


Volume 27
Number 28
28 July 2025
Pages 14685–15240

PCCP

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

rsc.li/pccp

25
YEARS
ANNIVERSARY



ISSN 1463-9076

PAPER

Tiia Jacklin, Perttu Lantto *et al.*
Quantum-mechanical treatment of thermal effects on the
structure and ^{13}C NMR shielding of buckminsterfullerene C_{60}



Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*,
2025, 27, 14759

Quantum-mechanical treatment of thermal effects on the structure and ^{13}C NMR shielding of buckminsterfullerene C_{60} †

Tiia Jacklin, *^a Petr Štěpánek ^{ab} and Perttu Lantto *^a

We investigate thermal effects on the structure and ^{13}C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) shielding of buckminsterfullerene, C_{60} , using a quantum-mechanical treatment of thermal rovibrational motion at the density functional theory (DFT) level. Volumes calculated from the temperature-dependent effective geometries confirm that C_{60} fullerene indeed experiences negative thermal expansion (NTE). However, the NTE is much smaller and occurs at temperatures higher than previously predicted by classical MD simulations, above $T = 100$ K. The normal mode analysis of the contributions to the effective geometry reveals that the NTE is caused by a single low-frequency, fully symmetric, $A_g(1)$ breathing mode instead of previously alleged quadrupolar modes. Although the effect of centrifugal distortion on the $A_g(1)$ mode monotonically increases the volume of C_{60} at finite temperatures, the NTE is caused by the negative anharmonic vibrational correction that activates above $T = 100$ K and reaches a minimum around $T = 320$ K. The validity of the current rovibrational approach is further confirmed by good agreement with the experimental results of temperature dependence of ^{13}C NMR shielding as well as its secondary isotope shifts. For them, the harmonic vibrational correction is the main contribution and also plays a dominant role in thermal effects, whereas the effective geometry contribution is smaller. The temperature dependence of the harmonic vibrational correction is due to several low-frequency normal modes different from $A_g(1)$, and therefore, the NTE is not observed in ^{13}C NMR shielding and isotope shifts.

Received 17th April 2025,
Accepted 19th May 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5cp01484k

rsc.li/pccp

1. Introduction

Buckminsterfullerene, C_{60} , is a naturally occurring fullerene, which can be produced by common phenomena, such as flames,^{1,2} but is also created by lightning strikes³ or meteor impacts.^{4,5} Due to its icosahedral I_h symmetry point group,⁶ it is also easier than other fullerenes to study computationally. Consequently, it is regularly studied as a simple model system of fullerenes and other carbon nanostructures that have many interesting properties, such as their electric^{7–9} and thermal^{10–12} conductivities.

An intriguing property of C_{60} is the negative thermal expansion (NTE), suggested by Kwon *et al.*,^{13,14} i.e. shrinking when heated as opposed to most materials that expand as temperature increases. Kwon *et al.* carried out classical molecular

dynamics (MD) simulations and predicted the NTE in C_{60} well below room temperature, and the minimum volume was found around $T = 150$ K. However, these results were claimed to be accidental due to the absence of quantum mechanical effects.¹⁵ Furthermore, in classical MD simulations of C_{60} by Chen *et al.*,¹⁶ the NTE was observed only with a single sampling frequency of the average sampling method used by Kwon *et al.*, while other sampling frequencies and methods lead to a monotonic positive thermal expansion in all temperature ranges.

Several experimental studies of C_{60} using IR, Raman, and X-ray methods have claimed to see signs of NTE.^{17–19} Other methods, such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, might offer an additional insight into the NTE. NMR is very sensitive to the local structural and chemical environment of the observed magnetic nucleus without interfering with molecular processes. However, while phase transitions of solid C_{60} have been studied by ^{13}C NMR,²⁰ there has been no study on the NTE in fullerenes. Although there are also no computational studies on the thermal effects of ^{13}C NMR, there are some studies on ^{13}C NMR shielding, $\sigma(^{13}\text{C})$, of fullerenes in static geometries.^{21–24}

Here, we carry out, to our knowledge, for the first time, a quantum-mechanical (QM) rovibrational thermal averaging of

^a NMR Research Unit, Faculty of Science, University of Oulu, P. O. Box 3000, FI-90014 Oulu, Finland. E-mail: tiia.jacklin@oulu.fi, perttu.lantto@oulu.fi

^b Water, Energy and Environmental Engineering Research Unit, Faculty of Technology, University of Oulu, P. O. Box 4300, FI-90014, Finland

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Figures and numerical data for volumetric thermal expansion coefficient, bond lengths, ^{13}C chemical shift, secondary isotope shifts, vibrational mode analysis, and functional and basis set tests. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5cp01484k>






Fig. 1 Structure of C_{60} with the atoms changed to ^{13}C in different isotopomers pictured in gold colour and ^{12}C in light blue colour. Hexagon (H) and pentagon (P) rings are named to illustrate the positions of HH and HP bonds at their edges.

the C_{60} structure and ^{13}C NMR shielding at both absolute zero and finite temperatures. The chosen approach provides a definitive answer to the existence and size of NTE in C_{60} as well as a detailed normal-mode analysis of its vibrational origin.

Furthermore, the approach used enables studying another interesting property of C_{60} , the two small peaks observed next to the main peak in its solution state ^{13}C NMR spectrum.²⁵ These side peaks are caused by the so-called secondary isotope shift in two isotopomers, in which another ^{13}C atom shares a bond, as seen in Fig. 1, either between two hexagon rings ([HH- $^{13}\text{C}_2$]- C_{60}) or a hexagon ring and a pentagon ring ([HP- $^{13}\text{C}_2$]- C_{60}). Isotope shifts have been experimentally observed for a long time.^{26–28} They are purely QM parameters, *i.e.*, they cannot be treated classically and therefore require the rovibrational QM treatment of NMR shielding,^{29,30} which provides quantitative primary and secondary isotope shifts in small molecules.^{31–35} However, the secondary isotope shifts of C_{60} have not been studied computationally before. Here, we confirm the magnitude and temperature dependence of the experimental isotope shifts and, more importantly, reveal the degrees of freedom behind these effects by normal mode analysis. Furthermore, we study if the NTE has an effect on ^{13}C NMR shielding and isotope shifts.

2. Methodology

2.1. Rovibrational averaging

Although thermal effects on molecular geometry, NMR shielding, and isotope shifts could be treated with Taylor expansion

around the equilibrium geometry;^{29–35} another way is to first generate the temperature-dependent effective geometry Q_K^{eff} at zero and finite temperatures^{36,37} as follows:

$$Q_K^{\text{eff}} = Q_K^{\text{eq}} - \frac{1}{4\omega_K^2} \left[\sum_L \frac{F_{KLL}^{\text{eq}}}{\omega_L} \coth\left(\frac{\omega_K}{2k_B T}\right) - 2k_B T \sum_\alpha \frac{\alpha_K^{(\alpha\alpha)}}{I_{\alpha\alpha}} \right], \quad (1)$$

where Q_K^{eq} is the normal coordinate K with a harmonic frequency ω_K , F_{KLL}^{eq} is the third derivative of the electronic energy with respect to normal coordinates K, L computed at equilibrium geometry (eq), and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. The hyperbolic cotangent function takes care of the finite temperature Boltzmann averaging over vibrational states. The last term is the centrifugal distortion effect,^{37,38} where $I_{\alpha\alpha}$ is the moment-of-inertia tensor at the equilibrium geometry and $\alpha_K^{(\alpha\alpha)}$ is the expansion coefficient of $I_{\alpha\alpha}$ in the normal coordinate Q_K . Effective geometries Q_K^{eff} therefore cover the NTE on the volume of C_{60} .

A molecular property, such as NMR shielding σ_{eff} , computed at a fixed effective geometry contains the leading-order anharmonic rovibrational effects. However, temperature-dependent harmonic vibrational corrections computed at the same effective geometry are needed to obtain the full leading-order thermally averaged shielding^{37–40}

$$\langle \sigma \rangle_{\text{eff}} = \sigma_{\text{eff}} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_K \frac{1}{\omega_K} \frac{d^2 \sigma_{\text{eff}}}{dQ_K^2} \coth\left(\frac{\omega_K}{2k_B T}\right). \quad (2)$$

The effective geometry Q_K^{eff} represents the average structure of the molecule at a given temperature, accounting for both vibrational anharmonicity and centrifugal distortion effects. Physically, it captures how thermal and zero-point motions shift nuclear positions away from their equilibrium values, thereby influencing geometry-sensitive properties like NMR shielding. Eqn (1) includes contributions from third-order force constants, which introduce anharmonicity, and from rotational averaging *via* the centrifugal term. Calculating NMR shielding at this effective geometry yields σ_{eff} , which reflects the geometry-driven component of thermal shifts. To obtain the full leading-order temperature-dependent shielding, an additional vibrational correction is added, as in eqn (2), where the second derivatives of shielding with respect to normal modes capture the explicit vibrational averaging over thermally populated states.

2.2. Isotope shifts

Changing isotopic masses of the atoms affects their thermal motions, which is accounted for in the normal mode frequencies and moment-of-inertia tensors of vibrational and centrifugal distortion corrections of the rovibrational treatment, respectively. Therefore, thermal averages of ^{13}C NMR shielding, $\sigma^{13\text{C}}$, for different isotopomers^{33–35} enable computing secondary isotope shifts of experimentally observed ^{13}C NMR chemical shifts, $\delta^{13\text{C}}$, in the C_{60} fullerene²⁵

$$\begin{aligned} {}^1\Delta_{\text{HH}} &= \sigma^{13\text{C}}([\text{HH}^{13}\text{C}_2]-C_{60}) - \sigma^{13\text{C}}([^{13}\text{C}_1]C_{60}) \\ &= \delta^{13\text{C}}([^{13}\text{C}_1]C_{60}) - \delta^{13\text{C}}([\text{HH}^{13}\text{C}_2]C_{60}) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^1\Delta_{\text{HP}} &= \sigma^{13\text{C}}([\text{HP}-^{13}\text{C}_2]-\text{C}_{60}) - \sigma^{13\text{C}}([^{13}\text{C}_1]-\text{C}_{60}) \\
 &= \delta^{13\text{C}}([^{13}\text{C}_1]-\text{C}_{60}) - \delta^{13\text{C}}([\text{HP}-^{13}\text{C}_2]-\text{C}_{60})
 \end{aligned}
 \quad (4)$$

i.e. the shielding (chemical shift) differences between the main $[^{13}\text{C}_1]-\text{C}_{60}$ isotopomer with a single ^{13}C atom and two different isotopomers with two ^{13}C atoms that share either a HH bond ($[\text{HH}-^{13}\text{C}_2]-\text{C}_{60}$) or a HP bond ($[\text{HP}-^{13}\text{C}_2]-\text{C}_{60}$) (see Fig. 1).

2.3. Electronic structure calculations

Electronic structure calculations of the three ^{13}C isotopomers of C_{60} , $[^{13}\text{C}_1]-\text{C}_{60}$, $[\text{HH}-^{13}\text{C}_2]-\text{C}_{60}$ and $[\text{HP}-^{13}\text{C}_2]-\text{C}_{60}$, were performed at the level of non-relativistic density functional theory (DFT) using the Dalton code,^{41,42} which was chosen because it uniquely supports rovibrational averaging at finite temperatures of both the molecular structure and properties, such as nuclear magnetic shielding, within a single framework and consistent theoretical treatment. For the three isotopomers, the optimization of the structure, the calculation of the effective geometry and the NMR shielding calculations were performed without symmetry using the PBE0 functional^{43,44} with the pcSseg-1 basis set.⁴⁵ The effective geometries in eqn (1) were calculated at several temperatures ranging from 0 K to 500 K. The effects of different DFT functionals and basis sets were also studied, and the results are presented in the ESI.† From these tests, we learned that, with a pure DFT functional, PBE, the temperature dependence of contributions from the vibrational normal modes is not consistent between the isotopomers, prompting us to use a hybrid PBE0 functional. The basis set used was the largest in its basis set family that did not encounter SCF convergence issues due to linear dependencies in the test calculations.

2.4. Structure and NMR shielding analysis

The Turbomole code^{46,47} was used to verify that the optimized equilibrium structure of C_{60} was in the correct icosahedral I_h symmetry before being used as starting equilibrium geometries for the calculations of the three ^{13}C isotopomers of C_{60} with the Dalton code. Furthermore, the normal modes of the Dalton calculations were assigned by comparing their frequencies with those obtained using the Turbomole code at the same level of theory for the I_h symmetric ^{12}C isotopomer of C_{60} .

The volume of C_{60} as a function of temperature was extracted from the corresponding effective geometries at each temperature. The details of the volume calculation are explained in the ESI.† The volume data also allowed the derivation of the volumetric thermal expansion coefficient $\beta(T) = \frac{1}{V} \frac{dV}{dT}$ that is listed and discussed in the ESI.† The origins of the C_{60} volume changes due to vibrational and centrifugal effects are analyzed in terms of contributions from different normal modes according to eqn (1).

The harmonic vibrational corrections on the ^{13}C shielding constant in eqn (2) were carried out at effective geometries and added to the value of σ_{eff} for each isotopomer in temperatures

between 0 K and 400 K. Thermal effects on ^{13}C shielding and secondary isotope shifts due to effective geometry and harmonic vibrational corrections are also analyzed in terms of normal mode contributions. The effect of temperature on the ^{13}C NMR chemical shift is discussed in the ESI.†

3. Results

3.1. Volume changes

The absolute volume changes of the three different C_{60} isotopomers and their relative volume changes with respect to the values of $T = 0$ K are presented in Fig. 2(a) and (b), respectively. As seen in Fig. 2(a), the heavier $[\text{HH}-^{13}\text{C}_2]-\text{C}_{60}$ and $[\text{HP}-^{13}\text{C}_2]-\text{C}_{60}$ isotopomers with two ^{13}C atoms have volumes quite similar at all temperatures and are clearly smaller than those of the main isotopomer $[^{13}\text{C}_1]-\text{C}_{60}$ with only one ^{13}C atom. This is expected since heavy isotope substitution shortens the bonds⁴⁸ and consequently shrinks the C_{60} cage. However, as seen in Fig. 2(b), the relative volume change with respect to $T = 0$ K is very similar in all isotopomers throughout the temperature




Fig. 2 (a) Temperature dependence of the absolute volume in the three studied C_{60} isotopomers. Inset: The contribution of the $A_g(1)$ normal mode. (b) Temperature dependence of relative volumes ($\Delta V/V_0 = (V - V_0)/V_0$) of each isotopomer with respect to the volumes at $T = 0$ K. Inset: The relative change in different contributions, vibrational (vibr.) and centrifugal (centr.) to the main $A_g(1)$ normal mode contribution of $^{13}\text{C}_1$ isotopomer.



range, indicating that the temperature dependence of the NMR isotope shift (*vide infra*) does not reflect volume changes.

The most important observation is that the C_{60} molecule clearly exhibits negative thermal expansion (NTE). At very low temperatures, the volume of C_{60} increases, but the NTE begins around $T = 100$ K. It continues until $T = 320$ K, where the minimum volume of the C_{60} molecule is reached and the normal positive thermal expansion recovers.

A closer inspection of the contributions to the effective geometry by vibrational normal modes can be found in the ESI.† It reveals that contributions of only a few normal modes have a considerable effect on effective geometry and hence volume changes. As shown in Fig. S2 in the ESI,† by far the largest effective geometry correction is due to the mode number 148, which is a nondegenerate, Raman active, fully symmetric, radial $A_g(1)$ mode.⁴⁹ As seen in Fig. S3 in the ESI,† it is clearly the only mode that has the same temperature trend as the relative volume change shown in Fig. 2(a). The effective geometry correction due to this low-frequency mode (calculated, 505.9 cm^{-1} vs. experimental, 500.9 cm^{-1} (ref. 50)) is the only one significantly affected by the centrifugal distortion effect (CDE) as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(b). The CDE begins to increase linearly immediately above $T = 0$ K, which is the sole reason for the increase in the volume of C_{60} at low temperatures because all the anharmonic vibrational contributions are frozen. The first vibrational effect activated is the $A_g(1)$ contribution to the effective geometry at $T \approx 100$ K. As shown in Fig. 2(b), it first gives a negative change to the effective geometry, and being a radial mode, it causes shrinkage of the carbon cage. This continues up to $T = 320$ K, where the minimum volume is also reached. The trend of the $A_g(1)$ term is then reversed and contributes to the normal, positive, thermal expansion of C_{60} at higher temperatures, above $T = 320$ K. At high temperatures, the monotonically increasing CDE on the $A_g(1)$ mode gives a considerable contribution.

Although the effective geometry corrections of the other normal modes are activated around $T = 100$ K, they start to show some significance only above $T = 200$ K. They behave systematically; *i.e.*, the either negative or positive contribution increases monotonically with temperature. The second most T -dependent normal mode contribution is the other $A_g(2)$ mode (18, calculated, 1511.4 cm^{-1} vs. experimental, 1472.4 cm^{-1} (ref. 50)). However, the volume of C_{60} seems not to be affected much by the other than $A_g(1)$ mode. This indicates that the other modes do not change the average radius of the C_{60} cage due to their tangential nature or the mutual cancellation of their small radial contributions.

The results clearly show that the thermal effects on the C_{60} geometry are due to quantum mechanical modes that are frozen at low temperatures. Therefore, the NTE of C_{60} cannot be described by classical MD simulations, such as those of Kwon *et al.*,¹³ in which the NTE starts immediately above $T = 0$ K and a minimum volume is already reached at *ca.* $T = 150$ K, well below the currently observed $T = 320$ K. In addition, the magnitude of the relative volume change is much greater, *ca.* -1×10^{-3} , than the current observation of about -4.5×10^{-5} .

Furthermore, Kwon *et al.*¹³ found that the softest quadrupolar normal modes in the $\omega \approx 200\text{ m}^{-1}$ region are responsible for the NTE. This is not supported by the current QM treatment, in which the effective geometry corrections of the softest 5-fold degenerate H_g quadrupolar normal modes⁵¹ (modes 170–174, calculated, 268 cm^{-1} vs. experimental, 277.2 cm^{-1} (ref. 50)) behave monotonically and are negligible above $T = 200$ K.

The anomalous softening trend (decreasing frequency) with decreasing temperature of the $T_{1u}(1)$ mode (calculated, 533 cm^{-1} vs. experimental 526.2 cm^{-1} (ref. 50)) observed with high-resolution far infrared spectroscopy (IR) was connected to NTE by Brown *et al.*¹⁸ The current QM analysis shows that the effective geometry correction corresponding to the $T_{1u}(1)$ mode has practically no temperature dependence. Therefore, the observed softening of the $T_{1u}(1)$ mode has no direct connection with the NTE and may only have an indirect dependence on it.

The other phenomenon observed experimentally, which is related to the possible NTE of the C_{60} cage, is the decrease of the distance between the carbon and the endohedral noble gas atom observed by extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) of Ar¹⁹ or Kr^{17,18} at the K edge. The shortening of Ar–C and Kr–C distances with increasing temperature is quite significant. As it is interpreted to be due to the shrinkage of the carbon cage, the estimated negative thermal expansion coefficient is also quite large, $\beta \approx -10^{-5}\text{ K}^{-1}$. This is an order of magnitude larger than the current one, $\beta = -0.411 \times 10^{-6}\text{ K}^{-1}$ (see the ESI†). Therefore, instead of resulting from the shrinkage of the cage, the decrease of the Ar/Kr–C distance can be alternatively explained to be due to the increasing thermal motion of the noble gas atom, which brings it on average closer to the carbon atoms and therefore leads to an overestimation of the negative coefficient β .

In conclusion, the current QM rovibrational treatment at the first principles DFT level shows unequivocally that the decrease of the C_{60} volume, *i.e.*, NTE, in the temperature range of $T = 100$ – 320 K as well as a large part of normal thermal expansion at higher temperatures, is due to the effective geometry correction in the $A_g(1)$ normal mode and is dominated by the anharmonic vibrational contribution.

3.2. Temperature dependence of the ^{13}C NMR shielding constant

The temperature dependence of the ^{13}C NMR shielding constant in the $[^{13}\text{C}_1]\text{-C}_{60}$ isotopomer is shown in Fig. 3. The zero-point vibrational (ZPV) correction on shielding is -3.46 ppm ($\sigma^{\text{re}} = 37.27\text{ ppm}$ and $\sigma^{T=0\text{K}} = 33.81\text{ ppm}$), of which -1.08 ppm is due to anharmonic vibrational contributions to the effective geometry since the centrifugal distortion effect (CDE) is absent at absolute zero temperature. The larger portion of the ZPV correction, -2.39 ppm , comes from the harmonic vibrational correction to shielding at the effective geometry.

Temperature has hardly any effect on shielding below $T \approx 100$ K, but negative harmonic vibrational corrections (vib) dominate the monotonic decrease in ^{13}C shielding at higher temperatures. As seen in the inset of Fig. 3, the contribution of






Fig. 3 ^{13}C NMR shielding constant in the $[^{13}\text{C}_1]\text{-C}_{60}$ isotopomer. The effective geometry (eff) and vibrational (vib) contributions with respect to their $T = 0$ K values are displayed in the inset. The absolute values are found in Table S3 in the ESI†

effective geometry (eff) only slightly adds to the decrease at temperatures higher than $T = 200$ K. In the temperature interval $T = 260\text{--}300$ K, the temperature derivative of ^{13}C shielding, $d\sigma_{\text{C}}/dT = -3.02 \times 10^{-3} \text{ ppm K}^{-1}$, has a somewhat greater magnitude than the experimental value for the ^{13}C NMR chemical shift in C_{60} , $d\delta_{\text{C}}/dT = 1.88 \times 10^{-3} \text{ ppm K}^{-1}$ (opposite sign due to the definition of the chemical shift, $\delta = \sigma_{\text{ref}} - \sigma$).²⁰ The difference is at least partly due to intermolecular interactions in solid-state NMR experiments as well as thermal effects on the shielding of the reference compound (see the ESI†).

Obviously, as shown in Fig. 3, ^{13}C NMR shielding is not affected by NTE. The dominant harmonic vibrational correction (vib) to ^{13}C NMR shielding, the second term in eqn (2), has several normal mode contributions with considerable magnitude and temperature dependence (see Fig. S5 and Table S6 in the ESI†). However, the contribution due to $A_g(1)$ is not one of them and therefore ^{13}C NMR shielding is not affected by it. This also explains why NTE features are not present in the very small effective geometry (eff) contribution in Fig. 3.

Generally, the substitution of the ^{13}C isotope removes the I_h symmetry and, hence, the degeneracy of several normal modes. In particular, a large frequency change is observed in the mode with a large effect on ^{13}C shielding. Most of the harmonic vibrational normal mode contributions, especially the high-frequency ones, do not depend much on temperature. However, there is considerable dependence in many modes below 800 cm^{-1} , which cover over 85% of the temperature effect. Of them, the 10 most important modes are shown in Fig. S5(a and c) in the ESI†. These are quite low-frequency modes below 600 cm^{-1} with radial character that changes the local geometry around the ^{13}C atom. Only one of them, the soft H_g mode 173, also belongs to the 10 largest contributions as shown in Fig. S5(b and d) in the ESI†.

Generally, the magnitudes of the individual normal mode contributions are quite small, at most a few percent of the total harmonic vibrational correction. The high-frequency mode 30,

one of the five Raman-active H_g modes, gives the largest *ca.* +5% contribution. It has a lower frequency than the other H_g modes ($1465 \rightarrow 1458 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) due to ^{13}C isotopic substitution. However, it is not affected much by temperature as seen in Fig. S5(d) in the ESI†. One of the three T_{1g} modes (mode 83) also experiences a considerable frequency change ($845 \rightarrow 751 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and gives the greatest negative contribution, *ca.* -5%. Another large frequency change ($803 \rightarrow 780 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) is seen in mode 86, one of the three T_{2g} symmetry modes with large negative contributions. Both these T_{1g} and T_{2g} modes have a strong tangential character and cause large changes in local bond lengths and angles around the ^{13}C atom, leading to a large harmonic vibrational contribution to shielding.

3.3. Secondary isotope shifts

The temperature dependence of the calculated $^1\Delta_{\text{HH}}$ (eqn (3)) and $^1\Delta_{\text{HP}}$ (eqn (4)) isotope shifts is compared with the experimental results of Bacanu *et al.*²⁵ in Fig. 4. Furthermore, their effective geometry and harmonic vibrational contributions are displayed. Although the current rovibrational treatment slightly underestimates isotope shifts, they have the correct sign and magnitude. In particular, the temperature behavior is very close to the experimental observations in the temperature interval of




Fig. 4 Contributions from effective geometry (eff, green) and harmonic vibrational corrections (vib, blue) to the total calculated isotope shift (total, magenta) compared with experimental (exp, black) values for the (a) $^1\Delta_{\text{HH}}$ isotope shift in eqn (3) and (b) $^1\Delta_{\text{HP}}$ isotope shift in eqn (4). The experimental data are from the study by Bacanu *et al.*²⁵



$T = 260\text{--}340$ K, as, for example, the temperature derivative of the HH isotope shift, $d^1\Delta_{\text{HH}}/dT = -17.29 \times 10^{-3}$ ppb K^{-1} (vib: -12.11×10^{-3} ppb K^{-1} , eff: -5.18×10^{-3} ppb K^{-1}), is in excellent agreement with the experimental result, -17.38×10^{-3} ppb K^{-1} .²⁵ While also the temperature derivative of the HP isotope shift, $d^1\Delta_{\text{HP}}/dT = -16.93 \times 10^{-3}$ ppb K^{-1} (vib: -14.26×10^{-3} ppb K^{-1} , eff: -2.68×10^{-3} ppb K^{-1}) is only slightly overestimated (exp: -12.47×10^{-3} ppb K^{-1} (ref. 25)), and there is good overall compatibility with the experimental data. Isotope shifts are computationally very demanding, purely quantum mechanical properties that are sensitive to both the chosen DFT functional and the basis set (see the ESI†). These results further confirm that the rovibrational method used is capable of adequately treating thermal effects on C_{60} .

Although the overall agreement between the theory and the experiment is very good, especially in terms of the temperature derivatives and qualitative trends, small quantitative discrepancies remain. In particular, the absolute values of the computed isotope shifts are slightly underestimated across the temperature range. These differences may arise from limitations in the level of theory employed. Isotope shifts are highly sensitive to subtle changes in the potential energy surface, which is affected by the incompleteness of the basis set as well as deficiencies in the description of the electron correlation by the chosen DFT functional.^{33–35} It is also shown that the neglect of higher-order anharmonicity does not have a significant effect on property averages.^{36,52} Furthermore, the experimental data reflect the liquid-phase environment of C_{60} , while the current calculations are for isolated molecules. Although the temperature dependence of the NMR chemical shift is affected by solvent effects, they cancel out in isotope shifts that are dominated by intramolecular phenomena.^{33–35} Despite these sources of uncertainty, the excellent match in temperature dependence suggests that the main physical mechanisms are correctly captured by the rovibrational averaging approach.

As seen in Fig. 4, the temperature dependence of both isotope shifts and their contributions is significant only above $T = 100$ K, which is very similar to the behavior of ^{13}C shielding. Therefore, below $T = 100$ K, the values are close to the values at absolute zero, 12.4 ppb ($^1\Delta_{\text{HH}}$) and 8.9 ppb ($^1\Delta_{\text{HP}}$). By adding the difference with respect to the experimental values at room temperature as a correction, we can estimate the isotope shifts at absolute zero ($T = 0$ K) to be about 22 ppb and 14 ppb, respectively.

A clear NTE effect, a small “bump” in the $T = 150\text{--}350$ K interval, is seen in the small negative and positive, respectively, effective geometry contributions for $^1\Delta_{\text{HH}}$ and $^1\Delta_{\text{HP}}$. However, it is not visible in the total isotope shifts as a result of larger and more temperature-dependent harmonic vibrational correction. The latter decreases linearly above $T = 100$ K, and the NTE contribution only slightly increases the non-linearity of the total temperature dependence of both isotope shifts.

Changes in contributions from different normal modes are displayed in Fig. S8 in the ESI†. In contrast to shielding with predominantly negative contributions of different normal modes, isotope shifts obtain large contributions, both positive

and negative, which largely cancel each other out. Therefore, no individual mode can be assigned to cause the isotope shift or its temperature dependence. Although several high-frequency modes give large contributions, thermal effects are mainly due to low-frequency modes. All modes that have a high impact on isotope shifts also contribute significantly to shielding. However, the effects of some modes with significant roles in shielding, such as previously mentioned normal modes 83 and 86, largely cancel each other out in both isotope shifts. Cancellation also occurs within contributions from similar modes, *i.e.*, the degenerate modes with the same symmetry in I_{h} .

Unlike the case for shielding or NTE, isotope shifts arise from more distributed vibrational contributions, with many modes contributing in opposite directions. This results in partial cancellations and makes direct mode-by-mode interpretation less meaningful for these parameters.

4. Conclusions

We have carried out first-principles rovibrational modeling of thermal effects on buckminsterfullerene C_{60} . The fully quantum-mechanical treatment provides effective geometries at finite temperatures affected by anharmonicity of the potential-energy hypersurface as well as centrifugal distortion due to the rotation of the fullerene cage. The approach enabled us to estimate volume changes at finite temperatures with respect to the absolute zero-point reference value. Harmonic vibrational corrections to the ^{13}C NMR shielding were calculated in effective geometries of the three C_{60} isotopomers studied to obtain the temperature dependence of both the ^{13}C NMR shielding and its isotope shifts.

We observe the negative thermal expansion (NTE) of fullerenes caused solely by anharmonic vibrational effects due to the totally symmetric $A_g(1)$ “breathing” normal mode. It is frozen below $T = 100$ K, and causes the NTE until $T = 320$ K. Centrifugal distortion affects through the same $A_g(1)$ mode and causes a monotonic increase of volume with temperature. The other normal modes only add to the positive thermal expansion at high temperatures, above $T = 200$ K. In conclusion, the current quantum-mechanical treatment generates a considerably smaller NTE at much higher temperatures and is not dependent on the soft quadrupolar phonon modes, as previously predicted with classical MD simulations.¹³

The temperature dependence of ^{13}C NMR shielding for an isolated C_{60} molecule is qualitatively in agreement with the experimental results for the ^{13}C chemical shift affected by environmental effects.²⁰ Furthermore, the observed trends in the temperature dependence of the two ^{13}C secondary isotope shifts match well with the experimental observations.²⁵ Zero point vibrational (ZPV) corrections as well as thermal effects on the ^{13}C shielding and its isotope shifts are dominated by harmonic vibrational corrections. The smaller effective geometry contributions are quite insensitive to temperature, and the NTE has no effect on the ^{13}C shielding. Although the effective geometry contributions to both ^{13}C isotope shifts are



affected by NTE, it is hidden behind the larger temperature effects due to harmonic vibrational corrections. Several high-frequency normal modes are among the main contributors to the magnitudes of ^{13}C shielding and its isotope shifts, whereas the temperature dependence is affected mainly by low-frequency modes.

In summary, the current study underscores the quantum-mechanical nature of thermal effects on the structure and NMR parameters of molecules. Therefore, these phenomena cannot be treated classically, and either the current rovibrational approach or the quantum path-integral simulations are necessary.

Author contributions

Tiia Jacklin: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, writing – original draft, and writing – review and editing. Petr Štěpánek: supervision and writing – review and editing. Perttu Lantto: conceptualization, funding acquisition, project administration, resources, supervision, and writing – review and editing.

Data availability

The curated data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI†. The raw data for this article, including input and output files of the calculations are available at <https://doi.org/10.23729/fd-729f01ad-f7e2-3b76-a5bb-2bb17520c465>.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support from the Research Council of Finland (grant no. 354575) and the Kvantum Institute, University of Oulu. The authors thank Juha Vaara for insightful discussions. The authors also thank George Bacanu for providing the experimental reference values for the secondary isotope shifts. The computational resources were provided by CSC – IT Center for Science (Espoo, Finland) and the Finnish Grid and Cloud Infrastructure project (persistent identifier url: urn:nbn:fi:research-infras-2016072533).

References

- 1 J. B. Howard, J. T. McKinnon, Y. Makarovskiy, A. L. Lafleur and M. E. Johnson, *Nature*, 1991, **352**, 139–141.
- 2 J. B. Howard, A. L. Lafleur, Y. Makarovskiy, S. Mitra, C. J. Pope and T. K. Yadav, *Carbon*, 1992, **30**, 1183–1201.
- 3 T. K. Daly, P. R. Buseck, P. Williams and C. F. Lewis, *Science*, 1993, **259**, 1599–1601.
- 4 F. Radicati di Brozolo, T. E. Bunch, R. H. Fleming and J. Macklin, *Nature*, 1994, **369**, 37–40.
- 5 L. Becker, J. L. Bada, R. E. Winans, J. E. Hunt, T. E. Bunch and B. M. French, *Science*, 1994, **265**, 642–645.
- 6 R. D. Johnson, G. Meijer and D. S. Bethune, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 8983–8984.
- 7 T. Arai, Y. Murakami, H. Suematsu, K. Kikuchi, Y. Achiba and I. Ikemoto, *Solid State Commun.*, 1992, **84**, 827–829.
- 8 A. Hamed, Y. Sun, Y. Tao, R. Meng and P. Hor, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1993, **47**, 10873.
- 9 S. Kazaoui, R. Ross and N. Minami, *Solid State Commun.*, 1994, **90**, 623–628.
- 10 J. Olson, K. Topp and R. Pohl, *Science*, 1993, **259**, 1145–1148.
- 11 X. Wang, C. D. Liman, N. D. Treat, M. L. Chabinyk and D. G. Cahill, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2013, **88**, 075310.
- 12 A. Giri and P. E. Hopkins, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **8**, 2153–2157.
- 13 Y.-K. Kwon, S. Berber and D. Tománek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, **92**, 015901.
- 14 Y.-K. Kwon, S. Berber and D. Tománek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, **94**, 209702.
- 15 P. Keblinski and P. K. Schelling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, **94**, 209701.
- 16 W.-H. Chen, C.-H. Wu and H.-C. Cheng, *Comput. Mater. Contin.*, 2011, **25**, 195–214.
- 17 S. Ito, A. Takeda, T. Miyazaki, Y. Yokoyama, M. Saunders, R. J. Cross, H. Takagi, P. Berthet and N. Dragoe, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 3191–3195.
- 18 S. Brown, J. Cao, J. L. Musfeldt, N. Dragoe, F. Cimpoesu, S. Ito, H. Takagi and R. J. Cross, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2006, **73**, 125446.
- 19 N. Dragoe, A. Flank, P. Lagarde, S. Ito, H. Shimotani and H. Takagi, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2011, **84**, 155448.
- 20 H. He, J. T. Dias, J. Foulkes and J. Klinowski, *J. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **2**, 2651–2654.
- 21 T. Heine, G. Seifert, P. Fowler and F. Zerbetto, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 1999, **103**, 8738–8746.
- 22 G. Sun and M. Kertesz, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2000, **104**, 7398–7403.
- 23 A. R. Tulyabaev, I. I. Kiryanov, I. S. Samigullin and L. M. Khalilov, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 2017, **117**, 7–14.
- 24 P. A. Christy, A. J. Peter and C. W. Lee, *Solid State Commun.*, 2018, **283**, 22–26.
- 25 G. R. Bacanu, G. Hoffman, M. Amponsah, M. Concistrè, R. J. Whitby and M. H. Levitt, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **22**, 11850–11860.
- 26 H. Batiz-Hernandez and R. A. Bernheim, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.*, 1967, **3**, 63–85.
- 27 C. J. Jameson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1977, **66**, 4983–4988.
- 28 P. E. Hansen, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.*, 1988, **20**, 207–255.
- 29 A. Kantola, S. Ahola, J. Vaara, J. Saunavaara and J. Jokisaari, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **9**, 481–490.
- 30 A. M. Kantola, P. Lantto, J. Vaara and J. Jokisaari, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 2679–2692.
- 31 J. Lounila, J. Vaara, Y. Hiltunen, A. Pulkkinen, J. Jokisaari, M. Ala-Korpela and K. Ruud, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1997, **107**, 1350–1361.



- 32 J. Vaara, J. Lounila, K. Ruud and T. Helgaker, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **109**, 8388–8397.
- 33 P. Lantto, J. Vaara, A. M. Kantola, V.-V. Telkki, B. Schimmelpfennig, K. Ruud and J. Jokisaari, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 2762–2771.
- 34 P. Lantto, S. Kangasvieri and J. Vaara, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **137**, 214309.
- 35 P. Lantto, S. Kangasvieri and J. Vaara, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 17468–17478.
- 36 P.-O. Åstrand, K. Ruud and P. R. Taylor, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **112**, 2655–2667.
- 37 T. A. Ruden and K. Ruud, Ro-vibrational corrections to NMR parameters, in *Calculation of NMR and EPR Parameters: Theory and Applications*, ed. M. Kaupp, M. Bühl and V. G. Malkin, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004, pp. 153–173.
- 38 M. Toyama, T. Oka and Y. Morino, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, 1964, **13**, 193–213.
- 39 K. Ruud, P.-O. Åstrand and P. R. Taylor, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **112**, 2668–2683.
- 40 R. Faber, J. Kaminsky and S. P. Sauer, Rovibrational and temperature effects in theoretical studies of NMR parameters, in *Gas phase NMR*, ed. K. Jackowski and M. Jaszuński, Royal Society of Chemistry, London, UK, 2016, vol. 6, pp. 218–266.
- 41 K. Aidas, C. Angeli, K. L. Bak, V. Bakken, R. Bast, L. Boman, O. Christiansen, R. Cimiraglia, S. Coriani and P. Dahle, *et al.*, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 2014, **4**, 269–284.
- 42 *Dalton*, a molecular electronic structure program, Release v2020.1, 2022, see <https://daltonprogram.org>.
- 43 J. P. Perdew, M. Ernzerhof and K. Burke, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1996, **105**, 9982–9985.
- 44 C. Adamo and V. Barone, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1999, **110**, 6158–6170.
- 45 F. Jensen, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2015, **11**, 132–138.
- 46 *TURBOMOLE V7.7 2022*, a development of University of Karlsruhe and Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, 1989–2007, TURBOMOLE GmbH, since 2007, available from <https://www.turbomole.com>.
- 47 S. G. Balasubramani, G. P. Chen, S. Coriani, M. Diedenhofen, M. S. Frank, Y. J. Franzke, F. Furche, R. Grotjahn, M. E. Harding and C. Hättig, *et al.*, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **152**, 184107.
- 48 L. Bartell, K. Kuchitsu and R. DeNeui, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1961, **35**, 1211–1218.
- 49 H.-J. Eisler, S. Gilb, F. H. Hennrich and M. M. Kappes, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2000, **104**, 1762–1768.
- 50 F. Cimpoesu, S. Ito, H. Shimotani, H. Takagi and N. Dragoe, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 9609–9615.
- 51 H.-J. Eisler, F. H. Hennrich, S. Gilb and M. M. Kappes, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2000, **104**, 1769–1773.
- 52 P.-O. Åstrand, K. Ruud and D. Sundholm, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2000, **103**, 365–373.

