

PAPER

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2021,
2, 5116Surface-enhanced Raman scattering-based
molecular encoding with gold nanostars for
anticounterfeiting applications†Yifeng Huo,^a Samantha Curry,^a Andrew Trowbridge,^{ab} Xurong Xu^{ac} and
Chaoyang Jiang  ^{*a}

Recently, surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) joined other optical methods in making novel anticounterfeiting materials due to the fact that abundant molecular fingerprints in Raman spectra can be less susceptible to fraud. Using these molecular features, it is critical to make novel nanostructures with increased SERS enhancement and stability. Herein, we synthesized star-shaped gold nanoparticles as SERS substrates and applied various Raman probes with these gold nanostars to make SERS tags. The encoded molecular information was successfully decoded using principal component analysis (PCA). These colloidal tags can be further stabilized when embedded in a polymer matrix. We made a prototype ballpoint pen that can do simple writing with these secret SERS inks.

Received 16th April 2021,
Accepted 4th June 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00348h

rsc.li/materials-advances

Introduction

The development of anticounterfeiting technology has received increasing attention due to ongoing global economic activities, as well as the protection of intellectual properties. In fighting counterfeiting activities, anticounterfeiting labels have been widely used to authenticate the genuineness of commercial goods, financial documents, and advanced pharmaceutical products. Various techniques and materials have been used in anticounterfeiting, such as watermarks,¹ microscale patterns,^{2,3} fluorescent quantum dots,⁴ and upconversion nanomaterials.^{5,6} However, many of these well-developed anticounterfeiting techniques could be targeted by counterfeiters, thus being faked. It is thus essential to introduce new techniques into the field of anticounterfeiting, which will be less known to counterfeiters. In this regard, security labels based on a surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) technique could be a very promising candidate due to their molecular fingerprint features, high sensitivity, and sufficient complexity. As we know, Raman

spectroscopy is a noninvasive analytical method that can provide molecular spectra that contain narrow spectral bands associated with chemical structures.^{7,8} In the SERS study, Raman signals are dramatically increased with an enhancement factor of 10⁶ or higher when Raman probes are placed at the vicinity of rough noble metals or other plasmonic materials.^{9,10} These enhanced signals allow the low-dose presence of molecular labels as well as the capability of using multiple SERS probes. Such probe-encoded Raman and SERS tags have actually been developed and applied in bioanalysis and bioimaging.^{11–16} It is worth noting that SERS labels are novel, complicated, and have a high storage capacity, all of which are critical for the development of new generation anticounterfeiting labels.

Recently, there has been continuous interest in developing encoded SERS labels for anticounterfeiting applications.^{17–29} For example, Ling and co-workers fabricated plasmonic patterns of silver nanorods and studied their applications in anticounterfeiting with multiple Raman probes.¹⁷ In addition, there were also examples of combining SERS labels with bar codes, quick response (QR) codes, or physically unclonable features.^{27–31} In these studies, SERS-active substrates are a key component for the successful application of their materials in anticounterfeiting. While lithography methods were still widely used in making SERS-active plasmonic substrates, chemical syntheses of plasmonic nanomaterials have several advantages such as high tunability, easy processability, and low cost. For example, recently, Ye and co-workers reported the synthesis of gap-enhanced Raman tags and demonstrated their remarkable applications in anticounterfeiting.²⁸ There is clearly an increasing demand in developing facile synthetic

^a Department of Chemistry and Center for Fluorinated Functional Materials, University of South Dakota, Vermillion, South Dakota, 57069, USA.
E-mail: CY.Jiang@usd.edu

^b Department of Chemistry, Concordia College, Moorhead, Minnesota, 56562, USA

^c Qiushi Academy for Advanced Studies, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310027, P. R. China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: SEM graphs of AuNSs, gold nanoparticles, Raman spectra of R6G with AuNSs or Au NPs, zoom-in PCA score plot, Raman spectra of SERS tags with 4-MBA and MG, PEO films, AuNS-PEO film, and written tags, and tables of coding tags. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00348h



approaches to make novel SERS substrates for anticounterfeiting applications.

On the other hand, gold nanostars (AuNSs) have been synthesized through either seedless^{32,33} or seed-mediated³⁴ methods. These nanostars have been widely utilized in sensing,³⁵ catalysis,³⁶ therapy,³⁷ and SERS.^{38,39} Recently, we reported the synthesis of gold nanostars with sharp branches³⁴ and studied their SERS performance.⁴⁰ We found that AuNSs have a higher SERS enhancement as compared to normal gold nanoparticles.⁴¹ These AuNSs with the modification of a proper capping agent can be suspended in aqueous media and behave as colloidal SERS substrates.^{41,42} Recently, there were also reports on the use of encoded AuNSs for various applications such as cell discrimination.^{15,16} However, some of the preparation methods are rather complicated. Furthermore, using colloidal AuNS substrates in the field of anticounterfeiting applications has not been completely investigated.

In this paper, we present a new approach to design anti-counterfeiting labels by using the SERS spectra of molecules on AuNSs to encrypt secret information. Colloidal AuNS solutions were mixed with multiple Raman probe molecules to form SERS tags. Their SERS spectra with unique features were then analysed, which are associated with the adsorption of Raman probes on the surface of nanostars. We also demonstrated a simple way to build a spectral database using a combination of binary coding and principal component analysis (PCA). These SERS tags can have long-term stability after being embedded in the polymer matrix. Furthermore, a prototype ink containing the branched gold nanostars was formulated and the ink can be used to make anti-counterfeiting markings using a normal ballpoint pen.

Experimental

Chemicals and materials

Gold chloride solution ($\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 30 wt%) and melamine were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Trisodium citrate, ascorbic acid, and methylene blue (MB) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Hydroquinone (HQ), rhodamine 6G (R6G), crystal violet (CV), 4-aminothiophenol (4-ATP), 4-mercaptopyridine (4-MPy), poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO, $M_w \sim 600\,000$), and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, $M_w \sim 55\,000$) were purchased from Acros Organics. 4-Mercaptobenzoic acid (4-MBA) was purchased from TCI America. Malachite green (MG) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Anhydrous ethanol was purchased from Pharmco-AAPER. All the chemicals were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

Synthesis of colloidal labels

Colloidal AuNS solutions were synthesized using a known seed-mediated method that was recently developed in our laboratory.³⁴ To make an anti-counterfeiting label, probe solutions were first prepared by dissolving one or more listed chemicals, including 4-MBA, R6G, MB, CV, melamine, 4-ATP, 4-MPy, ethanol, and MG. A typical concentration of each chemical in the probe stock solution was 100 μM . Then, 100 μL of probe

solution was added to 900 μL of AuNS solution to produce a uniform solution as the colloidal anti-counterfeiting label.

Production of AuNS-PEO thin-film labels

To make thin-film anti-counterfeiting labels, we first dissolved PEO powders into the colloidal labels with a PEO concentration of 10 wt.%. The AuNS-PEO thin films were prepared by spin coating 10 drops of the AuNS-PEO mixture on aluminum foils (3000 rpm). The coated aluminum foils were then dried in an oven (100 $^\circ\text{C}$) to produce solid AuNS-PEO thin films.

Production of writable AuNS-PVP inks

To produce writable labels, 25 mL of AuNS solutions were rinsed with a centrifugation–redispersion cycle (8000 rpm for 10 minutes) and then concentrated into 1 mL. Then 50 μL of the concentrated AuNS solution was mixed with 50 μL of probe solution and incubated for two hours. 0.4 mL of 2 wt% PVP solution was then further concentrated into 40 μL (anti-counterfeiting inks). Ballpoint pen cartridges were ultrasonically cleaned with water and ethanol. Finally, anti-counterfeiting inks were injected into the cartridges and the ballpoint pens were ready for writing.

Characterizations

The UV–vis spectra of colloidal AuNS solutions in glass vials (diameter 15 mm) were measured using a GENESYS 30 Visible spectrophotometer. The AuNS morphologies were characterized using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) (Zeiss) and a transmission electron microscope (Tecnai Spirit G2 Twin, FIE Company) with a LaB_6 filament operated at 120 kV. Electron micrographs were obtained by projection using a Gatan Orius SC200 CCD or Gatan Rio16 CMOS Digital Camera. Raman spectra of both colloidal solutions and thin-film samples were acquired using an i-Raman EX portable Raman spectrometer (B&W Tek). The 1064 nm laser with a power of 373 mW was used in the Raman measurements.

Principal component analysis

The principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted using Origin Software with the PCA for the spectroscopy function when the SERS spectra were processed. Multiple SERS spectra (typically 5) were acquired from each sample and then normalized before the PCA process. The Origin Software used a mathematical process to differentiate all these spectra and quantify the difference in the spectra by plotting the graphs of multiple principal components (PCs). In the PCA plots, the deviations of each batch were calculated based on a 95% confidence level and shown as ellipses in the score plot. These ellipses provide clear conclusions on the differences in the samples.

Results and discussion

SERS spectra on plasmonic gold nanostars

While the formation of sharp branches on AuNSs has been discussed in detail in our previous reports,³⁴ here, we would



like to mention that the strong extinction of AuNSs can be realized when observing a fast color change from light red to brownish blue during the chemical synthesis. The as-synthesized AuNS solutions are significantly different as compared to their gold seeds, in both optical images and UV-visible spectra (Fig. 1a). The AuNS solution has a strong extinction peak at 606 nm, which is red shifted from the peak of gold seeds at 520 nm, mainly due to the increase of the nanoparticle size.

These AuNSs exhibited excellent SERS activity when Raman probe molecules were introduced into the colloidal solutions. Shown in Fig. 1b is the SERS spectrum of MG in the AuNS solution, in which characteristic MG Raman peaks can be easily observed. It is worth noting that this SERS spectrum was directly recorded using a colloidal solution in a glass vial, which will ensure great signal stability and data reproducibility. The Raman peak of MG at 1396 cm^{-1} associated with the *N*-phenyl stretching mode⁴³ was then used to calculate the analytical enhancement factor (EF) for our AuNS colloidal solution. Using the equation:

$$EF = \frac{I_{\text{SERS}}}{C_{\text{SERS}}t_{\text{SERS}}} \bigg/ \frac{I_0}{C_0t_0}$$

where *I* is the peak intensity, *C* is the concentration of probe molecules in the solution, and *t* is the exposure time; we found that the EF was about 7700 for the colloidal AuNS solution. This SERS activity is strongly associated with the branch structures of these gold nanostars. As shown in SEM micrographs, the morphology of AuNSs is identified as star-like, with a spherical core and multiple branches, which is consistent with the TEM results (Fig. 1c, inset). The average size of the spherical core is about 55 nm and the length of branches is about 5 nm, which varied from particle to particle (Fig. 1c). The overall tip-to-tip size of AuNSs is in the range of $63 \pm 11\text{ nm}$, as shown in the inset size histogram. The low magnification image (Fig. S1, ESI†) also indicated the narrow size distribution of these AuNSs, which could benefit their SERS applications due to high reproducibility and well-controlled dispersion stability.

Recently, there have been several reports on using PCA as a mathematic method in distinguishing the tiny difference in

SERS spectra when a trace number of probe molecules was introduced.^{34,44–46} In this work, the Raman spectra of AuNSs with R6G at a concentration of 0.5 nM only showed increases of 1073 and 1590 cm^{-1} peaks compared to the spectra of bare AuNSs and there was no obvious R6G Raman peak (Fig. S2a, ESI†). These two peaks were assigned to the aromatic ring vibrational mode of 4-MBA, a stabilizing agent used in the AuNS synthesis.⁴⁷ The intensity increase was temporally assigned to the disturbance of a trace amount of R6G, as we have discussed previously.⁴¹ From the PCA plot, we clearly observed the difference caused by R6G addition, as shown by the good separation of the two ellipses drawn at a confidence level of 95% (see Fig. S3b, ESI†). On the contrary, the PCA can hardly differentiate the spectral difference when quasi-spherical gold nanoparticles (Au NPs) were used (Fig. S2 and S3b, ESI†), which is consistent with that reported recently.⁴¹ In this work, the PCA processing will be used to identify Raman probes added to the colloidal AuNS solutions, as well as to develop anti-counterfeiting SERS labels.

SERS coding with various Raman probes

Knowing that various Raman probes can produce characteristic SERS spectra, here, we designed a unique approach to make anti-counterfeiting labels, where the spectroscopic information was encoded by mixing Raman probes with AuNSs and then decoded using PCA. The spectroscopic information was generated by measuring the SERS spectra on AuNSs with various chemicals. Here, nine chemicals were selected in this trial work, including 4-MBA, R6G, MB, CV, melamine, 4-ATP, 4-MPy, ethanol, and MG (Fig. 2a). Some of the selected chemicals contain a thiol group which could strongly bind to gold, and some chemicals were dyes with a relatively large Raman cross section. We also included ethanol in our list, which can likely cause a small change in the solvent environment. The SERS spectra of various AuNS stock solutions are shown in Fig. 2b, in which the individual chemicals were added. It is quite clear that some characteristic Raman peaks can be found in certain spectra. For example, the Raman spectra of AuNSs with R6G has a unique peak at 1365 cm^{-1} , which can be associated with the aromatic C–C stretching of R6G molecules.⁴⁸ Overall, we also found that there are some common Raman




Fig. 1 (a) UV-vis extinction spectra of gold seeds and AuNSs as synthesized. The insets are the pictures of a gold seed solution (left vial) and a AuNS solution (right vial) with a light source in the front (left) and at the back (right). (b) The Raman spectrum of 100 mM MG solution (10 s exposure time) and the SERS spectrum of 100 μM MG in a AuNS solution (5 s exposure time) and (c) the SEM image of AuNSs; inset of the top right, the TEM image of AuNSs; and inset of the bottom right, the size distribution of AuNSs.






Fig. 2 (a) The molecular structure of the main chemicals used for anti-counterfeiting. (b) SERS spectra of individual chemicals as components to construct SERS-active chemical mixtures. (c) SERS spectra of the constructed eight anti-counterfeit labels. The spectrum of the AuNS solution was shown for comparison. All the spectra were measured under 1064 nm excitation and 5 seconds exposure time. (d) The PCA score plot of the SERS spectra of eight SERS tags and AuNSs, where each tag had 5 data points grouped together. The ellipses showed 95% confidence level for the data points in the group.

signals in these spectra, such as a peak at 1073 cm^{-1} that can be attributed to the residual 4-MBA used in the AuNS synthesis. It should be noted that the intensity of this peak varies in these spectra which could be due to some interference from other chemicals.

It is anticipated that multiple chemicals mixed in the AuNS solution can result in complicated SERS spectra as a readout which can only be recognized with a known spectral database. Here, the combination of these nine SERS-active chemicals will be tried to produce unique spectra that will carry binary codes with a maximum of nine digits. To design the binary code, the presence of a chemical stands for “1” while the absence of the chemical stands for “0”. With this, the total number of combinations, P , can be calculated as follows:

$$P = m^n \quad (1)$$

where n is the number of chemicals, and m is the number of concentration levels for individual chemicals. With the nine chemicals used in this work, there are a total of 512 possible combinations. Eight numbers were randomly selected in this work and SERS tags were prepared with a composition as shown in Table S1 (ESI†). Only chemicals labeled as “1” will be added in the mixture. The colloidal anti-counterfeiting solutions were produced using the chemical mixtures and labeled as SERS tag followed by their random numbers.

SERS spectra of SERS tags were recorded using simple direct measurements when these tags were placed in glass vials. As shown in Fig. 2c, it is not surprising that these SERS spectra contain complete and detailed Raman features due to the possible presence of nine Raman probes. Each SERS tag has its own unique SERS spectra with various peak positions, widths, and intensities. With multiple Raman probes in the tag, the exact peak assignments could be tricky and challenging.

For example, both tag 184 and tag 044 contain CV and 4-ATP, and the peak of CV at 1480 cm^{-1} was overlapped with a peak at 1490 cm^{-1} from 4-ATP. Here, we would emphasize that the SERS spectrum of a SERS tag is NOT a simple addition of Raman spectra of individual chemicals. This is due to the possibility that various chemicals might have a competition when being adsorbed onto the AuNS surface. In this regard, a better understanding of the co-adsorption of multiple chemical ligands onto the AuNS surface would be necessary if someone wants to regenerate the “combined” spectra. It is therefore an additional challenge if the counterfeiter wants to duplicate these SERS tags. Fortunately, the public can simply use the combined SERS fingerprints in the authentication process.

The unique spectral information of these SERS tags can be presented in a PCA score plot. As shown in Fig. 2d, five data points of duplicate measurements on individual tags can be well clustered together. There are enough distances between any neighboring data sets. The well-separated 95% ellipses indicated the adequate capability of distinguishing any of these eight SERS tags. We designed an “unknown” tag and included its data in the PCA score plot (Fig. S4, ESI†). It is found that the location of the “unknown” tag in the PCA score plot is overlapped with the SERS tag 344, which is consistent with the record on the lab notebook. This example confirmed that one can identify the encrypted information by measuring the Raman spectra of the SERS tag and then compare it with a well-established database. Furthermore, this result also proved the excellent reproducibility of SERS-coded nanostars, which is an important issue in anticounterfeiting applications.

Additional experiments were conducted to confirm the reproducible performance of these SERS tags *via* preparing various sets of SERS tags and using various batches of the synthesized AuNSs. A typical result of this reproducibility study






Fig. 3 PCA score plot of four tag sets with various tag IDs. All the tag sets included a common tag 330. Tag sets I and II (open circles and squares) were associated with one batch of AuNSs while tag sets III and IV (closed triangles) were associated with different batches of AuNSs. A zoom-in for tag 330 was given in the inset for a clearer view.

is shown in Fig. 3, in which four sets of SERS tags were examined. The corresponding SERS tag IDs are listed in Table S2 (ESI[†]). Sets I and II were prepared with one batch of AuNSs while sets III and IV were made with different AuNS batches. All sets include a common SERS tag of tag 330 for comparison. As shown in Fig. 3, the four ellipses associated with tag 330 overlap very well to each other. This overlap demonstrates the excellent reproducibility of these SERS tags in various batches. Similarly, the reproducibility from different batches of AuNSs can also be observed with tag 159 and tag 225. On the other hand, SERS tags with various combinations of chemical probes are well separated which, again, confirmed the powerful coding capacity using the approach of SERS labelling.

Optimization of the SERS coding

The capacity of SERS tags for storing the encrypted information is an important figure of merit (FOM) for an anticounterfeiting product.

According to eqn (1), one approach to enlarge the information capacity is using additional types of Raman probe molecules (n) in the SERS tags. Another way is to increase the number of states for chemicals (m). This can probably be achieved by varying the chemical concentrations in the SERS tags in a discrete manner as far as their spectral differences, mainly in peak intensities, can be well distinguished in the PCA score plots. We first carefully applied four levels of probe concentrations on tag 279 with the MG concentrations of 100, 50, 25, and 0 μM , which are labeled tag 279-A, tag 279-B, tag 279-C, and tag 279-D (see Table S3 for detailed chemical combinations, ESI[†]). It is quite clear that Raman intensities varied for these SERS tags. In Fig. 4a, we can observe that the peak of MG, located at 1614 cm^{-1} , increases with the increase of the MG concentration. Using the PCA score plot, we found that the ellipses of these four data clusters were separated (Fig. 4b). This result indicates that there is enough recognition of the encrypted information in these tags and, therefore, it is a practical approach to expand the tag capacity by varying the chemical concentration. This is quite exciting as we will be able to make $4^9 = 262,144$ combinations using only nine chemicals if all these chemicals can be used with four different levels of concentrations.

Now the question would be, how small an increment step can we use in the SERS tags? This question is rather challenging since the ability to distinguish various sets of SERS tags not only depends on the difference in SERS spectra, but also relies on the data process. Using the commercial mathematic software, Origin Pro, we have been able to separate some tags even when there is hardly an observable difference in their SERS spectra (Fig. S5, ESI[†]). Fig. 4c shows the PCA score plot of some SERS tags that contain both 4-MBA and MG, where the varying amounts of MG are as low as 1 nmol. The SERS tags with low concentrations of 4-MBA can be well distinguished with various concentrations of MG at micromolar regions. We also found that SERS tags with higher concentrations of 4-MBA can have different performances. Additional optimizations on the direction of changing the Raman probe concentration will be reported elsewhere. Nevertheless, we have demonstrated that changing




Fig. 4 (a) SERS spectra of AuNS and tag 279 with different concentrations of MG. (b) The PCA score plot of the SERS spectra, where each sample was measured five times. (c) The PCA score plot of a series of tags with different concentrations of 4-MBA and MG. In each color set, the amounts of MG are 0, 1, 2, and 3 nmol in 1 mL of solution (lighter to darker color).



the concentration of Raman probes can dramatically increase the information capacity of SERS tags, thus making forgery of these SERS tags more difficult.

Application of SERS tags as thin films and writable inks

Stability and reproducibility are two major issues in the field of SERS study. For the application of SERS tags in anticounterfeiting, we also need to address these two issues. Compared to the SERS spectra from a solid state, the colloidal SERS has its intrinsic uniformity and reproducibility, as far as the problem of long-term colloidal stability can be solved. Here, we mixed the SERS tags in a PEO solution and prepared polymer thin films containing SERS tags using a spin-coating method (Fig. 5a). These polymeric nanocomposite thin films showed a pale pink color due to the existence of a low concentration of gold nanostars. The SERS spectra of these PEO composite thin films were recorded (Fig. S6, ESI†) and the PCA score plot (Fig. 5b) showed that the PEO film with SERS tags can be easily separated from the pure PEO film. Furthermore, the SERS data in the PCA score plot can be well overlapped for the sample measured at different times (immediately, 13 days, and 538 days). This result indicates that these SERS tags are very stable in the polymer matrix. With increased long-term stability, these SERS tag embedded polymer films are readily applied as plastic packing for good authentication and they might be further cooperated with other existing anti-counterfeiting techniques.

Another way to use the SERS tags is to disperse them into polymer solutions (sols) which can then be applied as an ink for either printing or writing. There have been several reports on using gold nanoparticle calligraphy to produce SERS substrates.^{49,50} In our approach, we dispersed SERS tags into PVP solutions and made “special” inks for the possible anticounterfeiting applications. In this work, SERS inks with numbers 295, 96, and 118 were prepared (refer to Table S4 for the chemical combinations, ESI†). Fig. 6a shows the schematic of the SERS ink and the image of three ballpoint pens filled with these SERS inks in cartridges, respectively. The color of the SERS ink is decent pink due to the high concentration of AuNSs. The appearances of the letters and numbers are rather similar as shown in Fig. 6a. However, the SERS spectra of




Fig. 6 (a) An image of a paper written using three different SERS inks and the ballpoint pens containing the inks. Inset: A schematic of SERS ink contains AuNSs and chemicals that could be written on paper. (b) The PCA score plot of the Raman spectra of the written patterns, where a 1064 nm laser, a 1 second exposure time, and a laser intensity of 20% were used.

writing samples were quite different (Fig. S7, ESI†). From the PCA score plot (Fig. 6b), we can see that these three writing samples can be easily identified, given a known database of these SERS tags. The ellipse of data cluster for ink 96 is larger than the other two, which means that there are additional needs to improve the ink formulation. Our results showed that the PVP polymer in the ink does not leave any negative impact on identifying the SERS tags, a spectroscopic decoding process. As far as we know, this is the first report on using AuNSs in SERS ink for security applications. With further optimization, this kind of SERS ink can be used to produce encrypted security writing and printing, which will be an essential part in the mass production of security printing labels.

Overall, SERS-based molecular coding uses a unique cryptography method for anticounterfeiting applications. As we know, a typical cryptography method uses certain algorithms to generate public keys and private keys. In our approach, the private key was used to encrypt the information, and the encrypted information could be decoded by the public key without knowing the encryption method of the private key. Here, a chemical process, the adsorption of Raman probe molecules onto gold nanostars, was used as the “chemical algorithm” to generate keys. A private key is the combination of chemicals to produce a label from the label database. The public key is the relationship between Raman data positions and certain goods. In practical operation, a company could use the private key to encrypt certain type of goods by attaching a printed label, and the resellers or customers could measure the Raman spectra and use the public key to determine whether it is genuine or counterfeit. Compared to the other solid SERS substrates, the colloidal AuNS in this work demonstrated satisfactory SERS performance due to their branched nanostructures. With the enhanced Raman signals, SERS spectra of these encoded AuNSs can be well distinguished with a rather short acquisition time (typically a few seconds or less). The fast readout of these encoded SERS labels is very important for the purpose of anticounterfeiting applications. Furthermore, considering the complexity of surface adsorption, as well as the large pool of possible chemicals as Raman probes and nanomaterial morphology-dependent SERS characteristics, it is almost impossible for counterfeiters to forge the security labels.




Fig. 5 (a) An image of the AuNS-PEO thin film on alumina foil. The inset is a schematic illustration of polymer labels, where the AuNSs (stars) and molecules (dots) are embedded in the polymer matrix. (b) The PCA score plot for the spectra of PEO and AuNS-PEO samples measured on different days.



Using the potential of the encoded AuNSs for anticounterfeiting applications, SERS readout devices, cloud storage, spectra recognition, as well as approaches of Artificial Intelligence (machine learning) need to be developed and integrated.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated new colloidal SERS tags based on star-shaped gold nanoparticles that have potential in anti-counterfeiting. Various chemicals have been selected to mix with AuNSs, which produced unique SERS spectra. Using PCA analyses, we can measure the SERS spectra and recognize “unknown” tags. The capacity of the stored molecular information can be further increased by varying the concentration of chemical labels. In addition, we found that the polymeric packing could stabilize the SERS tag and extend the shelf lifetime. These AuNS-based SERS tags can be formulated into writable inks so that secret writings can be accomplished using a simple ballpoint pen. Further investigation on the impact of surface adsorption on the SERS spectra will provide a better understanding in promoting these anticounterfeiting labels.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Center for Security Printing & Anti-Counterfeiting Technology (SPACT) and the Center of Functional Fluorinated Materials (CFFM) from the state of South Dakota, Governor's Office of Economic Development, partially by four grants from the National Science Foundation (Award No. CHE-1337707, EEC-1560323, EEC-1852306, and CHE-2018422) and a seed grant from the NSF OIA-1849206 project.

Notes and references

- 1 S. Huang and J. K. Wu, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, 2007, **2**, 164–173.
- 2 S. Han, H. J. Bae, J. Kim, S. Shin, S. E. Choi, S. H. Lee, S. Kwon and W. Park, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 5924–5929.
- 3 H. J. Bae, S. Bae, C. Park, S. Han, J. Kim, L. N. Kim, K. Kim, S. H. Song, W. Park and S. Kwon, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 2083–2089.
- 4 Y. Liu, F. Han, F. Li, Y. Zhao, M. Chen, Z. Xu, X. Zheng, H. Hu, J. Yao, T. Guo, W. Lin, Y. Zheng, B. You, P. Liu, Y. Li and L. Qian, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 2409.
- 5 J. M. Meruga, W. M. Cross, P. S. May, Q. Luu, G. A. Crawford and J. J. Kellar, *Nanotechnology*, 2012, **23**, 395201.
- 6 M. You, J. Zhong, Y. Hong, Z. Duan, M. Lin and F. Xu, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 4423–4431.
- 7 C. V. Raman, *Indian J. Phys.*, 1928, **2**, 387–398.
- 8 D. A. Long, *Raman spectroscopy*, New York, 1977, pp. 1–12.
- 9 N. J. Halas, S. Lal, W.-S. Chang, S. Link and P. Nordlander, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 3913–3961.
- 10 M. Fleischmann, P. J. Hendra and A. J. McQuillan, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1974, **26**, 163–166.
- 11 J.-H. Kim, J.-S. Kim, H. Choi, S.-M. Lee, B.-H. Jun, K.-N. Yu, E. Kuk, Y.-K. Kim, D. H. Jeong and M.-H. Cho, *Anal. Chem.*, 2006, **78**, 6967–6973.
- 12 C. L. Zavaleta, B. R. Smith, I. Walton, W. Doering, G. Davis, B. Shojaei, M. J. Natan and S. S. Gambhir, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, **106**, 13511–13516.
- 13 Y. Wang, B. Yan and L. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 1391–1428.
- 14 H. Kang, S. Jeong, Y. Koh, M. Geun Cha, J.-K. Yang, S. Kyeong, J. Kim, S.-Y. Kwak, H.-J. Chang, H. Lee, C. Jeong, J.-H. Kim, B.-H. Jun, Y.-K. Kim, D. Hong Jeong and Y.-S. Lee, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 10144.
- 15 D. Jimenez de Aberasturi, A. B. Serrano-Montes, J. Langer, M. Henriksen-Lacey, W. J. Parak and L. M. Liz-Marzán, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6779–6790.
- 16 S. Rodal-Cedeira, A. Vázquez-Arias, G. Bodelón, A. Skorikov, S. Núñez-Sánchez, A. Laporta, L. Polavarapu, S. Bals, L. M. Liz-Marzán, J. Pérez-Juste and I. Pastoriza-Santos, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 14655–14664.
- 17 Y. Cui, R. S. Hegde, I. Y. Phang, H. K. Lee and X. Y. Ling, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 282–288.
- 18 Y. Cui, I. Y. Phang, Y. H. Lee, M. R. Lee, Q. Zhang and X. Y. Ling, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 5363–5366.
- 19 T. Fukuoka, A. Yamaguchi, R. Hara, T. Matsumoto, Y. Utsumi and Y. Mori, 2015 International Conference on Electronics Packaging and iMAPS All Asia Conference (ICEP-IAAC), 2015 432–435.
- 20 K. J. Si, D. Sikdar, L. W. Yap, J. K. K. Foo, P. Z. Guo, Q. Q. Shi, M. Premaratne and W. L. Cheng, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2015, **3**, 1710–1717.
- 21 D. Li, L. Tang, J. Wang, X. Liu and Y. Ying, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2016, **4**, 1475–1480.
- 22 R. Li, Y. Zhang, J. Tan, J. Wan, J. Guo and C. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 9384–9394.
- 23 X. Liu, J. Wang, L. Tang, L. Xie and Y. Ying, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 5515–5523.
- 24 Y. Liu, Y. H. Lee, Q. Zhang, Y. Cui and X. Y. Ling, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 4312–4319.
- 25 L. Tian, K.-K. Liu, M. Fei, S. Tadepalli, S. Cao, J. A. Geldmeier, V. V. Tsukruk and S. Singamaneni, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 4031–4041.
- 26 Y. Liu, Y. H. Lee, M. R. Lee, Y. Yang and X. Y. Ling, *ACS Photonics*, 2017, **4**, 2529–2536.
- 27 C. L. Lay, C. S. L. Koh, J. Wang, Y. H. Lee, R. Jiang, Y. Yang, Z. Yang, I. Y. Phang and X. Y. Ling, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 575–581.
- 28 Y. Gu, C. He, Y. Zhang, L. Lin, B. D. Thackray and J. Ye, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 516.
- 29 Y. Zhou, G. Zhao, J. Bian, X. Tian, X. Cheng, H. Wang and H. Chen, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 28532–28538.
- 30 H. Cheng, Y. Lu, D. Zhu, L. Rosa, F. Han, M. Ma, W. Su, P. S. Francis and Y. Zheng, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 9471–9480.



- 31 S. Pekdemir, H. H. Ipekci, M. Serhatlioglu, C. Elbuken and M. S. Onses, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2020, **584**, 11–18.
- 32 M. Yamamoto, Y. Kashiwagi, T. Sakata, H. Mori and M. Nakamoto, *Chem. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 5391–5393.
- 33 J. Xie, J. Y. Lee and D. I. Wang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 2823–2830.
- 34 X. Meng, A. Baride and C. Jiang, *Langmuir*, 2016, **32**, 6674–6681.
- 35 M. S. Verma, P. Z. Chen, L. Jones and F. X. Gu, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 10660–10668.
- 36 P. Ndokoye, X. Li, Q. Zhao, T. Li, M. O. Tade and S. Liu, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2016, **462**, 341–350.
- 37 H. Chen, P. Kannan, L. Guo, H. Chen and D.-H. Kim, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 18271–18278.
- 38 C. Hrelescu, T. K. Sau, A. L. Rogach, F. Jäkel and J. Feldmann, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2009, **94**, 153113.
- 39 A. D. S. Indrasekara, S. Meyers, S. Shubeita, L. C. Feldman, T. Gustafsson and L. Fabris, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 8891–8899.
- 40 O. M. Bakr, B. H. Wunsch and F. Stellacci, *Chem. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 3297–3301.
- 41 X. Meng, J. Dyer, Y. Huo and C. Jiang, *Langmuir*, 2020, **36**, 3558–3564.
- 42 W. Xi and A. J. Haes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 4034–4042.
- 43 L. He, N.-J. Kim, H. Li, Z. Hu and M. Lin, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2008, **56**, 9843–9847.
- 44 I. Patel, W. Premasiri, D. Moir and L. Ziegler, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2008, **39**, 1660–1672.
- 45 A. F. Chrimes, K. Khoshmanesh, S.-Y. Tang, B. R. Wood, P. R. Stoddart, S. S. Collins, A. Mitchell and K. Kalantar-Zadeh, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **49**, 536–541.
- 46 H. Yilmaz, S. H. Bae, S. Cao, Z. Wang, B. Raman and S. Singamaneni, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 3897–3905.
- 47 A. Michota and J. Bukowska, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2003, **34**, 21–25.
- 48 P. Hildebrandt and M. Stockburger, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1984, **88**, 5935–5944.
- 49 L. Tian, S. Tadepalli, M. E. Farrell, K.-K. Liu, N. Gandra, P. M. Pellegrino and S. Singamaneni, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 5438–5446.
- 50 L. Polavarapu, A. L. Porta, S. M. Novikov, M. Coronado-Puchau and L. M. Liz-Marzán, *Small*, 2014, **10**, 3065–3071.

