[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cc01909a) [View Journal](https://pubs.rsc.org/en/journals/journal/CC)

# ChemComm

Chemical Communications

# Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: V. Van Deuren, S. Denis, R. Van den Eynde, J. S. Chui, F. Bosisio, F. De Smet, W. Dehaen, W. Vandenberg and P. Dedecker*, Chem. Commun.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4CC01909A.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors.](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp)

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the [Ethical guidelines s](http://www.rsc.org/publishing/journals/guidelines/)till apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



[rsc.li/chemcomm](http://rsc.li/chemcomm)

# ChemComm

# **COMMUNICATION**

# **Simple generation of cleavable labels for multiplexed imaging**

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Vincent Van Deuren,\*<sup>a</sup> Silke Denis, <sup>a</sup> Robin Van den Eynde,<sup>a</sup> Jonathan Sai-Hong Chui,<sup>b,c</sup> Francesca Bosisio,b,c Frederik De Smet, *c,d* Wim Dehaen,*<sup>e</sup>* Wim Vandenberg,*<sup>f</sup>* and Peter Dedecker *<sup>a</sup>*

**The most common methods for multiplexed immunohistochemistry rely on cyclic procedures, whereby cells or tissues are repeatedly stained, imaged, and regenerated. Here, we present a simple and inexpensive approach for amine-targeted labeling of antibodies using a linker that can be easily cleaved by a mild reducing agent. This method requires only inexpensive and readily-available reagents, and can be carried out without synthetic experience in a simple one-pot reaction. We demonstrate the applicability of this approach by performing repeated staining-imaging-removal cycles on isolated cells and tissue sections, finding that over 94% of the labels can be removed within 30 minutes using only the gentle application of reducing agent, increasing up to 99% by extending the incubation duration to 1 hour. By providing a convenient way to introduce cleavable linkers, our method simplifies methodologies such as high-content imaging or multiplexed immunohistochemistry.** COMMUNICATION<br>
Simple generation of cleavable labels for multiplexed imaging<br>
the state of the control of the state of the state of the control of the state of the state

Fluorescence imaging is a key method in the life sciences, although the amount of information that can be captured is limited by the number of distinct fluorophores that can be visualized. One way to mitigate this is to distinguish fluorophores not just on their colors, but also on other properties such as their lifetimes  $1$  or photochemistry  $2,3$ , although these approaches require additional instrumentation or specific probes, and even then the number of fluorophores that can be imaged remains limited.

- *a.Laboratory for Nanobiology, Department of Chemistry, KU Leuven, Belgium, vincent.vandeuren@kuleuven.be*
- *b.Translational Cell and Tissue Research Unit, Department of Imaging and Pathology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*
- *c. The Leuven Institute for Single-cell Omics (LISCO), KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium d.The Laboratory for precision cancer medicine, Translational Cell and Tissue Research Unit, Department of Imaging and Pathology, , KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*
- *e.Laboratory for Organic Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, KU Leuven, Belgium*
- *f. Laboratory for Bioimaging, Department of Chemistry, KU Leuven, Belgium*



An alternative approach is to stain and image the same sample multiple times, each time staining with different labels and removing the fluorescence after each imaging step. In principle, this approach allows for the visualization of an unlimited number of structures provided that the sample has been fixed and permeabilized <sup>4</sup>. A key challenge lies in the removal of the fluorescence after each imaging round, since on the one hand the stains should bind tightly to the corresponding structure, yet on the other hand the removal should be gentle and not perturb the sample structuring.

Several methods to perform this removal have been proposed, including the removal of the entire stain (e.g. stripping of antibody complexes including the connected fluorophore), inactivation of the fluorophores so that they are no longer fluorescent, or removal of only the fluorophores. The first approach usually requires harsher treatment due to the tight binding of the stain to the target structure. Typical strategies include elevated temperatures, detergents, changes in pH, and denaturing agents <sup>5</sup>–<sup>7</sup> . These procedures are often slow and



pose high risks of sample degradation. The second approach can occur via light-induced photodestruction, which is slow, can be applied only to a small region of the sample, and may only yield partial removal of the fluorescence <sup>8</sup>. An alternative possibility is the use of reactive molecules such as hydrogen peroxide to degrade the fluorophores, which is typically harsh and works only for a limited number of dyes <sup>9,10</sup>.

The least perturbing approach is to introduce a chemically-labile link between the fluorophore and targeting moiety, such that it can be cleaved using comparatively mild chemistry. One method is to simply use a non-covalent interaction such as the spontaneous and reversible association of two matching DNA strands. This offers comparatively straightforward and gentle multiplexing, though at the cost of an increase in materials and the complexity associated with keeping track of the proper DNA sequences <sup>11-13</sup>. Alternatively, covalent bonds susceptible to cleavage can be engineered into the linker connecting the fluorophore and targeting moiety. One study introduced an azide-based linker that could be cleaved with the mild reducing agent TCEP, although this required high temperatures that can induce sample degradation  $^{14}$ . The synthesis of these linkers was also laborious, low yield, and requires expertise in synthetic chemistry. Another approach made use of a simpler synthesis procedure based on click-chemistry, and requires much milder cleavage conditions, but likewise required extensive expertise in synthetic chemistry to develop <sup>15</sup>. More recent developments focused on removal kinetics and efficiency, but still required extensive organic synthesis steps <sup>16-21</sup> (Supplementary Table 1).

We reasoned that easily-cleavable fluorophore labeling could be achieved in a much simpler way by combining click chemistry with the use of disulfide (S-S) bonds that can be cleaved using mild denaturing agents <sup>22</sup>. In this study, we describe a very

simple method for the labeling of amine-containing molecules with azide-containing fluorophores such that these are connected with a linker containing a disulfide bond. The method itself requires just a single-pot reaction using inexpensive and commercially-available reagents, thereby omitting the need for expertise in synthetic chemistry. Furthermore, no purification beyond a simple buffer exchange is required. We show that this procedure can be used to obtain fluorescently-labeled antibodies that can be cleaved via the addition of a mild reducing agent and incubation for minutes. Overall, our approach facilitates the development and application of highlymultiplexed fluorescence imaging.

The overall labeling strategy is schematically depicted in Figure 1. Briefly, the antibody (or another molecule containing amine groups) is mixed with a commercially-available dibenzocyclooctyne-SS-N-hydroxysuccinimidyl ester and an azide-containing fluorophore (or another molecule containing an azide group). The dibenzocyclooctyne (DBCO) moiety selectively reacts with azides via a strain-promoted alkyne-azide cycloaddition, which is fast, copper-free, highly specific, and makes use of stable reagents  $^{23}$ . The N-hydroxysuccinimidyl (NHS) ester is highly reactive towards amine groups and is widely used for the labeling of molecules such as proteins.

Because the reactions involved are highly specific, the full labeling procedure can be carried out within a single reaction vessel in an overnight incubation step at 4°C. Unreacted dyes and linkers can then be removed using simple size exclusion chromatography carried out on the bench. Overall, this procedure offers several important advantages: simple synthesis using off-the-shelf reagents, straightforward

## **Journal Name COMMUNICATION**



purification, and a physically small linker that can reduce linkage errors in fields such as super-resolution imaging. A downside, however, is that molecules such as antibodies contain many amine groups, potentially leading to multiple labeling events or labeling events that may interfere with the antibody target recognition <sup>24</sup> .

To test this approach, we first labeled an antibody against  $\alpha$ -Tubulin using both our strategy and a commercial fluorophorelabeling kit that also makes use of NHS-mediated chemistry but does not introduce a cleavable linker. The resulting antibodies were used to stain fixed and permeabilized U2OS cells, revealing the expected cell structuring when visualized using a fluorescence microscope (Figure 2). We then exposed both samples to 50 mM of the reducing agent dithiothreitol (DTT) in order to cleave the disulfide bond present in the linker, resulting in a fast decrease of the fluorescence in cells stained with antibodies labeled using our approach (Figure 2a), but not in cells stained with the antibodies labeled using the commercial kit (Figure 2b). Approximately 94% of the fluorescence could be removed in this way, with about 6% of the fluorescence remaining post-removal. This could be enhanced to about 99% by increasing the incubation time to 1 hour, although complete removal was unattainable with the conditions used here. This limitation also reflects the difficulty assessing this removal in the presence of unavoidable background emission, but may also



Figure 4. Cyclic tissue staining. (a) FFPE glioblastoma sections stained with three<br>AF555-labeled antibodies using the cyclic immunostaining protocol. Yellow: KI-67,<br>cyan: œ-Tubulin, magenta: CD44. Scalebar is 200 µm. (b-e

result from incomplete accessibility of the denat[uring agent to](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cc01909a) the cleavable linkers, reflecting the<sup>ol: ene</sup>weded<sup>4C</sup>Cellular environment and tight antibody-target interaction. Lower concentrations of DTT resulted in lower fluorescence losses (Supplementary Figure 1). We then performed a three-cycle staining experiment in which anti α-Tubulin, anti-Vimentin and anti β-Actin antibodies were labeled with AF555 using our protocol (Figure 3). The cells were first stained with the Vimentin antibody containing the cleavable linker and imaged, followed by treatment with 50 mM DTT and on-stage washout. The staining/destaining procedure was then repeated with anti β-Actin and α-Tubulin respectively. The resulting images faithfully recapitulated the features observed in single-label imaging and did not depend on the order in which the staining was performed (Supplementary Figure S2). Overall, this approach readily shows the feasibility of staining samples with multiple cycles.

Next, we expanded the antibody repertoire with two additional markers (KI-67 and CD44) commonly used in tissue immunohistochemistry. These antibodies were validated together with the α-Tubulin antibody on HeLa cells (Supplementary figure S3). We then performed a live cell staining/destaining experiment with the CD44 antibody, showing that these can also be used to target the plasma membrane of live cells and cleaved using DTT (Supplementary figure S4). The anti KI-67 and CD44 antibodies and the α-Tubulin antibody were then used together with our cyclic staining protocol to stain formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) human glioblastoma sections. The tissue was first stained with the KI-67 antibody containing the cleavable linker and imaged, followed by treatment with 50 mM DTT. The staining/destaining procedure was then repeated with anti α-Tubulin and CD44 respectively (Figure 4). The resulting image faithfully represents the targeted structures and shows no bleedthrough of signal one cycle from another. In line with expectations, alpha-tubulin stained cytoskeletal structures in every cell, Ki67 mostly indicated cycling tumor cells, while CD44 was present in glioblastoma tumor cells and infiltrating macrophages<sup>25</sup>. The control of the state o

Lastly, we also verified whether the addition of 50 mM DTT induced additional perturbations of the cellular structure during washout. To do so, we stained actin filaments with a phalloidin-Atto488 conjugate that should not be cleavable with DTT and performed 10 consecutive destaining-imaging cycles with and without 50 mM DTT (Figure S5). The sample structuring was persistent over the imaging cycles, demonstrating that the addition of DTT did not introduce appreciable additional sample degradation.

In summary, we have presented a straightforward and inexpensive approach to label an amine-containing molecule such as an antibody or protein with an azide-containing molecule in such a way that the linker between them can be cleaved with a mild reducing agent. Performing this labeling requires only a one-pot reaction overnight followed by a single purification step such as benchtop size-exclusion chromatography. Antibodies labeled using our method resulted in comparable staining with respect to conventional antibody

labeling using non-cleavable linkers, but allowed nearly complete fluorophore removal within minutes upon addition of 50 mM DTT. By providing a straightforward approach for the repeated staining-imaging-stripping cycles inherent in highly multiplexed imaging, our method considerably expands the ease of use and applicability of methods such as high-content imaging and spatial transcriptomics.

# **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

# **Data availability**

Data for this article, including images are available at Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13866826.

# **Author contributions**

**VVD**: Investigation; Writing - Original Draft. **SD**: Investigation; Writing – review and editing. **RVdE**: Investigation; Visualisation. **JSHC**: Investigation. **FB:** Writing - Review & Editing. **FDS:** Writing - Review & Editing ; Conceptualization (supporting). **WD:** Conceptualization (supporting). **WV:** Writing - Review & Editing**. PD**: Conceptualization (lead); Writing - Review & Editing; Supervision. consider the original parameteris and the space of  $\frac{1}{2}$  consider the space of  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

# **Acknowledgments**

V.V.D. thanks the KU Leuven for postdoctoral fellowship PDMt1/22/006. V.V.D., S.D. and R.V.D.E. thank the Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO) for the fellowships. This work was supported by KU Leuven grant IDN/20/021 OrganADVANCE.

# **Notes and references**

- 1 T. Niehörster, A. Löschberger, I. Gregor, B. Krämer, H.-J. Rahn, M. Patting, F. Koberling, J. Enderlein and M. Sauer, *Nat. Methods*, 2016, **13**, 257–262.
- 2 T. Roebroek, W. Vandenberg, F. Sipieter, S. Hugelier, C. Stove, J. Zhang and P. Dedecker, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 2005.
- 3 J. Quérard, R. Zhang, Z. Kelemen, M.-A. Plamont, X. Xie, R. Chouket, I. Roemgens, Y. Korepina, S. Albright, E. Ipendey, M. Volovitch, H. L. Sladitschek, P. Neveu, L. Gissot, A. Gautier, J.-D. Faure, V. Croquette, T. Le Saux and L. Jullien, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 969.
- 4 J. W. Hickey, E. K. Neumann, A. J. Radtke, J. M. Camarillo, R. T. Beuschel, A. Albanese, E. McDonough, J. Hatler, A. E. Wiblin, J. Fisher, J. Croteau, E. C. Small, A. Sood, R. M. Caprioli, R. M. Angelo, G. P. Nolan, K. Chung, S. M. Hewitt, R. N. Germain, J. M. Spraggins, E. Lundberg, M. P. Snyder, N. L. Kelleher and S. K. Saka, *Nat. Methods*, 2022, **19**, 284–295.
- 5 T. Tsujikawa, S. Kumar, R. N. Borkar, V. Azimi, G. Thibault, Y. H. Chang, A. Balter, R. Kawashima, G. Choe, D. Sauer, E. El Rassi, D. R. Clayburgh, M. F. Kulesz-Martin, E. R. Lutz, L. Zheng, E. M. Jaffee, P. Leyshock, A. A. Margolin, M. Mori, J. W. Gray, P. W. Flint and L. M. Coussens, *Cell Rep.*, 2017, **19**, 203–217.
- 6 Y.-G. Park, C. H. Sohn, R. Chen, M. McCue, D. H. Yune GATicle Online Drummond, T. Ku, N. B. Evans, H. C. OakpWk. Trieu; HDChoi, X09A Jin, V. Lilascharoen, J. Wang, M. C. Truttmann, H. W. Qi, H. L. Ploegh, T. R. Golub, S.-C. Chen, M. P. Frosch, H. J. Kulik, B. K. Lim and K. Chung, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2019, **37**, 73–83.
- 7 E. Murray, J. H. Cho, D. Goodwin, T. Ku, J. Swaney, S.-Y. Kim, H. Choi, Y.-G. Park, J.-Y. Park, A. Hubbert, M. McCue, S. Vassallo, N. Bakh, M. P. Frosch, V. J. Wedeen, H. S. Seung and K. Chung, *Cell*, 2015, **163**, 1500–1514.
- 8 K. Holzwarth, R. Köhler, L. Philipsen, K. Tokoyoda, V. Ladyhina, C. Wählby, R. A. Niesner and A. E. Hauser, *Cytometry A*, 2018, **93**, 876–888.
- 9 J.-R. Lin, B. Izar, S. Wang, C. Yapp, S. Mei, P. M. Shah, S. Santagata and P. K. Sorger, *eLife*, 2018, **7**, e31657.
- 10 M. J. Gerdes, C. J. Sevinsky, A. Sood, S. Adak, M. O. Bello, A. Bordwell, A. Can, A. Corwin, S. Dinn, R. J. Filkins, D. Hollman, V. Kamath, S. Kaanumalle, K. Kenny, M. Larsen, M. Lazare, Q. Li, C. Lowes, C. C. McCulloch, E. McDonough, M. C. Montalto, Z. Pang, J. Rittscher, A. Santamaria-Pang, B. D. Sarachan, M. L. Seel, A. Seppo, K. Shaikh, Y. Sui, J. Zhang and F. Ginty, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2013, **110**, 11982–11987.
- 11 F. Schueder, M. T. Strauss, D. Hoerl, J. Schnitzbauer, T. Schlichthaerle, S. Strauss, P. Yin, H. Harz, H. Leonhardt and R. Jungmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 4052–4055.
- 12 R. Jungmann, M. S. Avendaño, J. B. Woehrstein, M. Dai, W. M. Shih and P. Yin, *Nat. Methods*, 2014, **11**, 313–318.
- 13 S. Pallikkuth, C. Martin, F. Farzam, J. S. Edwards, M. R. Lakin, D. S. Lidke and K. A. Lidke, *PLOS ONE*, 2018, **13**, e0203291.
- 14 J. Guo, N. Xu, Z. Li, S. Zhang, J. Wu, D. H. Kim, M. Sano Marma, Q. Meng, H. Cao, X. Li, S. Shi, L. Yu, S. Kalachikov, J. J. Russo, N. J. Turro and J. Ju, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2008, **105**, 9145–9150.
- 15 J. Ko, M. Wilkovitsch, J. Oh, R. H. Kohler, E. Bolli, M. J. Pittet, C. Vinegoni, D. B. Sykes, H. Mikula, R. Weissleder and J. C. T. Carlson, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2022, 1–9.
- 16 T. Reiber, C. Dose and D. A. Yushchenko, *RSC Chem. Biol.*, 2024, **5**, 684–690.
- 17 Y. Goltsev, N. Samusik, J. Kennedy-Darling, S. Bhate, M. Hale, G. Vazquez, S. Black and G. P. Nolan, *Cell*, 2018, **174**, 968-981.e15.
- 18 J. Ko, M. Wilkovitsch, J. Oh, R. H. Kohler, E. Bolli, M. J. Pittet, C. Vinegoni, D. B. Sykes, H. Mikula, R. Weissleder and J. C. T. Carlson, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2022, **40**, 1654–1662.
- 19 M. Wilkovitsch, M. Haider, B. Sohr, B. Herrmann, J. Klubnick, R. Weissleder, J. C. T. Carlson and H. Mikula, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 19132–19141.
- 20 N. Mougios, E. R. Cotroneo, N. Imse, J. Setzke, S. Rizzoli, N. A. Simeth, R. Tsukanov and F. Opazo, 2024, 2024.03.18.585511.
- 21 E. A. Halabi and R. Weissleder, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 8455–8463.
- 22 A. Beck, L. Goetsch, C. Dumontet and N. Corvaïa, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.*, 2017, **16**, 315–337.
- 23 E. Kim and H. Koo, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 7835–7851.
- 24 S. Vira, E. Mekhedov, G. Humphrey and P. S. Blank, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2010, **402**, 146–150.
- 25 A. R. Pombo Antunes, I. Scheyltjens, F. Lodi, J. Messiaen, A. Antoranz, J. Duerinck, D. Kancheva, L. Martens, K. De Vlaminck, H. Van Hove, S. S. Kjølner Hansen, F. M. Bosisio, K. Van der Borght, S. De Vleeschouwer, R. Sciot, L. Bouwens, M. Verfaillie, N. Vandamme, R. E. Vandenbroucke, O. De Wever, Y. Saeys, M. Guilliams, C. Gysemans, B. Neyns, F. De Smet, D. Lambrechts, J. A. Van Ginderachter and K. Movahedi, *Nat. Neurosci.*, 2021, **24**, 595–610.

# **Data availability**

Data for this article, including images are available at Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13866826.