operations funded by the Welsh European Funding Office (WEFO) through the Welsh Government. Support was provided by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council through the SUSTAIN Manufacturing Hub EP/S018107/ 1. Financial support was also provided by the Sêr Cymru Chair Programme and the Robert A. Welch Foundation (C-0002). We also acknowledge funding from European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skło-dowska-Curie grant agreement no 663830 . The authors would like to acknowledge Stephen Shearan for assistance with material synthesis and Dr Matthew J. McPherson for assistance in performing BET measurements. We would like to acknowledge the assistance provided by Swansea University College of Engineering AIM Facility, which was funded in part by the EPSRC (EP/M028267/1), the European Regional Development Fund through the Welsh Government (80708) and the Ser Solar project via the Welsh Government. We acknowledge postdoctoral fellowship funding from the German Academic

Exchange Service (DAAD) and Leibniz Association for WYC. This work benefited from access to the FMP Berlin NMR facility. This work was also supported in part by the PrISMa Project (299659), funded through the ACT Programme (Accelerating CCS Technologies, Horizon 2020 Project 294766).

## References

1 IPCC, 2018: Global Warming of $1.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of $1.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty [MassonDelmotte, V., P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J.B.R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M.I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield (eds.)]. In Press.

2 H. A. Patel, J. Byun and C. T. Yavuz, ChemSusChem, 2017, 10, 1303-1317.
3 P. Luis, Desalination, 2016, 380, 93-99.
4 G. T. Rochelle, Science, 2009, 325, 1652-1654.
5 D. M. D'Alessandro, B. Smit and J. R. Long, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6058-6082.
6 A. Samanta, A. Zhao, G. K. H. Shimizu, P. Sarkar and R. Gupta, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2012, 51, 1438-1463.

7 S. D. Kenarsari, D. Yang, G. Jiang, S. Zhang, J. Wang, A. G. Russell, Q. Wei and M. Fan, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 22739-22773.
8 B. Dutcher, M. Fan and A. G. Russell, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 2137-2148.
9 European Academies' Science Advisory Council, Negative emissions technologies: what role in meeting paris agreement targets?, EASAC policy report, 35.
10 R. Socolow, M. Desmond, R. Aines, J. Blackstock, O. Bolland, T. Kaarsberg, N. Lewis, M. Mazzotti, A. Pfeffer and K. Sawyer, Direct air capture of CO2 with chemicals: a technology assessment for the APS Panel on Public Affairs, American Physical Society, 2011.
11 C. Beuttler, L. Charles and J. Wurzbacher, Front. Clim., 2019, 1, 00010.
12 M. Samari, F. Ridha, V. Manovic, A. Macchi and E. J. Anthony, Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 2019, pp. 1-17.
13 A. R. Sujan, S. H. Pang, G. Zhu, C. W. Jones and R. P. Lively, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 5264-5273.
14 S. E. Park, J. S. Chang and K. W. Lee, Carbon Dioxide Utilization for Global Sustainability: Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Carbon Dioxide Utilization, Seoul, Korea, October 12-16, 2003, Elsevier Science, 2004.
15 A. Chakma, Energy Convers. Manage., 1997, 38, S51-S56.
16 S. A. Mazari, L. Ghalib, A. Sattar, M. M. Bozdar, A. Qayoom, I. Ahmed, A. Muhammad, R. Abro, A. Abdulkareem,
S. Nizamuddin, H. Baloch and N. M. Mubarak, Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control, 2020, 96, 103010.

17 J. C. Meerman, E. S. Hamborg, T. van Keulen, A. Ramírez, W. C. Turkenburg and A. P. C. Faaij, Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control, 2012, 9, 160-171.
18 A. R. Sujan, D. R. Kumar, M. Sakwa-Novak, E. W. Ping, B. Hu, S. J. Park and C. W. Jones, ACS Appl. Polym. Mater., 2019, 1, 3137-3147.
19 C. J. E. Bajamundi, J. Koponen, V. Ruuskanen, J. Elfving, A. Kosonen, J. Kauppinen and J. Ahola, J. CO2 Util., 2019, 30, 232-239.
20 C. Gebald, J. A. Wurzbacher, P. Tingaut, T. Zimmermann and A. Steinfeld, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2011, 45, 9101-9108.
21 A. Goeppert, M. Czaun, R. B. May, G. K. S. Prakash, G. A. Olah and S. R. Narayanan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 20164-20167.
22 W. Chaikittisilp, R. Khunsupat, T. T. Chen and C. W. Jones, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2011, 50, 14203-14210.
23 A. Heydari-Gorji, Y. Belmabkhout and A. Sayari, Langmuir, 2011, 27, 12411-12416.
24 M. Niu, H. Yang, X. Zhang, Y. Wang and A. Tang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 17312-17320.
25 W. Chaikittisilp, H.-J. Kim and C. W. Jones, Energy Fuels, 2011, 25, 5528-5537.
26 A. Koutsianos, A. R. Barron and E. Andreoli, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, 121, 21772-21781.
27 H. T. Kwon, M. A. Sakwa-Novak, S. H. Pang, A. R. Sujan, E. W. Ping and C. W. Jones, Chem. Mater., 2019, 31, 5229-5237.
28 D. V. Quang, A. Dindi, A. V. Rayer, N. E. Hadri, A. Abdulkadir and M. R. M. Abu-Zahra, Greenhouse Gases: Sci. Technol., 2015, 5, 91-101.
29 M. Khraisheh, S. Mukherjee, A. Kumar, F. Al Momani, G. Walker and M. J. Zaworotko, J. Environ. Manage., 2020, 255, 109874.
30 M. E. Potter, S. H. Pang and C. W. Jones, Langmuir, 2017, 33, 117-124.
31 C. A. Hibbitts and J. Szanyi, Icarus, 2007, 191, 371-380.
32 P. Li, S. Zhang, S. Chen, Q. Zhang, J. Pan and B. Ge, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2008, 108, 3851-3858.
33 S. Jeon, H. Jung, D. H. Jo and S. H. Kim, Energy Procedia, 2017, 114, 2287-2293.
34 H. Jung, S. Jeon, D. H. Jo, J. Huh and S. H. Kim, Chem. Eng. J., 2017, 307, 836-844.

35 D. R. Kumar, C. Rosu, A. R. Sujan, M. A. Sakwa-Novak, E. W. Ping and C. W. Jones, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2020, 8, 10971-10982.
36 L. B. Hamdy, R. J. Wakeham, M. Taddei, A. R. Barron and E. Andreoli, Chem. Mater., 2019, 31(13), 4673-4684.

37 C.-J. Yoo, P. Narayanan and C. W. Jones, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 19513-19521.
38 E. Andreoli and A. R. Barron, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 4323-4329.
39 E. Andreoli and A. R. Barron, Energy Fuels, 2015, 29, 4479-4487.
40 E. Andreoli, L. Cullum and A. R. Barron, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2015, 54, 878-889.

41 E. Andreoli and A. R. Barron, ChemSusChem, 2015, 8, 2635-2644.
42 E. P. Dillon, E. Andreoli, L. Cullum and A. R. Barron, J. Exp. Nanosci., 2015, 10, 746-768.
43 E. Andreoli, E. P. Dillon, L. Cullum, L. B. Alemany and A. R. Barron, Sci. Rep., 2014, 4, 7304.

44 K.-S. Hwang, H.-Y. Park, J.-H. Kim and J.-Y. Lee, Korean J. Chem. Eng., 2018, 35, 798-804.

45 S. J. Thompson, M. Soukri and M. Lail, Chem. Eng. J., 2018, 350, 1056-1065.
46 X. Xu, B. Pejcic, C. Heath and C. D. Wood, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 21468-21474.
47 H.-B. Wang, P. G. Jessop and G. Liu, ACS Macro Lett., 2012, 1, 944-948.
48 J. Huang, J. Zhu, S. A. Snyder, A. J. Morris and S. R. Turner, Polymer, 2018, 154, 55-61.
49 L.-B. Sun, Y.-H. Kang, Y.-Q. Shi, Y. Jiang and X.-Q. Liu, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2015, 3, 3077-3085.
50 S. Mane, Y.-X. Li, D.-M. Xue, X.-Q. Liu and L.-B. Sun, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2018, 57, 12926-12934.
51 S. J. Thompson, M. Soukri and M. Lail, Energy Fuels, 2018, 32, 8658-8667.
52 A. I. Cooper, Adv. Mater., 2009, 21, 1291-1295.
53 M. R. Liebl and J. Senker, Chem. Mater., 2013, 25, 970-980.
54 M. Taddei, F. Costantino, F. Marmottini, A. Comotti, P. Sozzani and R. Vivani, Chem. Coттип., 2014, 50, 14831-14834.
55 G. Socrates, Infrared and Raman Characteristic Group Frequencies: Tables and Charts, Wiley, 2001.
56 A. Saha, Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control, 2018, 78, 198-209.
57 W. Xie, M. Yu and R. Wang, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 2017, 17, 2715-2725.
58 P. Bollini, S. A. Didas and C. W. Jones, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 15100-15120.
59 F. Rezaei, M. A. Sakwa-Novak, S. Bali, D. M. Duncanson and C. W. Jones, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2015, 204, 34-42.

60 A. Koutsianos, L. B. Hamdy, C.-J. Yoo, J. J. Lee, M. Taddei, J. M. Urban-Klaehn, J. Dryzek, C. W. Jones, A. R. Barron and E. Andreoli, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 10827-10837.

61 K. S. Walton and D. S. Sholl, AIChE J., 2015, 61, 2757-2762.

62 H.-M. Wen, C. Liao, L. Li, A. Alsalme, Z. Alothman, R. Krishna, H. Wu, W. Zhou, J. Hu and B. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 3128-3134.
63 Y. Yang, C. Y. Chuah and T.-H. Bae, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 358, 1227-1234.
64 G. Zainab, N. Iqbal, A. A. Babar, C. Huang, X. Wang, J. Yu and B. Ding, Compos. Commun., 2017, 6, 41-47.
65 Y. He, Y. Xia, J. Zhao, Y. Song, L. Yi and L. Zhao, Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process., 2019, 125, 160.
66 A. Goeppert, H. Zhang, R. Sen, H. Dang and G. K. S. Prakash, ChemSusChem, 2019, 12, 1712-1723.

67 C. Knöfel, J. Descarpentries, A. Benzaouia, V. Zeleňák, S. Mornet, P. L. Llewellyn and V. Hornebecq, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2007, 99, 79-85.
68 A. Goeppert, H. Zhang, M. Czaun, R. B. May, G. K. Prakash, G. A. Olah and S. R. Narayanan, ChemSusChem, 2014, 7, 1386-1397.
69 S. Choi, J. H. Drese, P. M. Eisenberger and C. W. Jones, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2011, 45, 2420-2427.
70 M. Thommes, K. Kaneko, A. V. Neimark, J. P. Olivier, F. Rodriguez-Reinoso, J. Rouquerol and K. S. W. Sing, Pure Appl. Chem., 2015, 87, 1051-1069.
71 W. Jung and K. S. Lee, J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng., 2020, 84, 103489.
72 G. Y. Gor, P. Huber and N. Bernstein, Appl. Phys. Rev., 2017, 4, 011303.
73 J. Young, E. García-Díez, S. Garcia and M. van der Spek, Energy Environ. Sci., 2021, 14, 5377-5394.
74 N. S. Wilkins, A. Rajendran and S. Farooq, Adsorption, 2021, 27, 397-422.
75 X. Xu, C. Song, B. G. Miller and A. W. Scaroni, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2005, 44, 8113-8119.
76 Y. Liu, Q. Ye, M. Shen, J. Shi, J. Chen, H. Pan and Y. Shi, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2011, 45, 5710-5716.
77 J. Wang, M. Wang, W. Li, W. Qiao, D. Long and L. Ling, AIChE J., 2015, 61, 972-980.
78 S. A. Didas, M. A. Sakwa-Novak, G. S. Foo, C. Sievers and C. W. Jones, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2014, 5, 4194-4200.

79 J. Yu and S. S. C. Chuang, Energy Fuels, 2016, 30, 7579-7587.

