





## PERSPECTIVE

[View Article Online](#)  
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2024, **53**, 14949

# Synthetic routes and chemical structural analysis for guiding the strategies on new Pt(II) metallodrug design

Francisco Aguilar Rico, <sup>†a</sup> Maryam Derogar, <sup>†a</sup> Leticia Cubo <sup>a</sup> and Adoracion G. Quiroga <sup>\*a,b</sup>

Metals in medicine is a distinct and mature field of investigation. Its progress in recent times cannot be denied, as it provides opportunities to advance our knowledge of the properties, speciation, reactivity and biological effects of metals in a medicinal context. The development of novel Pt(II) compounds to combat cancer continues to make valuable contributions but it has not yet achieved a complete cure. The chemistry of this field is basic for drug design improvements and our analysis of the chemical procedures is a practical tool for achieving effective Pt(II) anticancer drugs. We present chemical approaches in a manner that can be used to strategically plot new synthetic routes choosing right pathways. Clarifying the chemical challenge will help the scientific community to be aware of the ease and/or difficulty of the procedure before and after further studies, such as speciation, reactivity and biological action which are also very arduous and costly. The work provides information to tackle many challenges in chemistry, combining the knowledge on the Pt(II) reagent preparation together with the reactivity of the biological units used in the Pt(II) drug design. We discuss and include the description of the chemical reactions, the importance of multiple steps and the right order of such reactions to achieve the final drugs, analyzing the coordination principles as well as the organic and organometallic basis. This thorough study of the routes helps to detect the simpler or more complicated reactivity and will serve to improve the synthesis performance with possible post-modifications.

Received 2nd April 2024,  
Accepted 2nd August 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4dt00967c

[rsc.li/dalton](https://rsc.li/dalton)

## Introduction

Multidisciplinary research leads us towards a more complete understanding of the many challenges in science. Metals in medicine is one of the best examples of interdisciplinary topics which benefit from the fields of chemistry, biology, physics and medicine.<sup>1</sup> These branches of knowledge, all together, help to understand the mechanism of metabolites and metallodrugs at both the molecular level and living systems. Finding new metallodrugs implies performing difficult procedures from those diverse fields that are not common and their access is sometimes not straightforward in the long list of methods and publications.<sup>2</sup>

On many occasions, the chemistry of metallodrugs is enshrouded in the numerous publications dealing with their mechanism of action. The chemistry of this field is basic for

the improvement of drug designs using the structure–activity relationships of drug libraries. The analysis of the chemical procedures is then a practical tool for achieving new metallodrug designs, as we can use it to strategically plot new routes choosing right pathways. For example, performing the metal coordination to the ligand before or after the final synthesis can be the critical step in achieving a more efficient procedure.

Cisplatin and its second-generation analogues are a landmark of metals in medicine, as they are still the most used anticancer drugs in clinics. The chemical variations in these classic metallodrug structures are still ongoing, driving a new impulse in the last few years with the use of innovative designs and therapies.<sup>3</sup> Many examples of Pt(II) antitumor drugs have been reported over these years, from nonconventional structures to those using combined therapies and targeting strategies.

## Objectives and progress of this perspective

In this work, we aimed to revise the synthetic approaches used in the preparation of Pt(II) drugs with ligands bearing

<sup>a</sup>Inorganic Chemistry Department, C/Francisco Tomás y Valiente, 7. Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain.

E-mail: [adoracion.gomez@uam.es](mailto:adoracion.gomez@uam.es)

<sup>b</sup>IadChem, Institute for Advance Research in Chemistry, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally.



Biological Units (BUs). Chemical procedures vary dramatically when using organic compounds to when using organometallic or small metal ion sources. In 2016, Lippard *et al.* reviewed the structure–activity relationship for Pt(II) complexes, selecting those works where the mechanism studies were more advanced and completed which indicates clinical progress. These authors included modern formulations of Pt(II) agents such as targeted agents, nanoparticle-mediated drug delivery and Pt(IV) prodrugs.<sup>4</sup> Instead, this review summarizes and analyzes by strategies the chemical routes used in these examples and updates those newly released.

We define Biological Units, BUs, as those groups with a defined role in the biological system, such as intercalators, steroids or peptides which potentially can help to target DNA or its synthesis pathways. The reported synthetic routes of the metallodrugs are arranged into two subsections considering if the Pt(II) ion is integrated into the BU ligand from a classical starting material (route 1) or from a known active core (route 2).

We analyze the experimental data and methods to help the reader understand the progress of the synthetic procedures and the importance of their correct description for others to reproduce the work. Furthermore, we discuss the results and based on this analysis, the reader could foresee how easy or difficult any new possible functionalization would be.

### Biological Units (BUs) being intercalating agents

Intercalating agents are those compounds which can bind non-covalently to DNA bases and consequently induce cell death through different mechanisms. Most of these examples' objective is to synthesize effective drugs based on a possible synergism of the Pt(II) drugs and the intercalating agents.


#### R1: ligand substitution using classical Pt(II) precursors.

Every example in this section starts with the preparation of the compound considered as the BU ligand and that will react with a classical Pt(II) starting compound.

The most common Pt(II) classical precursors are iodo complexes,  $K_2PtCl_4$  and  $cis-[PtCl_2(DMSO)_2]$ . In 2013, Wilson and Lippard reviewed the preparation of Pt(II) complexes describing these starting materials. They outlined the formation of  $cis-[PtL_2L_2]$  complexes through  $[PtCl_3L]^-$  or tetraiodo intermediates, defining the preparation of  $cis$  complexes as well like  $cis-[PtL_2LL']$  using iodo-bridged dimers and  $HClO_4$  treatment, where L stands for a N-donor ligand.<sup>5</sup> Iodo complexes are intermediates for the synthesis of cisplatin, carboplatin and oxaliplatin, due to their higher reactivity. They were long rejected as antitumoral drugs until some research groups profited from the higher reactivity of the Pt–I bond and started to reevaluate their biological action. Many of these results manifest significant differences in their mechanism of action and since then a plethora of articles has been published. This myriad has been organized into a solid review article,<sup>6</sup> where the complexes are classified according to the oxidation stage, the formula and the donor atoms of the ligand. We considered only hypoxanthine<sup>7</sup> and benzimidazole derivatives<sup>8</sup> as BUs, because they prompted biological

response, and their synthesis is just a direct substitution on  $[PtI_4]^{2-}$  by the chosen ligand or dinuclear formation of an iodo bridge. The cleavage of these dimers affords the desired complex with retention of the configuration. The most singular chemistry was the access of bimetallic Pt carbenes with polyamine linkers through oxidation of  $NHC-Pt^0$  species stabilized by divinylsiloxane with  $I_2$ , but no BUs were used in these models<sup>9</sup> (NHC being a N-heterocyclic carbene).

The examples of this section are depicted in Fig. 1 and are organized by entries which authors are named in the discussion. The first entry is the work performed by Murray *et al.* in which they studied the DNA binding properties of four 9-aminoacridinecarboxamide-Pt(II) complexes.<sup>10</sup> The authors synthesized the linkers by protecting diethylenetriamine (*dien*) first with one equivalent of ethyl trifluoroacetate to allow the protection of one  $NH_2$  group and the remaining amino groups are protected with an excess of  $Boc_2O$ . Then, the trifluoroacetyl



**Fig. 1** Synthetic routes used in the BUs being intercalating agents section. Pt(II) coordination reaction arrows and their donor atoms are shown in red and intercalator BU fragments are shown in blue. Pt(II) starting materials are boxed.



Quiroga *et al.* reported specific intercalating naphthalimide compounds with different linkers using as an active core a *trans*-Pt(II) complex with aliphatic amines (AA) such as di-

methylamine (dma) or isopropylamine (ipa) (Fig. 1 entry 7). The linkers were either alkylamines of different lengths (hexane-1,6-diamine and propane-1,3-diamine) that underwent condensation with naphthalic anhydride to render alkylamine naphthalimides (step ii) or a pyridine introduced to *N*-3-aminopropyl-naphthalimide by acylation with isonicotyl chloride (step ii). The ligands reacted with *cis*-[Pt(AA)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] to form a cationic tetramine species that after HCl treatment results in a *trans*-configured isomer.<sup>25</sup>

The last entry (8) from Fig. 1, a monofunctional Pt(II) complex, was synthesized by Banerjee *et al.* using a silver-aided substitution reaction between the active Pt(II) core [PtCl(terpy)]Cl and 4-*N,N*-dimethylamino-1,8-naphthalimide synthesized through condensation. This synthetic procedure rendered a water-soluble cationic complex with nitrate as a counterion.<sup>26</sup>

### Steroidal ligands as targeting BU units

Traditional drug design focuses on biological targets where specific receptors or biomarkers are overexpressed by cancer cells, for example steroid hormones. Estrogens or progesterone are known to induce HMG1 overexpression and sensitize breast cancer cells to cisplatin and carboplatin.<sup>26</sup> Hormone-dependent cancers share the same feature which is the overexpression of androgen, estrogen and progesterone receptors.<sup>26</sup> Steroids are widely used in research as anticancer agents alone or combined with actual clinical drugs to potentiate them. Here we present the synthesis of the complexes obtained either from Pt(II) precursors or Pt(II) active cores.

**R1: steroid BU ligand substitution using classical Pt(II) precursors.** Many authors have worked with steroid derivatives, but regarding route 1, Bérubé *et al.* pioneered employing steroids for this purpose.<sup>27</sup> His approach involved the use of an alkyl halide (bromide or iodide) and an amine group, whilst more recently the authors have gone towards more modern chemistry such as Pd coupling.

Bérubé *et al.* synthesized a series of compounds based on a chelated aminoethylpyridine Pt-estradiol. These series are designed to link the estradiol (16 position R in Fig. 2 entry 1) to one aminoethylpyridine through an alkyl or PEG (polyethyleneglycol) chain (Y). In addition, the series includes an example with a methylenedioxy group (–CH<sub>2</sub>OH) at the 16-position. The syntheses started with an initial protection of the phenolic alcohol of estrone with either benzyl bromide (Pg is Bn) or tetrahydropyran (Pg is THP) followed by the introduction (step i) of methoxycarbonyl at the 16-position with NaH and dimethylcarbonate (step ii). This generates an acidic 1,3-ketoester which reacts upon basic treatment (step iii) with the diorganohalide when the linker chain is CH<sub>2</sub>OH or with the halide of the THP-protected alcohol when R = H.

When X is **OTHP**, an aqueous treatment with LiCl (step iv) prompts the decarboxylation and the deprotection of the alcohol, which after an Appel reaction (with CBr<sub>4</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>) afforded the organohalide (step v). Step vi consists of a reduction reaction using a hydride donor (LiBH<sub>4</sub> or LiAlH<sub>4</sub>),

followed by the deprotection of the estradiol phenol (step vii, including X and Y), achieved either by an acidic treatment in ethanol (Pg is THP) or by Pd/C catalyzed hydrogenolysis (Pg is Bn). Finally, a nucleophilic substitution of the estradiol derivative was carried out employing 2-aminoethylpyridine (step viii), whereupon coordination to Pt takes place using K<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>4</sub> (step ix).<sup>28</sup>

Osella *et al.* used a Sonogashira reaction in which an aryl halide bearing protected ethylenediamine group was linked to 17 $\alpha$ -ethynylestradiol (Fig. 2 entry 2). The platination of the alkyl amine tail took place in the presence of K<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>4</sub>.<sup>29</sup>


Hannon *et al.* developed a variety of metallo-estrogen drugs based on 17 $\alpha$ -ethynylestradiol (Fig. 2, entry 3). Of all the metal centers used in their work, we only focus on the synthetic route using Pt(II) starting materials, [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(PhCN)<sub>2</sub>] and [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(cod)] (cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene). The authors prepared three different tridentate chelating ligands using a Sonogashira coupling reaction between ethynylestradiol and the linkers L1, L2 and L3. The reaction of the resulting ligand L1 with [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(PhCN)<sub>2</sub>] afforded a cationic [PtCl(L1)]Cl complex, whereas ligand L2 using the same method gave a mixture of products. However, the authors could achieve [PtCl(L2)]Cl by changing the solvent. Ligand L3 synthesis turned out to be more complicated, and the optimal procedure implied the base hydrolysis of the diethyl ester, whereas the other routes required tedious purifications. All the ligands coordinate to the Pt(II) to form a tridentate chelator with one chloride leaving group; the L3 derivative was discarded due to its poor solubility.<sup>30</sup>

**R2: unchaining the reactivity of active Pt cores with steroids as BUs.** In this section we will focus on the synthetic procedures among dozens of examples where the steroid bears an active core,<sup>4</sup> selecting those which have been tested and demonstrated improvement. In addition, we are describing the procedures in chronological order as we did in route 1, to end with the modern chemistry in which synthetic pathways get not only simplified but also polished.

Ghedini *et al.* designed a series of steroid-Pt(II)-*o*-catecholato complexes (Fig. 2 entry 4) from derivatives of estrone, estradiol and testosterone either functionalized in 17 $\alpha$  or 17 $\beta$ .<sup>31</sup> The Pt(II) reagent used bis-phosphine-Pt(II)-*o*-catecholato bearing an amine or a carboxylic group, which was obtained after the reaction of *cis*-[PtCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] with the corresponding catechol in a KOH medium. The carboxylic group either in the Pt(II) fragment or the steroid structure was activated employing NHS and DCC; nonetheless, they obtained very low yields of the final Pt(II) derivatives. The authors tested the use of isobutyl chloroformate as an activating reagent which did not improve the yield due to the formation of side products, such as the carbamate of the amine. They found that the most challenging activation was that of the  $\alpha$ -aryl carboxylic group, which led to a mixture of compounds. The major product was the mixed anhydride. The authors made many attempts using harsher conditions, but the outcome of the reaction did not improve. However, they succeeded in avoiding the coordination of bromide to the Pt(II) core and the displacement of







**Fig. 2** BU steroid targeted ligands and complex structures and their functionalization. The groups that participated in Sonogashira couplings are highlighted in blue. Coordination reaction arrows and their donor atoms are shown in red. Pt(II) starting materials are boxed.

the catecholate, with a commercial alkylamino hydrobromide previously treated with a base.

Bérubé *et al.* investigated the biological activity of carboplatin and oxaliplatin analogs using an aminoethylpyridine chelated Pt-estradiol from a previous entry (Fig. 2, entry 1).<sup>32</sup> To achieve the final analogs, the silver salts of CBDA and oxalic acid were used to prompt the replacement of the chlorido ligands by the corresponding carboxylate chelates.

Hannon *et al.* also reported an example using an active Pt core. They studied the testosterone conjugated to a monovalent diammino N-heteroaryl Pt(II) core (*cis* and *trans* isomers) (Fig. 2, entry 5).<sup>33,34</sup> Sonogashira couplings were used to link ethisterone and N-heteroarenes, and to achieve these Pt(II) cores, they employed either *cis* or *trans*-platin with a stoichiometric amount of AgNO<sub>3</sub> to displace only one chlorido ligand that renders the final testosterone conjugated ligand.

### BUs based on therapeutic peptides for targeting

Introducing therapeutic peptides and their varying methods of action against tumor cells is a formidable example of targeting tumors.<sup>35</sup> The so-called active targeting peptides are recognized by some receptors on the surface of tumor cells that are usually overexpressed.<sup>36</sup> The selective metalation of peptide derivatives is a very challenging matter, as they possess multiple and diverse donor atoms suitable for the binding of Pt(II) fragments. Many examples use chelators like diamines or dicarboxylates as a proficient platform for the selective metalation of peptide derivatives.

**R1: peptide ligand substitution using classical Pt(II) precursors.** Manheri, K. M. *et al.* established a strategy to introduce Pt(II) centers at desired sites along a peptide sequence.<sup>37</sup> The objective of this synthetic protocol is to modulate the flexibility



of peptide segments and their charges by modifying the amino acid units. Controlling those factors in peptide-Pt(II) conjugated complexes seems ideal for modulating DNA interaction or cellular uptake (Fig. 3, entry 1a). To get the final compound the authors chose diazides as starting compounds because once they are introduced into the specific peptide sequence they can be easily reduced to diamines and behave as a bidentate ligand for Pt(II) coordination. To obtain diazides, methyl esters of threonine and serine were first prepared by adding  $\text{SOCl}_2$  to a cold MeOH solution of the amino acid followed by *N*-Boc protection of the resulting methyl ester. Then, the esters are reduced by  $\text{NaBH}_4$  to alcohols which are mesylated using mesyl chloride followed by nucleophilic substitution with sodium azide. Deprotection of the Boc group from diazides and coupling with the selective Boc-protected peptide sequence under EDC/HOBt conditions led to diazide-peptide conjugation.

The diazide-peptide derivatives are finally reduced by hydrogenolysis, and the diamines react with  $\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_4$  leading to substitution by direct displacement and thereby generating the final divalent complexes. The authors used an extension of this methodology to achieve dinuclear Pt(II) complexes linked by a peptide sequence (Fig. 3 entry 1b). First, they performed peptide coupling between two protected amino acids. Then a LiOH solution hydrolyses the methyl esters on the C- and

N-terminal residues affording the diacid species which condense twice with the same amine. The dinuclear Pt(II) complexes are finally achieved with a stoichiometric amount of  $\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_4$  by a substitution reaction.

Sarli *et al.* conjugated a monofunctional  $[N,C,N\text{-Pt(II)}]$  complex with the cyclic RGDyK peptide through an amide (Fig. 3, entry 2).<sup>38</sup> The objective of this design was to develop a system for monitoring drug release and promising anticancer activity for photodynamic therapeutic applications. The synthetic route started with the Pd-catalysed borylation of methyl 3,5-dibromobenzoate with HBPIn followed by a Suzuki-Miyaura coupling with chloropyridine catalyzed by  $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ ,  $\text{PPh}_3$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ , yielding methyl 3,5-di(pyridine-2-yl)benzoate. Subsequently, a substitution by direct displacement and cycloplatinatation was performed using  $\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_4$  followed by methyl ester hydrolysis. The Pt(II)-acid complex coupled with the lysine amino group of the c(RGDyK) peptide through EDC and NHS activation (Fig. 3, entry 2).

Hambley *et al.* prepared monofunctional Pt(II) complexes aiming to incorporate an anthraquinone intercalator and a minor groove pyrrole peptide binder into a Pt(II) complex (Fig. 3, entry 3).<sup>39</sup> To achieve this design, the substituted anthraquinones were first coupled to pyrrole lexitropsins (polyamides) through a peptide bond, after which nucleophilic addition of the aminoalkyl anthraquinone to the coordinate




Fig. 3 Synthetic routes and structures of BUs as peptides. Both coordination reaction steps and donor atoms are indicated in red.



nitrile ligand of the precursor  $[\text{PtCl}(\text{en})(\text{NCet})]\text{Cl}$  was performed using the same procedure used by Bierbach (called the “click” reaction by the authors). The syntheses of the initial polyamides were performed using a solution phase peptide method in which the protected groups were Boc or methyl esters. The peptides were deprotected in basic media while the free amines were achieved using standard acidic media.

**R2: unchaining the reactivity of active Pt cores with peptides.** Hammer *et al.* conjugated specific cyclic peptides to conventional  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  starting materials such as cisplatin and  $\text{cis-}[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{en})_2]$  with the aim of increasing the specificity for key targets only overexpressed in cancerous tissues, so that the final drugs would have less toxicity towards normal tissues.<sup>40</sup> The linear peptides were synthesized following standard Fmoc solid phase chemistry and then a short oligomeric PEG-based residue was added to both the N- and C-termini of the peptide. Subsequently, a malonyl linker was added at the N-terminus followed by cyclization of the linear peptide on the solid support and cleavage. The linker was successfully prepared by alkylating di-*tert*-butyl malonate using bromopropyl-phthalimide treated with a strong base (NaH) under absolute dry conditions. Subsequently, di-*tert*-butyl 2-(3-phthalimidopropyl-malonate) was deprotected using hydrazine monohydrate and then glutaric anhydride was used for acylation to yield the glutaroyl(aminopropyl)malonic ester. The dichlorido  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  precursors were activated by forcing the formation of the diaqua species  $\text{cis-}[\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)(\text{OH}_2)_2]^{2+}$  or  $[\text{Pt}(\text{en})(\text{OH}_2)_2]^{2+}$  by performing an exchange reaction with  $\text{AgNO}_3$ . The coordination took place after the deprotection of the malonate group using NaOH with a 2-fold excess of the  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  precursor (Fig. 3 entry 4). Other synthetic approaches or designs were evaluated, for example, the attachment of the malonate linker to the C-terminus or a similar model without the oligomeric residue, but none of those attempts were successful.

The last example of this section proves that the chelate platform is not essential for the conjugation of  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  cores to peptides. Quiroga *et al.* isolated *trans*- $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  compounds with isopropylamine *trans* to a picolinic acid and further functionalized it with the cyclic peptide cRGDfK (Fig. 3, entry 5).<sup>41</sup>

### Including intercalating BUs within heterometallic structures

The designs with BUs aim to reduce side effects, overcome cisplatin resistance and improve the efficacy of  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  drugs. Some authors have gone beyond a single metal center, introducing heterometallic complexes which potentially unchain dual mechanisms, combining the properties of both metallic cores. The chemistry to achieve heterometallic-based drugs is subject not only to the functionality of the organic moieties but to the reactivity of the metallic cores too. There are many examples of heterometallic with  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  strategies, nicely compiled in a recent review.<sup>42</sup> For our purpose, we have selected only the metallointercalator approaches.

Ir, Rh and Ru octahedral complexes are the more commonly found intercalating derivatives bound to  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$ . Fig. 4 encompasses the articles in which the chemistry has been pro-

ficiently reported, where the first example (entry 1) is an octahedral  $\text{Ru}(\text{II})$ -bpp complex bound to  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  where bpp is 2,3-di(pyridin-2-yl)pyrazine.<sup>43</sup> The bpp ligand is introduced by chlorido substitution onto  $[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{phen})_2]$  (phen = 1,10-phenanthroline) and further platination with  $\text{cis-}[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{DMSO})_2]$ .

The use of N-donor connectors is not exclusive to octahedral Ru-based intercalators, Chao's group employed a similar strategy.<sup>44</sup> They used a bridge splitting reaction with the  $[\text{Ir}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_2(\text{ppy})_4]$  reagent, which implies the replacement of the two chlorides by a terpyridine-containing phenanthroline (terpy) ligand (Fig. 4 entry 2). The final octahedral  $\text{Ir}(\text{I})$  complex was also platinated with  $\text{cis-}[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{DMSO})_2]$ .

Chrysi, chrysene-5,6-diimine, is an intercalator ligand used by Barton *et al.*<sup>45</sup> to afford mismatch-specific metallointercalators and is presented in the center of Fig. 4. These authors first synthesized the  $\text{Rh}(\text{III})$  complex core  $[\text{Rh}(\text{chrysi})(\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{phen})]\text{Cl}_3$ , and later replaced the ammonia by a phenanthroline ligand which bears a heptylamino group. Then, they utilized the amino group to couple the  $\text{Rh}(\text{III})$  complex to the  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  core through EDC-mediated amide formation with  $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{en-COOH})]$ , generated by the direct chlorido substitution of  $\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_4$  (Fig. 4 entry 3). Barton *et al.* modulated their previous structure, in which the  $\text{Rh}(\text{III})$ -Chrysi couple remains and the phenanthroline-type ligands are replaced by di(pyridin-2-yl)amine, one of which contains an acetic-2-yl acid bound to the amino-N (Fig. 4 entry 4).<sup>46</sup> This heteroleptic  $\text{Rh}(\text{III})$  core was synthesized analogously to their former example, in which they replace the amino ligands in  $[\text{Rh}(\text{chrysi})(\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{phen})](\text{TFA})_3$  with di(pyridin-2-yl)glycine. The carboxylic acid acts as a linker, and it is then functionalized with diethyl aminomalonate. The removal of the ethyl chains with aqueous basic treatment renders the dicarboxylic acid providing a binding site for  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$ .  $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$  treatment gave the Ba carboxylate, which afforded the final DACH- $\text{Pt}$ -carboxylate complex upon reaction with a solution of  $[\text{PtDACH}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\text{SO}_4$ .

The Reedijk group obtained a similar example to entry 2 by the initial formation of a  $\text{Ru}(\text{terpy})\text{Cl}_3$  core from  $\text{RuCl}_3$ . Reedijk's group utilized one terpyridyl<sup>47</sup> as a connector and spaced with a polyethyleneglycol chain from other terpyridyl groups, and later platinated with  $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{cod})]$ , reaching a Ru terpyridine moiety linked to a monofunctional  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$  core (Fig. 4 entry 6) followed by silver-promoted substitution of the chlorido ligands by another tridentate ligand. Smythe's group, on the other hand, utilized an aminoterpyridine,<sup>48</sup> which enabled the reductive amination of picolinaldehyde creating a new bidentate domain which can be platinated with  $\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_4$  and later treated with DMSO yielding a monofunctional  $\text{Pt}$  complex (Fig. 4 entry 5).

Several  $\text{Pt}(\text{II})$ - $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$  complexes based on 3-Clip-Phen [1-(1,10-phenanthrolin-3-yloxy)-3-(1,10-phenanthrolin-8-yloxy)propan-2-amine] were prepared by Reedijk's group.<sup>49</sup> The authors started with  $(\text{NBu}_4)[\text{PtCl}_3(\text{NH}_3)]$  and 3-Clip-Phen, resulting in a *cis* isomer, whereas the *trans* compound synthesis requires the initial activation of cisplatin by removing one chloride aided by  $\text{AgNO}_3$  followed by reaction with 3-Clip-






Fig. 4 Synthetic routes and structures of heterometallic complexes bearing intercalator motifs. Coordination reaction arrows to Pt and their donor atoms are shown in red and to the other metal ions are shown in blue. Pt(II) starting materials are boxed.

Phen in the excess of HCl. A third complex, also *trans*-configured, which bears two 3-Clip-Phen units and two chloride ligands, was obtained by treating  $K_2PtCl_4$  with  $AgNO_3$  and reacted with an excess of 3-Clip-Phen to give the final complex (Fig. 4 entry 7).

In summary, we have observed that the incorporation of a second metal center into Pt(II) complexes generally proceeds by reactions of robust fragments on both metal ion reagents. Pt(II) active cores (cisplatin like) give good intermediates through metathesis with  $AgNO_3$ , but in the heterobimetallic syntheses,  $[PtCl_2(cod)]$  and  $cis-[PtCl_2(DMSO)_2]$  are more widely

used because they trigger substitution reactions more quickly and efficiently.

#### Modern chemistry, using click chemistry with Pt(II) and biological units

Modern chemistry approaches are fundamental for achieving biological selectivity among the Pt(II) drugs. Click chemistry has gained the attention of the field whether for its use for functionalizing the Pt(II) coordinated ligands or for gaining information about these complexes' mechanism of action in cells.





Farrer and Griffith published a current opinion article with examples up to 2020.<sup>50</sup> These authors published the first example to develop a steroid linked ligand using click chemistry and then coordinating Pt(II) using *cis*-[PtCl<sub>2</sub>(DMSO)<sub>2</sub>] and *cis*-[Pt(CBDCA)(DMSO)<sub>2</sub>]. However, it is more common to find examples in the literature where the click chemistry takes place after the coordination to Pt(II) (Fig. 5) which are discussed as follows.

Bierbach *et al.* applied click chemistry to link cytotoxic Pt(II)-acridine complexes with a Michael acceptor to conjugate them with carrier proteins.<sup>51</sup> They employed the same strategy described in the intercalator BU section (Fig. 1, entry 5), in which they initially synthesized the acridine-amine moiety that

undergoes nucleophilic addition to the acetonitrile ligand bound to Pt(II). The selected amine was 3-((2-aminoethyl) amino)propanoic acid, which reacted through the terminal amino group with phenoxyacridine. The key step in this work was introducing a terminal azide group to the amine linker by CDI-assisted amide coupling to 2-azidoethan-1-amine, rendering the protected amino-azide-acridine derivative. After it was deprotected, the free amine derivative reacted with the electrophilic Pt-nitrile complex to form a cationic-Pt(II)-amidine-azide. This azide group enabled the authors to perform Cu-free cycloaddition chemistry with the alkyne (Fig. 5 alkyne 1) of the commercial maleimide-cyclooctyne derivatives.




Fig. 5 Synthetic routes and structures of click chemistry substrates in which participant groups are highlighted in purple. Coordination reaction arrows to Pt and their donor atoms are shown in red. Pt(II) starting materials are boxed.



Kellett *et al.* in 2022 took advantage of the click chemistry between a Pt(II) core functionalized with an azide and an alkyne bearing a triplex forming oligonucleotides (TFOs) (Fig. 5, entry 2).<sup>52</sup> To reach the azide counterpart, they protected 1,3-diamino-2-propanol with Boc<sub>2</sub>O whereupon they mesylated the hydroxy group with MsCl in the presence of Et<sub>3</sub>N. This initial protection is crucial given the higher nucleophilic character of amines when compared to alcohols. The resulting mesylate reacted with sodium azide rendering the Boc-protected diamino chelate which, after the deprotection, rendered the hydrochloride salt of the ligand. They synthesized the cisplatin-like structure using *cis*-[PtCl<sub>2</sub>(DMSO)<sub>2</sub>] in DMF, whereas the carboplatin and oxaliplatin analogs were obtained by the reaction of the ligand with PtI<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (like cisplatin synthesis) through intermediates and AgNO<sub>3</sub> metathesis explained with details in Wilson's review.<sup>5</sup> On the other hand, to reach the alkyne counterpart, they synthesized the TFO with a nucleoside bearing a terminal alkyne or cyclooctyne (Fig. 5 alkyne 2a and 2b). The final step is dipolar cycloaddition affording the conjugated compounds.

More research seems to be still ongoing, the proof of which is the last work from ref. 53 with phenanthriplatin analogues functionalized with an azide group (Fig. 5, entry 3). They synthesized a phenanthroline functionalized with a nitro group by an initial tandem reaction. The tandem reaction consists of both a Schiff base condensation reaction and a Suzuki coupling reaction between 2-aminophenylboronic acid and 3-, 4- or 5-nitro-2-bromobenzaldehydes. These nitrophenanthrolines are then reduced to amines using Fe(0) and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl in aqueous MeOH. Nitrous acid treatment followed by the addition of sodium azide renders the phenanthroline azide through SNAr. These phenanthroline derivatives react with cisplatin by chlorido substitution to isolate the monofunctional complex with the aid of AgNO<sub>3</sub>. They have generated a very attractive example to further develop new libraries of compounds with click chemistry.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, we would like to highlight the importance of chemical procedures in the design of metallodrugs. The chemical strategy of the metallodrug synthesis can be a vital step for its development and the optimization can make the process sustainable. Such optimization can benefit from the comparison of the similarities in synthetic parameters such as metal sources, solvents, substituents, protecting groups and linkers, which are common in the many steps within the reactions reported in the literature. We have found a broad variety of routes utilized in these syntheses, from amide formations to nucleophilic aliphatic substitutions, alkaline addition, hydrolysis of amides (protecting groups), reactions of acyl chlorides of alcohols and/or derivatives of amines, decarboxylation and a long list that includes metal assisted coupling reactions. The exploration of these reactivities brought to light two important observations:

(a) When a classical Pt(II) reactant is used, the performance of the reactions is improved by selecting the platination position of the BU, protecting the rest of the coordinating sites that can be cleaved after the coordination takes place.

(b) When using an active core fragment, besides strategic protection, silver salts facilitate quick and orderly substitutions. The more modern chemistry takes place with a better yield using the organic substrate BU, but in the last few years organometallic chemistry (*i.e.* Sonogashira or Suzuki coupling), click chemistry and advanced peptide synthesis (among others) have proven that this methodology can be carried out in the presence of metal ion centers. Particularly, click chemistry goes beyond glassware experiments to conduct research inside the cell itself.

## Data availability

The data supporting this article have been provided by Universidad Autonoma de Madrid licenses with access to scientific journals.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We are thankful for the financial support from Spanish Agencia Estatal de investigacion: PID2019-106220RB100 and PID2022-137373OB-I00. F. A. R. is thankful for his PhD grant from Comunidad de Madrid: PIPF-2022/SAL-GL-25290.

## References

- 1 K. J. Franz and N. Metzler-Nolte, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 727–729.
- 2 E. J. Anthony, E. M. Bolitho, H. E. Bridgewater, O. W. L. Carter, J. M. Donnelly, C. Imberti, E. C. Lant, F. Lermite, R. J. Needham, M. Palau, P. J. Sadler, H. Shi, F.-X. Wang, W.-Y. Zhang and Z. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 12888–12917.
- 3 S. Rottenberg, C. Disler and P. Perego, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2021, **21**, 37–50.
- 4 T. C. Johnstone, K. Suntharalingam and S. J. Lippard, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 3436–3486.
- 5 J. J. Wilson and S. J. Lippard, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 4470–4495.
- 6 P. Štarha, J. Vančo and Z. Trávníček, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **380**, 103–135.
- 7 J. Vančo, Z. Trávníček, R. Křikavová, J. Gálíková, Z. Dvořák and M. Chalupová, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., B*, 2017, **173**, 423–433.



- 8 P. Štarha, J. Vančo, Z. Trávníček, J. Hošek, J. Klusáková and Z. Dvořák, *PLoS One*, 2016, **11**, e0165062.
- 9 M. Skander, P. Retailleau, B. Bourrié, L. Schio, P. Mailliet and A. Marinetti, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **53**, 2146–2154.
- 10 H. W. Kava, W. Y. Leung, A. M. Galea and V. Murray, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2021, **40**, 116191.
- 11 E. Y. D. Chua, G. E. Davey, C. F. Chin, P. Dröge, W. H. Ang and C. A. Davey, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2015, **43**, 5284–5296.
- 12 J. H. Price, A. N. Williamson, R. F. Schramm and B. B. Wayland, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1972, **11**, 1280–1284.
- 13 J. H. Price, J. P. Birk and B. B. Wayland, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, **17**, 2245–2250.
- 14 J. M. Pérez, I. López-Solera, E. I. Montero, M. F. Braña, C. Alonso, S. P. Robinson and C. Navarro-Ranninger, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1999, **42**, 5482–5486.
- 15 E. T. Martins, H. Baruah, J. Kramarczyk, G. Saluta, C. S. Day, G. L. Kucera and U. Bierbach, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2001, **44**, 4492–4496.
- 16 R. Guddneppanavar, G. Saluta, G. L. Kucera and U. Bierbach, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2006, **49**, 3204–3214.
- 17 M. C. Ackley, C. G. Barry, A. M. Mounce, M. C. Farmer, B.-E. Springer, C. S. Day, M. W. Wright, S. J. Berners-Price, S. M. Hess and U. Bierbach, *JBIC*, 2004, **9**, 453–461.
- 18 Z. Ma, J. R. Choudhury, M. W. Wright, C. S. Day, G. Saluta, G. L. Kucera and U. Bierbach, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **51**, 7574–7580.
- 19 S. Zhang, X. Yao, N. H. Watkins, P. K. Rose, S. R. Caruso, C. S. Day and U. Bierbach, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 21965–21970.
- 20 Y. Guo, Y. He, S. Wu, S. Zhang, D. Song, Z. Zhu, Z. Guo and X. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 13150–13160.
- 21 T. A. Connors, M. J. Cleare and K. R. Harrap, *Cancer Treat. Rep.*, 1979, 1499–1502.
- 22 L. R. Kelland, F. J. Barnard, I. G. Evans, B. A. Murrer, B. R. C. Theobald, S. B. Wyer, P. M. Goddard, M. Jones and M. Valenti, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1995, **38**, 3016–3024.
- 23 N. Farrell, T. T. B. Ha, J. P. Souchard, F. L. Wimmer, S. Cros and N. P. Johnson, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1989, **32**, 2240–2241.
- 24 A. G. Quiroga, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2012, **114**, 106–112.
- 25 J. M. Herrera, F. Mendes, S. Gama, I. Santos, C. Navarro Ranninger, S. Cabrera and A. G. Quiroga, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 12627–12634.
- 26 S. Banerjee, J. A. Kitchen, S. A. Bright, J. E. O'Brien, D. C. Williams, J. M. Kelly and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 8522–8524.
- 27 J. Provencher-Mandeville, C. Descôteaux, S. K. Mandal, V. Leblanc, É. Asselin and G. Bérubé, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2008, **18**, 2282–2287.
- 28 J. Provencher-Mandeville, C. Debnath, S. K. Mandal, V. Leblanc, S. Parent, É. Asselin and G. Bérubé, *Steroids*, 2011, **76**, 94–103.
- 29 E. Gabano, C. Cassino, S. Bonetti, C. Prandi, D. Colangelo, A. Ghiglia and D. Osella, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2005, **3**, 3531–3539.
- 30 A. Jackson, J. Davis, R. J. Pither, A. Rodger and M. J. Hannon, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 3964–3973.
- 31 O. Gandolfi, M. Cais, G. Dolcetti, M. Ghedini and A. Modiano, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1981, **56**, 127–133.
- 32 P. Saha, C. Descôteaux, K. Brasseur, S. Fortin, V. Leblanc, S. Parent, É. Asselin and G. Bérubé, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **48**, 385–390.
- 33 M. Huxley, C. Sanchez-Cano, M. J. Browning, C. Navarro-Ranninger, A. G. Quiroga, A. Rodger and M. J. Hannon, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 11353–11364.
- 34 C. Sanchez-Cano, M. Huxley, C. Ducani, A. E. Hamad, M. J. Browning, C. Navarro-Ranninger, A. G. Quiroga, A. Rodger and M. J. Hannon, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 11365–11374.
- 35 M. Xie, D. Liu and Y. Yang, *Open Biol.*, 2020, **10**, 200004.
- 36 M. Karami Fath, K. Babakhaniyan, M. Zokaei, A. Yaghoubian, S. Akbari, M. Khorsandi, A. Soofi, M. Nabi-Afjadi, H. Zalpooor, F. Jalalifar, A. Azargoonjahromi, Z. Payandeh and A. Alagheband Bahrami, *Cell. Mol. Biol. Lett.*, 2022, **27**, 33.
- 37 S. Kumbhakonam, K. Vellaisamy, S. Saroj, N. Venkatesan, K. D. and M. Kannoth Manheri, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 2450–2458.
- 38 T. Chatzisideri, S. Thysiadis, S. Katsamakas, P. Dalezis, I. Sigala, T. Lazarides, E. Nikolakaki, D. Trafalis, O. A. Gederas, M. Lindgren and V. Sarli, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **141**, 221–231.
- 39 A. T. S. Lo, N. S. Bryce, A. V. Klein, M. H. Todd and T. W. Hambley, *JBIC*, 2021, **26**, 217–233.
- 40 M. W. Ndinguri, R. Solipuram, R. P. Gambrell, S. Aggarwal and R. P. Hammer, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2009, **20**, 1869–1878.
- 41 M. A. Medrano, M. Morais, V. F. C. Ferreira, J. D. G. Correia, A. Paulo, I. Santos, C. Navarro-Ranninger, A. A. Valdes, A. Casini, F. Mendes and A. G. Quiroga, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **2017**, 1835–1840.
- 42 L. Ma, L. Li and G. Zhu, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2022, **9**, 2424–2453.
- 43 E. E. Aranda, T. A. Matias, K. Araki, A. P. Vieira, E. de Mattos, P. Colepiccolo, C. P. Luz, F. L. N. Marques and A. M. daCostaFerreira, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2016, **165**, 108–118.
- 44 C. Zhang, R. Guan, X. Liao, C. Ouyang, J. Liu, L. Ji and H. Chao, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **7**, 1864–1871.
- 45 A. Petitjean and J. K. Barton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 14728–14729.
- 46 A. G. Weidmann and J. K. Barton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 7812–7814.
- 47 K. van der Schilden, F. Garcia, H. Kooijman, A. L. Spek, J. G. Haasnoot and J. Reedijk, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 5668–5670.
- 48 V. Ramu, M. R. Gill, P. J. Jarman, D. Turton, J. A. Thomas, A. Das and C. Smythe, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 9185–9197.
- 49 Ş. Özalp-Yaman, P. de Hoog, G. Amadei, M. Pitié, P. Gamez, J. Dewelle, T. Mijatovic, R. Kiss and J. Reedijk, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 3418–3426.
- 50 N. J. Farrer and D. M. Griffith, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2020, **55**, 59–68.



- 51 X. Yao, C. M. Tracy and U. Bierbach, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 43–46.
- 52 J. Hennessy, B. McGorman, Z. Molphy, N. P. Farrell, D. Singleton, T. Brown and A. Kellett, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202110455.
- 53 P. D. O'Dowd, A. S. Guerrero, K. R. Alley, H. C. Pigg, F. O'Neill, J. Meiller, C. Hobbs, D. A. Rodrigues, B. Twamley, F. O'Sullivan, V. J. DeRose and D. M. Griffith, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2024, **19**, 875–885.

