# **Chem Soc Rev**



**View Article Online** 

### **REVIEW ARTICLE**

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Soc. Rev., 2024, 53, 5190

Received 14th November 2023 DOI: 10.1039/d3cs00817g

rsc.li/chem-soc-rev

# Layer-by-layer thinning of two-dimensional materials

Phuong V. Pham, <sup>[10]</sup>†\*<sup>a</sup> The-Hung Mai, <sup>[10]</sup>†<sup>a</sup> Huy-Binh Do, <sup>[10]</sup><sup>b</sup> M. Vasundhara, <sup>[10]</sup><sup>c</sup> Van-Huy Nguyen, <sup>[10]</sup><sup>d</sup> Trieu Nguyen, <sup>e</sup> Hao Van Bui, <sup>[10]</sup><sup>f</sup> Van-Duong Dao, <sup>[10]</sup><sup>g</sup> Ram K. Gupta, <sup>[10]</sup><sup>h</sup> Vinoth Kumar Ponnusamy\*<sup>ijkl</sup> and Jin-Hong Park <sup>[10]</sup>\*<sup>m</sup>

Etching technology - one of the representative modern semiconductor device makers - serves as a broad descriptor for the process of removing material from the surfaces of various materials, whether partially or entirely. Meanwhile, thinning technology represents a novel and highly specialized approach within the realm of etching technology. It indicates the importance of achieving an exceptionally sophisticated and precise removal of material, layer-by-layer, at the nanoscale. Notably, thinning technology has gained substantial momentum, particularly in top-down strategies aimed at pushing the frontiers of nano-worlds. This rapid development in thinning technology has generated substantial interest among researchers from diverse backgrounds, including those in the fields of chemistry, physics, and engineering. Precisely and expertly controlling the layer numbers of 2D materials through the thinning procedure has been considered as a crucial step. This is because the thinning processes lead to variations in the electrical and optical characteristics. In this comprehensive review, the strategies for top-down thinning of representative 2D materials (e.g., graphene, black phosphorus, MoS<sub>2</sub>, h-BN, WS<sub>2</sub>, MoSe<sub>2</sub>, and WSe<sub>2</sub>) based on conventional plasma-assisted thinning, integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning, laser-assisted thinning, metal-assisted splitting, and layer-resolved splitting are covered in detail, along with their mechanisms and benefits. Additionally, this review further explores the latest advancements in terms of the potential advantages of semiconductor devices achieved by top-down 2D material thinning procedures.

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung 80424, Taiwan. E-mail: phuongpham@mail.nsysu.edu.tw
- <sup>b</sup> Faculty of Applied Science, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology and Education, Thu Duc 700000, Vietnam
- <sup>c</sup> Polymers and Functional Materials Department, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Tarnaka, Hyderabad 500007, India
- <sup>d</sup> Centre for Herbal Pharmacology and Environmental Sustainability, Chettinad Hospital and Research Institute, Chettinad Academy of Research and Education, Kelambakkam-603103, Tamil Nadu, India
- <sup>e</sup> Shared Research Facilities, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA
- <sup>f</sup> Faculty of Materials Science and Engineering and Faculty of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Phenikaa University, Hanoi 12116, Vietnam
- <sup>g</sup> Faculty of Biotechnology, Chemistry, and Environmental Engineering, Phenikaa University, Hanoi 100000, Vietnam
- <sup>h</sup> Department of Chemistry, Kansas Polymer Research Center, Pittsburg State University, Pittsburg, KS-66762, USA
- <sup>i</sup> Department of Medicinal and Applied Chemistry, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan. E-mail: kumar@kmu.edu.tw
- <sup>j</sup> Research Center for Precision Environmental Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan
- <sup>k</sup> Department of Medical Research, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung 80424, Taiwan
- <sup>m</sup> Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Sungkyunkwan University (SKKU), Suwon 16419, South Korea. E-mail: jhpark9@skku.edu
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.

#### 1. Introduction

In the era of technological advancement driving towards the miniaturization and portability of products, the primary goal of researchers is to improve the performance and power efficiency of microelectronic devices. Notably, the enhancement of silicon (Si) technology has been conducted in complementary metaloxide-semiconductor (CMOS) devices, which have served as the cornerstone of the Si industry for over half a century. In particular, it has facilitated an exponential increase in computing ability, following Moore's law.<sup>1,2</sup> Since the Bell laboratory introduced the centimeter-scale transistor as an alternative to the vacuum tube in 1947,<sup>3</sup> revolutionary changes have occurred in the semiconductor industry over the years. These changes have been driven by the pursuit of reducing transistor size to fulfill market demands for higher operating speed, less power consumption, and large process/storage capacity. As physical gate lengths gradually approach 5 nm or even 3 nm,<sup>4,5</sup> the challenges of pushing the limits of current semiconductor technology based on Si are becoming more formidable. In this regard, researchers have explored various new semiconductor materials, aiming to keep surpassing the performance of Sibased devices.6-10

#### **Review Article**

Since the successful isolation of graphene in 2004 by Novoselov *et al.*, its unique physical properties have been extensively examined, garnering significant attention from the scientific community.<sup>11–30</sup> Due to its distinctive structure and extensive  $\pi$ -conjugation, graphene exhibits outstanding electrical and optical characteristics, positioning it as a promising candidate for applications in electronics and optoelectronics.<sup>22,23,31–35</sup> This has sparked a race to explore high-quality 2D materials and integrate them into semiconducting devices at economical prices.<sup>36–39</sup> However, achieving optimal results in applications



Phuong V. Pham

Phuong V. Pham is Professor at the Department of Physics, National Sun Yat-sen University (NSYSU), Taiwan. He earned a PhD degree from Advanced Institute of Nanotechnology (SAINT), Sungkyunkwan University (SKKU), South Korea. He is leading the Materials Physics and Electronic Surfaces Group (https://sites.google.com/ view/phuonggroup/home) at NSYSU, Taiwan. He has spent a few years as a Postdoctoral

Researcher, Research Fellow, and Distinguished Research Fellow at SKKU; Institute for Basic Science, South Korea, and Hangzhou Global Scientific and Technological Innovation Center, Zhejiang University, China. He is a recipient of the NSF Career Award, National Postdoctoral Award for Outstanding Yong Scientists, China (2019), and NSTC Grant Award, Taiwan (2023). He is an Academic Editor of IntechOpen. He has published about 70 articles/books/book chapters. His research focuses on materials physics, surface physics, atomic film syntheses, 2D heterostructures, donors/acceptors, nanocomposites, and thinning technologies for electronics/optoelectronics.



The-Hung Mai

The-Hung Mai is an integrated *MS/PhD* candidate in Prof. Phuong V. Pham's Group at Department of Physics, National Sun Yat-sen University (NSYSU), Taiwan. He received a BS degree as 2nd valedictorian in engineering physics, University of Engineering and Technology Vietnam National (UET),University (VNU), Hanoi (2021). His research interests include film. thin low-dimensional material synthesis for

electronics, optoelectronics, and green energy.



Huy-Binh Do

Huy-Binh Do is currently a Lecturer with HCMC University of Technology and Education. He received a PhD degree from National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan in 2017 and worked as а postdoctoral research fellow there for a year. He was a Research Associate at Sheffield University (2018-2019), UK. His research interests are in RF and power devices including InGaAs, GaN, and Ga2O3 MOS-FETs. He also has an interest in



M. Vasundhara

Vasundhara is presently М. working as a Principal Scientist CSIR-Indian Institute at of Chemical Technology (CSIR-IICT), as an associate professor in the Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Hyderabad. She received her PhD (Experimental Condensed Matter Physics) from the Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur. She was trained in Physics and Material Science and gained wide experience in

the areas of advanced functional materials (permanent magnets, thermoelectric, dilute magnetic semiconductors, magnetic refrigeration, and magnetic nanomaterials) for energy and biomedical applications. She has handled 12 R&D projects from different national and international funding agencies and has successfully completed them. She has published more than 90 research articles.

2D materials. His current work includes a new class of RF and power devices as well as a new approach to fabricate 2D materials.

requires precise control over the layer numbers and shapes of these 2D materials, making it imperative to employ techniques for thinning them down. For instance, conventional graphene is typically grown on metal substrates using the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method. However, the strong interlayer coupling force between adjacent graphene layers makes it difficult to control the growth and achieve highly uniform monolayers. Even with tuning the CVD growth parameters, including tem-

Van-Huy Nguyen

articles/books/book chapters. Dr Nguyen is the Associate Editor of Applied Nanoscience (Springer), and the Editorial Board Member of PLOS One. His research focuses on chemical, catalysis, and materials aspects of (photo)catalytic processes and (photo)catalysts for clean and sustainable energy. perature, pressure, and gas composition, it is hard to prevent adlayers of graphene due to the strong interlayer force.

To date, CVD/molecular beam epitaxy (MBE)/pulsed laser deposition (PLD) have been proven as representative and mature bottom–up growth methods of 2D materials.<sup>40–42</sup> Nevertheless, not all bottom–up growth mechanisms of emerging 2D materials are fully understood and explored experimentally and theoretically to date.<sup>22</sup> In addition, there is no perfect guarantee



**Trieu Nguyen** 

Trieu Nguyen obtained his PhD (2015) on microfluidic energy conversion with Prof. Jan Eijkel and Prof. Albert van den Berg (University of Twente, Netherlands). From November 2015 to January 2021, he worked as a Postdoc in Michigan State University and Denmark Technical University on microfluidic applications for micromixers, biosensors and point-of-care diagnostics. Since June 2021, he has been an assistant professor (research) at

California North State University, performing research on biosensors and organs-on-a-chip. He is a former Senior Scientist at Honda Research Institute, USA. Currently, Dr Nguyen is also a senior scientist at Shared Research Facilities, West Virginia University, Morgantown WV 26506, USA. Dr Nguyen served on the MicroTAS Technical Program Committee from 2019 to 2022. He is currently Associate Editor of Frontiers in Lab on a Chip Technologies.



Van Hao Bui

Van Hao Bui is currently a Lecturer at Faculty of Materials and Engineering and Science Faculty of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Phenikaa University, Vietnam. He received his PhD (2013) in Electrical Engineering at the University of Twente (the Netherlands). He is a former senior postdoc at the Department of Chemical Engineering, Delft University of Technology (the Netherlands). His research interest focusses on

Van-Huy Nguyen has gained the

knowledge and experience of

working in academia and indus-

try. Before joining Chettinad

Academy of Research and Educa-

tion (CARE) and Shoolini Univer-

sity as a Visiting Professor, he

worked for Binh Duong Univer-

sity, Lac Hong University, Duy

Tan University, and Ton Duc

Thang University as a Principal

Researcher and Research Fellow,

respectively. Dr Nguyen has pub-

lished over 260 peer-reviewed

the fabrication of novel nanostructured materials by atomic layer deposition.



Van-Duong Dao

He received his PhD in Chemical Engineering from Chungnam National University (2013), South Korea. He worked as a Research Professor at the same university (2013–2018), under the support of the Brain Pool program, a prestigious grant from the National Research Founda-

Van-Duong Dao is an Associate Professor and Dean at Faculty of

Phenikaa University, Vietnam.

Chemistry, and

Engineering,

Biotechnology,

Environmental

tion, South Korea. His research mainly focuses on the development of various carbon-based hybrid materials for application to nextgeneration solar cells such as dye-sensitized, quantum-dotsensitized, and perovskite solar cells, solar-to-steam generation, energy storage devices, and photocatalysis. He is currently a Group Leader of Key Lab of Renewable Energy Conversion and Storage (RECS Lab) (https://recslab.phenikaa-uni.edu.vn/).

that these methods do not create defect sites and adlayers during the unperfected bottom-up growth process for all 2D material



Ram K. Gupta

Ram K. Gupta is a Professor at Pittsburg State University (2013present). Before joining Pittsburg State University, he worked as an Assistant Research Professor at Missouri State University, Springfield, MO, and then as a Senior Research Scientist at North Carolina A&T State University, Greensboro, NC. Dr Gupta is serving as an Associate Editor and reviewer for several leading science journals. His research focus is in green energy

production and storage using nanomaterials, optoelectronics, and photovoltaics devices, organic-inorganic hetero-junctions for sensors, nanomagnetism, conducting polymers and composites as well as biobased polymers, bio-compatible nanofibers for tissue regeneration, scaffolds and antibacterial applications and bio-degradable metallic implants. Dr Gupta has received a number of research grants (over one million dollars) from federal and state agencies such as the National Science Foundation (NSF), NSF-Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR), Department of Energy (DoE), Kansas IDeA Network of Biomedical Research Excellence (K-INBRE), State of Kansas Polymer Chemistry Initiative, etc.

siung, Taiwan. His research focuses on the design and development of novel nanomaterials, sample preparation techniques, green

analytical methodologies, chromatographic and mass spectro-

metric analysis, analytical method developments and applications towards clinical, food, drugs, and environmental analysis, the

fabrication of electrochemical sensors, photocatalysts for the decontamination of emerging pollutants, wastewater treatment and green energy. He has published over 185+ SCI papers, and 2 book chapters. Also, he serves as Associate Editor in Frontiers in





Vinoth Kumar Ponnusamy

Chemistry.

Vinoth Kumar Ponnusamy is a Full Professor in the Department of Medicinal and Applied Chemistry, and also a research faculty at the Research Center for Precision Environmental Medicine Kaohsiung Medical University (KMU), Kaohsiung City, Taiwan, leading the Nano and Green Analytical Technology (NGAT) research laboratory since 2016 (https://kumarslab.wixsite.com/ kumarslab). He also serves as a joint professor in the Department of Chemistry at National Sun Yat-sen University (NSYSU), Kaoh-

**Jin-Hong Park** 

Research Center (2009-2010). He also serves as an Editorial Board Member of Nanoscale Horizons. His research focuses on developing advanced low-power devices utilizing 2D van der Waals (vdW) materials, such as gate-all-around FETs, multivalued logic devices, and neuromorphic devices. Additionally, he focuses on fabrication technologies related to 2D vdW materials and oxide-semiconductor materials.

Jin-Hong Park is a Distinguished Professor (SKKU Fellow) at Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Department of Semiconductor Convergence Engineering, Sungkyunkwan Advanced Institute of Nanotechnology (SAINT), Sungkyunkwan University (SKKU), South Korea. He earned his PhD degree in electrical engineering from Stanford University (2009), USA. He join as a postdoctoral researcher at the IBM Thomas J. Watson



Fig. 1 Annual publications on "2D Materials" and "2D Materials Thinning" (2010–2023). (Source: Web of Science).

In this review, we introduce the prominent and widely adopted practices in thinning technologies, encompassing both wet thinning and dry thinning. These include plasmaassisted thinning, conventional-assisted thinning, integrated cyclic plasma thinning, laser-assisted thinning, metal-assisted splitting, and layer-resolved splitting. Additionally, recent advancements in thinning techniques for constructing micronano structures in semiconductor devices are highlighted in this review. Furthermore, the changes in thinned materials due to thinning-induced defects, especially concerning their physical behaviors, are considered and discussed. By addressing both challenges and opportunities, these distinctive thinning methods are expected to contribute to the expansion of research on semiconductor devices utilizing 2D materials in the future. Fig. 1 reveals a pronounced upward trend in the annual publications related to the research on 2D materials. In direct correlation with this, the yearly publications addressing the thinning of 2D materials have also experienced a rapid

surge in numbers. Fig. 1 reveals the evolution flow through the annual publications for "2D Materials" and "2D Materials Thinning" from 2010 to 2023. Drastically, they reached up to the magnitudes of 6.4 times (from 1715 in 2010 and 11 230 in 2023) for "2D Materials" and 12 times (from 132 in 2010 and 1580 in 2023) for "2D Materials Thinning", respectively.

# 2. Atomical layer-by-layer thinning of 2D materials: advanced top-down strategies applicable to semiconducting devices

The band-gap values of 2D materials vary depending on the number of layers they have. For instance, while monolayer graphene lacks a band gap, the introduction of adlayers leads to a fascinating physical phenomenon called band gap *via* 



**Fig. 2** Atomical layer-by-layer thinning strategies of emerging intrinsic multilayer materials to date. The topmost representative top-down methods for intrinsic multilayer material thinning. (a) Schematic diagram of the plasma treatment of BP. Reproduced with permission from ref. 66. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society. (b) The concept of oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) conventional-assisted thinning for graphene. (c) Schematic of integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning for molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) thinning. Reproduced with permission from ref. 76. Copyright 2022 American Chemical Society. (d) Schematic of the femto second laser thinning method for graphene thinning. Reproduced with permission from ref. 83. Copyright 2013 Royal Society of Chemistry. (e) Schematic of metal-assisted splitting with an adhesive-strained layer of nickel (Ni) deposited on graphene. Reproduced with permission from ref. 88. Copyright 2013 AAAS. (f) Schematic illustration explaining the layer resolved splitting process for 2D material monolayer. Reproduced with permission from ref. 89. Copyright 2018 AAAS.

twisting<sup>50</sup> and gate control.<sup>51</sup> By these sophisticated strategies, the band gap could be precisely controllable. Simultaneously with the aforementioned strategies, layer-by-layer thinning of 2D multilayer materials through six strategies (see in Introduction section) is one of the best strategies for controlling the band gap following the number of layers. These thinning technologies offer several advantages: (i) they result in a cleaner surface by removing contaminants and residues, and (ii) they diminish the thickness of the 2D material film, potentially leading to alterations in the band gap with varying layer numbers. This is accompanied by modifications in the optical and electrical properties of 2D materials. Moreover, these advanced thinning strategies open up new possibilities for advanced electronic applications. There are a massive number of applications that ideally need defect-free single-layered 2D materials or defect-free multilayered 2D materials, and also, things that do not need the appearance of a bad-gap such as flexible displays, touch panels, solar cells, fuel cells, *etc.* Therefore, the latest thinning technologies currently being employed in 2D materials will be scrutinized (Fig. 2) in this section. Here, the operational mechanisms and assessments of the impacts of thinning techniques on the quality of the 2D material will be examined and clarified.

#### 2.1. Plasma technology-based thinning

Plasma can be produced by introducing energy into a gas using several techniques, including the application of electric fields, heating, or the exposure of the gas to extremely powerful



**Fig. 3** Plasma-assisted thinning of CVD multilayer graphene and black phosphorus (BP). Schematic of two possible graphene thinning mechanisms of ground electrode  $O_2$  plasma: (a) anisotropic vertical thinning and (b) anisotropic horizontal thinning. (a) and (b) Reproduced with permission from ref. 60. Copyright 2014 Springer. (c) The ICP system was illustrated with a vertically symmetrical design, featuring an annular gas inlet (H<sub>2</sub>, Ar inlet) at the top of the chamber and an annular gas outlet (connected to a vacuum pump) at the bottom of the chamber. (d) The graphene flake, consisting of 3 layers, was achieved after an additional 2 minutes of fine thinning. (e) The graphene flake with 2 layers, was obtained after another 1 minute of fine thinning. (f) The corresponding graphene flake with a monolayer after another 1 minute of fine thinning. (g) The schematic diagram illustrates the transition process from many layers of graphene to few-layer graphene using the fast-thinning mode, namely H<sub>2</sub> plasma. (c)–(h) Reproduced with permission from ref. 65. Copyright 2018 Elsevier. (i) Schematic diagram of the effects of the plasma treatment of a BP flake: thickness control, and surface defect removal. (j) Raman spectra BP films with various thicknesses. (i) and (j) Reproduced with permission from ref. 66. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.

electromagnetic radiation.<sup>52,53</sup> Plasma has proven its potential to be applied in vast areas including chemistry, physics, engineering, microbiology, and medicine. Plasma-assisted thinning was introduced into integrated circuits manufactured in the 1960s and is widely applied even in the present day.<sup>54-57</sup> In the field of materials thinning, in order to control the number of layers of materials, plasma will be combined with a CVD system to form a plasma enhanced CVD (PECVD). Here, PECVD utilizes electrical energy by radio frequency (RF) to create an electric field between the electrodes to generate a glow discharge (plasma) at low pressure (0.1–10 Pa). The sample is placed on a cathode electrode and then bombarded by ions which are accelerated by the self-bias.<sup>58,59</sup> Thereby, Al-Mumen *et al.* have

reported singular sheet thinning from bilayer graphene using  $O_2$  using the ground electrode plasma system regarding the various plasma thinning directions.<sup>60</sup> However, this method introduced a few defects, due to the anisotropically vertical and horizontal thinning mode (Fig. 3a and b). Additionally, in this system, both the density of plasma (ions/area) and the kinetic energy of the ions are dependent on the power supplied to the lower electrode and cannot be changed independently.

A solution for this issue is inductively coupled plasma (ICP), where a separate coil is attached above the upper electrode and a separate RF power is applied to the coil. By first introducing the gas to the ICP coil and striking plasma there, the plasma density can be changed by adjusting the power provided to the

ICP coil. Following the introduction of the ions into the chamber, they are propelled toward the lower electrode by using the biasing voltage created by powering the lower electrode, which also regulates the kinetic energy of the ions. These benefits and characteristics make ICP-plasma-assisted thinning become a potential system for achieving excellent anisotropy and high thinning rates.<sup>14,61-63</sup> Recent studies by Pham et al. and Germain et al. have demonstrated graphene films, which can obtain less damage and are cleaned, by using chlorine (Cl) and nitrogen (N2) in the ICP system, respectively.<sup>15,16,20,64</sup> In analogy to the report above, through the treatment of layer-by-layer thinning of graphene, Xiao et al. have succeeded in demonstrating a controllable number of layers of graphene. Here, graphene flakes were thinned using a planar low-frequency (0.5 M) ICP source (Fig. 3c) at room temperature. The plasma operated in the transition region between the capacitive discharge mode (E-mode) and the inductive discharge mode (H-mode) with medium input power (300-500 W). Hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) or argon (Ar) (at the flow rate of 10 sccm) gases were used as precursors at a flow rate of 10 sccm, and the working pressure was 3.4 Pa. Then, the defective samples were annealed in a tubular furnace under the protection of a N2-gas environment at high temperatures of 800-900 °C for 15 min followed by manual cooldown of the furnace to room temperature. The resultant trilayer, bilayer, and monolayer graphene formation was performed in three consecutive fine thinning steps of 2 min, 1 min, and 1 min, respectively, as clearly displayed in Fig. 3d-f. Consequently, a fast thinning mode is achieved under Ar plasma excitement in the transition region between the E-mode and H-mode. On the other hand, the fine thinning mode is considered to be suitable for layer-by-layer thinning of graphene, which is a characteristic of H<sub>2</sub> plasma excited in the transition region between the Emode and H-mode (Fig. 3g and h). The defects in graphene caused by direct ion bombardment can be nearly completely restored through subsequent annealing processes.<sup>65</sup> Similarly, a few-layers of BP were also followed by Ar<sup>+</sup> plasma treatment of various durations to achieve thickness-controlled BP films, as shown in Fig. 3i.<sup>66</sup> The modification of BP was observed after the plasma treatments at 350 W, allowing for control of the BP thickness by adjusting the duration of plasma treatment without causing damage to the morphology and crystal structure of the developed films. The Raman spectra results shown in Fig. 3j depict the BP samples with thickness ranging from 2 to 10 nm. It exhibits vibrations of the crystalline lattice of BP and matches the Raman shift attributed to the  $A_g^1$ ,  $B_{2g}$ , and  $A_g^2$  phonons observed in bulk and exfoliated BP, which indicates that the BP films maintain their crystalline structures even after plasma treatment. Furthermore, the adjustment of the number of layers of 2D materials has been achieved via this method. Nonetheless, a significant limitation of this approach is the harsh environment at the surface-plasma interface, which can result in damage to the surface of the material's films. The continuous influx of plasma species leads to the accumulation of disorder and damage on the surface region.<sup>67</sup> This is a major concern as not only more steps are required to form the thin 2D

materials, but also a high precision thinning with minimal non-structural damage is needed. Any unwanted changes in the intrinsic multilayer material thinning process (layer numbers, profile) or excessive surface state density caused by plasma thin-induced damage would alter the behaviors and functions of semiconductor devices. Furthermore, parameters such as pressure, RF power, and selecting suitable plasma ( $O_2$ ,  $H_2$ , Ar plasma) are also required and considered to avoid damage as well as defects throughout the thinning process.

2.1.1. Conventional plasma-assisted thinning. An alternative approach for plasma-assisted thinning is conventional plasma-assisted thinning, also known as the atomic layer thinning (ALT) technique, which uses consecutive selflimiting reactions to remove tiny layers of material, and is considered to be one of the most promising ways to achieve low process variability required in the atomic-scale field.68-70 A thinning cycle of ALT contains separated reaction A and reaction B. Here, in reaction A, the material surface undergoes modification through chemical adsorption or desorption, while in reaction B, the tuning of the layer is removed. The control of the thinning amount per cycle is self-limited in either reaction A or reaction B. This self-limited behavior means that the thinning rate gradually decreases with an increase in thinning time or the amount of reactant. Each cycle involves four states: (1) injection of the reaction gas into the plasma cavity to modify the top layer. (2) Cessation of gas injection and venting of excess gas in the reactor. (3) Thinning of the modification layer by accelerated high-energy particles. (4) Stopping of highenergy particles and removal of excess gases and reaction byproducts from the chamber.

 $O_2$ , Ar and  $H_2$  plasma gas-assisted thinning. In a report, Chen et al. successfully achieved monolayered MoS<sub>2</sub> from the sample originally with bilayer MoS<sub>2</sub> through the ALT method.<sup>71</sup> Here, after each 10 s of O<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment, the uppermost layer of  $MoS_2$  only will detach from the sample (Fig. 4a). Due to the impact of the O<sub>2</sub> plasma thinning process, the surface of the non-thinned layers in MoS2 may be influenced by O2 bombardment after the removal of the second layer of MoS<sub>2</sub>. This results in an uneven surface and numerous defects in the thinned MoS<sub>2</sub>. Nevertheless, as some positions in MoS<sub>2</sub> now become oxidized MoS<sub>2</sub>, this can be rectified through an additional resulfurization procedure. Consequently, the remaining film, which initially contained partially oxidized MoS<sub>2</sub> introduced during the layered thinning process, will be reverted to a complete MoS<sub>2</sub> film through this re-sulfurization procedure. As shown in Fig. 4b, the influence of the O<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment before and after the re-sulfurization procedure. An  $Mo^{6+} 3d_{3/2}$ peak located at  $\sim$ 235.9 eV is observed, which indicates that a partial oxidation is introduced to the remaining MoS<sub>2</sub> after the ALT procedure. After the re-sulfurization procedure, the Mo<sup>6+</sup> 3d<sub>3/2</sub> peak disappears, suggesting that the partially oxidized MoS<sub>2</sub> film is converted back to a complete MoS<sub>2</sub>. With controlled time durations of O<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment, it is possible to selectively thin off a single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> from the bilayer MoS<sub>2</sub> sample. Furthermore, the authors have also constructed a



**Fig. 4** Conventional plasma-assisted thinning of CVD multilayer graphene and  $MoS_2$ . (a) A diagram illustrating the step-by-step removal of  $MoS_2$  layers using  $O_2$  plasma treatment and the subsequent healing process through resulfurization. (b) The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) plots of the sample are presented in the figure, depicting three curves: the red line represents the sample treated with 10 s of  $O_2$  plasma before resulfurization, the blue line shows the sample after the resulfurization process, and the black line represents the as-grown sample. (c) The cross-section HRTEM images and their HAADF mapping of Mo and W elements of the samples; (a)–(c) reproduced with permission from ref. 71. Copyright 2017 IOP Publishing.

heterostructure of  $WS_2/MoS_2$  by using this method. The crosssectional high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) of the un-thinned stripe and the thinned area away from the stripe edge are shown in Fig. 4c. The high-angle annular dark field (HAADF) mappings of the Mo and W elements are provided for both areas. The un-thinned stripe shows 7-layered 2D crystals, while the thinned area shows 3layered 2D crystals. The difference in the number of layers corresponds to the thinning time using the 10 s O<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment.

 $MoF_6$  and  $H_2O$ -assisted thinning. In an alternative approach, thermal ALT relies on self-limiting surface reactions and molecular modifications that create volatile byproducts for subsequent removal. This technique has demonstrated the ability to generate low surface defects and has found applications across various material systems. Similar to conventional ALT, the elementary steps in a thermal ALT process involve the physisorption and chemisorption of vapor-phase reactants onto the surface, followed by their chemical reaction and the desorption of by-products from the surface, providing sub-nanometer precision in the thinning process and earning recognition as an isotropic etching technology.<sup>72</sup> An illustrative application of this method in thinning MoS<sub>2</sub> was conducted by Soares et al.<sup>73</sup> Here, molybdenum(vi) fluoride ( $MoF_6$ ) was introduced to the MoS<sub>2</sub> sample in a controlled environment, serving as a fluorine source. The interaction of MoF<sub>6</sub> with MoS<sub>2</sub> led to the formation of volatile MoF<sub>6</sub> and other reaction products. Concurrently, heat was applied to enhance the reactivity of MoF<sub>6</sub> with MoS<sub>2</sub>, accelerating the chemical reactions and promoting the desorption of reaction by-products, including MoF<sub>6</sub>.

Additionally, the presence of  $H_2O$  can lead to reactions between  $MoS_2$ ,  $MoF_6$ , and  $H_2O$ , resulting in the generation of gaseous species containing all the elements ( $H_2S$ ,  $MoF_2O_2$ , HF,  $H_2$ ). This is synonymous with the fact that the layer-by-layer structure of  $MoS_2$  can be removed through these reactions (Fig. 5a). The



**Fig. 5** Thermal ALT of MoS<sub>2</sub>. (a) Schematic of MoS<sub>2</sub> thinning using MoF<sub>6</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. AFM images depicting the exfoliated flake of MoS<sub>2</sub> without thinning (b), with an additional 90 cycles of thinning *via* ALT under 200 °C (c), and with an additional 90 cycles *via* ALT under 250 °C (d). Reproduced with permission from ref. 73. Copyright 2023 American Chemical Society.

controlled introduction of H2O further contributed to the precision of the thinning process. As a result, the authors successfully etched MoS<sub>2</sub> films with a high degree of crystallinity and low defect density of the exfoliated flakes at 0.2 Å per cycle at 250 °C. Moreover, through AFM topography scans, the MoS<sub>2</sub> samples were compared before and after exfoliation. Following etching at 200 °C, the author revealed localized topography changes and roughening along the edges of individual layers, resembling grain boundary decoration. Upon further examination of the MoS<sub>2</sub> behavior on exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> at an elevated temperature of 250 °C and the completion of an additional 90 MoS<sub>2</sub> ALT cycles, a reduction in edge site roughness along the MoS<sub>2</sub> layer perimeter was observed. Despite the initial loss of smaller crystalline domains, no significant topographic changes were identified on the flake (Fig. 5b-d). The absence of defects within the exfoliated flake could hinder available reactive sites for oxidation and subsequent etching, resulting in the observed lack of surface changes. This strategy shows promise for producing a high degree of crystallinity and low defect density in exfoliated flakes. It also proves to be a viable method for integrating 2D material thinning into highvolume manufacturing and is applicable to other layered 2D materials.

By incorporating these enhancements in adsorption and desorption processes, along with rapid gas-switching capabilities, notable improvements in cycle times can be achieved. However, some factors play a vital role in the ALT, such as ion energy, duration of thinning, and selecting the chemical adsorption and desorption. Using a very high ion energy leads to both the modified surface layer and the thinning of the underlying materials simultaneously, in contrast to using the very low ion energy, as the modified surface layer of materials is not possible to occur. Therefore, to attain the perfect thinning process, finding suitable conditions is one of the mandatory requirements.

**2.1.2. Integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning.** This method is based on the mechanism of ALT and plasma-assisted thinning techniques. The innovative strategy of this method allows for controlled and customized thinning processes, thereby fostering advancements in nanotechnology and materials science. However, the difference can occur from the plasma system, with the investigated double mesh grid between the plasma source and the substrate holder in the ICP gun. Through the second grid, known as the extraction electrode, being controlled by applying direct current (DC) bias voltage, the plasma could be controlled and obtained higher density using a low energy of ions. This allows an integrated cyclic plasma process to be repeated after each cycle of thinning the material without affecting the final results of the material surface.

Cyclic  $O_2$  radical adsorption and Ar desorption-assisted thinning. Kim *et al.* successfully generated a low energy  $O_2$ -ion and a low energy Ar<sup>+</sup>-ion beam by floating the 1st grid of the ion beam source without applying any voltage, while the 2nd grid was grounded (Fig. 6a). The implementation of a two-step plasma

thinning process in the ICP system, involving O2 radical chemical adsorption and desorption, along with Ar physical ion beam irradiation, significantly minimizes the damage on the graphene surface. The process was carried out with controlled-plasma energy reduced to 11.2 eV.74 As shown in Fig. 6b-f, a reduction in the thickness of the pristine bilayer graphene from approximately 1.45 to about 0.72 nm was observed after one cycle of thinning, as labeled by the yellow square. The roughness values before and after one cycle were around 0.41 nm and 0.43 nm respectively, whereas the average  $I_{\rm 2D/G}$  was increased from ~1.08 to ~1.78, and the G and 2D peaks were red-shifted from 2679 to 2675 cm<sup>-1</sup>. These findings suggested that the removal of one layer of graphene is uniform across a large area without causing any noticeable damage to the surface of the graphene. In the same research direction, Lim et al. have elaborated that the modification of graphene thinning has been performed based on this strategy. During the process, 20 sccm of O<sub>2</sub> is supplied from the ICP gun as an adsorbent in order to be reacted on the surface of the graphene, while applying 300 W of 13.56 MHz RF power. Then, 30 sccm of Ar was also supplied from an ICP gun using the same RF power for the desorption step (Fig. 6g).<sup>75</sup> Consequently, the multilayered graphene was thinned with each monolayer of graphene. The optical transmittance results showed that the monolayer of graphene was completely removed, as evident in Fig. 6h, where both the G and 2D peaks disappeared, indicating the complete removal of bilayer graphene. Furthermore, after one cycle, the thinning process was observed in the graphene sheet composed of bilayer/monolayer graphene. In this case, only the unmasked bilayer graphene area changed to monolayer graphene, while the unmasked monolayer area transformed into zero-layer graphene, and the shape of bilayer graphene remained unchanged throughout the substrate (Fig. 6j). This proves that there was no undercutting of the graphene layer during the thinning process.

Cyclic Cl (or  $N_2$ ) radical adsorption and Ar desorption-assisted thinning. Likewise, the controlled method employs Cl<sup>-</sup> radical adsorption and Ar<sup>+</sup> ion beam desorption per cycle for the layerby-layer thinning of MoS<sub>2</sub>. This process effectively removes one monolayer of MoS<sub>2</sub> uniformly without causing any damage or contamination.<sup>76</sup> More specifically with the use of a controlled  $Ar^+$  ion beam coupled with a threshold ion energy of 25 eV, the top sulfur (S) layer alone was successfully removed from the monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> resulting in the formation of a new Mo-S structure and transits its phase from trigonal-prismatic 2H to metallic state (Fig. 6i). In addition, a p-type branch kind of expression was witnessed from the I-V studies of the semiconductor devices, if the removed S top layer is replaced by N atoms. Moreover, the estimation for the thinning time of each layer in MoS<sub>2</sub> has also been presented in detail in reports by Kim et al. in 2018.<sup>77</sup> The author provides a clear explanation that Cl atoms become trapped between S atoms and Mo atoms. Following Cl radical adsorption, the higher electronegativity of Cl in comparison to S leads to a significant decrease in the binding energy between the top layer of S atoms and the Mo



**Fig. 6** Integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning of multilayer graphene and  $MoS_2$ . (a) The schematic illustrates a two-grid ICP beam system with an axial magnetic field, employed for graphene thinning, and a quadrupole mass spectrometer to measure ion energy and flux of the ion beam. (b) and (c) Optical image and (d) and (e) AFM images of the initial bilayer graphene, and after one cycle of thinning. (f) Raman data of the pristine bilayer graphene after one cycle for the corresponding white dots in (b) and (c). (b)–(f) Adopted with permission from ref. 74. Copyright 2017 Nature Publishing Group. (g) Three-atom-thick monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. (g) Schematic of thinning graphene by O<sub>2</sub> radical absorption and desorption under Ar neutral beam irradiation. (h) Raman spectra of bilayer graphene measured after each cycle and after the annealing of the 1 cycle thinned graphene. (g) and (h) Adopted with permission from ref. 75. Copyright 2012 Elsevier. (i) Schematic of thinning MoS<sub>2</sub>, S top layer removal using a controlled Ar<sup>+</sup> ion beam, and the Mo–S bottom structure after S top layer removal. Subsequently N<sub>2</sub> chemical adsorption using a controlled N/N<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> ion beam on the Mo–S bottom structure. (i) Reproduced with permission from ref. 76. Copyright 2022 American Chemical Society. (j) Change of the S/Mo ratio on the MoS<sub>2</sub> surface using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) during the thinning process. (j) Reproduced with permission from ref. 77. Copyright 2018 IOP Publishing.

layer. In Fig. 6j, during the  $Ar^+$  ion desorption, the layer containing S atoms is removed as S–Cl for the  $Ar^+$  ion desorption lasting for the duration ranging from 0 to 30 s. After the removal of the top layered S atoms, the trapped Cl ions form a bonding between Mo and Cl ions as Mn–Cl and Mo are removed, as Mo–Cl for the  $Ar^+$  ion desorption lasts for a duration of 30–60 s. Ultimately, the bottom S atoms bonded to the 2nd MoS<sub>2</sub> layer through van der Waals force are left alone, and their binding energies are also reduced after the removal of Mo atoms. Consequently, the bottom S atoms are eventually removed during the  $Ar^+$  ion desorption with a duration of 60 to 120 seconds. This process could be continuously performed as a function of time for further thinning of MoS<sub>2</sub>. Cyclic  $O_2$  radical adsorption and  $N_2$  desorption-assisted thinning. Besides, layer-by-layer of h-BN has been performed by Ma *et al.*<sup>78</sup> The top layer of h-BN undergoes deformation due to the chemical bonding with  $O_2$  atoms. To rectify this, energetic  $N_2$ ions produced by a 100 W plasma are utilized for a 90 s duration to eliminate the deformed top layer of h-BN. (Fig. 7a–c). Fig. 7d displays atomic force microscopy (AFM) images of the h-BN flake subjected to single ALT processes. Both the as-transferred h-BN film and the film after a single cycle of ALT formed a smoother surface. The AFM height profile in Fig. 7e confirms that the h-BN film thickness remains unaffected after  $O_2$  processing, indicating that the applied  $O_2$ plasma does not thin the h-BN layer. On the other hand, the



**Fig. 7** Integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning of multilayer h-BN. (a) The mechanical exfoliation method and the concept of single ALT are depicted in a visual representation. (b) The process of  $O_2$ -adsorption, utilizing  $O_2$  plasma, is illustrated in a schematic. (c) A schematic showcasing the step of single layer removal, accomplished through  $N_2$  plasma. (d) AFM images of the h-BN are provided to demonstrate the single ALT process. (e) The thickness of the h-BN film is measured under three different conditions: as-transferred,  $O_2$ -modified, and after undergoing one cycle of single atomic layer etching. (a)– (e) Reproduced with permission from ref. 79. Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry.

thickness of the h-BN film decreases by 3 Å following N<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment, equivalent to the thickness of a single layer of h-BN. This suggests that the top layer of h-BN is removed after one cycle of the single ALT process. This study further investigated the impact of N<sub>2</sub> plasma on another exfoliated h-BN flake to demonstrate that it does not physically thin pristine h-BN layers. These experimental results confirm that the role of O<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment is to induce instability in the top h-BN layer, preparing it for the subsequent thinning process. Additionally, another mode of atomic layer thinning is also involved in a report by Kwon *et al.* through the use of ultraviolet (UV) light as an excited source for a photochemical reaction to form the oxide layer first and then using water to resolve phosphorus oxide.<sup>79</sup>

Cyclic  $O_2$  adsorption and potassium hydroxide (KOH) desorption-assisted thinning. In an alternative approach, You et al. employed  $O_2$  and KOH as a wet method to thin WS<sub>2</sub>. The  $O_2$  plasma treatment, conducted for 30 s using a plasma cleaner in remote mode with a radio-frequency power of 50 W and a flow rate of 5 sccm, played a crucial role.<sup>80</sup> Throughout the downstream plasma-based oxidation process, a protective WO<sub>x</sub> layer formed on the surface, acting as a passivation layer to impede further process.<sup>81</sup> Following this, the WO<sub>x</sub> layer was selectively oxidized. Notably, WS<sub>2</sub> oxidation proved to be a self-limiting elimination by reacting with a 1 M KOH solution for 60 s, revealing the underlying WS<sub>2</sub>. The self-limiting oxidation of WS<sub>2</sub> occurred in either a single or bilayer, facilitating precise, atomical thin layer-by-layer removal. Consequently, the integration of remote O<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment and the KOH wet-thinning process allowed for meticulous control of WS<sub>2</sub> channel thickness in layer units by iteratively executing the recess cycle.

Integrating this technology could allow the construction of a unique structure in semiconductor devices, which leads to new breakthroughs in the electronic and optoelectronic devices fabricated by using 2D materials through atomic rearrangements, and it is possible to provide new advances for various applications through covalent bonding with rearranged functional groups within it.

#### 2.2. Laser-assisted thinning

The laser-assisted thinning technique is a relatively new technique for modifying layers of 2D materials. Zhou *et al.* have successfully reported on thinning a multilayer graphene oxide (GO) film to a tri-layered film using laser-induced oxidative burning in an air environment. The laser thinning arose from the oxidative burning of the GO films in air.<sup>82</sup> Here, the high-energy laser beam directly thinned down the functional graphene without using any lithography on it. This process consists of laser heat processing and cold processing. The



**Fig. 8** Laser-assisted thinning of CVD multilayer graphene. (a) The schematic illustrates the precise controlled thinning of few-layer graphene on a dielectric substrate using a fs laser, achieving single atomic layer precision. (b) Raman mapping of  $I_{2D}/I_G$  ratio was conducted on the selected region of graphene. (c) Raman spectra were obtained from single-layer, bilayer, trilayer, and few-layer graphene regions corresponding to the area in (b). (d)  $I_{2D}/I_G$  Raman peak ratio was analyzed as a function of graphene layer thickness. (a)–(d) Reproduced with permission from ref. 83. Copyright 2013 Royal Society of Chemistry. (e) Top and (f) side view of typical snapshots from atomistic simulations. In (e),  $O_2$  (red) atoms are excluded for clarity. These snapshots demonstrate that  $O_2$  initiates burning of the second layer only after the first one is almost entirely consumed. (e) and (f) Reproduced with permission from ref. 84. Copyright 2015 Nature Publishing Group.

working principle of laser heat processing technology is that the material is gradually melted and evaporated by local heating on the surface, while cold processing works by a photochemical reaction, which breaks the chemical bonds contained in the material when a high-energy laser radiates on the surface.

Lu *et al.* have demonstrated a new approach for fabricating thinner graphene using this laser thinning technology, where graphene with a controlled number of layers was obtained through femtosecond (fs) laser thinning of few-layered graphene with single atomic layer precision,<sup>83</sup> as shown in Fig. 8a. Here, the fs laser is employed and is integrated into a four-wave mixing (FWM) system, which allows for real-time monitoring of the laser thinning process using graphene's significant optical nonlinearity. By employing Raman

spectroscopic mapping and characterization tools, differentiating monolayered graphene from bi-layered and multilayered graphene is very straightforward. However, distinguishing between multilayered graphene with various layer thicknesses is very challenging (Fig. 8b and c). Furthermore, the monolayered/bi-layered graphene is sensitive to the Raman  $I_{2D}/I_G$ ratio; in contrast, there is not much difference in the Raman  $I_{2D}/I_G$  ratio for few-layered or multi-layered graphene (Fig. 8d). In another innovation of Ermakov *et al.*, the laser was used as an excited source to generate a heat sink on the surface of graphene for preventing the high-temperature region, which causes the burning and break down of C–C bonds, and leads to the reaction of carbon and O<sub>2</sub> atoms in the surroundings, in order to become carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and carbon trioxide (CO<sub>3</sub>) molecules (Fig. 8e and f).<sup>84</sup> Thereby,



**Fig. 9** Metal-assisted splitting (MAS) of CVD multilayer graphene. Photographs depicting the consecutive steps of the MAS of graphene from (a)–(c). The process involves monolayer graphene on a Cu foil after: (a) metallization with Ni, (b) application of thermal release tape, and (c) peeling the metallized graphene off the Cu foil, revealing cracks shown in the insets. (a)–(c) Reproduced with permission from ref. 87. Copyright 2015 IOP Publishing. (d) A diagram illustrating a technique to eliminate double-layer stripes from graphene exfoliated from a SiC substrate. The process involves depositing a second adhesive-strained layer (Au) on the graphene/Ni/tape stack that was exfoliated from the SiC substrates. The Au layer selectively removes the graphene stripes forming double layers, resulting in a complete monolayer graphene stripes are transferred onto a  $SiO_2/Si$  substrate (A-2). Moreover, the removed graphene stripes are transferred onto another substrate. Optical characterization was conducted on the graphene transferred after the first exfoliation (A-1), including an optical microscope image (e), a map of the 2D/G peak ratio from the Raman spectra (f), and a distribution plot of the 2D/G peak ratio (g). The results revealed that approximately 20% of the transferred graphene displayed a double-layer structure. Similarly, after the second exfoliation (A-2), optical analysis was performed, encompassing an optical image (h), a map of the 2D/G peak ratio (j). The findings indicated that the second exfoliation selectively removed the graphene stripes without causing any damage to the monolayer graphene. (d)–(j) Reproduced with permission from ref. 88. Copyright 2013 AAAS.

the number of layers of graphene would be thinned from multilayered graphene to single-layered graphene. The laser used in Raman spectroscopy can also be applied in thinning multilayered MoS<sub>2</sub> to the monolayered one, which is similar to the thinning of graphene.<sup>85</sup> Phosphorene oxides and suboxides with unique optical and fluorescence properties were produced on the top surface of laser-thinned BP in ambient environments.<sup>86</sup> In this technique, the precision of laser heat processing is low, and this results in damage to the crystal, and the formation of rough and non-uniform surfaces and edges of the thinned materials. This is a quick thinning method with high precision; however, approximately 20% of the area of the graphene sheets from the first exfoliation exhibited a doublelayered region (Fig. 9e–g). Thus, Au was utilized as a second adhesive-strain to selectively remove the double-layer region. As a result, the distribution of the 2D/G peak ratio revealed that 99% of the transferred graphene area, achieved through the two-step exfoliation process, consisted of a monolayered region (Fig. 9h–j). Inarguably, this method offers the advantage of being environmentally friendly, straightforward, and suitable for roll-to-roll processing, facilitating the transfer of large-area single-layered graphene onto flexible substrates. Nevertheless, there are still some limitations encountered using this technique, such as it depending on the thickness of the adhesivestrained layer. If the thickness of the metal tape is either too substantial or excessive, it can compromise the robustness required for the MAS process, resulting in an anisotropic cracking. Future developments based on this technique may promise to overcome as well as optimize the above issues, making it a simple yet highly effective technique for transferring graphene film at a low cost.

#### 2.3. Metal-assisted splitting (MAS)

Simpler techniques of producing thinner graphene are typically of interest, and one of the most promising ones is the MAS process, which produces a high-quality graphene film at a low cost and with ease through the controlled delamination of graphite layers. It entails weakening the van der Waals forces between graphene layers in order to enable their separation, which is accomplished by using a metal catalyst layer. Moreover, the selection of appropriate metals plays a crucial role, considering their interface binding energy with the graphene. Typically, metals such as Ni, cobalt (Co), and gold (Au) are commonly employed to cleave graphene due to their significant binding energies corresponding with that of the carbon in the MAS technique in the recent past as implemented by Zaretski et al. and Kim et al.<sup>87,88</sup> A top-notch, smooth graphene film is produced through epitaxial growth using CVD on both metal foil and the silicon face of silicon carbide (SiC) wafers. A Ni film was carefully coated onto the graphene, followed by the application of thermal release tape. By gently lifting the thermal tape, the metal/graphene layers were effectively exfoliated from the underlying substrates, as illustrated in Fig. 9a-c. Thereby, Zaretski et al. have succeeded in splitting a single-layered graphene from Cu foil (Fig. 9d). Here, the Raman spectra studies have revealed that the 2D/G ratio of graphene is at 2700 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1580 cm<sup>-1</sup>, indicating the presence of monolayered graphene. Nevertheless, the rise in the D-peak intensity in the graphene transferred via the MAS process results from the mechanical harm caused during the metal-assisted exfoliation. This is not expected when the graphene film is not completely uniform. In another effort by Kim et al. to obtain high-quality monolayered graphene, Ni and Au adhesivestrained layers have been investigated to split graphene from the SiC substrate. Following the exfoliation of an epitaxial graphene layer from SiC using Ni as the initial adhesivestrained layer, a graphene film was obtained. However, the analysis of the 2D/G peak ratio distribution revealed that approximately 20% of the area of the graphene sheets from the first exfoliation exhibited a double-layered region (Fig. 9eg). Thus, Au was utilized as a second adhesive-strain to selectively remove the double-layer region. As a result, the distribution of the 2D/G peak ratio revealed that 99% of the transferred graphene area, achieved through the two-step exfoliation process, consisted of a monolayered region (Fig. 9h-j).

Inarguably, this method offers the advantage of being environmentally friendly, straightforward, and suitable for roll-toroll processing, facilitating the transfer of large-area singlelayered graphene onto flexible substrates. Nevertheless, there are still some limitations encountered using this technique, such as depending on the thickness of the adhesive-strained layer. If the thickness of the metal tape is either too substantial or excessive, it can compromise the robustness required for the MAS process, resulting in an anisotropic cracking. Furthermore, the damage of graphene could appear from mechanics during the metal-assisted exfoliation. This innovative technique may promise to overcome as well as optimize the above issues, making it a simple yet highly effective technique for transferring graphene film at a low cost.

#### 2.4. Layer-resolved splitting

This method presents a universally applicable approach for thinning 2D materials on a wafer scale. The delamination process encompasses the initial growth of thick multilayer material on the wafer under relaxed growth conditions, succeeded by the extraction of multilayers into separate monolayers through a wafer-scale splitting process. In this method, 2D materials are initially grown on an arbitrary substrate using vapor phase epitaxy. Then, a thick metal film is deposited on the multilayer, and thermal release tape is applied on the metal as a handler. This process sets the stage for subsequent steps in the thinning procedure. By delaminating the tape-metal, the weakest layers of the 2D material-substrate interface are separated, resulting in the complete release of the entire 2D material film from the substrate. During the second stage, a metal layer is continuously added to the bottom of the 2D material film while preserving the top tape/metal/2D materials stack as exfoliated. Analogous to peeling the metal/2D materials stack off the substrate, a momentum is applied from the top metal to imitate spalling mode fracture, guiding the cracks downward. Due to the significantly higher interfacial toughness ( $\Gamma$ ) between 2D materials and metals in comparison to that of the  $\Gamma_{2D-2D}$ , cracks tend to propagate near the bottom metal, passing through the weaker 2D-2D material interface located directly above the bottom layers of the metal layer. As a result of the metal/2D materials stack separated during peeling, the bottom metal strongly adheres to the monolayer of 2D materials, leaving a monolayer of 2D materials on the bottom metal layer (Fig. 10a and b). Thereby, a report by Shim et al. has succeeded in the use of the LRS method to develop monolayeredtungsten disulfide (WS<sub>2</sub>) with the support of a 600 nm-thick Ni film.<sup>89</sup> The iterative application of LRS resulted in the continuous production of a uniform monolayer WS<sub>2</sub> film (Fig. 10c), evident from the spectra showing a rightward shift of the  $E_{2g}^1$  peak compared to the initially exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> films (Fig. 10d) after three cycles. Following the third cycle, the remaining  $WS_2$ appeared as a non-continuous triangular domain on the Ni film, suggesting that the LRS process had reached the uppermost layer, where nucleated islands did not merge during growth.

In analogy, the fabrication of a monolayer of hexagonalboron nitride (h-BN), molybdenum diselenide ( $MoSe_2$ ), tungsten diselenide ( $WSe_2$ ), and  $MoS_2$  before and after the LRS process has also been presented and the results are shown in Fig. 11(a)–(h). Moreover, this method revealed the possible applications in the fabrication and investigation of materials into 2D heterostructure devices with quasi-dry stacking (Fig. 11i). Indeed, these heterostructure devices have demonstrated much consistent and uniform performance on the entire wafer. This high-throughput manufacturing of 2D heterostructures serves as a crucial stepping stone towards the commercialization of devices leveraging 2D materials



**Fig. 10** Layer-resolved splitting (LRS) of multilayer materials (WS<sub>2</sub>, h-BN, MoSe<sub>2</sub>, WSe<sub>2</sub>, and MoS<sub>2</sub>). (a) The LRS process for 2D materials is depicted in a schematic illustration. (b) The progression of cracks during LRS is presented in schematics for both the initial exfoliation of the entire 2D material from a sapphire wafer (left) and the exfoliation of the bottom monolayer 2D material (right). (c) Raman characteristics of WS<sub>2</sub> films obtained through the LRS process. (d) Thinning of thick 2D materials into multiple monolayers through the LRS process and their subsequent characterization. The investigation includes optical micrographs (A, C, E, G, and I) and plan-view SEM images (B, D, F, and J) of the initially exfoliated thick WS<sub>2</sub> [(A) and (B)], as well as monolayers of WS<sub>2</sub> obtained after the first [(C) and (D)], second [(E) and (F)], third [(G) and (H)], and last [(I) and (J]) LRS processes, respectively. (a)–(d) Reproduced with permission from ref. 89. Copyright 2018.

# 3. Parameters that influence atomical layer-by-layer thinning of 2D materials

The thinning of 2D materials is a crucial process that significantly impacts their electronic, mechanical, and optical properties.<sup>90–93</sup> One of the key parameters that influence the thinning process is the choice of the thinning processes, in which, the top–down thinning strategies have been presented in the above sections as the best solution, which can be employed to reduce the thickness of 2D materials. The selection of appropriate thinning methods depends on factors like material composition, desired thickness, and device applications. In addition, other parameters, such as the influence of technology, etchant type, and substrates also hold a vital role in determining the efficiency and controllability of thinning. Proper optimization of these parameters is essential for



**Fig. 11** Layer-resolved splitting (LRS) of multilayer materials (h-BN, MoSe<sub>2</sub>, WSe<sub>2</sub>, and MoS<sub>2</sub>) and the relative 2D heterostructures. Splitting of 2D materials into a monolayer using the LRS technique. Optical microscopy images of thick h-BN (a), and monolayer h-BN (b); thick-MoSe<sub>2</sub> (c), and monolayer MoSe<sub>2</sub> (d); thick-WSe<sub>2</sub> (e), and monolayer WSe<sub>2</sub> (f); and thick-MoS<sub>2</sub> (g), and monolayer MoSe<sub>2</sub>. (i) Illustrations showcasing the creation of 2D heterostructures through the LRS process. A flow diagram demonstrates the step-by-step fabrication of the 2D heterostructure utilizing monolayer 2D materials obtained *via* the LRS process; (a)–(i) reproduced with permission from ref. 89. Copyright 2018 AAAS.

achieving high-quality, ultrathin 2D materials,<sup>94–98</sup> enabling their integration into next-generation nanoelectronic and optoelectronic devices.<sup>99–103</sup>

#### 3.1. The influence of technologies

Thinning methods such as plasma-assisted thinning and ALT often use a plasma system for surface treatment. However, defects could be formed in the material, due to the etchant as it undergoes chemical reactions with the material's surface, leading to the removal of atoms or molecules from the top layers. The rate of these chemical reactions depends on the concentration of the reactive species, which is again directly influenced by the partial pressure of the etchant. For instance, in 2013, Geng *et al.* reported the formation of fractal and dendritic etched holes in a graphene film through the buffer gas of  $H_2$ -Ar.<sup>104</sup> Again, hexagonal etched holes were formed under high  $H_2$  partial pressure, whereas fractal etched holes with six branches were obtained while further decreasing the H<sub>2</sub> partial pressure. This implies that in the process of layer-bylayer thinning of the material, the concentration or controlling ion beam is of paramount importance over the partial pressure of etchants for achieving precise control and ensuring high-quality thinning of 2D materials. This is also one of the reasons behind an integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning technique<sup>74,76,77,105-108</sup> appearing and overcoming some limitations of plasma-assisted thinning and ALT methods in terms of the flux control. Here, a "double grid" setup refers to a specific configuration of electrodes used to control the ion density and distribution of the plasma.74,76,77,105-108 The double grid configuration is commonly employed to achieve better control over the ion flux and energy during the thinning processes, especially for delicate and precise thinning of 2D materials. As the reports mentioned above, the layer-by-layer thinning of 2D materials could be obtained using this method. However, the spacing between two grids and their configuration influences the electric field and ion trajectories within the plasma. Proper adjustment of the grid spacing and configuration is essential to achieve uniform ion density and energy distribution on the substrate surface. Additionally, all aforementioned four methods need to consider other parameters such as thinning time, temperature control, and post-thin treatments, which have impacted in achieving the high quality of the material.<sup>109,110</sup> In contrast, the influencing parameters to obtain a high quality of 2D materials from MAS,<sup>87,88,111,112</sup> and LRS<sup>89</sup> methods mostly come from the metal catalyst choice. Because the metal catalyst interacts with the 2D materials at the interface during the delamination process, the nature of this interaction affects the adhesion between the catalyst and the material. A strong interaction enhances the efficiency of exfoliation, which leads to a higher success rate in obtaining the delamination of the layers. Additionally, the adhesion strength between the metal catalyst and the 2D material is also very vital. A metal catalyst with good adhesion promotes efficient and uniform delamination, resulting in high-quality, defect-free thinning. Moreover, the shear strength occurring at the interface of the catalyst and 2D materials further influences the ease of exfoliation. Cho et al. have reported comparative studies on the influence of selective metal catalysts on the exfoliated number of layers of WS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>113</sup> In particular, through the exfoliation of Au and Ag layers, WS<sub>2</sub> flakes with several layers have been obtained from bulk WS<sub>2</sub>. In this report, it has been clearly indicated that at the very same 10 layers of WS<sub>2</sub>, the adsorption of WS<sub>2</sub>/Au ( $\sim 0.93$ ) is much higher than that of WS<sub>2</sub>/Ag ( $\sim 0.5$ ), suggesting that the quality of the exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> from the Au layer is better. This result has demonstrated the importance of choosing a suitable metal catalyst for the removal of 2D materials with precise uniformity and purity in the LRS and MAS methods. To date, the integrated cyclic plasma thinning<sup>74,76,77,105-108</sup> and LRS<sup>89</sup> methods are considered as two of the best methods for fabricating 2D material thinning due to their advantages and unique capabilities in comparison to the other thinning methods such as plasma-assisted thinning, ALT, laser-assisted thinning, and MAS. Some of the parameters in these two methods can overcome the limitations that are involved in other methods. For

example, in integrated cyclic plasma thinning methods, the double grid in ICP allows for precise control over the thinning process. The use of two grids, an extraction grid, and a bias grid, enables fine-tuning of the ion energy and ion density, leading to better control of the thinning rate and uniformity. The LRS method is able to control the formation layer-by-layer and is also considered as a non-invasive technique, as it does not require direct contact with the 2D material and thus, minimizes the potential damage to thinned materials. Plasma-assisted thinning and ALT methods make it very difficult to control the ion beam, which leads to damage on the surface of the material, while laser-assisted thinning is a noncontact and fast thinning process, but suffers from nonuniformity, localized thinning and metal-assisted thinning, which lead to the formation of cracks on the surface of the material. Therefore, considering the aspects of layer-by-layer thinning for 2D materials, integrated cyclic plasma thinning and LRS are the most preferable methods for integrating 2D material thinning due to their high controllability, scalability, and ability to achieve precise layer control. Nevertheless, the choice of the thinning methods depends on the specific 2D material, its properties, and the desired application. Each method has its unique advantages and may be more suitable for particular applications or material systems. Researchers often prefer to select the thinning method that best suits their requirements for achieving high-quality and tailored 2D materials.

#### 3.2. The influence of substrates

The substrate on which 2D materials are grown or transferred can have a significant influence on the thinning process. The choice of substrate and its properties can affect the overall quality, adhesion, and uniformity of the thinned 2D materials. In a comparison of using the different substrates for the thinning process of graphene, Yao et al. have demonstrated that under H<sub>2</sub> plasma, uniform monolayer graphene was obtained on the Fe substrate after 10 minutes of thinning, while a shorter thinning time was observed on substrates of Ni, Co, and Cu with 5 minute, 5.5 minute, and 1.5 minute thinning, respectively. The findings suggest that H<sub>2</sub> exposure plays a crucial role in reducing the carbon in metals and, effectively suppresses the precipitation process. This is particularly significant since the carbon solubility in metals follows the order: Fe (>25 at%) > Co (4.1 at%), Ni (2.7 at%)  $\gg$  Cu (0.04%).<sup>114</sup> In another report, the choice of substrates significantly influences the etching process of h-BN. For instance, Stehle's experiments demonstrated that the thinning of the bottom layer in h-BN, which directly contacts with the CuNi alloy substrate, occurs much faster in comparison to that of the upper layers.<sup>115</sup> On the other hand, no thinning of multilayer hBN was observed while using a SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrate under the same experimental conditions. Currently, the exact mechanism by which the substrates affect h-BN thinning at the atomic level remains unclear, and further theoretical and experimental studies need to be explored for obtaining a controlled h-BN thinning.

Overall, the choice and properties of the substrates have a significant influence on the thinning process for 2D materials. Adhesion, mechanical compatibility, chemical interactions, surface roughness, and thermal properties are some of the critical factors that are to be considered while selecting the substrate and designing the thinning process. Proper substrate preparation and control are essential for achieving highquality, uniform, and defect-free thinned 2D materials that are suitable for various applications in nanoelectronics, photonics, and other fields.

#### 3.3. The influence of etchants

Chem Soc Rev

An etchant is a chemical substance or solution used to selectively remove or dissolve material from the substrates. Etchants are commonly used in various industrial and research processes for material processing, surface preparation, and thinning of materials, including 2D materials. The choice of etchant depends on the material being processed and the specific goal of the process. In the context of thinning 2D materials, an etchant is used to selectively remove layers of the 2D material, reducing its thickness while preserving its properties. Different etchants are employed depending on the specific 2D material being thinned and the desired thinning technique. Felten *et al.* have reported using three types of gas precursors, namely:  $H_2$ ,  $O_2$ , and carbon tetrafluoride (CF<sub>4</sub>), to obtain monolayered graphene *via* plasma treatment.<sup>116</sup>

Here, the monolayered graphene appears to be less defective as revealed from Raman spectroscopic studies, whereas the CF<sub>4</sub> plasma leads to functionalization without thinning and graphene becomes an insulator at saturation coverage. This occurs due to the absence of chemical thinning of carbon by F atoms and the presence of a large number of negative ions in CF<sub>4</sub> plasma suggests that ion bombardment of the sample is not taking place, and CF<sub>4</sub> plasma is an electronegative medium. In the case of  $H_2$  plasma, graphene is exposed to atomic  $H_2$  and other more energetic species such as H<sup>+</sup> and H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> ions. These positive ions can be accelerated towards the graphene and gain sufficient energy to surpass the hydrogenation barrier for both mono and bilayer graphene, resulting in a similar modification rate. Additionally, the reactivity of bilayer graphene was observed to be lower in O<sub>2</sub> and CF<sub>4</sub> plasma, whereas no such distinction is observed in the case of H<sub>2</sub> plasma. However, in another report, Ghasemi et al. have mentioned not observing any changes in  $MoS_2$  flakes while using  $H_2$  plasma ( $H_2$  passivates sulfur vacancies), but a slow thinning rate is observed for  $O_2$  plasma ( $O_2$  physical thinness  $MoS_2$  through sulfur vacancies), and a high thinning rate (F free radicals react highly with  $MoS_2$  and thin it)<sup>117</sup> is witnessed for sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>) plasma.

Another significant influence may arise from the use of chemical etchants, particularly in the ALT technique. The careful selection of materials and an examination of their impact on the structure and bonding between them with the thinned 2D materials are crucial, as they can affect the material surface and properties of 2D materials. For instance, in obtaining monolayer graphene, a uniform monolayer C–O bonding

must be formed on the high-oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) surface. Kim et al.'s study demonstrated that increasing the exposure time to O<sub>2</sub> radicals enhances the percentage of C-O bonding on the HOPG surface.<sup>118</sup> Through Ar beam irradiation, the monolayer C-O bonding on the HOPG surface can be completely removed. After 1 minute of Ar beam irradiation, the carbon binding state of the HOPG surface transforms to 57 atoms % of sp<sup>2</sup> C-C bonding and 43 atoms % of sp<sup>3</sup> C-C, with no observable C-O bonding. However, the authors also observed that after one ALT cycle, the sp<sup>3</sup> bonding formed after  $O_2$  radical adsorption was not fully recovered to  $sp^2$  C–C bonding. This is believed to be partially related to damage to the graphene substrate during O2 radical adsorption and Ar beam irradiation. The graphene surface can only be restored after annealing the etched graphene in an H<sub>2</sub>:He gas mixture. In another example of thinning MoS<sub>2</sub> using the ALT method, Cl radicals are used and adsorbed on MoS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>119</sup> As Cl is a better oxidizing agent than S, the adsorbed Cl weakens the covalent bonding between Mo and S. The Cl adsorption not only changes the Mo-S binding energy but also decreases the van der Waals force between the  $MoS_2$  layers. Ar<sup>+</sup> ion bombardment during the desorption steps breaks the Mo-S binding and removes the broken MoS-Cl/S-Cl from the MoS<sub>2</sub> surface. Raman spectra observations confirmed that after each ALT cycle, one monolayer of MoS2 can be completely removed without noticeably damaging the exposed MoS<sub>2</sub>.

Therefore, the choice of etchant is a vital aspect of the thinning process for 2D materials. Etchants affect the etching rate, selectivity of the enactment, surface morphology, and controllability of the thinning process. Proper selection and understanding of etchants are crucial for achieving highquality, defect-free, and well-controlled thinning of 2D materials that are suitable for various technological applications.

#### Semiconducting devices associated with atomical layer-by-layer thinning of 2D materials

A plethora of 2D material-based electronic and optoelectronic devices with diverse functionalities, such as photodetectors, photodiodes, and field-effect transistors (FETs), have been developed. The integration of 2D material thinning into semiconductor devices has sparked tremendous interest from physicists/chemists/nanotechnologists, primarily owing to their ultrathin structure, robust light-matter interactions, and compatibility with existing Si photonic technology (Fig. 12 and Table 1).<sup>60,66,75,76,80,89,107,108,111,112,120-129</sup> These 2D materials possess a wealth of electronic and optoelectronic properties, encompassing light emission, optical modulation, saturable adsorption, and electrically modulated field effect characteristics.<sup>130-138</sup> Harnessing these unique properties, significant endeavors have been channeled towards the exploration of 2D materials-based on electronic and optoelectronic applications, capitalizing on the advantages that are offered by top-down thinning strategies. As the thickness of the material



Fig. 12 Modern layer-by-layer thinning technologies applicable to 2D materials utilizing: (a) plasma-assisted thinning, (b) conventional plasma-assisted thinning, (c) integrated cyclic plasma assisted-thinning, (d) laser-assisted thinning, (e) metal-assisted splitting, and (f) layer-resolved splitting.

decreases to the atomic-length scale, vertical quantum confinement comes into play. This transformation from bulk to 2D planar introduces significant alterations in the material's electrical and optical properties, thereby enabling the realization of semiconductor devices that can be scaled down to ultrasmall sizes. For example, FETs and photodetectors utilizing various 2D materials have demonstrated significant potential for practical applications.<sup>139–148</sup> Or even, using 2D materials with narrow bandgaps have been designed for infrared photonic devices, and exhibited impressive performance.<sup>149,150</sup> In particular, 2D materials characterized by van der Waals-stacked heterojunctions and homojunctions exhibit compact dimensions, extremely thin profiles, straightforward fabrication, and unique physical phenomena, making them promising for utilization in electronic and optoelectronic applications.<sup>151–153</sup>

However, contradictorily, the thickness during the fabrication of 2D material thinning is still very difficult to obtain cleanliness, less damage, and defects on the surface of the material, partly due to environmental influences and the synthesis process. Therefore, in this section, we summarize and discuss the developments of integrated 2D materials into semiconductor devices based on the thinning strategies for further clarification. In Fig. 12, the top-down thinning strategies could be applied for the fabrication of 2D materials integrated into electronics and optoelectronics applications with the desired properties in achieving specific targets. Table 1 A classification of atomical layer-by-layer thinning strategies on representative 2D materials and the relative applications. Here, "-" means "not applicable"

2D materials on substrates	Thinning strategy	Thinning parameters	Application of thinned 2D materials	Performance
Multi-layer graphene/ SiO <sub>2</sub>	Conventional plasma- assisted thinning + post	100 sccm $N_{\rm 2}$ and 5 sccm Ar/O_2	Monolayer-deep pattern <sup>123</sup>	Thinned graphene with good quality and few defects
Bi-layer graphene/SiO <sub>2</sub>	Conventional plasma assisted thinning by	10 sccm $O_2$ , processing pressure of 313 mTorr	60	_
Multi-layer graphene/ SiO2	Conventional plasma- assisted thinning	$200 \text{ sccm Ar/H}_2, \text{ pressure } 0.05 \text{ of mbar}$	125	_
Multilayer MoS <sub>2</sub> /sap- phire and multilayer WS <sub>2</sub> /sapphire	Conventional plasma- assisted thinning	Pressure of 0.4 Torr with a 30 sccm $O_2$	A top-gate of WS <sub>2</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> het- erostructure transistor <sup>71</sup>	High drain currents are observed for the device
Bulk BP/SiO <sub>2</sub>	Conventional plasma- assisted thinning	Ar maintains the pres- sure at 30 mTorr	A few-layers of BP was used in FET <sup>66</sup>	Achieved a high $I_{\rm on}/I_{\rm off}$ ratio of $\sim 10^5$ at room temperature
Bi-layer MoS <sub>2</sub> /p-type Si	Integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning	63 scem Cl <sub>2</sub> , and 70 scem Ar	Thinned monolayer $MoS_2$ applied for $FET^{107}$	The field effect mobility was estimated to be 2.02 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> after one thinning cycle
Tri-layer graphene/SiO <sub>2</sub>	Integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning	20 sccm $O_2$ and 30 sccm Ar	CMOS device <sup>75</sup>	Poor electrical transport prop- erties due to high energy damage
Multilayer WSe <sub>2</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning	O <sub>2</sub> + Ar plasma	A lateral p-i-n homojunction based WSe <sub>2</sub> diode <sup>127</sup>	Open circuit voltage of 340 mW, a responsivity of 0.1 A $W^{-1}$ , and a specific detectivity of 2.2 $\times$ 10 <sup>13</sup> Jones
h-BN flakes/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /Si	Integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning	The gas flow is fixed at 400 sccm for both $O_2$ and $N_2$	A gate dielectric based on a few layers of h-BN <sup>78</sup>	The dielectric constant is about 3.5, which is similar to the earlier reports
Multi-layer WS <sub>2</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning	5 sccm O <sub>2</sub> , 1 M KOH	FETs based thickness of ${\rm WS_2}^{80}$	After eight recess cycles, the transfer characteristics exhib- ited as n-type behavior
Monolayer MoS <sub>2</sub> /p-type Si	Integrated cyclic plasma assisted- thinning of MoS <sub>2</sub>	First grid 25 V, ion energy Ar of 25–28 eV	Removal of S top layer of monolayer MoS <sub>2</sub> from the metal contact area of a Mo–S structure in FET device <sup>76</sup>	The on/off ratio improved from $3.44 \times 10^5$ to $2.24 \times 10^7$
Multi-layer MoS <sub>2</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning	Cl radical adsorption and Ar⁺ ion beam desorption per cycle	A mono/multi-layer nano- bridge multi-heterostructure through the selective layer control of multi-layer MoS <sub>2</sub> <sup>108</sup>	A photodetector with ultra- sensitive optoelectronic per- formances (photoresponsivity of 2.67 × 10 <sup>6</sup> at $\lambda$ = 520 nm and 1.65 × 10 <sup>6</sup> A W <sup>-1</sup> at $\lambda$ = 1064 nm)
A few layers graphene/ SiO <sub>2</sub>	Laser-assisted thinning	Femtosecond laser excitation energy of 532 nm	126	The few layers of graphene obtained after transferring and micro ribbon of graphene formed from laser thinning without the formation of amorphous carbon.
Multilayer MoS <sub>2</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Laser-assisted thinning	532 nm of laser	Photodetector of $MoS_2$ homojunction <sup>128</sup>	At the maximum responsivity, the detection rate is $1.04 \times 10^9$ Jones
Bulk MoS <sub>2</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Metal-assisted splitting	_	Monolayer MoS <sub>2</sub> -based FETs <sup>111</sup>	The high mobility ( $\sim 30 \text{ cm}^2$ V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) and large on-off ratio ( $\sim 10^7$ )
Bulk BP/SiO <sub>2</sub>	Metal-assisted splitting	_	A few layers BP was used FET <sup>112</sup>	The hole mobility of 68.6 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> and the current on/off ratio of $2 \times 10^5$
Bulk MoS <sub>2</sub> /Cu	Metal-assisted splitting	_	Multi-layer MoS <sub>2</sub> was applied in solar cells <sup>129</sup>	Reasonably good power- conversion efficiencies up to 2.8% under AM 1.5G illumination
Multi-layer MoS <sub>2</sub> /sap- phire, multi-layer h-BN/ sapphire, multi-layer WS <sub>2</sub> /sapphire, multi- layer WSe <sub>2</sub> /sapphire	Layer-resolved splitting of MoS <sub>2</sub> , h-BN, WS <sub>2</sub> , WSe <sub>2</sub> , MoSe <sub>2</sub>	_	2D heterostructure of mono- layer MoS <sub>2</sub> /double layers h-BN based FETs <sup>89</sup>	The FETs exhibited the field effect mobility from 6.3 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> to 12.8 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>

# 4.1. Photodiodes and FETs using thinned graphene, black phosphorous (BP), and $WS_2$ through conventional plasma-assisted thinning

Owing to its high carrier mobility, graphene is a novel form of electronic material, yet its zero bandgap and low absorption properties make it unsuitable for semiconductor technology.<sup>154-156</sup> Extensive research efforts have been dedicated to both bridging and surpassing the graphene bandgap, resulting in remarkable advancements in this field. Extensive research has been conducted to tailor the graphene bandgap. For instance, Ohta et al. and Y. Han et al. have employed a dualgate structure to control bilayers of graphene and introduced doping techniques for creating graphene nanostructures.<sup>157,158</sup> Wang et al. have suggested a gas-phase chemical approach to reducing the thickness of graphene from its edges, thereby decreasing the material's surface area. They employed O<sub>2</sub> plasma to oxidize graphene under high-temperature conditions in a reduced environment (H<sub>2</sub>, Ar, and NH<sub>3</sub>).<sup>159</sup> In another application, BP films were fabricated with various thicknesses, which were obtained by applying Ar plasma treatment to it and integrated into FETs (Fig. 13a and Table 1).<sup>66</sup> The characteristics of current-voltage indicated the p-type dominant behaviors. Besides, the decrease of layer number of BP enhanced the mobility of the device from 70 cm<sup>2</sup> V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to 415 cm<sup>2</sup> V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, and the on-off ratio increased while decreasing the hysteresis (Fig. 13b). This enhancement can be ascribed to the synergistic effects of thickness reduction and the removal of defects through plasma treatment. For photodiode applications, achieving a high-performance diode relies on the presence of high-quality p-n junctions coupled with a low resistance. Using the 2D materials and integrating them into devices based on homogeneous p-type/intrinsic/n-type (p-i-n) is also interesting research. As an example, by utilizing  $O_2$  plasma treatment, the uppermost layers of WSe<sub>2</sub> are oxidized, resulting in the formation of a substoichiometric tungsten oxide  $(WO_x)$  layer, while the underlying WSe2 layers remain unaffected and unchanged.<sup>127</sup> As a result of the oxygen-vacancy-induced abundant band gap state near the conduction band, oxygen-deficient WO<sub>x</sub> behaves as a highly conductive n-type semiconductor with a remarkably deep work function. Moreover, WO<sub>x</sub> exhibits a low-lying Fermi level, making it an efficient p-type dopant due to its strong electron-withdrawing ability. On the other hand, introducing n-type doping in WSe<sub>2</sub> can also be accomplished.<sup>160</sup> In addition, due to the Ar plasma thinning process, the bombardment of Ar<sup>+</sup> ions leads to the formation of substoichiometric WSe<sub>2</sub>, namely WSe<sub>2- $\gamma$ </sub>. Thus, the presence of huge vacancies of Se confers a substantial electron donor capacity to the interface of the  $WSe_{2-\gamma}$  layers. Consequently, when a fully assembled p-i-n structure was implemented (Fig. 13c, d and Table 1),<sup>127</sup> the resulting p-i-n diode exhibited exceptional photodetection capabilities. It showcased an impressive linear dynamic range of 48 dB, which is a



**Fig. 13** Plasma-assisted thinning for BP and WSe<sub>2</sub> in FETs and photodiode devices. (a) Schematic diagram depicting the impacts of plasma treatment on BP flakes: device fabrication process. (b) The variation of current on/off ratio and field-effect mobility concerning the thickness of the BP film. (a) and (b) Reproduced with permission from ref. 66. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society. (c) Three-dimensional schematic illustration of the process flow for the formation a p-i-n structure. (d) Optical image of the device. The scale bar represents 10  $\mu$ m. (c) and (d) Reproduced with permission from ref. 127. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society.



Fig. 14 ALT for multilayer graphene and WS<sub>2</sub> in FETs. (a) The process of creating a complete graphene device, consisting of a graphene source, graphene drain, and graphene channel, is described. (b) Drain current ( $I_D$ )-source voltage ( $V_D$ ) measurements were conducted for various graphene device channel layers, with the device channel width set at 2  $\mu$ m and length 10  $\mu$ m. (a) and (b) Reproduced with permission from ref. 75. Copyright 2012 Elsevier. (c) Schematic illustrating the fabrication process employed to create the recessed-channel WS<sub>2</sub> FET. Layer-by-layer thinning of the multilayer WS<sub>2</sub> was accomplished using remote O<sub>2</sub> plasma and a KOH solution. (d) Drain current ( $I_{DS}$ )-drain-source voltage ( $V_{DS}$ ) output curves after 10 recess cycles. (c) and (d) Reproduced with permission from ref. 80. Copyright 2023 IOP Publishing.

remarkable responsivity of  $0.1 \text{ A W}^{-1}$ , and an excellent specific detectivity of  $2.2 \times 10^{13}$  Jones when exposed to illumination. The limitation of plasma-assisted thinning, that is the damage to the surface of the 2D materials, could be overcome and replaced by studies associated with the formation of p–n, or p–i–n structures, which can be applied to electronics and optoe-lectronics (Fig. 12).

# 4.2. FETs using thinned graphene, WS<sub>2</sub>, and h-BN through conventional plasma-assisted thinning

Lin *et al.* have made pioneering efforts on 2D material thinning into semiconductor devices *via* the ALT method. In this study, the ALT process was employed to fabricate CMOS devices with a graphene source, graphene drain, and graphene channel. To precisely control the number of graphene layers in the channel, a trilayer channel was selectively thinned through ALT, using an Au mask at the source/drain region (Fig. 14a and Table 1).<sup>75</sup> The electrical characteristics revealed that reducing the number of graphene layers in the channel resulted in an increase in the channel resistance (Fig. 14b).<sup>161</sup> In another report, the layer-by-layer thinning of WS<sub>2</sub> is applied to CMOS devices, which is witnessed with very encouraging properties.<sup>80</sup> The WS<sub>2</sub> surface was treated by using remote O<sub>2</sub> plasma, leading to the formation of  $WO_x$  oxidation. Subsequently, a layer-bylayer removal of the target material is achieved through a selective reaction between the KOH solution and WOx. This selective reaction primarily targets the oxide layer, exposing the pristine WS<sub>2</sub> surface (Fig. 14c and Table 1).<sup>80</sup> Following ten recess cycles, the current-voltage output curves displayed characteristics of n-type behavior, with a noticeable increase in the number of electrons being induced into the channel as the gate bias was incremented in the positive direction (Fig. 14d). As efforts continue to enhance the gate dielectric in FET devices, h-BN has been emerging as a promising 2D dielectric material, offering the potential to fabricate high-performance devices. To achieve stronger gate control over the channel's potential, the thickness of h-BN plays a critical role, especially when it approaches just a few atomic layers. However, preserving the dielectric properties of the h-BN film presents a significant challenge. Nonetheless, Ma et al. managed to successfully obtain a monolayer of h-BN from an initial 8-layer h-BN flake using the ALT process.<sup>78</sup> The capacitance of h-BN films exhibits



**Fig. 15** Integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning for multilayer  $MoS_2$  in FETs and photodetectors. (a) The drain current *versus* gate voltage characteristics of the bottom-gate  $MoS_2$  FETs were measured for different samples, including those fabricated with exfoliated bilayer  $MoS_2$ , exfoliated monolayer  $MoS_2$ , and exfoliated bilayer  $MoS_2$  after one cycle of  $MoS_2$  with 120 s (monolayer thinning conditions) and 200 s (over exposure  $Ar^+$ -ion) of  $Ar^+$ -ion exposure. (a) Reproduced with permission from ref. 107. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society. (b) A schematic illustration depicting the carrier transport mechanism of the type (6) photodetector. (c) Comparison of the photoresponsivity and (d) photoresponse time for type (3) and (6) photodetectors across varying the laser wavelength, where type (3) represents the mono/multi-layer parallel heterojunction, while type (6) refers to a mono/multi-layer serial nano-bridge multi-heterojunction. (b)–(d) Reproduced with permission from ref. 108. Copyright 2019 Nature Publishing Group.

an inverse relationship with their thickness. Other than the capacitance, another critical factors that influences the suitability of the film as a capacitive material is the leakage current flowing through the dielectric.

Although there have been some positive results, the investigated 2D material thinning into semiconductor devices *via* the ALT method is still difficult and challenging. In general, as the recess process is repeated a greater number of times to reduce the thickness of the 2D material channel, the on-current tends to decrease. Simultaneously, the width of the channel diminishes significantly with an increase in the number of recess cycles. Therefore, the optimal thinning process of ALT became very essential to produce 2D material thinning-based electronic and optoelectronic devices with high performance (Fig. 12).

### 4.3. Photodetectors and FETs using thinned MoS<sub>2</sub> through integrated cyclic plasma-assisted thinning

The integrated 2D material into semiconductor devices based on the integrated cyclic plasma thinning method was introduced by Kim *et al.* in 2017.<sup>107</sup> In order to assess the extent of

damage to the monolayered MoS<sub>2</sub> resulting from thinning the bilayer MoS<sub>2</sub> using the one-cycle method, bottom-gate MoS<sub>2</sub> FETs were constructed. The FETs were fabricated using exfoliated pristine bilayer MoS<sub>2</sub>, exfoliated intrinsic monolayered MoS<sub>2</sub>, and an exfoliated monolayered MoS<sub>2</sub> obtained from one cycle of exfoliated bilayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. The MoS<sub>2</sub> FETs showed drain currents versus gate voltages and estimated field effect mobilities for the exfoliated bilayer MoS2, exfoliated monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>, and exfoliated bilayer MoS<sub>2</sub> after one cycle: 5.78, 2.65, 2.03, and 2.02  $\text{cm}^2$  V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 15a and Table 1).<sup>107</sup> Notwithstanding, this method is also allowed to remove layer-by-layer MoS<sub>2</sub>, which means that S atoms of the top layer from the monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> could be completely removed to form a Mo-S bottom structure.<sup>76</sup> Through the application of N<sup>+</sup>/N<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> ion exposure on the Mo-S bottom structure, a transformation to the p-type branch was achieved in the I-V curve, resulting in a field effect mobility of 40.3 cm<sup>2</sup> V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

In another application, an ultrasensitive photodetector of MoS<sub>2</sub> has been reported with high performance.<sup>108</sup> By employing a cyclic plasma thinning process, a series of nano-bridge



**Fig. 16** Laser-assisted thinning for multilayer  $WS_2$  and  $MOS_2$  in the enhancing of photoluminescence (PL) and photodetector devices. (a) Schematic showing the laser thinning of the  $WS_2$  layer. (b) PL spectra of the  $WS_2$  with repeated laser thinning. (a) and (b) Reproduced with permission from ref. 164. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society. (c) Output characteristic curves before and after thinning. (d) Energy band diagram of a few layers/multilayer homojunction of  $MOS_2$ . (c) and (d) Reproduced with permission from ref. 128. Copyright 2023 IOP Publishing.

multi-heterojunctions of MoS<sub>2</sub>, consisting of mono/multilayers, were fabricated and utilized in the devices (Fig. 15b–d and Table 1).<sup>108</sup> An ultra-sensitive photodetector exhibiting an excellent optoelectronic performance across a wide spectral range has been discovered in the recent past. It also witnesses a remarkable photoresponsivity of  $2.67 \times 10^6$  A W<sup>-1</sup> at  $\lambda =$ 520 nm, and  $1.65 \times 10^4$  A W<sup>-1</sup> at  $\lambda =$  1064 nm. The nano-bridge multi-heterojunction, through a selective layer control process, has proven to be a crucial device technology with vast applications in broadband light sensing, highly sensitive fluorescence in broadband light sensing, highly sensitive fluorescence imaging, ultrasensitive biomedical diagnostics, and ultrafast optoelectronic integrated circuits.

Thorough and precise execution of the integrated cyclic plasma thinning process holds the potential to address the limitations of advanced 2D semiconductors in electronic and optoelectronic devices. This technique involves the replacement and rearrangement of atoms and the attached functional groups within the covalent bonding (Fig. 12).

# 4.4. Light-emitting diode (LED) and photodetectors using thinned $WS_2$ and $MoS_2$ through laser-assisted thinning

Laser-assisted thinning is known as an excellent method to thin down materials with high quality.<sup>162,163</sup> Using confocal Raman spectroscopy as both the thinning laser source and the

characterization tool allowed this method to become a simple, convenient, and rapidly obtained characterization technique of the same areas before and after thinning. In 2017, Bissett et al. reported that the photoluminescence (PL) yield of WS<sub>2</sub> could be increased by up to 8-fold through a laser thinning procedure.<sup>164</sup> Through controlling and repeating the thinning process, the increase of the PL spectra is shown as a function of layer number of WS<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 16a, b and Table 1),<sup>164</sup> which makes it suitable for use in LEDs. Furthermore, the laser thinning process not only removes the topmost layers of WS<sub>2</sub>, but also exposes the underlying layer, creating a highly reactive surface. This surface is rapidly passivated by atmospheric oxygen and water, resulting in dopants that increase the free carrier concentration of WS<sub>2</sub>. This, in turn, contributes to the enhancement of the PL intensity. The investigation between a few layers/multi-layers of MoS2 via laser-assisted thinning to form a grating-like homojunction via selective laser thinning has also been performed in applications of photodetectors.<sup>128</sup> Prior to the laser treatment, the output characteristic curve shows a slight nonlinear variation, mainly due to the presence of a weak Schottky barrier between the metal electrode and the multilayer sample. However, after laser thinning, the devices exhibited characteristics resembling a p-n heterojunction, which is a significant application in the field of photoelectric detection (Fig. 16c, d, 12 and Table 1).<sup>128,165</sup>



**Fig. 17** MAS for multilayer  $MoS_2$  and BP in FETs and solar cells. (a) The device structure of the  $MoS_2$  FET consists of a monolayer with an ionic liquid top gate. (b) An optical micrograph depicts the actual FET device with a monolayer  $MoS_2$  channel. (c) Temperature dependent *I*–*V* curve of a monolayer  $MoS_2$  device gated by ionic liquid at 4 V, the measurement temperature ranges from 2 K to 150 K. The inset is a zoomed-in *I*–*V* curve at temperatures from 2 to 15 K, from which a superconducting transition is indicated at  $T_c = 4.5$  K. (a)–(c) Reproduced with permission from ref. 111. Copyright 2020 Nature Publishing Group. (d) Schematic of a BP FET device. S: source electrode; D: drain electrode. (d) Reproduced with permission from ref. 112. Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry. (e) Solar cell devices with  $MoS_2$  photoactive layers. (f) Current density–voltage characteristics, measured under the illumination of AM 1.5G simulated sunlight (power density, 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>). (e) and (f) Reproduced with permission from ref. 129. Copyright 2014 American Chemical.

# 4.5. Solar cells and FETs using thinned MoS<sub>2</sub> and black phosphorous (BP) through MAS

Exfoliation using metal-assisted splitting has been on the market for a long time with the development of 2D materials. However, the reports mentioned are based on the applications of these methods that have been published and are not yet commensurate with the dramatic growth of 2D materials year by year. A recent invention demonstrated by Huang *et al.* was an Au/titanium (Ti) adhesion layer to control the number of layers of  $MoS_2$  in applications of FETs.<sup>111</sup> Here, the authors demonstrated that the exfoliation method is not limited by the Au thickness and allows a large-area 2D crystal to be exfoliated using both conducting and non-conducting Au-coated substrates. Consequently, FETs can be directly constructed on as exfoliated monolayer  $MoS_2$  channels. The FETs, regulated by an ionic-liquid top gate (Fig. 17a, b and Table 1),<sup>111</sup> demonstrated

excellent performance, with a high on-off current ratio ( $>10^6$ at T = 220 K) and field-effect mobility ranging from 22.1 to 32.7 cm<sup>2</sup> V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. In addition, a superconducting transition was observed at 4.5 K, indicating the FET's promising performance. The device was directly fabricated on the ultrathin metal adhesion layer and also there is potential for further improvement (Fig. 17c). Monolayers or a few layers of BP were also exfoliated and integrated into FET devices using this method (Fig. 17d and Table 1).<sup>112</sup> In contrast to the conventional scotch-tape method, the MAS method significantly enhances the yield of few-layer BP exfoliation by 100 times, yielding much larger BP areas. Moreover, the electrical properties of BP-FETs provide further evidence of the high quality of the few-layered BP, with a hole mobility of 68.6  $\text{cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and a current on/ off ratio of  $2 \times 10^5$ . Again, Wi *et al.* have successfully demonstrated multilayered MoS2 obtained from bulk MoS2 by applying the Cu adhesion layer to support the exfoliation process.<sup>129</sup> By employing the plasma-assisted doping approach, the response of the solar cells was significantly enhanced. Furthermore, the research has demonstrated MoS<sub>2</sub>-based solar cells with remarkably high short-circuit photocurrent density values of up to 20 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and reasonably good power-conversion efficiencies of up to 2.8% under AM 1.5G illumination, as well as high external quantum efficiencies (Fig. 17e, f and Table 1).<sup>129</sup>

Even though it is a simple and economical method, the exfoliation using the MAS from bulk crystals has not

been deemed technologically scalable so far (Fig. 12). However, as this technology becomes increasingly consistent, producing 2D layers solely determined by the source of the crystal's dimensions and crystallinity, materials research may shift its focus towards optimizing highquality layered bulk crystal growth. Ironically, the fabrication of 2D materials for applications has been a long agenda followed by other thinning strategies, notably successful in Si technology, where the extraction of wafers from large, high-quality single crystals has been demonstrated for industrial applications.



**Fig. 18** Layer-resolved splitting for multilayer  $MoS_2/h$ -BN and  $WSe_2/graphene$  heterostructures in FETs. (a) Schematic of  $MoS_2/h$ -BN-based field effect transistor. (b) Exemplary drain current–gate voltage ( $I_D-V_G$ ) characteristics of the  $MoS_2/h$ -BN-based FET at  $V_{DS} = 1$  V. (c) and (d) 2D color maps illustrating the hysteresis voltage extracted from  $I_D-V_G$  curves at  $V_{DS} = 1$  V in transistor arrays fabricated without h-BN (left) (c) and with h-BN (right) (d), respectively. (e) Schematic of the graphene/WSe<sub>2</sub> vertical transistor. (f)  $I_D-V_D$  characteristics measured as  $V_{GS}$  from -70 V to 70 V with a 35 V step. (a)–(f) Reproduced with permission from ref. 89. Copyright 2018 AAAS.

### 4.6. FETs using thinned MoS<sub>2</sub>/hBN and graphene/WSe<sub>2</sub> heterostructures through LRS

LRS is a technique involving the deposition of metal thin films onto the surface of a bulk crystal, enabling the formation of a robust and uniform bond between the metal and the surface layer of the crystals. Metals such as Au or Ni are commonly evaporated to exfoliate various monolayers, and this method facilitates the easy transfer of the exfoliated monolaver onto a different substrate. LRS holds potential applications in the fabrication of semiconductor devices and research exploring the intrinsic properties of 2D materials (Fig. 12). This strategy was developed as a layer-resolved technique to separate stacks of few-layered thick 2D materials grown on a Si wafer. The 2D material-based FETs using the LRS techniques were also introduced by Shim et al.<sup>89</sup> FETs were formed by quasi-dry stacking a heterostructure of MoS<sub>2</sub> and h-BN multilayers on a SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si wafer (Fig. 18a and Table 1).<sup>89</sup> Besides, the comparison between FETs with and without containing the h-BN has also been evaluated. Despite having a high on-off ratio ( $>10^7$ ), the FETs without h-BN suffered from significant hysteresis in their drain current-gate voltage sweep, hence negatively affecting the performance of their transistor operation. However, FETs with h-BN demonstrated considerable suppression of hysteresis (Fig. 18b). Furthermore, the FET arrays with h-BN exhibited a remarkable reduction in hysteresis during a gate voltage sweep applied uniformly across the wafer (Fig. 18c and d). Likewise, the advantage of the quasi-dry stacking process was also emphasized by the fabrication of array WSe<sub>2</sub>/graphene FETs, which exhibited a high on/off current ratio (Fig. 18e and f).

In general, all aforementioned thinning strategies make it possible to obtain 2D materials thinning and integrate them into semiconductor devices. The approach to the limitation of 2D materials could generally appear and bring breakthroughs in the application of electronics and optoelectronics. As a result, the thinning strategies are a suitable solution to obtaining high quality 2D materials.<sup>81,166-174</sup> In particular, the integrated cyclic plasma thinning demonstrated by Kim et al. was supposed to be the most viable method to attain layer-by-layer 2D materials as well as less damage and defects on the surface material. Nevertheless, the integrated 2D material thinning using the top-down strategies still encounters some problems. which need to be addressed in the coming days. For instance, the LRS and MAS are typically not scalable for large-scale production. Furthermore, it is also challenging to achieve uniform thickness and quality across a large area, leading to device-to-device variations. Other factors such as surface, and edge roughness are also very important and can have high sensitivity to defects and chemical functionalization. Topdown approaches often result in irregular and rough edges, which can decrease the performance of the devices and can introduce scattering sites for charge carriers. Moreover, the top-down processes for thinning 2D materials can result in significant loss of the material and low yields for device fabrication, making it less economical- for large-scale production. Moreover, these processes are enabled for controlled and tailored thinning of 2D materials to achieve desired properties for specific applications. In addition, the consideration and selection of the thinning method in the fabricated semiconductor devices must be matched and consist of those created through bottom-up growth techniques, which can offer higher material quality and uniformity. Despite these limitations, the top-down thinning strategies remain crucial for research, prototyping, and generating a proof-of-concept for making semiconductor devices using 2D material thinning. As the field of 2D materials synthesis and processing evolves, efforts are being made to address these limitations and improve the overpack



Fig. 19 Pros and cons of thinning/splitting strategies of 2D materials.

quality and scalability of thinning strategies for 2D materials in semiconductor devices applications.

#### 5. Conclusion and outlooks

2D material thinning is a viable building component for usage in semiconductor devices due to its superior physical and chemical properties brought forth by its distinctive structure.<sup>25,29,175-177</sup> The manufacture of 2D material thinning with the specified layered numbers and regulating structure is necessary to realize their practical uses.<sup>178</sup> In fact, thinning technologies have been demonstrated to obtain 2D material thinning, including a few layers, bi-layer, or even monolayer. There are transfer methods that are currently available that do not involve thinning, making it feasible to move 2D materials from the growth substrate to a Si substrate. This integration of 2D materials with Si microelectronics presents a promising technological avenue for creating efficient and cost-effective systems. Such advancements could play a pivotal role in nextgeneration electronics and optoelectronics.179-181 Moreover, thinning has been demonstrated to have various applications, such as understanding the growth mechanism, identifying defective sites in 2D materials, enhancing material quality through thinning-regrowth approaches, increasing grain size via controllable nucleation density, and promoting heterojunction growth. Consequently, gaining profound insights into the thinning of 2D materials holds significant importance in advancing our understanding of the fundamental mechanisms behind graphene growth and enabling controlled processes for thinning 2D materials.

Recently, substantial advancements have also been made in comprehending the thinning process of 2D materials.<sup>182–186</sup> A general model of 2D material thinning has been established on the atomic scale through plasma-assisted thinning, ALT, and

integrated cyclic plasma thinning, which have been successfully applied to get 2D material thinning. Using these methods leads to a reduction in the number of layers due to the bombardment of ions such as H<sub>2</sub> and Ar with low energy or adsorption and deposition of the reaction gas injected onto the material surface, which reacted with as well as destroyed chemical bonds on the surface of the 2D materials. Contrastingly, laser-assisted thinning is a non-contact and nonchemical process, which uses the energy of a laser as an excitation source for the burning of 2D material layers. For applications to transfer and exfoliate 2D materials to arbitrary substrates, MAS and LRS are two of the most popular methods. Here, due to the support of a metal film, such as Au or Ni deposited on the 2D materials, the monolayer could be obtained via the peeling of the metal film supported by a thermal tape layer. In fact, each thinning technique revealed its own merits and demerits that they encountered throughout the fabrication process of 2D material thinning, as shown in Fig. 19. Thus, depending on the purpose and use of the 2D materials, selecting, and using a suitable method for applications to achieve high efficiency and optimization is necessary.

In addition, various experimental factors such as  $H_2$ , Ar, or  $O_2$  partial pressure, etchant species, substrate, and buffer gas have also been observed to significantly influence the thinning behavior of 2D materials. Extensive theories have been explored and the mechanisms underlying the effects of parameters on 2D material thinning have been shown, but similar studies in another material thinning are still rare if these thinning methodologies are not only applied to 2D materials, but also applied to other materials and investigated for semiconductor devices such as polymer materials,<sup>187–193</sup> transition metal carbides, nitrides, carbonitrides (MXenes),<sup>194–199</sup> perovskite,<sup>200–204</sup> or thinning metal-based nanowire, nanotube, and nanorod structures.<sup>205–207</sup>

The thinning advances in processing materials have the potential to open up new opportunities for the development



Fig. 20 Prospective development roadmap of thinned 2D materials for integrated modern electronics/optoelectronics.

#### **Review Article**

of high-quality 2D materials that are needed for electronics and optoelectronics for suitable applications. The controlled band gap of 2D materials can lead to significant improvements in the current on–off ratio, PL, and other unexplored exotic properties. The layer-by-layer thinning of 2D materials by integrated cyclic plasma thinning technology is regarded as the most promising method due to its minimal physical and chemical damage. Research in this direction will be of great importance. Moreover, new thinning techniques such as crystallographic thinning,<sup>208–211</sup> or metal-assisted chemical thinning,<sup>212–215</sup> are also well worth waiting for in the fabrication of thinned materials. However, for the applications of 2D material thinning, they need more time to demonstrate the exactness, and stability in the future and if successful, it could open routines for new thinning strategies with low cost and high quality. In the context of future technological advancements, the potential application of thinning top–down strategies holds significant promise. This approach involves streamlining and optimizing



Fig. 21 A knight is atomically slicing the topmost thinned layer of 2D materials as represented by the advanced top-down layer-by-layer thinning strategies at the atomic scale applicable to artificially representative grown multilayer materials.

complex systems, processes, or devices by strategically removing unnecessary components, layers, or functionalities. By identifying non-essential features, redundant components, and excessive layers of materials, the top-down thinning strategies can easily design devices that offer the same functionality but with a smaller environmental footprint and enhanced user experience. This might involve leveraging artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms to dynamically adjust device settings based on individual usage patterns, thus conserving power and optimizing performance. The thinning topdown strategies could also be beneficial in sectors like transportation. With the development of self-driving cars, the integration of numerous sensors, processors, and communication systems can lead to a highly complex and potentially unwieldy vehicle architecture. Apply thinning strategies could help prioritize critical safety components while minimizing the layer number of materials, leading to more reliable and efficient autonomous vehicles. Energy storage is another area where 2D materials hold promise.216-222 Thinning strategies could be utilized to optimize the thickness and structural uniformity of 2D material-based electrodes in batteries and supercapacitors. This could improve energy storage capacity and cycling stability, addressing challenges related to volume expansion and contraction during charge-discharge cycles. In optoelectronics, 2D materials exhibit remarkable light-matter interactions that could lead to highly efficient photodetectors, solar cells, and light-emitting devices.<sup>223-229</sup> By using thinning top-down approaches, it may be possible to fabricate ultra-thin, flexible, and transparent devices that efficiently harness and manipulate light at the nanoscale. This could open up new avenues for applications in wearable technology, displays, and advanced imaging systems. As illustrated in Fig. 20, various electronic and optoelectronic systems that could use graphene or other material thinning in contemporary devices are highlighted and projected future trends till 2030 such as power-gate transistors, quantum computing, or ferroelectric memory. These thinned 2D material-based devices could potentially be given the topmost attention in the coming time from the research community.230-237

To visualize the development roadmap of this research direction, the top-down layer-by-layer thinning strategies were expressed as a knight that is atomically slicing the topmost thinned layer of 2D materials, which can be applied to artificially grown multilayer materials to date (Fig. 21). The intention is to guide readers in better understanding and visualizing advanced layer-by-layer thinning technologies at the atomic scale through the depiction of a slicing knight. This image serves as a representative symbol, illustrating the impact of chemical bonds and interactions (such as covalent bonding, ionic bonding, and  $\pi$  bonding). Importantly, it aligns seamlessly with the chemical-related scopes. The combination of top-down thinning strategies and 2D materials is poised to drive innovations across multidisciplinary industries, revolutionizing electronics, photonics, energy harvesting and storage, and beyond. As research and development in this field continue to progress, these layer-by-layer thinning strategies hold

significant potential to unlock new transformative technologies with superior performance, efficiency, and versatility.

#### Author contributions

CRediT: Phuong V. Pham conceptualization, funding acquisition, project administration, supervision, writing-original draft, writing-review & editing: The-Hung Mai writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; Huy-Binh Do review & editing; M. Vasundhara review & editing; Van-Huy Nguyen review & editing; Trieu Nguyen review & editing; Hao Van Bui review & editing; Van-Duong Dao review & editing; Ram K. Gupta review & editing; Vinoth Kumar Ponnusamy writing-review & editing, funding acquisition, supervision; Jin-Hong Park funding acquisition, supervision, writing-review & editing, supervision.

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support from the National Science and Technology Council, Taiwan (Grant No.: NSTC-112-2112-M-110-004-MY3), the Research Center for Precision Environmental Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan from The Featured Areas Research Center Program within the framework of the Higher Education Sprout Project by the Ministry of Education (MOE) in Taiwan and by Kaohsiung Medical University Research Center Grant (KMUTC113A01), and the grant MOST-110-2113-M-037-009 from the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan. This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) (2022M3F3A2A01072215, 2021R1A2C2010026). This work was also supported by the Technology Innovation Program (RS-2023-00235609, Proposal of 3D DRAM development direction from the process development of vertical stacked cell transistors) funded by the Ministry of Trade, Industry & Energy (MOTIE, Korea) (1415187471).

#### References

- 1 G. V. Resta, T. Agarwal, D. Lin, I. P. Radu, F. Catthoor, P. E. Gaillardon and G. De Micheli, *Sci. Rep*, 2017, 7, 1–9.
- 2 G. E. Moore, *Electronics*, 1965, 38, 114-117.
- 3 J. Bardeen and W. H. Brattain, *Phys. Rev.*, 1948, 74, 230–231.
- 4 H.-B.-R. Lee and S. Kim, *Chem. Mater.*, 2023, 35, 4117–4119.
- 5 R. Quhe, L. Xu, S. Liu, C. Yang, Y. Wang, H. Li, J. Yang, Q. Li, B. Shi, Y. Li, Y. Pan, X. Sun, J. Li, M. Weng, H. Zhang, Y. Guo, L. Xu, H. Tang, J. Dong, J. Yang, Z. Zhang, M. Lei, F. Pan and J. Lu, *Phys. Rep.*, 2021, 938, 1–72.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Dpen Access Article. Published on 08 april 2024. Downloaded on 24. 05. 2025 17:39:28.

- 6 D. E. Nikonov and I. A. Young, *Proc. IEEE*, 2013, **101**, 2498–2533.
- 7 A. C. Ferrari, F. Bonaccorso, V. Fal'ko, K. S. Novoselov, S. Roche, P. Bøggild, S. Borini, F. H. L. Koppens, V. Palermo, N. Pugno, J. A. Garrido, R. Sordan, A. Bianco, L. Ballerini, M. Prato, E. Lidorikis, J. Kivioja, C. Marinelli, T. Ryhänen, A. Morpurgo, J. N. Coleman, V. Nicolosi, L. Colombo, A. Fert, M. Garcia-Hernandez, A. Bachtold, G. F. Schneider, F. Guinea, C. Dekker, M. Barbone, Z. Sun, C. Galiotis, A. N. Grigorenko, G. Konstantatos, A. Kis, M. Katsnelson, L. Vandersypen, A. Loiseau, V. Morandi, D. Neumaier, E. Treossi, V. Pellegrini, M. Polini, A. Tredicucci, G. M. Williams, B. Hee Hong, J. H. Ahn, J. Min Kim, H. Zirath, B. J. VanWees, H. Van DerZant, L. Occhipinti, A. DiMatteo, I. A. Kinloch, T. Sevller, E. Quesnel, X. Feng, K. Teo, N. Rupesinghe, P. Hakonen, S. R. T. Neil, Q. Tannock, T. Löfwander and J. Kinaret, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 4598-4810.
- 8 A. Singh Dahiya, A. Zumeit, A. Christou and R. Dahiya, *Adv. Electron. Mater.*, 2022, **8**, 2200098.
- 9 D. I. Kim, J. W. Lee, R. H. Jeong and J. H. Boo, *Sci. Rep.*, 2022, **12**, 1–10.
- 10 W. Jiang, S. Lee, K. Zhao, K. Lee, H. Han, J. W. Oh, H. Lee, H. Kim, C. M. Koo and C. Park, *ACS Nano*, 2022, 16, 9203–9213.
- 11 K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva and A. A. Firsov, *Science.*, 2004, **306**, 666–669.
- K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. I. Katsnelson, I. V. Grigorieva, S. V. Dubonos and A. A. Firsov, *Nature*, 2005, 438, 197–200.
- V. P. Pham, Y. W. Jo, J. S. Oh, S. M. Kim, J. W. Park,
  S. H. Kim, M. S. Jhon and G. Y. Yeom, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, 2013, 52, 075102.
- 14 P. V. Pham, R. Soc. Open Sci., 2018, 5, 1-6.
- 15 V. P. Pham, K. H. Kim, M. H. Jeon, S. H. Lee, K. N. Kim and G. Y. Yeom, *Carbon N. Y.*, 2015, **95**, 664–671.
- 16 V. P. Pham, A. Mishra and G. Young Yeom, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 16104–16108.
- 17 K. N. Kim, V. P. Pham and G. Y. Yeom, *ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol.*, 2015, 4, N5095–N5097.
- 18 V. P. Pham, D. S. Kim, K. S. Kim, J. W. Park, K. C. Yang, S. H. Lee, G. Y. Yeom and K. N. Kim, *Sci. Adv. Mater.*, 2016, 8, 884–890.
- 19 V. P. Pham, M. T. Nguyen, J. W. Park, S. S. Kwak, D. H. T. Nguyen, M. K. Mun, H. D. Phan, D. S. Kim, K. H. Kim, N. E. Lee and G. Y. Yeom, *2D Mater.*, 2017, 4, 025049.
- 20 V. P. Pham, K. N. Kim, M. H. Jeon, K. S. Kim and G. Y. Yeom, *Nanoscale*, 2014, 6, 15301–15308.
- 21 P. V. Pham, ACS Omega, 2018, 3, 8036-8041.
- 22 V. P. Pham, H. S. Jang, D. Whang and J. Y. Choi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, 46, 6276–6300.
- 23 P. V. Pham, C, 2018, 4, 34.
- 24 P. V. Pham, The New Etching Technologies of Graphene Surfaces, IntechOpen, 2020, DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.92627.

- 25 P. Pham, P. Goel, S. Kumar and K. Yadav, 21st Century Surface Science - a Handbook, IntechOpen. 2020, DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.87891.
- 26 K. A. A. Min-Dianey, T. K. Le, J. R. Choi and P. V. Pham, *Nanomaterials*, 2021, **11**, 816.
- 27 K. A. A. Min-Dianey, T. K. Le, A. Qadir, N. L. P. M'bouana, M. Malik, S. W. Kim, J. R. Choi and P. V. Pham, *Nanomaterials*, 2021, **11**, 2934.
- 28 U. Farooq, K. A. A. Min-Dianey, P. Rajagopalan, M. Malik, D. M. Kongnine, J. R. Choi and P. V. Pham, *Nanomaterials*, 2022, 12, 712.
- 29 M. A. Iqbal, M. Malik, W. Shahid, W. Ahmad, K. A. A. Min-Dianey and P. V. Pham, Plasmonic 2D Materials: Overview, Advancements, Future Prospects and Functional Applications, 2022, vol. 3, DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.101580.
- 30 P. V. Pham, S. Chanakya Bodepudi, K. Shehzad, Y. Liu, Y. Xu, B. Yu and X. Duan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, 122, 6514–6613.
- 31 Y. Zheng, H. Wang, S. Hou, D. Xia, Y. Zheng, H. Wang, S. Hou and D. Xia, *Adv. Mater. Technol.*, 2017, 2, 1600237.
- 32 J. M. Pietryga, K. K. Zhuravlev, M. Whitehead, V. I. Klimov and R. D. Schaller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **101**, 217401.
- 33 P. V. Pham, T.-H. Mai, H.-B. Do, V. K. Ponnusamy and F.-C. Chuang, *Micromachines*, 2023, 14, 1060.
- 34 A. A. Balandin, Nat. Mater., 2011, 10, 569-581.
- 35 A. K. Geim, Science., 2009, 324, 1530-1534.
- 36 Y. Hernandez, V. Nicolosi, M. Lotya, F. M. Blighe, Z. Sun, S. De, I. T. McGovern, B. Holland, M. Byrne, Y. K. Gun'ko, J. J. Boland, P. Niraj, G. Duesberg, S. Krishnamurthy, R. Goodhue, J. Hutchison, V. Scardaci, A. C. Ferrari and J. N. Coleman, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2008, 3, 563–568.
- 37 B. Jayasena and S. Subbiah, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2011, 6, 1–7.
- 38 J. Chen, M. Duan and G. Chen, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 19625–19628.
- 39 T. Khac Le, A. The-Hung Mai, M. Aamir Iqbal, D. Vernardou, V.-D. Dao, V. Kumar Ponnusamy, G. Chandra Sekhar Rout and P. VPham, *RSC Adv.*, 2023, 13, 31273–31291.
- 40 J. Li, M. Chen, A. Samad, H. Dong, A. Ray, J. Zhang, X. Jiang, U. Schwingenschlögl, J. Domke, C. Chen, Y. Han, T. Fritz, R. S. Ruoff, B. Tian and X. Zhang, *Nat. Mater.*, 2022, 21, 740–747.
- 41 L. Wang, X. Xu, L. Zhang, R. Qiao, M. Wu, Z. Wang, S. Zhang, J. Liang, Z. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Chen, X. Xie, J. Zong, Y. Shan, Y. Guo, M. Willinger, H. Wu, Q. Li, W. Wang, P. Gao, S. Wu, Y. Zhang, Y. Jiang, D. Yu, E. Wang, X. Bai, Z. J. Wang, F. Ding and K. Liu, *Nature*, 2019, 570, 91–95.
- 42 T. Li, W. Guo, L. Ma, W. Li, Z. Yu, Z. Han, S. Gao, L. Liu, D. Fan, Z. Wang, Y. Yang, W. Lin, Z. Luo, X. Chen, N. Dai, X. Tu, D. Pan, Y. Yao, P. Wang, Y. Nie, J. Wang, Y. Shi and X. Wang, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2021, 16, 1201–1207.
- 43 P. V. Pham, Nature-Inspired Self-Cleaning Surfaces Nanotechnology Era, 2023, vol. 6, p. 120, DOI: 10.5772/ INTECHOPEN.106087.

- 44 T. He, Z. Wang, F. Zhong, H. Fang, P. Wang and W. Hu, *Adv. Mater. Technol.*, 2019, 4, 1900064.
- 45 M. Marchena, F. Wagner, T. Arliguie, B. Zhu, B. Johnson, M. Fernández, T. L. Chen, T. Chang, R. Lee, V. Pruneri and P. Mazumder, *2D Mater.*, 2018, 5, 035022.
- 46 N. Basu, R. Kumar, D. Manikandan, M. Ghosh Dastidar,
  P. Hedge, P. K. Nayak and V. P. Bhallamudi, *RSC Adv.*, 2023, 13, 16241–16247.
- 47 J. T. Mlack, P. Masih Das, G. Danda, Y. C. Chou, C. H. Naylor, Z. Lin, N. P. López, T. Zhang, M. Terrones, A. T. C. Johnson and M. Drndic, *Sci. Rep*, 2017, 7, 1–8.
- 48 C. Xie, X. Zhang, K. Ruan, Z. Shao, S. S. Dhaliwal, L. Wang, Q. Zhang, X. Zhang and J. Jie, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, 1, 15348–15354.
- 49 S. Li, M. Zeng, Y. Huang, R. Zhan, J. Chen, N. Xu, J. She and S. Deng, *J. Micromech. Microeng.*, 2018, 28, 085001.
- 50 H. Agarwal, K. Nowakowski, A. Forrer, A. Principi, R. Bertini, S. Batlle-Porro, A. Reserbat-Plantey, P. Prasad, L. Vistoli, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Bachtold, G. Scalari, R. Krishna Kumar and F. H. L. Koppens, *Nat. Photonics*, 2023, 17, 1047–1053.
- 51 Y. Zhang, T. T. Tang, C. Girit, Z. Hao, M. C. Martin, A. Zettl, M. F. Crommie, Y. R. Shen and F. Wang, *Nature*, 2009, **459**, 820–823.
- 52 A. Dey, A. Chroneos, N. S. J. Braithwaite, R. P. Gandhiraman and S. Krishnamurthy, *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, 2016, 3, 021301.
- 53 H. Conrads and M. Schmidt, *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.*, 2000, 9, 441–454.
- 54 V. M. Donnelly and A. Kornblit, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A, 2013, 31, 050825.
- 55 R. J. Gasvoda, A. W. Van DeSteeg, R. Bhowmick, E. A. Hudson and S. Agarwal, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, 9, 31067–31075.
- 56 F. Fracassi, R. D'Agostino, R. Lamendola, A. Filippo, C. Rapisarda and P. Vasquez, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1996, 143, 701–707.
- 57 J. W. Coburn and H. F. Winters, Appl. Surf. Sci., 1985, 22– 23, 63–71.
- 58 J. A. Bondur, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., 1976, 13, 1023-1029.
- 59 L. Xie, T. X. Zhou, R. J. Stöhr and A. Yacoby, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705501.
- 60 H. Al-Mumen, F. Rao, W. Li and L. Dong, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2014, **6**, 116–124.
- 61 F. A. Khan and I. Adesida, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1999, 75, 2268–2270.
- 62 J. J. Wang, E. S. Lambers, S. J. Pearton, M. Ostling, C. M. Zetterling, J. M. Grow, F. Ren and R. J. Shul, *Solid. State. Electron.*, 1998, **42**, 2283–2288.
- 63 B. Li, L. Cao and J. H. Zhao, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1998, 73, 653–655.
- 64 G. Robert Bigras, X. Glad, L. Vandsburger, C. Charpin,
  P. Levesque, R. Martel and L. Stafford, *Carbon N. Y.*, 2019, 144, 532–539.
- 65 L. Zhang, S. Feng, S. Xiao, G. Shen, X. Zhang, H. Nan, X. Gu and K. (Ken)Ostrikov, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, 441, 639–646.

- 66 J. Jia, S. K. Jang, S. Lai, J. Xu, Y. J. Choi, J. H. Park and S. Lee, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 8729–8736.
- 67 C. G. N. Lee, K. J. Kanarik and R. A. Gottscho, J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys., 2014, 47, 273001.
- 68 K. J. Kanarik, T. Lill, E. A. Hudson, S. Sriraman, S. Tan, J. Marks, V. Vahedi and R. A. Gottscho, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A*, 2015, 33, 020802.
- 69 R. C. Longo, A. Ranjan and P. L. G. Ventzek, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2020, 3, 5189–5202.
- 70 S. Yasmeen, S. W. Ryu, S. H. Lee and H. B. R. Lee, Adv. Mater. Technol., 2022, 2200876, 2200876.
- 71 K. C. Chen, T. W. Chu, C. R. Wu, S. C. Lee and S. Y. Lin, *2D Mater.*, 2017, **4**, 034001.
- 72 A. Fischer, A. Routzahn, S. M. George and T. Lill, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A Vacuum, Surfaces, Film.*, 2021, **39**, 30801.
- 73 J. Soares, A. U. Mane, D. Choudhury, S. Letourneau,
  S. M. Hues, J. W. Elam and E. Graugnard, *Chem. Mater.*, 2023, 35, 927–936.
- 74 K. S. Kim, Y. J. Ji, Y. Nam, K. H. Kim, E. Singh, J. Y. Lee and G. Y. Yeom, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, 7, 1–9.
- 75 W. S. Lim, Y. Y. Kim, H. Kim, S. Jang, N. Kwon, B. J. Park, J. H. Ahn, I. Chung, B. H. Hong and G. Y. Yeom, *Carbon N. Y.*, 2012, **50**, 429–435.
- 76 K. S. Kim, K. H. Kim, J. E. Kang, J. H. Lee, Y. J. Ji and G. Y. Yeom, ACS Appl. Electron. Mater., 2022, 4, 3794–3800.
- 77 K. S. Kim, K. H. Kim, Y. J. Ji and G. Y. Yeom, *ECS Trans.*, 2018, **86**, 69.
- 78 Z. Ma, C. Prawoto, Z. Ahmed, Y. Xiao, L. Zhang, C. Zhou and M. Chan, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, 7, 6273–6278.
- 79 H. Kwon, S. W. Seo, T. G. Kim, E. S. Lee, P. T. Lanh, S. Yang, S. Ryu and J. W. Kim, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 8723–8731.
- 80 Y. You, J. Park and J. Kim, *ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol.*, 2023, **12**, 075009.
- 81 Y. Rho, J. Pei, L. Wang, Z. Su, M. Eliceiri and C. P. Grigoropoulos, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 39385–39393.
- 82 Y. Zhou, Q. Bao, B. Varghese, L. A. L. Tang, C. K. Tan, C. H. Sow and K. P. Loh, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, 22, 67–71.
- 83 D. W. Li, Y. S. Zhou, X. Huang, L. Jiang, J.-F. Silvain and Y. F. Lu, *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7, 3651–3659.
- 84 V. A. Ermakov, A. V. Alaferdov, A. R. Vaz, E. Perim, P. A. S. Autreto, R. Paupitz, D. S. Galvao and S. A. Moshkalev, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, 5, 1–9.
- 85 A. Castellanos-Gomez, M. Barkelid, A. M. Goossens, V. E. Calado, H. S. J. Van DerZant and G. A. Steele, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, 12, 3187–3192.
- 86 J. Lu, J. Wu, A. Carvalho, A. Ziletti, H. Liu, J. Tan, Y. Chen, A. H. Castro Neto, B. Özyilmaz and C. H. Sow, *ACS Nano*, 2015, 9, 10411–10421.
- 87 A. V. Zaretski, H. Moetazedi, C. Kong, E. J. Sawyer, S. Savagatrup, E. Valle, T. F. O'Connor, A. D. Printz and D. J. Lipomi, *Nanotechnology*, 2015, 26, 045301.
- 88 J. Kim, H. Park, J. B. Hannon, S. W. Bedell, K. Fogel,
   D. K. Sadana and C. Dimitrakopoulos, *Science.*, 2013,
   342, 833–836.

- 89 J. Shim, S. H. Bae, W. Kong, D. Lee, K. Qiao, D. Nezich, Y. J. Park, R. Zhao, S. Sundaram, X. Li, H. Yeon, C. Choi, H. Kum, R. Yue, G. Zhou, Y. Ou, K. Lee, J. Moodera, X. Zhao, J. H. Ahn, C. Hinkle, A. Ougazzaden and J. Kim, *Science*, 2018, **362**, 665–670.
- 90 J. Li, H. Ji, X. Zhang, X. Wang, Z. Jin, D. Wang and L. J. Wan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 11012–11015.
- 91 W. Li, G. Cheng, Y. Liang, B. Tian, X. Liang, L. Peng, A. R. Hight Walker, D. J. Gundlach and N. V. Nguyen, *Carbon N. Y.*, 2016, **99**, 348–353.
- 92 H. K. Ng, D. Xiang, A. Suwardi, G. Hu, K. Yang, Y. Zhao, T. Liu, Z. Cao, H. Liu, S. Li, J. Cao, Q. Zhu, Z. Dong, C. K. I. Tan, D. Chi, C. W. Qiu, K. Hippalgaonkar, G. Eda, M. Yang and J. Wu, *Nat. Electron.*, 2022, 5, 489–496.
- 93 L. Chu, H. Schmidt, J. Pu, S. Wang, B. Özyilmaz, T. Takenobu and G. Eda, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, 4, 1–6.
- 94 M. S. Cao, X. X. Wang, W. Q. Cao and J. Yuan, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2015, 3, 6589–6599.
- 95 X. Li, T. Yang, Y. Yang, J. Zhu, L. Li, F. E. Alam, X. Li, K. Wang, H. Cheng, C.-T. Lin, Y. Fang and H. Zhu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, 26, 1322–1329.
- 96 S. Bertolazzi, J. Brivio and A. Kis, ACS Nano, 2011, 5, 9703-9709.
- 97 T. Chekke, R. Narzary, S. Ngadong, B. Satpati, S. Bayan and U. Das, *Sens. Actuators, A*, 2023, **349**, 114076.
- 98 X. Zeng, Z. Ding, C. Ma, L. Wu, J. Liu, L. Chen, D. G. Ivey and W. Wei, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 18841–18848.
- 99 E. O. Polat, H. B. Uzlu, O. Balci, N. Kakenov, E. Kovalska and C. Kocabas, *ACS Photonics*, 2016, **3**, 964–971.
- 100 S. Sarma, P. Mbule and S. C. Ray, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **479**, 1118–1123.
- 101 W. Zhang, Q. Wang, Y. Chen, Z. Wang and A. T. S. Wee, 2D Mater., 2016, 3, 022001.
- 102 Y. Hwang, T. Kim and N. Shin, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater*, 2021, 4, 12034–12042.
- 103 M. Alamri, M. Gong, B. Cook, R. Goul and J. Z. Wu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 33390–33398.
- 104 D. Geng, B. Wu, Y. Guo, B. Luo, Y. Xue, J. Chen, G. Yu and Y. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 6431–6434.
- 105 J. W. Park, S. K. Jang, D. H. Kang, D. S. Kim, M. H. Jeon, W. O. Lee, K. S. Kim, S. J. Lee, J. H. Park, K. N. Kim and G. Y. Yeom, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, 5, 10888–10893.
- 106 J. W. Park, D. S. Kim, M. K. Mun, W. O. Lee, K. S. Kim and G. Y. Yeom, J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys., 2017, 50, 254007.
- 107 K. S. Kim, K. H. Kim, Y. Nam, J. Jeon, S. Yim, E. Singh, J. Y. Lee, S. J. Lee, Y. S. Jung, G. Y. Yeom and D. W. Kim, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, 9, 11967–11976.
- 108 K. S. Kim, Y. J. Ji, K. H. Kim, S. Choi, D. H. Kang, K. Heo, S. Cho, S. Yim, S. Lee, J. H. Park, Y. S. Jung and G. Y. Yeom, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1–10.
- 109 K. Xu, D. Chen, F. Yang, Z. Wang, L. Yin, F. Wang, R. Cheng, K. Liu, J. Xiong, Q. Liu and J. He, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 1065–1070.
- 110 H. Park, G. H. Shin, K. J. Lee and S. Y. Choi, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 15205–15212.

- Y. Huang, Y. H. Pan, R. Yang, L. H. Bao, L. Meng, H. L. Luo, Y. Q. Cai, G. D. Liu, W. J. Zhao, Z. Zhou, L. M. Wu, Z. L. Zhu, M. Huang, L. W. Liu, L. Liu, P. Cheng, K. H. Wu, S. B. Tian, C. Z. Gu, Y. G. Shi, Y. F. Guo, Z. G. Cheng, J. P. Hu, L. Zhao, G. H. Yang, E. Sutter, P. Sutter, Y. L. Wang, W. Ji, X. J. Zhou and H. J. Gao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 1–9.
- 112 L. Guan, B. Xing, X. Niu, D. Wang, Y. Yu, S. Zhang, X. Yan, Y. Wang and J. Sha, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, 54, 595–598.
- 113 E. Cho, A. T. Nguyen, S. Lim, J. Cho, J. Song, S. Kwon and D. W. Kim, *J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys.*, 2023, 56, 325101.
- 114 Y. Yao and C. P. Wong, Carbon N. Y., 2012, 50, 5203-5209.
- 115 Y. Y. Stehle, X. Sang, R. R. Unocic, D. Voylov, R. K. Jackson,
  S. Smirnov and I. Vlassiouk, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, 17, 7306–7314.
- 116 A. Felten, A. Eckmann, J. J. Pireaux, R. Krupke and C. Casiraghi, *Nanotechnology*, 2013, **24**, 355705.
- 117 F. Ghasemi, A. Abdollahi and S. Mohajerzadeh, *ACS Omega*, 2019, **4**, 19693–19704.
- 118 Y. Y. Kim, W. S. Lim, J. B. Park and G. Y. Yeom, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2011, **158**, D710.
- 119 T. Z. Lin, B. T. Kang, M. H. Jeon, C. Huffman, J. H. Jeon, S. J. Lee, W. Han, J. Y. Lee, S. H. Lee, G. Y. Yeom and K. N. Kim, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 15892–15897.
- 120 Y. Liu, X. Duan, H. J. Shin, S. Park, Y. Huang and X. Duan, *Nature*, 2021, **591**, 43–53.
- 121 Q. Qiu and Z. Huang, Adv. Mater., 2021, 33, 2008126.
- 122 Z. Sun, A. Martinez and F. Wang, *Nat. Photonics*, 2016, **10**, 227–238.
- 123 X. Yang, S. Tang, G. Ding, X. Xie, M. Jiang and F. Huang, Nanotechnology, 2012, 23, 025704.
- 124 J. Bai, X. Zhong, S. Jiang, Y. Huang and X. Duan, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2010, **5**, 190–194.
- 125 M. Wojtaszek, N. Tombros, A. Caretta, P. H. M. VanLoosdrecht and B. J. VanWees, J. Appl. Phys., 2011, 110, 063715.
- 126 G. Kalita, L. Qi, Y. Namba, K. Wakita and M. Umeno, *Mater. Lett.*, 2011, 65, 1569–1572.
- 127 Y. Zhang, K. Ma, C. Zhao, W. Hong, C. Nie, Z. J. Qiu and S. Wang, ACS Nano, 2021, 15, 4405–4415.
- 128 C. Wang, Q. Wu, Y. Ding, Z. Cai, S. Xiao, X. Zhang, H. Nan and X. Gu, *Appl. Phys. Express*, 2022, **16**, 015003.
- 129 S. Wi, H. Kim, M. Chen, H. Nam, L. J. Guo, E. Meyhofer and X. Liang, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 5270–5281.
- 130 J. An, X. Zhao, Y. Zhang, M. Liu, J. Yuan, X. Sun, Z. Zhang,
  B. Wang, S. Li and D. Li, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022,
  32, 2110119.
- 131 S. Yu, X. Wu, Y. Wang, X. Guo, L. Tong, S. L. Yu, X. Q. Wu,
  Y. P. Wang, X. Guo and L. M. Tong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017,
  29, 1606128.
- 132 O. DelPozo-Zamudio, A. Genco, S. Schwarz, F. Withers,
  P. M. Walker, T. Godde, R. C. Schofield, A. P. Rooney,
  E. Prestat, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, C. Clark, S. J. Haigh,
  D. N. Krizhanovskii, K. S. Novoselov and A. I. Tartakovskii, *2D Mater.*, 2020, 7, 031006.

- 133 J. Wang, J. Han, X. Chen and X. Wang, *InfoMat*, 2019, 1, 33–53.
- 134 L. Wang, W. Liu, Y. Zhang, Z. H. Zhang, S. Tiam Tan, X. Yi,
  G. Wang, X. Sun, H. Zhu and H. Volkan Demir, *Nano* Energy, 2015, 12, 419–436.
- 135 T. H. Han, Y. Lee, M. R. Choi, S. H. Woo, S. H. Bae, B. H. Hong, J. H. Ahn and T. W. Lee, *Nat. Photonics*, 2012, 6, 105–110.
- 136 C. Kim, T. Phan Nguyen, Q. VanLe, J.-M. Jeon, H. Won Jang and S. Young Kim, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 4512–4519.
- 137 E. O. Polat and C. Kocabas, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 5851–5857.
- 138 Z. B. Liu, M. Feng, W. S. Jiang, W. Xin, P. Wang, Q. W. Sheng, Y. G. Liu, D. N. Wang, W. Y. Zhou and J. G. Tian, *Laser Phys. Lett.*, 2013, **10**, 065901.
- 139 Y. Wu, Y. M. Lin, A. A. Bol, K. A. Jenkins, F. Xia, D. B. Farmer, Y. Zhu and P. Avouris, *Nature*, 2011, 472, 74–78.
- 140 M. Kim, P. Kang, J. Leem and S. W. Nam, *Nanoscale*, 2017, 9, 4058–4065.
- 141 K. Y. Thai, I. Park, B. J. Kim, A. T. Hoang, Y. Na, C. U. Park, Y. Chae and J. H. Ahn, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 12836–12846.
- 142 F. Xue, L. Chen, L. Wang, Y. Pang, J. Chen, C. Zhang and Z. L. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, 26, 2104–2109.
- 143 S. Wu, Y. Chen, X. Wang, H. Jiao, Q. Zhao, X. Huang, X. Tai, Y. Zhou, H. Chen, X. Wang, S. Huang, H. Yan, T. Lin, H. Shen, W. Hu, X. Meng, J. Chu and J. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 1–9.
- 144 J. Xu, Y. J. Song, J. H. Park and S. Lee, *Solid. State. Electron.*, 2018, **144**, 86–89.
- 145 W. Zhu, M. N. Yogeesh, S. Yang, S. H. Aldave, J. S. Kim, S. Sonde, L. Tao, N. Lu and D. Akinwande, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, 15, 1883–1890.
- 146 Z. Wang, Y. Chen, P. Wu, J. Ye, M. Peng, Y. Yan, F. Zhong, T. He, Y. Wang, M. Xu, K. Zhang, Z. Hu, Q. Li, L. Zhang, F. Wang and P. Wang, *Infrared Phys. Technol.*, 2020, 106, 103272.
- 147 C. Jung, S. M. Kim, H. Moon, G. Han, J. Kwon, Y. K. Hong, I. Omkaram, Y. Yoon, S. Kim and J. Park, *Sci. Rep*, 2015, 5, 1–9.
- 148 B. Chamlagain, Q. Li, N. J. Ghimire, H. J. Chuang, M. M. Perera, H. Tu, Y. Xu, M. Pan, D. Xaio, J. Yan, D. Mandrus and Z. Zhou, ACS Nano, 2014, 8, 5079–5088.
- 149 L. Huang, B. Dong, X. Guo, Y. Chang, N. Chen, X. Huang,
  W. Liao, C. Zhu, H. Wang, C. Lee and K. W. Ang, *ACS Nano*, 2019, 13, 913–921.
- 150 X. Yu, P. Yu, D. Wu, B. Singh, Q. Zeng, H. Lin, W. Zhou, J. Lin, K. Suenaga, Z. Liu and Q. J. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 1–9.
- 151 X. Zhou, X. Hu, J. Yu, S. Liu, Z. Shu, Q. Zhang, H. Li, Y. Ma, H. Xu, T. Zhai, X. Zhou, X. Z. Hu, J. Yu, Z. W. Shu, Q. Zhang, H. Q. Li, Y. Ma, T. Y. Zhai, S. Liu and H. Xu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, 28, 1706587.
- 152 C. H. Lee, G. H. Lee, A. M. Van DerZande, W. Chen, Y. Li, M. Han, X. Cui, G. Arefe, C. Nuckolls, T. F. Heinz, J. Guo, J. Hone and P. Kim, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, 9, 676–681.

- 153 M. Massicotte, P. Schmidt, F. Vialla, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, K. J. Tielrooij and F. H. L. Koppens, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, 7, 1–7.
- 154 J. H. Gosling, O. Makarovsky, F. Wang, N. D. Cottam, M. T. Greenaway, A. Patanè, R. D. Wildman, C. J. Tuck, L. Turyanska and T. M. Fromhold, *Commun. Phys.*, 2021, 4, 1–8.
- 155 M. S. Choi, A. Nipane, B. S. Y. Kim, M. E. Ziffer, I. Datta, A. Borah, Y. Jung, B. Kim, D. Rhodes, A. Jindal, Z. A. Lamport, M. Lee, A. Zangiabadi, M. N. Nair, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, I. Kymissis, A. N. Pasupathy, M. Lipson, X. Zhu, W. J. Yoo, J. Hone and J. T. Teherani, *Nat. Electron.*, 2021, 4, 731–739.
- 156 L. Banszerus, M. Schmitz, S. Engels, J. Dauber, M. Oellers,
  F. Haupt, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. Beschoten and
  C. Stampfer, *Sci. Adv*, 2015, 1, e1500222.
- 157 M. Y. Han, B. Özyilmaz, Y. Zhang and P. Kim, *Phys. Rev.* Lett., 2007, **98**, 206805.
- 158 T. Ohta, A. Bostwick, T. Seyller, K. Horn and E. Rotenberg, *Science.*, 2006, **313**, 951–954.
- 159 X. Wang and H. Dai, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 661-665.
- 160 J. Meyer, S. Hamwi, M. Kröger, W. Kowalsky, T. Riedl and A. Kahn, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 5408–5427.
- 161 Y. Sui and J. Appenzeller, Nano Lett., 2009, 9, 2973-2977.
- 162 D. Bischof, M. Kahl and M. Michler, *Opt. Mater. Express*, 2021, **11**, 1185–1195.
- 163 G. A. Shafeev, E. D. Obraztsova and S. M. Pimenov, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, B, 1997, 46, 129–132.
- M. A. Bissett, A. G. Hattle, A. J. Marsden, I. A. Kinloch and R. A. W. Dryfe, *ACS Omega*, 2017, 2, 738–745.
- 165 R. Tao, X. Qu, Z. Wang, F. Li, L. Yang, J. Li, D. Wang, K. Zheng and M. Dong, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **119**, 61–68.
- 166 D. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Chen, Y. Zhu, K. Zhan, H. Cheng and X. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2016, 8, 4107–4112.
- 167 Y. Sha, S. Xiao, X. Zhang, F. Qin and X. Gu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **411**, 182–188.
- 168 V. Karthik Nagareddy, T. J. Octon, N. J. Townsend, S. Russo, M. F. Craciun, C. David Wright, V. K. Nagareddy, T. J. Octon, N. J. Townsend, S. Russo, M. F. Craciun and C. D. Wright, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, 28, 1804434.
- 169 C. Pei, X. Li, H. Fan, J. Wang, H. You, P. Yang, C. Wei, S. Wang, X. Shen and H. Li, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater*, 2020, 3, 4218–4230.
- 170 G. Lee, J. Y. Lee, G. H. Lee and J. Kim, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, 4, 6234–6239.
- 171 C. J. Banas, M. Aman Uddin, J. Park, B.-C. Tran-Khac, R. M. White, F. W. DelRio and K.-H. Chung, *Nanotechnol*ogy, 2019, **30**, 275302.
- 172 S. Huang, J. Li, J. Fang, H. Ding, W. Huang, X. Zhao and Y. Zheng, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, 13, 58966–58973.
- 173 J. Pei, X. Gai, J. Yang, X. Wang, Z. Yu, D. Y. Choi, B. Luther-Davies and Y. Lu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, 7, 1–8.
- 174 T. Das, D. Seo, J. Eun Seo, J. Chang, T. Das, D. Seo, J. E. Seo and J. Chang, *Adv. Electron. Mater.*, 2020, **6**, 2000008.

- 175 P. V. Pham, 21st Century Nanostructured Materials -Physics, Chemistry, Classification, and Emerging Applications in Industry, Biomedicine, and Agriculture, 2022, vol. 17, DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.94802.
- 176 X. Huang, C. Liu and P. Zhou, 2D Mater. Appl., 2022, 6, 1-19.
- 177 X. Song, J. Hu and H. Zeng, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2013, 1, 2952–2969.
- 178 H. Sun, J. Dong, F. Liu and F. Ding, *Mater. Today*, 2021, **42**, 192–213.
- 179 M. A. Iqbal, N. Anwar, M. Malik, M. Al-Bahrani, M. R. Islam, J. R. Choi, P. V. Pham and X. Liu, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2023, **10**, 2202208.
- 180 S. Manzhos, C. C. Chueh, G. Giorgi, T. Kubo, G. Saianand, J. Lüder, P. Sonar and M. Ihara, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, 12, 4638–4657.
- S. Bellani, A. Bartolotta, A. Agresti, G. Calogero, G. Grancini, A. DiCarlo, E. Kymakis and F. Bonaccorso, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 11870–11965.
- 182 G. R. Bhimanapati, Z. Lin, V. Meunier, Y. Jung, J. Cha, S. Das, D. Xiao, Y. Son, M. S. Strano, V. R. Cooper, L. Liang, S. G. Louie, E. Ringe, W. Zhou, S. S. Kim, R. R. Naik, B. G. Sumpter, H. Terrones, F. Xia, Y. Wang, J. Zhu, D. Akinwande, N. Alem, J. A. Schuller, R. E. Schaak, M. Terrones and J. A. Robinson, *ACS Nano*, 2015, 9, 11509–11539.
- 183 H. M. Li, D. Lee, D. Qu, X. Liu, J. Ryu, A. Seabaugh and W. J. Yoo, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 1–9.
- 184 Y. Du, H. Liu, A. T. Neal, M. Si and P. D. Ye, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2013, 34, 1328–1330.
- 185 J. D. Lin, C. Han, F. Wang, R. Wang, D. Xiang, S. Qin, X. A. Zhang, L. Wang, H. Zhang, A. T. S. Wee and W. Chen, *ACS Nano*, 2014, 8, 5323–5329.
- 186 S. Tongay, J. Zhou, C. Ataca, J. Liu, J. S. Kang, T. S. Matthews, L. You, J. Li, J. C. Grossman and J. Wu, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 2831–2836.
- 187 H. Puliyalil and U. Cvelbar, Nanomaterials, 2016, 6, 108.
- 188 J. E. Andrew, P. E. Dyer, D. Forster and P. H. Key, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1983, **43**, 717–719.
- 189 O. Joubert, J. Pelletier and Y. Arnal, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1989, **65**, 5096–5100.
- 190 P. E. Dyer and R. J. Farley, Appl. Phys. Lett., 1990, 57, 765–767.
- 191 D. J. DaSilva and D. S. Rosa, ACS Appl. Polym. Mater., 2022, 4, 7162–7172.
- 192 E. Wohlfart, J. P. Fernández-Blázquez, E. Knoche, A. Bello,
  E. Pérez, E. Arzt and A. DelCampo, *Macromolecules*, 2010,
  43, 9908–9917.
- 193 A. Bès, M. Koo, T. L. Phan, A. Lacoste and J. Pelletier, *Plasma Process. Polym.*, 2018, **15**, 1800038.
- 194 G. Greczynski, D. Primetzhofer and L. Hultman, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **436**, 102–110.
- 195 M. Naguib, O. Mashtalir, J. Carle, V. Presser, J. Lu, L. Hultman, Y. Gogotsi and M. W. Barsoum, ACS Nano, 2012, 6, 1322–1331.
- 196 J. Halim, S. Kota, M. R. Lukatskaya, M. Naguib, M. Q. Zhao,
  E. J. Moon, J. Pitock, J. Nanda, S. J. May, Y. Gogotsi and
  M. W. Barsoum, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, 26, 3118–3127.

- 197 J. Yang, M. Naguib, M. Ghidiu, L. M. Pan, J. Gu, J. Nanda, J. Halim, Y. Gogotsi and M. W. Barsoum, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2016, **99**, 660–666.
- 198 B. Soundiraraju and B. K. George, ACS Nano, 2017, 11, 8892–8900.
- 199 B. Anasori, Y. Xie, M. Beidaghi, J. Lu, B. C. Hosler,
  L. Hultman, P. R. C. Kent, Y. Gogotsi and
  M. W. Barsoum, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 9507–9516.
- 200 B. Shi, P. Wang, J. Feng, C. Xue, G. Yang, Q. Liao, M. Zhang, X. Zhang, W. Wen and J. Wu, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2023, 15, 1–18.
- 201 H. C. Lin, Y. C. Lee, C. C. Lin, Y. L. Ho, D. Xing, M. H. Chen, B. W. Lin, L. Y. Chen, C. W. Chen and J. J. Delaunay, *Nanoscale*, 2022, 14, 10075–10081.
- 202 K. G. Brooks and M. K. Nazeeruddin, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **11**, 2101149.
- 203 L. Zhang, M. T. Hörantner, W. Zhang, Q. Yan and H. J. Snaith, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2017, 160, 193-202.
- 204 X. Xiao, C. Bao, Y. Fang, J. Dai, B. R. Ecker, C. Wang, Y. Lin, S. Tang, Y. Liu, Y. Deng, X. Zheng, Y. Gao, X. Cheng Zeng and J. Huang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705176.
- 205 D. A. Scrymgeour, T. L. Sounart, N. C. Simmons and J. W. P. Hsu, J. Appl. Phys., 2007, 101, 014316.
- 206 D. Eisert, W. Braun, S. Kuhn, J. Koeth and A. Forchel, *Microelectron. Eng.*, 1999, 46, 179–181.
- 207 W. R. Hendren, A. Murphy, P. Evans, D. O'Connor, G. A. Wurtz, A. V. Zayats, R. Atkinson and R. J. Pollard, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, 2008, **20**, 362203.
- 208 E. A. Ogryzlo, D. E. Ibbotson, D. L. Flamm and J. A. Mucha, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1990, **67**, 3115–3120.
- 209 F. Schäffel, J. H. Warner, A. Bachmatiuk, B. Rellinghaus,
  B. Büchner, L. Schultz and M. H. Rümmeli, *Nano Res.*, 2009, 2, 695–705.
- 210 D. A. Stocker, I. D. Goepfert, E. F. Schubert, K. S. Boutros and J. M. Redwing, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2000, 147, 763.
- 211 R. Wang, J. Wang, H. Gong, Z. Luo, D. Zhan, Z. Shen and J. T. L. Thong, *Small*, 2012, 8, 2515–2523.
- 212 L. L. Janavicius, J. A. Michaels, C. Chan, D. J. Sievers and X. Li, *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, 2023, **10**, 011409.
- 213 J. Kim, D. H. Lee, J. H. Kim and S. H. Choi, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 24242–24246.
- 214 H. Han, Z. Huang and W. Lee, *Nano Today*, 2014, 9, 271–304.
- 215 J. J. Huang, C. S. Huang, J. Y. Wang, P. Y. Chang, C. N. Chen and S. L. Ou, *Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process*, 2022, **128**, 1–12.
- 216 Z. Wu, J. Qi, W. Wang, Z. Zeng and Q. He, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 18793–18817.
- 217 R. Raccichini, A. Varzi, S. Passerini and B. Scrosati, *Nat. Mater.*, 2014, **14**, 271–279.
- 218 V. Panwar, P. S. Chauhan, S. Kumar, R. Tripathi and A. Misra, ACS Energy Lett., 2023, 8, 1510–1519.
- 219 R. Han, F. Liu, X. Wang, M. Huang, W. Li, Y. Yamauchi,
  X. Sun and Z. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, 8, 14384–14399.

- 220 M. M. Rahman, M. R. Hossen, I. Alam, M. H. Rahman, O. Faruk, M. Nurbas, M. M. Rahman and M. M. R. Khan, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2023, **947**, 169471.
- 221 M. Tomy, A. Ambika Rajappan, V. M. Vimuna and X. Thankappan Suryabai, *Energy Fuels*, 2021, **35**, 19881–19900.
- 222 A. F. Khan, M. P. Down, G. C. Smith, C. W. Foster and C. E. Banks, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 4103–4113.
- 223 F. Bonaccorso, Z. Sun, T. Hasan and A. C. Ferrari, *Nat. Photonics*, 2010, **4**, 611–622.
- 224 P. Kienitz, A. Bablich, R. Bornemann, M. Müller, F. Thiel and P. H. Bolívar, *Nano Lett.*, 2023, **23**, 5535–5540.
- 225 D. Yue, X. Ju, T. Hu, X. Rong, X. Liu, X. Liu, H. K. Ng, D. Chi, X. Wang and J. Wu, *Nanoscale*, 2023, **15**, 4940–4950.
- 226 Z. Xie, G. Li, S. Xia, C. Liu, S. Zhang, Z. Zeng, X. Liu, D. Flandre, Z. Fan, L. Liao and X. Zou, *Nano Lett.*, 2023, 23, 6664–6672.
- 227 B. Tan, Y. Wu, F. Gao, H. Yang, Y. Hu, H. Shang, X. Zhang, J. Zhang, Z. Li, Y. Fu, D. Jia, Y. Zhou, H. Xiao and P. Hu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2022, 14, 16453–16461.
- 228 S. Ngamprapawat, J. Kawase, T. Nishimura, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi and K. Nagashio, *Adv. Electron. Mater.*, 2023, 9, 2300083.

- 229 G. Jiang, H. Tian, X. F. Wang, T. Hirtz, F. Wu, Y. C. Qiao, G. Y. Gou, Y. H. Wei, J. M. Yang, S. Yang, Y. Yang and T. L. Ren, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2019, 1, 4745–4754.
- 230 A. Pal, S. Zhang, T. Chavan, K. Agashiwala, C.-H. Yeh,
  W. Cao and K. Banerjee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2023, 35, 2109894.
- 231 Z. Fan, J. Qu, T. Wang, A.-H. Nath Jaiswal, M. Liu, S. Shahi, H. Hu, H. Wang, Y. Sun, L. Chen, M. Er Pam, S. Li and K.-W. Ang, *Neuromorphic Comput. Eng*, 2022, 2, 022001.
- 232 X. Jin, Y. Y. Zhang and S. Du, Fundam. Res., 2023, 3, 322-331.
- 233 L. Jin, H. Wang, R. Cao, K. Khan, A. K. Tareen, S. Wageh, A. A. Al-Ghamdi, S. Li, D. Li, Y. Zhang and H. Zhang, *APL Mater*, 2022, **10**, 060903.
- 234 J. Xu, L. Chen, Y. W. Dai, Q. Cao, Q. Q. Sun, S. J. Ding,H. Zhu and D. W. Zhang, *Sci. Adv.*, 2017, 3, e1602246.
- 235 Q. Zhang, C. Liu and P. Zhou, iScience, 2023, 26, 106673.
- 236 I. A. Calafell, J. D. Cox, M. Radonjić, J. R. M. Saavedra, F. J. García de Abajo, L. A. Rozema and P. Walther, *Quantum Inf.*, 2019, 5, 1–7.
- 237 A. Lipatov, P. Sharma, A. Gruverman and A. Sinitskii, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 8089–8098.