

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, 15, 17720

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 28th June 2024
Accepted 9th October 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc04288c

rsc.li/chemical-science

Porous liquids: an integrated platform for gas storage and catalysis

Errui Li,^a Kevin M. Siniard,^a Zhenzhen Yang^{*b} and Sheng Dai^{id}^{*a}

Porous liquids (PLs) represent a new frontier in materials design, combining the unique features of fluidity in liquids and permanent porosity in solids. By engineering well-defined pores into liquids via designed structure modification techniques, the greatly improved free volume significantly enhances the gas transport and storage capability of PL sorbents. Triggered by the promising applications of PLs in gas separation, PLs are further explored in catalysis particularly to integrate the gas storage and catalytic transformation procedure. This emerging field has demonstrated promising progress to advance catalytic procedures using PLs as catalysts, with performance surpassing that of the pure liquid and porous host counterparts. In this perspective article, the recent discoveries and progress in the field of integrated gas storage and catalysis by leveraging the PL platforms will be summarized, particularly compared with the traditional homogeneous or heterogeneous catalytic procedures. The unique features of PLs endow them with combined merits from liquid and solid catalysts and beyond which will be illustrated first. This will be followed by the unique techniques being utilized to probe the porosity and active sites in PLs and the structural evolution during the catalytic procedures. The catalytic application of PLs will be divided by the reaction categories, including CO₂-involving transformation, O₂-involving reaction, H₂S conversion, hydrogenation reaction, and non-gas involving cascade reactions. In each reaction type, the synthesis approaches and structure engineering techniques of PLs, structure characterization, catalytic performance evaluation, and reaction mechanism exploration will be discussed, highlighting the structure–performance relationship and the advancement benefiting from the unique features of PLs.

1. Introduction

Porous liquids (PLs) represent a promising category of systems capable of combining the merits of flowing liquids and porous solids.^{1–8} In PLs, well-defined pores ranging from micro- to macro-scales are engineered into liquids to afford greatly improved free volumes within the dense liquid phase and tunable physicochemical properties. Diverse synthesis approaches have been developed to produce diverse PL categories, which have been obtained by liquifying molecules with stiff interior voids (type I PL),^{9,10} dissolving rigid porous hosts in flowing liquids (type II PL),^{11,12} dispersing porous frameworks in high steric hindrance solvents (type III PL),¹³ and employing neat meltable extended frameworks (type IV PL),¹⁴ respectively. Porous hosts with rigid scaffolds, such as metal–organic frameworks (MOFs),^{15,16} covalent organic frameworks (COFs),¹⁷ zeolites,^{18,19} hollow silica spheres,^{20–22} silicalite,^{23,24} hollow carbon spheres,^{10,25} porous cages,^{9,11,26–28} and macromolecules,²⁹ could be liquified by surface modification, physical dispersion,

or surface deposition. Accordingly, the liquid counterparts could adopt ionic liquids (ILs),^{18,30–32} bulky organic solvents,^{11,33–35} water,^{23,36} oil,^{37,38} and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS).³⁹ To provide high-quality PLs, the scaffolds of the porous host should be rigid, and exhibit no collapse, no degradation, and no phase segregation after integrating with the liquid component. The liquid phase should possess low viscosity, good stability, and capability to stabilize the involved porous host solute, nanoparticles, or clusters.

The unique features and large structure/property tunability of PLs render them promising applications in gas separation and storage. The benefits are twofold: (1) the cavities in PLs provide more free volume for gas storage than the dense liquid phase; and (2) the chemical properties and textural structure of the porous host, liquid counterpart, and solid–liquid interphase can be tuned to facilitate the sorption of targeted gas molecules via a molecular sieving effect or thermodynamic differentiation. For example, the gas uptake selectivity of PLs can be improved by tuning the cavity size of the porous host and creating a gating effect, facilitating the separation of gas molecules with similar sizes, such as N₂, CO₂, CH₄, and Xe, and leading to highly selective gas sorption.^{34,40} The application of PLs has been further extended to separate small organic molecules and solvents. For example, the enantio-resolution of racemic

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Institute for Advanced Materials and Manufacturing, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

^bChemical Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA. E-mail: yangz3@ornl.gov; dais@ornl.gov

nucleosides⁴¹ and separation of isomeric alcohols and chlorofluorocarbons⁹ have been achieved by type I cyclodextrin- and coordination cage-derived PLs, respectively. The large free volume in PLs could also significantly enhance membrane-based gas separation performance, which exhibited much higher gas solubility and gas transport efficiency than the dense liquid phase.²⁰

Triggered by the promising performance of PLs in gas separation and storage, the application of PLs in catalysis—particularly to integrate the gas storage and catalytic transformation procedure—has been further explored. Structure engineering over flowing liquids, the porous host, and the solid-liquid interphase has been leveraged to advance the catalytic procedures. Progress has been made by using PLs to overcome the poor gas solubility in pure liquids, the mass transfer limitation in gas-solid phase reaction, and the difficulty of integrating multiple active sites possessing different or even incompatible properties in a single phase. The designed PL engineering approach can afford efficient catalytic systems combining the merits of homogeneous and heterogeneous pathways with improved catalytic efficiency and long-term durability.

In this perspective article, the recent progress in the discovery and development of PLs for catalysis applications will be summarized and compared with traditional catalytic systems. The unique features of PLs will be introduced first, particularly the efforts that cannot be achieved by using traditional liquid or solid catalyst-promoted pathways, which make PLs a new frontier in catalysis. Next, advanced characterization techniques deployed to accommodate the PL features will be demonstrated, providing insights into the chemical/textural structure evolution and interactions on the surface/interface, as well as exploring the reaction pathways. Third, the catalytic applications of PLs will be introduced and divided by the reaction categories, which include CO₂-involving transformations, O₂-involving reactions, H₂S conversion, hydrogenation reactions, and non-gas involving cascade reactions (Fig. 1). In these reaction procedures, the design of PLs, synthesis approaches, structure engineering techniques, detailed characterization, catalysis performance evaluation, and reaction mechanism exploration will be discussed, highlighting the structure-performance relationship and the

advancements benefiting from the unique features of PLs. Finally, the existing challenges and upcoming opportunities in this emerging and promising research area will be addressed. The insights provided herein could generate opportunities for the discovery and development of high-performance catalytic systems to combine the merits of homogeneous and heterogeneous approaches. This fundamental understanding will provide guidance on how to leverage the unique features of PLs to solve the existing challenges in current catalytic procedures and open up new avenues in this integrated field of gas storage and catalysis.

2. Unique features of PLs to integrate gas storage and catalysis

Although the exploration of PLs in catalysis fields is still in its infancy, their unique features and attractive performance surpassing the single liquid or solid components have been demonstrated in diverse catalytic procedures. Compared with the porous host integrating the active sites in the solid state, upon PL formation *via* liquification, the catalytic procedure will be transformed from heterogeneous to homogeneous, leading to enhanced mass transfer and reaction kinetics. In addition, the properties of the liquid phase, such as ILs, organic solvents, and H₂O could be further harnessed to tune the reactivity and selectivity. The potential advantages and unique opportunities provided by PL engineering to enhance the catalytic performance include the following aspects (Fig. 2):

(1) Tuning the chemical structures of the flowing liquids to stabilize the PL phase especially to enhance gas diffusion (Fig. 2a). The transport of substrates/products in the reaction media plays a critical role in accelerating the reaction kinetics. Compared with the dense liquid phase, by engineering permanent porosity/cavities within the PL media, the free volume will be greatly improved, and correspondingly the diffusion rate, particularly for gas molecules, will be accelerated, leading to improved reaction efficiency. The improved diffusion rate of gas molecules in type I PLs composed of an IL fluid phase and hollow silica sphere host has been demonstrated in membrane-based CO₂/N₂ separation.⁴²

(2) Enhancing the gas solubility in PLs and stabilizing the catalytic sites by harnessing chemical/textural structure




Fig. 1 Chronology of the development of PLs as a platform to integrate gas storage and catalysis application.






Fig. 2 Potential advantages and unique opportunities provided by PL engineering to enhance the catalytic performance. (a) Accelerating the reaction kinetics by providing relatively large free volume. (b) Improving the gas concentration around the catalytic active sites and tuning reaction selectivity via fine-tuning over the porosity of the porous host. (c) Transforming a heterogeneous catalytic system into a homogeneous one and creating an overlayer on top of the active metal sites to improve their stability. (d) Providing the opportunity to include active sites with antagonistic properties in one system to facilitate cascade reactions.

engineering over the porous hosts (Fig. 2b). For gas-involving reaction procedures, *i.e.*, CO₂ conversion, hydrogenation reactions (H₂), and oxidative reaction processes (O₂), the solubility of the gas components in the reaction media will directly affect catalytic efficiency, particularly the concentration of the gas components in the local environment of the active sites.

The construction of PLs provides an alternative solution to enrich the gas substrates around the conversion centres, which in turn could enhance the gas-involving catalytic procedure. In addition, the gating effect of the porous host by porosity size or surface property engineering could be leveraged to sieve the location of different gas molecules and allow selective gas transformation. For example, a porous host with controllable porosity may achieve selective acetylene semihydrogenation within the pores in the presence of a large amount of ethene,⁴³ with the prerequisite of precise catalytic site design.⁴⁴ The versatile structural engineering of PLs provides the opportunity to achieve enhanced selectivity control in liquid-phase reaction procedures, which are currently mainly feasible in gas-phase reactions, including the semihydrogenation of acetylene to provide high-purity ethene resources.

(3) Leveraging the interface of the porous host/flowing liquid to anchor and stabilize active metal sites *via* bottom-up or *in situ* deposition (Fig. 2c). Besides activity and selectivity control, the long-term durability of the catalysts is one of the issues frequently encountered in diverse catalytic procedures. For homogeneous catalysts, the deactivation can be caused by the catalyst separation procedure *via* a solvent washing or high temperature-involving distillation process to remove the substrates/products. For heterogeneous catalysts, sintering or coking-induced catalytic performance decay is widely observed in supported noble or transition metal-promoted gas-phase reactions particularly at high temperatures under a reducing or oxidative atmosphere.⁴⁵ The emergence of PLs provides the opportunity to transform supported catalysts into homogeneous systems. By engineering active metal nanoparticles (NPs) or single atoms (SAs) on the surface or within the porous channels of the host, the integrated porous host components can be liquified *via* PL construction. The liquid can assist in the dispersion and stabilization of the active metal sites. Beyond

this, the liquid phase can act as a protective overlayer and enhance the sintering resistance of the metal sites, *via* a behaviour similar to strong metal-support interaction (SMSI) in heterogeneous catalyst design.^{46,47} The extra benefits will provide the possibility to tune the electron density on the metal sites *via* the metal-liquid phase interaction, leading to altered adsorption strength of the substrate, intermediate, and product as well as whole reaction selectivity variation.

(4) Involving multiple active sites within the liquid and solid compositions in PLs separately towards cascade reaction procedures (Fig. 2d). As PLs have the capability to integrate two separated components in the same medium, this may provide the possibility to include catalytic sites possessing antagonistic properties in the same reaction mixture, *i.e.*, acidic and basic sites. This combination is rarely reported in traditional homogeneous reaction media, as rapid neutralization will take place, leading to complete deactivation. Anchoring acidic and basic sites in the same catalyst can be achieved in heterogeneous catalyst design *via* protection/deprotection steps, and the density of the active sites is generally low. PLs provide a platform to include high density active sites with antagonistic nature in the same media, for example, by confining the acid sites within the porous host channels and excluding the base sites in the liquid phase. This efficient integration will allow PLs to catalyse cascade reactions particularly those requiring catalysts with diverse properties in each step.

3. Techniques for characterizing the structures of PLs and probing the catalytic procedures

The unique features of PLs with combined solid and liquid features make it challenging to characterize the chemical/textural structures, monitor the structural evolution during the catalysis procedure, and probe the reaction pathways, which requires unique characterization techniques, particularly *operando* spectroscopy, and X-ray- and neutron-based scattering techniques, assisted by computational simulation.



One of the attractive features of PLs is the incorporation of pores in the dense liquid phase. While porosity analysis of porous solid materials can be provided and calculated by gas uptake isotherms being collected at low temperatures (*e.g.*, N₂ isotherms at 77 K and CO₂ isotherms at 195 K), this approach cannot be used for PLs. Particularly at low temperatures, the liquid phase of PLs will freeze, preventing gas molecules from penetrating the cavities and providing porosity information. Instead, characterization techniques capable of providing porosity information *via* evaluation under ambient conditions are preferred. Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (PALS) could be deployed to provide information on the inherent cavities, particularly *via* the comparison with the pure liquid phase. PALS is a non-destructive, *in situ* pore/void characterization technique used to probe the microstructure of materials by measuring the lifetime of a positron, which can be correlated to the average size and concentration of the void space in materials determined by the relationship of the positron lifetime and vacancies, voids and defects in the material (Fig. 3a).^{10,52–54}

Although gas uptake at ultra-low temperatures cannot be deployed for porosity structure analysis of PLs, the gas uptake evaluation under ambient conditions can provide information on the capacity and selectivity of the targeted gas sorption performance by PLs. In addition, the pressure-swing and

temperature-swing gas uptake isotherms can be utilized to probe the retention of the free volume in PLs by comparison with the porous host and the liquid phase. Further, the interaction strength between gas molecules and the PL phase can be predicted *via* thermodynamic calculations. For example, the gas uptake evaluation using CO₂,^{18,34,55,56} CH₄,^{37,57} O₂,²³ N₂ (*ref.* 20) *etc.* as the probe molecules could demonstrate the benefits of PLs in gas storage in terms of capacity and selectivity, providing guidance on the structure–performance relationship in gas-involving reactions.

¹²⁹Xe *operando* nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy is another technique capable of providing information on the relative free volume of PLs. Xe nuclei are highly sensitive to the local chemical environment. Compared with the Xe in the dense liquid phase, upon PL formation and the introduction of extra cavities, the Xe species are transformed from a confined (in pure liquid) state to a more free environment (in PL), leading to chemical shift variations. The chemical shift difference can be utilized as an indicator of free volume in PLs (Fig. 3b).⁴⁰

For PLs containing porous hosts with crystalline and ordered structures, X-ray scattering techniques, including small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) (Fig. 3c),⁴⁸ wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) (Fig. 3d),⁴⁹ and pair distribution function (PDF) (Fig. 3e)⁵⁰ techniques can be deployed to provide structural information about the porous host and liquid canopy in PLs,




Fig. 3 (a) Positron annihilation lifetime of PEGS, PILs-PEGs and HCS-liquid collected at 298 K. Reproduced with permission.¹⁰ Copyright 2017, Wiley-VCH. (b) ¹²⁹Xe NMR spectra for Xe gas in CC3³:13³-R and CC15-R PLs compared to those in neat hexachloropropene. Reproduced with permission.⁴⁰ Copyright 2020, Wiley-VCH. (c) SAXS scattering patterns of PS-OS@SiNR liquid samples prepared from SiNRs with aspect ratios. Reproduced with permission.⁴⁸ Copyright 2019, The Royal Society of Chemistry. (d) WAXS scattering patterns of the linear-chained ionic liquids. Reproduced with permission.⁴⁹ Copyright 2023, The Royal Society of Chemistry. (e) Neutron pair distribution function (NPDF) of deuterated sample NIL *n*-4 at 300 K. Reproduced with permission.⁵⁰ Copyright 2016, American Chemical Society. (f) SANS profiles of dry MOF-919 and MOF-919 in the contrast matching point of a 50% D₂O and 50% H₂O mixture (wet). Reproduced with permission.⁵¹ Copyright 2023, The Authors, published by Springer Nature.

focusing on the short-, intermediate-, and long-range order associated with adjacency correlations between neighbouring atoms, charge alternation and polar/non-polar domains. Correspondingly, neutron-based techniques⁵⁸ such as small angle neutron scattering (SANS) (Fig. 3f)⁵¹ and neutron-PDF are highly sensitive to light elements, which is particularly helpful for PLs composed of organic porous hosts such as organic cages, COFs, and carbon spheres. Besides the *ex situ* analysis, the scattering curves collected *in situ* as a function of pressure and temperature under specific gas atmospheres, such as CO₂, N₂, CO and CH₄, can provide information on the structural evolution of PLs upon exposure to the gas molecules. Neutron spin echo (NSE)⁵⁹ could be used to examine the dynamics of the liquid matrix at the interface, measuring slow dynamical processes such as molecular motion on the nanoscale, and is particularly suited to measure the motion of the liquid as a function of gas uptake and liquid composition.

Furthermore, *operando* characterization techniques, such as *in situ* Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) under temperature and pressure-swing procedures in the presence of a determined gas atmosphere,^{60,61} *operando* NMR particularly by deploying isotope-labeled PLs, the dosing gas molecules, other reaction substrates,⁶² and *in situ* X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)^{63–65} under a determined gaseous atmosphere are powerful tools to study the active sites, reactive intermediates, reaction pathways and reaction kinetics.

Notably, theoretical simulations^{34,56,57,66} could provide information on the assembly of liquid and solid counterparts, functionality interaction at the solid–liquid interface, guest molecule interaction, reaction pathway prediction, and thermodynamic/kinetic simulation. Together with the experimental characterization, computational chemistry can be leveraged to understand the structure-catalytic performance relationship, particularly in comparison with the pure solid or liquid counterpart.

4. Applications of PLs in catalysis

The combination of permanent porosity, multiple active sites, and liquification of the scaffolds makes PLs unique systems in catalysis applications. In addition, the large tuning space in both the porous host and the liquid component provides diverse opportunities to improve the catalytic performance of PLs in certain reaction procedures. On the premise that porosity ensures good mass transfer, the retentive liquid fluidity of PLs improves the contact between precursors and active sites, even transforming heterogeneous reactions into homogeneous procedures. These unique properties drive researchers to explore the capability of PLs in the field of catalysis and to extend the merits of PLs in gas storage to gas molecule-involving transformations.

The intrinsic pores of PLs could serve as soft cylinders well suited for gas storage, and subsequent chemical conversion catalysed by multiple active sites on the host leads to the production of value-added chemicals. PLs being synthesized *via* facile physical mixing of porous materials with ILs, surface ionization, surface rigidity tuning, surface deposition, *etc.* have

been deployed to improve gas capture and storage and facilitate mass transfer during the catalytic procedure. These synthesis methodologies can not only ensure good solubility of porous materials in the liquid phase but also fully expose the catalytic sites (Table 1).

4.1 PL-promoted CO₂ conversion

PLs with engineered structures have the potential to act as soft cylinders, that is, they can store gas in a frozen state (at low temperature) and release gas in the liquid state (at temperatures higher than the melting point). As a proof of concept, a MOF-derived PL (denoted as Im-UiO-PL) has been designed and synthesized *via* a surface ionization method for this purpose with the cycloaddition reaction of CO₂ with epoxides as a probe reaction.⁶⁷ A cationic MOF (Deim-UiO-66) was constructed by introducing imidazolium cations with long alkyl chains coupled with Cl[−] anions into the ligands of the MOF architecture. Then the anionic poly(ethylene glycol)-tailed sulfonate (PEGS) canopy was modified on the MOF surface through ion exchange with Cl[−] to liquify the cationic MOF porous host (Fig. 4a). The uniform distribution of the MOF core in the liquid phase was confirmed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) of Zr element (on the MOF host) and S element (on the PEGS anion). Through density functional theory (DFT) calculations, it was determined that the size of PEGS is large enough to prevent its penetration through the cavities of Deim-UiO-66 possessing a surface area of 103 m² g^{−1} and pore size of 8 and 11 Å being calculated using the Horvath–Kawazoe method. Gravimetric gas solubility measurements demonstrated that the obtained Im-UiO-PL showed excellent adsorption capability for CO₂ (5.93 mmol g^{−1} at 9 bar), which was approximately 14 times larger than that of pure PEGS (0.43 mmol g^{−1}) (Fig. 4b). The enhanced CO₂ adsorption capacity confirms the presence of permanent porosity in this MOF-based PL. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analysis revealed the melting temperature of 28 °C and crystallization temperature of −6 °C for Im-UiO-PL. The phase changing behaviour was then leveraged to make the Im-UiO-PL a soft cylinder for CO₂ storage and conversion. The CO₂ sorption procedure was conducted under 20 bar CO₂ pressure and then slowly released back to atmospheric pressure after saturation. Then epichlorohydrin (substrate) and tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBAB, catalyst) were added, and the mixture was heated to 120 °C to allow the cycloaddition reaction between epoxide and CO₂ released from the Im-UiO-PL. During the reaction procedure, the stored CO₂ in the PL was slowly released and efficiently utilized to synthesize cyclic carbonate without introducing an extra CO₂ source (Fig. 4c). It turned out that in an identical gas storage and conversion procedure, 79.6% yield of chloropropene carbonate was achieved by the Im-UiO-PL sorbent, which was much higher than that achieved by PEGS (liquid phase, 20.9%), Deim-UiO-66 (porous host, 19.7%), and their physical mixture (25.9%). The enhanced catalytic performance in PL was provided by the inherent porosity and fluidity of the system ensuring high CO₂ storage capacity and effective mass and heat transfer.



Table 1 Summary of the PL systems capable of integrating gas storage and catalytic transformation

PLs	Type	Synthesis approach	Porous hosts	Liquid phase	Reaction process	Ref.
Im-Uio-PL PIL-CF ₃ -Py-RhMOP, PIL-diz-RhMOP	Type I	Surface ionization	MOF	—		67
	Type II	Surface rigidity modification	MOP	IL		68
PoLi	Type III	Physical mixing	MOF	IL		69
Im-PL-Cage	Type I	In situ coordination	MOC	—		63
MCM22-Ag in [M2070H]Br	Type III	Surface deposition	Zeolite	IL		70
Cds/NH2-Uio-66 PL	Type III	Surface modification	MOF	IL		71
PoLi	Type I	Surface modification	Hollow silica	—		72
TMH/BDM/PMD-Uio-PL	Type I	Surface modification	MOF	—		73
Silicalite-1 aqueous	Type III	Physical mixing	Silicalite	Water		24
Pt@HS-SiO2 PL	Type I	Surface modification	Hollow silica	—		74
Pd NPs@COC/g-C3N4	Type III	Solubilization	COC	Water		75
NHC-Pd@SiO2 PL	Type I	Surface modification	Hollow silica	—		76
MCM-22-PL	Type III	Physical mixing	Zeolite	IL		61
HPMo@ZIF-8-PIL	Type III	Physical mixing	MOF	IL		77
Uio-66-T3PILs	Type III	Physical mixing	MOF	IL		78
Uio-66	Type III	Physical mixing	MOF	IL		79






Fig. 4 (a) The synthesis approach of Im-UiO-PL via surface modification and ionic exchange. (b) The CO₂ uptake isotherms of PEGS and Im-UiO-PL at 298 K with CO₂ pressure up to 9 bar. (c) Cycloaddition reaction of epichlorohydrin with CO₂ stored in Im-UiO-PL and the separated liquid or solid counterparts in the presence of TBAB. (a–c) Reproduced with permission.⁶⁷ Copyright 2021, Wiley-VCH.

By integrating the catalytic sites within the porous host or liquid counterpart of PL, CO₂ conversion can be performed without adding extra catalysts. A structure engineering approach was developed to convert PL composed of rhodium(II)-based metal-organic polyhedra (RhMOP) and imidazolium IL (IL-Br) from type III to type II (Fig. 5a).⁶⁸ By introducing additional coordinating reagent, 1-dodecylimidazole (diz) or 4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine (CF₃-Py), to RhMOP, the surface rigidity of the porous host was improved, transforming insoluble RhMOP into a surface modified MOP that can be dissolved in the size-excluded IL-Br. The type III nature of the initial PL composed of RhMOP and IL-Br was confirmed by dynamic light scattering (DLS) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), demonstrating the presence of MOP particles around 15–30 nm in size. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations indicated that the bulky IL-Br cannot enter and block the inner cavity of

RhMOP, and the interaction energy between IL-Br and RhMOP was weak ($\Delta E = 68.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). Comparatively, after grafting N-donor molecules (diz and CF₃-Py) onto the open metal sites of RhMOP (Fig. 5a), the as-obtained MOPs became soluble in the bulky IL-Br phase, leading to the formation of type II PLs. MD simulation revealed the increased interaction energy between IL-Br and the CF₃-Py-RhMOP ($\Delta E = 113.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$), which was further illustrated by the chemical shift of the characteristic peaks of IL-Br and CF₃-Py-RhMOP in NMR spectra.

The CO₂ uptake evaluation revealed that a higher CO₂ sorption capacity was achieved by the type II PL, PIL-diz- (0.133 mmol g⁻¹) and PIL-CF₃-Py-RhMOP (0.121 mmol g⁻¹), and not by the type III PIL-RhMOP (0.088 mmol g⁻¹) and neat IL-Br (0.068 mmol g⁻¹) at 273 K and CO₂ pressure of 1 bar (Fig. 5b). The cycloaddition reaction of CO₂ with epichlorohydrin was performed to demonstrate the benefits of using PLs in




Fig. 5 (a) The strategy to transform a type II PL (PIL-RhMOP) into a type III PL via surface rigidity modification by introducing an N-donor (PIL-CF₃-Py-RhMOP and PIL-diz-RhMOP). (b) The CO₂ uptake isotherms (at 273 K) and (c) catalytic performance of IL-Br, PIL-RhMOP, PIL-CF₃-Py-RhMOP, and PIL-diz-RhMOP in the cycloaddition reaction of CO₂ with epichlorohydrin (75 °C, CO₂ pressure 15 bar, 6 h). (d) The proposed reaction mechanism catalysed by PIL-diz-RhMOP. (a–d) Reproduced with permission.⁶⁸ Copyright 2023, Wiley-VCH.

gas-liquid phase reactions. As the IL-Br can catalyse cyclic carbonate formation, no additional catalysts were required. At 75 °C and CO₂ pressure of 15 bar within 6 h, the catalytic activity was decreased in the order of IL-Br < PIL-RhMOP < PIL-CF₃-Py-RhMOP < PIL-diz-RhMOP, which was the same trend as the changes in CO₂ uptake capacity (Fig. 5c), emphasizing the critical role of high free volume in promoting the CO₂ transformation.

As shown in the plausible catalytic mechanism (Fig. 5d), the imidazolium cations can activate the epoxide *via* hydrogen-bond formation, and the Br-anions promote the ring opening *via* nucleophilic attack. In PLs, the Rh-Rh unit in the coordination cage can further activate the epoxide substrate and the additional cavities endow the systems with improved CO₂ solubility. In addition, the post-grafted N-donor molecules contain additional sites (imidazole group) to stabilize the intermediates, further promoting catalytic performance.

The type III PL composed of a MOF and IL with halide anions formed by physical mixing also exhibited catalytic activity and selectivity improvement for the cycloaddition reaction of CO₂ with styrene oxide.⁶⁹ By combining alkyl-phosphonium ILs with ZIF-8, different PLs were constructed, and the existence of porosity in PLs was demonstrated by the increased CO₂ adsorption capacity compared with that of the pure IL. Under identical reaction conditions, PLs exhibited higher catalytic activity and selectivity towards cyclic carbonate production against pure ILs. Dispersing MOFs in ILs synergistically increased gas solubility and improved mass transport efficiency to facilitate CO₂ transformation.

Compared with type II and III PLs, type I PLs have no boundary between the solid host and the external liquid phase, leading to the formation of a single fluid phase. An *in situ*

coordination approach was developed to afford a type I PL from a PEG-imidazolium chain functional benzene dicarboxylic acid linker, zinc salts, and sulfonycalix[4]arene (Im-PL-Cage). The as-formed rigid metal-organic cage (MOC) architecture afforded permanent porosity in the PL system (Fig. 6a).⁶³ The successful construction of the as-designed structure was confirmed by spectroscopy- and X-ray-based techniques. For example, both Im-PL-Cage and the Zn-cage being obtained in the solid state (without the long PEG chain on the carboxylic acid linker) exhibited the presence of imidazolium N⁺ species (by XPS) and Zn²⁺ sites (by Synchrotron-based X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS)). MD simulations revealed the existence of 4–6 Å pores in Im-PL-Cage, which can be easily penetrated by CO₂ and effectively block bulky PEG-imidazolium chains. PALS analysis over Im-PL-Cage derived a void diameter of around 9.34 Å. Benefiting from the high free volume in Im-PL-Cage, enhanced CO₂ uptake capacity of 1.78 mmol g^{−1} at 298 K and a CO₂ pressure of 10 bar were achieved (Fig. 6b), compared with the pure liquid PEG-Im-H₂BDC component (0.31 mmol g^{−1}). The formylation reaction of amine in the presence of hydrosilane was selected as a probe reaction using the stored CO₂ as a gas resource. The Im-PL-Cage and other control samples were saturated with CO₂ first at high pressure (20 bar), then external CO₂ was released. After adding morpholine (substrate) and solvent, the reaction mixture was heated to 60 °C allowing the release of the stored CO₂ and occurrence of the formylation reaction. Notably, under identical conditions, a much higher yield of the *N*-formylmorpholine product was obtained by Im-PL-Cage (96.7%) than that of the pure liquid component PEG-Im-H₂BDC (21.5%), the porous Zn-Cage solid (24.5%), and the physical mixture of Zn-Cage and PEG-Im-H₂BDC without strong surface interaction (31.9%) (Fig. 6c). The major reason for this difference lies in the presence of permanent pores in Im-PL-Cage, leading to much higher CO₂ storage capacity during the saturation procedure. For the solid Zn-Cage, most of the CO₂ adsorbed in the cavities could be quickly released during the desorption process due to the lack of the dense liquid wall. It is worth noting that Im-PL-Cage not only had the advantages of homogeneous catalytic systems such as good solubility and mass transfer, but also showed good recyclability. Stable assembly was well maintained and an *N*-formylmorpholine yield of 93% was obtained after cycling for five runs.

The catalytic active sites can be homogeneously coated on the porous host *via in situ* surface deposition during the PL synthesis procedure.⁷⁰ A zeolite with nanosheet morphology (MCM-22) and Na⁺ cations within the scaffolds was used as the precursor, which was treated by cation exchange to afford MCM22-Ag containing Ag⁺ cations. Then, an IL composed of ammonium cations and bromide anions ([M2070]Br) was deployed as the liquid phase (Fig. 7a and b). Upon mixing MCM22-Ag and [M2070]Br, ultra-small AgBr species were formed and uniformly distributed on the surface of the porous host, leading to the formation of an ultra-stable type III PL. The crystallinity of the MCM-22-Ag was well maintained and confirmed by X-ray-based techniques. The dynamic rheological analysis within a wide range of oscillation strain, angular frequency, and temperature revealed the good fluidity of the as-




Fig. 6 (a) Schematic diagram for the preparation of Im-PL-Cage *via* coordination between the PEG-Im-H₂BDC linker, zinc salts, and calixarene. (b) The CO₂ uptake isotherms of Im-PL-Cage and the pure liquid PEG-Im-H₂BDC linker at 298 K. (c) The formylation reaction of morpholine in the presence of phenylsilane using stored CO₂ as the resource at 60 °C. (a–c) Reproduced with permission.⁶³ Copyright 2023, The Authors, published by Springer Nature.





Fig. 7 (a) Synthesis of type III PLs via surface deposition using Ag^+ containing zeolite nanosheets and ILs containing bromide anions. (b) Structure of the IL [M2070H]Br and image of the as-obtained PL. (c) ^{129}Xe NMR spectra of the pure liquid phase [M2070H]Br and the PL composed of 20% MCM22-Ag in [M2070H]Br. (d) Hydration reaction of 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol in the presence of CO_2 and H_2O catalysed by [M2070H]Br, MCM22-Ag, and the as-obtained PL composed of 20% MCM22-Ag in [M2070H]Br. (a–d) Reproduced with permission.⁷⁰ Copyright 2023, Wiley-VCH.

synthesized PLs. Compared with the pure IL [M2020H]Br having a CO_2 uptake capacity of 1.94 wt% at 298 K and CO_2 pressure of 10 bar, the PL containing 40% zeolite achieved a CO_2 uptake capacity of 3.48 wt%, indicating a much higher free volume existed in the PL system. The ^{129}Xe NMR technique was further deployed to characterize the existence of cavities in the PL. The Xe peak was shifted from -5165.3 ppm in the pure IL phase [M2070H]Br to -5180.9 ppm in the PL containing zeolite, indicating that the local environment around the Xe gas molecules is less confined in PL (Fig. 7c). The surface deposition approach can be extended to other ILs containing bromide anions (e.g., tetradecyltriethylphosphonium bromide, [P₆₆₆₁₄]Br). By exchanging the Na^+ in MCM-22 with Ba^{2+} , a PL can be constructed by mixing with sulfonate-derived ILs ([P₆₆₆₁₄]₂[SO₄]) and BaSO_4 was uniformly coated on the surface of the zeolite host, leading to the formation of a stable type III PL.

The as-derived PL was leveraged to catalyse the propargylic alcohol hydration reaction taking 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol as the substrate (Fig. 7d), which involved a cascade procedure including a cycloaddition reaction of CO_2 with the substrate and the hydrolysis of the formed cyclic carbonate intermediate.⁸⁰ In the as-formed type III PL, the surface deposited Ag^+ species can activate the $\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$ bond via coordination, and the bromide anion can promote the CO_2 insertion and hydrolysis step, making them promising candidates in the hydration reaction of propargylic alcohol. The catalytic performance evaluation was performed under a CO_2 pressure of 1 MPa at 80 °C within 24 h, in which 62% yield of the 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-

butanone product was obtained by PL being synthesized from MCM22-Ag and [M2070H][Br], while the zeolite MCM22-Ag only afforded a yield of 13% under identical conditions. A quantitative yield of the hydration product was obtained by PL within 48 h. The higher catalytic activity of the PL was facilitated by the homogeneous nature, high CO_2 solubility, and improved gas-liquid-solid mass transport efficiency.

CO_2 photoreduction represents an attractive approach to fulfill CO_2 transformation to value-added chemicals using clean solar energy.⁸¹ A PL design strategy was demonstrated to facilitate the CO_2 -to-CO conversion via photoirradiation via engineering the active sites on the surface of the porous host and tuning the electronic properties of the active sites via amide bond formation.⁷¹ A heterostructure composed of CdS NPs on the surface of a MOF containing $-\text{NH}_2$ on the linker was deployed as the porous host (CdS/ NH_2 -UIO-66), and an IL containing ammonium cations and carboxylate anions was used as the fluid phase ([M2070][IPA]) (Fig. 8a). The PL was obtained upon mixing and thermal treatment of the solid and liquid counterparts and the ionic pairs were modified on the surface of the MOF core via amide bond formation, as revealed by the FTIR and XPS spectra. Theoretical calculation demonstrated that the IL molecule with dimensions of 24, 18, and 20 Å cannot enter the micropores of the MOF with the size of around 6 Å (Fig. 8b). The well-maintained cavity in the CdS/ NH_2 -UIO-66 PL was further illustrated by the improved CO_2 uptake capacity up to 4 bar compared with the pure IL ([M2070][IPA]). Control experiments revealed that coating the IL moieties on the surface of



CdS/NH₂-UIO-66 improved the hydrophobicity *via* water-contact angle analysis and stability in acetonitrile (Fig. 8c).

CO₂ photoreduction analysis was conducted using triethanolamine (TEOA) as the sacrificial reagent and acetonitrile as the solvent. The CdS/NH₂-UIO-66 PL exhibited much higher CO evolution amount and selectivity (356.86 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ and 100%) than the pure IL (39.53 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$, 89.74%) and the porous host CdS/NH₂-UIO-66 (300.04 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$, 80.4%) (Fig. 8d and e). Detailed studies *via in situ* FT-IR combined with theoretical calculations revealed that the reaction pathway was altered *via* surface modification over the porous host. For the MOF with active sites (CdS/NH₂-UIO-66), the *HCOO intermediate-involving reaction pathway dominated, and besides CO, the intermediate was beneficial to the production of other reducing products such as CH₄. Meanwhile, in the presence of amide

bonds on the surface of CdS/NH₂-UIO-66 in PL, the Zr⁴⁺ are more electron-rich, leading to enhanced adsorption strength of the *COOH intermediate, and the *COOH-involving pathway was more conducive to CO generation (Fig. 8f).

Composite PLs containing enzyme components could catalyse CO₂ conversion into utility chemicals.⁷² The as-developed composite possessed two core-shell conjugates, hollow silica nanorod-based PL (PoLi) and carbonic anhydrase enzyme (bCA), both of which were modified by a polymer surfactant on the surface (Fig. 9a). The PL was formed by treating phosphate buffer, lyophilization, and heating. The cavity generated from the hollow silica host can store CO₂ with a capacity of 5.5 cm³ g⁻¹ at 273 K and a CO₂ pressure of 1 bar, while the carbonic anhydrase enzyme can promote the *in situ* enzymatic hydration of CO₂ to form HCO₃⁻ ions. By involving




Fig. 9 (a) Synthesis procedure of PL composed of hollow silica nanorods and carbonic anhydrase enzyme. (b) Reaction mechanism of CO₂ sequestration, storage, releasing, conversion, and transformation to CaCO₃ in PL PoLi-bCA/CaCl₂. (a and b) Reproduced with permission.⁷² Copyright 2021, Wiley-VCH.

Ca^{2+} species into the PL system, CaCO_3 precipitation was detected, which was revealed by spectroscopy, microscopy, and X-ray diffraction monitoring (Fig. 9b). The CO_2 -to-utility chemical transformation was facilitated by the large free volume of the PL, ensuring high CO_2 solubility and mass transfer during the reaction procedure. The enzyme sites were uniformly distributed and stabilized in the PL phase to ensure stable and continuous CO_2 -to- CaCO_3 transformation.

4.2 PLs in H_2S capture and conversion

PLs have shown the capability to remove trace amounts of gas impurities such as H_2S for natural gas (*e.g.*, CH_4) purification and convert the captured H_2S into value-added chemicals.⁷³ The key lies in introducing H_2S -philic functionalities (*e.g.*, tertiary amines) on the surface of the porous host. Current existing technologies for the purification of natural gas, removal of trace amounts of CO_2 and H_2S from large amounts of CH_4 , rely on liquid sorbents such as amine solutions, possessing the inherent limitations of volatility, corrosivity, and inferior thermal/chemical stability. Deploying porous solid sorbents (*e.g.*, zeolites, MOFs, COFs, *etc.*) modified by targeted gas-philic moieties could improve the stability but cannot accommodate existing continuous flow separation infrastructures and involve tedious synthesis procedures.^{82–85} In addition, how to deal with the captured waste gases such as the highly hazardous H_2S remains an arduous challenge.

With these considerations, a type I PL was developed to integrate the gas impurity removal and its catalytic transformation. UiO-66-OH with abundant hydroxyl groups on the surface and a surface area of $1044 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ was deployed as the porous host. Then an IL containing siloxane and tertiary amine groups was grafted onto the surface of UiO-66-OH *via* O–Si bond formation. Anion exchange with PEGS led to the formation of the targeted type I PLs (Fig. 10a). The crystallinity of the porous host was well-maintained in the PL phase, as confirmed by the PXRD patterns. The particle size of PLs being dispersed in methanol was around 260 nm, as obtained by dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurement.

The H_2S adsorption was evaluated at 303 K and 1 bar pressure, in which the uptake capacity of the PL (2.04 mmol g^{-1}) was much higher than that of the IL (1.07 mmol g^{-1}) and the anion component PEGS (0.51 mmol g^{-1}) (Fig. 10b). In addition, the PL exhibited much higher H_2S uptake capacity (2.04 mmol g^{-1}) than CO_2 (0.05 mmol g^{-1}) and CH_4 ($0.0008 \text{ mmol g}^{-1}$) (Fig. 10c). The high selectivity of the PL for H_2S was benefited by the tertiary group on the liquid–solid interface, possessing more negative reaction enthalpy with H_2S ($-54.91 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) than CO_2 ($-14.14 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) and CH_4 ($-2.28 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$). The breakthrough test with H_2S (0.33 vol%), CO_2 (1.00 vol%), and CH_4 (98.67%) as the feed gas revealed that pure CH_4 can be obtained initially, followed by the appearance of CO_2 and then H_2S (Fig. 10d).

The high uptake capacity and selectivity of the tertiary amine-functionalized PL were then leveraged to promote the




Fig. 10 (a) Synthesis procedure of type I PL with a tertiary amine-modified MOF as the porous host and PEGS and anions. (b) The H_2S uptake capacity of PL (TMH-UiO-PL), IL (PTMH), and PEGS at 303 K. (c) Comparison of the H_2S , CO_2 , and CH_4 uptake capacity obtained by TMH-UiO-PL. (d) Breakthrough evaluation of TMH-UiO-PL using $\text{H}_2\text{S} : \text{CO}_2 : \text{CH}_4$ (volume ratio of 0.33 : 1.00 : 98.67) as the feed gas at 313 K and 1 bar. (e) Catalytic performance of PLs and the corresponding ILs in the reaction of H_2S with α -methacrylic acid to produce 3-mercaptopropionic acid. (f) The proposed reaction mechanism based on theoretical simulation. (a–f) Reproduced with permission.⁷³ Copyright 2023, Wiley-VCH.

reaction of the captured H_2S with α -methacrylic acid to produce 3-mercaptopisobutyric acid. With PL as the catalyst, >80% yield was achieved, while the separated porous host and liquid counterparts delivered no or low yields (<43%) (Fig. 10e). The reaction mechanism study demonstrated an anti-Markovnikov addition pathway, including deprotonation of H_2S by the N sites, nucleophilic attack of the SH- group on the positively charged β -C, and H transfer (Fig. 10f). The as-developed strategy showcases the merits of PLs in integrating gas storage and conversion *via* sophisticated structure engineering.

4.3 PLs in O_2 -involving catalytic procedures

Water is a ubiquitous solvent for diverse applications, possessing extensive hydrogen bonding networks capable of promoting the solvation of polar compounds but exhibiting low solubility to most gases. The low solubility of gases, especially oxygen, in water is a critical challenge for many energy and biomedical technologies that require high concentrations of gas molecules to be rapidly transported through an aqueous environment.⁸⁶ A thermodynamic approach was leveraged to create aqueous solutions with permanent pores, that is, PL with H_2O as the liquid phase. The critical factor is to deploy nanocrystals with abundant micropores, a hydrophobic inner surface, and hydrophilic outer surface, which can be stably dispersed in water and prohibit the water filling into the pores.^{23,36}

Silicalite-1 with terminal silanol groups on the external surface (hydrophilic) and SiO_4 tetrahedra on the pore surface (hydrophobic) (Fig. 11a), with a surface area of $457 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and the average diameter around 59 or 90 nm, was used to create pores in water.²³ Density analysis was first deployed to determine the porous nature of aqueous silicalite-1 colloidal solution

and compared with theoretical calculations (Fig. 11b). Notably, the measured density value of the aqueous solution of silicalite-1 with different concentrations confirmed the maintenance of dry micropores in liquid water. The gas solubility was then evaluated to detect the existence of pores in water. Compared with the pure water, an O_2 solubility of 1.1 mmol L^{-1} (at 0.84 bar) and a CO_2 solubility of 23 mmol L^{-1} (at 0.67 bar) was obtained, and comparatively, improved performance was achieved by the solution composed of 20 wt% silicalite-1 in water, with an O_2 solubility of 26 mmol L^{-1} and a CO_2 solubility of 284 mmol L^{-1} (Fig. 11c). Additionally, the gas sorption procedures were reversible. The porous water creation was further extended to ZIF-67 with the outer surface modified by water soluble proteins (bovine serum albumin). The O_2 solubility of the aqueous protein BSA/ZIF-67 solution achieved 80% of the theoretical value assuming all the pores of the ZIF-67 host are dry. In addition, surface modification of hydrophobic ZIF-8 *via* chemical reaction with methoxy polyethylene epoxide through N-C bond formation can create a promising porous host for porous water formation. ZSM-5 with a Si/Al ratio of 64 and average diameter of 193 nm can form a porous water solution with a concentration of 40%, leading to a high O_2 solubility of 187 mL dL^{-1} . All these systems demonstrated the possibility of creating porous water guided by thermodynamic principles.

By creating permanent micropores in water, the benefits of high gas solubility and fast mass transport can be leveraged to promote the gas-liquid phase reaction using water as the solvent. Taking the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) electrocatalysis as a probe reaction, the porous water composed of A-silicalite-1 nanocrystals with an average diameter of 197 nm stably dispersed in water was used as the electrolyte.²⁴ The




Fig. 11 (a) The crystal structure of porous host silicalite-1. (b) The density of aqueous silicalite-1 solution being obtained by experimental measurement and theoretical calculation. (c) The uptake capacity of O_2 and CO_2 by aqueous solution with and without silicalite-1. (d) The ORR electrocatalysis performance evaluation using the RDE setup. (a–c) Reproduced with permission.²³ Copyright 2022, Springer Nature. (e) The RDE voltammograms being collected in O_2 -saturated buffer with different concentrations of silicalite porous host (rotation rate = 1600 rpm) (left) and different rotation rates (silicalite concentration of 122.7 mg mL^{-1}) (right). (d and e) Reproduced with permission.²⁴ Copyright 2023, Springer Nature.

initial rotating disk electrode (RDE) voltammetry evaluation was performed (Fig. 11d). Using phosphate-buffered water at pH 7.0, a plateau current density of only 4.5 mA cm^{-2} was obtained, which increased to 17.5 mA cm^{-2} with the added silicalite porous host (122.7 mg mL^{-1}) (Fig. 11e). In addition, the current density in the ORR increased with the rotation rate. Notably, the enhanced catalytic activity endowed by the deployment of silicalite in water was further illustrated by the ORR performance in $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ with the pH of 0.3; at 0.25 V versus RHE, the current density of 21.1 mA cm^{-2} was achieved, which was 3.8 times higher than the value obtained by the electrolyte without pores. Control experiments using a water-filled or nonporous silicalite host revealed that the major reason for the improved ORR current density was the much higher O_2 concentration around the electrocatalyst in the porous water electrolyte.

4.4 PL-promoted hydrogenation reaction, H_2 evolution reaction, and coupling reaction

Hydrogenation reactions are of great significance in both organic synthesis and industrial production.^{87,88} In the liquid phase, the utilization of PLs as the reaction media has the potential to increase the H_2 gas concentration around the active sites, leading to enhanced reaction activity. The type I PL composed of hollow silica spheres with the surface modified by corona-canopy ionic pairs (ammonium cations and sulfonate anions) was well established²⁰ and Pt NPs (around 1.2 nm) can be introduced within the spheres *via* a thiol group-assisted procedure (Fig. 12a).^{74,89} The hydrogenation reaction of 1-decene was selected as the probe reaction, which was conducted at 40°C under ambient H_2 pressure using ethanol as the solvent.⁹⁰ Initial reaction performance evaluation revealed that the reaction followed first-order kinetics and was controlled by diffusion when Pt@HS-SiO_2 was used as the catalyst. The catalytic activity of different catalysts, as indicated by the apparent turnover frequency, increased in the order of Pt@HS-SiO_2 PL (using KPEGs as the solvent, 768 h^{-1}) < OS-(Pt@HS-SiO_2) (without PEGs anion exchange, 930 h^{-1}) < Pt@HS-SiO_2 (without corona-canopy modification, 2062 h^{-1}) < Pt@HS-SiO_2 PL (2062 h^{-1}) (Fig. 12b). The Pt@HS-SiO_2 PL system can be recycled for at




Fig. 12 (a) Schematic diagram of the Pt NP-involving type I PL. (b) Catalytic activity and reaction kinetics of different catalytic systems in the hydrogenation reaction of 1-decene to decane. (a and b) Reproduced with permission.⁷⁴ Copyright 2020, Wiley-VCH.




Fig. 13 (a) Schematic illustration of the formation and stabilization of Pd NPs in the porous liquid of C_4^{R} COCs and then transfer onto $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanosheets for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. (b) The performance of different catalytic systems in photocatalytic hydrogen evolution reaction. (c) Recyclability performance of the Pd NPs@ C_4^{R} COCs/ $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ catalyst within four cycles. (a–c) Reproduced with permission.⁷⁵ Copyright 2020, American Chemical Society.

least three times with well-maintained catalytic activity. In addition, this Pt NP-involving PL can be utilized in the hydrogenation reaction of diverse substrates such as aliphatic linear and cyclic alkenes and nitrobenzene derivatives.

In order to enhance the complexation of the porous host with noble metal species, the covalent organic cages (COCs) were synthesized *via* the Schiff base formation reaction using tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (Tren) and aromatic aldehydes as the precursors, followed by hydrogenation using NaBH_4 as the reducing reagent.⁷⁵ The as-afforded COCs with bipyridine linkers exhibited the capability to bind metal species (*e.g.*, $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$), which were then reduced to Pd NPs by NaBH_4 with good dispersibility and an average size of 2.6 nm (Fig. 13a). In addition, most of the Pd NPs are located on the surface of bipyridine-functionalized COCs. Then, the COC-stabilized Pd NPs were loaded onto carbon nitride nanosheets to promote the photocatalytic H_2 evolution from water. Under identical reaction conditions with triethanolamine as the sacrificial agent, the H_2 evolution rate of the Pd NPs@COC/ $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ reached $5487 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$, which was 2.5 times higher than that obtained by Pd NPs@g-C₃N₄ ($2040 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$) (Fig. 13b). Good recyclability of the Pd NPs@COC/ $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ was obtained, with no significant activity loss or Pd NP agglomeration over at least four cycles (Fig. 13c). The photoluminescence and decay lifetime evaluation revealed that the presence of COCs can suppress the recombination of photoinduced electrons and facilitate electron transport, leading to enhanced photocatalytic performance. This co-catalyst system combines the advantages of homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis, ensuring high catalytic activity and long-term durability for hydrogen evolution.

Not only can metal NPs, but also homogeneous noble metal species be immobilized within a type I PL *via* complexation.⁷⁶




Fig. 14 (a) Synthesis of NHC-Pd@SiO₂ for PL construction. (b) Heck reaction between different aryl iodides and butyl acrylate catalysed by Pd²⁺-involving PLs.

First, the inner surface of the hollow silica nanospheres was chemically modified with N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs), which then acted as anchoring sites to complex with Pd²⁺ species (Fig. 14a). Finally, the outer surface of the silica spheres was modified *via* the corona-canopy strategy to afford Type I PL (NHC-Pd@SiO₂ PL). The Heck coupling was selected as the probe reaction to determine the catalytic activity of the Pd-complexed PL.^{91,92} The results showed that this PL system

exhibited a significant catalytic effect on the coupling reaction between aryl iodides and butyl acrylate despite the low Pd loading (Fig. 14b). Notably, for diverse aryl iodide substrates containing electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups, the Heck reactions could be accomplished successfully.

4.5 Application of PLs in cascade reactions

Catalytic systems capable of promoting cascade reactions, particularly those requiring active sites possessing antagonistic properties, are highly desired to fulfill multiple chemical transformations in a one-pot procedure without separation and purification of the reaction intermediates.^{93–97} The unique feature of PLs provides the opportunity to anchor and separate active sites with diverse or even incompatible properties in a single catalytic system, as the liquid phase and porous host in PLs are not tightly or completely combined with each other. Towards this purpose, a type III PL composed of zeolite and IL was developed (Fig. 15a).⁶¹ The zeolite MCM-22 with MWW crystalline structure and nano-sheet morphology was deployed as the solid counterpart, possessing a surface area of 391 m² g⁻¹ and micropores around 0.5 and 1.4 nm. MCM-22 provided the acidic sites for the cascade reaction (Brønsted acid sites of 245.6 μmol g⁻¹ and Lewis acid sites of 208 μmol g⁻¹). The IL composed of ammonium cations with long alkyl chains and chloride anions (TOMAC) was deployed as the liquid phase and provided the basic sites (Cl⁻). The as-prepared MCM-22-PL has 26.0 wt% MCM-22 in TOMAC. The PALS analysis over MCM-22-PL exhibited the *ortho*-




Fig. 15 (a) Synthesis procedure of the MCM-22-PL, structures of the MCM-22 (solid) and IL TOMAC (liquid), and images of the as-synthesized PL. (b) ¹²⁹Xe NMR spectra of the bulky solvent, IL solution, and PL solution. (c) The performance of the diverse catalytic systems including MCM-22-PL in the cascade deacetalization–Knoevenagel condensation reaction procedure. (d) The cascade deacetalization–aldol condensation catalysed by MCM-22-PL(Triz). (a–d) Reproduced with permission.⁶¹ Copyright 2021, Elsevier.

positronium lifetime τ_3 of 2.139 ns, corresponding to the cavity diameter of 0.59 nm within the liquid phase, which was close to the porosity of the MCM-22 host. In the ^{129}Xe NMR spectrum of the IL TOMAC, the peak was located at -5161.6 ppm, which was obviously upfield shifted to -5167.3 ppm in the MCM-22-PL, indicating the higher free volume in MCM-22-PL and the existence of permanent pores (Fig. 15b).

Then the deacetalization-Knoevenagel condensation was used as a probe reaction, in which acidic and basic sites are required respectively for the first and second steps (Fig. 15c). The co-existence of acidic and basic sites in MCM-22-PL made it a promising candidate to promote this cascade procedure in a single batch. When benzaldehyde dimethylacetal (BD) and malononitrile (MA) were used as the substrates, complete BD conversion and $>99\%$ yield of the benzylidene malononitrile (BM) was achieved, catalysed by MCM-22-PL in toluene at 80°C within 3 h. Control experiments revealed that MCM-22 was responsible for the BD-to-BA conversion and the TOMAC was required to promote the BA-to-BM transformation. Notably, by engineering the active sites in the PL, the MCM-22-PL allowed the reactions to take place in the liquid phase and the catalyst to be recycled after removing the solvents and products by thermal treatment under a vacuum. A BM yield of 94% can be achieved after recycling MCM-22-PL five times. The superior performance of MCM-22-PL was due to its unique features including possessing well-preserved acidic and basic catalytic sites in the solid and liquid counterparts respectively, transforming the process from heterogeneous to homogeneous in nature, and shortened distance between the acidic and basic sites *via* structural assembly. The reaction mechanism was studied in detail *via in situ* DRIFTS and theoretical simulation, revealing the existence of a semi-acetal as the active intermediate.

Then the PL composed of MCM-22 and IL with a triazolate anion was synthesized and used in the cascade deacetalization-aldol condensation, in which the second step needs active sites with stronger basicity (Fig. 15d). With BD and acetone as the

reaction substrates, a 90% yield of the 4-phenyl-3-buten-2-one (PBO) product can be obtained within 72 h. The achievements made in this work demonstrated a promising way to facilitate complicated reaction procedures *via* structural engineering over the PL systems.

4.6 Application of PLs in oxidative desulfurization

Oxidative desulfurization of sulfides represents an efficient approach to remove impurities and upgrade the fuel oil and phosphomolybdic acid was demonstrated as one of the catalysts to oxidize the sulfur-containing compounds to sulfone, which can then be removed *via* extraction. The PL construct can facilitate the mass transfer, integrate with the extraction procedure, and stabilize the catalyst *via* the confinement effect.^{98,99} Towards this purpose, the porous host was obtained *via in situ* assembly of zinc salts, the 2-MeIm ligand, and phosphomolybdic acid (HPMo, as active sites) and denoted as HPMo@ZIF-8, in which HPMo was encapsulated within the cavity of the ZIF-8 framework.⁷⁷ The structure of the porous host was characterized by PXRD, FT-IR, microscopy, and elemental mapping. The PL was then synthesized by dispersing the HPMo@ZIF-8 NPs in an IL [Emim][NTf₂]. The SO₂ sorption capacity was determined *via* the vapor-liquid equilibrium method, from which higher SO₂ uptake capacity within the pressure range up to 1 bar was achieved by the HPMo@ZIF-8-PIL than the pure IL (Fig. 16a), indicating the presence of additional free volume in PL to accommodate more SO₂ molecules in the PL. Molecular displacement experiments further provided evidence of porosity in the obtained PL. A smaller guest molecule (CHCl₃) was chosen as the gas displacer because it can easily enter the cages of ZIF-8 and expel the gas in the cavity, leading to the formation of bubbles. Then, the HPMo@ZIF-8-PIL catalyst was deployed to catalyse the oxidation of dibenzothiophene (DBT) in the presence of H₂O₂ and 100% sulfur removal was achieved by HPMo@ZIF-8-PIL at 30°C , which was much higher than that of the physical mixture of




Fig. 16 (a) The SO₂ uptake isotherm of pure IL [Emim][NTf₂] and the type III PL containing HPMo@ZIF-8 NPs. (b) The sulfur removal efficiency of different catalytic systems under identical conditions. (c) The proposed reaction mechanism of oxidative desulfurization of DBT promoted by PL. (a–c) Reproduced with permission.⁷⁷ Copyright 2022, The Royal Society of Chemistry. (d) The integrated extraction and oxidation pathway in the UiO-66-T3PIL system. Reproduced with permission.⁷⁸ Copyright 2023, Elsevier.

HPMo with IL (Fig. 16b). Notably, the PL-derived catalytic system can be further extended to other sulfur-containing substrates such as 4-methyldibenzothiophene (4-MDBT) and 4,6-dimethyldibenzothiophene (4,6-DMDBT). The cycling experiments revealed that the sulfur removal rate of 95% can be achieved at the sixth run, during which CCl_4 extraction treatment was conducted at each cycle to remove the product combined with the active sites.

The PL-promoted desulfurization strategy was then extended to the system composed of UiO-66(Zr) in IL $[\text{P}_{66614}][\text{NTf}_2]$.⁷⁸ The study revealed that the good catalytic desulfurization performance of PL (denoted as UiO-66-T3PILs) was generated by the extraction of the sulfur compounds from the oil phase into the IL phase, which was then oxidized by the $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals in the UiO-66 porous host in the presence of H_2O_2 (Fig. 16c). Recently, another type III PL (denoted UiO-66-BAPILs) was constructed by dispersing UiO-66 into a Brønsted acidic ionic liquid $[\text{BSPy}][\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]$.⁷⁹ Similarly, when H_2O_2 was used as the oxidant, the bifunctional UiO-66-BAPILs acted as both extractants and catalysts during the reaction, achieving a sulfur removal efficiency of 99.5%.

5. Conclusions and future perspectives

The unique properties of PLs and facile structure engineering approaches led to initial success in their catalysis applications in CO_2 , H_2S , O_2 , and H_2 -involving reactions, as well as the cascade procedures. The merits by using PLs in catalysis have been demonstrated to combine the features of the porous solid and fluid liquid. Type I PLs have better stability than type II and III PLs since the liquid phase is chemically integrated with the porous host such as MOFs, hollow silica spheres, and hollow carbon spheres. As type II PLs are formed by solubilizing the porous host such as organic cages in solvents, it's difficult to integrate the catalytic sites within the porous host. In addition, the solvents (e.g., crown ether) being deployed in type II PLs have relatively low boiling points and inferior thermal stability. Type III PLs are most extensively studied and deployed in separation and catalysis-integrated procedures, benefiting from their large tunability in both liquid and porous host counterparts, which allows anchoring the catalytic active sites and integrating diverse sites in the liquid and solid components respectively. However, as type III PLs are formed *via* physically dispersing the porous host in the liquid phase, the stability of the dispersion, particularly in the presence of substrates and under the reaction conditions, should be well maintained to ensure the quality of the adopted PLs.

Although related research is still at the preliminary stage, the unique features of PLs will provide alternative solutions and new opportunities in combined separation and catalysis procedures that cannot be fulfilled in traditional homogeneous or heterogeneous procedures. Further improvements can be made in the following aspects to further improve and extend the application of PLs in catalysis.

• Although in the gas-involving reactions the high solubility of the gas sources could benefit the reaction procedure, the

currently deployed PLs still exhibit inferior gas capacity since only physisorption is involved. PLs possessing stronger interaction strength with the gas molecules, *i.e.*, *via* chemisorption pathway, could benefit not only the gas uptake capacity, but also activate the gas molecules.

• Most of the current PL-involving catalytic procedures are still focused on those below 100 °C. In catalytic applications, particularly for gas-phase reactions, high temperatures are needed (e.g., up to 800 °C in dry reforming of methane to produce CO and H_2 from CH_4 and CO_2 , as well as in methane cleavage to generate H_2).^{100,101} Potential application of PLs in these high temperature-involving procedures is more challenging and rarely explored. Increased gas solubility and fast mass transport at high temperatures are more difficult to be achieved, while PLs based on molten salts combined with stable and suitable porous hosts may play such roles.¹⁰²

• Only limited systems could engineer the active sites within the PLs, and most of them still require additional catalysts, which caused problems in mass transfer and catalyst recycling. PLs capable of integrating the gas molecule concentration and catalytic site involvement are preferred in terms of proximity of the substrate-active sites, sustainability, and facile catalyst recycling.

• PLs have exhibited the capability of tuning the gas selectivity by the molecular sieving effect or interaction strength in gas separation applications, but this has not been utilized in catalysis, which could benefit selective catalysis procedures.

• The preliminary results of PL-catalysed cascade reactions have demonstrated the great potential of PLs in simplifying reaction procedures without protection, separation, and purification. This will rely on the design and selection of the porous host and the liquid component to engineer active sites with different properties together without sacrificing their activity. For example, PLs composed of strong base and Lewis acid sites can be used to catalyze aldol condensation and dehydration to form conjugated enones in a single sequence, and cascade Michael addition and cyclization to synthesize cyclic ketones, lactams, or lactones.^{103,104}

• Sophisticated characterization techniques are still required to perform the structural evaluation during the reaction procedure, particularly on the solid-liquid interface, and the case will be more complicated if the active sites are on the interphase location. *Operando* techniques are preferred to monitor the reaction procedures and understand the structure-performance relationship.

Progress in the above aspects will contribute to the wider application of PLs in catalysis and beyond.

Data availability

No new data were generated or analysed during the writing of this perspective.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the outline discussion, participated in the writing of the original draft, and revised the manuscript.



Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The research was supported financially by the Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, US Department of Energy.

Notes and references

- 1 A. Bavykina, A. Cadiou and J. Gascon, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **386**, 85–95.
- 2 P. F. Fulvio and S. Dai, *Chem*, 2020, **6**, 3263–3287.
- 3 K. Jie, Y. Zhou, H. P. Ryan, S. Dai and J. R. Nitschke, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, **33**, 2005745.
- 4 T. D. Bennett, F.-X. Coudert, S. L. James and A. I. Cooper, *Nat. Mater.*, 2021, **20**, 1179–1187.
- 5 D. Wang, Y. Xin, D. Yao, X. Li, H. Ning, H. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Ju, Z. He and Z. Yang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2104162.
- 6 B. D. Egleston, A. Mroz, K. E. Jelfs and R. L. Greenaway, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 5042–5054.
- 7 N. O'Reilly, N. Giri and S. L. James, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 3020–3025.
- 8 D. Wang, Y. Ying, Y. Xin, P. Li, Z. Yang and Y. Zheng, *Acc. Mater. Res.*, 2023, **4**, 854–866.
- 9 L. Ma, C. J. E. Haynes, A. B. Grommet, A. Walczak, C. C. Parkins, C. M. Doherty, L. Longley, A. Tron, A. R. Stefankiewicz, T. D. Bennett and J. R. Nitschke, *Nat. Chem.*, 2020, **12**, 270–275.
- 10 P. Li, J. A. Schott, J. Zhang, S. M. Mahurin, Y. Sheng, Z. A. Qiao, X. Hu, G. Cui, D. Yao, S. Brown, Y. Zheng and S. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 14958–14962.
- 11 R. J. Kearsey, B. M. Alston, M. E. Briggs, R. L. Greenaway and A. I. Cooper, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 9454–9465.
- 12 Z. Zhang, B. Yang, B. Zhang, M. Cui, J. Tang and X. Qiao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 2353.
- 13 S. He, L. Chen, J. Cui, B. Yuan, H. Wang, F. Wang, Y. Yu, Y. Lee and T. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 19708–19714.
- 14 R. Gaillac, P. Pullumbi, K. A. Beyer, K. W. Chapman, D. A. Keen, T. D. Bennett and F.-X. Coudert, *Nat. Mater.*, 2017, **16**, 1149–1154.
- 15 A. Koutsianos, R. Pallach, L. Frentzel-Beyme, C. Das, M. Paulus, C. Sternemann and S. Henke, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 4200.
- 16 L. Qiu, L. Peng, D. Moitra, H. Liu, Y. Fu, Z. Dong, W. Hu, M. Lei, D. e. Jiang, H. Lin, J. Hu, K. A. McGarry, I. Popovs, M. Li, A. S. Ivanov, Z. Yang and S. Dai, *Small*, 2023, **19**, 2302708.
- 17 R. E. Mow, A. S. Lipton, S. Shulda, E. A. Gaulding, T. Gennett and W. A. Braunecker, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 23455–23462.
- 18 W. Shan, P. F. Fulvio, L. Kong, J. A. Schott, C.-L. Do-Thanh, T. Tian, X. Hu, S. M. Mahurin, H. Xing and S. Dai, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 32–36.
- 19 P. Li, H. Chen, J. A. Schott, B. Li, Y. Zheng, S. M. Mahurin, D.-e. Jiang, G. Cui, X. Hu and Y. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 1515–1519.
- 20 J. Zhang, S. H. Chai, Z. A. Qiao, S. M. Mahurin, J. Chen, Y. Fang, S. Wan, K. Nelson, P. Zhang and S. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 932–936.
- 21 S. Liu, L. Meng and J. Fan, *ChemistrySelect*, 2021, **6**, 5027–5033.
- 22 T. Shi, Y. Zheng, T. Wang, P. Li, Y. Wang and D. Yao, *ChemPhysChem*, 2018, **19**, 130–137.
- 23 D. P. Erdosy, M. B. Wenny, J. Cho, C. DelRe, M. V. Walter, F. Jimenez-Angeles, B. Qiao, R. Sanchez, Y. Peng, B. D. Polizzotti, M. O. de la Cruz and J. A. Mason, *Nature*, 2022, **608**, 712–718.
- 24 A. E. Thorarinsdottir, D. P. Erdosy, C. Costentin, J. A. Mason and D. G. Nocera, *Nat. Catal.*, 2023, **6**, 425–434.
- 25 F. Su, X. Li, Y. Wang, Z. He, L. Fan, H. Wang, J. Xie, Y. Zheng and D. Yao, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2021, **277**, 119410.
- 26 K. Jie, N. Onishi, J. A. Schott, I. Popovs, D. E. Jiang, S. Mahurin and S. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 2268–2272.
- 27 Z. Deng, W. Ying, K. Gong, Y. J. Zeng, Y. Yan and X. Peng, *Small*, 2020, **16**, 1907016.
- 28 M. Atilhan, A. Cincotti and S. Aparicio, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2021, **330**, 115660.
- 29 Y. Wang, Y. Sun, H. Bian, L. Zhu, D. Xia and H. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 45916–45928.
- 30 X. Zhao, Y. Yuan, P. Li, Z. Song, C. Ma, D. Pan, S. Wu, T. Ding, Z. Guo and N. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 13179–13182.
- 31 X. Li, D. Wang, Z. He, F. Su, N. Zhang, Y. Xin, H. Wang, X. Tian, Y. Zheng and D. Yao, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **417**, 129239.
- 32 J. Wu, X. Wu, P. Zhao, Z. Wang, L. Zhang, D. Xu and J. Gao, *Fuel*, 2021, **300**, 121013.
- 33 P. Li, D. Wang, L. Zhang, C. Liu, F. Wu, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Zhao, W. Wu and Y. Liang, *Small*, 2021, **17**, 2006687.
- 34 R. L. Greenaway, D. Holden, E. G. Eden, A. Stephenson, C. W. Yong, M. J. Bennison, T. Hasell, M. E. Briggs, S. L. James and A. I. Cooper, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 2640–2651.
- 35 F. Zhang, F. Yang, J. Huang, B. G. Sumpter and R. Qiao, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2016, **120**, 7195–7200.
- 36 C. DelRe, H. Hong, M. B. Wenny, D. P. Erdosy, J. Cho, B. Lee and J. A. Mason, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 19982–19988.
- 37 J. Cahir, M. Y. Tsang, B. Lai, D. Hughes, M. A. Alam, J. Jacquemin, D. Rooney and S. L. James, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 2077–2084.
- 38 B. Lai, J. Cahir, M. Y. Tsang, J. Jacquemin, D. Rooney, B. Murrer and S. L. James, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **13**, 932–936.
- 39 S. Van Glubt and M. L. Brusseau, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **55**, 3706–3715.
- 40 B. D. Egleston, K. V. Luzyanin, M. C. Brand, R. Clowes, M. E. Briggs, R. L. Greenaway and A. I. Cooper, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 7362–7366.
- 41 Y. Wang, Y. Sun, H. Bian, L. Zhu, D. Xia and H. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 45916–45928.



- 42 J. Zhang, S.-H. Chai, Z.-A. Qiao, S. M. Mahurin, J. Chen, Y. Fang, S. Wan, K. Nelson, P. Zhang and S. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 932–936.
- 43 L. Zhang, M. Zhou, A. Wang and T. Zhang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 683–733.
- 44 Z.-A. Qiao, P. Zhang, S.-H. Chai, M. Chi, G. M. Veith, N. C. Gallego, M. Kidder and S. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 11260–11263.
- 45 Z. Li, S. Ji, Y. Liu, X. Cao, S. Tian, Y. Chen, Z. Niu and Y. Li, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 623–682.
- 46 H. Chen, S. Z. Yang, Z. Yang, W. Lin, H. Xu, Q. Wan, X. Suo, T. Wang, D. E. Jiang, J. Fu and S. Dai, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2020, **6**, 1617–1627.
- 47 Y. Sun, Z. Yang and S. Dai, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2023, **14**, 2364–2377.
- 48 R. Kumar, P. Dhasaiyan, P. M. Naveenkumar and K. P. Sharma, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2019, **1**, 4067–4075.
- 49 S. Koutsoukos, J. Avila, N. J. Brooks, M. C. Gomes and T. Welton, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2023, **25**, 6316–6325.
- 50 K. Yang, Z. Cai, M. Tyagi, M. Feygenson, J. C. Neuefeind, J. S. Moore and Y. Zhang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 3227–3233.
- 51 X. Wang, L. He, J. Sumner, S. Qian, Q. Zhang, H. O'Neill, Y. Mao, C. Chen, A. M. Al-Enizi, A. Nafady and S. Ma, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 973.
- 52 Y. Jean, J. D. Van Horn, W.-S. Hung and K.-R. Lee, *Macromolecules*, 2013, **46**, 7133–7145.
- 53 S. Liu, J. Liu, X. Hou, T. Xu, J. Tong, J. Zhang, B. Ye and B. Liu, *Langmuir*, 2018, **34**, 3654–3660.
- 54 Y. Liu, Y. Bai and T. Tian, *Materials*, 2019, **12**, 3984.
- 55 J. Avila, L. F. Lepre, C. C. Santini, M. Tiano, S. Denis-Quanquin, K. Chung Szeto, A. A. Padua and M. Costa Gomes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 12876–12882.
- 56 A. Kai, B. D. Egleston, A. Tarzia, R. Clowes, M. E. Briggs, K. E. Jelfs, A. I. Cooper and R. L. Greenaway, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2106116.
- 57 M. Costa Gomes, L. Pison, C. Červinka and A. Padua, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 11909–11912.
- 58 J. Zhao, Z. Jin, Q. Hu, Z. Jin, T. J. Barber, Y. Zhang and M. Bleuel, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 15413.
- 59 J. L. Bañuelos, G. Feng, P. F. Fulvio, S. Li, G. Rother, N. Arend, A. Faraone, S. Dai, P. T. Cummings and D. J. Wesolowski, *Carbon*, 2014, **78**, 415–427.
- 60 E. J. Kim, R. L. Siegelman, H. Z. Jiang, A. C. Forse, J.-H. Lee, J. D. Martell, P. J. Milner, J. M. Falkowski, J. B. Neaton and J. A. Reimer, *Science*, 2020, **369**, 392–396.
- 61 H. Chen, Z. Z. Yang, H. G. Peng, K. C. Jie, P. P. Li, S. M. Ding, W. Guo, X. Suo, J. X. Liu, R. Yan, W. M. Liu, C. L. Do-Thanh, H. M. Wang, Z. D. Wang, L. Han, W. M. Yang and S. Dai, *Chem*, 2021, **7**, 3340–3358.
- 62 N. J. Williams, C. A. Seipp, F. M. Brethomé, Y.-Z. Ma, A. S. Ivanov, V. S. Bryantsev, M. K. Kidder, H. J. Martin, E. Holguin and K. A. Garrabrant, *Chem*, 2019, **5**, 719–730.
- 63 C. He, Y. H. Zou, D. H. Si, Z. A. Chen, T. F. Liu, R. Cao and Y. B. Huang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 3317.
- 64 J. Cole, Z. Henderson, A. G. Thomas, C. Castle, A. J. Greer, C. Hardacre, M. Scardamaglia, A. Shavorskiy and K. L. Syres, *JPhys Mater.*, 2023, **6**, 045012.
- 65 I. Niedermaier, C. Kolbeck, N. Taccardi, P. S. Schulz, J. Li, T. Drewello, P. Wasserscheid, H. P. Steinrück and F. Maier, *ChemPhysChem*, 2012, **13**, 1725–1735.
- 66 L. Sheng, Z. Chen and Y. Wang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, **536**, 147951.
- 67 Y. H. Zou, Y. B. Huang, D. H. Si, Q. Yin, Q. J. Wu, Z. Weng and R. Cao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 20915–20920.
- 68 M. K. Dinker, M. M. Li, K. Zhao, M. Zuo, L. Ding, X. Q. Liu and L. B. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202306495.
- 69 Y. Zhou, J. Avila, N. Berthet, S. Legrand, C. C. Santini, M. Costa Gomes and V. Dufaud, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 7922–7925.
- 70 L. Qiu, H. Peng, Z. Yang, J. Fan, M. Li, S. Yang, D. M. Driscoll, L. Ren, S. M. Mahurin, L. N. He and S. Dai, *Adv. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, e2302525.
- 71 Y. Xu, Y. Ren, G. Zhou, S. Feng, Z. Yang, S. Dai, Z. Lu and T. Zhou, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2024, **34**, 2313695.
- 72 A. Bhattacharjee, R. Kumar and K. P. Sharma, *ChemSusChem*, 2021, **14**, 3303–3314.
- 73 H. Ning, M. Shi, Q. Yang, J. Huang, X. Zhang, Y. Wu and K. Jie, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202310741.
- 74 E. B. Hemming, A. F. Masters and T. Maschmeyer, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 7059–7064.
- 75 J. H. Zhang, M. J. Wei, Y. L. Lu, Z. W. Wei, H. P. Wang and M. Pan, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2020, **3**, 12108–12114.
- 76 E. B. Hemming, A. F. Masters and T. Maschmeyer, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2020, **73**, 1296–1300.
- 77 N. Yang, L. Lu, L. Zhu, P. Wu, D. Tao, X. Li, J. Gong, L. Chen, Y. Chao and W. Zhu, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2022, **9**, 165–178.
- 78 J. Yin, W. D. Fu, J. R. Zhang, X. Y. Liu, X. M. Zhang, C. Wang, J. He, W. Jiang, H. P. Li and H. M. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, **470**, 144290.
- 79 J. Yin, W. Fu, J. Zhang, X. Zhang, W. Qiu, W. Jiang, L. Zhu, H. Li and H. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2024, **492**, 152349.
- 80 Y. Zhao, Z. Yang, B. Yu, H. Zhang, H. Xu, L. Hao, B. Han and Z. Liu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 2297–2301.
- 81 X. Jiao, K. Zheng, L. Liang, X. Li, Y. Sun and Y. Xie, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 6592–6604.
- 82 Y. Belmabkhout, N. Heymans, G. De Weireld and A. Sayari, *Energy Fuels*, 2011, **25**, 1310–1315.
- 83 Y. Belmabkhout, P. M. Bhatt, K. Adil, R. S. Pillai, A. Cadiau, A. Shkurenko, G. Maurin, G. Liu, W. J. Koros and M. Eddaoudi, *Nat. Energy*, 2018, **3**, 1059–1066.
- 84 G. Liu, A. Cadiau, Y. Liu, K. Adil, V. Chernikova, I. D. Carja, Y. Belmabkhout, M. Karunakaran, O. Shekhah and C. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 14811–14816.
- 85 E. S. Grape, J. G. Flores, T. Hidalgo, E. Martínez-Ahumada, A. Gutiérrez-Alejandre, A. Hautier, D. R. Williams, M. O'Keeffe, L. Öhrström and T. Willhammar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 16795–16804.
- 86 Y. Peng, J. N. Kheir and B. D. Polizzotti, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 18820–18829.
- 87 H. U. Blaser, C. Malan, B. Pugin, F. Spindler, H. Steiner and M. Studer, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2003, **345**, 103–151.



- 88 D. Wang and D. Astruc, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 6621–6686.
- 89 E. B. Hemming, A. F. Masters and T. Maschmeyer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 11179–11182.
- 90 S. J. Roseblade and A. Pfaltz, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2007, **40**, 1402–1411.
- 91 A. V. Astakhov, O. V. Khazipov, A. Y. Chernenko, D. V. Pasyukov, A. S. Kashin, E. G. Gordeev, V. N. Khrustalev, V. M. Chernyshev and V. P. Ananikov, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 1981–1992.
- 92 J. Sherwood, J. H. Clark, I. J. Fairlamb and J. M. Slattery, *Green Chem.*, 2019, **21**, 2164–2213.
- 93 M. J. Climent, A. Corma and S. Iborra, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1072–1133.
- 94 I. Wheeldon, S. D. Minter, S. Banta, S. C. Barton, P. Atanassov and M. Sigman, *Nat. Chem.*, 2016, **8**, 299–309.
- 95 Z. Jia, K. Wang, B. Tan and Y. Gu, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 3693–3702.
- 96 M. A. Isaacs, C. M. Parlett, N. Robinson, L. J. Durndell, J. C. Manayil, S. K. Beaumont, S. Jiang, N. S. Hondow, A. C. Lamb and D. Jampaiah, *Nat. Catal.*, 2020, **3**, 921–931.
- 97 Y. Zhao, H. Zhou, X. Zhu, Y. Qu, C. Xiong, Z. Xue, Q. Zhang, X. Liu, F. Zhou and X. Mou, *Nat. Catal.*, 2021, **4**, 134–143.
- 98 W. Jiang, H. Jia, H. Li, L. Zhu, R. Tao, W. Zhu, H. Li and S. Dai, *Green Chem.*, 2019, **21**, 3074–3080.
- 99 W. Jiang, X. An, J. Xiao, Z. Yang, J. Liu, H. Chen, H. Li, W. Zhu, H. Li and S. Dai, *ACS Catal.*, 2022, **12**, 8623–8631.
- 100 Y. Song, E. Ozdemir, S. Ramesh, A. Adishev, S. Subramanian, A. Harale, M. Albuali, B. A. Fadhel, A. Jamal, D. Moon, S. H. Choi and C. T. Yavuz, *Science*, 2020, **367**, 777–781.
- 101 L. Chen, Z. Song, S. Zhang, C.-K. Chang, Y.-C. Chuang, X. Peng, C. Dun, J. J. Urban, J. Guo, J.-L. Chen, D. Prendergast, M. Salmeron, G. A. Somorjai and J. Su, *Science*, 2023, **381**, 857–861.
- 102 W. Xiao and D. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 3215–3228.
- 103 M. Markert, M. Mulzer, B. Schetter and R. Mahrwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 7258–7259.
- 104 C.-B. Miao, M.-L. Chen, X.-Q. Sun and H.-T. Yang, *Synthesis*, 2019, **51**, 2945–2958.

