

PAPER

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)

First demonstration of surface enhanced-stimulated Raman spectroscopy (SE-SRS) using low-power CW sources

C. L. D. Lee and K. C. Hewitt *

Received 18th April 2017, Accepted 23rd May 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7fd00137a

Using commercially available nanoparticles, continuous wave Surface-Enhanced Stimulated Raman spectroscopy (CW SE-SRS) is demonstrated for the first time using two Ti:Sapphire lasers producing a pump beam (785 nm, 100 mW) and appropriately varying probe/Stokes beams (860–870 nm, 120 mW). The Ti-Sapphire lasers are co-pumped by a 10 W low noise 532 nm Spectra Physics Millennia laser. Pulsed SE-SRS is also demonstrated using a Coherent Chameleon Ultra laser for the Stokes/probe (863–871 nm) beam and a Coherent Ultra II as the pump laser (785 nm). In both cases lock-in techniques are used to extract the small signal (1 in 10^9) successfully. These experiments convincingly demonstrate that SRS with CW sources is possible using appropriate nanoparticles, and this realization creates opportunities for a wider range of stimulated Raman spectroscopy applications.

Introduction

In stimulated Raman spectroscopy, two optical fields coherently drive a vibrational mode while one of these fields (SRS), or a third one (CARS), probes this coherent molecular vibration. The third-order non-linear susceptibility $\chi^{(3)}$, which enables the process, has resonances at the vibrational frequencies. Therefore, by tuning the frequency differences between the lasers, one can probe the vibrational spectrum of a molecule. When the frequency difference matches a molecular vibration, the intensity of the pump field experiences a loss (Stimulated Raman Loss – SRL) while the probe field experiences a gain (Stimulated Raman Gain – SRG) because for each probe (Stokes) photon created by stimulated emission, a corresponding pump photon is annihilated. The gain and loss are usually many orders of magnitude less than the overall intensity (1 in 10^6 to 10^7) so lock-in techniques are required to extract the small signal. For co-linear pump and probe beams propagating through an isotropic Raman active sample (Fig. 1) the

Dalhousie University, Department of Physics and Atmospheric Sciences, 6310 Coburg Road, B3H 4R2, Halifax, NS, Canada. E-mail: Kevin.Hewitt@Dal.ca; Tel: +1-902-494-2315





Fig. 1 Schematic for CW or pulsed SRS showing a modulated pump beam and an unmodulated probe beam. Following interaction with the sample, lock-in techniques can be used to detect the SRL in the pump beam or SRG in the probe beam by removing the unwanted one using a bandpass filter (SRL) or a long pass filter (SRG).

SRG/SRL is proportional to the third-order susceptibility, path length and incident beam intensity.^{1,2}

The coherent optical fields can benefit from plasmonic enhancement,³ $G_{SE-SRS} = \{|\chi_{ads}^{(3)}|^2/|\chi_{free}^{(3)}|^2\}|A(\vartheta_L)|^4|A(\vartheta_S)|^4$ where the non-linear susceptibility (χ) can be different for adsorbed and “free” molecules. Note that in incoherent probing, spectroscopic signals linearly depend on the number of molecules, while coherent Raman signals show a quadratic dependence. SE-SRS benefits from an eight order of magnitude increase in the enhancement factor.

To probe such non-linear effects one normally employs picosecond or femto-second pulses to obtain the high peak powers ($\sim 10 \text{ MW cm}^{-2}$) needed to excite third-order processes. Typically, however, the intensity fluctuations in SERS would preclude its use in such an experiment because these fluctuations would swamp the small changes in the SRS intensity at resonance. However, we are able to overcome this difficulty because of the unique stability of the scattering from the Oxonica nanoparticles.

In this contribution we demonstrate SE-SRS using pulsed and (for the first time) CW lasers.

Experimental

In our pulsed laser SE-SRS experiment a Coherent Chameleon Ultra laser is used for the Stokes/probe (863–871 nm) beam and a Coherent Ultra II is used as the pump laser (785 nm). Both lasers have a pulse width of 140 fs at a repetition rate of 80 MHz. Each laser provides a stability of <0.5%, noise of <0.15% and a maximum average power of 150 mW at the sample. To modulate the Stokes laser line, a Hinds PEM 90 with an IFS50 fused silica head modulates the polarization at 50 kHz. We operate the PEM in the quarter-wave retardation mode. A Melles Griot 03PTH005 linear prism polarizer then passes only vertically polarized laser light, so that now the laser intensity is modulated at a frequency of 100 kHz.

The laser is focused onto the sample using a long working distance 50× objective lens. The sample is located at the focus of a second 50× lens that collimates the transmitted probe and pump beams.

To filter the modulated probe beam, a Comar 785 nm interference filter with a 10 nm bandwidth is used, allowing only the pump beam to pass to the detector.



Because we are detecting the pump beam, it is the wavelength dependence of the SRL in this channel that provides the necessary spectral information. To measure the light intensity, a Thorlabs SM1PDA1 Silicon photodiode is used in the photoconductive mode, for a rapid response at relatively high intensity. The responsivities of the photodiode at the wavelengths used are as follows: 0.646 A W^{-1} (870 nm), 0.655 A W^{-1} (880 nm), and 0.563 A W^{-1} (785 nm).

Since the stimulated emission signal is expected to be small compared to the noise, a lock-in amplifier is needed to demodulate the 100 kHz stimulated emission signal from the electronic and laser noise. In the pulsed laser experiment, a Model 186 Synchro-het Lock-in Amplifier is used for just that purpose. The 100 kHz reference square wave from the PEM is used for the reference in the lock-in.

For our CW laser SE-SRS experiments, a Stanford Research SR510 Lock-in amplifier has been procured, offering faster phase control as well as computer control. Two Spectra Physics 3900S Ti:Sapphire laser cavities are pumped by a Millennia Xs 532 nm (10 W) laser. The output of one of the Ti:Sapphire lasers is set to 785 nm, and the other is tunable over the appropriate range. Otherwise the optical components are identical to our pulsed SE-SRS experiment, with the exception of the placement of a $10 \mu\text{m}$ pinhole to align the pump (120 mW) and probe (100 mW) beams.

A Jobin-Yvon T64000 Raman system operating in the single grating mode was used for conventional Raman spectroscopy. 632.8 nm laser excitation from a He-Ne laser was focused through a $50\times$ long working distance objective, with 5 mW at the sample.

Results and discussion

Pulsed SE-SRS

Consistent with most SRS spectra described in the literature, the signal generated from our experiment exhibits (Fig. 2) the same characteristics as the spontaneous Raman signal, without a dispersive response as seen by Frontiera *et al.*⁴ In particular, the 1205 cm^{-1} mode is the most prominent in the SERS spectra, and has been assigned by Zhuang *et al.*⁵ to the symmetric stretching of C–C and bending vibrations of pyridyl C–N bonds. The SRL is proportional to the pump and Stokes power, as expected.

Surface enhanced femtosecond SRS has been reported by the Northwestern group⁴ using Oxonica nanoparticles. We were able to achieve SE-SRS using power densities that are orders of magnitude smaller than Frontiera *et al.*⁴ – our pump beam peak power was 0.01 W cm^{-2} versus 0.1 W cm^{-2} for theirs, while their probe beam was 10 W cm^{-2} versus 0.004 W cm^{-2} for ours. Since it is assumed that only dimers contribute to the signal, and they comprise 10% of the sample, one can detect dimer-only concentrations as low as 6 pM. They estimated the time- and ensemble averaged enhancement factor as 10^4 to 10^6 . We have measured (Fig. 2a) stimulated Raman loss of about 10^{-7} W in a pump beam with average power of 150 mW. That is, we were able to detect the loss of ~ 1 in 10^8 pump photons using the lock-in techniques.

The SERS nanoparticles are replete with ring systems, similar in structure to benzene.





Fig. 2 (a) SERS spectra (solid line), compared with parallel- (filled squares) and cross-polarized (triangles) pulsed surface-enhanced stimulated Raman spectra, of a 64 pM suspension of S-440 nanoparticles in the vicinity of the 1205 cm⁻¹ mode ($\nu(\text{C}-\text{C})$, $\delta(\text{C}-\text{N})$) of *trans*-1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)-ethylene (BPE). The variation in the stimulated Raman loss with the Stokes power is shown in (b) using a 785 nm pump wavelength, and 867 nm Stokes wavelength.

CW SE-SRS

Owyoung⁶ showed that one can obtain SRS from benzene using low power CW sources in the late 70 s. Our pulsed SE-SRS results establish that surface enhancement generates stimulated Raman for a sample of low picomolar concentration using a pump and a probe beam with a power density of ~ 0.001 – 0.01 MW cm^{-2} , about three to four orders of magnitude lower than that required for conventional SRS. Given that conventional SRS uses samples with concentrations in the micromolar range, this adds another 3 orders of magnitude to the





Fig. 3 Conventional incoherent Raman scattering (solid line) from S-440 particles in the vicinity of the 1205 cm^{-1} mode, and stimulated Raman loss (filled blue squares) as a function of the probe/Stokes beam wavelength (865–870 nm) using continuous wave (CW) pump (785 nm, 100 mW) and probe (120 mW) beams.



Fig. 4 Conventional incoherent Raman scattering (solid line) from S-470 particles in the vicinity of the 1160 cm^{-1} mode, and stimulated Raman loss (filled blue squares) as a function of the probe/Stokes beam wavelength (861.75–864.75 nm) using continuous wave (CW) pump (785 nm, 100 mW) and probe (120 mW) beams.

enhancement, leading to an overall enhancement factor of $\sim 10^6$. Therefore, to achieve CW SE-SRS one expects that a 100 mW pump and probe laser must be focused to the tens of microns – about the size of a cell – to observe the effect.

With that estimate in mind, we conducted CW SRS using a 120 mW pump and 100 mW probe focused through a $50\times$ objective lens onto a sample which sits at the focus of a second $50\times$ lens which collects the transmitted light. As shown in



Fig. 3 and 4, CW SE-SRS was achieved for two flavours of the Oxonica nanoparticles (S-440 and S-470).

Conclusions

We successfully demonstrate CW and pulsed Surface Enhanced-Stimulated Raman Scattering (SE-SRS) using Oxonica (now Cabot Securities) nanoparticles for particle concentrations as low as 10 picomolar using peak power densities (0.01 MW cm^{-2}) that are three-to-four orders of magnitude smaller than those required for conventional SRS. These reduced incident powers are possible because the laser field is significantly enhanced within the gold nanoparticle dimer crevices, where the molecular species of interest is attached. Diminishing the incident laser power requirements meant that CW lasers of low power (100 mW) focused to tens of microns in diameter could be used to generate SE-SRS, enabling the detection of nanoparticles at picomolar concentrations. Because of the concentration dependence of SRS, the technique should be applicable to larger volumes where species of higher concentrations are found (*e.g.* the nanomolar or micromolar concentrations of proteins in cells). It should be possible to track molecules attached to these nanoparticles with concentrations that are tens of picomolar or greater. The realization of CW SE-SRS (100 mW pump) creates opportunities for a wider range of stimulated Raman spectroscopy applications.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the financial support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada, the office of the Dean of Science at Dalhousie University, and the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI). We also gratefully acknowledge the loan of one of the Ti:Sapphire lasers by Prof. Dr Airtion Martin of the Universidade do Vale do Paraiba (Sao Jose dos Campos, SP – Brazil), Dr Sanjiv Gambhir for the Oxonica nanoparticles, and Dr Kimberly Hall for the Hinds PEM 90. We also thank Dr. R. Brownstone for use of his two pulsed femtosecond lasers linked to an optical microscope.

References

- 1 C. W. Freudiger, W. Min, B. G. Saar, S. Lu, G. R. Holtom, C. He, J. C. Tsai, J. X. Kang and X. S. Xie, *Science*, 2008, **322**, 1857; M. B. J. Roelfaers, X. Zhang, C. W. Freudiger, B. G. Saar, M. van Ruijven, G. van Dalen, C. Xiao and X. S. Xie, *J. Biomed. Opt.*, 2011, **16**, 021118.
- 2 P. Nandakumar, A. Kovalev and A. Volkmer, *New J. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 033026.
- 3 K. Kneipp, H. Kneipp and J. Kneipp, Plasmonics for Enhanced Vibrational Signatures, in *Plasmonics: Theory and Applications, Challenges and Advances in Computational Chemistry and Physics*, ed. T. V. Shahbazyan and M. I. Stockman, Springer, Dordrecht, Germany, 2013, ch. 3, pp. 103–124.
- 4 R. R. Frontiera, A.-I. Henry, N. L. Gruenke and R. P. Van Duyne, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, **2**, 1199.
- 5 Z. Zhuang, J. Cheng, H. Jia, J. Zeng, X. Han, B. Zhao, H. Zhang, G. Zhang and W. Zhao, *Vib. Spectrosc.*, 2007, **43**, 306.
- 6 A. Owyong and E. D. Jones, *Opt. Lett.*, 1977, **1**, 152.

