

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 7823

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Highly efficient H₂S scavengers via thiolysis of positively-charged NBD amines†

Ismail Ismail,^{†a} Zhuoyue Chen,^{†b} Lu Sun,^{†c} Xiuru Ji,^c Haishun Ye,^b Xueying Kang,^b Haojie Huang,^b Haibin Song,^a Sarah G. Bolton,^d Zhen Xi,^a Michael D. Pluth^d and Long Yi^{*b}

H₂S is a well-known toxic gas and also a gaseous signaling molecule involved in many biological processes. Advanced chemical tools that can regulate H₂S levels *in vivo* are useful for understanding H₂S biology as well as its potential therapeutic effects. To this end, we have developed a series of 7-nitro-1,2,3-benzoxadiazole (NBD) amines as potential H₂S scavengers. The kinetic studies of thiolysis reactions revealed that incorporation of positively-charged groups onto the NBD amines greatly increased the rate of the H₂S-specific thiolysis reaction. We demonstrate that these reactions proceed effectively, with second order rate constants (k_2) of $>116 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 37 °C for **NBD-S8**. Additionally, we demonstrate that **NBD-S8** can effectively scavenge enzymatically-produced and endogenous H₂S in live cells. Furthering the biological significance, we demonstrate **NBD-S8** mediates scavenging of H₂S in mice.

Received 13th March 2020
Accepted 2nd July 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0sc01518k

rs.c.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) has long been known as a toxic gas, but recent studies indicate that H₂S has important physiological functions, leading to its inclusion as the third gasotransmitter along with nitric oxide (NO) and carbon monoxide (CO).^{1–3} Endogenous H₂S is enzymatically generated by cystathionine γ -lyase (CSE), cystathionine- β -synthase (CBS) and 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase (3-MST)/cysteine aminotransferase (CAT).⁴ H₂S influences a wide range of physiological processes in mammals, ranging from vasorelaxation,⁵ cardioprotection,⁶ and neurotransmission⁷ to anti-inflammatory action⁸ and angiogenesis.⁹ Misregulation of H₂S is associated with numerous diseases.^{10,11} Specially, low levels of endogenous H₂S appears to

exhibit pro-cancer effects, whereas higher concentrations of H₂S can lead to cell apoptosis and have anti-cancer characteristics.¹¹ Complementing the importance of H₂S in mammals, H₂S in bacteria and plants also plays many important functions.^{12,13} Due to its wide biodistribution and complex behaviors in many diseases, the physiological characters of H₂S and the molecular mechanisms in which H₂S is involved need further investigation. In addition, these factors support the development and refinement of advanced chemical tools that can visualize,¹⁴ scavenge¹⁵ or release¹⁶ H₂S *in vivo* and in related complex environments.

Significant attention has focused on developing chemical tools for H₂S detection and delivery,^{14,16} but much less effort has focused on reducing H₂S levels in complex environments.^{15,17} Such H₂S elimination could be achieved by either inhibition of H₂S biosynthesis or by the selective scavenging of H₂S. Though CBS inhibitors have been reported to show anti-tumor activity, many of CBS inhibitors target the pyridoxal-5'-phosphate (PLP) cofactor and therefore have low specificity or unwanted side effects.^{11b} Complementing these challenges, both CSE and 3-MST inhibitors are relatively underdeveloped.^{11a} Moreover, H₂S can be produced from non-enzymatic processes,¹⁴ which suggests that enzymatic inhibitors can only perturb certain pools of biological H₂S genesis. Recently, sulfonyl azides were reported as highly efficient H₂S scavengers in buffers, enzymatic systems, and living biological environments.¹⁵ It should be noted that the H₂S-mediated reduction of aryl azides to amines results in generation of sulfane sulfur and polysulfides,^{15,18} both of which are important reactive sulfur species that might complicate such scavenging.^{19a} Considering the *in vivo* biological complex,¹⁹ our goal was to develop efficient H₂S scavengers utilizing different reaction mechanisms that not only scavenge

^aState Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry and Department of Chemical Biology, College of Chemistry, National Pesticide Engineering Research Center, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

^bState Key Laboratory of Organic-Inorganic Composites, Beijing Key Lab of Bioprocess, Beijing University of Chemical Technology (BUCT), Beijing 100029, China. E-mail: yilong@mail.buct.edu.cn

^cTianjin Key Laboratory on Technologies Enabling Development of Clinical Therapeutics and Diagnostics (Theranostics), School of Pharmacy, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin 300070, China

^dDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Materials Science Institute, Knight Campus for Accelerating Scientific Impact, Institute of Molecular Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, photophysical data and fluorescence imaging figures. CCDC 1968528 and 1973453. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d0sc01518k

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.





Fig. 1 (top) The thiolysis of NBD amines used previously for H₂S probe development. (bottom) Inclusion of cationic charge in the present system enables access to efficient H₂S scavengers by thiolysis of NBD cyclic amines.

H₂S efficiently, but also do not generate other reactive sulfur species in the scavenging process with the long-term goal of applying these toward *in vivo* systems.

A major challenge in the development of H₂S scavengers is developing fast chemical reactions that effectively differentiate the reactivity of biological nucleophiles (*e.g.* biothiols) from H₂S. To this end, we as well as others have previously reported the H₂S-specific thiolysis of 7-nitro-1,2,3-benzoxadiazole (NBD) amine in 2013 (Fig. 1),²⁰ which has enabled, amongst other applications, the development of near-infrared H₂S probes for imaging of H₂S *in vivo*.^{20c} To further advance the development of H₂S scavengers, we report here that simple modifications of the NBD electrophiles can increase the reactivity of this platform to enable efficient H₂S scavenging (Fig. 2) and apply these chemical tools to scavenge H₂S in buffer, serum, cells, and mice. We believe that the present H₂S scavengers could be useful tools to study H₂S biology as well as potential therapeutic agents in the future.

Results and discussion

Compounds **NBD-S1** to **NBD-S8** were synthesized from commercially available reagents by simply coupling **NBD-Cl** to the desired amine or by reacting NBD-piperazine with 2-chloro-1-methylpyridinium salt (Fig. 2). Compound identity was confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and HRMS. All scavengers were prepared in high yield in either one- or two-step syntheses, which readily enables access to large quantities of each scavenger.

To measure the rate of the prepared compounds with H₂S, we monitored the optical response of **NBD-S1**–**NBD-S8** (5–10 μM) after addition of H₂S (6–600 equiv., using Na₂S) in PBS buffer (pH 7.4) at 25 °C. An example of the observed reactivity is shown for **NBD-S2** (Fig. 3a), in which the starting absorbance peak at 490 nm from the NBD moiety shifts to 540 nm after reaction with H₂S due to formation of **NBD-SH**.^{20b} The reaction kinetics were monitored by measuring absorbance data at 540 nm or 490 nm (Fig. S1†) and showed a clear isosbestic point at 510 nm, which is consistent with a clean overall transformation. The pseudo-first-order rate constant, *k*_{obs} was determined by fitting the intensity data to a single exponential




Fig. 2 Synthesis of NBD amines **NBD-S1** to **NBD-S8**. The C–N bond cleaved by H₂S-mediated thiolysis is highlighted in red in each structure.

function (Fig. 3b). Plotting $\log(k_{\text{obs}})$ versus $\log([\text{H}_2\text{S}])$ confirmed a first-order dependence in H₂S (Fig. 3c). The linear fitting between *k*_{obs} and H₂S concentrations gives the second-order rate constant (*k*₂) of 23.3 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Fig. 3d).

Using the above method, the H₂S-mediated thiolysis rates for the prepared NBD amines were measured, and these data are summarized in Table 1. The thiolysis rates for the four, six, and seven-membered ring amines (**NBD-S1** to **NBD-S4**) are significantly different, with the presence of the second amine group in the ring appearing to be particularly important. We hypothesized that this increased rate could be due to protonation of the




Fig. 3 (a) Time-dependent absorbance spectra of 10 μM **NBD-S2** towards 1 mM H₂S in PBS buffer (50 mM, pH = 7.4, containing 2% DMSO) at 25 °C. (b) Time-dependent normalized signals at 540 nm of 10 μM **NBD-S2** towards different concentrations of H₂S. (c) The reaction order of H₂S was determined as the slope of plots of $\log(k_{\text{obs}})$ versus $\log([\text{H}_2\text{S}])$. (d) The *k*₂ was determined as the slope of plots of *k*_{obs} versus [H₂S].



Table 1 Kinetic parameters of the thiolysis of NBD amines at 25 °C

	NBD-S1	NBD-S2	NBD-S3	NBD-S4	NBD-S5	NBD-S6	NBD-S7	NBD-S8
$k_{\text{obs}}^a/\text{s}^{-1}$	4.2×10^{-3}	2.4×10^{-2}	2.7×10^{-2}	N.D. ^e	9.1×10^{-3}	2.2×10^{-2}	3.6×10^{-2}	9.3×10^{-3}
$[\text{H}_2\text{S}]^b$	6.0 mM	1.0 mM	1.5 mM		120 μM	1.2 mM	2.0 mM	120 μM
Slope ^c	0.937	0.956	1.039	N.D.	0.898	1.066	1.048	0.979
$k_2^d/\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	0.67	23.3	18.0	< 0.01	70.2	19.7	18.8	76.2

^a k_{obs} : the value was obtained by fitting the time-dependent spectra data with single exponential function. ^b $[\text{H}_2\text{S}]$: the H_2S concentration used at the determination of the k_{obs} value. ^c Slope: the slope value of the linear fitting of $\log(k_{\text{obs}})$ versus $\log([\text{H}_2\text{S}])$. ^d k_2 : the slope value of the linear fitting of k_{obs} versus $[\text{H}_2\text{S}]$. ^e N.D.: no detection due to the very slow reaction.

piperazine nitrogen at physiological pH (e. g.: the pK_a of 1-methyl piperazine is ~ 9).²¹ To verify this hypothesis, compounds **NBD-S5** to **NBD-S8** with the functionalized second amine were further tested. As expected, alkylation of the piperazine nitrogen (**NBD-S5**) or inclusion of a positively charged pyridinium (**NBD-S8**) significantly increased the thiolysis reaction rates. For example, k_2 values for cationic **NBD-S5** and **NBD-S8** derivatives were $70.2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $76.2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 25 °C, respectively, which are significantly faster than the k_2 values of the neutral **NBD-S2** and **NBD-S7** analogues. To further support these measurements, we also performed kinetic analysis using fluorescence methods (Fig. S9 and S10†) for **NBD-S5** and **NBD-S8**, which afforded k_2 values of $71.6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $78.3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively, which are consistent with those from absorbance measurements. We also measured k_2 for **NBD-S8** at 37 °C, which yielded a significantly higher value of $116.1 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Fig. S11†), and suggests that this compound can scavenge H_2S efficiently at physiological temperatures. The formation of the amine product during the thiolysis reaction was confirmed by HPLC and HRMS analysis (Fig. S12 and S13†). Taken together, these data suggest that **NBD-S5** and **NBD-S8** are highly reactive reagents toward H_2S clearance.

We also obtained crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies of **NBD-S7** and **NBD-S8** (Fig. 4). In the solid state, the piperazinyl heterocycles in **NBD-S7** and **NBD-S8** are in twist-boat and chair conformations, respectively. Prior work

with electrophilic piperazine-containing cyanine GSH probes has shown that the chair conformation is favorable for the thiolysis reaction,^{22a} which may help explain the increased reaction rate of **NBD-S8** when compared to **NBD-S7**. In addition, the positive charge of **NBD-S8** may favor reaction with anionic HS^- , and prior work with probes for F^- has shown increased rates for cationic versions of probes when compared to neutral counterparts.^{22b}

We also evaluated the stability, water-solubility, and selectivity of **NBD-S5** and **NBD-S8**. The plots of absorbance intensity against the scavenger concentration (up to 100 μM) were linear (Fig. S14 and S15†), suggesting the good solubility of **NBD-S5** and **NBD-S8** in PBS buffer (50 mM, pH = 7.4, containing 2% DMSO). Both **NBD-S5** and **NBD-S8** were shown to be stable in PBS buffer, with no decomposition over 2 days (Fig. S16†). The high selectivity of the NBD amines toward H_2S over biothiols were validated by HPLC analysis (Fig. S17†), suggesting the thiolysis of the NBD amines is highly H_2S -specific.^{20c} We propose that this high selectivity may originate from the intrinsic low thiolysis reactivity for C–N bond cleavage (e.g. **NBD-S1**), and that certain structural factors, such as the piperazine chair conformation and positively-charged group, may contribute to the faster reactivity with HS^- (e.g. **NBD-S5** and **NBD-S8**). Therefore, **NBD-S5** and **NBD-S8** were further employed as H_2S scavengers in the following studies.




Fig. 4 ORTEP diagram (50% ellipsoid) for the molecular structure of **NBD-S7** (a) and **NBD-S8** (b). The I^- counterion in (b) is omitted for clarity.



Fig. 5 H_2S -scavenging curves of NBD amines or no scavenger in PBS buffer (pH 7.4) at 25 °C. Three scavengers (**NBD-S2**, **NBD-S5**, and **NBD-S8**, 110 μM) were added to H_2S solutions (100 μM). The H_2S concentrations were measured using MBA. The results are expressed as mean \pm S.D. ($n = 3$).



We first tested the efficiency of H₂S clearance by NBD amines in PBS buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4), using the methylene blue assay (MBA)²³ to quantify H₂S concentrations (Fig. S18–S21†). The time-dependent H₂S concentrations in the absence or presence of NBD-S2, NBD-S5, or NBD-S8 are shown in Fig. 5. The concentration of H₂S slowly decreased over time, which matches previous observations.²⁴ Under these conditions, both NBD-S5 and NBD-S8 can scavenge more than 50% H₂S within the first 2 min. Additionally, NBD-S8 can scavenge more than 90% H₂S within 5 min, suggesting it is a highly efficient H₂S scavenger in aqueous buffer (pH 7.4). Based on these parameters, we used NBD-S8 for subsequent applications. Considering H₂S is a toxic gas,^{2b} we tested the ability of the NBD-S8 solution to remove H₂S in gaseous sample (Fig. S22†).²⁵ The color change of NBD-S8 solution and MBA tests of the solution clearly suggested NBD-S8 could efficiently scavenge H₂S when the H₂S-containing gas was passed through the NBD-S8 solution.

We further investigated the time-dependent H₂S-scavenging ability of NBD-S8 in the presence of biologically relevant species. As shown in Fig. 6, the results suggest that the scavenging of H₂S *via* NBD-S8 is not significantly influenced by the presence of millimolar biothiols or other species. The scavenging of H₂S in 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) was also efficient. For all tested samples, NBD-S8 could scavenge more than 90% H₂S within first 10 min. These data suggest that NBD-S8 should be an efficient H₂S scavenger in complex biological-related systems.

Then we tested whether NBD-S8 could scavenge H₂S in live cells. We first tested the cytotoxicity of NBD-S8 by the MTT assay using HeLa cells which contain nearly no endogenous H₂S. The scavenger did not show cytotoxicity up to 50 μM concentration (Fig. S23a†). Furthermore, the cell viability in the presence of 100 μM and 200 μM NBD-S8 is still greater than 90% and 80%, respectively. These results suggest that NBD-S8 is biocompatible for scavenging H₂S in living cells. To confirm that the thiolysis products of NBD-S8 (NBD-SH and S8-II) are not cytotoxic, we

evaluated the cytotoxicity in HeLa cells for 24 hours. NBD-SH did not show any significant cytotoxicity below 25 μM (Fig. S23b†). The cell viability in the presence of 25 μM and 100 μM S8-II is still greater than 90% and 80%, respectively (Fig. S23c†). We note that under physiological conditions, the concentration of free H₂S is significantly lower than 1 μM,^{19a} meaning that we do not expect to generate high micromolar levels of NBD-SH under normal scavenging conditions.

To measure H₂S levels, we use the H₂S-responsive fluorescence probe Cy7-NBD (Fig. S24†).^{20c} We used HT-29 (human colonic carcinoma cells) cells for these experiments due to recent reports that colorectal cancer cell lines exhibit increased H₂S production,^{11b} which is likely due to the increased angiogenesis needed for cancer cell growth and proliferation.⁹ We used non-cancerous FHC (human fetal normal colonic mucosa) cells as a negative control, which we expected to lower basal H₂S levels. To test whether NBD-S8 could function as an efficient H₂S scavenger, we compared the fluorescence response from Cy7-NBD in FHC cells, HT-29 cells, and HT-29 cells treated with NBD-S8 (Fig. 7a and S25†). We observed a significantly higher response from Cy7-NBD in HT-29 cells when compared to FHC cells, as expected. Upon pre-treatment of HT-29 cells with 100 μM NBD-S8 for 10 min, the fluorescence signals in HT-29 cells significantly decreased (Fig. 7b), which supports that NBD-S8 can efficiently scavenge endogenous H₂S in HT-29 cells.

To further support the ability of NBD-S8 to scavenge H₂S in live cells, we also measured H₂S levels in HeLa cells treated with



Fig. 6 Time-dependent H₂S-scavenging curves of NBD-S8 (110 μM) in the presence of other species (inset) and H₂S (100 μM). The H₂S concentrations were measured using MBA. The results are expressed as mean ± S.D. (*n* = 3).




Fig. 7 H₂S scavenged by NBD-S8 in live cells. (a) H₂S levels in FHC and HT-29 cells were visualized by the fluorescence probe Cy7-NBD (10 μM), and the HT-29 cells were pre-treated with or without 100 μM NBD-S8. The nuclei were stained with DAPI. Red fluorescence images (top) and overlap of blue and red fluorescence images (bottom) are shown. (b) Average fluorescence of *N* = 3 fields of cell images in (a). (c) D-Cys-induced H₂S levels in HeLa cells were visualized by Cy7-NBD (10 μM), and the HeLa cells were treated with or without 100 μM NBD-S8. Overlap of blue and red fluorescence images are shown. (d) Average fluorescence of *N* = 3 fields of cell images in (c). All emissions were collected at blue (450–550 nm, excitation at 405 nm) and red (650–750 nm, excitation at 647 nm) channels for DAPI and Cy7-NBD, respectively. Error bars are means ± S.D. ****p* < 0.001. Scale bar, 30 μm.






Fig. 8 Representative fluorescence images of mice which were treated with NBD-S8 and Cy7-NBD *via* tail vein injection. In (group a) mice were injected with Cy7-NBD (150 μ M, 200 μ L) only; whilst in (group b) mice were pretreated with NBD-S8 (100 μ M, 200 μ L) for 10 min, and then Cy7-NBD.

D-Cys (100 μ M or 200 μ M), which can increase H₂S biosynthesis from the 3-MST pathway.^{4b,26} As expected, we observed a strong red fluorescence signal from the D-Cys-treated cells in the absence of NBD-S8 (Fig. 7c and S26[†]).²⁶ In contrast, co-incubation of D-Cys treated HeLa cells with 100 μ M NBD-S8, the cells displayed significantly lower fluorescence than that of the control cells (Fig. 7d). Taken together with the data in HT-29 cells, these data suggest that NBD-S8 can be applied to efficiently scavenge enzymatically-produced and endogenous H₂S in live cells.

Encouraged by these results, we further examined the feasibility of NBD-S8 for H₂S clearance in normal nude mice again using Cy7-NBD as an H₂S reporter. Briefly, mice were intraperitoneally injected with probe only (negative control), Na₂S followed by probe (positive control), or Na₂S followed by NBD-S8 for 10 min and then probe. The mice were imaged using an IVIS spectrum imaging system (PerkinElmer, USA) with a 740 nm excitation filter. As expected, the mice injected with Na₂S and NBD-S8 displayed weaker fluorescence signal than that of the mice injected with Na₂S (Fig. S27[†]). These results implied that NBD-S8 still functions H₂S clearance *in vivo*, and its feasibility to scavenge endogenous H₂S in live mice was further examined. To investigate the ability to scavenge endogenous H₂S, NBD-S8 was administered to 3 mice *via* tail vein injection. After 10 min, probe Cy7-NBD was injected to visualize the level of endogenous H₂S. As a control group, another 3 mice were injected with Cy7-NBD only. As shown in Fig. 8, time-dependent fluorescence increase was detected primarily in the liver of mice (group a), which is consistent with previous results from tissue imaging.^{20c} However, mice in (group b) exhibited comparatively lower fluorescence at each corresponding time point when compared to group a (Fig. S28[†]). These results preliminarily demonstrate that NBD-S8 can scavenge endogenous H₂S at the organism level.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed H₂S scavengers based on the thiolysis of NBD amines. NBD-S8 shows clear reaction kinetics

toward H₂S with 2nd order rate constants of up to 116 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 37 °C and high selectivity toward H₂S over other biologically relevant species. NBD-S8 can efficiently scavenge H₂S in aqueous buffer, serum, gaseous sample, and live cells. Additionally, the high selectivity and reactivity of NBD-S8 toward H₂S enables efficient H₂S scavenging in live animals. Moreover, NBD-S8 showed better stability in 5 mM GSH containing PBS buffer (pH 7.4) than that of *p*-toluenesulfonyl azide (Fig. S29[†]), a recently reported H₂S scavenger. Considering *in vivo* biological complexity,¹⁹ we propose that H₂S scavengers *via* the H₂S-specific thiolysis of NBD amines may represent an emerging class of efficient scavengers for investigating H₂S in complex biological systems.

Author contributions

L. Y., M. D. P., Z. X. supervised the work. I. I. synthesized the compounds; Z. C., H. Y., X. K. tested the kinetics and *in vitro* H₂S scavenging; L. S., X. J., H. H. performed the bioimaging tests; I. I., H. S. solved crystal structures; S. G. B., X. J. tested the cytotoxicity; L. Y., M. D. P. wrote the manuscript; all authors involved in checking the manuscript and data.

Ethical statement

All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the Guidelines for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Tianjin Medical University and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Tianjin Medical University.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NSFC (21877008, 21837001), Beijing Natural Science Foundation (2192038) and the NIH (R01GM113030, T32GM007759).

Notes and references

- (a) R. Wang, *Physiol. Rev.*, 2012, **92**, 791–896; (b) O. Kabil and R. Banerjee, *Antioxid. Redox Signal.*, 2014, **20**, 770–782; (c) H. Kimura, *Antioxid. Redox Signal.*, 2010, **12**, 1111–1123.
- (a) L. Li, P. Rose and P. K. Moore, *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, 2011, **51**, 169–187; (b) C. Szabo, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 2018, **149**, 5–19.
- (a) K. Módos, Y. J. Ju, A. Ahmad, A. A. Untereiner, Z. Altaany, L. Wu, C. Szabó and R. Wang, *Pharmacol. Res.*, 2016, **113**, 116–124; (b) G. K. Kolluru, X. G. Shen, S. C. Bir and C. G. Kevil, *Nitric Oxide*, 2013, **35**, 5–20.
- (a) H. Kimura, *Amino Acids*, 2011, **41**, 113–121; (b) N. Shibuya, S. Koike, M. Tanaka, M. Ishigami-Yuasa, Y. Kimura, Y. Ogasawara, K. Fukui, N. Nagahara and H. Kimura, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 1366–1372.



- 5 (a) G. Yang, L. Wu, B. Jiang, W. Yang, J. Qi, K. Cao, Q. Meng, A. K. Mustafa, W. Mu, S. Zhang, S. H. Snyder and R. Wang, *Science*, 2008, **322**, 587–590; (b) D. Wu, Q. X. Hu, F. F. Ma and Y. Z. Zhu, *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longevity*, 2016, **2016**, 7075682; (c) L. Mys, N. A. Strutynska, Y. Goshovska and V. Sagach, *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.*, 2020, **98**, 275–281.
- 6 (a) D. Wu, Q. X. Hu, Y. Xiong, D. Q. Zhu, Y. C. Mao and Y. Z. Zhu, *Redox Biol.*, 2018, **15**, 243–252; (b) V. Citi, E. Piragine, L. Testai, M. C. Breschi, V. Calderone and A. Martelli, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **25**, 4380–4401.
- 7 (a) M. Wang, J. Zhu, Y. Pan, J. D. Dong, L. L. Zhang, X. R. Zhang and L. Zhang, *J. Neurosci. Res.*, 2015, **93**, 487–494; (b) A. Salvi, P. Bankhele, J. M. Jamil, M. Kulkarni-Chitnis, Y. F. Njie-Mbye, S. E. Ohia and C. A. Opere, *Neurochem. Res.*, 2016, **41**, 1020–1028.
- 8 (a) E. Gugliandolo, R. Fusco, R. D'Amico, A. Militi, G. Oteri, J. L. Wallace, R. D. Paola and S. Cuzzocrea, *Pharmacol. Res.*, 2018, **132**, 220–231; (b) C. Y. Zhang, Q. Z. Zhang, K. Zhang, L. Y. Li, M. D. Pluth, L. Yi and Z. Xi, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 1945–1952.
- 9 A. Papapetropoulos, A. Pyriochou, Z. Altaany, G. Yang, A. Marazioti, Z. Zhou, M. G. Jeschke, L. K. Branski, D. N. Herndon, R. Wang and C. Szabó, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2009, **106**, 21972–21977.
- 10 (a) C. Szabo, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.*, 2016, **15**, 185–203; (b) L. M. Teigen, Z. Geng, M. J. Sadowsky, B. P. Vaughn, M. J. Hamilton and A. Khoruts, *Nutrients*, 2019, **11**, 931; (c) Y. Y. Han, Q. W. Shang, J. Yao and Y. Ji, *Cell Death Dis.*, 2019, **10**, 1–12; (d) A. Untereiner and L. Y. Wu, *Antioxid. Redox Signal.*, 2018, **28**, 1463–1482.
- 11 (a) X. Cao, L. Ding, Z. Z. Xie, Y. Yang, M. Whiteman, P. K. Moore and J. S. Bian, *Antioxid. Redox Signal.*, 2019, **31**, 1–38; (b) M. R. Hellmich, C. Coletta, C. Chao and C. Szabo, *Antioxid. Redox Signal.*, 2015, **22**, 424–448; (c) C. Szabo and A. Papapetropoulos, *Pharmacol. Rev.*, 2017, **69**, 497–564.
- 12 (a) K. Shatalin, E. Shatalina, A. Mironov and E. Nudler, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 986–990; (b) P. Shukla, V. S. Khodade, M. SharathChandra, P. Chauhan, S. Mishra, S. Siddaramappa, B. E. Pradeep, A. Singh and H. Chakrapani, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 4967–4972.
- 13 (a) J. T. Hancock and M. Whiteman, *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 2014, **78**, 37–42; (b) C. Alvarez, I. García, I. Moreno, M. E. Pérez-Pérez, J. L. Crespo, L. C. Romero and C. Gotor, *Plant Cell*, 2012, **24**, 4621–4634; (c) Z. G. Li, X. Min and Z. H. Zhou, *Front. Plant Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 1621.
- 14 (a) V. S. Lin, W. Chen, M. Xian and C. J. Chang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4596–4618; (b) F. B. Yu, X. Y. Han and L. X. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 12234–12249; (c) M. D. Hartle and M. D. Pluth, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 6108–6117; (d) P. Gao, W. Pan, N. Li and B. Tang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 6035–6071; (e) R. Wang, K. Dong, G. Xu, B. Shi, T. Zhu, P. Shi, Z. Guo, W.-H. Zhu and C. Zhao, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 2785–2790; (f) Z. Chen, X. Mu, Z. Han, S. Yang, C. Zhang, Z. Guo, Y. Bai and W. He, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 17973–17977; (g) C. Wang, X. Cheng, J. Tan, Z. Ding, W. Wang, D. Yuan, G. Li, H. Zhang and X. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 8369–8374; (h) W. Chen, T. Matsunaga, D. L. Neill, C. Yang, T. Akaike and M. Xian, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 16067–16070.
- 15 C. T. Yang, Y. Y. Wang, E. Marutani, T. Ida, X. Ni, S. Xu, W. Chen, H. Zhang, T. Akaike, F. Ichinose and M. Xian, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 10898–10902.
- 16 (a) Y. Zhao, T. D. Biggs and M. Xian, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 11788–11805; (b) C. M. Levinn, M. M. Cerda and M. D. Pluth, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 2723–2731; (c) C. R. Powell, K. M. Dillon and J. B. Matson, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 2018, **149**, 110–123; (d) Y. Hu, X. Li, Y. Fang, W. Shi, X. Li, W. Chen, M. Xian and H. Ma, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 7690–7694; (e) Y. Zhao, M. M. Cerda and M. D. Pluth, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 1873–1878; (f) Y. Wang, K. Kaur, S. J. Scannelli, R. Bitton and J. B. Matson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 14945–14951; (g) M. M. Cerda, T. D. Newton, Y. Zhao, B. K. Collins, C. H. Hendon and M. D. Pluth, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 1773–1779; (h) Y. Zheng, B. Yu, Z. Li, Z. Yuan, C. L. Organ, R. K. Trivedi, S. Wang, D. J. Lefer and B. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 11749–11753; (i) Y. Zhao, A. K. Steiger and M. D. Pluth, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **41**, 13610–13618.
- 17 S. Arndt, C. D. Baeza-Garza, A. Logan, T. Rosa, R. Wedmann, T. A. Prime, J. L. Martin, K. Saeb-Parsy, T. Krieg, M. R. Filipovic, R. C. Hartley and M. P. Murphy, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2017, **292**, 7761–7773.
- 18 H. A. Henthorn and M. D. Pluth, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 15330–15336.
- 19 (a) M. R. Filipovic, J. Zivanovic, B. Alvarez and R. Banerjee, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 1253–1337; (b) O. F. Brandenburg, D. C. Miller, U. Markel, A. O. Chaib and F. H. Arnold, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 8271–8275; (c) V. Chalansonnet, J. Lowe, S. Orenga, J. D. Perry, S. N. Robinson, S. P. Stanforth, H. E. Sykes and T. V. Truong, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **29**, 2354–2357.
- 20 (a) C. Wei, L. Wei, Z. Xi and L. Yi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, **54**, 6937–6939; (b) L. A. Montoya, T. F. Pearce, R. J. Hansen, L. N. Zakharov and M. D. Pluth, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 6550–6557; (c) K. Zhang, J. Zhang, Z. Xi, L. Y. Li, X. Gu, Q. Z. Zhang and L. Yi, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 2776–2781; (d) Y. L. Pak, J. Li, K. C. Ko, G. Kim, J. Y. Lee and J. Yoon, *Anal. Chem.*, 2016, **88**, 5476–5481.
- 21 F. Khalili, A. Henni and A. L. L. East, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 2009, **54**, 2914–2917.
- 22 (a) J. Yin, Y. Kwon, D. Kim, D. Lee, G. Kim, Y. Hu, J. Ryu and J. Yoon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 5351–5358; (b) Z. Wu and X. Tang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **87**, 8613–8617.
- 23 L. M. Siegel, *Anal. Biochem.*, 1965, **11**, 126–132.
- 24 A. Drapala, D. Koszelewski, L. Tomasova, R. Ostaszewski, M. Grman, K. Ondrias and M. Ufnal, *Acta Biochim. Pol.*, 2017, **64**, 561–566.
- 25 T. D. Newton and M. D. Pluth, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 10723–10727.
- 26 F. Augsburgberger and C. Szabo, *Pharmacol. Res.*, 2020, **154**, 104083.

