Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



Cunfa Sun,^a Simona Prosperini,^a Pierluigi Quagliotto,^a Guido Viscardi,^a Sam S. Yoon,^b Roberto Gobetto^a and Carlo Nervi^a*

Three novel thiophene substituted bipyridine ligands and their corresponding rhenium complexes were synthesized and tested for the electrocatalytic reduction of CO₂. Two complexes underwent oxidative electropolymerization on glassy carbon electrode (GCE) surface. The conductive polymers chemically deposited on GCE allow electron transport from the surface to the polymer-attached rhenium catalytic center in contact with the solution. The chemically modified electrodes show significant catalytic activities for CO₂ reduction, and moderate relative higher stabilities when compared with the homogeneous solution counterparts.

Introduction

The photoelectrochemical conversion of CO_2 into valuable chemicals/fuels is an ideal approach for the contemporary challenges of greenhouse emission and energy shortage. However, the knowledge and technology are still in their infancy, when compared to the water splitting ones.¹⁻⁶ Over the last decades, many organometallic catalysts were explored for efficient and selective electrochemical CO_2 conversion.⁷⁻¹⁵ Rhenium chlorotricarbonyl complexes bearing bipyridyl ligands introduced by Lehn¹⁶ are among the most studied, robust and higher selective catalysts for the electrochemical reduction of CO_2 to $CO.^{17-19}$ The effects of several type of substituted bipyridines^{17, 20-23} were tested, and the photochemical and electrochemical mechanism leading to CO thoroughly explored (see^{14, 23} for a recent brief synopsis).

Most of the published papers focused on homogeneous catalysis, mainly because the design, fine-tuning and the synthesis of catalysts are more straightforward and simple. On the other hand, large-scale applications of heterogeneous catalytic systems are widespread due to their advantages in terms of operation, cost, durability and easier recovering procedure. The combination of the two approaches could make the heterogeneous CO_2 conversion by green energy (i.e. solar energy) more industrially feasible and appealing.^{18, 24}

Not many papers^{18, 25-34} deal with electrode modified by Re-

bipyridine complexes, meanwhile immobilization of intact organometallic catalysts on a surface³⁵ is a challenging opportunity³⁵⁻³⁷ because of potential improved stability, durability, handling and reactivity in electrochemical and photoelectrochemical cells.⁵ Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) can quickly test the catalyst activity, simply comparing the cathodic peak currents with and without CO₂ atmosphere. However, the evaluation of durability is time and material consuming, usually requiring exhaustive electrolysis. Herein we suggest that one or few molecular layers of catalyst deposited on the electrode surface would dramatically reduce both the required amount of catalyst and time for durability tests, since the bulk of the solution no longer renew the catalyst produced on the electrode surface by diffusion.^{38, 39}

Direct functionalization of the electrode surface by intact organometallic fragments can be realized by oxidation³⁵ or reduction⁴⁰ of a complex containing amino or diazonium groups, respectively. An alternative approach is to resort to a suitable polymer as solid support for Re catalysts. In such a case, the polymer must allow the transport of electrons from the electrode surface to the polymer-bound Re centres in contact with the solution. Thiophene and polythiophene have drawn a lot of attention for their high stability and good electron conducting properties.⁴¹⁻⁴⁵ Besides thiophene, 3'-substituted terthiophene, used as polymer backbone, shows some advantages,^{46, 47} such as less steric hindrance and higher conductivity.

In this work, bipyridines modified at 4-position with thiophene (T), 2,2':5',2''-terthiophene (TT) and 3'-ethynyl 2,2':5',2''-terthiophene (ETT) were synthesized, the corresponding new Re complexes (T)Re(CO)₃Cl (1), (TT)Re(CO)₃Cl (2) and (ETT)Re(CO)₃Cl (3) obtained (see Scheme 1), and their electrochemical behaviour in homogeneous solutions studied. We evaluated the catalytic properties of the electron-conducting polymer films. Complexes 2 and 3, bearing

AL SOCIETY **Chemistry**

^{a.} Department of Chemistry, NIS and CIRCC (Bari), University of Torino via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy. Email: carlo.nervi@unito.it.

^{b.} School of Mechanical Engineering, Korea University, Seoul 136-713, Republic of Korea.

<code>+Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)</code> available: Detailed syntheses of the ligands **T**, **TT** and **ETT**, CV of **1**, **2** and **3** at first and second reduction, plot of the peak current vs. square root of the scan rate, HOMO-1, HOMO and LUMO pictures, electro-polymerization data and stability under CO₂ for complex **3.** See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

ARTICLE





terthiophene units were successfully electropolymerized on the electrode surface by oxidation and their catalytic activity towards CO_2 reduction compared. We thought that the active metal centre in **2** could be hindered by the organic moiety during the polymerization process, so that **3** was synthesized in order to evaluate the effect of a C=C triple bond (an electron-conducting rigid spacer) on the overall catalytic performance.

Results and Discussion

Homogeneous solution.

Fig. 1 shows the CVs of complexes **1-3** in acetonitrile under Ar and in saturated CO_2 solutions on Glassy Carbon Electrode (GCE). These CVs can be compared afterward with those of the corresponding heterogeneous phases (see below). The electrochemical behaviour of this class of complexes under inert atmosphere is well known.¹⁶ Normally, to a first reversible or quasi-reversible 1e ligand-centred reduction follows a second chemical irreversible reduction. Cl⁻ can be released after both reductions.^{48,49}

For complexes **1-3** the first reduction is reversible, and apparently not affected by different thiophene units. The reduction processes are diffusion-controlled (see Fig. S1 and S2), and the trend of their reduction potentials fits¹⁷ with the electronic properties of the thiophenyl-substituted bipyridines (Table 1). The second reduction is generally irreversible, and chemical complications could arise after the release of Cl⁻.

Chemical irreversible oxidations occur between 0.75 and 1.03 V (Table 1). These processes are expected to involve the thiophene moieties, inducing their polymerization on the electrode surface.⁵⁰ We used DFT calculations to shed light on the nature of the redox processes.

For all complexes, HOMO-1 orbitals are centred on the metal. In the case of **1** the HOMO (involved in the oxidation) is mainly metal-centred, while in **2** and in **3** the HOMOs are centred on the thiophene moieties (Fig. S4). Thus, electro-polymerization after the first oxidation is expected to occur on **2** and **3**, but not on **1**, because in the latter complex the metal-centred Page 2 of 12

oxidation avoids the thiophene activation towards the polymerization.

Furthermore, a rough estimation of the redox potentials could



Figure 1. CV of complexes 1, 2 and 3 in MeCN

Table 1. Experimental and DFT calculated (in parenthesis) electrochemical data of complexes **1-3** (in V vs. Fc/Fc⁺).

Complex	$E_{1/2} 1^{st} red.$	E _p 2 nd	$E_p 1^{st} ox.$		
		red.			
1	-1.62 (-1.70)	-1.94	+1.03 (+1.02)		
2	-1.67 (-1.64)	-1.98	+0.88 (+0.67)		
3	-1.51 (-1.43)	-1.84	+0.75 (+0.58)		
(bpy)Re(CO)₃Cl ⁴⁹	-1.72 (-1.73)	-2.11	(+1.11)		
(^t Bu-bpy)Re(CO)₃Cl ¹⁷	-1.83	-2.21			

be done by DFT methods^{51, 52} based on the calculation of the free energy with respect that of ferrocene. The overall agreement is reasonably good (Table 1), with the understandable exception of the oxidation peak potentials that are not reversible.

In a CO₂-saturated solution (~0.28 M),⁵³ the current of the second reduction markedly increased, and its magnitude is related to the activity of the catalyst in the CO₂ reduction process. An electrochemical method to evaluate the

Table 2 Catalytic current measured at -2.16 V and corresponding computed k and TOE

values.				
Complex	i₀∕ip	$k (M^{-1} s^{-1})$	TOF (s ⁻¹)	
1	6.0	398.3	111.5	
2	4.5	259.6	62.7	
2	70	672.0	100 7	

homogeneous catalytic rate constant (*k*) from CV data has been proposed by Savéant and co-workers.^{38, 39} We adopted a similar method,⁵⁴ herein briefly reviewed. For a reversible electron-transfer process followed by a fast catalytic reaction the catalytic current (*i_c*) is given by equation (1).⁵⁴ The peak current (*i_p*) of a reversible electron transfer process with no following reactions can be expressed by the Randles-Sevčik equation (2). Thus, a very rough estimation of *k* can be obtained by the *i_c* to *i_p* ratio (eq. 3),

$$i_{c} = nFA[cat]\sqrt{Dk[Q]^{y}} \quad (1)$$

$$i_{p} = 0.4463 \cdot n^{3/2}FA[cat]\sqrt{\frac{DFv}{RT}} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{i_{c}}{i_{p}} = \frac{1}{0.4463}\sqrt{\frac{RT}{nF}}\sqrt{\frac{k[Q]^{y}}{v}} \quad (3)$$

where *n* is the number of electrons involved in the catalyst reduction, *F* the Faraday constant, *A* the electrode area, [*cat*] the catalyst concentration, *D* the diffusion coefficient of the active catalyst, [*Q*] the concentration of the substrate (in this case CO₂), *y* the kinetic order of the reaction involving the substrate, and *v* is the scan rate. Assuming a pseudo first order kinetic and a large concentration of the substrate in comparison with that of the catalyst, y=1.^{26, 55} We are aware that this is a very rough method for rate constants evaluation . However, the computed *k* values for reduction of CO₂ (see Table 2) are comparable to those found for (bpy)Re(CO)₃Cl.^{14, 17, 28, 29}

Heterogeneous measurements

Upon thiophene-centred⁵⁰ oxidation at a moderate positive potential (around 1 V, Table 1), the formation of a conductive film via electro-polymerization⁵⁶ of the complexe is expected. The films deposited on the electrode surface were obtained going towards positive potentials by repeated CV scans (Fig. 2) at 0.2 V/s. The CV switching potentials are 0.1 V more positive than the peak potentials at 1.03, 0.88 and 0.75 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺ for complexes **1**, **2** and **3**, respectively. The CV of a solution of **1** is almost unchanged after several cycles (Fig. 2a). As predicted by DFT calculations, the oxidation of **1** is metal-centred and

does not involves the thiophene moiety, thus no film is formed. The CV of a solution of **2** (and **3**) shows an increment of the anodic peak current at increasing number of CV cycles, indicating the formation and grow of a polymer. A new oxidation peak, assigned to a redox process of the 2^{20}





polymer,^{27, 47, 57} appears at less positive potentials.

After the polymerization of **2** (and **3**), the modified electrode was washed with CH_3CN and sonicated for 3 min to release unbounded molecules. Both surface-bounded polymers produced from solutions of **2** and **3** appear to be rather stable, since they do not change their electrochemical properties even after 12h in acetonitrile. CVs of the modified electrodes in solutions containing the supporting electrolyte only, and the homogeneous solutions show quite similar responses.

Compound **2** and **3** behave in a similar way, so the rest of the discussion will be focused on the electro-polymerized film obtained from complex **2** with five CV oxidation cycles (see SI for **3**).

ARTICLE

The GCE functionalized with **2** by this method shows at the first CV cycle three peaks, two reductions and one reoxidation, at -1.70 V, -2.00 V and -1.65 respectively (Fig. 3). These values are approximately the same as those observed in the corresponding homogeneous solutions. The first reduction peak, not discussed in the former works,^{27, 29} vanished in the following cycles, and probably is associated either to the release of Cl⁻, or to the neutralization of the polymer film after its electro-oxidation. The small cathodic peak around -1.2 V is assigned to trapped charges in the layer, or to the corresponding cationic complex in which Cl⁻ is replaced by MeCN.^{56, 57}

From the second/third CV cycle, the surface-modified electrode reach a steady state, showing a stable and broad peak (green curve in Fig. 3). At higher CV scan rates two reversible processes (at -1.70 and -2.05 V) become evident (Fig. 4; note the same values of cathodic and anodic peaks due to the absence of diffusion). Apparently, the surface-modified electrode not only retains a very similar electrochemical behaviour of the corresponding homogeneous solution, but it seems more reversible, probably due to the effect of immobilization. Similar stabilization effect has been already observed in the case of vinylterpyridinyl complex of cobalt.⁵⁸

Actually, the plot of peak current versus the CV scan rate is linear (Fig. 5), strongly suggesting that the redox process is no longer diffusion controlled, but it is associated to a bound species on the electrode surface. Dahms⁵⁹ and Ruff⁶⁰⁻⁶² showed that the diffusion coefficient in polymeric films has two main contributions, one arising from the physical diffusion of the species and a second due to electron self-exchange. However, in modified electrodes the latter term should be dominant. Since the thickness of the film in our case is very thin, the CV should be as that of an adsorbed specie on the electrode surface, with the peak current given by:⁶³

$$\begin{split} &i_p=\frac{n^2F^2}{4RT}vA\Gamma \quad \mbox{(a)}\\ &i_p=\frac{\alpha F^2}{2.718RT}vA\Gamma \quad \mbox{(b)} \end{split}$$

for a reversible (a) or irreversible (b) chemical reaction. Γ is the surface coverage, i.e. the number of molecules bound to the surface, and v is the CV scan rate. The apparent area of the electrode A was estimated to be 6.24 mm² by chronoamperometric measurements of a ferrocene solution at known concentration, in a similar way as previously described.³⁵ We will use this value thoroughly. From the slope of the linear regression of i_p vs. v, Γ values of 4.6×10^{-10} and 6.2×10^{-10} mol cm⁻² for the reversible and irreversible cases, respectively, can be roughly estimated. This surface coverage

is slightly larger, but of the same order of magnitude $(3.43 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-2})$, than that observed for a monolayer of organometallic derivative of similar dimensions.³⁵ Thus, these data suggest that a preparative five CV oxidation cycles at 0.2 V/s creates few film layers on the electrode surface.

 Γ could be assessed^{35, 64} also by means of the formula Γ =Q/FA, where Q is the charge that reduces or oxidizes the organometallic complexes on the electrode surface, evaluated by integration of the background-corrected CV response. Γ







Figure 4. CVs (scan rate 2.0 V/s) of the steady-state electrode modified by complex 2, with Γ = 7.74×10⁻¹⁰ mol/cm².



Figure 5. Electrode modified with complex 2 with coverage 7.74×10^{-10} mol/cm².

value computed on the CV performed at 0.2 V/s gave 7.74×10^{-10} mol/cm², which is in reasonable good agreement with the above Γ value estimated from the peak current vs. scan rate. Following the same experimental procedure, a series of modified electrodes were prepared by applying an increasing number of CV oxidation cycles. In this case the formation of

Table 3. Functionalized electrode properties of complex 2.					
Number of CV Cycles	1	5	10	15	30
Г (10 ⁻¹⁰ mol·cm ⁻²) ^а	~2.1	9.05	23.35	35.30	76.40
i _ρ in Ar (μA)	~-0.2	-4.4	-8.9	-20.1	-44.8
i _c in CO ₂ (μA)	-45.0	-771.4	-1310.3	-1872.9	-2128.9
i _c /i _p	~225.0	175.3	147.2	93.2	47.5
^a Γ values are estimated with the method of charge integration from					

CV.

multilayers and the random polymerization process does not allow a precise evaluation of the catalyst distribution on the surface. However, the measure of the total charge Q allows guessing the amount of the deposited reducible organometallic complex (either on the surface or embedded in the polymeric structure). As expected, the amount of the Re electro-active specie deposited on the electrode surface increases by increasing the number of CV cycles (Table 3). For example, after fifteen CV oxidation cycles the electrode surface holds 35.30×10^{-10} mol cm⁻² of electro-active catalyst. CV of the modified electrode shows in saturated CO₂ solutions a dramatic enhancement of the catalytic current. It increases by increasing the number of CV cycles used for functionalization (Table 3); instead, the i_c/i_p ratio decreases. This is reasonable since only the Re centres on the surface are in direct contact with the solution, and thus able to fully act as catalyst. In particular, the electrode obtained after 15 CV oxidation cycles ($\Gamma = 35.30 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$), shows in saturated CO₂ solutions a catalytic current 93.2 times higher than that measured under Ar (Fig. 6). Similar results are obtained for 3, where the i_c/i_p ratio is about 89 (Fig. S6).

However, we observed a current decrease during the subsequent CV cycles (Fig. 7), and after hundred CV cycles, almost no catalytic current is observed. Slightly worse results were obtained for **3** (Fig. S6), where the catalyst was completely deactivated after sixty CV cycles. This is the opposite of the expected effect. Since the alkyne unit in **3** usually does not interrupt the molecular electronic communication,⁶⁵ probably the rigid C=C spacer either reacts with CO₂ or push away the metal centre from the solid polymer towards the solution, making its behaviour and stability more similar to a situation of the homogeneous solution where less stability is observed.

To shed light on the mechanism of surface catalyst depletion, we prepared another electrode functionalized with ${\bf 2}$ (five CV

cycles), and tested its stability at constant potentials under CO_2 . With an applied potential of -2.1 V vs. Fc (Fig. 8) the modified electrode shows an initial high current which slowly decreases, and in 75 min the catalytic activity on the surface is totally lost. This value is very similar to the lifetime that can be extrapolated from the 100 CV cycles above outlined, and similar also to that obtained from the exhaustive electrolysis of a homogeneous solution of (bpy)Re(CO)₃Cl in the same conditions.¹⁴ If one consider that few molecular layers of catalyst have the same lifetime of a whole homogeneous solution with a much higher







Figure 7. CV cycles of the electrode modified by complex **2** at scan rate 0.2 V/s, with Γ = 35.30×10⁻¹⁰ mol/cm².

amount of catalyst (continuously renewed by diffusion), these results strongly suggest that functionalization of the electrode surface greatly enhances the catalyst stability during CO_2 electrochemical reduction.

GC measurements.

We collected Gas Chromatographic data with the aim to evaluate the amount of CO and H₂ produced during exhaustive electrolysis. Our experimental setup consists in applying a potential of -2.10 V vs. Fc/Fc+ with a CO₂ constant flow of 40



Figure 8. Chronoamperometry of electrode functionalized by complex **2** under Ar and CO_2 , with $\Gamma = 9.55 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol/cm}^2$.

ml/min, and sampling the gas in the electrochemical cell at regular interval times (see experimental section). At nonmodified GCE and in homogeneous solutions, complexes **1**, **2** and **3** show different degree of selectivity towards CO with a CO/H₂ ratio of 44, 11 and 8, respectively. The faradic efficiencies n_{CO} are 97.5%, 35.4% and 46.4%, while TON_{CO} after 60 min are 3.8, 1.7 and 3.9 for the complexes **1**, **2** and **3**, respectively (Table 4). These values are worse than the Lehn's catalyst (bpy)Re(CO)₃Cl in the same conditions.¹⁴ Another unexpected feature of the homogeneous solution is that all the catalysts apparently suffer of rapid deactivation in relative short time.

However, if after 60 min the GCE is sonicated, the catalytic current is reactivated. Moreover, compounds 2 and 3 have a

Table 4. Comparison of selected modified electrode performances (obtained from the corresponding monomers) for catalytic CO_2 electrochemical reductions in MeCN solutions (0.1 M TBAPF₆). Potentials are in V vs. Fc/Fc⁺

Monomer	E (V)	η _{co} (%)	TON _{co}	Time (min)	Ref
	-1.93	94	516÷600	80 ^d	25, 30, 31
(H ₂ C) ₄ (H ₂ C) ₄ (H ₂ C) ₄ (H ₂ C) ₄ (H ₂ C) ₄	-2.23	98.5	133 ^c ÷236	120	32, 33
N CI N Re OC CO	-2.23	69	34 ^c	120	33



	$-1.98^{a)} \frac{15.4}{68^{b}} \frac{155}{134^{f}}$	143 ^e	34
R-CIN-R Re oc CO CO	–2.23 ^{g)} 33	60	29
1 (GCE in solution)	-2.10 97.5 3.8	60	This work
2 (GCE in solution)	-2.10 35.4 1.7	60	This work
2	-2.10 84.7 489	40	This work
3 (GCE in solution)	-2.10 46.4 3.9	60	This work
3	-2.10 34.0 519	40	This work

a) coated with Nafion membrane; b) η_{H2} ; c) calculated from the

corresponding reference; d) 0.1 M TBAPF₆/TBACIO₄ as electrolyte; e) Phosphate aqueous buffer (pH~7); f)TON_{HCOOH} g) R=phenylethynyl

low η_{CO} . We suppose that the products of CO_2 reduction and thiophene units, especially for **2** and **3**, might interact with GCE and undergo in long time scale physical adsorption, altering the nature of the catalytic specie. The absorption on the surface of these reaction products probably reduce η_{CO} and the apparent catalyst lifetimes. The variation of η_{CO} during the exhaustive electrolysis is particular evident for complex **3** (see Fig. S7). We cannot exclude, also, that chemical reactions with CO_2 occur at thiophene moiety, or at the C=C triple bond of **3** where the contributions of sp carbon atoms to the LUMO appear to be relevant (Fig. S4).

On the other hand, TON_{CO} of the electrodes modified with **2** and **3** show significant improvements (Table 4). Surprisingly,

Journal Name

GCE modified with **2** has a much higher η_{CO} (84.7%) than its homogeneous solution counterpart (35.4%), whereas η_{CO} of **3** is almost unvaried. It is interesting to note that both electrodes modified with monomer containing C=C triple bond, 5,5'-bis-(phenylethynyl)-2,2'-bipyridine and **3**, shows low similar η_{CO} values. It should be mentioned that in only 10 minutes of the catalytic activity of our surface-modified GCE with **2** and **3**, the overall TON_{CO} of 201 and 288 are already achieved, respectively. The final TON_{CO} of 489 and 519 of complexes **2** and **3** for 40 min, are among the best values reported in the literature for analogues surface-modified electrodes.

Conclusions

We reported novel bipyridine ligands carrying thiophene (T), 2,2':5',2''-terthiophene (TT) and 3'-ethynyl 2,2':5',2''-terthiophene (ETT), and synthesized their corresponding tricarbonyl chlororhenium complexes. Their electrochemical oxidation on GCE allows depositing stable electron conducting films that carry rhenium complexes. These chemical modified electrodes were used to investigate the electrocatalytic reduction of CO_2 . This approach may represent an alternative pathway to support the desired intact organometallic catalyst on an electrode surface.

A relative comparison outlines that activity and lifetime of the solid-supported catalysts are greatly enhanced with respect the corresponding homogeneous counterparts. A rough estimation of the TOFs (both in homogeneous and in heterogeneous phase) and their lifetimes can be obtained by CVs and repeated CV scans or by chronoamperometric measurements, respectively. Electro-polymerized (TT)Re(CO)₃Cl (2) and (ETT)Re(CO)₃Cl (3) show a relative higher stability towards their reduction than the corresponding homogeneous solutions, similarly as observed for a vinylterpyridinyl complex of cobalt.⁵⁸ This suggests that the proximity with the surface may protect the active form of the catalysts against its decomposition, which is higher in homogeneous solution. The film of 3 is slightly less stable than that of **2**, while their catalytic activities are almost equivalent. A change of selectivity is observed for 3, apparently due to the C=C triple bond. Thus, this observation may suggests that either the reduced ethynyl group reacts with CO2 and/or pushes the rhenium center of the electro-polymerized 3 towards the solution, making it more exposed to the effects of the solution. Unfortunately our attempts to use the OTTLE spectroelectrochemical cell to investigate the deactivation process did not resulted in any useful data, probably because Pt-S interactions on the Pt minigrid electrode.

Larger film thickness gave higher catalytic current, but lower i_c/i_p ratio, suggesting that only the rhenium metal centres on the top of the electrodeposited polymer surface are catalytically active. Although no final conclusions can be extrapolated from the data reported in Table 4, it seems that the insertion of functional groups like CO₂ or C=C decreases the selectivity of CO₂ reduction to CO, probably because increased local electron density and hence localized reactivity

towards the weak electrophile CO₂. This observation should be taken in account for the design of new generation of electrode-modified catalysts, where the electron conduction properties of the employed polymers appear to be somewhat beneficial, but it should be carefully selected. Finally, the applied potential for the reduction of CO₂ to CO for **2** and **3** in acetonitrile is comparable with other monomers reported in Table 4 (between -1.9 and -2.2 V vs. Fc^{0/+}), being those typical of Re(bpy)-type complexes.

Experimental Section

Materials and Reagents

Reagents were purchased from Alfa Aesar and Aldrich and used without further purification. Acetonitrile was distilled over calcium hydride just before use. All reactions were performed under inert atmosphere. Microwave (MW) reactions were carried out in single-mode Biotage Initiator 2.5. Column chromatography was done on a Biotage Isolera flash purification system. Mass spectra were recorded with an XCT PLUS electrospray ionization ion trap (ESIIT) mass spectrometer (Agilent Italy, Milan). Samples were dissolved in methanol. The samples for microanalyses were dried under vacuum to constant weight (25 °C, ca. 0.1 torr). Elemental analyses (C, H, N, S) were performed in-house with a Fisons 1108 CHNS-O elemental analyzer. Infrared spectra were recorded as powder ATR with a Bruker Equinox 55 FTIR spectrophotometer with a resolution of 1 cm^{-1} and an accumulation of 64 scans. NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Avance 200 and a JEOL EX 400 spectrometer (1H operating frequency 400 MHz) at 298 K. ¹H chemical shifts are relative to TMS (δ = 0 ppm) and referenced against solvent residual peaks. Electrochemical experiments were carried out in freshly distilled acetonitrile with TBAPF₆ 0.1 M as supporting electrolyte, in the usual conditions employing an Autolab PGSTAT302N electrochemical analyzer controlled by a PC. A pure silver wire was used as pseudo reference, platinum wire as counter electrode and Glassy Carbon Electrode (GCE) as working electrode. Under our experimental conditions, all the potential are shown versus the half-wave potential of the ferrocene redox couple (Fc/Fc^{+}). The ferrocene diffusion coefficient has been taken from the literature: $D_{Fc}=2.24\times10^{-5}$ cm²/s.^{66, 67}

Computational Details

All calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 Rev. D.01⁶⁸ adopting the DFT method, including the solvent effect by the conductor-like polarizable continuum model (CPCM)^{69, 70} with acetonitrile as solvent. Geometry optimizations were carried out without any constraints by using the B3LYP functional^{71, 72} employing the optimized def2SVPP basis set^{73, 74} with the D3 version of Grimme's dispersion and applying the Becke-Johnson damping scheme.⁷⁵ Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy has been applied with a scale factor of 0.962 to the IR frequency to take in account the anisotropy. For radical anions, unrestricted Kohn–Sham formalism (UKS) was adopted. The

ARTICLE

nature of all stationary points were confirmed by normalmode analysis (no imaginary frequencies were found). DFT calculation of redox potentials were performed applying the literature method^{51, 52, 76} that consists into computing the Gibbs free energy in solution of both the oxidized and reduced species with respect that of the reference compound ferrocene.

Syntheses

More details and scheme of the syntheses of the organic ligands **T**, **TT** and **ETT** are reported in the ESI material.

4-(thiophen-3-yl)-2,2'-bipyridine (T): To 20 ml of DME, previously purged with argon, 4-Bromo-2,2'-bispyridine (0.5 g, 2.13 mmol) and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.246 g, 0.213 mmol, 0.1 eq) were added and further purged with argon for 10 min. Boronic acid (0.299 g, 0.234 mmol, 1.1 eq) was added and purged for 5 min, after which a Na₂CO₃ solution (2 M, 8.51 mmol, 4 eq) was added and purged for 10 min. The mixture was reacted under MW irradiation for 30 min, filtered to remove the solid and evaporated under reduced pressure. Water was added (10 ml) and the crude product mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (3×10 ml). The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by medium pressure flash chromatography (Biotage) on a 50 g column, with petroleum ether: ethyl acetate 80:20 + 0.05% triethylamine (TEA) as additive. Yield: 80%. 1H NMR (400 MHz, ppm, acetone-d6): δ 8.77 (dd, J=1.8, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 8.71 (dq, J=4.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 8.68 (dd, J=5.0, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (dt, J=8.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H) , 8.16 (dd, J=2.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (td, J=7.9, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.75-7.72 (m, 2H), 7.70-7.68 (m, 1H) 7.42-7.45 (m, 1H). LC-MS (ESI⁺) calcd. for $C_{14}H_{10}N_2S$ [M+H⁺] 239.06, found 239.25.

[2,2':5',2"-terthiophen]-3'-ylboronic acid (TTB, 7, see ESI). In a Schlenk 20 mL round bottom flask, a solution of 3'-bromoterthiophene (0.668 g, 2.04mmol) and trimethyl borate (0.276g, 0.296 mL , 2.65 mmol,) in 8 ml THF was treated with n-BuLi (1.6M in hexanes, 1.658 ml , 2.65 mmol) at -78° C over a period of 20 minutes. The resulting solution was stirred at -78° C for an hour. The solution was then warmed up to -20° C in 1h, quenched slowly using 2N HCl (1.326 mL, 2.65 mmol) and then stirred for 4 h. 2,2':5',2''-terthiophen-3'-ylboronic acid (TTB, 7) was precipitated out as a white solid, washed with water and dried under reduced pressure. The crude TTB was directly used for the next step.

4-([2,2':5',2"-terthiophen]-3'yl)-2,2'-bipyridine (TT). To a degassed solution of 4-bromo-2,2'-bipyridine (0.1772 g, 0.431 mol, 0.9 eq) and $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ (55 mg, 0.1mmol) in dimetoxyethane (DME, 5 mL) 7 mL of a solution of TTB (7) (0.140 g, 0.479 mmol, 1 eq) in dimetoxyethane was added. The reaction mixture was heated at 120 °C for 30 min under MW irradiation. The reaction was controlled by TLC (dichloromethane: ethyl acetate 4:6 + TEA 0.1%) (Rf = 0,26). When the starting material was fully consumed, the solvent was removed at low pressure. Water (5 mL) was added and the product was extracted with ethyl acetate (5×5 mL). The organic phases were collected, dried over Na₂SO₄, and filtered.

Journal Name

The crude reaction product (0.4964 g) was purified by medium pressure flash chromatography (Biotage) on a 50 g column, with dichloromethane: ethyl acetate 70:30 + 0,5 % TEA as additive. Yield: 43%. 1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) δ 8.65 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 8.57 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 8.47 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (td, J = 7.9, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.38 - 7.12 (m, 6H), 7.08 - 6.97 (m, 2H), 6.93 (dd, J = 5.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H). LC-MS (ESI⁺) calcd. for C₂₂H₁₄N₂S₃ [M+H⁺] 403.03, found 403.28.

4-([2,2':5',2"-terthiophen]-3'-ylethynyl)-2,2'-bipyridine (ETT). In a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a condenser, a magnetic stirrer and Ar inlet, 4-bromo-2,2'-bipyridine (0.302 g, 1.285 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.074 g, 0.064 mmol), CuI (0.024 g, 0.1284 mmol), 3'-ethynyl-2,2':5',2"-terthiophene (0.350 g, 1.285 mmol) (6, see ESI) were added and dissolved with 1,4dioxane/H₂O 3:1 (32 mL). Finally triethylamine (0.260 g, 2.57 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was then refluxed under Ar for 17-22h and checked by TLC (petroleum ether:ethyl acetate 7:3 + TEA 0,1%) to monitor the disappearance of 3'-ethynyl-2,2':5',2"-terthiophene. After cooling to RT, the mixture was filtered off, the 1,4-dioxane evaporated under reduced pressure. Water was added (40 mL) and the solution was washed with dichloromethane (3×20 ml). The combined organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by medium pressure flash chromatography (Biotage) with a 50 g column, using petroleum ether:ethyl acetate (8:2) as eluant and 0.1% TEA as additive. ETT was obtained as a yellow oil. Yield: 30%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, ppm, acetone-d6): δ 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.83-8.85 (m, 2H), 8.75 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.26-8.29 (m, 1H), 8.76-8.77 (m, 2H), 7.68-7.69 (m, 2H), 7.54 (d, J=5.1 Hz, 1H), $\delta{=}7.45$ (s, 1H), 7.43 (dd, J=3.5, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (dd, J=5.0, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dd, J=5.4, 3.5 Hz, 1H). LC-MS (ESI+) calcd. for $C_{24}H_{14}N_2S_3$ [M+H⁺] 427.03, found 427.11. The synthesis of complexes 1, 2 and 3 proceed in a similar way as previously reported.^{13,15} Chloropentacarbonylrhenium(I) Re(CO)₅Cl (0.130 g, 0.36 mmol) and T (0.088 g, 0.37 mmol) for 1 (0.148 g TT for 2, 0.158 g TTE for 3) were dissolved in toluene. The solution was degassed and heated to reflux under Ar for 2 h. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation, and washed with 3×5ml of petroleum ether and 3×5ml of dichloromethane. Yellow solids were obtained after drying under vacuum, with an overall yields around 70-76%.

(T)Re(CO)₃Cl (1): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, ppm, acetone-d6):): 9.12 (d, J=5.6 Hz, 1H), δ=9.04 (d, J=5.9 Hz, 1H), 8.99 (s, 1H), 8.9 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H) , 8.48 (dd, J=3.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (t, 1H, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, J=5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (dd, J=5.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H) 7.76-7.82 (m, 2H). v_{CO} IR (MeCN): 2023, 1917, 1901 cm⁻¹; ATR-IR: 2011, 1918, 1886, 1852 cm⁻¹. C₁₇H₁₁ClN₂O₃ReS (545.01); calcd.: C 37.46, H 2.03, N 5.14, S 5.88; found: C 37.37, H 1.99, N 5.10, S 5.83. (TT)Re(CO)₃Cl (2): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, ppm, acetone-d6):): 9.13 (d, J=5.0 Hz, 1H), 9.03 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.76 (s, 1H), 8.64 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (td, J=7.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (s, 1H), 7.81 (t, J=6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.72-7.74 (m, 2H) 7.62 (dd, J=5.0, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, 1H, J=5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, 1H, J=3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, 1H, J=4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, J=5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.15-7.17 (m, 1H). v_{CO} IR (MeCN): 2024, 1918, 1902 cm⁻¹; ATR-IR: 2018, 1905, 1879, 1864 cm⁻¹. C₂₅H₁₅ClN₂O₃ReS₃ (709.25): calcd.: C 42.34, H

7.

8.

9.

2.13, N 3.95, S, 13.56; found: C 42.01, H 2.08, N 3.89, S 13.50. (TTE)Re(CO)₃Cl (**3**): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, ppm, acetone-d6):): 9.12-9.15(m, 2H), 8.80-8.82 (m, 2H), 8.38 (t, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.91 3. (d, J=5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, J=6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.66-7.70 (m, 2H), 7.56 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (dd, J=3.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.20-7.23 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.17 (m, 1H). v_{CO} IR (MeCN): 2023, 1919, 1904 cm⁻¹; ATR-IR: 2017, 1913, 1879, 1866 cm⁻¹. $C_{27}H_{15}CIN_2O_3ReS_3$ (733.27) calcd.: C 44.22, H 2.06, N 3.82, S, 13.12; found: C 44.05, H 2.00, N 3.78, S 13.02. 6.

GC Measurements

The airtight electrochemical cell was equipped with a bubbler that maintained the inner atmosphere¹⁵ but avoided gas overpressure. A Smart Trak 100 (Sierra) mass flow controller C100L was used to measure and control the CO_2 flow during exhaustive electrolysis experiments. A constant CO2 flow (40 mL min⁻¹) was maintained during bulk electrolysis. To ensure high surface area and fast electrochemical conversion, a cylindrical rod (ϕ = 5 mm, length 15 mm) of large surface area (2.55 cm²) was used as GCE. Bulk electrolysis of complex 1, 2 and 3 (4.6, 1.9 and 1.0 µmol in 5 ml of acetonitrile, respectively) were dissolved in as many solutions for catalytic tests. The same GCE was carefully polished and cleaned every experiments with alumina nanopowder, functionalized by 5 CV oxidative cycles starting from the solution of complex 2 and 3, resulting in 21×10^{-10} and 11×10^{-10} mol (evaluated by charge integration of CVs), respectively. The gas sample produced was analyzed with an Agilent 490 Micro GC gas chromatograph equipped with a Molsieve column (5 Å) and a CP-PoraPLOT U column. A thermal conductivity detector was used for CO and H₂ quantification and the Molsieve column (5 Å) was kept at a temperature of 90 °C and at a pressure of 200 kPa. The carrier gas was argon. The gas inside the measurement cell was sampled for 90 s to wash and fill the Micro GC 10 μL sample loop, and eventually 500 nL were injected into each column for the analyses. It was possible to determine the amounts of CO and H_2 produced during the experiment from the concentrations of CO and H_2 in the gas samples and knowledge of the gas flow and the cell volume. For the functioning and the calibration of the GC apparatus, Ar, He, N₂, O₂, CO₂ and CO were obtained from Sapio, whereas H₂ was produced by a ClaindHyGen hydrogen generator. The detection limits for CO and H_2 were 20 and 0.5 ppm (v/v), respectively.

Acknowledgements

Financial supports from China Scholarship Council (CSC), the Compagnia di San Paolo and Università di Torino (PHOTORECARB project) are gratefully acknowledged. We also thank Dr. Fabrizio Sordello and Federico Franco (University of Turin) for helps in GC measurements.

Notes and references

1. J. M. Saveant, Chem. Rev., 2008, **108**, 2348-2378.

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript

- E. E. Benson, C. P. Kubiak, A. J. Sathrum and J. M. Smieja, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, **38**, 89-99.
- M. L. Clark, K. A. Grice, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold and C. P. Kubiak, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 1894-1900.
- C. Costentin, S. Drouet, M. Robert and J. M. Saveant, *Science*, 2012, **338**, 90-94.
- J. Ronge, T. Bosserez, D. Martel, C. Nervi, L. Boarino, F. Taulelle, G. Decher, S. Bordiga and J. A. Martens, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 7963-7981.
- M. G. Mali, H. Yoon, B. N. Joshi, H. Park, S. S. Al-Deyab, D. C. Lim, S. Ahn, C. Nervi and S. S. Yoon, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 21619-21625.
- E. Fujita, D. J. Szalda, C. Creutz and N. Sutin, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 1988, **110**, 4870-4871.
- E. Fujita, C. Creutz, N. Sutin and D. J. Szalda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 343-353.
- J. Grodkowski, P. Neta, E. Fujita, A. Mahammed, L. Simkhovich and Z. Gross, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2002, **106**, 4772-4778.
- 10. E. Simon-Manso and C. P. Kubiak, *Organometallics*, 2005, **24**, 96-102.
- J. W. Raebiger, J. W. Turner, B. C. Noll, C. J. Curtis, A. Miedaner, B. Cox and D. L. DuBois, *Organometallics*, 2006, 25, 3345-3351.
- 12. C. Costentin, G. Passard, M. Robert and J. M. Saveant, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, **136**, 11821-11829.
- F. Franco, C. Cometto, F. F. Vallana, F. Sordello, E. Priola,
 C. Minero, C. Nervi and R. Gobetto, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 14670-14673.
- F. Franco, C. Cometto, C. Garino, C. Minero, F. Sordello, C. Nervi and R. Gobetto, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 296-304.
- F. Franco, C. Cometto, C. Minero, L. Nencini, J. Fiedler, R. Gobetto and C. Nervi, *ChemElectroChem*, 2015, 2, 1372-1379.
- 16. J. Hawecker, J. M. Lehn and R. Ziessel, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1984, DOI: 10.1039/C39840000328, 328-330.
- 17. J. M. Smieja and C. P. Kubiak, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 9283-9289.
- J. D. Blakemore, A. Gupta, J. J. Warren, B. S. Brunschwig and H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 18288-18291.
- C. Riplinger, M. D. Sampson, A. M. Ritzmann, C. P. Kubiak and E. A. Carter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 16285-16298.
- 20. S. A. Chabolla, E. A. Dellamary, C. W. Machan, F. A. Tezcan and C. P. Kubiak, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2014, **422**, 109-113.
- J. J. Teesdale, A. J. Pistner, G. P. A. Yap, Y. Z. Ma, D. A. Lutterman and J. Rosenthal, *Cat. Today*, 2014, **225**, 149-157.
- C. W. Machan, S. A. Chabolla, J. Yin, M. K. Gilson, F. A. Tezcan and C. P. Kubiak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 14598-14607.
- 23. K. A. Grice and C. P. Kubiak, in *CO*₂ *Chemistry*, eds. M. Aresta and R. V. Eldik, 2014, vol. 66, pp. 163-188.
- K. J. Young, L. A. Martini, R. L. Milot, R. C. Snoeberger, III, V. S. Batista, C. A. Schmuttenmaer, R. H. Crabtree and G. W. Brudvig, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **256**, 2503-2520.
- T. R. Otoole, L. D. Margerum, T. D. Westmoreland, W. J. Vining, R. W. Murray and T. J. Meyer, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1985, 1416-1417.

56.

59.

60.

61.

62.

64.

65.

66.

68.

69.

70.

71.

72.

73.

74.

75.

76.

ARTICLE

- T. R. Otoole, B. P. Sullivan, M. R. M. Bruce, L. D. 53. Margerum, R. W. Murray and T. J. Meyer, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 1989, **259**, 217-239. 54.
- K. C. Cheung, P. Guo, M. H. So, L. Y. S. Lee, K. P. Ho, W. L. Wong, K. H. Lee, W. T. Wong, Z. Y. Zhou and K. Y. Wong, J. 55. Organomet. Chem., 2009, 694, 2842-2845.
- E. Portenkirchner, K. Oppelt, C. Ulbricht, D. A. M. Egbe, H. Neugebauer, G. Knoer and N. S. Sariciftci, J. Organomet. Chem., 2012, 716, 19-25.
- E. Portenkirchner, J. Gasiorowski, K. Oppelt, S. Schlager, C. Schwarzinger, H. Neugebauer, G. Knoer and N. S. Sariciftci, *ChemCatChem*, 2013, 5, 1790-1796.
- 30. F. Cecchet, M. Alebbi, C. A. Bignozzi and F. Paolucci, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2006, **359**, 3871-3874.
- C. R. Cabrera and H. D. Abruna, J. Electroanal. Chem., 1986, 209, 101-107.
- S. Cosnier, A. Deronzier and J. C. Moutet, J. Electroanal. Chem., 1986, 207, 315-321.
- S. Cosnier, A. Deronzier and J. C. Moutet, J. Mol. Cat., 1988, 45, 381-391.
- 34. T. Yoshida, K. Tsutsumida, S. Teratani, K. Yasufuku and M. Kaneko, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 1993, 631-633.
- M. Sandroni, G. Volpi, J. Fiedler, R. Buscaino, G. Viscardi, L. Milone, R. Gobetto and C. Nervi, *Cat. Today*, 2010, **158**, 22-28.
- N. D. Schley, J. D. Blakemore, N. K. Subbaiyan, C. D. Incarvito, F. D'Souza, R. H. Crabtree and G. W. Brudvig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 10473-10481.
- A. Krawicz, J. Yang, E. Anzenberg, J. Yano, I. D. Sharp and G. F. Moore, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11861-11868.
- C. Costentin, S. Drouet, M. Robert and J. M. Saveant, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 11235-11242.
- C. Costentin, S. Drouet, M. Robert and J. M. Saveant, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 19949-19950.
- 40. M. Delamar, R. Hitmi, J. Pinson and J. M. Saveant, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 5883-5884.
- 41. M. O. Wolf, Advanced Materials, 2001, **13**, 545-553.
- 42. H. Sirringhaus, N. Tessler and R. H. Friend, *Science*, 1998, **280**, 1741-1744.
- M. R. Andersson, O. Thomas, W. Mammo, M. Svensson, M. Theander and O. Inganas, J. Mat. Chem., 1999, 9, 1933-1940.
- 44. D. T. McQuade, A. E. Pullen and T. M. Swager, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 2537-2574.
- 45. G. Li, V. Shrotriya, J. S. Huang, Y. Yao, T. Moriarty, K. Emery and Y. Yang, *Nature Mater.*, 2005, **4**, 864-868.
- 46. J. H. Yoon, D. M. Kim, S. S. Yoon, M. S. Won and Y. B. Shim, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 8874-8880.
- 47. D. M. Kim, J. H. Yoon, M. S. Won and Y. B. Shim, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **67**, 201-207.
- 48. F. P. A. Johnson, M. W. George, F. Hartl and J. J. Turner, Organometallics, 1996, **15**, 3374-3387.
- B. P. Sullivan, C. M. Bolinger, D. Conrad, W. J. Vining and T. J. Meyer, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1985, DOI: Article, 1414-1415.
- 50. L. Groenendaal, G. Zotti and F. Jonas, *Synth. Met.*, 2001, **118**, 105-109.
- 51. P. Zanello, C. Nervi and F. Fabrizi De Biani, *Inorganic Electrochemistry. Theory, Practice and Application*, RSC, Cambridge, 2nd edn., 2011.
- 52. L. E. Roy, E. Jakubikova, M. G. Guthrie and E. R. Batista, J. *Phys. Chem. A*, 2009, **113**, 6745-6750.

- A. Gennaro, A. A. Isse and E. Vianello, J. Electroanal. Chem., 1990, 289, 203-215.
- . D. L. DuBois, A. Miedaner and R. C. Haltiwanger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 8753-8764.
- B. P. Sullivan, M. R. M. Bruce, T. R. Otoole, C. M. Bolinger, E. Megehee, H. Thorp and T. J. Meyer, *ACS Symp. Ser.*, 1988, **363**, 52-90.
- K. Takada, G. D. Storrier, F. Pariente and H. D. Abruna, J. *Phys. Chem. B*, 1998, **102**, 1387-1396.
- 57. B. B. Cui, H. J. Nie, C. J. Yao, J. Y. Shao, S. H. Wu and Y. W. Zhong, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 14125-14133.
- A. R. Guadalupe, D. A. Usifer, K. T. Potts, H. C. Hurrell, A. E. Mogstad and H. D. Abruna, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, 110, 3462-3466.
 - H. Dahms, J. Phys. Chem., 1968, 72, 362-364.
 - I. Ruff, Electrochim. Acta, 1970, **15**, 1059-1061.
 - I. Ruff and I. Korosi-Odor, Inorg. Chem., 1970, 9, 186-188.
 - I. Ruff and V. J. Friedrich, J. Phys. Chem., 1971, 75, 3297-3302.
- 63. A. J. Bard and L. R. Faulkner, *Electrochemical Methods*, Wiley, New York, 2nd Edition edn., 2001.
 - B. Barbier, J. Pinson, G. Desarmot and M. Sanchez, J. *Electrochem. Soc.*, 1990, **137**, 1757-1764.
 - D. Osella, L. Milone, C. Nervi and M. Ravera, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, **488**, 1-7.
 - K. M. Kadish, J. Q. Ding and T. Malinski, *Anal. Chem.*, 1984, **56**, 1741-1744.
- 67. N. G. Tsierkezos, J. Solution Chem., 2007, **36**, 289-302.
 - M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. J. Montgomery, J. R. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, Journal, 2009.
 - S. Miertuš, E. Scrocco and J. Tomasi, *Chem. Phys.*, 1981, **55**, 117-129.
 - M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega and V. Barone, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, **117**, 43-54.
 - A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648-5652.
 - C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter*, 1988, **37**, 785-789.
 - F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **7**, 3297-3305.
 - F. Weigend, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2006, 8, 1057-1065.
 - S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich and L. Goerigk, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2011. **32**, 1456-1465.
 - D. H. Evans, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 2113-2144.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

ARTICLE

Synopsis

Electrocatalytic CO_2 reduction at film produced by electropolymerization of thiophene-Re complexes. Three novel thiophene substituted bipyridine ligands and their complexes of rhenium were synthesized and tested for electrochemical CO_2 reduction. Oxidative electropolymerization on GCE gave functionalized electrodes, bearing a combined conductive (**polymerized thiophene**) and catalytic (**Re complexes**) parts, showing significant catalytic activity for CO_2 reduction, and a relative higher stability when compared with homogeneous solution counterparts.

Table of Contents Graphics

