


**Boosting functionality of perovskite oxide electrocatalyst, Hyoui Jo and Yejin Yang of the team led by Prof. Guntae Kim of Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology.**

Promotion of the oxygen evolution reaction *via* the reconstructed active phase of perovskite oxide

The correlation between B-site doping and OER performance was demonstrated. Upon replacing Co with Fe, the layered perovskite (LP) structure is converted to a randomly ordered perovskite (RP) structure. The formation of the secondary phase affects the conductivity associated with electrocatalyst activity. Moreover, we optimized OER performance by carefully modifying B-O shares without changing any other physical properties. For practical application, it showed excellent stability for 2000 hours (at 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) in a water-splitting system.

**As featured in:**



See Sang Kyu Kwak,  
Guntae Kim *et al.*,  
*J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2022, **10**, 2271.

Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2022, 10, 2271

## Promotion of the oxygen evolution reaction *via* the reconstructed active phase of perovskite oxide†

Hyoj Jo,<sup>‡a</sup> Yejin Yang,<sup>‡a</sup> Arim Seong,<sup>a</sup> Donghwi Jeong,<sup>a</sup> Jeongwon Kim,<sup>a</sup> Se Hun Joo,<sup>a</sup> Yu Jin Kim,<sup>a</sup> Linjuan Zhang,<sup>Ⓜb</sup> Ze Liu,<sup>b</sup> Jian-Qiang Wang,<sup>Ⓜb</sup> Sang Kyu Kwak<sup>\*a</sup> and Guntae Kim<sup>Ⓜ\*a</sup>

Developing a stable and highly efficient electrocatalyst for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) is critical for renewable, safe, and emission-free energy technologies. Perovskite oxides with flexible and tunable electronic structures as functional electrocatalysts towards the OER have been investigated for decades. Various strategies for highly efficient perovskite catalysts have been proposed; however, precise insights are still lacking due to the diverse metal compositions and tunable structures. Among them, controlling the composition of the transition metals at the B-site of the double perovskite was considered as a dominant parameter to improve the electrocatalytic activity. It is known that several Co-based perovskites exhibit extraordinary OER activities and stabilities when the B-site is partially occupied by Fe. To elucidate the function of B-site tuning of perovskite oxides,  $\text{PrBa}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_{5+\delta}$  (PBSCF) catalysts with various  $x$  values were prepared. Interestingly, *via* X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscope (TEM) analysis we found that the PBSCF catalysts coexist with layered perovskite (LP) structures and randomly ordered perovskite (RP) structures. In parallel, density functional theory computational calculations were conducted to provide theoretical insights into our research results. Our findings show that doping Fe into Co-based perovskite oxides alters the intrinsic properties, yielding efficient OER activity and prolonged stability (@ 100 mA  $\text{cm}^{-2}$  over 2000 hours).

Received 29th September 2021  
Accepted 5th December 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ta08445c

rsc.li/materials-a

## Introduction

With the rapid growth of energy demand, research on electrochemical conversion to valuable hydrogen energy has received much attention. Among multiple methods, water-splitting is considered an effective strategy for converting electricity from renewable sources into chemical energy.<sup>1–5</sup> Water-splitting involves two important reactions, the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER). In typical water-splitting, the overall electrical-to-chemical power conversion efficiency is largely dependent on the anodic OER process, which causes intrinsic sluggish kinetics due to four-electron transfer steps.<sup>6–8</sup>

To date, the benchmark OER electrocatalysts (*i.e.*,  $\text{IrO}_2$  and  $\text{RuO}_2$ ) suffer from scarcity and poor stability in alkaline electrolytes which make them unsuitable for practical use. These concerns have encouraged elaborate research activities to find

cost-effective and durable alternatives using nonprecious transition metal oxides. Indeed, the reported perovskites exhibited considerable electrocatalytic activity in alkaline electrolytes and in some cases much higher electrocatalytic activity than those of benchmark catalysts.<sup>9–14</sup>

It is well known that the OER activity of perovskites can be boosted through tailoring the lattice, surface defect, or electronic structure.<sup>8,15–17</sup> Those factors are mainly influenced by the B-site cation catalytically active sites forming the  $\text{BO}_6$  octahedron, which are generally 3d-transition metal elements, such as Fe, Co, and Ni with various valence and spin states. In this regard, the synergistic effect of B-site rearrangement may provide the opportunity to construct optimal active sites catalyzing oxygen evolution.<sup>18–23</sup> However, a detailed analysis of the explicit role of B-site dopants is still needed.

In this present work, we investigate the functional role of Fe dopant in enhancing the OER activities and stabilities of PBSCF by adjusting the amount precisely. All materials were prepared with a focus on tuning the electronic and lattice structures of perovskite oxides to enhance electrocatalytic activity.

Moreover, we reported for the first time  $\text{PrBa}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{1.55}\text{Fe}_{0.45}\text{O}_{5+\delta}$  (PBSCF0.45), which shows the best OER performance through carefully modifying the B–O sharing without changing other physical properties. In parallel, we related our findings to DFT (density functional theory) computations to provide

<sup>a</sup>Department of Energy Engineering, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology (UNIST), Ulsan 44919, Republic of Korea. E-mail: skkwak@unist.ac.kr; gtkim@unist.ac.kr

<sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Interfacial Physics and Technology, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, People's Republic of China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Tables S1–S5 and Fig. S1–S20. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ta08445c

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

a theoretical perspective on its electronic states and performance. Both points of view indicate simultaneous manipulation of the lattice volume and electronic structure due to the fine-tuned B-site of PBSCF catalysts. Especially, the oxygen vacancy ( $\delta$ ) and oxidation states of the transition metals were demonstrated precisely, and they may be dominant factors for efficient electrocatalytic activity. The optimized PBSCF0.45 catalyst exhibited an outstanding Tafel slope for the OER ( $69 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}$ ) compared to the commercial  $\text{IrO}_2$  catalyst ( $99 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}$ ). For practical application, it showed exceptional stability for 2000 hours under  $100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ .

## Results and discussion

### Structural characterization

$\text{PrBa}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_{5+\delta}$  ( $x = 0, 0.45, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, \text{ and } 2.0$ , all abbreviations of the prepared catalyst are summarized in Table S1†) were synthesized *via* the Pechini method, followed by a super-mill (SM) process for a large surface area (Fig. S1†). The structural properties of PBSCF catalysts were characterized through X-ray diffraction (XRD). As shown in Fig. S2,† the peaks represent a typical perovskite structure and shift to a lower angle as Fe is doped at the B-site.<sup>18,24,25</sup> For more insight, we carried out a Rietveld refinement analysis using the XRD pattern (Fig. S3 and Table S2†). Fig. S4† shows that as the Fe content increased, the major phase was converted from LP ( $P4/mmm$ ) to RP ( $Pm\bar{3}m$ ). The heterogeneous phase was found with

increasing Fe component, with the LP phase being replaced by the RP phase (Fig. 1a). The Fe amount was optimized as 0.45 according to the OER performance, which resulted from the weight fractions of the two phases. The heterogeneous phase was further verified using a high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM). As shown in Fig. 1b, the HR-TEM image of PBSCF0.45 reveals two different kinds of fast Fourier transform (FFT) pattern. Fig. 1b(1) was identified as the crystal structure of LP oxide corresponding to the (002) and (010) planes along the [100] zone axis. The existence of a dual-phase can be supported by superlattice reflection dots along the  $c$ -axis, indexed to (001).<sup>11,25–27</sup> The bottom side FFT image clearly reflects the cubically arranged diffraction spots of the [100] zone axis. In addition, a lattice fringe with a lattice spacing of 0.27 nm was observed in the HR-TEM image, corresponding to the (011) plane (inset in Fig. 1b). Identically, we confirmed that PBSC and PBSF have a single FFT pattern representing LP and RP, respectively (Fig. S6 and S7†).<sup>26</sup> The above results indicate that the formation of the heterogeneous phase could be attributed to Fe doping. To gain more insight into the structural and electronic properties, we constructed computational PBSCF models for various Fe contents (Fig. S8 and S9†). Based on the experimental observation, we modeled both the single perovskite structure ( $Pm\bar{3}m$ ) with the random ordering of A-site cations and the double perovskite structure ( $P4/mmm$ ) with a layered ordering of A-site cations (Fig. 1c). Fig. 1d shows that the calculated lattice volume increases as the Fe ratio at B-sites




Fig. 1 (a) Rietveld refined XRD pattern of PBSCF0.45. (b) Bright-field HR-TEM image (scale bar: 10 nm) of PBSCF0.45. FFT pattern corresponding to (1) and (2), respectively. (c) The crystal structure models of PBSCF perovskites. (d) Comparison of lattice volume change with increasing Fe ratio at the B-site obtained from XRD refinement and DFT calculation.

increases, which is consistent with the experimental trends obtained from Rietveld refinement. To further investigate the atomic structure, the volume of the  $\text{TMO}_6$  octahedron and TM–O bond length were analyzed (TM = transition metal, Co/Fe). When comparing PBSC with PBSF, the volume of the  $\text{FeO}_6$  octahedron is larger than that of the  $\text{CoO}_6$  octahedron (Fig. S11†). Accordingly, the Fe–O bond length indicates that the lattice expansion is attributed to the replacement of the smaller Co ions by the larger Fe ions. It is also worth noting that unlike the Fe–O bonds, there are two distinct Co–O bond lengths, which imply that the Jahn–Teller distortion is larger for the  $\text{CoO}_6$  octahedron compared to the  $\text{FeO}_6$  octahedron.

Furthermore, we investigated the formation of oxygen vacancies in PBSCF. The formation energy of oxygen vacancies was calculated for the major phase at different Fe contents: LP structure at low Fe contents ( $x < 1$ ) and RP structure at high Fe contents ( $x > 1$ ). The result suggests that the formation of oxygen vacancies is energetically more favorable in the LP structure at  $x < 1$  than in the RP structure at  $x > 1$  (Fig. S12†). Based on a previous study, in the LP structure, the formation of oxygen vacancies is most preferred in the PrO plane.<sup>28</sup> Especially, an oxygen vacancy is preferentially created in the vicinity of Co than Fe.<sup>25</sup> However, in the RP structure, the PrO plane disappears due to the random arrangement of Pr cations at the A-site. Moreover, high Fe content increases the Fe–O–Co and Fe–O–Fe bonding configurations, where oxygen is relatively stabilized by Fe. Therefore, it is expected that the formation of oxygen vacancies is suppressed as the Fe content increases.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were recorded to examine the microstructures with a relatively similar surface morphology (Fig. S14†) regardless of Fe doping. The prepared PBSCF samples also exhibited negligible differences in surface area (Fig. S15 and Table S3†). These results indicated that the PBSCF series was well controlled while confirming that Fe doping did not significantly alter other physical properties.

### Electronic configuration

We demonstrate the electronic configuration caused as well as the related structural identification by Fe doping. The valence state of Co can be investigated with the help of the X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectra shown in Fig. 2a. The Co K-edge peak positions and overall shape of PBSCF catalysts are similar. As the Fe content increases, the oxidation state of Co tends to gradually increase in the LP major region,  $0.45 < x \leq 1$  (inset in Fig. 2a). In addition, we have confirmed that PBSCF0.45 has the lowest oxidation state of the Co element among the samples, which means increased electron density of Co.<sup>29</sup> The increased electron density of Co in PBSCF0.45 leads to the rise of the d-band center, causing the smallest gap ( $\Delta E_{\text{d-p}}$ ) between Co d-orbitals and O p-orbitals. The increased overlap between Co d-orbitals and O p-orbitals could account for the enhanced OER activity of the catalyst. Meanwhile, the decrease in the oxidation state of PBSCF1.50 appears to be due to the heterogeneous phase caused by the rapid increase of secondary phase formation (Fig. S4†). It can be said that the electronic structure is associated with the structural

feature. A similar phenomenon is also observed at the Fe K-edge, indicating that PBSCF1.50 is an inflection point of the oxidation state change (Fig. S16a†). To further probe the local structure of Co/Fe, we conducted the Fourier transform (FT) of a  $k^3$ -weighted extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS). The overall peaks are related to the interatomic properties within the perovskite structure. In Fig. 2b, the first major peak was ascribable to the backscattering contributions from the closest ligands and was best fitted with O atoms. The second peak is the contribution from the Co–A-site cation coordination shell.<sup>30,31</sup> Particularly, the peak at about 2.6–3.2 Å is gradually split into two peaks with Fe doping. This phenomenon is attributable to the formation of the heterogeneous phase that occurs prominently from PBSCF1.50, which is consistent with the above analyses. The peak adjacent to 3.6 Å can be ascribed to Co–metals in the corner-sharing octahedra (Co–B) of the perovskite. In this regard, the Co–B bond distance increases proportionally to the amount of Fe, which might be the result of the lattice expansion. Interestingly, a similar tendency of the Fe–B peak location was observed with the increase of Fe content (Fig. S16b†).

To further understand the effect of the Fe dopant on the electronic structure of Co, which is considered as the major active site in PBSCF, DFT calculations were performed to analyze the change in the d-band center of Co and the gap ( $\Delta E_{\text{d-p}}$ ) between the d-band center of Co and p-band center of O bonded to Co. Given that the major phase of PBSCF is the LP structure with oxygen vacancy at low Fe content ( $x < 1$ , blue region in Fig. 2c and e) and the RP structure without oxygen vacancy at high Fe content ( $x > 1$ , brown region in Fig. 2d and f), the d-band center of Co is the highest at  $x = 0.5$ . Consequently, in the LP structure with oxygen vacancy, the  $\Delta E_{\text{d-p}}$  between the d-band center of Co and the p-band center of O is the smallest at  $x = 0.5$ . These results are indicative of high hybridization and covalency between Co d-orbitals and O p-orbitals as well as enhanced charge transfer between active redox sites and adsorbed intermediates.<sup>32,33</sup> The smallest  $\Delta E_{\text{d-p}}$  at  $x = 0.5$  is attributed to the oxygen vacancy as well as the shrinkage of the  $\text{CoO}_6$  octahedron due to Fe doping. The presence of oxygen vacancy in  $x < 1$  PBSCF reduced the gap between the d- and p-band centers compared to PBSCF without oxygen vacancy (Fig. 2e and f), which was consistent with the literature.<sup>33,34</sup> In addition, the replacement of the smaller Co ions by the larger Fe ions results in compressive strains in the neighboring  $\text{CoO}_6$  octahedron and shorter Co–O bonds (blue region in Fig. S11†), which shifts the d-band center of Co to higher energy and reduces the gap between the d- and p-band centers. Based on the study of correlated XAS and DFT calculations for OER catalysts, we concluded that the optimized d-band center of Co through fine control of Fe doping promotes the electrocatalytic performance in the OER process.

### Half-cell configured electrochemical analysis

The electrocatalytic activity of the prepared catalysts for the OER was evaluated in a standard three-electrode configuration using a rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) measurement. Fig. 3a




Fig. 2 (a) Normalized Co K-edge XANES spectra of the PBSCF catalysts. The inset shows the photon energy at an intensity of 0.8. (b) Fourier transforms of Co K-edge  $k^3$ -weighted EXAFS spectra for the PBSCF catalysts. The O p- and the TM d-band centers of (c)  $\text{PrBa}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_{5.5}$  and (d)  $\text{PrBa}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_{6.0}$ . The  $\Delta E_{d-p}$  between the O p- and TM d-band centers of (e)  $\text{PrBa}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_{5.5}$  and (f)  $\text{PrBa}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_{6.0}$ .

presents the OER polarization curves of the PBSCF catalysts with fine-tuned B-sites.  $\text{IrO}_2$ , known as the benchmark catalyst for the OER, was applied as a reference catalyst. The onset potential of PBSC, PBSCF1.00, PBSCF1.50, and PBSCF0.45 had similar values close to 1.50 V (vs. RHE), while PBSCF0.50 exhibited onset potential at 1.48 V (vs. RHE). The difference in the onset potential indicates that the conductivity was influenced by the formation of secondary phases identified by structural analysis. As an inherent parameter to assess the OER kinetics of catalysts, the Tafel slope

is derived from polarization curves. As expected, the Tafel slope for PBSCF0.45 is calculated in detail to be  $69 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}$ , clearly lower than those of other species ( $70\text{--}124 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}$ ), further evidencing the fast OER kinetics (Fig. 3b). ECSA values were investigated from the double-layer capacitance ( $C_{dl}$ ) as shown in Fig. 3c. The recorded cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves at different scan rates are shown in Fig. S20.† PBSCF0.45 gives the largest  $C_{dl}$  value ( $42.23 \text{ mF cm}^{-2}$ ) while PBSCF has the lowest value ( $15.95 \text{ mF cm}^{-2}$ ), suggesting the active area difference. Moreover, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analysis




Fig. 3 Electrochemical performance of the PBSCF catalysts for the OER. (a) The polarization curves, (b) Tafel slopes, (c) capacitive currents (at 1.15 V vs. RHE) at different scan rates, and (d) EIS curves (at 1.5 V vs. RHE) measured in  $N_2$ -saturated 1 M KOH. The double layer capacitances of each catalyst are shown in Table S4.† (e) Overpotential at a current density of  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  (top) and mass activity at an overpotential of 0.3 V (bottom) for the PBSCF catalysts and  $\text{IrO}_2$ . (f) Specific activity normalized by ECSA and catalyst surface area at 1.53 V (vs. RHE). (g) Overpotential of the OER for PBSCF0.45 (at  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ ) compared with those of various perovskite-based catalysts.

was performed to understand the effect of electrical conductivity on the OER performance. The circuit consisting of electrolyte resistance ( $R_s$ ), charge transfer resistance ( $R_{ct}$ ), and constant phase element (CPE) is shown in the Fig. 3d inset. The order of the charge transfer resistance for the PBSCF catalysts is PBSCF (29.26  $\Omega \text{ cm}^2$ ), PBSC (26.73  $\Omega \text{ cm}^2$ ), PBSCF1.50 (15.87  $\Omega \text{ cm}^2$ ), PBSCF1.00 (13.04  $\Omega \text{ cm}^2$ ), PBSCF0.50 (4.6  $\Omega \text{ cm}^2$ ), and PBSCF0.45 (4.53  $\Omega \text{ cm}^2$ ), which coincides with the order of the OER activity. PBSCF0.45 exhibits the smallest charge transfer resistance during the OER, thereby achieving faster and more efficient charge transfer for OER electrocatalysis.

In Fig. 3e and f, the noticeable OER activity of PBSCF0.45 is shown. At a current density of  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ , the overpotential of the PBSCF0.45 catalyst is 0.29 V, which is 0.10 V less than that of the benchmark  $\text{IrO}_2$  with an overpotential of 0.39 V as shown in the top of Fig. 3e. The bottom of Fig. 3e compares the mass

activity of electrocatalysts at an overpotential of 0.3 V. The prepared PBSCF catalysts had higher mass activity than that of the  $\text{IrO}_2$  benchmark catalyst. Additionally, the mass activity of PBSCF0.45 ( $134.91 \text{ A g}_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ ) reaches about 6 times higher than that of  $\text{IrO}_2$  ( $22.62 \text{ A g}_{\text{catalyst}}^{-1}$ ). It is necessary to evaluate the intrinsic activity of the catalyst by excluding parameters such as the actual catalyst surface area or ECSA. Fig. 3f compares the intrinsic OER activities normalized to ECSA and the surface area. PBSCF0.45 has about 8 times higher specific activity for BET surface area and about 3 times higher specific activity for ECSA than the  $\text{IrO}_2$  benchmark catalyst. In view of the outstanding OER catalytic performance of PBSCF0.45, various state-of-the-art perovskite-based catalysts tested under similar conditions (in 1.0 M KOH solution) in the literature were introduced for comparison (Table S5†). As can be seen in




Fig. 4 (a) Illustration of the water-splitting system. (b) Comparison of  $I$ - $V$  profiles of the PBSCF0.45 catalyst and the  $\text{IrO}_2$  benchmark catalyst in the water-splitting system. (c) Durability test of PBSCF0.45 and  $\text{IrO}_2$ . The polarization curve was recorded before and after the CP test. (d) CP stability profiles of the PBSCF0.45 and  $\text{IrO}_2$  catalysts at a constant current density of  $100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  for 2000 hours.

Fig. 3g, the OER activity of PBSCF0.45 ranks the highest among perovskite oxide-based catalysts ever reported.

### Evaluation of electrochemical activities in practical applications

To evaluate the electrochemical performance and stability in practical application, the prepared PBSCF0.45 catalyst was investigated in a water-splitting system. The water-splitting system was operated in a three-electrode configuration (*vs.* Hg/HgO). The iridium oxide ( $\text{IrO}_2$ ) is used for the anode catalyst, which is generally used as a reference OER electrode. Fig. 4a is an illustration of a water-splitting system that shows that hydrogen ( $\text{H}_2$ ) gas and oxygen ( $\text{O}_2$ ) gas are actively generated at the cathode and anode, respectively. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) polarization curve shows the electrochemical performance of water-splitting at various current densities from 0 to  $250 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  (Fig. 4b). To differentiate the detailed electrochemical analysis, the polarization curve was separated into the obtained cell potential ( $E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{anode}} - E_{\text{cathode}}$ ),  $E_{\text{anode}}$  (OER), and  $E_{\text{cathode}}$  (HER). Although the open-circuit voltage (OCV) using the PBSCF0.45 electrode was almost the same as that using the  $\text{IrO}_2$  electrode, there was a sharp increase in current density with increasing overpotential. Thus, to achieve a current density of  $250 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ , the PBSCF0.45 electrode requires a lower potential of  $1.03 \text{ V vs. Hg/HgO}$  in  $E_{\text{anode}}$ , which has a significantly lower value than that of the benchmark  $\text{IrO}_2$  electrode ( $1.34 \text{ V vs. Hg/HgO}$ ). To investigate the electrochemical stability and durability of the PBSCF0.45 catalyst, we measured its chronopotentiometry (CP) profiles at a current density of  $100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ , as shown in Fig. 4d. The onset potential values before and after the CP test for the PBSCF0.45

and  $\text{IrO}_2$  electrodes can be seen in Fig. 4c. The benchmark  $\text{IrO}_2$  electrode showed gradual degradation for the anodic reaction rather than PBSCF0.45 during the continuously harsh test. In contrast, PBSCF0.45 exhibited a remarkably stable operation over 2000 h without an observable change in electrochemical performance demonstrating its exceptional durability in the OER, even at a high current density of  $100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ .

The results of the practical application indicate that the electrocatalytic activity and stability of PBSCF0.45 correspond well with the RRDE measurements. Consequently, these electrochemical results imply that the well-developed perovskite oxide electrocatalyst exhibited overwhelming water electrolysis stability compared to that achieved in other studies.

## Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated in detail the correlation between B-site doping and OER performance for  $\text{PrBa}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_{5+\delta}$ . Upon replacing Co with Fe, the LP structure is converted to the RP structure. The formation of the secondary phase affects the conductivity associated with electrocatalyst activity. However, the appropriate amount of Fe yields favorable OER activity by not only generating high hybridization and covalency of Co-O but also enhancing charge transfer between active redox sites and adsorbed intermediates. We reported for the first time  $\text{PrBa}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{1.55}\text{Fe}_{0.45}\text{O}_{5+\delta}$  (PBSCF0.45) in the composition of PBSCF. PBSCF0.45 showed remarkable oxygen-generating activity in alkaline medium with lower overpotential ( $0.29 \text{ V}$ ) and higher specific activity than benchmark  $\text{IrO}_2$ . Evaluation for practical application using a water-splitting system confirmed that PBSCF0.45 had exceptional stability for

2000 hours (@ 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>). We present a method to design OER-optimized perovskite oxide electrocatalysts by identifying structural and electronic state changes following B-site doping.

## Experimental section

### Synthesis of PrBa<sub>0.5</sub>Sr<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>2-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>5+δ</sub>

PrBa<sub>0.5</sub>Sr<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>2-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>5+δ</sub> (PBSCF) ( $x = 0, 0.45, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5,$  and  $2.0$ ) were synthesized using the Pechini method. Stoichiometric amounts of Pr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (Aldrich, 99.9%, metal basis), Ba(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Aldrich, 99+%), Sr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Aldrich, 99+%), Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (Aldrich, 98+%), Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O (Aldrich, 98%), citric acid, and ethylene glycol were dissolved in distilled water under continuous stirring. This solution was thoroughly mixed with a magnetic stirrer and combusted through the gelation process. The remaining powder was collected, followed by calcination at 600 °C for 4 hours and ball-milled in acetone for 24 hours. Then, the resultant powders were sintered in air at 1100 °C for 4 hours. The sintered PBSCF underwent a high-energy milling process (Super-mill PM 100, Retsch, Fig. S1†) resulting in increased surface area, which influenced the enhancement of OER activity (Fig. S18†). The chemical composition of the samples and their abbreviations are given in Table S1.†

### Structural characterization

For evaluation of the structural properties, the powder was calcined at 1100 °C for 12 hours to form the phase. The crystalline structures of the PBSCF catalysts were confirmed using X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, Rigaku-diffractometer, Cu K<sub>α</sub> radiation) at a scan rate of 0.5° min<sup>-1</sup> in the 2θ range of 15 to 105°. The Rietveld refinement method *via* the GSAS II program was used to analyze the crystal structure and lattice parameters of the sample. The morphology of the PBSCF catalysts was observed using a field emission scanning electron microscope (Nova Nano SEM, FEI). The detailed crystal structure was performed using high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM, JEOL, JEM-2100F). The surface area of the sample was calculated by measuring N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms using a BELSORP-mini and the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. Analysis of the K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) of Co and Fe was conducted at beamline 14W1 of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) and beamline 1W1B of the Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility (BSRF) in China.

### Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical test was performed using a BioLogic VMP3 with the RRDE-3A (ALS Co.) rotating disk electrode system. A graphite rod and Hg/HgO electrode (saturated KOH filled) were used as the counter electrode and the reference electrode, respectively. As the working electrode, a glassy carbon (GC) electrode with an area of 0.13 cm<sup>2</sup> was used. Ink solutions for each catalyst were manufactured by adding 10 mg of catalyst to the mixed solution of EtOH/isopropyl alcohol (IPA) with a 1 : 1 volumetric ratio and adding 5 mg Nafion (Sigma-Aldrich). Then, the mixture was ultrasonicated for 1 h to form homogeneous

catalyst inks. Electrochemical measurement was carried out by drop-coating 5 μL of the prepared ink on the working electrode. Calibration of the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) for the Hg/HgO reference electrode was determined at a scan rate of 1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in H<sub>2</sub>-saturated 1 M KOH using platinum wire as the working and the counter electrode (Fig. S17†). All half-cell profiles were *iR* compensated by resistance from the measured solution. The OER profile was then investigated in an aqueous N<sub>2</sub>-saturated 1 M KOH solution with a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. All CV profiles were *iR* compensated by measuring the resistance of the solution. Transforming the potential to the RHE scale ( $E_{\text{RHE}}$ ) was performed using the following equation:

$$E \text{ (V vs. RHE)} = E_{\text{Hg/HgO}}^0 + E_{\text{Hg/HgO}} + 0.0591 \times \text{pH}$$

where  $E_{\text{Hg/HgO}}^0$  and  $E_{\text{Hg/HgO}}$  are 0.098 V and the measured potential for Hg/HgO, respectively.

The water-splitting test was conducted using a BioLogic VMP3 with a three-electrode configuration using Hg/HgO as a reference electrode. The cathode and anode were prepared by electro-spraying the prepared catalyst ink on Ni mesh as a current collector with a catalyst loading density of 1 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. The measurement was carried out in a 1 M KOH aqueous solution. The current density was normalized with the geometric area of the catalyst.

### Computational details

To investigate the effect of Fe dopant on the structural and electronic properties of PBSCF, we constructed PBSCF models for various Fe contents ( $x = 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5,$  and  $2.0$ ). Based on the experimental observation of the coexistence of RP and LP, we modeled both the single perovskite structure ( $Pm\bar{3}m$ ) with the random ordering of A-site cations and the double perovskite structure ( $P4/mmm$ ) with a layered ordering of A-site cations. The unit cells of  $Pm\bar{3}m$  and  $P4/mmm$  perovskite structures were obtained from the Materials Project databases (mp-20427 and mp-22751). Depending on the perovskite structure and chemical composition, different supercell models were constructed:  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  supercell of the  $Pm\bar{3}m$  perovskite structure and  $\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \times 1$  supercell of the  $P4/mmm$  perovskite structure for PBSC ( $x = 0$ ) and PBSF ( $x = 2$ ), and  $2\sqrt{2} \times 2\sqrt{2} \times 2$  supercell of the  $Pm\bar{3}m$  perovskite structure and  $2 \times 2 \times 1$  of the  $P4/mmm$  perovskite structure for other compositions ( $x = 0.5, 1.0,$  and  $1.5$ ) (Fig. S8†). In all chemical compositions, Pr, Ba, and Sr atoms were randomly distributed in the A-site in the RP models, while Pr and Ba/Sr atoms were ordered in alternating layers along the *c* axis in the LP models. For PBSCF with  $x = 0.5, 1.0,$  and  $1.5$ , Fe dopants were distributed homogeneously in the B-site. Furthermore, we also constructed models for PrBa<sub>0.5</sub>Sr<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>2-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>5.5</sub> by introducing oxygen vacancies next to Co atoms to study the effect of oxygen vacancy (Fig. S9†). In the RP models, oxygen vacancies were homogeneously distributed, while oxygen vacancies were distributed in the PrO layer in the LP models.

All spin-polarized DFT calculations were performed using the Vienna *Ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP)<sup>35,36</sup> with the projector-augmented-wave (PAW) method.<sup>37</sup> The generalized



gradient approximation (GGA) with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional was used to describe the exchange–correlation potential of electrons.<sup>38</sup> The wave functions were expanded using a kinetic energy cutoff of 550 eV. The Brillouin zone was integrated with the gamma-centered Monkhorst–Pack  $k$ -point grids:  $3 \times 3 \times 3$   $k$ -point grid for the PBSC ( $x = 0$ ) and PBSF ( $x = 2$ ), and  $2 \times 2 \times 3$   $k$ -point grid for the other compositions ( $x = 0.5, 1.0, \text{ and } 1.5$ ).<sup>39</sup> The convergence criterion for the self-consistent field calculation was set to  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  eV. The atomic positions and lattice parameters were fully relaxed until the Hellmann–Feynman forces were less than  $0.01 \text{ eV } \text{Å}^{-1}$ . To compensate for the self-interaction error of GGA, Hubbard  $U$  correction (GGA +  $U$ ) was introduced for Co ( $U = 3.3$ ) and Fe ( $U = 5.3$ ).<sup>40</sup> Through fixed spin multiplet calculation for PBSC and PBSF, the intermediate spin state of Co and the high spin state of Fe were found to be the most energetically stable. Therefore, in all calculations, initial spin states of Co and Fe were specified as intermediate and high spin states. In the optimized structure, the resulting magnetic moment of the transition metal indicates that Co is in the intermediate spin state and Fe is in the high spin state, respectively (Fig. S13†).

The oxygen vacancy formation energy ( $E_{\text{Vo}}$ ) at the O-rich limit was calculated as follows.

$$E_{\text{Vo}} = E_{\text{defect}} - E_{\text{bulk}} + \frac{1}{2}E_{\text{O}_2}$$

where  $E_{\text{bulk}}$  and  $E_{\text{defect}}$  are the calculated energies of  $\text{PrBa}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_6$  and  $\text{PrBa}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_{5.5}$ , which are normalized to a formula with  $\text{O}_{12}$  and  $\text{O}_{11}$ , respectively, and  $E_{\text{O}_2}$  is the energy of a gas-phase oxygen molecule.

The projected density of states (PDOS) of oxygen p-orbitals and transition metal d-orbitals was calculated to obtain the d–p band center gap ( $\Delta E_{\text{d-p}}$ ). The p-band center of oxygen ( $\varepsilon_{\text{p}}$ ) and the d-band center of the transition metals ( $\varepsilon_{\text{d}}$ ) were calculated as follows.

$$\varepsilon_{\text{p or d}} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{E_{\text{f}}} E \rho_{\text{p or d}}(E) dE}{\int_{-\infty}^{E_{\text{f}}} \rho_{\text{p or d}}(E) dE}$$

where  $\rho_{\text{p or d}}$  is the density of states projected onto p- or d-orbitals and  $E_{\text{f}}$  is the Fermi energy.

## Author contributions

H. J. and Y. Y. conceived the designed research. H. J. and Y. Y. performed the overall electrochemical analysis. A. S. and J. K. discussed the structural analysis. S. H. J., Y. J. K., and S. K. K. performed computational calculations and discussed the results. L. Z., Z. L., and J.-Q. W. conducted the XAFS measurements. The work was conceived, planned, and supervised by G. K. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grants (2020M1A2A2080877 and 2021R1A5A6002853). This work was supported by the Global PhD Fellowship Program through an NRF grant funded by the Korean government (NRF-2018H1A2A1060644) and partially supported by “The Transformational Technologies for Clean Energy and Demonstration” (XDA21000000) through the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. This research was also supported by the K. C. Wong Education Foundation (GJTD-2018-10). The computational resources were supported by KISTI (KSC-2021-CRE-0205).

## References

- W. Yuan, S. Wang, Y. Ma, Y. Qiu, Y. An and L. Cheng, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2020, **5**, 692–700.
- N. Oh, C. Kim, J. Lee, O. Kwon, Y. Choi, G. Jung, H. Lim, S. Kwak, G. Kim and H. Park, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1723.
- Y. Yang, J. Kim, C. Kim, A. Seong, O. Kwon, J. H. Lee, I. Kristanto, L. Zhang, J. Zhou, J. Q. Wang, J. B. Baek, S. K. Kwak and G. Kim, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **76**, 105114.
- C. Kim, J. Kim, S. Joo, Y. Yang, J. Shin, M. Liu, J. Cho and G. Kim, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 9506–9511.
- N. K. Dang, J. N. Tiwari, S. Sultan, A. Meena and K. S. Kim, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **404**, 126513.
- C. Hu, L. Zhang and J. Gong, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**, 2620–2645.
- Y. Yang, J. Kim, C. Kim, A. Seong, O. Kwon, J. Lee, I. Kristanto, L. Zhang, J. Zhou, J.-Q. Wang, J. B. Baek, S. K. Kwak and G. Kim, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **76**, 105114.
- N. I. Kim, Y. J. Sa, T. S. Yoo, S. R. Choi, R. A. Afzal, T. Choi, Y. S. Seo, K. S. Lee, J. Y. Hwang, W. S. Choi, S. H. Joo and J. Y. Park, *Sci. Adv.*, 2018, **4**, eaap9360.
- G. Chen, Z. Hu, Y. Zhu, Z. G. Chen, Y. Zhong, H. J. Lin, C. Te Chen, L. H. Tjeng, W. Zhou and Z. Shao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 9854–9859.
- J. Kim, O. Gwon, O. Kwon, J. Mahmood, C. Kim, Y. Yang, H. Lee, J. Lee, H. Jeong, J. Baek and G. Kim, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 5502–5512.
- Q. Lin, Y. Zhu, Z. Hu, Y. Yin, H. J. Lin, C. Te Chen, X. Zhang, Z. Shao and H. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 6480–6486.
- Y. Yi, Q. Wu, J. Li, W. Yao and C. Cui, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 17439–17449.
- H. Zhang, D. Guan, X. Gao, J. Yu, G. Chen, W. Zhou and Z. Shao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 19228–19233.
- Y. Pan, X. Xu, Y. Zhong, L. Ge, Y. Chen, J.-P. M. Veder, D. Guan, R. O’Hayre, M. Li, G. Wang, H. Wang, W. Zhou and Z. Shao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 2002.
- D. Chen, M. Qiao, Y. R. Lu, L. Hao, D. Liu, C. L. Dong, Y. Li and S. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 8691–8696.
- W. T. Hong, M. Risch, K. A. Stoerzinger, A. Grimaud, J. Suntivich and Y. S. Horn, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 1404–1427.
- Y. L. Lee, J. Kleis, J. Rossmeisl, S. H. Yang and D. Morgan, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 3966–3970.

- 18 B. Zhao, L. Zhang, D. Zhen, S. Yoo, Y. Ding, D. Chen, Y. Chen, Q. Zhang, B. Doyle, X. Xiong and M. Liu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 14586.
- 19 H. Sun, X. Xu, Z. Hu, L. H. Tjeng, J. Zhao, Q. Zhang, H. J. Lin, C. T. Chen, T. S. Chan, W. Zhou and Z. Shao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 9924–9932.
- 20 F. Dong, L. Li, Z. Kong, X. Xu, Y. Zhang, Z. Gao, B. Dongyang, M. Ni, Q. Liu and Z. Lin, *Small*, 2021, **17**, 2006638.
- 21 M. Seo, H. Park, D. Lee, M. Park and Z. Chen, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 4337–4344.
- 22 H. Wang, J. Wang, Y. Pi, Q. Shao, Y. Tan and X. Huang, *Angew. Chem.*, 2019, **131**, 2338–2342.
- 23 X. Xu, C. Su, W. Zhou, Y. Zhu, Y. Chen and Z. Shao, *Adv. Sci.*, 2016, **3**, 1500187.
- 24 Y. Bu, O. Gwon, G. Nam, H. Jang, S. Kim, Q. Zhong, J. Cho and G. Kim, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 11594–11601.
- 25 S. Choi, S. Yoo, J. Kim, S. Park, A. Jun, S. Sengodan, J. Kim, J. Shin, H. Jeong, Y. Choi, G. Kim and M. Liu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, **3**, 2426.
- 26 S. Sengodan, S. Choi, A. Jun, T. H. Shin, Y. W. Ju, H. Y. Jeong, J. Shin, J. T. S. Irvine and G. Kim, *Nat. Mater.*, 2015, **14**, 205–209.
- 27 Y. Zhu, W. Zhou, J. Sunarso, Y. Zhong and Z. Shao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 5862–5872.
- 28 U. Anjum, T. S. Khan, M. Agarwal and M. A. Haider, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 25243–25253.
- 29 B. J. Kim, E. Fabbri, D. F. Abbott, X. Cheng, A. H. Clark, M. Nachtegaal, M. Borlaf, I. E. Castelli, T. Graule and T. J. Schmidt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 5231–5240.
- 30 S. K. Pandey, S. Khalid, N. P. Lalla and A. V. Pimpale, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2006, **18**, 10617–10630.
- 31 E. Fabbri, M. Nachtegaal, T. Binninger, X. Cheng, B. J. Kim, J. Durst, F. Bozza, T. Graule, R. Schäublin, L. Wiles, M. Pertoso, N. Danilovic, K. E. Ayers and T. J. Schmidt, *Nat. Mater.*, 2017, **16**, 925–931.
- 32 A. Grimaud, O. D. Morales, B. Han, W. T. Hong, Y. L. Lee, L. Giordano, K. A. Stoerzinger, M. T. M. Koper and Y. S. Horn, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017, **9**, 457–465.
- 33 J. Wang, Y. Gao, D. Chen, J. Liu, Z. Zhang, Z. Shao and F. Ciucci, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 364–371.
- 34 J. T. Mefford, X. Rong, A. M. Abakumov, W. G. Hardin, S. Dai, A. M. Kolpak, K. P. Johnston and K. J. Stevenson, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11053.
- 35 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 11169–11186.
- 36 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 1996, **6**, 15–50.
- 37 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1999, **59**, 1758–1775.
- 38 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865–3868.
- 39 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 1976, **13**, 5188–5192.
- 40 A. Jain, S. P. Ong, G. Hautier, W. Chen, W. D. Richards, S. Dacek, S. Cholia, D. Gunter, D. Skinner, G. Ceder and K. A. Persson, *APL Mater.*, 2013, **1**, 011002.