

CrossMark
click for updatesCite this: *CrystEngComm*, 2016, 18, 3343Received 21st March 2016,
Accepted 19th April 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6ce00638h

www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

Halogen bonding of *N*-bromosuccinimide by grinding†

Juraj Mavračić, Dominik Cincić* and Branko Kaitner

Two halogen bonded cocrystals of *N*-bromosuccinimide and 4,4'-bipyridine, with stoichiometric ratios 1:1 and 2:1, have been synthesized and characterized. We present the first mechanochemical cocrystallization of *N*-bromosuccinimide.

Halogen bonds are non-covalent interactions that form between polarisable and electron-deficient halogen atoms (Br, I) and electron-rich Lewis bases (nucleophilic atoms such as O, N, S, *etc.*).¹ They have been utilised as alternatives to hydrogen bonds in crystal engineering of organic and metal-organic materials.² An overview of the currently available literature reveals that studies of halogen bonding have largely focused on cocrystals of perfluorohalocarbons as classic halogen bond donors.³ Numerous reports have been published over the past decade dealing with crystal engineering and synthesis of multicomponent materials containing iodo-substituted perfluorinated donors.⁴ Recently, Rissanen and Raatikainen have introduced a new family of halogen bond donors.⁵ They have demonstrated how *N*-halosuccinimides can be used for constructing halogen bonded molecular crystals. Also, in a recent study, Fourmigué and Espinosa described *N*-iodoimide derivatives as strong halogen bond donors.⁶ *N*-halosuccinimides are commercially available inexpensive compounds, commonly used as halogenation agents in organic synthesis.⁷ They possess an extremely polarised halogen atom but have not yet been fully recognized and studied as potential halogen bond donors.⁸ A cursory search of the Cambridge Structural Database^{8b} (CSD) based on the ability of *N*-halosuccinimides to act as a halogen bond donor has resulted in 13 hits; 10 of those have been derived from

N-iodosuccinimide (**nis**) and 3 from *N*-bromosuccinimide (**nbs**).

Herein we report a mechanochemical approach⁹ to the construction of halogen-bonded cocrystals of **nbs**. We have selected 4,4'-bipyridine (**bpy**) as a good counterpart to **nbs**, a molecule that is a commonly used ditopic hydrogen or halogen bond acceptor (Fig. 1). Compared to the traditional cocrystallization method, synthesis from a solution, mechanochemical synthesis has been proven superior and is preferable because it sidesteps finding an appropriate solvent or solvent mixture.^{10,11} In general it is much faster, therefore, unexpected products resulting from unwanted reactions such as oxidation, halogenation or solvolysis are avoided. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first mechanosynthesis of multicomponent halogen-bonded solids using **nbs** *via* neat grinding (NG) and liquid-assisted grinding (LAG).¹² However, numerous reports have been published dealing with mechanochemical approaches to halogenation using **nbs** and **nis** compounds.¹³

In order to explore the stoichiometric ratio of **nbs** and **bpy** as well as the reactivity in the solid state, we first attempted the mechanochemical synthesis of the cocrystals by NG and LAG of **nbs** with **bpy** in the stoichiometric ratios 1:1 and 2:1,




Fig. 1 Structures of reactants, *N*-bromosuccinimide (**nbs**) and 4,4'-bipyridine (**bpy**), and the cocrystal structures obtained as a result of the single crystal X-ray diffraction experiment.

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Horvatovac 102a, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia. E-mail: dominik@chem.pmf.hr; Fax: 00385 14606341; Tel: 00385 14606362

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details for solution-based synthesis, instrumental experimental details and PXRD, and DSC data. CCDC 1465378 and 1465379 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c6ce00638h

respectively. Milling was conducted in a Retsch MM200 mill using a stainless steel milling assembly (see the ESI†) under normal laboratory conditions (temperature *ca.* 25 °C, 40–50% RH). To observe the grinding experiments, as well as to facilitate the characterisation of the new cocrystals by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, mechanochemical experiments were accompanied by crystallization from the solution. All reactants and products have been characterised by means of powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). NG of **nbs** with **bpy** in a stoichiometric ratio of 1:1 for 15 min afforded a (**nbs**)(**bpy**) cocrystal, as proven by PXRD, but the NG experiment in a stoichiometric ratio of 2:1 for 15 min afforded a mixture of products, where the (**nbs**)(**bpy**) cocrystal was present as the major product and the (**nbs**)₂(**bpy**) cocrystal only in traces (see the ESI†). When the same NG experiment was carried out for 80 min, the reaction was still not quantitatively complete – this time the experiment resulted in a mixture with the (**nbs**)₂(**bpy**) cocrystal as the major product (see the ESI†). Considering that a liquid phase can significantly enhance the scope and rate of the mechanochemical experiment^{12,14} we turned to LAG. An addition of a small quantity of acetonitrile to the reaction mixtures,¹⁵ followed by grinding for 15 min, quantitatively provided both 1:1 and 2:1 cocrystals with PXRD patterns identical to those of the cocrystals prepared by the solution method (Fig. 2). In the solution experiments, **nbs** and **bpy** have been dissolved in hot acetonitrile. The solutions were left at room temperature, and the products, the 1:1 and 2:1 cocrystals, crystallized upon cooling. Single crystals of both cocrystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by concomitant crystallization from acetone. The measured PXRD patterns of (**nbs**)(**bpy**) and (**nbs**)₂(**bpy**) obtained by both methods, grinding and from solution, are in good agreement




Fig. 2 Comparison of reactants, mechanochemical products, crystallization products and calculated powder patterns.

with those calculated from the single crystal data (Fig. 2, see the ESI†). The (**bpy**)(**nbs**) and (**nbs**)₂(**bpy**) cocrystals crystallize in the monoclinic system with four formula units per unit cell. The molecular structures of the cocrystals with the atom numbering scheme are given in Fig. S1 (see the ESI†). In the crystal structure of (**bpy**)(**nbs**), each **nbs** molecule is associated with a **bpy** molecule *via* an N...Br halogen bond. The Br...N distance of 2.586(2) Å is 23.9% less than the sum of the van der Waals (VDW) radii of nitrogen and bromine (3.4 Å) and almost linear, with an N–Br...N angle of 171.20(6)°. Only one of the two nitrogen atoms in each **bpy** molecule is involved in bonding with an **nbs** molecule (Fig. 3). Furthermore, crystal structure determination of (**nbs**)₂(**bpy**) revealed two pairs of symmetry equivalent (CO)₂N–Br...N halogen bonds with contact distances of 2.358(3) and 2.374(2) Å, which are 30.6 and 30.2% less than the sum of the VDW radii, respectively. Both contacts are highly linear, with an N–Br...N angle of 176.5(1)° and 179.0(1)°. For both cocrystals, the N–Br bond in the **nbs** molecules is extended compared to that observed for the pure **nbs** crystal¹⁶ (0.03 Å for the 1:1 cocrystal; 0.07 Å and 0.08 Å for the 2:1 cocrystal). All these observations indicate the presence of a strong halogen bond with a partially covalent character and with charge transfer from the N–Br bond to the Br...N contact.¹⁷ Considering that an **nbs** molecule possesses carbonyl groups and is also a strong hydrogen bond acceptor, components in both cocrystals create a specific halogen and hydrogen bonding pattern. The crystal structure of (**nbs**)(**bpy**) can be described by the association of 1:1 halogen bonded complexes into a hydrogen bonded 3D network *via* the C–H...O hydrogen bonds between **nbs** and **bpy** (C9...O1 contact of 3.542(3) Å, C14...O2 contact of 3.342(3) Å and C11...O2 contact of 3.356(3) Å) and *via* the C–H...O hydrogen bonds between **nbs** molecules (C3...O1 contact of 3.412(3) Å and C2...O1 contact of 3.384(3) Å). A notable detail of the cocrystal structure is




Fig. 3 Halogen and hydrogen bonding of **nbs** molecule with neighbouring molecules in the crystal structure of (**nbs**)(**bpy**).



the fact that each **nbs** molecule is connected to seven neighbouring molecules (three **nbs** and four **bpy**) as a halogen bond donor, ditopic hydrogen bond donor and ditopic hydrogen bond acceptor (Fig. 3). As in the 1:1 cocrystal, the crystal structure of $(\text{nbs})_2(\text{bpy})$ can be described by the association of 2:1 halogen bonded complexes into a hydrogen bonded 3D network *via* the C–H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds. The crystal structure contains two symmetrically nonequivalent **nbs** and **bpy** molecules (Fig. 4). One **bpy** molecule is connected with four neighbouring **nbs** molecules *via* the described halogen bond and bifurcated C–H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds (C15 $\cdots$ O3 contact of 3.477(4) Å and C17 $\cdots$ O3 contact of 3.341(5) Å). The second **bpy** molecule is connected with six neighbouring **nbs** molecules *via* the described halogen bond, C–H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds (C9 $\cdots$ O4 contact of 3.515(4) Å), and *via* the bifurcated C–H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds (C10 $\cdots$ O2 contact of 3.403(4) Å and C12 $\cdots$ O2 contact of 3.434(4) Å). The overall structure results from the combination of such interactions and it is additionally stabilized by the C–H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds between **nbs** molecules (C3 $\cdots$ O4 contact of 3.406(5) Å). Furthermore, the described interactions in both cocrystals can be observed by close analysis of the two-dimensional fingerprint plot derived from the Hirshfeld surface¹⁸ of the




Fig. 4 Network of halogen and hydrogen bonded molecules in the crystal structure of $(\text{nbs})_2(\text{bpy})$.

cocrystal components (see the ESI†). Thermal analysis of the prepared cocrystals by DSC reveals that both compounds are of similar thermal stability. The DSC curves of both cocrystals have the same profile and show one weak endothermic change peak and one strong exothermic change peak. They occur for $(\text{nbs})(\text{bpy})$ at 116 °C (3.5 kJ mol^{−1}) and 131 °C (58.4 kJ mol^{−1}), and for $(\text{nbs})_2(\text{bpy})$ at 103 °C (3.7 kJ mol^{−1}) and 136 °C (114.6 kJ mol^{−1}) (see the ESI†). For both cocrystals, the exothermic change peak corresponds to the cocrystal decomposition and is at a lower temperature than that of the pure **nbs** reactant (208 °C, 42.9 kJ mol^{−1}).

Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated how **nbs**, a commercially available compound commonly used as a brominating agent in organic synthesis, can be used in cocrystal synthesis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of mechanosynthesis of multicomponent halogen-bonded solids using **nbs** as a halogen bond donor. The mechanochemical approach exhibits notable advantages over conventional solution-based synthesis of such compounds, not only through reducing the time of preparation and isolation, but also by evading the formation of potentially unwanted halogenation products. Crystal structure analysis of $(\text{nbs})(\text{bpy})$ and $(\text{nbs})_2(\text{bpy})$ demonstrated that the presence of a polarised halogen atom and two carbonyl groups on the **nbs** molecule leads to a specific halogen and hydrogen bonding pattern in their crystal structure. The high stability of both materials, as a result of halogen and hydrogen bonding patterns, was proven by a relatively high decomposition temperature. Also, crystal structure analysis revealed indications of a very strong halogen bond with a partially covalent character and with charge transfer from the N–Br bond to the Br $\cdots$ N contact. We believe that the described results will have significant impact on the design and synthesis of future halogen-bonded materials containing *N*-haloimides as well as on halogen bond-driven crystal engineering in general.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Croatia under the project 119-1193079-3069 and by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project IP-2014-09-7367. We are grateful to Prof. Vladimir Stilinović and Vinko Nemec for productive discussions and helpful suggestions.

Notes and references

- (a) A. Priimagi, G. Cavallo, P. Metrangolo and G. Resnati, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2686; (b) G. Cavallo, P. Metrangolo, R. Milani, T. Pilati, A. Priimagi, G. Resnati and G. Terraneo, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 2478.
- (a) P. Sgarbossa, R. Bertani, V. Di Noto, M. Piga, G. A. Giffin, G. Terraneo, T. Pilati, P. Metrangolo and G. Resnati, *Cryst.*



- Growth Des.*, 2012, 12, 297; (b) M. T. Johnson, Z. Džolić, M. Cetina, O. F. Wendt, L. Öhrström and K. Rissanen, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2012, 12, 362; (c) C. Merckens, F. Pan and U. Englert, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, 15, 8153; (d) D. Cinčić, T. Friščić and W. Jones, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, 20, 6623; (e) D. Cinčić, T. Friščić and W. Jones, *New J. Chem.*, 2008, 32, 1776; (f) O. S. Bushuyev, D. Tan, C. J. Barrett and T. Friščić, *CrystEngComm*, 2015, 17, 73; (g) D. Cinčić and T. Friščić, *CrystEngComm*, 2015, 17, 7870.
- 3 (a) R. W. Troff, T. Mäkelä, F. Topić, A. Valkonen, K. Raatikainen and K. Rissanen, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 1617; (b) M. Fourmigué, *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.*, 2009, 13, 36; (c) C. B. Aakeröy, T. K. Wijethunga, M. A. Haj, J. Desper and C. Moore, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, 16, 7218; (d) D. Cinčić, T. Friščić and W. Jones, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, 13, 3224.
 - 4 (a) T. Shirman, D. Freeman, Y. D. Posner, I. Feldman, A. Facchetti and M. E. van der Boom, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130, 8162; (b) D. W. Bruce, P. Metrangolo, F. Meyer, T. Pilati, C. Präsang, G. Resnati, G. Terraneo, S. G. Wainwright and A. C. Whitwood, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2010, 16, 9511; (c) D. Cinčić, T. Friščić and W. Jones, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2008, 14, 747; (d) D. Cinčić, T. Friščić and W. Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130, 7542.
 - 5 (a) K. Raatikainen and K. Rissanen, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, 13, 6972; (b) K. Raatikainen and K. Rissanen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, 3, 1235.
 - 6 O. Makhotkina, J. Lieffrig, O. Jeannin, M. Fourmigué, E. Aubert and E. Espinosa, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2015, 15, 3464.
 - 7 (a) H. J. Dauben Jr. and L. L. McCoy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1959, 81, 4863; (b) C. Djerassi, *Chem. Rev.*, 1948, 43, 271.
 - 8 (a) E. H. Crowston, A. M. Lobo, S. Prabhakar, H. S. Rzepa and D. J. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1984, 276; (b) F. H. Allen, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.*, 2002, 58, 380.
 - 9 (a) S. L. James, C. J. Adams, C. Bolm, D. Braga, P. Collier, T. Friščić, F. Grepioni, K. D. M. Harris, G. Hyett, W. Jones, A. Krebs, J. Mack, L. Maini, A. G. Orpen, I. P. Parkin, W. C. Shearouse, J. W. Steed and D. C. Waddell, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, 41, 413; (b) T. Friščić, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, 41, 3493.
 - 10 T. Friščić and W. Jones, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2009, 9, 1621.
 - 11 (a) D. Braga, L. Maini and F. Grepioni, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 7638; (b) D.-K. Bučar, S. Filip, M. Arhangelskis, G. O. Lloyd and W. Jones, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, 15, 6289; (c) V. Nemec, N. Škvorc and D. Cinčić, *CrystEngComm*, 2015, 17, 6274.
 - 12 (a) T. Friščić, A. V. Trask, W. Jones and W. D. S. Motherwell, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, 45, 7546; (b) D. Braga and F. Grepioni, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, 43, 4002; (c) V. Štrukil, L. Fábrián, D. G. Reid, M. J. Duer, G. J. Jackson, M. Eckert-Maksić and T. Friščić, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 9191.
 - 13 (a) J. A. R. P. Sarma and A. Nagaraju, *Perkin 1 (2000)*, 2000, 2, 1113; (b) X.-L. Wu, J.-J. Xia and G.-W. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2008, 6, 548; (c) G.-W. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 7668; (d) I. Pravst, M. Zupan and S. Stavber, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, 64, 5191.
 - 14 (a) D. Cinčić, I. Brekalo and B. Kaitner, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 11683; (b) D. Cinčić, M. Juribašić, D. Babić, K. Molčanov, P. Šket, J. Plavec and M. Čurić, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 11543.
 - 15 The amount of liquid was 40 μL per 200 mg of reactants, corresponding to a liquid-to-solid ratio of $\eta = 0.2 \mu\text{L mg}^{-1}$, see: T. Friščić, S. L. Childs, S. A. A. Rizvi and W. Jones, *CrystEngComm*, 2009, 11, 418.
 - 16 O. Jabay, H. Pritzkow and J. Jander, *Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem.*, 1977, 32, 1416.
 - 17 C. Wang, D. Danovich, Y. Mo and S. Shaik, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2014, 10, 3726.
 - 18 J. J. McKinnon, M. A. Spackman and A. S. Mitchell, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.*, 2004, 60, 627.

