



Cite this: *Polym. Chem.*, 2020, **11**, 184

Hydrogel scaffolds for tissue engineering: the importance of polymer choice

Christopher D. Spicer ^{a,b}

Hydrogel scaffolds that can repair or regrow damaged biological tissue have great potential for the treatment of injury and disease. These biomaterials are widely used in the tissue engineering field due to their ability to support cell proliferation, migration and differentiation, to permit oxygen and nutrient transport, and to mimic native soft tissue. Careful design of the underlying polymer scaffold is therefore vital, dictating both the physical and biological properties of a hydrogel. In this review, we will provide a critical overview of hydrogel design from the perspective of the polymer chemistry, highlighting both the advantages and limitations of particular polymer structures, properties, and architectures. In doing so, we will help equip researchers with the tools needed to design new polymer systems and hydrogel scaffolds that address current limitations in the field and hinder clinical translation.

Received 10th July 2019,
Accepted 19th September 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9py01021a

rsc.li/polymers

1. Introduction

Millions of deaths occur worldwide each year as a consequence of injuries and diseases that cause tissue damage. The impact of tissue damage on quality of life and the associated health-care burden are even more significant.¹ The field of tissue engineering has the potential to revolutionise how we treat pathologies such as heart disease, osteoarthritis, chronic wounds, and organ failure, by repairing, regenerating, or improving the function of the damaged tissue.² A key concept in tissue engineering is the use of biomaterials to support the growth of new cells and promote repair. Rather than being passive spectators, these materials should provide both physical scaffolding to cells and cues that direct their behaviour.³

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK.
E-mail: chris.spicer@york.ac.uk

^bYork Biomedical Research Institute, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK

Chris joined the University of York as a Lecturer in Chemistry in 2018. His group is interested in designing and synthesising novel biomaterial scaffolds for tissue repair, with a particular focus on materials that can recreate the spatial and temporal complexity of natural tissues. Previously, he studied Natural Sciences at the University of Cambridge, before moving to the University of Oxford to undertake a PhD with Prof. Ben Davis. Chris went on to complete postdoctoral research with Prof. Molly Stevens, first at Imperial College London and then the Karolinska Institute in Stockholm, before taking up his current position.

Of the many classes of material that have been used in tissue engineering, hydrogels have emerged as one of the most prominent and versatile.^{4,5} Hydrogels can be designed to support cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation, permit oxygen and nutrient transport, and provide cells with a 3D, highly hydrated environment that mimics native soft tissues. Critically, the properties of a hydrogel are dictated by the chemistry of the underlying polymer from which it is synthesised. In this review, we therefore aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the hydrogels currently used by the tissue engineering community from the perspective of a polymer chemist – which core polymers are most commonly used to produce hydrogel scaffolds? What are the beneficial properties of these polymers that allow them to provide gels with unique properties? What is the impact of polymerisation technique and polymer architecture on the end construct? And how can polymer architecture dictate bidirectional interactions with cells and the local environment? In doing so, we will equip researchers with the tools required to match scaffold design to a given target application. Moreover, by engaging both polymer chemists and biomaterial scientists, we hope to inspire new cross-disciplinary interactions that lead to the design of novel polymers and hydrogel scaffolds that address current limitations in the field.

1.1 Scope of the review

In this review, we will specifically focus on how polymer chemistry ultimately influences hydrogel properties and applications. After briefly discussing the gel design criteria that must be considered, we will introduce the main classes of polymer used in the tissue engineering field, providing a critical discussion of both strengths and limitations. We will then go on to discuss

how dynamic and responsive polymers can be exploited to generate hydrogels with interesting properties for tissue engineering, and how polymer architecture and synthesis can affect both hydrogelation and downstream applications.

While we will briefly discuss the mechanisms through which hydrogels can interact with biological systems when relevant to the discussion, for a detailed overview of this topic and how hydrogels can be used for the treatment of disease, the reader is directed to the large number of excellent reviews that have been written in the past decade.^{4,6–10} We wish to draw particular attention to reviews by the Su,⁵ Burdick,¹¹ and Anseth¹² groups. Similarly, hydrogel processing and manufacture are outside the scope of this review and the reader is instead directed to the following reference.¹³ Finally, we have deliberately chosen to principally focus on the contributions and properties of individual polymers in this review, to emphasise the impact of a particular structure on hydrogel properties. In practice, hydrogel blends have been produced from every conceivable mixture of multiple polymers and many of the most widely implemented hydrogels in tissue engineering are in fact composite structures. This powerful approach allows the favourable characteristics of multiple polymers to be combined, allowing the disadvantages of single component gels to be overcome. For example, by taking a polymer that is mechanically strong but inert and combining it with another which can provide sites for cell adhesion but is too weak to form stable gels on its own, a hydrogel with suitable properties for tissue engineering may be produced. However, it is important to note that the properties of these polymer blends are typically additive rather than transformative. In general, the favourable properties of two complementary polymers can be combined, however, the converse is also true, and weaknesses may also be carried through in to the final blend.

2. Polymer hydrogels for tissue engineering

2.1 Hydrogel structure and categorisation

Hydrogels are 3D networks of cross-linked, hydrophilic polymers, which are able to hold large amounts of water in a swollen scaffold.¹⁴ These ‘soft’ materials display elastic behaviour that is governed by the polymer structure and architecture. While the polymer content can vary greatly, a mechanically robust gel will typically contain 0.1–10% polymer by weight, with extremes at each end of the spectrum. This leads to highly porous networks, allowing the diffusion of nutrients, oxygen, and biomolecules, while also enabling the exchange of metabolites and toxins away from cells. Porosity is often high enough to enable cell infiltration and interconnectivity, providing an excellent growth medium for tissue.¹³ However, densely cross-linked gels with pores <10 μm in diameter may limit cell movement, and careful design of the hydrogel network is therefore critical. Cross-linking also dictates the ability of the gel to resist expansion and maintain structure, thus contribut-




Fig. 1 (a) Chemical gels are cross-linked by covalent bonds, leading to hydrogels that can resist mechanical strain; (b) physical gels are held together by molecular entanglement and non-covalent forces, resulting in gels with viscoelastic behaviour.

ing to the amount of water a gel can uptake (swelling ratio) and its mechanical properties.⁶

The cross-linking within a gel can be classified into 2 categories, chemical or physical. Chemical cross-links are formed through covalent bonding between separate polymer chains, resulting in linkages that are more resistant to mechanical forces and providing gels with elastic behaviour (Fig. 1a).⁸ By contrast, physical cross-links rely on molecular entanglement and non-covalent interactions such as hydrogen bonding, ionic interactions, and van der Waals attractions to provide cohesion (Fig. 1b). These cross-links often allow the release of stress, providing gels with viscoelastic behaviour.⁸ The two classes of hydrogels result in very different properties at both the nano- and macro-scale. Material properties can be further varied through the incorporation of transiently stable covalent cross-links, and by exploiting the environmental sensitivity of non-covalent forces to create dynamic (section 5, Fig. 2a) and responsive (section 6, Fig. 2b) materials.

Hydrogels can alternatively be classified into gels formed from synthetic (section 3) or natural (section 4) polymers. Each class of material has advantages and disadvantages, which will be discussed in detail later. However, more generally natural polymers possess enhanced biocompatibility and bio-instructive capabilities, with some displaying strain-stiffening behaviour that more closely resembles the native extracellular matrix (ECM).⁵ Synthetic polymers, on the other hand, provide predictable, precise, and tunable chemistry and versatile hydrogel properties. The choice of polymer is therefore dictated by the needs of the final scaffold.

2.2 Key polymer design considerations

There is no single ideal hydrogel that can be applied in all tissue engineering technologies. Instead, the properties must




Fig. 2 (a) Dynamic hydrogels are held together by transiently stable linkages, providing gels with a range of possible properties including self-healing and shear-thinning behaviour; (b) responsive gels undergo a change in properties upon the application of a stimulus. This change may or may not be reversible, and can result from the disruption or formation of both chemical and physical cross-links.

be matched to the cell type, pathology, tissue, and desired outcome in mind. For example, a hydrogel that will be used as a permanent scaffold for the growth of replacement bone will need to be very different to a gel that supplies a temporary reservoir of cells for nerve regeneration. Similarly, the bio-inductive effects of a hydrogel for cartilage repair, a tissue that does not contain any blood vessels, will differ from one that will be applied in muscle, where a dense blood supply is needed for growth. Careful design of the core polymer is therefore essential to deliver the required properties. Amongst the key design considerations that must be taken into account are:

(i) Will the gel be applied *in vivo* or used to grow tissue *in vitro*?

Polymer scaffolds that are well tolerated in the lab by isolated cells under controlled conditions may still have an adverse impact when implanted in the body, where they are exposed to a multitude of different cell types, and an immune system that is primed to actively attack foreign bodies.¹⁵ For example, though poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) is widely used as a bioinert ‘stealth’ polymer in the tissue engineering community, recent studies have identified the presence of anti-PEG antibodies being generated against these materials *in vivo*.¹⁶ Naturally derived materials are not exempt from these effects, with the immunogenicity of protein epitopes or xeno-contamination of concern *in vivo*.

The stresses and strain experienced by a hydrogel implanted into a patient are also likely to be different to those experienced by a material *in vitro*, necessitating differing mechanical properties. Furthermore, implanted hydrogels may undergo favourable exchange with their surroundings, with metabolites being washed away by the vasculature and endogenous proteins and biomolecules being recruited from the surrounding tissue.^{17,18} Prior functionalisation of the scaffold with bioactive motifs may therefore prove unnecessary.

(ii) Does the gel need to be space-filling or of a defined 3D architecture?

Injectable hydrogels are favourable for *in vivo* applications, as they allow the gel to fill the ill-defined shape of the tissue defect, and negate the need for invasive surgery.¹⁹ However, injectability presents challenges for polymer design. One possibility is the use of a preformed hydrogel that undergoes shear-thinning or stimuli responsive behaviour, allowing injec-

tion followed by gelation *in situ*.^{20–22} Alternatively, gel precursors that undergo either spontaneous or triggered gelation upon injection can be prepared.^{23–25} Such systems require very rapid gelation, dictated by the polymer structure and cross-linking chemistry, to minimise the leaching of soluble components into surrounding tissues.

In a similar direction, there is increasing demand for hydrogels that possess a precisely defined 3D architecture, whether for cellular alignment, to provide pathways for vascularisation, or for the patterning of gradients that mimic native tissue. The use of pendant or backbone polymer groups that are amenable to photo-patterning is one such accessible technology.^{26–28} Alternatively, new technologies for 3D printing and stereolithography can be exploited, using either acellular or cell-containing ‘bio-inks’.^{29–31} These systems require extremely rapid gelation upon extrusion or printing to ensure spatial resolution, most commonly using the mixing of solutions of alginate and calcium salts.

(iii) What is the long-term fate of the gel?

While some hydrogels are applied as permanent implants, aimed at providing long-term scaffolding and structure to a tissue, more commonly the gel should be gradually removed from the site of action once it has served its purpose. Scaffolds composed of non-biodegradable polymers, such as those formed from vinyl polymerisation, may be poorly suited in such scenarios. However, the use of degradable cross-links may open up the possibility of bio-resorbable polymers, in which the bulk hydrogel is broken down into smaller polymer blocks which, though unable to undergo further degradation, are small enough to be excreted from the body.^{32,33} Recent reports have highlighted the benefits of degradable hydrogels in allowing cells to remodel their environment, providing a malleable scaffold that enables the deposition of cell-derived matrix and the formation of more mature tissue (Fig. 3).^{34–36}

Even polymers able to undergo degradation may prove unsuitable if the resultant breakdown products result in a detrimental effect. For example, the build-up of glycolic and lactic acid monomers following the degradation of poly(ester)-based scaffolds has been shown to lead to a local increase in pH and resultant tissue damage.^{37,38} Similar effects are likely to result from the breakdown of degradable PLLA and PLGA hydrogels as discussed in section 3.3. Furthermore, degradation rates must be matched to the desired application.³⁹ Natural polysac-




Fig. 3 Fluorescence microscopy images of neural progenitor cells cultured in elastin-like polypeptide-based hydrogels of varying susceptibility to degradation. An increase in hydrogel degradability results in increased proliferation (EdU) and the maintenance of stemness (nestin). Reprinted by permission from Springer Nature, *Nature Materials*, 'Maintenance of neural progenitor cell stemness in 3D hydrogels requires matrix remodelling', C. M. Madt *et al.*, 2017.³⁴

charides such as hyaluronic acid are rapidly degraded by endogenous enzymes, leading to low tissue retention times.^{40,41} On the other hand, the polyester poly(caprolactone) (PCL) has been shown to undergo hydrolysis at a slow rate, resulting in tissue persistence on a scale of years.⁴² Polymer choice, the formation of composite materials, and altered polymer cross-linking density can all be used to partially tune degradation to a relevant time frame.

(iv) Should cells and proteins adhere to the polymer or should it be inert?

In most tissue engineering technologies, the hydrogel should provide an adhesive scaffold to which cells can bind. This has important implications for polymer design. The native ECM has many recognition motifs that mediate cell binding to the proteins and carbohydrates that make up the matrix. An extra layer of complexity is added by the ability of these native polymers to bind additional layers of soluble proteins, which may further mediate cell adhesion or signalling.⁴³ In contrast, many synthetic polymers are poorly cell adhesive. For example, PEG, poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA), and poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), three of the most widely used synthetic polymers in tissue engineering, exhibit negligible cell or protein binding.⁶ They therefore require derivatization to mediate adhesion, either through the formation of composite scaffolds or through functionalisation with bioactive motifs.^{44,45} This is most commonly achieved through the attachment of synthetic peptide sequences known to mediate cell binding, such as RGDS and IKVAV. The physical properties of a polymer are also able to mediate adhesion with cells adhering to positively charged surfaces, as a result of electrostatic interactions with the negative charges present on cell membranes.⁴⁶

The bioinert nature of synthetic polymers can sometimes be favourable, with the selective attachment of bioactive groups providing greater control over cell behaviour than the use of heterogeneous natural polymers which can induce promiscuous signalling. Furthermore, the bulk scaffold may be able to resist detrimental protein fouling and minimise non-

specific protein adsorption. For example, it has been shown that RGD-functionalised PEG hydrogels are able to promote cell adhesion while at the same time minimising the adsorption of serum proteins. The induction of a detrimental foreign body response is therefore minimised upon implantation *in vivo*.⁴⁷ Although less common, there are certain scenarios in which the inability of cells to bind to a polymer may also be beneficial. For example, hydrogels that are designed to deliver a bolus of cells to an area of damage, or to provide a degradable space filling material for cells to subsequently remodel, can benefit from low cell adhesion.

3. Synthetic polymers

In this section we will discuss the most widely used synthetic polymers used in the tissue engineering field. A summary of the key advantages and disadvantages of each class is provided in Table 1.

3.1 Vinyl polymers

A vast array of functionalised vinyl monomers are either commercially available or synthetically accessible, rendering vinyl polymer-based hydrogels versatile and structurally diverse scaffolds (Fig. 4). Vinyl polymers are most commonly synthesised *via* free-radical polymerisation, though both anionic and cationic polymerisations can be applied to specific monomer classes.^{48,49} For example poly(2-isopropenyl-2-oxazoline) hydrogels, recently developed by the Jerca and Hoogenboom groups, are synthesised *via* living anionic polymerisation to ensure high molecular weights and low dispersity.⁵⁰

Through careful choice of polymerisation conditions, initiation method, and monomer feedstock, vinyl polymers can be produced with either strict or low control over molecular weight (M_w), with a high density of cross-linking or solely as linear chains, as block or random co-polymers, with versatile functional or bioactive pendant groups, and with spatial precision to produce patterned or gradient materials. In most cases, vinyl polymer backbones are non-biodegradable with subsequent limitations for *in vivo* applications. However, with careful monomer design degradable backbone linkages or cross-links can be incorporated into the bulk hydrogel, allowing for breakdown into resorbable macromers.^{32,51,52} For example, early reports by Bryant *et al.* demonstrated the introduction of polyester crosslinks that enable polyvinyl hydrogel breakdown *via* hydrolysis.⁵¹

The structure dependence of vinyl polymerisation rates have been well documented. In general, acrylates polymerise at a faster rate than analogous methacrylates, which in turn polymerise at a faster rate than the corresponding acrylamide.³³ This increased reaction rate comes at a cost of increased monomer toxicity, though residual methacrylates and acrylamides are also known to cause damage *in vivo*.^{53,54} It is therefore important to reach high conversions during polymerisation, which may be challenging during chain-growth polymerisation, necessitating the use of long reaction

Table 1 Key classes of synthetic polymers discussed in this review, and their key beneficial and detrimental properties

Polymer	Class	Advantages	Disadvantages
PHEMA	Polyvinyl	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High mechanical strength • Generally biocompatible • Easily derivatized 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-degradable • Non-adhesive • Potential calcification <i>in vivo</i> • High monomer toxicity
PVA	Polyvinyl	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High elasticity • Variable deacetylation ratios • High biocompatibility and hydrophilicity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-degradable • Non-adhesive
PNIPAM	Polyvinyl	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temperature responsive (LCST) • Biocompatible • Low immunogenicity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-degradable • Non-adhesive • Monomer cyto- and neuro-toxic • Gels have weak mechanical strength
PEG	—	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Versatile architecture and functionality • 'Blank slate' scaffold • Modular gel properties 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-degradable • Non-adhesive
PLA	Polyester	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Degradable by hydrolysis • Properties dependent on monomer feedstock 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of immunogenicity in some patients • Hydrolysis products may cause inflammation
PGA	Polyester	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Degradable by hydrolysis • Co-polymers with PLA give tunable properties 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physically cross-linked gels are weak • Hydrolysis products may cause inflammation
PCL	Polyester	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Degradable by hydrolysis • Sensitive to degradation by lipase • Stable hydrogels over wide concentration range • Crystallinity provides mechanical strength 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rapid breakdown <i>in vivo</i> • Physically cross-linked gels are weak • Crystallinity may slow hydrolysis beyond relevant timeframe

**Fig. 4** Structures of the most commonly used vinyl polymers for hydrogelation (s: statistical polymer).

times which in turn may be limiting for *in situ* or cellularised gelation (see section 8).⁵⁵

Free-radical polymerisations are tolerant of functionalised vinyl monomers, providing facile access to derivatised polymers and hydrogels.^{44,52} Peptides, glycans, and even proteins can be modified with acrylate or methacrylate groups, and incorporated as a co-monomer during polymerisation.^{56–58} Similarly, monomers bearing chemically interesting pendant groups, such as the zwitterionic, phospholipid mimicking monomer 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine (MPC), able to minimise stem cell activation, can be incorporated to provide vinyl polymers with added functionality.⁵⁹ Jansen *et al.* have demonstrated that MPC can minimise serum protein adsorption *in vivo*, and is therefore a useful means to limit the foreign body response after implantation.⁶⁰ The density of presentation can be easily tuned through alteration of the monomer feedstock, however it is important to match poly-

merisation rates in order to provide homogenous distributions of functional motifs. If polymerisation of the bulk polymer occurs at a significantly different rate to the co-monomer, the formation of block – rather than random – co-polymers may be produced. Vinyl groups are also commonly installed on pre-formed macromers or polymers of alternative natural or synthetic polymers, subsequently acting as covalent cross-linkers to provide hydrogels with mechanical strength or injectable solutions with 'curability' through the application of a radical-inducing stimuli.^{61–63}

3.1.1 Poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate), PHEMA. PHEMA was one of the first synthetic polymers used to form biomedical hydrogels.⁶⁴ The precursor monomer HEMA is typically contaminated with small amounts of residual ethylene dimethacrylate, leading to non-degradable, insoluble, cross-linked networks after polymerisation. To construct degradable PHEMA gels, recent efforts have therefore been directed towards the synthesis of PHEMA networks cross-linked by degradable linkages.^{32,65} Macková *et al.* recently reported the synthesis of a reductively degradable hydrogel through the doping of PHEMA with thiol-containing monomers able to form disulfide cross-links.³² Critical to this work was the synthesis of low M_w PHEMA chains (<45 kDa), that are small enough to undergo glomerular filtration following hydrogel breakdown. Wang *et al.* have gone on to demonstrate that disulfide cross-linking can also provide PHEMA hydrogels with self-healing capacity (see section 5), enabling potential mechanical instability resulting from surface buckling and wrinkling during gel swelling to be overcome.⁶⁶

The high hydrophilicity of PHEMA renders it bioinert, resisting cell and protein adhesion. PHEMA hydrogels have therefore commonly been used as structural scaffolds, directing cellular growth or encapsulating cells for delivery.

These properties were recently exploited by Cai *et al.* to photo-pattern PHEMA gels containing phenylazide co-monomers, leading to the creation of 3D cylindrical channels of adhesive collagen proteins.⁶⁷ The resultant construct was able to serve as an effective nerve conduit *in vivo*, promoting the infiltration of neurons and the restoration of motor function within a transected spinal cord. An alternative approach to 3D patterning can be seen through the use of PHEMA-based bioinks, as developed by the Ruzzo group. They have shown that high M_w PHEMA dopants are necessary to provide sufficient viscosity to monomer inks to enable direct ink writing.^{68,69}

To generate cell-adhesive PHEMA gels, composite structures bearing cell-adhesive co-polymers, ECM protein coatings, or adhesive peptides are often exploited.^{51,69–71} However, in an important recent development Hu *et al.* have demonstrated that high-aspect-ratio, micro-meter scale, topographical patterning of pure PHEMA gels is able to promote the adherence of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs).⁷² In contrast to the rounded morphology adopted on flat surfaces, indicative of poor interactions with the underlying material, cells were able to spread and elongate in response to the topographical cues provided. The mechanical integrity of the PHEMA hydrogels was essential to enable patterning to be retained. This report is likely to be of great significance in the coming years, providing a powerful means to pattern and direct cell growth.

While generally considered to be biocompatible and bioinert, PHEMA has some drawbacks for *in vivo* applications. The hydroxyl group of HEMA has been shown to further increase monomer toxicity when compared to the corresponding alkyl methacrylate. The complete removal of residual monomer is therefore necessary to minimise cell and tissue damage.⁵³ Furthermore, PHEMA gels implanted *in vivo* have been shown to potentially undergo calcification. While the mechanism and extent of this process remain unclear, further investigation is necessary due to the potential for soft tissue calcification to cause severe pathologies.^{73,74} Such studies are vital to enable the full potential of PHEMA as a biomedical material to be realised.

3.1.2 Poly(vinyl alcohol), PVA. PVA is synthesised through the partial or full hydrolysis of the precursor poly(vinyl acetate). It cannot be produced directly, due to the propensity of vinyl alcohol to undergo rapid tautomerization to acetaldehyde.⁷⁵ Different grades of PVA are therefore available, varying in their degree of acetylation. Though it may at first seem counterintuitive, an increase in acetate content in fact leads to higher water solubility, by reducing the degree of intramolecular hydrogen bonding and therefore polymer crystallinity.⁷⁵

PVA exhibits high elasticity, generating hydrogels with low friction. PVA hydrogels are therefore attractive substrates for cartilage tissue engineering, acting as lubricating surfaces able to withstand the high mechanical forces imposed on joints.^{76,77} However, pure PVA gels are not strong enough to recreate the mechanical properties of native cartilage. To overcome this limitation Shi *et al.* demonstrated that the use of vinylpyrrolidone as a co-monomer can greatly improve hydrogel strength at levels as low as 1%.⁷⁸

The majority of linkages within the PVA backbone generate 1,3-diols, though a small proportion of 1,2-diols are often present.⁷⁹ In a similar manner to PHEMA, the polyhydroxylated nature of PVA scaffold leads to both high biocompatibility, and poor cell and protein adhesion, as a result of polymer hydrophilicity.⁸⁰ PVA hydrogels therefore typically require derivatization to generate an active gel for cell encapsulation.⁷⁷ This can be conveniently achieved through the chemical modification of the pendant hydroxyl groups, enabling functionalisation of the polymer chain. Alternatively, PVA can be exploited to provide inert scaffolds for cell encapsulation and storage. The Ishihara group in particular has reported a number of exciting technologies exploiting the polyhydroxyl backbone to form complexes with boronic acid-containing co-polymers, thus generating dynamic, responsive cross-links, as will be discussed later.^{59,81,82} These gels are able to restrict stem cell differentiation, providing increased control over cell fate through the addition of soluble factors.⁵⁹ The gels can also undergo changes in mechanical properties, through the addition of competing sugars able to disrupt sugar-boronic acid binding. These hydrogels are therefore promising scaffolds for the packaging and storage of cells that can subsequently be released when required by a user-applied stimuli.

3.1.3 Poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide), PNIPAM. PNIPAM has found widespread use in the biomedical field due to its thermoresponsive behaviour. The lower critical solution temperature (LCST) of PNIPAM is ~ 32 °C, making it responsive in a biologically relevant temperature range. Below the LCST, PNIPAM is highly solvated in water. However, when the temperature is raised, hydrophobic interactions drive aggregation of the iso-propyl groups and a change in phase.⁸³ This behaviour makes PNIPAM ideally suited to the formation of injectable hydrogels, and composite gels that undergo gelation at body temperature from soluble precursors have found particularly widespread use.^{84–86} Alternatively, PNIPAM based gels can be exploited for the reversible attachment/detachment of adhered cells. These hydrogels are therefore exciting substrates for the *in vitro* generation of cell sheets or for the expansion and differentiation of cells pre-implantation. The Schaffer group demonstrated in 2013 that human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) could undergo an impressive 10^{72} fold expansion within a thermoreversible PNIPAM hydrogel, that could subsequently be liquefied to harvest the cells.⁸⁷ They subsequently demonstrated that midbrain dopaminergic neurons cultured in this 3D environment displayed greatly increased viability upon implantation than cells cultured in 2D, lending strong support to the use of hydrogel scaffolds in such applications.⁸⁸ Though cell detachment can be achieved by lowering the temperature below the LCST of PNIPAM, prolonged periods at these decreased temperatures have been shown to suppress cell metabolism. Guo *et al.* have therefore developed an intriguing dual-responsive system, by co-polymerising NIPAM with boronic acid-containing monomers.⁸⁹ The resultant hydrogels are responsive to both temperature and the presence of glucose. Cells can therefore be harvested through the addition

of sugar to the cell culture media, or greatly accelerated at low temperatures through the same process.

PNIPAM displays good biocompatibility and low immunogenicity *in vivo*.^{90,91} However, as for many vinyl monomers, *N*-isopropylacrylamide is cyto- and neuro-toxic, necessitating the complete removal of residual monomer post-polymerisation.⁹² Pure PNIPAM also leads to hydrogels with weak mechanical strength, held together by non-covalent interactions. While the formation of composite gels can increase mechanical strength, they may also affect the delicate balance of associative and dissociative forces that provide PNIPAM with its thermoresponsive behaviour. The LCST may be drastically altered or lost entirely as a result. For example, PNIPAM copolymers containing 4-(hydroxybutyl)methacrylate and 6-(hydroxyhexyl)methacrylate fail to show thermoresponsive properties, whereas HEMA containing polymers do.⁹³

In general, co-polymers with discrete phases are more likely to retain thermoresponsive behaviour, with individual polymer blocks still able to undergo a change in phase.⁹⁴ Zhang *et al.* recently demonstrated that a PNIPAM-PHEMA co-polymer with such a discrete architecture could be used to create microfibrillar hydrogels that undergo reversible changes in stiffness, up to 0.5 GPa, upon thermal cycling.⁹⁵ Interestingly, hMSCs cultured under conditions of cyclic mechanical change were shown to undergo enhanced spreading and adhesion, resulting in increased osteogenic differentiation. This report therefore highlights the potential utility of dynamic gel mechanical properties as an important means to control cell fate.

3.1.4 Other vinyl polymers. Poly(acrylamide) can be used to form hydrogels that are stable, biocompatible and bioinert. Indeed, despite having not gone through rigorous clinical trials, the use of poly(acrylamide) gels as fillers for damaged cartilage tissue and augmentation procedures is widespread in certain parts of the world. However, the neurotoxicity and teratogenic properties of residual acrylamide is of concern, and local toxicity and inflammation at the site of implantation have been observed in a number of cases.^{54,96} However to actively interface with cells, poly(acrylamide) constructs must be functionalised with adhesive natural polymers or bioactive peptides.⁹⁷ Poly(acrylamide)-alginate interpenetrating networks, first reported by Suo and co-workers in 2012, form hydrogels with particularly interesting properties for tissue engineering, due to their exceptional stretchability and toughness.⁹⁸ By combining both chemically and physically cross-linked polymers within a single construct, further stabilised by inter-polymer hydrogen bonds, these hydrogels offer attractive mechanical properties for the engineering of tissues including cartilage⁹⁹ and blood vessels.¹⁰⁰

Though functionalised co-monomers have been incorporated into polymers to supplement hydrogel properties, other core vinyl backbones have been less commonly exploited. For example, poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) and poly(methacrylic acid) (PMAA) have been used only rarely within hydrogels for tissue engineering, typically as part of composite materials, due to their high density of negative charge at physiological pH.¹⁰¹ Similarly, poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP) has rarely been used to

form hydrogels despite possessing low immunogenicity and being biocompatible. This is in part due to the difficulty of producing cross-linked PVP networks, due to the slow kinetics of vinylpyrrolidone polymerisation relative to the reaction of vinyl-cross-linkers doped into the system.¹⁰² Instead, vinylpyrrolidone has been more commonly applied as a co-monomer to increase the mechanical strength of polymers such as PVA, as described above.⁷⁸

Poly(2-isopropenyl-2-oxazoline) (PiPOx) has recently emerged as a promising vinyl polymer for biomedical applications. The pendant groups of PiPOx possess reactive oxazoline groups, which are able to undergo efficient ring opening with carboxylic acids to generate a poly(acrylamide) backbone bearing functional esters.^{48,103} Functionalization with thiols and phenol groups has also been reported. Modification of the oxazoline sidechain has proved insensitive to water or oxygen, and proceeds cleanly without the generation of side-products or the need for catalysts. Though not yet reported, PiPOx therefore offers intriguing possibilities for the creation of hydrogels bearing bioactive motifs. It is likely that these exciting materials will become of increasing interest to the biomedical community in the future, though the need to undertake anionic polymerisation to achieve well defined polymer precursors may limit widespread translation to non-specialist polymer labs.

3.2 Poly(ethylene glycol), PEG

The terms poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) and poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) are often used interchangeably in the biomaterials community to describe the same material, regardless of traditional distinctions based on M_w or end-group functionality.¹⁰⁴ PEG is the most widely used synthetic polymer for hydrogelation in the tissue engineering field, certainly in the academic community, if not commercially or in the clinic. This is largely due to the chemical and biological inertness of PEG, along with the ease of derivatization, the large number of polymer architectures and lengths that are accessible commercially or synthetically, and the high hydrophilicity of the polymer backbone. In effect, PEG hydrogels act as a 'blank slate', providing an inert structural component that can then be decorated with active functionalities at will.^{105,106} This allows the production of controlled and homogenous scaffolds in which individual facets of hydrogel design and their influence on cell fate can be delineated from each other, and PEG hydrogels have therefore been at the forefront of efforts to understand cell growth and behaviour within tissue engineering scaffolds. In some prominent recent examples, PEG-based hydrogels have played a critical role in enabling demonstrations that local heterogeneities in cell growth and gel degradation help retain scaffold integrity during tissue growth,¹⁰⁷ dynamic cross-links must be balanced with bulk stability to maximise ECM deposition during cartilage growth,¹⁰⁸ and that nascent protein deposition and remodelling plays an important role in dictating cell fate from an early stage.¹⁰⁹

PEG is commonly produced through the anionic polymerisation of ethylene oxide. Branched- or star-polymers can be readily synthesised and are often used to produce cross-linked

polymer hydrogels. End-capping groups can also be easily introduced, either through the use of functional initiators or post-polymerisation functionalisation. As a result, covalent cross-linking can be achieved *via* a diverse range of coupling chemistries, each with distinct advantages or applications, such as nucleophilic and radical thiol-ene reactions,^{110,111} copper-catalysed or strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloadditions,^{27,112} inverse-electron demand Diels-Alder reactions between tetrazines and strained alkenes,¹¹³ oxime and hydrazone formation,¹¹⁴ and many other diverse coupling chemistries⁴⁴ (Fig. 5). Indeed, the versatility of PEG-end functionalisation enables the exploitation of multiple cross-linking reactions within the same hydrogel scaffold, providing hydrogels with unparalleled tunability that can be exploited to maximise cell growth. For example, the Maynard group have demonstrated that varying the ratio of oxime and hydrazone cross-linkages within a PEG hydrogel can control scaffold degradation over a period of 1–7 days.¹¹⁵ Richardson *et al.* have gone on to show that changing the ratio of benzyl- to alkyl-hydrazones within a gel, by controlling PEG end-capping

functionality, enables the formation of gels with stress relaxation times ranging from seconds to months, with important implications for cell fate.¹⁰⁸

Hydrogelation in these cases typically proceeds *via* the ‘step-growth’ polymerisation of functional monomers, with important implications for hydrogel properties as will be discussed in section 8. These chemistries can also be exploited for the incorporation of adhesive peptides and the formation of composites with natural polymers, *via* the modification of end-capping groups.^{27,34,111,116–119} Importantly, each ‘modification’ uses a functional handle that would otherwise have been used for cross-linking. Kim *et al.* have shown that this can have an important influence on hydrogel properties, with increasing densities of RGD peptide presentation within a PEG hydrogel resulting in weakened storage moduli, slower gelation times, and increased swelling ratios.¹²⁰ Interestingly, these changes were significantly reduced when 8-arm PEG gel precursors were utilised rather than 4-arm, even at the same peptide and cross-linking densities, highlighting the importance of careful polymer design as will be discussed further in section 7.

As an alternative to the step-growth cross-linking chemistries discussed above, chain-growth mechanisms can also be used to generate PEG hydrogels. The use of PEG-di(meth)acrylates is particularly widespread for free-radical hydrogelation and the formation of covalently-linked composites with alternative polymers.^{116,117,121,122} This is particularly advantageous for *in situ* hydrogelation, though the limitations of such techniques will be discussed in section 8.¹²³ It has been well documented for a number of years that the use of chain-growth *vs.* step-growth cross-linking has important implications for the bulk mechanical properties of PEG hydrogels and the presentation of mechanical cues to encapsulated cells, due to an increase in gel imperfections.^{124,125} However, in a recent study Vats *et al.* have also highlighted differences in hydrogel properties at the nanoscale *via* atomic force microscopy.¹²⁶ Chain-growth polymerisation of PEG-dimethacrylate was found to generate hydrophobic pockets of poly(methacrylate) with increased stiffness, leading to high heterogeneity that influenced protein and cell adhesion. Indeed, the authors went on to show that the clustering of attached adhesive peptides during polymerisation led to a decrease in cell density when compared to homogeneously distributed peptide.

Though PEG is non-degradable, below a $M_w \sim 10$ kDa polymers can be cleared from the body by renal excretion.¹²⁷ The use of degradable linkers to generate soluble PEG macromers upon hydrogel breakdown, either *via* hydrolysis or enzymatic cleavage, is therefore common.^{34,128} However, recent reports on the generation of anti-PEG antibodies suggest that PEG-based hydrogels may not be as bioinert as previously thought. Interestingly, Chang *et al.* have demonstrated that immunogenicity is patient specific, with genetic markers for PEG-sensitivity being identified.¹²⁹ Further research into the causes and downstream implications of PEG-immunogenicity is therefore essential.¹⁶



Fig. 5 End-functionalisation of PEG allows the incorporation of diverse reactive handles that can subsequently be used for chemical cross-linking.

3.3 Polyesters

Polymer backbones containing ester groups are able to undergo biodegradation. Polyesters are therefore popular materials for the production of biomedical hydrogels. In general, polyesters are reasonably hydrophobic and are therefore poor substrates for the formation of hydrogels in isolation. Instead, they are commonly combined with a hydrophilic polymer, such as PEG, to provide amphiphilic block copolymers able to undergo self-assembly and physical gelation. Gelation is preceded by the initial formation of intermediate micelles. As polymer concentration is increased, these micelles aggregate leading to a sol-to-gel transition dictated by the critical gel concentration (CGC), as described by Jeong *et al.*¹³⁰ This concentration is strongly influenced by the polymer properties, including the monomer composition, amphiphilic ratio, M_w , and architecture. The importance of these parameters will be discussed in detail in section 7, however for our purposes here, in general increasing the polyester content leads to a decrease in CGC as a result of increased hydrophobic interactions which are able to drive the assembly process.^{131,132} Assembly is typically temperature sensitive, leading to thermoresponsive polymers with gelation temperatures that must be tuned to a physiologically relevant range.¹³³

The rate of polyester degradation strongly influences hydrogel mechanics and the ability of cells to remodel their environment. The degradability must therefore be carefully matched to the target application. For example, Kang *et al.* have demonstrated that polyester structure can be used to tune *in vivo* persistence times from days to years depending on monomer composition.⁴² Furthermore, the potential downstream effects of the breakdown products must also be considered. Polyesters typically degrade into monomers bearing carboxylic acids, acting to raise local pH and potentially causing tissue damage.^{133,134} The accumulation of acidic monomers at the site of implantation may also act to induce local calcification.

3.3.1 Poly(lactic acid), PLA. PLA is synthesised *via* the ring-opening polymerisation of lactide, the lactone cyclic di-ester of lactic acid. As lactic acid is chiral, lactide monomers can exist as three stereoisomers, (*R,R*) and (*S,S*) enantiomers and a *meso*-isomer. A number of PLA structures can therefore be generated dependent on the choice of monomer – poly(*L*-lactic acid), PLLA, poly(*D*-lactic acid), PDLA, and poly(*DL*-lactic acid), PDLLA. PDLLA can also exist in either syndiotactic or heterotactic forms, depending on the monomer feedstock, further diversifying the available polymer structures.¹³⁵ Interestingly, Diederich *et al.* have recently demonstrated that polymer chains bearing an odd number of lactic acid units can be produced, despite the use of a di-ester feedstock for ring-opening.¹³⁶ It is therefore likely that transesterification also takes place during PLA synthesis to some extent, potentially influencing final stereochemistry.

The stereochemistry of a PLA polymer has a significant effect on its properties, and thus those of an amphiphilic PEG block co-polymer and the hydrogels that it is able to form.



Fig. 6 Polyester structure dictates the properties of the resulting hydrogel. With increasing hydrophobicity of the carbon backbone, the critical gel concentration decreases, leading to gels that are stable over a wider concentration range. Similarly, the rate of hydrolysis also decreases, due to increased crystallinity. The increased crystallinity of regio-regular PLLA/PDLA vs. PDLLA has a similar effect.

While enantiopure PLLA leads to semi-crystalline hydrophobic aggregates upon gelation, racemic PDLLA typically adopts an amorphous structure. This in turn leads to an increased susceptibility to hydrolysis and a decrease in mechanical strength (Fig. 6).^{127,137} Alternatively, gelation can be greatly accelerated by combining mixtures of PLLA- and PDLA-based amphiphiles, as a result of stereocomplexation effects, as demonstrated by Hiemstra and co-workers.^{138,139}

The stability of PLA-based gels can be enhanced through chemical cross-linking, most commonly achieved *via* the photo-polymerisation of vinyl-end groups.^{140,141} These modifications can also be used to cross-link composite scaffolds, incorporating natural polymers with bioactive properties.^{29,142} Additional cross-linking may be particularly beneficial due to the known propensity of PLA to undergo autocatalytic breakdown. The acidity of the α -hydroxy acid PLA degradation product has been shown to accelerate the interior breakdown of polyester hydrogels, leading to the generation of capsular gels with hollow interiors.¹⁴³ Importantly, even after cross-linking, hydrogel properties are still highly dependent on monomer feedstock chirality. The Tuan group have demonstrated that covalently cross-linking PEG-PLLA/PDLLA results in hydrogels of differing stiffnesses, due to the increased crystallinity of PLLA.¹³⁷ While these gels undergo slower degradation, they also result in decreased ECM deposition by encapsulated hMSCs.

3.3.2 Poly(glycolic acid), PGA, and poly(lactic acid-co-glycolic acid), PLGA. PGA is characterised by an increased hydrophilicity when compared to PLA. The physical hydrogelation of PGA-PEG amphiphiles is therefore less effective, as the hydrophobic driving forces for assembly are significantly weaker (Fig. 6). As a result, PGA-based polymers typically require chemical cross-linking to form stable hydrogels.^{144,145} In an exciting recent development, chemical cross-linking has been exploited by the Mikos group to form PGA-PEG-PNIPAM composite hydrogels bearing reactive alkynes for further derivitisation.¹⁴⁵ They have shown that a novel ruthenium-catalysed azide-alkyne cycloaddition reaction can be used to furnish this degradable scaffold with biomolecular growth cues in a modular and mild fashion, producing scaffolds able to support MSC encapsulation.^{145,146}

As an alternative to the use of pure PGA, PLGA co-polymers can be synthesised, either as random or block structures, and grafted to PEG to create polyester amphiphiles able to form stable gels at physiological temperature. The increased hydrophilicity of PGA/PLGA also leads to lower crystallinity of the hydrophobic aggregate, therefore leading to an increased rate of hydrolysis when compared to pure PLA amphiphiles.^{131,141} As for PLA, the generation of α -hydroxy acid monomers upon hydrolysis of PLGA, in the form of glycolic acid and lactic acid, may again act to auto-accelerate polymer degradation.¹⁴⁷

3.3.3 Poly(ϵ -caprolactone), PCL. The increased alkyl chain length of ϵ -caprolactone leads to a resultant increase in the hydrophobicity of PCL (Fig. 6). PCL-PEG amphiphiles therefore exhibit a lower CGC than PLA-based polymers, and form stable hydrogels across a wider concentration and temperature range. PCL domains are semi-crystalline, with crystallinity decreasing with increasing PCL M_w .¹⁴⁸ PCL-amphiphiles are therefore hydrolysed at a significantly decreased rate when compared to analogous PLA/PGA based polymers.^{133,149} Indeed, even relatively small amounts of ϵ -caprolactone co-monomer have been shown to significantly slow the rate of degradation of PLA networks.¹⁵⁰ PCL has also been shown to be sensitive to enzymatic degradation by lipase, offering a potential means to trigger hydrogel breakdown through the addition of an exogenous stimuli.¹⁵¹

The hydrophobicity of PCL makes it an attractive component of hydrogels that must be able to withstand high mechanical stress, for example for the engineering of cartilage tissue. In such scenarios, the hydrophobic clustering of PCL domains constrains the swelling of the polymer hydrophilic regions. However, the slow degradation of PCL can then prove limiting. To elegantly address this challenge, Yin and co-workers grafted short pendant PCL chains to a hydrophilic poly(L-glutamic acid) polymer backbone.¹⁵² Though the PCL chains were able to associate and provide the hydrogels with improved mechanical properties suitable for meniscal tissue engineering, the short length prevented large scale crystallinity. The gels were therefore still able to undergo degradation over a suitable time period, resulting in the partial regeneration of meniscus tissue *in vivo*.

3.3.4 Other polyesters. Poly(propylene fumarate) can be used to form PEG-composites, as alternating co-polymers, cross-linked composites or as short chain degradable linkages (Fig. 7).^{153–155} Importantly, the presence of an activated alkene in the polymer backbone provides opportunities for subsequent cross-linking or derivitisation.^{156,157} Poly(phosphoester) hydrogels have also been reported by the Elisseff group, providing a convenient linkage for degradation by both hydrolysis and the activity of phosphatases. These materials are interesting substrates for bone tissue engineering, as the phosphate groups generated upon degradation are able to bind calcium and induce osteogenesis.^{158,159}

3.4 Other synthetic polymers

PiPOx polymers, introduced in section 3.1.4, bear reactive oxazolines that can be used for post-polymerisation functionalisation. 2-Oxazolines can also act as monomers in their own




Fig. 7 Other polymers that are either commonly used or are emerging as promising materials for biomedical hydrogelation.

right, undergoing cationic ring-opening polymerisation under strictly anhydrous conditions to generate a pseudo-polypeptide backbone (Fig. 7).¹⁶⁰ The amide 'R' group dictates the properties of a particular construct. Poly(2-alkyl/aryl-2-oxazoline)s (PAOx) bearing multiple functional groups can be synthesised *via* mixed monomer feedstocks.¹⁶¹ For example, Farrugia *et al.* demonstrated that oxazoline monomers bearing reactive alkene handles for post-polymerisation modification enable the introduction of adhesive peptides that can subsequently mediate cell attachment.¹⁶² PAOxs are particularly attractive as alternative 'stealth polymers' to PEG, providing bioinert, stable, and biocompatible scaffolds. Indeed, even under harsh oxidative conditions able to induce cleavage events in PEG-based materials, the backbone of PAOx was found to be stable as side-chain degradation was favoured.¹⁶⁰ Structurally related polypeptoids, bearing N-linked R-groups can also be synthesised through the ring-opening polymerisation of *N*-carboxyanhydrides.¹⁶³ These polymers possess a similar tunability of structure and gelation properties, and the possibility to incorporate functional motifs.

Polyisocyanopeptides, developed by the groups of Rowan and Kower, have recently emerged as interesting materials for tissue engineering. These polymers are able to form helical structures that undergo hierarchical assembly into fibres, and then fibrous bundles. In this way, they are able to mimic the structure and behaviour of native ECM proteins.^{164,165} Hydrogelation has been reported to occur at extremely low polymer concentrations, with the presence of pendant chiral peptides driving helix formation and subsequent assembly into fibres. These materials, unlike most synthetic polymer gels, display stress-stiffening behaviour that is more akin to the behaviour displayed by natural protein-based gels, allowing them to mediate stem-cell differentiation (Fig. 8).^{166,167}

4. Naturally-derived polymers

The use of protein/peptide and polysaccharide natural polymers as substrates for hydrogelation is widespread in tissue engineering. In this section, we will discuss the key polymer classes, with a summary provided in Table 2.




Fig. 8 Strain-stiffening behaviour of natural and synthetic polymer gels. Of particular note, is the characteristic strain-stiffening behaviour of collagen and fibrin, which has been shown to be beneficial to stem cell survival. Polyisocyanopeptides, introduced in section 3.4, possess biomimetic stiffening behaviour, whereas a chemically cross-linked poly (acrylamide) hydrogel does not. G_0 indicates the equilibrium bulk stiffness and σ_c denotes the critical stress for the onset of stress stiffening for the polyisocyanopeptide gel. Reprinted by permission from Springer Nature, *Nature Materials*, 'Stress-stiffening-mediated stem-cell commitment switch in soft responsive hydrogels', R. K. Das *et al.*, 2016.¹⁶⁷

4.1 Peptide and protein based materials

Proteins play a vital structural and signalling role in native ECM. For example, in cartilage, 50–80% of the dry mass of the tissue is made up of triple-helical collagen fibres.⁶ It is therefore not surprising that peptide- and protein-based polymers have been widely used to form hydrogels for tissue engineer-

ing. These materials have an inherent, sequence-dependent ability to mediate cell signalling and adhesion, and to control tissue development. These interactions are bidirectional, with cells also able to remodel and manipulate proteinaceous hydrogels.¹⁶⁸ Natural polymers often assemble into fibrous hydrogels, held together *via* non-covalent interactions. As a result, they display viscoelastic and strain-stiffening behaviour similar to that of native ECM (Fig. 8). These properties have been shown to be highly beneficial in dictating stem cell fate and survival, as recently demonstrated by the Chaudhuri and Mooney groups.^{35,169}

In principle, proteins/peptides possess low immunogenicity, as the epitopes they display are typically found in native tissues anyway. However, as protein substrates are commonly extracted from natural sources, achieving high purity is often challenging, with contamination and heterogeneous substrates limiting clinical implementation. The use of recombinant proteins partially addresses this challenge, in addition to delivering sequence flexibility and ease of largescale production. However contamination with bacterial contaminants remains a significant concern.

4.1.1 Collagen. Collagen is the most abundant protein in mammals, with type I and type II being particularly widespread.¹⁷⁰ Both isoforms play crucial roles *in vivo*, with type I collagen being most widely exploited in tissue engineering. The primary sequence of collagen I is made up principally of a repeating Gly-X-Y motif, where X and Y are mostly proline or 4-hydroxyproline. Bioactive sequences that mediate cell and protein adhesion and signalling are also present sporadically.⁶³ Glycine is critical to allow the dense packing of individual collagen strands, staggered by a single amino acid, into a right-handed triple helix. In native ECM, these helices

Table 2 Key classes of natural polymers discussed in this review, and their key beneficial and detrimental properties

Polymer	Class	Advantages	Disadvantages
Collagen	Proteinaceous	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adhesive and bioactive Abundant and biodegradable Mimics native ECM 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assembly sensitive to modification Contamination can lead to immunogenicity Mechanical stability lost during processing
Gelatin	Proteinaceous	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adhesive and bioactive Tolerant of functionalisation Abundant and biodegradable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mechanically weak Contamination can lead to immunogenicity Requires cross-linking
Silk	Proteinaceous	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> High mechanical strength and elasticity Adhesive Low immunogenicity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slow gelation
ELPs	Proteinaceous	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thermoresponsive (LCST) Tunable structure and sequence Recombinant expression 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low stability without cross-linking
Alginate	Polysaccharide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rapid gelation with divalent cations Abundant Ease of use for 3D printing Reactive handles for functionalisation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cation leaching leads to dissolution Non-biodegradable Poorly adhesive
Chitosan	Polysaccharide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adhesive and antimicrobial Abundant Low immunogenicity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor solubility at neutral pH
HA	Polysaccharide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bioactive and biocompatible Binds growth factors and cytokines Reactive handles for functionalisation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low stability without cross-linking Rapidly degraded <i>in vivo</i>
Chondroitin sulfate	Polysaccharide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bioactive and biocompatible Binds growth factors and cytokines Reactive handles for functionalisation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low stability without cross-linking Rapidly degraded <i>in vivo</i>

undergo hierarchical assembly, first into collagen fibrils, and then the fibres that give collagen its high mechanical strength in tissue. However, during the processing of native collagen I to produce material for hydrogelation, elements of this organisation are lost, leading to a drop in mechanical stability.⁷ Furthermore, the sensitivity of the hierarchical assembly process to disruption limits the ability to chemically modify and functionalise collagen fibres for covalent cross-linking.¹⁷¹ However, the Wood and Phopase groups have demonstrated that methacrylated collagen I is able to maintain structure and undergo stable photocross-linking *in situ*, to enable the development of injectable collagen hydrogels.^{63,171} Chen *et al.* have recently gone on to demonstrate that acrylated collagen I can be used to both crosslink and photo-pattern hydrogels with complementary acrylated proteins.¹⁷² Spatially resolved conjugation of alkaline phosphatase was seen to promote proliferation of encapsulated bone marrow stromal cells, and enhanced mineralisation towards engineered bone formation.

Though more rarely used, collagen II is also attractive for tissue engineering. In particular, collagen II has been shown to be more effective at inducing chondrogenesis than type I. However, collagen II also has a higher density of glycosylation sites which act to reduce fibrillation capacity, leading to hydrogels with very weak mechanical properties. Vázquez-Portalatín *et al.* have demonstrated that the use of collagen type I/II blends allows partial recovery of hydrogel strength.¹⁷³ Alternatively, Yang *et al.* have employed photo cross-linking of methacrylated collagen II to generate homopolymer hydrogels for the first time, with a resultant upregulation of chondrogenesis when compared to analogous collagen I scaffolds.¹⁷⁴

Though only a small subset of the population display an immune response to type I collagen, the common use of mammalian sources to derive protein for gelation leads to a high risk of immunogenicity and challenges associated with heterogeneity.¹⁷⁵ More recently, recombinant human collagen has become commercially available, with a resultant improvement in biocompatibility and reproducibility. The use of bacterial collagens is also becoming increasingly attractive and offers a viable alternative to mammalian proteins. In a series of papers, the Ramshaw and Stevens groups have demonstrated that the Streptococcal collagen-like 2 (Scl2) proteins provide the advantageous triple helical structure and mechanical strength of human collagen, while also being non-immunogenic, non-toxic, and amenable to reproducible large-scale expression.^{176–178} Importantly, these bacterial collagens lack bioactive epitopes, providing a 'blank-slate' into which adhesive, signalling, and degradable motifs can be recombinantly introduced. The authors have therefore demonstrated that Scl2-derived hydrogels overcome the heterogeneity of mammalian collagens, providing precise control over chondrogenesis.¹⁷⁸

As an alternative to full length collagen, collagen-mimetic peptides have also been reported to undergo hydrogelation. In their native form these short peptides are often unable to form stable helices. As will be discussed further in section 5, assembly can, however, be controlled through a careful balance of hydrophobic and hydrophilic content. In an early report, Yu

et al. reported that lipidation of a collagen-mimetic increased amphiphilicity and drove helix formation.¹⁷⁹ In an alternative approach, Luo and Tong demonstrated that collagen epitopes can be displayed on the surfaces of β -sheet forming peptides.¹⁸⁰ Although this peptide does not form a triple helix, it is able to aggregate into fibrillar hydrogels which are able to partially recapitulate the properties of native collagen.

4.1.2 Gelatin. Gelatin is obtained through the partial hydrolysis and denaturation of collagen.¹⁸¹ It therefore retains many of the biologically active epitopes of collagen, such as the cell adhesive RGD sequence. Similarly to collagen, gelatin also undergoes facile biodegradation *in vivo* to generate well tolerated peptidic digestion products.¹⁸² Gelatin is therefore an attractive natural polymer for creating hydrogels with high biocompatibility. The denaturation process also leads to a reduction in immunogenicity, that has been attributed to a lower content of aromatic amino acids.¹⁸³ However, a loss of mechanical strength is observed in gelatin-based hydrogels, due to the absence of the stabilising triple helix present in collagen. As a result, gelatin has been widely incorporated into composite materials to provide bioactivity to a structural polymer.^{22,184,185} Alternatively, lysine residues on gelatin can be easily functionalised to provide reactive handles for chemical cross-linking. The absence of triple helical character in gelatin leads to better tolerance of such chemical modifications, with a lower risk of disrupting protein structure and assembly when compared to collagen-based materials. This is most commonly achieved through the addition of methacrylamide groups for photo cross-linking, to produce the material commonly referred to as GelMA.^{186–190} Recent developments in GelMA hydrogelation include the use of 2-photon polymerisation to generate GelMA scaffolds with 3D patterning at a resolution of $\sim 5 \mu\text{m}$,¹⁹¹ while rapid visible light photocuring with blue LED light has been demonstrated by Monteiro and co-workers.¹⁹² Zhu *et al.* have also recently reported that GelMA can be produced with excellent batch-to-batch consistency through controlled synthesis, with reproducible degrees of methacrylation and hydrogelation properties.¹⁹³ Since heterogeneous polymer populations are primarily responsible for variability in hydrogel properties, access to such reproducible syntheses is therefore critical to enable clinical translation.

4.1.3 Silk. Natural silk is typically composed of two proteins, fibroin and sericin. Fibroin provides silk with structure and represents the bulk of the material, assembling into fibres which are then glued together by sericin. In the context of tissue engineering, the fibroin chain is most commonly used in isolation to form hydrogels.¹⁹⁴ Fibroin is composed of a repetitive primary structure that is dependent on the species from which it is isolated. In general, alanine rich motifs lead to the formation of tightly packed, crystalline, anti-parallel β -sheet domains that drive fibril assembly and impart silk with mechanical strength.¹⁹⁵ On the other hand, glycine-rich motifs lead to flexible turns, helices, and random coils that form an amorphous region responsible for elasticity. It is the combination of these two properties that lead to the versatility of silk as a biomaterial for tissue engineering.

The hydrogelation of silk fibroin is driven by β -sheet assembly. While spontaneous in solution, this process can be slow and physically cross-linked silk is therefore a poor choice of material for injectable hydrogels.¹⁹⁶ However, fibroin does display shear thinning behaviour, and so has found use as part of composite printable and injectable mixtures, and has also been widely functionalised to allow rapid chemical curing.^{22,197,198} Interestingly, the Mandal group demonstrated in 2018 that combining two fibroins from different species can also greatly enhance gelation rates, attributed to accelerated assembly due to differences in hydrophobicity (Fig. 9).^{196,199} Alternatively, Cheng *et al.* have reported that accelerated cooperative assembly can be triggered through the addition of small molecule peptide-gelators. The resultant hydrogels can form at fibroin concentrations as low as 0.1%, and have been shown to trigger angiogenesis *in vivo*.²⁰⁰

Fibroin hydrogel degradation is significantly slower than many other protein-based materials, as a result of the high crystallinity generated during β -sheet assembly, offering great benefits for stability and longer term structure. Recombinant silks have also emerged in recent years, providing opportunities to incorporate additional bioactive motifs, thermo-responsive behaviour, and tunable degradation rates.^{195,201–203}



Fig. 9 Fabrication of silk hydrogels using a blend of fibroins from different species. Gelation is monitored by following absorbance at 550 nm. Using either *B. mori* or *A. assama* fibroins in isolation leads to very slow gelation, whereas mixtures of the two rapidly undergo gelation through accelerated assembly. Adapted from *Biomaterials*, vol. 187, M. Kumar *et al.*, 'Immunomodulatory injectable silk hydrogels maintaining functional islets and promoting anti-inflammatory M2 macrophage polarization' 1–17, Copyright 2018, with permission from Elsevier.¹⁹⁶

A major beneficial property of silk hydrogels are their lack of immunogenicity *in vivo*. Indeed, silk sutures have been used in medicine for centuries, eliciting only a very minimal foreign body response.¹⁹⁴ Though often thought to be less immunogenic than other proteinaceous polymers, there are in fact few studies that make direct comparisons to materials such as collagen, with often conflicting results.²⁰⁴ The benefits of silk instead appear to derive from the purity with which gel precursors can be obtained, in contrast to the common contamination of other proteins. In recent years, it has further become apparent that although raw silks containing both fibroin-sericin are pro-inflammatory, materials composed solely of sericin are in fact also well tolerated, as reported by Jiao *et al.*²⁰⁵ This has prompted an exciting reinvestigation of sericin-based hydrogels in recent years, due to its cell adhesive and pro-angiogenic properties.^{206,207}

4.1.4 Elastin-like polypeptides. Elastin-like polypeptides (ELPs), also known as elastin-like polymers, are genetically engineered proteins based on a repeating 'VPGXG' sequence derived from native elastin. The properties of a particular ELP construct are dictated by X, known as the guest residue, and the number of repeating units.²⁰⁸ The ability to produce ELPs either recombinantly or synthetically enables versatile and large scale production. Complete control over sequence, structure, and properties is possible, through the incorporation of multiple guest residues into a single construct.

ELPs exhibit thermo-responsive behaviour, with an LCST above which protein assembly can be induced, providing opportunities for responsive hydrogels as will be discussed in section 6. The temperature transition displays hysteresis upon reversal, providing an increased temperature window in which gels are stable for biological applications.²⁰⁹ The LCST is strongly influenced by the nature of the guest residue – hydrophobic residues promote the collapse and aggregation of the protein to form gels at lower temperatures, while hydrophilic residues stabilise the solvated and extended conformation that exists below the LCST. Assembly typically results in a protein coacervate, with subsequent cross-linking often necessary to form stable hydrogels.²¹⁰ The incorporation of nucleophilic or enzymatically sensitive guest residues can therefore provide reactive handles to mediate direct or indirect chemical cross-linking.^{210–212} Cross-linking also helps to accelerate the assembly process, which can otherwise be slow.²¹² Wang *et al.* recently demonstrated that cross-linking soluble proteins prior to assembly can mediate the resultant hydrogel properties when the temperature is raised above the LCST, giving diverse materials from the same ELP construct.²¹³ By varying cross-linking stoichiometry prior to assembly, gels with elastic moduli ranging from 50–890 Pa could be generated. This report therefore offers intriguing possibilities for tuning hydrogel properties, and thus cellular interactions, from a common protein precursor.

The similarity of the ELP primary sequence to naturally occurring elastin results in minimal immunogenicity and a low sensitivity to protease activity.²⁰⁸ Furthermore, the versatility of expression enables the incorporation of additional

peptide motifs that are able to enhance the bioactivity of ELP hydrogels. For example, RGD peptides have been integrated into the primary structure of ELP constructs by the Heilshorn group, and shown to enhance hydrogel cell adhesion (Fig. 10).^{34,214,215} Composite structures can also be created, most commonly in the form of silk-elastin like polypeptides (SELPs) that combine the mechanical strength and cell adhesive properties of silk with the thermoresponsive properties of ELPs.²¹⁶ To overcome the slow formation of silk domains into β -sheets, which can otherwise hinder the injectability of SELPs, Cipriani *et al.* have reported that pre-annealing below the LCST of the ELP construct can accelerate assembly prior to gelation, enabling rapid formation of stable SELP hydrogels following injection.²⁰³ These gels were able to promote chondrogenesis in an *ex vivo* model of cartilage regeneration, and their future application *in vivo* is therefore intriguing.

4.1.5 Other proteinaceous polymers. Though the proteins described above have been most widely applied, others have also been reported to form hydrogels with benefits in more niche applications. For example, fibrin, a polymer of activated fibrinogen that forms fibrous, viscoelastic, and porous hydrogels, is widely used in the clinic as a bioadhesive.²¹⁷ However, fibrin is also rapidly degraded *in vivo* limiting widespread versatility, and forms hydrogels with poor mechanical characteristics unless cross-linked.⁷

A number of ECM derived protein gels have also found use, with perhaps the most common being Matrigel, a commer-

cially available, soluble, and sterile protein extract from mouse Engelbreth-Holm Swarm (EHS) tumours. Matrigel is mostly composed of native ECM proteins, including collagen and laminin, but also contains significant quantities of proteoglycans, heparin sulfate, and cell-instructive growth factors. It is the heterogeneous composition of Matrigel that is both its biggest strength and limitation – the ability of Matrigel-based hydrogels to closely recapitulate native ECM allows potent modulation of cell behaviour.²¹⁸ However these effects are often uncontrolled and the benefits have been shown to quickly diminish as transient signalling molecules are lost.²¹⁹ Combined with its origin in cancerous mouse tissue, the heterogeneity of Matrigel also prevents *in vivo* application. Alternatively, decellularised ECM scaffolds have generated increasing interest due to their inherent similarity to native cellular environments. Moreover, tissue-specific hydrogels can be generated for the pathology they will be used to treat.^{220,221} However, progress in this field is currently limited by the need to obtain donor tissue and to match the immunological properties in order to limit the need for immunosuppression.

4.2 Polysaccharide polymers

Polysaccharide-based hydrogels are a highly attractive and widely used class of natural materials for tissue engineering. While some polysaccharides are naturally present in the ECM, playing an important role in mediating cell behaviour and protein adsorption, others are derived from highly abundant natural sources in plants, algae, and animals.⁷ The properties of a particular polysaccharide are dictated by the substitution patterns on individual sugar building blocks, which are then linked through *O*-glycosidic bonds to form either linear or branched polymers with high M_w (Fig. 11). These polymers are typically highly polydisperse, both in terms of structure and sequence, and high batch-to-batch variability can be problematic.²²² However, when compared to protein-based materials, polysaccharide hydrogels exhibit greatly diminished immunogenicity, high solubility and hydrophilicity that results in high swelling gels, and abundant reactive handles for chemical modification and cross-linking.²²³

4.2.1 Alginate. Alginate is the most widely used polysaccharide for hydrogelation in tissue engineering. Alginates are extracted from seaweeds and algae, and are composed of β -1,4-linked blocks of β -D-mannuronic acid (M) and its C-5 epimer α -L-guluronic acid (G) (Fig. 11).²²⁴ These blocks contain either consecutive G or M residues, or an alternating sequence. It is the order and ratio of these blocks that govern the properties of a particular alginate, and this in turn is dependent on the species in which it is produced.

The popularity of alginate is largely a consequence of the ease with which it can be gelled through the addition of divalent cations. The ionic nature of cross-linking provides alginate hydrogels with elasticity and the ability to relax under strain, in contrast to covalently cross-linked materials.³⁵ It is thought that only G-blocks are able to ionically bond cations, inducing gelation and providing mechanical strength. Alginates containing regions of high G content therefore lead to gels with



Fig. 10 (a) Schematic of an ELP construct containing cell-adhesive RGD sequences; (b) fluorescence microscopy images of explanted chick dorsal root ganglia encapsulated within ELP hydrogels over 7 days in culture, in the presence or absence of RGD motifs. Neurite outgrowth was greatly enhanced in the presence of the adhesive peptide. Adapted from *Acta Biomaterialia*, vol. 9, K. J. Lampe, A. L. Antaris, and S. C. Heilshorn, 'Design of three-dimensional engineered protein hydrogels for tailored control of neurite growth', 5590–5599, Copyright 2013, with permission from Elsevier.¹⁷⁰




Fig. 11 Structures of the most commonly used polysaccharides used to form hydrogels for tissue engineering applications. Deviations from the monosaccharide structure of glucose are highlighted in blue, and linkages between monosaccharides in red (s: statistical polymer).

increased stiffness.²²⁵ Gelation is currently thought to occur through an ‘egg-box’ model, first proposed by Grant *et al.*, whereby calcium ions sit within junctions between a corrugated ‘egg-box’ of poly-G sequences (Fig. 12).²²⁶ Concentrations of Ca^{2+} as low as 100 μM cause very rapid gelation. Alginate has therefore been widely used as part of printable substrates through *in situ* exposure to calcium-containing solutions, either in isolation or to provide structure to a composite material.^{30,227–229} The rapid evolution of microfabrication technologies has enabled the production of alginate hydrogels with increasingly complex structures. For example,

Jia *et al.* have recently produced superhelical, hollow hydrogel microfibers, able to recreate the complex architecture of helical blood vessels, using microfluidics.²³⁰ These hydrogels were able to be produced with impressive μm resolution and used to support the tubular growth of human endothelium.

Gelation speed is dependent on the calcium source used, with calcium(II) chloride having been shown to greatly increase gelation rates when compared to alternatives such as calcium(II) sulfate.²³ Interestingly, it has been reported that rapid gelation can be problematic in certain instances, precluding adequate mixing and leading to gels with heterogeneous structures and properties. The use of calcium(II) carbonate as an alternative calcium source is therefore intriguing, due to its pH dependent dissociation. The use of glucono- δ -lactone as a mediator that hydrolyses gradually to slowly create an acidic pH can therefore be used, as reported by the Sell group, to enable controlled gelation and the production of hydrogels with consistent and homogeneous properties.²³¹

Though most widely applied, calcium ions are not unique in being able to induce hydrogel formation. Indeed, divalent cations of lead, copper, and cadmium have a far higher affinity for alginate, leading to stiffer gels. However, the toxicity of these ions prevents their use in biotechnology.²³² The use of strontium and zinc containing hydrogels, as previously reported by Place *et al.*, has become increasingly attractive though, due to the beneficial osteogenic properties of these ions.²³³ Similarly, barium-induced gelation has also been exploited in tissue engineering, as Ba^{2+} leads to the creation of stronger gels than Ca^{2+} .^{234,235}

Alginate hydrogels are non-biodegradable, however they are able to undergo dissolution following cation leaching into the surrounding media. This leaching can be problematic to long-term gel stability and the resultant soluble polymers may also remain too large for efficient tissue clearance.²²⁴ Partially oxidised alginate can be used to promote polymer clearance, by generating sporadic open chain polymers which are able to




Fig. 12 Structure of sodium alginate, and the formation of an ‘egg-box’ structure in the presence of Ca^{2+} ions that induces rapid hydrogelation. Reproduced with permission from *New Journal of Chemistry*, vol. 40, J. V. Alegre-Requena *et al.*, ‘Regulatory parameters of self-healing alginate hydrogel networks prepared via mussel-inspired dynamic chemistry’, 8493–8501, Copyright 2016 – Published by The Royal Society of Chemistry (RSC) on behalf of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and the RSC.³⁴³

undergo hydrolysis at a rate that depends on the levels of oxidation, as first reported by Bouhadir *et al.*²³⁶ Furthermore, leached metals can interfere with the local tissue. For example, though calcium leaching may be favourable for inducing osteogenesis, in alternative tissues it may cause downstream problems arising from tissue calcification.²³⁷ Similarly, barium is known to block potassium channels at high concentrations, though the increased affinity of Ba²⁺ for alginate allows lower cation concentrations to be utilised.²³⁴ The long term fate of alginate hydrogels *in vivo* should therefore be carefully considered.

While alginate itself induces limited cell adhesion, the presence of carboxyl groups on each individual sugar unit provides a versatile handle for functionalisation. The derivatization of alginate both pre- and post-gelation with adhesive and bioactive motifs, the formation of composite hydrogels, and chemical cross-linking to enhance stability are all therefore common.^{238–240}

4.2.2 Chitosan. Chitosan is obtained through the partial deacetylation of chitin, a naturally occurring polysaccharide found in the shells and exoskeletons of crustaceans. It is therefore a widely available substrate for biomaterial studies. Deacetylation results in a random distribution of β -1,4-linked D-glucosamine and N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc) (Fig. 11).⁷ The properties of a particular chitosan composition are strongly affected by the GlcNAc content, with 40–100% deacetylation most common.²⁴¹ Acetyl groups both enhance cell adhesion and promote enzymatic degradation by lysozyme. However, as reported by Freier *et al.*, polymers with high GlcNAc content actually undergo slower degradation, as a result of decreased solubility.²⁴¹

In addition to being able to mediate cell adhesion, chitosan also possesses inherent antimicrobial activity.²⁴² It is well tolerated *in vivo* with limited immunogenicity. Furthermore, chitosan readily forms complexes with negatively charged polysaccharides, such as hyaluronic acid and chondroitin sulfate, making it an attractive structural component of composite gels that exploit the bioactive properties of these native ECM polysaccharides described below.^{40,243,244}

The low solubility of chitosan at neutral pH can be problematic for polymer processing. Solutions of chitosan are most commonly prepared at acidic pH, leading to glucosamine protonation, followed by gelation upon pH adjustment. The need to work at low pH limits homogenous cell encapsulation prior to gelation. Chitosan derivatives that possess solubility at neutral pH, either through appropriate choice of counterion,^{244,245} or through chemical derivitisation,^{246–248} are therefore attractive for the formation of cellularised hydrogels. Carboxymethyl chitosans are a particularly prominent class of modified chitosans, with control over N- or O-functionalisation dictating the solubility range of a particular construct.²⁴⁹ For example, Müller *et al.* utilised N,O-carboxymethyl chitosan to produce water soluble polymers able to complex polyphosphate, and form composite, printable hydrogels with alginate when exposed to Ca²⁺ ions.²⁵⁰ The presence of polyphosphate was seen to promote osteogenesis of implanted gels *in vivo*, making these scaffolds promising materials for bone tissue

engineering. More recently, Zhao *et al.* designed O-carboxymethyl chitosan-amorphous calcium phosphate nanoparticles able to undergo gelation upon a reduction in pH from 11 to 7.5.²⁵¹ The resultant gels were also found to be osteoconductive *in vivo* promoting the formation of uniformly mineralized and mature bone. These gels could be both printed and injected, making them interesting candidates for bone engineering in the future.

4.2.3 Hyaluronic acid. Glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) are highly polar, unbranched polysaccharides used for structure, lubrication, and protein binding throughout human tissues. Hyaluronic acid (HA) is unique amongst GAGs in that it is non-sulfated, being composed of linear chains of β -1,4-linked β -D-glucuronic acid-(1,3)-GlcNAc disaccharides (Fig. 11).²⁵² The presence of a carboxylic acid within the repeating unit provides HA with a high density of negative charge at neutral pH, leading to gels with high swelling ratios and water contents to maintain osmotic balance. The negative charge also allows HA to form non-covalent complexes with cell-instructive proteins and cell-surface complexes such as CD44. HA therefore strongly influences biomolecule and cell diffusion, cell differentiation, tissue hydration, growth factor activation, and many other key biological processes.^{253,254} The interactions of HA are highly dependent on M_w , and hydrogel design and cross-link density is therefore important in dictating the bioactivity of a HA scaffold.²⁵⁵

As a key component of human ECM, HA-based gels are naturally well tolerated, with high biocompatibility. However, physically cross-linked networks also display poor mechanical properties as a result of their high water content and swelling. Furthermore, HA is susceptible to rapid degradation due to the prevalence in native tissues of hyaluronidase enzymes.²⁵² HA gels therefore typically require chemical cross-linking for tissue engineering applications, or are incorporated as bioactive components of composite scaffolds.^{244,256–259} The use of fast covalent cross-linking reactions, such as the inverse-electron demand Diels–Alder reaction between complementary tetrazine- and *trans*-cyclooctene-functionalised HA derivatives as reported by Park *et al.*, enable the formation of injectable HA preparations that undergo rapid gelation *in vivo*.²⁶⁰ The Burdick group have also reported that supramolecular cross-links, as discussed further in section 5, can be used to produce shear-thinning hydrogels, that can be used for 3D printing of stable HA scaffolds.²⁶¹ Finally, as an exciting alternative to these technologies, the Appel and Woo groups have recently disclosed a novel approach to form injectable HA scaffolds, by exploiting non-covalent interactions between a hydrophobically-modified HA and PEG-PLA nanoparticles.²⁶² Gels of varying strength could be generated by varying the functionalisation density of the HA, without affecting its biochemical signalling capabilities. Importantly, this polymer-nanoparticle hydrogel strategy can potentially be translated to a wide range of alternative natural and synthetic polymers, and is therefore likely to be the subject of increasing interest in the future.

4.2.4 Chondroitin sulfate. Chondroitin sulfate is the most abundant GAG in the body, playing a crucial role in providing

resistive strength, mediating hydration, and regulating growth factor binding.²⁶³ It is composed of linear chains of β -1,4 linked β -D-glucuronic acid-(1,3)-N-acetyl-D-galactosamine (GalNAc) disaccharides, with sulfates being positioned at either the 4- or 6-positions of GalNAc (Fig. 11). Sulfation density and pattern dictates the specific activity of chondroitin sulfate, controlling growth factor binding and ECM protein interactions.²⁶⁴ Subtle changes in sulfation have been shown to have drastically different, and often conflicting, effects on cell behaviour.⁴¹

In a similar manner to HA-based hydrogels, physically cross-linked chondroitin sulfate gels have a very high water content as a result of their high charge density. These gels are mechanically weak as a result. Although less sensitive than HA to enzymatic degradation, chondroitin sulfate is also broken down by hyaluronidase enzymes.⁴¹ Chondroitin sulfate is therefore most commonly applied in cross-linked form or as part of composite materials with enhanced mechanical stability.^{263,265–267}

Chondroitin sulfate-based hydrogels have been particularly widely studied for chondrogenic applications. The Bian and Bryant groups have recently demonstrated that chondroitin sulfate can direct MSCs towards a chondrogenic phenotype, while importantly inhibiting detrimental hypertrophy that can lead to mineralisation.^{268,269} However, Kim *et al.* have also shown that chondroitin sulfate-containing hydrogels can also induce an osteogenic MSC fate when integrated into bone defects, with Ca^{2+} ions binding to the negatively charged sulfate groups, leading to an ion-rich environment which promoted mineralisation.²⁷⁰

4.2.5 Other polysaccharide polymers. In addition to the polysaccharides described above, a number of poly-glucose based polymers have also been used to form gels for tissue engineering. Dextran is a branched polysaccharide of microbial origin, predominantly composed of α -1,6-linked D-glucose with additional α -1,3 linkages that lead to cross-linking. The exact structure is dependent on the species of origin, but dextrans generally display good biocompatibility and are enzymatically degradable in humans. Though native dextran is resistant to cell and protein adhesion, functionalisation with amino groups enables derivatization and the formation of cell-supporting hydrogels.^{118,271}

By contrast, cellulose is formed exclusively of α -1,4-linked D-glucose. Despite being the most abundant bio-polymer on the planet, cellulose is poorly suited as a polymer for hydrogelation due to its low water solubility. However, methylation to form methyl cellulose leads to the generation of a polysaccharide with reverse thermoresponsive behaviour, with an LCST for gelation that is dependent on the level of methylation.²⁷² This has led to increasing interest in the use of methyl cellulose as an abundant material for the 3D printing of hydrogel scaffolds.²⁷³ In a notable recent report, Cochis *et al.* have demonstrated that methyl cellulose is well tolerated *in vivo*, and moreover is able to induce hMSC chondrogenesis under mechanical strain.²⁷⁴

Finally, pullulan, composed of α -1,6-linked maltotriose units (triglucose linked by α -1,4 glycosidic bonds), is derived from fungal metabolism of starch. It is biodegradable, non-immunogenic, and FDA approved, making it an attractive

material for tissue engineering.²⁴ Though not inherently cell adhesive, it has particularly found use in composite materials that provide the motifs necessary for cell attachment.^{24,275,276} Pullulan hydrogels are therefore an emerging class of substrates for tissue engineering.

5. Dynamic and supramolecular materials

Hydrogels with reversible or non-covalent cross-links, or composed of supramolecular polymers or assemblies, display unique properties for tissue engineering. The synthesis, properties, and applications of these gels have been extensively reviewed, and the reader is directed to the following references for excellent recent overviews of the area by the Gelain, Bowman, and Smith groups.^{277–280} Here, we will briefly summarise some of the key material classes and the advantages offered by these technologies.

Self-assembled hydrogels are typically formed from low molecular weight gelators (LMWGs). These substrates undergo spontaneous and reversible formation of organised structures, while retaining the chemical versatility and ease of synthesis of small molecules. The formation of hydrogels from these LMWGs is on a knife-edge of stability – small perturbations in the delicate balance of attractive and dissociative forces can lead to the formation of precipitates or dissolution of the gelator.²⁸⁰ Assembly is often triggered by an applied stimuli. It is important to consider the effects of this stimuli, as common triggers such as changes in pH or temperature may result in pre- or post-gelation conditions that are damaging to cells. Alternative triggers, such as the use of protein–protein interactions as reported by Wong Po Foo *et al.*, are therefore attractive.²⁰

Hydrogels formed from LMWGs benefit from the capacity to self-heal, display shear-thinning behaviour, and undergo localised reassembly to adapt to stress and dissipate forces exerted by cells.^{281,282} However, they also possess weak mechanical properties as a result of the non-covalent forces that lead to assembly and hold together the scaffold. Recent reports on multi-component gels are therefore attractive.²⁸⁰ For example, Vieira *et al.* recently demonstrated that a LMWG, DBS-CONHNH₂, could be used to generate hydrogels for cell growth. However, the addition of a polymeric component, in the form of agarose, enabled an order of magnitude improvement in gel mechanical properties to be achieved.²⁸³ In doing so, the authors combined the dynamic properties of a LMWG with the mechanical strength of a traditional polymer gelator.

Self-assembling peptides have been particularly widely used to produce biomedical hydrogels. Short peptides can routinely be synthesised on solid phase with high modularity, and are degraded into amino acids making them highly bio-compatible materials.²⁸⁴ Assembling peptides are typically amphiphilic, with either hydrophobic amino acids or non-natural alkyl or aromatic motifs being used to drive assembly into fibrillar architectures. Importantly, since pioneering work by the Stupp

group in 2004,²⁸⁵ it has been widely demonstrated that self-assembling peptides can be engineered to enable the display of bioactive sequences at high density, making them attractive scaffolds for tissue engineering.^{286–288} Assembly into β -sheets is common, leading to higher mechanical strength than amorphous aggregates. For example, Tang *et al.* have recently reported a series of related pentapeptides, based around the parent sequence KYFIL, able to rapidly form stable hydrogels *via* the assembly of β -sheet nanofibers.²⁸² These gels display shear thinning and self-healing behaviour, with single amino acid substitutions enabling the Young's modulus of the materials to be tuned over two orders of magnitude. Fibre morphology and pH responsive properties were also shown to be highly sequence dependent. This work therefore highlights one of the key benefits of self-assembling peptides, with the diversity and versatility of molecular changes having a profound impact on hydrogel properties.

Dynamic or supramolecular cross-linking can also be exploited to create hydrogels that display self-healing and shear-thinning behaviour, from both synthetic and natural polymer precursors. For example, the complexation of boronic acids with diols to form boronic esters results in dynamic covalent bonds that can undergo exchange.^{289,290} Complexation is generally favoured at $\text{pH} > \text{pK}_a$ of the boronic acid. The use of benzoxaborole or phenylboronic acids bearing electron withdrawing groups is therefore required to bring the pK_a into a physiologically relevant range (Fig. 13).^{291–293} However, Yesilyurt *et al.* have demonstrated that if the pK_a is lowered too far, bonding becomes too stable and the dynamic properties of the gel are lost.²⁹¹ The authors showed that exploiting the complexation of a boronic acid with a $\text{pK}_a \sim 6.5$ and glucose led to strong gels that were rigid across a biologically relevant pH range. By contrast, fluorophenyl boronic acids, with a $\text{pK}_a \sim 7.2$, were seen to produce shear-thinning, injectable hydrogels.

Host-guest complexation has also been exploited to form self-healing gels, particularly through the use of cucurbit[*n*]uril and β -cyclodextrin hosts, as first reported by the Scherman and Stupp groups respectively.^{294–297} The reversible nature of host-guest interactions enables the modulation of hydrogel stiffness, as recently demonstrated by Shih and Lin. PEG hydrogels functionalised with β -cyclodextrin were shown to form stabilising crosslinks with adamantane-derivatised multi-arm PEGs. The addition of competitive, soluble β -cyclodextrin led to reversal of this stiffening, and this process could be repeated multiple times with little change in response. As highlighted earlier, hydrogel stiffness is a critical determinant of stem cell fate, and such reversible systems are therefore likely to be of increasing interest in the near future.

Finally, reversible hydrazone bonds have been increasingly widely studied as a means to impart hydrogels with dynamic properties. In these systems, the equilibrium constant of hydrazone formation/hydrolysis is critical, with benzyl aldehydes leading to more stable linkages than their aliphatic analogues, thus dictating the mechanical properties of the gel.¹⁰⁸ In an interesting demonstration of the importance of hydrogel




Fig. 13 (a) Schematic of a self-healing hydrogel, able to undergo dynamic exchange of chemical cross-links; (b) fluorination of phenyl boronic acid is necessary to lower the pK_a of the boronic acid into a physiologically relevant range, allowing it to form dynamic bonds with D-glucosic acid linked polymers; (c) the use of this chemistry can be used to form self-healing PEG hydrogels. Separate gels can be placed together and fused to form a single, stretchable gel, through which the encapsulated methylene blue dye can diffuse. Part C reproduced from *Advanced Materials*, vol. 28, V. Yesilyurt *et al.*, 'Injectable Self-Healing Glucose-Responsive Hydrogels with pH-Regulated Mechanical Properties', 86–91, Copyright 2016, with permission from John Wiley and Sons.²⁹¹

dynamic properties on cell fate, the Anseth group recently showed that a balance of benzyl and alkyl aldehydes was critical to maximise ECM deposition by encapsulated chondrocytes within a PEG hydrogel.¹⁰⁸ Unsurprisingly, weak gels quickly lost network connectivity. However, in contrast if the gel was too stable chondrogenesis was found to be stifled and matrix deposition constrained.

6. Responsive hydrogels

The static nature of many hydrogels is in stark contrast to the dynamic environment experienced by cells in their native

extracellular niche. Gels that can respond to external stimuli and undergo a change in structure or properties are therefore attractive materials for tissue engineering. In this section, we will provide a brief overview of the key design features that can lead to responsive hydrogels and their applications.

Thermoresponsive gels have been particularly widely used, predominantly as injectable materials that undergo gelation when applied *in vivo*. This enables minimally invasive delivery to be achieved through the injection of liquid precursors that gelate *in situ*, along with the ability to adapt to the size or shape of the cavity in which the gel is being applied.¹⁹ Two categories of thermoresponsive behaviour are theoretically suitable for such applications – the use of polymers which gel when cooled to body temperature, or alternatively polymers which possess an LCST and gelate when heated to body temperature. In practice the use of polymers with an LCST is particularly attractive, as it negates the risk of damage from the injection of heated solutions.¹⁹ Assembly above the LCST of a polymer is predominantly driven by entropic contributions. PNIPAM, introduced in section 3.1.3, is the archetype of a thermoresponsive polymer, possessing an LCST ~ 32 °C. Below this temperature, the polymer chains are highly solvated. However, when the temperature is raised, aggregation of the hydrophobic iso-propyl groups takes place, leading to an increase in entropy as the solvation shell is released.⁸³ Many other synthetic polymers also possess LCSTs within a biologically relevant range and have therefore found use as components of injectable hydrogels, including poly(oligo(ethylene glycol)methyl ether methacrylate) (POEGMA)²⁹⁸ and pluronics (PEG-poly(propylene oxide) co-polymers).²⁹⁹ POEGMA is particularly attractive as a thermoresponsive polymer as its LCST and gelation time can be tuned based on the number of ethylene oxide repeat units across a wide temperature range (20–90 °C). Importantly, the Hoare group have recently demonstrated that by mixing different POEGMA constructs, rational tuning of these properties can be achieved in an ‘off-the-shelf’ manner.²⁹⁸ In a series of papers they have subsequently gone on to exploit injectable POEGMA-based hydrogels to produce 3D-aligned myotubes for cardiac tissue engineering,³⁰⁰ adhesive hydrogels for *in vivo* fibroblast penetration,³⁰¹ and degradable scaffolds for epithelial proliferation.³⁰² Alternatively, naturally-derived polymers can be modified to provide LCST behaviour, such as chitosan- β -glycerophosphate blends,³⁰³ ELPs,²¹⁴ methyl cellulose,²⁷³ hydroxybutyl chitosan,³⁰⁴ and galactose-modified xyloglucan.^{305,306} Of relevance to biomedical hydrogels, LCSTs are dependent on both the concentration and nature of the salt content, with the potential to alter the applicable temperature range of a particular thermoresponsive polymer as a result.^{307,308}

Enzymatic responsiveness can also be imparted to hydrogel scaffolds. Indeed, the ability of cells to remodel their environment through the action of extracellular enzymes has been shown to be highly beneficial to tissue development, as described in section 2.2.^{34–36} Within the family of naturally derived polymers, sensitivity to enzymatic degradation is inherent to their biological origins. Degradation by endogen-

ous enzymes may prove problematic, as highlighted by the instability of HA-based gels due to the activity of hyaluronidases.²⁵² In contrast, within synthetic polymers enzymatic sensitivity must be built into the hydrogel scaffold. This is most commonly achieved *via* cross-linking with protease-sensitive peptides, enabling cleavage through the action of enzymes such as those of the matrix metalloprotease (MMP) family.^{27,34,44}

The use of light has emerged as a potent means to modulate hydrogel properties with both spatial and temporal control. Light-responsive hydrogels typically exploit photo-cleavage or -cross-linking to alter the scaffold architecture or functionality (Fig. 14).^{28,309} The use of light to mediate changes results in a fast response time, with micron-scale patterning possible in 3D through the use of 2-photon irradiation.³⁰⁹ However, light penetration is quickly attenuated with sample thickness, and the use of UV irradiation in particular can be damaging to cells, as described in section 8. The recent work of Lunzer *et al.*, exploiting a small molecule 2-photon sensitizer to greatly reduce the irradiation times and intensities needed to achieve robust 3D patterning, is therefore particularly exciting.³¹⁰

With photo-sensitive groups that respond to orthogonal wavelengths of light, it is possible to modulate multiple gel properties in isolation, allowing precise control over gel stiffness or bioactivity within the same scaffold, as demonstrated by Rosales *et al.*^{311,312} The authors synthesised a HA-based hydrogel that could undergo softening through the cleavage of nitrobenzyl-crosslinkers with 365 nm UV irradiation. Alternatively, the gel could be stiffened *via* methacrylate cross-linking following addition of a photoinitiator and irradiation at 400–500 nm. Further developments in spectrally resolved photochemistry will enable increasing complexity to be built into these systems, with interesting opportunities for creating temporally responsive scaffolds.

Other means of triggering hydrogel responsiveness are of less relevance to tissue engineering. For example, pH sensitive gels have been widely exploited in drug delivery, but changes in the acidity or basicity of a gel are also likely to lead to cellular damage. Even gels that exhibit changes in morphology over very narrow pH ranges require cells to be exposed to pH regimes that are unfavourable for tissue growth.³¹³ Similarly, while disulfide linkages have been used to generate gels that are sensitive to reductive environments, particularly for intracellular drug delivery, extracellular reduction has been less commonly implemented.

7. The influence of polymer architecture

Careful control over polymer design can be critical to dictate hydrogel properties. Two polymers with the same monomer composition, but different architectures, can generate gels with drastically different characteristics. This is typified by the assembly and hydrogelation of amphiphilic block co-polymers.




Fig. 14 (a) Schematic of a responsive hydrogel, where chemical cross-links are broken in response to a light stimuli; (b) nitrobenzyl ethers undergo photocleavage in response to either single ($\lambda = 365$ nm) or 2-photon ($\lambda = 740$ nm) irradiation; (c) 3D patterning of channels in a PEG-based hydrogel by a combination of photodegradation and photo thiol–ene modification with RGD motifs, allowing the encapsulation and outgrowth of 3T3 fibroblasts. Inset is a top-down projection of the channels. Red – hydrogel; green – F-actin; blue – cell nuclei, scale bar 100 μm . Reprinted by permission from Springer Nature, *Nature Chemistry*, 'Cytocompatible click-based hydrogels with dynamically tunable properties through orthogonal photoconjugation and photocleavage reactions', C. A. DeForest and K. S. Anseth, 2011.³⁴⁴

For example, ABA polymers (where A is hydrophobic and B is hydrophilic *e.g.* PCL-PEG) undergo gelation at lower concentrations (*i.e.* lower CGC) and produce stronger gels than an AB polymer of the same composition. This can be rationalised through the ability of the ABA polymer to form intra- as well as inter-polymer interactions, promoting the aggregation of micelles to form bulk hydrogels.¹⁴⁸ Similarly, ABA type polymers undergo more effective gelation than the analogous BAB construct due to the ability of the hydrophobic blocks to form loops and bridge micelles, accelerating assembly.³¹⁴ Importantly, block length must also be carefully considered alongside total content of a particular hydrophobic polymer. Zhang *et al.* recently demonstrated that short PCL chains, grafted to a poly(glutamic acid) backbone, were unable to form crystalline domains, even though presented at high density. The amorphous aggregates were able to provide robust mechanical strength, while enabling hydrolysis to occur on a relevant time-

frame *in vivo*.¹⁵² As a result, the authors were able to address the disadvantages of PCL as discussed in section 3.3.3.

The situation is further complicated for non-linear polymers, with an increase in arms in star-polymers leading to a drop in CGC.³¹⁵ As demonstrated by Li *et al.*, hyperbranched polymers possess a greatly reduced CGC as a result of increased interactions between polymer branches, in contrast to the singular interactions imposed by linear architectures, leading to hydrogels with increased mechanical stability.^{316,317} Similarly A-*g*-B graft co-polymers behave very differently to the analogous B-*g*-A construct.³¹⁸ These trends in assembly are also reflected in the rate of hydrolysis in polyester-based hydrogels, with the structure of polymer precursors greatly influencing degradation rate. For example, Jeong *et al.* have reported that the hydrolysis of PLGA side chains in PEG-graft co-polymers results in drastically faster gel degradation *in vivo* than the analogous polymer bearing a PLGA backbone and PEG sidechains.³¹⁸ The authors hypothesise that the generated short chain hydrolysis products are easily washed away from the site of implantation, in contrast to the long chain PLGA-PEG products that result from backbone cleavage.

Within chemically cross-linked gels, properties can still be dictated by the design of both polymers and macromer precursors. While many models for the hydrogelation of macromers assume 'ideal' functionality, whereby all end-groups form productive cross-links, experimental results deviate from this model with partially reacted cross-linkers, intramolecular loop formation, and chain entanglements acting to alter the hydrogel network structure.^{319,320} In theory, to maximise 'ideality' gelation should be undertaken at an optimal concentration at which polymer chains are starting to come into contact, as recently highlighted by Wang *et al.*³²¹ Below this concentration, cross-linking is less efficient, promoting loop formation, while at higher concentrations entanglement limits diffusion. Importantly, the authors showed that the use of star polymers was more amenable to identifying this optimal concentration, due to the known propensity of star-systems to form elongated rather than coiled structures, that behave more like predictable tetrahedra and producing a more 'crystal like' network structure.

When star-macromers are utilised to form hydrogels, the consequences of each imperfection also become less significant with increasing number of arms, as a relatively lower proportion of cross-linking opportunities are lost.³²⁰ Kim *et al.* have also shown that sacrificial modification of end-groups, for example with adhesive peptides, is better tolerated.¹²⁰ However, the chances of forming imperfections in the first place also increases, and macromer design can therefore strongly influence hydrogel mechanical properties, pore size, and degradation.^{322,323} Interestingly, the Johnson and Olsen groups have used a combination of modelling and experimental studies to further demonstrate that gels formed from macromers bearing an odd number of junction-forming arms result in a higher proportion of loops and cyclic defects than star polymers with even functionality.³²⁴

The impact of chain imperfections on hydrogel mechanical properties and homogeneity is widely acknowledged. However,

in a recent report Schneider *et al.* have highlighted that areas of network heterogeneity may in fact promote the formation of mature tissue.¹⁰⁷ In order for cells to deposit their own matrix, hydrogel breakdown must occur simultaneously to provide space in which to do so. Areas of heterogeneity provide localised pockets of low crosslinking density able to support this growth, whilst maintaining bulk structural integrity. Gels must therefore provide a delicate balance between supporting ECM production and preventing reverse gelation.

In recent years, dendrimeric polymers have emerged as promising building blocks for forming hydrogels for tissue engineering. In 2006, the Grinstaff group first reported that conjugation of a dendrimeric polyester to the termini of a linear PEG chain, in effect creating a dendrimer-linear-dendrimer ABA-type polymer, enabled the formation of degradable hydrogels able to support chondrocyte proliferation.³²⁵ This structure is key to the success of dendrimeric hydrogels, as the linear component provides sufficient pore size to enable cell encapsulation and proliferation. On the other hand, the dendrimers provide opportunities for dense pockets of cross-linking, that deliver mechanical strength at low polymer concentrations and enhanced gelation rates.^{326–328} Importantly, since dendrimers can be precisely synthesised they offer opportunities for increased reproducibility during hydrogelation. Hodgson *et al.* recently demonstrated that dendrimer-capped PEG macromers enabled hydrogels with near identical Young's moduli to be produced over multiple batches, in contrast to gels formed from linear PEG macromers which varied by almost 200%.³²⁹ Finally, the Bitton and Matson groups have recently demonstrated that dendrimers of ELP can also be produced and used to form hydrogels.^{330,331} Di- or tri-ELP repeats can be incorporated into these dendrimers and the resultant constructs have been shown to retain LCST behaviour. However, the transition temperature is increased when compared to the analogous linear construct, which the authors hypothesise is a result of changes in solvent accessibility.

8. Implications of polymerisation and hydrogelation method

The method used to induce polymerisation or cross-linking can have major implications on the properties or application of a hydrogel scaffold. Here, we will discuss some of the most important considerations that must be taken into account during hydrogel design.

The use of free-radical polymerisation or cross-linking is particularly widespread, and often used to encapsulate cells *in situ*. At the earliest stage, the method of initiation has important downstream implications. While in traditional polymer synthesis the thermal activation of initiators such as AIBN is common, the need to heat the activator may be limiting in the presence of cells or tissue.^{32,332,333} Similarly, ionising irradiation and redox-initiators are useful means to generate acellular hydrogels or polymer precursors, but are damaging to cells.³³⁴ The use of photo-activation has therefore

found increasing popularity as a means of initiation. Light provides fast activation that can be temporally controlled and spatially defined, making it particularly attractive for the patterning of 3D gels.³⁰⁹ However, the choice of initiator and activation wavelength is important. Many early reports exploited the UV-activation of initiators such as Igracure 651, which are poorly cytocompatible.³³⁵ There has been a subsequent shift towards initiators with reduced cytotoxicity, and that can be activated with less damaging visible light. These techniques have been increasingly commonly used to initiate hydrogelation *in vivo*, though the penetration of light through thick samples and tissue limits the size of gels that can be produced.²⁵

Once generated, highly reactive free radicals continue to be damaging to cells and tissues. These detrimental processes in fact act to inhibit polymerisation by consuming radicals, as demonstrated by Chu *et al.*³³⁶ When this is coupled with the high sensitivity of vinyl polymerisations to oxygen, large amounts of radicals are required to achieve high conversion, with a corresponding increase in irradiation time necessary to reach gelation.¹²⁵ Furthermore, vinyl polymerisations are exothermic, leading to heat generation that may be damaging to tissue.³³⁷ This leads to a conflict between the need for rapid polymerisations at the site of application, to limit the leaching of soluble components into surrounding tissue, and the need to minimise damaging radical concentrations and local heating. In contrast, radical-mediated thiol-ene reactions display greatly reduced oxygen sensitivity and occur at a faster rate, reducing the concentration of radicals necessary to achieve gelation and also negating the need for potentially toxic initiators.³³⁸ Indeed, in an important recent paper Ruskowitz and DeForest demonstrated that low doses of 365 nm UV light, able to induce thiol-ene gelation, led to negligible damage to cells at the proteomic level (Fig. 15).³³⁹

Chemically cross-linked hydrogels can be formed *via* either chain-growth or step-growth mechanisms, with significant implications for downstream applications. Chain-growth polymerisations are able to generate high M_w polymers early on. However, as solutions become increasingly viscous and eventually undergo gelation, monomer diffusion is slowed and achieving complete consumption becomes challenging.⁵⁵ As described above, these residual monomers are often toxic, presenting challenges for hydrogel biocompatibility. Though the use of functionalised macromers for chain-growth cross-linking can address this limitation, they provide their own challenges due to the possible for hydrogel heterogeneity. Vats *et al.* have recently demonstrated that the hydrogelation of PEG-dimethacrylate results in the formation of stiff, hydrophobic pockets at the points of crosslinking, detectable at the nanoscale by atomic force microscopy, as discussed in section 3.2.¹²⁶ This heterogeneity also impacted on cell adhesion, with the clustering of conjugated adhesive peptides leading to lower cell densities than analogous gels with a homogeneous distribution.

In contrast, step-growth reactions, such as thiol-ene polymerisations, can be used to generate polymers with complete



Fig. 15 Quantification of cell apoptotic activity following irradiation at different wavelengths and intensities (10 min for $\lambda = 365$ nm, 0.5 min for $\lambda = 254$ nm), by monitoring Caspase 3/7 activation and normalising to cell number, in (a) NIH3T3 mouse fibroblasts; or (b) human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs); (c) representative fluorescence microscopy images, staining for nuclei (blue) and caspase activity (green). Adapted with permission from *ACS Biomaterials Science and Engineering*, vol. 5, 2111–2116, E. R. Ruskowitz and C. A. DeForest, 'Proteome-side analysis of cellular response to ultraviolet light for biomaterials synthesis and modification'. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.³³⁹

monomer conversion. However, they require high conversions and careful control over stoichiometry to achieve the high M_w polymers necessary for gelation.³⁴⁰ Furthermore, the number of 'imperfections' generated in a hydrogel network have been shown to be higher in gels formed *via* step-growth rather than chain-growth mechanisms.^{319,320} To address the limitations of each polymerisation mode for hydrogelation, two approaches have been described – the first is to exploit mixed-mode polymerisations, using both chain- and step-growth polymerisations within a single system, as first reported by Salinas and Anseth.³⁴⁰ Alternatively, the step-growth cross-linking of pre-formed high M_w macromer precursors has found increasing popularity. These macromers can be tuned in size to enable excretion, and cross-linked *via* a variety of different means to generate responsive, degradable, or stable hydrogels.⁵⁵

Finally, the influence of polymer dispersity (\mathcal{D}) should be considered. While \mathcal{D} has less impact on hydrogel properties than it does on those of discrete polymer populations, \mathcal{D} can still be influential. For example, polymers with a narrow distribution exhibit sharper phase transitions from sol-to-gel, and also lead to more precisely defined pore sizes within chemically cross-linked gels.³⁴¹ Furthermore, since renal excretion is M_w dependent, controlled polymerisations are able to produce homogeneous degradation products that are able to be efficiently cleared from the site of action.³² Finally, as described above, variability in polymer precursors resulting from high \mathcal{D} can lead to poor reproducibility and batch-to-batch variation in hydrogel properties, as highlighted by Hodgson *et al.*³²⁹ The use of highly controlled polymerisation techniques is therefore of increasing importance to the tissue engineering community.

9. Conclusions

In this review, we have summarised the use of hydrogels for tissue engineering from the perspective of polymer chemistry. The properties of a gel, its mechanical strength, ability to influence cell growth, and potential to cause immunogenicity and cytotoxicity, are all dictated by the structure of the underlying polymer. By considering each class of polymer, we have been able to highlight the major advantages and disadvantages of each individual material, and it is clear that there is no one 'magic bullet' polymer that is ideal in every scenario. Indeed, even for a particular clinical application there is rarely one polymer that can provide all of the desirable properties. Creating gels that combine tissue-dependent mechanical strength, cell adhesion and signalling, and biodegradability on a relevant timescale is highly challenging. The design of hybrid, composite gels that combine the beneficial properties of one polymer with those of a complementary partner is therefore common, such as supplementing the bioactivity of hyaluronic acid with the mechanical strength of covalently cross-linked PDLA-PEG.²⁹ However, though blending two polymers can deliver great benefits, this approach rarely leads to new properties that were not present in the original components. Indeed, these properties often 'meet in the middle', and combining a mechanically strong polymer with one that is weak will commonly result in a hydrogel of intermediate strength. There is therefore a delicate balance to be reached when designing such composite materials, and multi-factor 'design-of-experiments' studies, such as that recently disclosed by Kaiser *et al.* for cardiac tissue engineering, will prove key in the future for the identification of optimal compositions for tissue development.³⁴²

The incredible diversity of polymer structure and function that can be delivered by polymer chemists has had a vast impact on the materials sciences. However, it is noticeable that the majority of hydrogels exploited by the tissue engineering community continue to make use of the same limited subset of materials. Though one could hypothesise that we

already have access to polymers which provide optimal characteristics, it is far more likely that there is a reluctance to embrace new materials which break the mould. That new polymers are developed and proposed to be suitable materials for tissue engineering, with only a minimum demonstration that they are non-toxic to cells required, only serves to exacerbate this problem. There is therefore a pressing need for enhanced collaborations between polymer chemists and biomedical engineers, to provide a platform for testing emerging polymers under more rigorous conditions. Perhaps more importantly, there is also a need for more cross-disciplinary dialogue, so that polymer chemists can create the next generation of polymer hydrogels with features that fit the needs of the biomedical community. This trend can already be seen in recently emerging materials, such as the strain-stiffening polyisocyanopeptides and tunable PiPOx and polypeptoid structures introduced in section 3.4. These materials deliver real advantages to the tissue engineering community and are likely to be of increasing interest in coming years. With continued innovation, polymer chemistry will become increasingly influential, driving the design and clinical translation of new hydrogels, and leading to tangible patient benefit in the coming years.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

CDS is grateful to the Royal Society of Chemistry (RF19-8128) and the Royal Society (RGS\R1\191210) for their generous support. V. Bloom and Dr M. Thomas are thanked for critical evaluation of the manuscript.

References

- 1 WHO *Methods and Data Sources for Global Burden of Disease Estimates 2000–2015*, 2017.
- 2 R. Langer and J. P. Vacanti, Tissue Engineering, *Science*, 1993, **260**, 920–926.
- 3 F. J. O'Brien, Biomaterials & Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering, *Mater. Today*, 2011, **14**(3), 88–95.
- 4 J. A. Hunt, R. Chen, T. van Veen and N. Bryan, Hydrogels for Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2014, **2**, 5319–5338.
- 5 L. Wang, M. Neumann, T. Fu, W. Li, X. Cheng and B. L. Su, Porous and Responsive Hydrogels for Cell Therapy, *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2018, **38**, 135–157.
- 6 B. V. Slaughter, S. S. Khurshid, O. Z. Fisher, A. Khademhosseini and N. A. Peppas, Hydrogels in Regenerative Medicine, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 3307–3329.
- 7 W. Zhao, X. Jin, Y. Cong and J. Fu, Degradable Natural Polymer Hydrogels for Articular Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 2013, **88**, 327–339.
- 8 Q. Huang, Y. Zou, M. C. Arno, S. Chen, T. Wang, J. Gao, A. P. Dove and J. Du, Hydrogel Scaffolds for Differentiation of Adipose-Derived Stem Cells, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**(20), 6255–6275.
- 9 X. Li, Q. Sun, Q. Li, N. Kawazoe and G. Chen, Functional Hydrogels With Tunable Structures and Properties for Tissue Engineering Applications, *Front. Chem.*, 2018, **6**, 499.
- 10 A. S. Mao and D. J. Mooney, Regenerative Medicine: Current Therapies and Future Directions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2015, **112**(47), 14452–14459.
- 11 S. R. Caliari and J. A. Burdick, A Practical Guide to Hydrogels for Cell Culture, *Nat. Methods*, 2016, **13**(5), 405–414.
- 12 C. M. Magin, D. L. Alge and K. S. Anseth, Bio-Inspired 3D Microenvironments: A New Dimension in Tissue Engineering, *Biomed. Mater.*, 2016, **11**(2), 022001.
- 13 F. Khan, M. Tanaka and S. R. Ahmad, Fabrication of Polymeric Biomaterials: A Strategy for Tissue Engineering and Medical Devices, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, **3**(42), 8224–8249.
- 14 I. M. El-Sherbiny and M. H. Yacoub, Hydrogel Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering: Progress and Challenges, *Glob. Cardiol. Sci. Pract.*, 2013, **38**, 317–342.
- 15 J. M. Anderson, A. Rodriguez and D. T. Chang, Foreign Body Reaction to Biomaterials, *Semin. Immunol.*, 2008, **20**(2), 86–100.
- 16 Q. Yang and S. K. Lai, Anti-PEG Immunity: Emergence, Characteristics, and Unaddressed Questions, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2015, **7**(5), 655–677.
- 17 Z. Wang, Z. Wang, W. W. Lu, W. Zhen, D. Yang and S. Peng, Novel Biomaterial Strategies for Controlled Growth Factor Delivery for Biomedical Applications, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2017, **9**, e435.
- 18 S. I. S. Hendrikse, S. Spaans, E. W. Meijer and P. Y. W. Dankers, Supramolecular Platform Stabilizing Growth Factors, *Biomacromolecules*, 2018, **19**, 2610–2617.
- 19 L. Yu and J. Ding, Injectable Hydrogels as Unique Biomedical Materials, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**(8), 1473–1481.
- 20 W. P. Foo, C. T. S. Lee, J. S. Mulyasmita, W. Parisi-Amon, A. Heilshorn and S. C. Two-Component Protein-Engineered Physical Hydrogels for Cell Encapsulation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, **106**(52), 22067–22072.
- 21 Y. Wang, L. Li, Y. Kotsuchibashi, S. Vshyvenko, Y. Liu, D. Hall, H. Zeng and R. Narain, Self-Healing and Injectable Shear Thinning Hydrogels Based on Dynamic Oxaborole-Diol Covalent Cross-Linking, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2016, **2**(12), 2315–2323.
- 22 M. J. Rodriguez, J. Brown, J. Giordano, S. J. Lin, F. G. Omenetto and D. L. Kaplan, Silk Based Bioprinting for Soft Tissue Reconstruction Using 3-Dimensional (3D) Printing with in Vitro and in Vivo Assessments, *Biomaterials*, 2017, **117**, 105–115.

- 23 M. M. Stevens, H. F. Qanadilo, R. Langer and V. P. Shastri, A Rapid-Curing Alginate Gel System: Utility in Periosteum-Derived Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *Biomaterials*, 2004, **25**(5), 887–894.
- 24 F. Chen, S. Yu, B. Liu, Y. Ni, C. Yu, Y. Su, X. Zhu, X. Yu, Y. Zhou and D. Yan, An Injectable Enzymatically Crosslinked Carboxymethylated Pullulan/Chondroitin Sulfate Hydrogel for Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 20014.
- 25 R.-Z. Lin, Y.-C. Chen, R. Moreno-Luna, A. Khademhosseini and J. M. Melero-Martin, Transdermal Regulation of Vascular Network Bioengineering Using a Photopolymerizable Methacrylated Gelatin Hydrogel, *Biomaterials*, 2013, **34**(28), 6785–6796.
- 26 Y. Luo and M. S. Shoichet, A Photolabile Hydrogel for Guided Three-Dimensional Cell Growth and Migration, *Nat. Mater.*, 2004, **3**(4), 249–253.
- 27 C. A. DeForest, B. D. Polizzotti and K. S. Anseth, Sequential Click Reactions for Synthesizing and Patterning Three-Dimensional Cell Microenvironments, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**(8), 659–664.
- 28 C. A. DeForest and D. A. Tirrell, A Photoreversible Protein-Patterning Approach for Guiding Stem Cell Fate in Three-Dimensional Gels, *Nat. Mater.*, 2015, **14**, 523–531.
- 29 A. X. Sun, H. Lin, A. M. Beck, E. J. Kilroy and R. S. Tuan, Projection Stereolithographic Fabrication of Human Adipose Stem Cell-Incorporated Biodegradable Scaffolds for Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.*, 2015, **3**, 115.
- 30 R. Xiong, Z. Zhang, W. Chai, Y. Huang and D. B. Christy, Freeform Drop-on-Demand Laser Printing of 3D Alginate and Cellular Constructs, *Biofabrication*, 2015, **7**(4), 045011.
- 31 Z. Liu, M. Tang, J. Zhao, R. Chai and J. Kang, Looking into the Future: Toward Advanced 3D Biomaterials for Stem-Cell-Based Regenerative Medicine, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**(17), 1705388.
- 32 H. Macková, Z. Plichta, H. Hlídková, O. Sedláček, R. Konefal, Z. Sadakbayeva, M. Dušková-Smrčková, D. Horák and Š. Kubinová, Reductively Degradable Poly(2-Hydroxyethyl Methacrylate) Hydrogels with Oriented Porosity for Tissue Engineering Applications, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**(12), 10544–10553.
- 33 C. R. Nuttelman, M. A. Rice, A. E. Rydholm, C. N. Salinas, D. N. Shah and K. S. Anseth, Macromolecular Monomers for the Synthesis of Hydrogel Niches and Their Application in Cell Encapsulation and Tissue Engineering, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2008, **33**(2), 167–179.
- 34 C. M. Madl, B. L. Lesavage, R. E. Dewi, C. B. Dinh, R. S. Stowers, M. Khariton, K. J. Lampe, D. Nguyen, O. Chaudhuri, A. Enejder, *et al.*, Maintenance of Neural Progenitor Cell Stemness in 3D Hydrogels Requires Matrix Remodelling, *Nat. Mater.*, 2017, **16**(12), 1233–1242.
- 35 H. Lee, L. Gu, D. J. Mooney, M. E. Levenston and O. Chaudhuri, Mechanical Confinement Regulates Cartilage Matrix Formation by Chondrocytes, *Nat. Mater.*, 2017, **16**(12), 1243–1251.
- 36 E. A. Aisenbrey and S. J. Bryant, A MMP7-Sensitive Photoclickable Biomimetic Hydrogel for MSC Encapsulation towards Engineering Human Cartilage, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part A*, 2018, 2344–2355.
- 37 K. Ceonzo, A. Gaynor, L. Shaffer, K. Kojima, C. A. Vacanti and G. L. Stahl, Polyglycolic Acid-Induced Inflammation: Role of Hydrolysis and Resulting Complement Activation, *Tissue Eng.*, 2006, **12**(2), 301–308.
- 38 D. Chen, Z. Su, L. Weng, L. Cao, C. Chen, S. Zeng, S. Zhang, T. Wu, Q. Hu and J. Xiao, Effect of Inflammation on Endothelial Cells Induced by Poly-L-Lactic Acid Degradation in Vitro and in Vivo, *J. Biomater. Sci., Polym. Ed.*, 2018, **29**(15), 1909–1919.
- 39 F. Brandl, F. Sommer and A. Goepferich, Rational Design of Hydrogels for Tissue Engineering: Impact of Physical Factors on Cell Behavior, *Biomaterials*, 2007, **28**(2), 134–146.
- 40 L. Li, N. Wang, X. Jin, R. Deng, S. Nie, L. Sun, Q. Wu, Y. Wei and C. Gong, Biodegradable and Injectable in Situ Cross-Linking Chitosan-Hyaluronic Acid Based Hydrogels for Postoperative Adhesion Prevention, *Biomaterials*, 2014, **35**(12), 3903–3917.
- 41 L. Karumbaiah, S. F. Enam, A. C. Brown, T. Saxena, M. I. Betancur, T. H. Barker and R. V. Bellamkonda, Chondroitin Sulfate Glycosaminoglycan Hydrogels Create Endogenous Niches for Neural Stem Cells, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2015, **26**(12), 2336–2349.
- 42 Y. M. Kang, S. H. Lee, J. Y. Lee, J. S. Son, B. S. Kim, B. Lee, H. J. Chun, B. H. Min, J. H. Kim and M. S. Kim, A Biodegradable, Injectable, Gel System Based on MPEG-b-(PCL-Ran-PLLA) Diblock Copolymers with an Adjustable Therapeutic Window, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**(9), 2453–2460.
- 43 U. Blache and M. Ehrbar, Inspired by Nature: Hydrogels as Versatile Tools for Vascular Engineering, *Adv. Wound Care*, 2017, **7**, 232–246.
- 44 C. D. Spicer, E. T. Pashuck and M. M. Stevens, Achieving Controlled Biomolecule-Biomaterial Conjugation, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**(16), 7702–7743.
- 45 S. A. Fisher, A. E. G. Baker and M. S. Shoichet, Designing Peptide and Protein Modified Hydrogels: Selecting the Optimal Conjugation Strategy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**(22), 7416–7427.
- 46 G. B. Schneider, A. English, M. Abraham, R. Zaharias, C. Stanford and J. Keller, The Effect of Hydrogel Charge Density on Cell Attachment, *Biomaterials*, 2004, **25**(15), 3023–3028.
- 47 M. D. Swartzlander, C. A. Barnes, A. K. Blakney, J. L. Kaar, T. R. Kyriakides and S. J. Bryant, Linking the Foreign Body Response and Protein Adsorption to PEG-Based Hydrogels Using Proteomics, *Biomaterials*, 2015, **41**, 26–36.
- 48 F. A. Jerca, A. M. Anghelache, E. Ghibu, S. Cecoltan, I. C. Stancu, R. Trusca, E. Vasile, M. Teodorescu, D. M. Vuluga, R. Hoogenboom, *et al.*, Poly(2-Isopropenyl-2-Oxazoline) Hydrogels for Biomedical Applications, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**(21), 7938–7949.

- 49 S. Aoshima and S. Kanaoka, A Renaissance in Living Cationic Polymerization, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**(11), 5245–5287.
- 50 F. A. Jerca, V. V. Jerca, A. M. Anghelache, D. M. Vuluga and R. Hoogenboom, Poly(2-Isopropenyl-2-Oxazoline) as a Versatile Platform towards Thermoresponsive Copolymers, *Polym. Chem.*, 2018, **9**(25), 3473–3478.
- 51 S. J. Bryant, J. L. Cuy, K. D. Hauch and B. D. Ratner, Photo-Patterning of Porous Hydrogels for Tissue Engineering, *Biomaterials*, 2007, **28**, 2978–2986.
- 52 V. Delplace and J. Nicolas, Degradable Vinyl Polymers for Biomedical Applications, *Nat. Chem.*, 2015, **7**, 771–784.
- 53 E. Yoshii, Cytotoxic Effects of Acrylates and Methacrylates: Relationships of Monomer Structures and Cytotoxicity, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.*, 1997, **37**(4), 517–524.
- 54 J. Winter, S. Shiga and A. Islur, The Complications of Polyacrylamide Hydrogel Augmentation Mammoplasty: A Case Report and Review of the Literature, *Plast. Surg. Case Stud.*, 2016, **2**(3), 47–50.
- 55 S. Q. Liu, R. Tay, M. Khan, P. L. Rachel Ee, J. L. Hedrick and Y. Y. Yang, Synthetic Hydrogels for Controlled Stem Cell Differentiation, *Soft Matter*, 2009, **6**(1), 67–81.
- 56 J. D. Ehrick, S. K. Deo, T. W. Browning, L. G. Bachas, M. J. Madou and S. Daunert, Genetically Engineered Protein in Hydrogels Tailors Stimuli-Responsive Characteristics, *Nat. Mater.*, 2005, **4**(4), 298–302.
- 57 X. He, J. Ma and E. Jabbari, Effect of Grafting RGD and BMP-2 Protein-Derived Peptides to a Hydrogel Substrate on Osteogenic Differentiation of Marrow Stromal Cells, *Langmuir*, 2008, **24**(16), 12508–12516.
- 58 A. M. Kloxin, A. M. Kasko, C. N. Salinas and K. S. Anseth, Photodegradable Hydrogels for Dynamic Tuning of Physical and Chemical Properties, *Science*, 2009, **324**, 59–63.
- 59 H. Oda, T. Konno and K. Ishihara, Efficient Differentiation of Stem Cells Encapsulated in a Cytocompatible Phospholipid Polymer Hydrogel with Tunable Physical Properties, *Biomaterials*, 2015, **56**, 86–91.
- 60 L. E. Jansen, L. D. Amer, E. Y. T. Chen, T. V. Nguyen, L. S. Saleh, T. Emrick, W. F. Liu, S. J. Bryant and S. R. Peyton, Zwitterionic PEG-PC Hydrogels Modulate the Foreign Body Response in a Modulus-Dependent Manner, *Biomacromolecules*, 2018, **19**(7), 2880–2888.
- 61 L. Bian, M. Guvendiren, R. L. Mauck and J. A. Burdick, Hydrogels That Mimic Developmentally Relevant Matrix and N-Cadherin Interactions Enhance MSC Chondrogenesis, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2013, **110**(25), 10117–10122.
- 62 D. Hanjaya-Putra, K. T. Wong, K. Hirotsu, S. Khetan, J. A. Burdick and S. Gerecht, Spatial Control of Cell-Mediated Degradation to Regulate Vasculogenesis and Angiogenesis in Hyaluronan Hydrogels, *Biomaterials*, 2012, **33**(26), 6123–6131.
- 63 R. Ravichandran, M. M. Islam, E. I. Alarcon, A. Samanta, S. Wang, P. Lundström, J. Hilborn, M. Griffith and J. Phopase, Functionalised Type-I Collagen as a Hydrogel Building Block for Bio-Orthogonal Tissue Engineering Applications, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, **4**(2), 318–326.
- 64 O. Wichterle and D. Lim, Hydrogels for Biological Use, *Nature*, 1960, **185**(4706), 117–118.
- 65 S. Atzet, S. Curtin, P. Trinh, S. Bryant and B. Ratner, Degradable Poly(2-Hydroxyethyl Methacrylate)-Copoly-caprolactone Hydrogels for Tissue Engineering Scaffolds, *Biomacromolecules*, 2008, **9**(12), 3370–3377.
- 66 Y. Wang, Q. Chen, M. Chen, Y. Guan and Y. Zhang, PHEMA Hydrogel Films Crosslinked with Dynamic Disulfide Bonds: Synthesis, Swelling-Induced Mechanical Instability and Self-Healing, *Polym. Chem.*, 2019, **10**, 4844–4851.
- 67 Z. Cai, Y. Gan, C. Bao, W. Wu, X. Wang, Z. Zhang, Q. Zhou, Q. Lin, Y. Yang and L. Zhu, Photosensitive Hydrogel Creates Favorable Biologic Niches to Promote Spinal Cord Injury Repair, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2019, **8**, 1900013.
- 68 J. M. McCracken, A. Badea, M. E. Kandel, A. S. Gladman, D. J. Wetzel, G. Popescu, J. A. Lewis and R. G. Nuzzo, Programming Mechanical and Physicochemical Properties of 3D Hydrogel Cellular Microcultures via Direct Ink Writing, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2016, **5**(9), 1025–1039.
- 69 A. Badea, J. M. McCracken, E. G. Tillmaand, M. E. Kandel, A. W. Oraham, M. B. Mevis, S. S. Rubakhin, G. Popescu, J. V. Sweedler and R. G. Nuzzo, 3D-Printed PHEMA Materials for Topographical and Biochemical Modulation of Dorsal Root Ganglion Cell Response, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**(36), 30318–30328.
- 70 Š. Kubinová, D. Horák and E. Syková, Cholesterol-Modified Superporous Poly(2-Hydroxyethyl Methacrylate) Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering, *Biomaterials*, 2009, **30**(27), 4601–4609.
- 71 J. S. Belkas, C. A. Munro, M. S. Shoichet, M. Johnston and R. Midha, Long-Term in Vivo Biomechanical Properties and Biocompatibility of Poly(2-Hydroxyethyl Methacrylate-Co-Methyl Methacrylate) Nerve Conduits, *Biomaterials*, 2005, **26**, 1741–1749.
- 72 Y. Hu, J. O. You and J. Aizenberg, Micropatterned Hydrogel Surface with High-Aspect-Ratio Features for Cell Guidance and Tissue Growth, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**(34), 21939–21945.
- 73 S. Vijayasekaran, T. V. Chirila, T. A. Robertson, X. Lou, H. Fitton, C. R. Hicks and I. J. Constable, Calcification of Poly (2-Hydroxyethyl Methacrylate) Hydrogel Sponges Implanted in the Rabbit Cornea : A 3-Month Study, *J. Biomater. Sci., Polym. Ed.*, 2000, **11**(6), 599–615.
- 74 D. J. T. Zainuddin Hill, T. V. Chirila, A. K. Whittaker and A. Kemp, Experimental Calcification on HEMA-Based Hydrogels in the Presence of Albumin and a Comparison to the in Vivo Calcification, *Biomacromolecules*, 2006, **7**(6), 1758–1765.
- 75 A. Kumar and S. S. Han, PVA-Based Hydrogels for Tissue Engineering: A Review, *Int. J. Polym. Mater. Polym. Biomater.*, 2017, **66**(4), 159–182.
- 76 Y. Pan, D.-S. Xiong and R.-Y. Ma, A Study on the Friction Properties of Poly (Vinyl Alcohol) Hydrogel as Articular

- Cartilage against Titanium Alloy, *Wear*, 2007, **262**, 1021–1025.
- 77 T. Miao, E. J. Miller, C. McKenzie and R. A. Oldinski, Physically Crosslinked Polyvinyl Alcohol and Gelatin Interpenetrating Polymer Network Theta-Gels for Cartilage Regeneration, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, **3**(48), 9242–9249.
- 78 Y. Shi, D. Xiong, Y. Liu, N. Wang and X. Zhao, Swelling, Mechanical and Friction Properties of PVA/PVP Hydrogels after Swelling in Osmotic Pressure Solution, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2016, **65**, 172–180.
- 79 N. Ben Halima, Poly(Vinyl Alcohol): Review of Its Promising Applications and Insights into Biodegradation, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**(46), 39823–39832.
- 80 R. N. Charles, J. M. Derek, M. H. Scott and S. A. Kristi, Attachment of Fibronectin to Poly(Vinyl Alcohol) Hydrogels Promotes NIH3T3 Cell Adhesion, Proliferation, and Migration, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.*, 2001, **57**(2), 217–223.
- 81 T. Konno and K. Ishihara, Temporal and Spatially Controllable Cell Encapsulation Using a Water-Soluble Phospholipid Polymer with Phenylboronic Acid Moiety, *Biomaterials*, 2007, **28**(10), 1770–1777.
- 82 Y. Xu, K. Mawatari, T. Konno, T. Kitamori and K. Ishihara, Spontaneous Packaging and Hypothermic Storage of Mammalian Cells with a Cell-Membrane-Mimetic Polymer Hydrogel in a Microchip, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**(41), 23089–23097.
- 83 M. A. Haq, Y. Su and D. Wang, Mechanical Properties of PNIPAM Based Hydrogels: A Review, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2017, **70**, 842–855.
- 84 M. A. Cooperstein and H. E. Canavan, Biological Cell Detachment from Poly(N-Isopropyl Acrylamide) and Its Applications, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**(11), 7695–7707.
- 85 R. Ravichandran, C. Astrand, H. K. Patra, A. P. F. Turner, V. Chotteau and J. Phopase, Intelligent ECM Mimetic Injectable Scaffolds Based on Functional Collagen Building Blocks for Tissue Engineering and Biomedical Applications, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**(34), 21068–21078.
- 86 Y. Chen, Y. Gao, L. P. Da Silva, R. P. Pirraco, M. Ma, L. Yang, R. L. Reis and J. Chen, A Thermo-/PH-Responsive Hydrogel (PNIPAM-PDMA-PAA) with Diverse Nanostructures and Gel Behaviors as a General Drug Carrier for Drug Release, *Polym. Chem.*, 2018, **9**(29), 4063–4072.
- 87 Y. Lei and D. V. Schaffer, A Fully Defined and Scalable 3D Culture System for Human Pluripotent Stem Cell Expansion and Differentiation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2013, **110**(52), E5039–E5048.
- 88 M. M. Adil, G. M. C. Rodrigues, R. U. Kulkarni, A. T. Rao, N. E. Chernavsky, E. W. Miller and D. V. Schaffer, Efficient Generation of HPSC-Derived Midbrain Dopaminergic Neurons in a Fully Defined, Scalable, 3D Biomaterial Platform, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 40573.
- 89 B. Guo, G. Pan, Q. Guo, C. Zhu, W. Cui, B. Li and H. Yang, Saccharides and Temperature Dual-Responsive Hydrogel Layers for Harvesting Cell Sheets, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**(4), 644–647.
- 90 R. P. Dumitriu, A. Oprea, C. N. Cheaburu, M. Nistor, O. Novac, C. M. Ghiciuc, L. Profire and C. Vasile, Biocompatible and Biodegradable Alginate/Poly(N-Isopropylacrylamide) Hydrogels for Sustained Theophylline Release, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2014, **131**(17), 40733.
- 91 A. A. Thorpe, C. Freeman, P. Farthing, J. Callaghan, V. Paul, I. M. Brook, C. Sammon, C. Lyn and L. Maitre, In Vivo Safety and Efficacy Testing of a Thermally Triggered Injectable Hydrogel Scaffold for Bone Regeneration and Augmentation in a Rat Model, *Oncotarget*, 2018, **9**(26), 18277–18295.
- 92 H. Vihola, A. Laukkanen, L. Valtola, H. Tenhu and J. Hirvonen, Cytotoxicity of Thermosensitive Polymers Poly(N-Isopropylacrylamide), Poly(N-Vinylcaprolactam) and Amphiphilically Modified Poly(N-Vinylcaprolactam), *Biomaterials*, 2005, **26**(16), 3055–3064.
- 93 D. S. Jones, C. P. Lorimer, C. P. McCoy and S. P. Gorman, Characterization of the Physicochemical, Antimicrobial, and Drug Release Properties of Thermoresponsive Hydrogel Copolymers Designed for Medical Device Applications, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part B*, 2008, **85**(2), 417–426.
- 94 D. S. Jones, G. P. Andrews, D. L. Caldwell, C. Lorimer, S. P. Gorman and C. P. McCoy, Novel Semi-Interpenetrating Hydrogel Networks with Enhanced Mechanical Properties and Thermoresponsive Engineered Drug Delivery, Designed as Bioactive Endotracheal Tube Biomaterials, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, 2012, **82**(3), 563–571.
- 95 J. Zhang, C. Cheng, J. L. Cuellar-Camacho, M. Li, Y. Xia, W. Li and R. Haag, Thermally Responsive Microfibers Mediated Stem Cell Fate via Reversibly Dynamic Mechanical Stimulation, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1804773.
- 96 M. Tonbul, M. Adas, T. Bekmezci and A. D. Kara, Intra-Articular Polyacrylamide Hydrogel Injections Are Not Innocent, *Case Rep. Orthop.*, 2014, **2014**, 150709.
- 97 A. R. Cameron, J. E. Frith and J. J. Cooper-White, The Influence of Substrate Creep on Mesenchymal Stem Cell Behaviour and Phenotype, *Biomaterials*, 2011, **32**(26), 5979–5993.
- 98 J. Y. Sun, X. Zhao, W. R. K. Illeperuma, O. Chaudhuri, K. H. Oh, D. J. Mooney, J. J. Vlassak and Z. Suo, Highly Stretchable and Tough Hydrogels, *Nature*, 2012, **489**(7414), 133–136.
- 99 G. E. Giammanco, B. Carrion, R. M. Coleman and A. D. Ostrowski, Photoresponsive Polysaccharide-Based Hydrogels with Tunable Mechanical Properties for Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**(23), 14423–14429.
- 100 J. Deng, C. Cheng, Y. Teng, C. Nie and C. Zhao, Mussel-Inspired Post-Heparinization of a Stretchable Hollow Hydrogel Tube and Its Potential Application as an

- Artificial Blood Vessel, *Polym. Chem.*, 2017, **8**(14), 2266–2275.
- 101 N. Rahimi, D. G. Molin, T. J. Cleij, M. A. Van Zandvoort and M. J. Post, Electrosensitive Polyacrylic Acid/Fibrin Hydrogel Facilitates Cell Seeding and Alignment, *Biomacromolecules*, 2012, **13**(5), 1448–1457.
- 102 L. E. Smith, S. Rimmer and S. MacNeil, Examination of the Effects of Poly(N-Vinylpyrrolidinone) Hydrogels in Direct and Indirect Contact with Cells, *Biomaterials*, 2006, **27**, 2806–2812.
- 103 Z. Kroneková, M. Mikulec, N. Petrenčíková, E. Paulovičová, L. Paulovičová, V. Jančinová, R. Nosál, P. S. Reddy, G. D. Shimoga, D. Chorvát Jr., *et al.*, Ex Vivo and In Vitro Studies on the Cytotoxicity and Immunomodulative Properties of Poly (2-Isopropenyl-2-Oxazoline) as a New Type of Biomedical Polymer, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2016, **16**, 1200–1211.
- 104 N. Badi, Non-Linear PEG-Based Thermoresponsive Polymer Systems, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2017, **66**, 54–79.
- 105 K. S. Masters and K. S. Anseth, Cell-Material Interactions, in *Advances in Chemical Engineering: Molecular and Cellular Foundations of Biomaterials*, ed. N. A. Peppas and M. V. Sefton, Elsevier Inc., San Diego, 2004.
- 106 J. Zhu, Bioactive Modification of Poly(Ethylene Glycol) Hydrogels for Tissue Engineering, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**(17), 4639–4656.
- 107 M. C. Schneider, S. Chu, S. L. Sridhar, G. De Roucy, F. J. Vernerey and S. J. Bryant, Local Heterogeneities Improve Matrix Connectivity in Degradable and Photoclickable Poly(Ethylene Glycol) Hydrogels for Applications in Tissue Engineering, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2017, **3**(10), 2480–2492.
- 108 B. M. Richardson, D. G. Wilcox, M. A. Randolph and K. S. Anseth, Hydrazone Covalent Adaptable Networks Modulate Extracellular Matrix Deposition for Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *Acta Biomater.*, 2018, **83**, 71–82.
- 109 C. Loebel, R. L. Mauck and J. A. Burdick, Local Nascent Protein Deposition and Remodelling Guide Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Mechanosensing and Fate in Three-Dimensional Hydrogels, *Nat. Mater.*, 2019, **18**, 883–891.
- 110 M. P. Lutolf, J. L. Lauer-Fields, H. G. Schmoekel, a. T. Metters, F. E. Weber, G. B. Fields and J. A. Hubbell, Synthetic Matrix Metalloproteinase-Sensitive Hydrogels for the Conduction of Tissue Regeneration: Engineering Cell-Invasion Characteristics, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2003, **100**(9), 5413–5418.
- 111 B. D. Fairbanks, M. P. Schwartz, A. E. Halevi, C. R. Nuttelman, C. N. Bowman and K. S. Anseth, A Versatile Synthetic Extracellular Matrix Mimic via Thiol-Norbornene Photopolymerization, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**(48), 5005–5010.
- 112 M. Malkoch, R. Vestberg, N. Gupta, L. Mespouille, P. Dubois, A. F. Mason, J. L. Hedrick, Q. Liao, C. W. Frank, K. Kingsbury, *et al.*, Synthesis of Well-Defined Hydrogel Networks Using Click Chemistry, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, **26**(26), 2774–2776.
- 113 D. L. Alge, M. A. Azagarsamy, D. F. Donohue and K. S. Anseth, Synthetically Tractable Click Hydrogels for Three-Dimensional Cell Culture Formed Using Tetrazine-Norbornene Chemistry, *Biomacromolecules*, 2013, **14**(4), 949–953.
- 114 G. N. Grover, J. Lam, T. H. Nguyen, T. Segura and H. D. Maynard, Biocompatible Hydrogels by Oxime Click Chemistry, *Biomacromolecules*, 2012, **13**(10), 3013–3017.
- 115 N. Boehnke, C. Cam, E. Bat, T. Segura and H. D. Maynard, Imine Hydrogels with Tunable Degradability for Tissue Engineering, *Biomacromolecules*, 2015, **16**(7), 2101–2108.
- 116 J. A. Burdick and K. S. Anseth, *Photoencapsulation of Osteoblasts in Injectable RGD-Modified PEG Hydrogels for Bone Tissue Engineering*, 2002, vol. 23, pp. 4315–4323.
- 117 J. C. Hoffmann and J. L. West, Three-Dimensional Photolithographic Patterning of Multiple Bioactive Ligands in Poly(Ethylene Glycol) Hydrogels, *Soft Matter*, 2010, **6**(20), 5056–5063.
- 118 G. Sun, X. Zhang, Y.-I. Shen, R. Sebastian, L. E. Dickinson, K. Fox-Talbot, M. Reinblatt, C. Steenbergen, J. W. Harmon and S. Gerecht, Dextran Hydrogel Scaffolds Enhance Angiogenic Responses and Promote Complete Skin Regeneration during Burn Wound Healing, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2011, **108**(52), 20976–20981.
- 119 Y. Fu, K. Xu, X. Zheng, A. J. Giacomini, A. W. Mix and W. J. Kao, 3D Cell Entrapment in Crosslinked Thiolated Gelatin-Poly(Ethylene Glycol) Diacrylate Hydrogels, *Biomaterials*, 2012, **33**(1), 48–58.
- 120 J. Kim, Y. P. Kong, S. M. Niedzielski, R. K. Singh, A. J. Putnam and A. Shikanov, Characterization of the Crosslinking Kinetics of Multi-Arm Poly(Ethylene Glycol) Hydrogels Formed via Michael-Type Addition, *Soft Matter*, 2016, **12**(7), 2076–2085.
- 121 B. D. Fairbanks, M. P. Schwartz, C. N. Bowman and K. S. Anseth, Photoinitiated Polymerization of PEG-Diacrylate with Lithium Phenyl-2,4,6-Trimethylbenzoylphosphinate: Polymerization Rate and Cytocompatibility, *Biomaterials*, 2009, **30**(35), 6702–6707.
- 122 S. Khetan and J. A. Burdick, Patterning Network Structure to Spatially Control Cellular Remodeling and Stem Cell Fate within 3-Dimensional Hydrogels, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**(32), 8228–8234.
- 123 J. Elisseff, K. Anseth, D. Sims, W. McIntosh, M. Randolph and R. Langer, Transdermal Photopolymerization for Minimally Invasive Implantation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1999, **96**(March), 3104–3107.
- 124 A. Metters and J. Hubbell, Network Formation and Degradation Behavior of Hydrogels Formed by Michael-Type Addition Reactions, *Biomacromolecules*, 2005, **6**(1), 290–301.
- 125 J. J. Roberts and S. J. Bryant, Comparison of Photopolymerizable Thiol-Ene PEG and Acrylate-Based PEG Hydrogels for Cartilage Development, *Biomaterials*, 2013, **34**(38), 9969–9979.
- 126 K. Vats, G. Marsh, K. Harding, I. Zampetakis, R. E. Waugh and D. S. W. Benoit, Nanoscale Physicochemical

- Properties of Chain- and Step-Growth Polymerized PEG Hydrogels Affect Cell-Material Interactions, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part A*, 2017, **105**(4), 1112–1122.
- 127 B. Jeong, D. S. Lee, J. I. Shon, Y. H. Bae and S. W. Kim, Thermoreversible Gelation of Poly(Ethylene Oxide) Biodegradable Polyester Block Copolymers, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 1999, **37**(6), 751–760.
- 128 S. P. Zustiak and J. B. Leach, Hydrolytically Degradable Poly(Ethylene Glycol) Hydrogel Scaffolds with Tunable Degradation and Mechanical Properties, *Biomacromolecules*, 2010, **11**, 1348–1357.
- 129 C. J. Chang, C. H. Chen, B. M. Chen, Y. C. Su, Y. T. Chen, M. S. Hershfield, M. T. M. Lee, T. L. Cheng, Y. T. Chen, S. R. Roffler, *et al.*, A Genome-Wide Association Study Identifies a Novel Susceptibility Locus for the Immunogenicity of Polyethylene Glycol, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**(1), 522.
- 130 B. Jeong, Y. H. Bae and S. W. Kim, Thermoreversible Gelation of PEG-PLGA-PEG Triblock Copolymer Aqueous Solutions, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**(21), 7064–7069.
- 131 D. S. Lee, M. S. Shim, S. W. Kim, H. Lee, I. Park and T. Chang, Novel Thermoreversible Gelation of Biodegradable PLGA-Block-PEO-Block-PLGA Triblock Copolymers in Aqueous Solution, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2001, **22**(8), 587–592.
- 132 K. A. Aamer, H. Sardinha, S. R. Bhatia and G. N. Tew, Rheological Studies of PLLA-PEO-PLLA Triblock Copolymer Hydrogels, *Biomaterials*, 2004, **25**(6), 1087–1093.
- 133 J. I. Kim, D. Y. Kim, D. Y. Kwon, H. J. Kang, J. H. Kim, B. H. Min and M. S. Kim, An Injectable Biodegradable Temperature-Responsive Gel with an Adjustable Persistence Window, *Biomaterials*, 2012, **33**(10), 2823–2834.
- 134 M. S. Kim, H. H. Ahn, Y. N. Shin, M. H. Cho, G. Khang and H. B. Lee, An in Vivo Study of the Host Tissue Response to Subcutaneous Implantation of PLGA- and/or Porcine Small Intestinal Submucosa-Based Scaffolds, *Biomaterials*, 2007, **28**(34), 5137–5143.
- 135 M. J. Stanford and A. P. Dove, Stereocontrolled Ring-Opening Polymerisation of Lactide, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**(2), 486–494.
- 136 V. E. G. Diederich, T. Villiger, G. Storti and M. Lattuada, Modeling of the Degradation of Poly(Ethylene Glycol)-Co-(Lactic Acid)-Dimethacrylate Hydrogels, *Macromolecules*, 2017, **50**(14), 5527–5538.
- 137 A. X. Sun, H. Lin, M. R. Fritch, H. Shen, P. G. Alexander, M. DeHart and R. S. Tuan, Chondrogenesis of Human Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells in 3-Dimensional, Photocrosslinked Hydrogel Constructs: Effect of Cell Seeding Density and Material Stiffness, *Acta Biomater.*, 2017, **58**, 302–311.
- 138 C. Hiemstra, Z. Zhong, P. J. Dijkstra and J. Feijen, Stereocomplex Mediated Gelation of PEG-(PLA)₂ and PEG-(PLA)₈ Block Copolymers, *Macromol. Symp.*, 2005, **224**, 119–131.
- 139 C. Hiemstra, W. Zhou, Z. Zhong, M. Wouters and J. Feijen, Rapidly in Situ Forming Biodegradable Robust Hydrogels by Combining Stereocomplexation and Photopolymerization, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**(32), 9918–9926.
- 140 A. S. Sawhney, C. P. Pathak and J. A. Hubbell, Bioerodible Hydrogels Based on Photopolymerized Poly(Ethylene Glycol)-Co-Poly (α -Hydroxy Acid) Diacrylate Macromers, *Macromolecules*, 1993, **26**(4), 581–587.
- 141 M. J. Mahoney and K. S. Anseth, Three-Dimensional Growth and Function of Neural Tissue in Degradable Polyethylene Glycol Hydrogels, *Biomaterials*, 2006, **27**(10), 2265–2274.
- 142 Y. Deng, A. X. Sun, K. J. Overholt, G. Z. Yu, M. R. Fritch, P. G. Alexander, H. Shen, R. S. Tuan and H. Lin, Enhancing Chondrogenesis and Mechanical Strength Retention in Physiologically Relevant Hydrogels with Incorporation of Hyaluronic Acid and Direct Loading of TGF- β , *Acta Biomater.*, 2018, **83**, 167–176.
- 143 G. L. Siparsky, Degradation Kinetics of Poly(Hydroxy) Acids: PLA and PCL, in *Polymers from Renewable Resources*, 2001, pp. 230–251.
- 144 S. A. Bencherif, A. Srinivasan, J. A. Sheehan, L. M. Walker, C. Gayathri, R. Gil, J. O. Hollinger, K. Matyjaszewski and N. R. Washburn, End-Group Effects on the Properties of PEG-Co-PGA Hydrogels, *Acta Biomater.*, 2009, **5**(6), 1872–1883.
- 145 J. L. Guo, Y. S. Kim, V. Y. Xie, B. T. Smith, E. Watson, J. Lam, H. A. Pearce, P. S. Engel and A. G. Mikos, Modular, Tissue-Specific, and Biodegradable Hydrogel Cross-Linkers for Tissue Engineering, *Sci. Adv.*, 2019, **5**(6), eaaw7396.
- 146 P. Destito, J. R. Couceiro, H. Faustino, F. López and J. L. Mascareñas, Ruthenium-Catalyzed Azide-Thioalkyne Cycloadditions in Aqueous Media: A Mild, Orthogonal, and Biocompatible Chemical Ligation, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**(36), 10766–10770.
- 147 S. M. Li, H. Garreau and M. Vert, Structure-Property Relationships in the Case of the Degradation of Massive Poly-(α -Hydroxy Acids) in Aqueous Media, *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.*, 1990, **1**, 123–130.
- 148 S. J. Bae, M. K. Joo, Y. Jeong, S. W. Kim, W. K. Lee, Y. S. Sohn and B. Jeong, Gelation Behavior of Poly(Ethylene Glycol) and Polycaprolactone Triblock and Multiblock Copolymer Aqueous Solutions, *Macromolecules*, 2006, **39**(14), 4873–4879.
- 149 H. Hyun, Y. H. Kim, I. B. Song, J. W. Lee, M. S. Kim, G. Khang, K. Park and H. B. Lee, In Vitro and in Vivo Release of Albumin Using a Biodegradable MPEG-PCL Diblock Copolymer as an in Situ Gel-Forming Carrier, *Biomacromolecules*, 2007, **8**, 1093–1100.
- 150 K. A. Davis, J. A. Burdick and K. S. Anseth, Photoinitiated Crosslinked Degradable Copolymer Networks for Tissue Engineering Applications, *Biomaterials*, 2003, **24**(14), 2485–2495.
- 151 M. A. Rice, J. Sanchez-Adams and K. S. Anseth, Exogenously Triggered, Enzymatic Degradation of

- Photopolymerized Hydrogels with Polycaprolactone Subunits: Experimental Observation and Modeling of Mass Loss Behavior, *Biomacromolecules*, 2006, 7(6), 1968–1975.
- 152 K. Zhang, J. Wu, W. Zhang, S. Yan, J. Ding, X. Chen, L. Cui and J. Yin, In Situ Formation of Hydrophobic Clusters to Enhance Mechanical Performance of Biodegradable Poly(l-Glutamic Acid)/Poly(ϵ -Caprolactone) Hydrogel towards Meniscus Tissue Engineering, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2018, 6(47), 7822–7833.
- 153 H. Shin, P. Quinten Ruhé, A. G. Mikos and J. A. Jansen, In Vivo Bone and Soft Tissue Response to Injectable, Biodegradable Oligo(Poly(Ethylene Glycol) Fumarate) Hydrogels, *Biomaterials*, 2003, 24(19), 3201–3211.
- 154 E. Behraves and A. G. Mikos, Three-Dimensional Culture of Differentiating Marrow Stromal Osteoblasts in Biomimetic Poly(Propylene Fumarate-Co-Ethylene Glycol)-Based Macroporous Hydrogels, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part A*, 2003, 66(3), 698–706.
- 155 J. S. Temenoff, H. Park, E. Jabbari, T. L. Sheffield, R. G. LeBaron, C. G. Ambrose and A. G. Mikos, In Vitro Osteogenic Differentiation of Marrow Stromal Cells Encapsulated in Biodegradable Hydrogels, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part A*, 2004, 70(2), 235–244.
- 156 S. He, J. Yaszemski, M. Yasko, A. W. Engel, P. S. Mikos and A. G. Mikos, Injectable Biodegradable Polymer Composites Based on Poly(Propylene Fumarate) Crosslinked with Poly(Ethylene Glycol)-Dimethacrylate, *Biomaterials*, 2000, 21(23), 2389–2394.
- 157 M. Dadsetan, J. P. Szatkowski, M. J. Yaszemski and L. Lu, Characterization of Photo-Cross-Linked Oli[Poly(Ethylene Glycol) Fumarate] Hydrogels for Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *Biomacromolecules*, 2007, 8(5), 1702–1709.
- 158 D.-A. Wang, C. G. Williams, F. Yang, N. Cher, H. Lee and J. H. Elisseeff, Bioresponsive Phosphoester Hydrogels for Bone Tissue Engineering, *Tissue Eng.*, 2005, 11(1/2), 201–213.
- 159 Q. Li, J. Wang, S. Shahani, D. D. N. Sun, B. Sharma, J. H. Elisseeff and K. W. Leong, Biodegradable and Photocrosslinkable Polyphosphoester Hydrogel, *Biomaterials*, 2006, 27, 1027–1034.
- 160 T. R. Dargaville, J. R. Park and R. Hoogenboom, Poly(2-Oxazoline) Hydrogels: State-of-the-Art and Emerging Applications, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2018, 18(6), 1800070.
- 161 M. Hartlieb, K. Kempe and U. S. Schubert, Covalently Cross-Linked Poly(2-Oxazoline) Materials for Biomedical Applications—from Hydrogels to Self-Assembled and Templated Structures, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, 3(4), 526–538.
- 162 B. L. Farrugia, K. Kempe, U. S. Schubert, R. Hoogenboom and T. R. Dargaville, Poly(2-Oxazoline) Hydrogels for Controlled Fibroblast Attachment, *Biomacromolecules*, 2013, 14, 2724–2732.
- 163 S. Xuan, C. U. Lee, C. Chen, A. B. Doyle, Y. Zhang, L. Guo, V. T. John, D. Hayes and D. Zhang, Thermoreversible and Injectable ABC Polypeptoid Hydrogels: Controlling the Hydrogel Properties through Molecular Design, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, 28(3), 727–737.
- 164 P. H. J. Kouwer, M. Koepf, V. A. A. Le Sage, M. Jaspers, A. M. Van Buul, Z. H. Eksteen-Akeroyd, T. Woltinge, E. Schwartz, H. J. Kitto, R. Hoogenboom, *et al.*, Responsive Biomimetic Networks from Polyisocyanopeptide Hydrogels, *Nature*, 2013, 493(7434), 651–655.
- 165 M. Jaspers, A. C. H. Pape, I. K. Voets, A. E. Rowan, G. Portale and P. H. J. Kouwer, Bundle Formation in Biomimetic Hydrogels, *Biomacromolecules*, 2016, 17(8), 2642–2649.
- 166 M. Jaspers, M. Dennison, M. F. J. Mabesoone, F. C. MacKintosh, A. E. Rowan and P. H. J. Kouwer, Ultra-Responsive Soft Matter from Strain-Stiffening Hydrogels, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, 5, 5808.
- 167 R. K. Das, V. Gocheva, R. Hammink, O. F. Zouani and A. E. Rowan, Stress-Stiffening-Mediated Stem-Cell Commitment Switch in Soft Responsive Hydrogels, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, 15(3), 318–325.
- 168 M. P. Lutolf and J. A. Hubbell, Synthetic Biomaterials as Instructive Extracellular Microenvironments for Morphogenesis in Tissue Engineering, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2005, 23(1), 47–55.
- 169 O. Chaudhuri, L. Gu, D. Klumpers, M. Darnell, S. A. Bencherif, J. C. Weaver, N. Huebsch, H. Lee, E. Lippens, G. N. Duda, *et al.*, Hydrogels with Tunable Stress Relaxation Regulate Stem Cell Fate and Activity, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, 15(3), 326–334.
- 170 J. Zhu and R. E. Marchant, Design Properties of Hydrogel Tissue-Engineering Scaffolds, *Expert Rev. Med. Devices*, 2011, 8(5), 607–626.
- 171 G. Tronci, S. J. Russell and D. J. Wood, Photo-Active Collagen Systems with Controlled Triple Helix Architecture, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2013, 1(30), 3705–3715.
- 172 L. Chen, K. Yang, H. Zhao, A. Liu, W. Tu, C. Wu, S. Chen, Z. Guo, H. Luo, J. Sun, *et al.*, Biomineralized Hydrogel with Enhanced Toughness by Chemical Bonding of Alkaline Phosphatase and Vinylphosphonic Acid in Collagen Framework, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, 5(3), 1405–1415.
- 173 N. Vázquez-Portalatín, C. E. Kilmer, A. Panitch and J. C. Liu, Characterization of Collagen Type I and II Blended Hydrogels for Articular Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *Biomacromolecules*, 2016, 17(10), 3145–3152.
- 174 K. Yang, J. Sun, D. Wei, L. Yuan, J. Yang, L. Guo, H. Fan and X. Zhang, Photo-Crosslinked Mono-Component Type II Collagen Hydrogel as a Matrix to Induce Chondrogenic Differentiation of Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2017, 5(44), 8707–8718.
- 175 R. Parenteau-Bareil, R. Gauvin and F. Berthod, Collagen-Based Biomaterials for Tissue Engineering Applications, *Materials*, 2010, 3, 1863–1887.
- 176 P. A. Parmar, L. W. Chow, J.-P. St-Pierre, C.-M. Horejs, Y. Y. Peng, J. A. Werkmeister, J. A. M. Ramshaw and M. M. Stevens, Collagen-Mimetic Peptide-Modifiable Hydrogels for Articular Cartilage Regeneration, *Biomaterials*, 2015, 54, 213–225.

- 177 P. A. Parmar, S. C. Skaalure, L. W. Chow, J.-P. St-Pierre, V. Stoichevska, Y. Y. Peng, J. A. Werkmeister, J. A. M. Ramshaw and M. M. Stevens, Temporally Degradable Collagen-Mimetic Hydrogels Tuned to Chondrogenesis of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells, *Biomaterials*, 2016, **99**, 56–71.
- 178 P. A. Parmar, J. St-Pierre, L. W. Chow, C. D. Spicer, V. Stoichevska, Y. Y. Peng, J. A. Werkmeister, J. A. M. Ramshaw and M. M. Stevens, Enhanced Articular Cartilage by Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Enzymatically Mediated Transiently RGDS-Functionalized Collagen-Mimetic Hydrogels, *Acta Biomater.*, 2017, **51**, 75–88.
- 179 Y. C. Yu, M. Tirrell and G. B. Fields, Minimal Lipidation Stabilizes Protein-like Molecular Architecture, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**(39), 9979–9987.
- 180 J. Luo and Y. W. Tong, Self-Assembly of Collagen-Mimetic Peptide Amphiphiles into Biofunctional Nanofiber, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**(10), 7739–7747.
- 181 P. Jaipan, A. Nguyen and R. J. Narayan, Gelatin-Based Hydrogels for Biomedical Applications, *MRS Commun.*, 2017, **7**(3), 416–426.
- 182 C. Tondera, S. Hauser, A. Krüger-Genge, F. Jung, A. T. Neffe, A. Lendlein, R. Klopffleisch, J. Steinbach, C. Neuber and J. Pietzsch, Gelatin-Based Hydrogel Degradation and Tissue Interaction in Vivo: Insights from Multimodal Preclinical Imaging in Immunocompetent Nude Mice, *Theranostics*, 2016, **6**(12), 2114–2128.
- 183 J. B. Rose, S. Pacelli, A. J. El Haj, H. S. Dua, A. Hopkinson, L. J. White and F. R. A. J. Rose, Gelatin-Based Materials in Ocular Tissue Engineering, *Materials*, 2014, **7**(4), 3106–3135.
- 184 W. Shi, M. Sun, X. Hu, B. Ren, J. Cheng, C. Li, X. Duan, X. Fu, J. Zhang, H. Chen, *et al.*, Structurally and Functionally Optimized Silk-Fibroin-Gelatin Scaffold Using 3D Printing to Repair Cartilage Injury In Vitro and In Vivo, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1701089.
- 185 P. Angele, R. Müller, D. Schumann, C. Englert, J. Zellner, B. Johnstone, J. Yoo, J. Hammer, J. Fierlbeck, M. K. Angele, *et al.*, Characterization of Esterified Hyaluronan-Gelatin Polymer Composites Suitable for Chondrogenic Differentiation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part A*, 2009, **91**(2), 416–427.
- 186 J. W. Nichol, S. T. Koshy, H. Bae, C. M. Hwang, S. Yamanlar and A. Khademhosseini, Cell-Laden Microengineered Gelatin Methacrylate Hydrogels, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**(21), 5536–5544.
- 187 N. Celikkin, S. Mastrogiacomo, J. Jaroszewicz, X. F. Walboomers and W. Swieszkowski, Gelatin Methacrylate Scaffold for Bone Tissue Engineering: The Influence of Polymer Concentration, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part A*, 2018, **106**(1), 201–209.
- 188 Y. Sun, R. Deng, X. Ren, K. Zhang and J. Li, 2D Gelatin Methacrylate Hydrogels with Tunable Stiffness for Investigating Cell Behaviors, *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.*, 2019, **2**(1), 570–576.
- 189 S. Xiao, T. Zhao, J. Wang, C. Wang, J. Du, L. Ying, J. Lin, C. Zhang, W. Hu, L. Wang, *et al.*, Gelatin Methacrylate (GelMA)-Based Hydrogels for Cell Transplantation: An Effective Strategy for Tissue Engineering, *Stem Cell Rev. Rep.*, 2019, **15**(5), 664–679.
- 190 K. Yue, G. Trujillo-de Santiago, M. M. Alvarez, A. Tamayol, N. Annabi and A. Khademhosseini, Synthesis, Properties, and Biomedical Applications of Gelatin Methacryloyl (GelMA) Hydrogels, *Biomaterials*, 2015, **73**, 254–271.
- 191 F. A. Pennacchio, C. Casale, F. Urciuolo, G. Imparato, R. Vecchione and P. A. Netti, Controlling the Orientation of a Cell-Synthesized Extracellular Matrix by Using Engineered Gelatin-Based Building Blocks, *Biomater. Sci.*, 2018, **6**(8), 2084–2091.
- 192 N. Monteiro, W. He, C. M. Franca, A. Athirasala and L. E. Bertassoni, Engineering Microvascular Networks in LED Light-Cured Cell-Laden Hydrogels, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2018, **4**(7), 2563–2570.
- 193 M. Zhu, Y. Wang, G. Ferracci, J. Zheng, N. J. Cho and B. H. Lee, Gelatin Methacryloyl and Its Hydrogels with an Exceptional Degree of Controllability and Batch-to-Batch Consistency, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 6863.
- 194 S. Kapoor and S. C. Kundu, Silk Protein-Based Hydrogels: Promising Advanced Materials for Biomedical Applications, *Acta Biomater.*, 2016, **31**, 17–32.
- 195 K. Schacht and T. Scheibel, Controlled Hydrogel Formation of a Recombinant Spider Silk Protein, *Biomacromolecules*, 2011, **12**(7), 2488–2495.
- 196 M. Kumar, P. Gupta, S. Bhattacharjee, S. K. Nandi and B. B. Mandal, Immunomodulatory Injectable Silk Hydrogels Maintaining Functional Islets and Promoting Anti-Inflammatory M2 Macrophage Polarization, *Biomaterials*, 2018, **187**, 1–17.
- 197 X. Wang, B. Partlow, J. Liu, Z. Zheng, B. Su, Y. Wang and D. L. Kaplan, Injectable Silk-Polyethylene Glycol Hydrogels, *Acta Biomater.*, 2015, **12**(1), 51–61.
- 198 S. H. Kim, Y. K. Yeon, J. M. Lee, J. R. Chao, Y. J. Lee, Y. B. Seo, M. T. Sultan, O. J. Lee, J. S. Lee, S. I. Yoon, *et al.*, Precisely Printable and Biocompatible Silk Fibroin Bioink for Digital Light Processing 3D Printing, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1620.
- 199 D. Chouhan, T. u. Lohe, P. K. Samudrala and B. B. Mandal, In Situ Forming Injectable Silk Fibroin Hydrogel Promotes Skin Regeneration in Full Thickness Burn Wounds, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2018, **7**(24), 1801092.
- 200 B. Cheng, Y. Yan, J. Qi, L. Deng, Z. W. Shao, K. Q. Zhang, B. Li, Z. Sun and X. Li, Cooperative Assembly of a Peptide Gelator and Silk Fibroin Afford an Injectable Hydrogel for Tissue Engineering, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**(15), 12474–12484.
- 201 R. Price, A. Poursaid, J. Cappello and H. Ghandehari, Effect of Shear on Physicochemical Properties of Matrix Metalloproteinase Responsive Silk-Elastinlike Hydrogels, *J. Controlled Release*, 2014, **195**, 92–98.
- 202 K. J. Isaacson, M. M. Jensen, A. H. Watanabe, B. E. Green, M. A. Correa, J. Cappello and H. Ghandehari,

- Self-Assembly of Thermo-responsive Recombinant Silk-Elastinlike Nanogels, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2018, **18**, 1700192.
- 203 F. Cipriani, M. Krüger, I. G. De Torre, L. Q. Sierra, M. A. Rodrigo, L. Kock and J. C. Rodriguez-Cabello, Cartilage Regeneration in Preannealed Silk Elastin-Like Co-Recombinamers Injectable Hydrogel Embedded with Mature Chondrocytes in an Ex Vivo Culture Platform, *Biomacromolecules*, 2018, **19**(11), 4333–4347.
- 204 A. E. Thurber, F. G. Omenetto and D. L. Kaplan, In Vivo Bioresponses to Silk Proteins, *Biomaterials*, 2015, **71**, 145–157.
- 205 Z. Jiao, Y. Song, Y. Jin, C. Zhang, D. Peng, Z. Chen, P. Chang, S. C. Kundu, G. Wang, Z. Wang, *et al.*, In Vivo Characterizations of the Immune Properties of Sericin: An Ancient Material with Emerging Value in Biomedical Applications, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2017, **17**, 1700229.
- 206 Z. Wang, Y. Zhang, J. Zhang, L. Huang, J. Liu, Y. Li, G. Zhang, S. C. Kundu and L. Wang, Exploring Natural Silk Protein Sericin for Regenerative Medicine: An Injectable, Photoluminescent, Cell-Adhesive 3D Hydrogel, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 1–11.
- 207 Y. Song, C. Zhang, J. Zhang, N. Sun, K. Huang, H. Li, Z. Wang, K. Huang and L. Wang, An Injectable Silk Sericin Hydrogel Promotes Cardiac Functional Recovery after Ischemic Myocardial Infarction, *Acta Biomater.*, 2016, **41**, 210–223.
- 208 D. L. Nettles, A. Chilkoti and L. A. Setton, Applications of Elastin-like Polypeptides in Tissue Engineering, *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.*, 2010, **62**(15), 1479–1485.
- 209 S. Roberts, M. Dzuricky and A. Chilkoti, Elastin-like Polypeptides as Models of Intrinsically Disordered Proteins, *FEBS Lett.*, 2015, **589**(19), 2477–2486.
- 210 Y. N. Zhang, R. K. Avery, Q. Vallmajo-Martin, A. Assmann, A. Vegh, A. Memic, B. D. Olsen, N. Annabi and A. Khademhosseini, A Highly Elastic and Rapidly Crosslinkable Elastin-Like Polypeptide-Based Hydrogel for Biomedical Applications, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**(30), 4814–4826.
- 211 K. Nagapudi, W. T. Brinkman, J. E. Leisen, L. Huang, R. A. McMillan, R. P. Apkarian, V. P. Conticello and E. L. Chaikof, Photomediated Solid-State Cross-Linking of an Elastin-Mimetic Recombinant Protein Polymer, *Macromolecules*, 2002, **35**(5), 1730–1737.
- 212 M. K. McHale, L. A. Setton and A. Chilkoti, Synthesis and in Vitro Evaluation of Enzymatically Cross-Linked Elastin-like Polypeptide Gels for Cartilaginous Tissue Repair, *Tissue Eng.*, 2005, **11**(11/12), 1768–1779.
- 213 H. Wang, A. Paul, D. Nguyen, A. Enejder and S. C. Heilshorn, Tunable Control of Hydrogel Microstructure by Kinetic Competition between Self-Assembly and Crosslinking of Elastin-like Proteins, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 21808–21815.
- 214 K. S. Straley and S. C. Heilshorn, Independent Tuning of Multiple Biomaterial Properties Using Protein Engineering, *Soft Matter*, 2009, **5**(1), 114–124.
- 215 K. J. Lampe, A. L. Antaris and S. C. Heilshorn, Design of Three-Dimensional Engineered Protein Hydrogels for Tailored Control of Neurite Growth, *Acta Biomater.*, 2013, **9**(3), 5590–5599.
- 216 X. X. Xia, Q. Xu, X. Hu, G. Qin and D. L. Kaplan, Tunable Self-Assembly of Genetically Engineered Silk-Elastin-like Protein Polymers, *Biomacromolecules*, 2011, **12**(11), 3844–3850.
- 217 M. Keating, M. Lim, Q. Hu and E. Botvinick, Selective Stiffening of Fibrin Hydrogels with Micron Resolution via Photocrosslinking, *Acta Biomater.*, 2019, **87**, 88–96.
- 218 B.-J. Kang, H.-H. Ryu, S.-S. Park, Y. Kim, H.-M. Woo, W. H. Kim and O.-K. Kweon, Effect of Matrigel on the Osteogenic Potential of Canine Adipose Tissue-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells, *J. Vet. Med. Sci.*, 2012, **74**(7), 827–836.
- 219 S. Koutsopoulos and S. Zhang, Long-Term Three-Dimensional Neural Tissue Cultures in Functionalized Self-Assembling Peptide Hydrogels, Matrigel and Collagen I, *Acta Biomater.*, 2013, **9**(2), 5162–5169.
- 220 M. J. Hernandez, R. Gaetani, V. M. Pieters, N. W. Ng, A. E. Chang, T. R. Martin, E. van Ingen, E. A. Mol, M. Dzieciatkowska, K. C. Hansen, *et al.*, Decellularized Extracellular Matrix Hydrogels as a Delivery Platform for MicroRNA and Extracellular Vesicle Therapeutics, *Adv. Ther.*, 2018, **1**(3), 1800032.
- 221 Y. S. Kim, M. Majid, A. J. Melchiorri and A. G. Mikos, Applications of Decellularized Extracellular Matrix in Bone and Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *Bioeng. Transl. Med.*, 2019, **4**(1), 83–95.
- 222 M. J. Majcher and T. Hoare, Hydrogel Synthesis and Design, in *Functional Biopolymers*, ed. M. A. Jafar Mazumder, H. Sheardown and A. Al-Ahmed, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018, pp. 1–41.
- 223 J. Radhakrishnan, A. Subramanian, U. M. Krishnan and S. Sethuraman, Injectable and 3D Bioprinted Polysaccharide Hydrogels: From Cartilage to Osteochondral Tissue Engineering, *Biomacromolecules*, 2017, **18**(1), 1–26.
- 224 K. Y. Lee and D. J. Mooney, Alginate: Properties and Biomedical Applications, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2012, **37**(1), 106–126.
- 225 R. Russo, M. Malinconico and G. Santagata, Effect of Cross-Linking with Calcium Ions on the Physical Properties of Alginate Films, *Biomacromolecules*, 2007, **8**(10), 3193–3197.
- 226 G. T. Grant, E. R. Morris, D. A. Rees, P. J. C. Smith and D. Thom, Biological Interactions between Polysaccharides and Divalent Cations: The Egg-Box Model, *FEBS Lett.*, 1973, **32**(1), 195–198.
- 227 T. Boland, X. Tao, B. J. Damon, B. Manley, P. Kesari, S. Jalota and S. Bhaduri, Drop-on-Demand Printing of Cells and Materials for Designer Tissue Constructs, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2007, **27**(3), 372–376.
- 228 J. Leppiniemi, P. Lahtinen, A. Paajanen, R. Mahlberg, S. Metsä-Kortelainen, T. Pinomaa, H. Pajari, I. Vikholm-

- Lundin, P. Pursula and V. P. Hytönen, 3D-Printable Bioactivated Nanocellulose-Alginate Hydrogels, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**(26), 21959–21970.
- 229 F. E. Freeman and D. J. Kelly, Tuning Alginate Bioink Stiffness and Composition for Controlled Growth Factor Delivery and to Spatially Direct MSC Fate within Bioprinted Tissues, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 17042.
- 230 L. Jia, F. Han, H. Yang, G. Turnbull, J. Wang, J. Clarke, W. Shu, M. Guo and B. Li, Microfluidic Fabrication of Biomimetic Helical Hydrogel Microfibers for Blood-Vessel-on-a-Chip Applications, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2019, **8**, 1900435.
- 231 G. Kalaf, E. A. Flores, R. Bledsoe, J. G. Sell and S. A. Characterization of Slow-Gelling Alginate Hydrogels for Intervertebral Disc Tissue-Engineering Applications, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2016, **63**, 198–210.
- 232 O. Smidsrød and G. Skjåk-Bræk, Alginate as Immobilization Matrix for Cells, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 1990, **8**, 71–78.
- 233 E. S. Place, L. Rojo, E. Gentleman, J. P. Sardinha and M. M. Stevens, Strontium- and Zinc-Alginate Hydrogels for Bone Tissue Engineering, *Tissue Eng., Part A*, 2011, **17**(21–22), 2713–2722.
- 234 Ý. A Mørch, I. Donati, B. L. Strand and G. Skjåk-Bræk, Effect of Ca²⁺, Ba²⁺, and Sr²⁺ on Alginate Microbeads, *Biomacromolecules*, 2006, **7**(5), 1471–1480.
- 235 P. Gaetani, M. L. Torre, M. Klinger, M. Faustini, F. Crovato, M. Bucco, M. Marazzi, T. Chlapanidas, D. Levi, F. Tancioni, *et al.*, Adipose-Derived Stem Cell Therapy for Intervertebral Disc Regeneration: An In Vitro Reconstructed Tissue in Alginate Capsules, *Tissue Eng., Part A*, 2008, **14**(8), 1415–1423.
- 236 K. H. Bouhadir, K. Y. Lee, E. Alsberg, K. L. Damm, K. W. Anderson and D. J. Mooney, Degradation of Partially Oxidized Alginate and Its Potential Application for Tissue Engineering, *Biotechnol. Prog.*, 2001, **17**(5), 945–950.
- 237 C. S. D. Lee, H. R. Moyer, I. R. A. Gittens, J. K. Williams, A. L. Boskey, B. D. Boyan and Z. Schwartz, Regulating in Vivo Calcification of Alginate Microbeads, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**(18), 4926–4934.
- 238 S.-W. Kang, B.-H. Cha, H. Park, K.-S. Park, K. Y. Lee and S.-H. Lee, The Effect of Conjugating RGD into 3D Alginate Hydrogels on Adipogenic Differentiation of Human Adipose-Derived Stromal Cells, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2011, **11**(5), 673–679.
- 239 C. Lee, J. Shin, J. S. Lee, E. Byun, J. H. Ryu, S. H. Um, D.-I. Kim, H. Lee and S.-W. Cho, Bioinspired, Calcium-Free Alginate Hydrogels with Tunable Physical and Mechanical Properties and Improved Biocompatibility, *Biomacromolecules*, 2013, **14**(6), 2004–2013.
- 240 N. C. Hunt, D. Hallam, A. Karimi, C. B. Mellough, J. Chen, D. H. W. Steel and M. Lako, 3D Culture of Human Pluripotent Stem Cells in RGD-Alginate Hydrogel Improves Retinal Tissue Development, *Acta Biomater.*, 2017, **49**, 329–343.
- 241 T. Freier, H. S. Koh, K. Kazazian and M. S. Shoichet, Controlling Cell Adhesion and Degradation of Chitosan Films by N-Acetylation, *Biomaterials*, 2005, **26**(29), 5872–5878.
- 242 E. I. Rabea, M. E.-T. Badawy, C. V. Stevens, G. Smagghe and W. Steurbaut, Chitosan as Antimicrobial Agent: Applications and Mode of Action, *Biomacromolecules*, 2003, **4**(6), 1457–1465.
- 243 H. Tan, C. R. Chu, K. A. Payne and K. G. Marra, Injectable in Situ Forming Biodegradable Chitosan-Hyaluronic Acid Based Hydrogels for Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *Biomaterials*, 2009, **30**(13), 2499–2506.
- 244 Y. Deng, J. Ren, G. Chen, G. Li, X. Wu, G. Wang, G. Gu and J. Li, Injectable in Situ Cross-Linking Chitosan-Hyaluronic Acid Based Hydrogels for Abdominal Tissue Regeneration, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 2699.
- 245 A. Chenite, C. Chaput, D. Wang, C. Combes, M. D. Buschmann, C. D. Hoemann, J. C. Leroux, B. L. Atkinson, F. Binette and A. Selmani, Novel Injectable Neutral Solutions of Chitosan Form Biodegradable Gels in Situ, *Biomaterials*, 2000, **21**(21), 2155–2161.
- 246 K. Kim, J. H. Ryu, D. Y. Lee and H. Lee, Bio-Inspired Catechol Conjugation Converts Water-Insoluble Chitosan into a Highly Water-Soluble, Adhesive Chitosan Derivative for Hydrogels and LbL Assembly, *Biomater. Sci.*, 2013, **1**(7), 783–790.
- 247 R. Jin, C. Lin and A. Cao, Enzyme-Mediated Fast Injectable Hydrogels Based on Chitosan-Glycolic Acid/Tyrosine: Preparation, Characterization, and Chondrocyte Culture, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**(2), 391–398.
- 248 B. d. Silva, S. Krolicka, M. van den Broek, L. A. M. Frissen, A. E. Boeriu and C. G. Water-Soluble Chitosan Derivatives and PH-Responsive Hydrogels by Selective C-6 Oxidation Mediated by TEMPO-Laccase Redox System, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2018, **186**, 299–309.
- 249 B. Fonseca-Santos and M. Chorilli, An Overview of Carboxymethyl Derivatives of Chitosan: Their Use as Biomaterials and Drug Delivery Systems, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2017, **77**, 1349–1362.
- 250 W. E. G. Müller, E. Tolba, H. C. Schröder, M. Neufurth, S. Wang, T. Link, B. Al-Nawas and X. Wang, A New Printable and Durable N,O-Carboxymethyl Chitosan-Ca²⁺-Polyphosphate Complex with Morphogenetic Activity, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, **3**(8), 1722–1730.
- 251 C. Zhao, N. T. Qazvini, M. Sadati, Z. Zeng, S. Huang, A. L. De La Lastra, L. Zhang, Y. Feng, W. Liu, B. Huang, *et al.*, A PH-Triggered, Self-Assembled, and Bioprintable Hybrid Hydrogel Scaffold for Mesenchymal Stem Cell Based Bone Tissue Engineering, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**(9), 8749–8762.
- 252 X. Xu, A. K. Jha, D. A. Harrington, M. C. Farach-Carson and X. Jia, Hyaluronic Acid-Based Hydrogels: From a Natural Polysaccharide to Complex Networks, *Soft Matter*, 2012, **8**(12), 3280–3294.
- 253 Y. Luo, K. R. Kirker and G. D. Prestwich, Cross-Linked Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogel Films: New Biomaterials for Drug Delivery, *J. Controlled Release*, 2000, **69**(1), 169–184.

- 254 C. B. Highley, G. D. Prestwich and J. A. Burdick, Recent Advances in Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogels for Biomedical Applications, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2016, **40**, 35–40.
- 255 J. Lam, N. F. Truong and T. Segura, Design of Cell-Matrix Interactions in Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogel Scaffolds, *Acta Biomater.*, 2014, **10**(4), 1571–1580.
- 256 J. A. Burdick, C. Chung, X. Jia, M. A. Randolph and R. Langer, Controlled Degradation and Mechanical Behavior of Photopolymerized Hyaluronic Acid Networks, *Biomacromolecules*, 2005, **6**(1), 386–391.
- 257 J. Patterson, R. Siew, S. W. Herring, A. S. P. Lin, R. Guldborg and P. S. Stayton, Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogels with Controlled Degradation Properties for Oriented Bone Regeneration, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**(26), 6772–6781.
- 258 S. S. Han, H. Y. Yoon, J. Y. Yhee, M. O. Cho, H. E. Shim, J. E. Jeong, D. E. Lee, K. Kim, H. Guim, J. H. Lee, *et al.*, In Situ Cross-Linkable Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogels Using Copper Free Click Chemistry for Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *Polym. Chem.*, 2018, **9**(1), 20–27.
- 259 Z. Guo, S. Mi and W. Sun, The Multifaceted Nature of Catechol Chemistry: Bioinspired PH-Initiated Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogels with Tunable Cohesive and Adhesive Properties, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2018, **6**(39), 6234–6244.
- 260 S. H. Park, J. Y. Seo, J. Y. Park, Y. B. Ji, K. Kim, H. S. Choi, S. Choi, J. H. Kim, B. H. Min and M. S. Kim, An Injectable, Click-Crosslinked, Cytomodulin-Modified Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogel for Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2019, **11**, 30.
- 261 L. Ouyang, C. B. Highley, C. B. Rodell, W. Sun and J. A. Burdick, 3D Printing of Shear-Thinning Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogels with Secondary Cross-Linking, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2016, **2**(10), 1743–1751.
- 262 A. N. Steele, L. M. Stapleton, J. M. Farry, H. J. Lucian, M. J. Paulsen, A. Eskandari, C. E. Hironaka, A. D. Thakore, H. Wang, A. C. Yu, *et al.*, A Biocompatible Therapeutic Catheter-Deliverable Hydrogel for In Situ Tissue Engineering, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2019, **8**, 1801147.
- 263 Y. Alinejad, A. Adoungotchodo, E. Hui, F. Zehtabi and S. Lerouge, An Injectable Chitosan/Chondroitin Sulfate Hydrogel with Tunable Mechanical Properties for Cell Therapy/Tissue Engineering, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2018, **113**, 132–141.
- 264 T. Miller, M. C. Goude, T. C. Mcdevitt and J. S. Temenoff, Molecular Engineering of Glycosaminoglycan Chemistry for Biomolecule Delivery, *Acta Biomater.*, 2014, **10**(4), 1705–1719.
- 265 S. Jo, S. Kim and I. Noh, Synthesis of in Situ Chondroitin Sulfate Hydrogel through Phosphine-Mediated Michael Type Addition Reaction, *Macromol. Res.*, 2012, **20**(9), 968–976.
- 266 F. Ma, X. Pang and B. Tang, Alginate/Chondroitin Sulfate Based Hybrid Hydrogel with Different Molecular Weight and Its Capacity to Regulate Chondrocytes Activity, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2019, **206**, 229–237.
- 267 K. J. Ornell, D. Lozada, N. V. Phan and J. M. Coburn, Controlling Methacryloyl Substitution of Chondroitin Sulfate: Injectable Hydrogels with Tunable Long-Term Drug Release Profiles, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2019, **7**(13), 2151–2161.
- 268 M. Zhu, Q. Feng, Y. Sun, G. Li and L. Bian, Effect of Cartilaginous Matrix Components on the Chondrogenesis and Hypertrophy of Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogels, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part B*, 2017, **105**(8), 2292–2300.
- 269 E. A. Aisenbrey and S. J. Bryant, The Role of Chondroitin Sulfate in Regulating Hypertrophy during MSC Chondrogenesis in a Cartilage Mimetic Hydrogel under Dynamic Loading, *Biomaterials*, 2019, **190–191**, 51–62.
- 270 H. D. Kim, E. A. Lee, Y. H. An, S. L. Kim, S. S. Lee, S. J. Yu, H. L. Jang, K. T. Nam, S. G. Im and N. S. Hwang, Chondroitin Sulfate-Based Biomineralizing Surface Hydrogels for Bone Tissue Engineering, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**(26), 21639–21650.
- 271 G. Sun, Y. I. Shen, C. C. Ho, S. Kusuma and S. Gerecht, Functional Groups Affect Physical and Biological Properties of Dextran-Based Hydrogels, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part A*, 2010, **93**(3), 1080–1090.
- 272 N. Contessi, L. Altomare, A. Filippini and S. Farè, Thermo-Responsive Properties of Methylcellulose Hydrogels for Cell Sheet Engineering, *Mater. Lett.*, 2017, **207**, 157–160.
- 273 T. Ahlfeld, T. Köhler, C. Czichy, A. Lode and M. Gelinsky, A Methylcellulose Hydrogel as Support for 3D Plotting of Complex Shaped Calcium Phosphate Scaffolds, *Gels*, 2018, **4**(3), 68.
- 274 A. Cochis, S. Grad, M. J. Stoddart, S. Farè, L. Altomare, B. Azzimonti, M. Alini and L. Rimondini, Bioreactor Mechanically Guided 3D Mesenchymal Stem Cell Chondrogenesis Using a Biocompatible Novel Thermo-Reversible Methylcellulose-Based Hydrogel, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 45018.
- 275 V. W. Wong, K. C. Rustad, M. G. Galvez, E. Neofytou, J. P. Glotzbach, M. Januszyk, M. R. Major, M. Sorkin, M. T. Longaker, J. Rajadas, *et al.*, Engineered Pullulan–Collagen Composite Dermal Hydrogels Improve Early Cutaneous Wound Healing, *Tissue Eng., Part A*, 2011, **17**, 631–644.
- 276 S. Iswariya, A. V. Bhanukeerthi, P. Velswamy, T. S. Uma and P. T. Perumal, Design and Development of a Piscine Collagen Blended Pullulan Hydrogel for Skin Tissue Engineering, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**(63), 57863–57871.
- 277 R. Pugliese and F. Gelain, Peptidic Biomaterials: From Self-Assembling to Regenerative Medicine, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2017, **35**(2), 145–158.
- 278 M. K. McBride, B. T. Worrell, T. Brown, L. M. Cox, N. Sowan, C. Wang, M. Podgorski, A. M. Martinez and C. N. Bowman, Enabling Applications of Covalent Adaptable Networks, *Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng.*, 2019, **10**, 175–198.
- 279 P. R. A. Chivers and D. K. Smith, Shaping and Structuring Supramolecular Gels, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2019, **4**, 463–478.

- 280 D. J. Cornwell and D. K. Smith, Expanding the Scope of Gels - Combining Polymers with Low-Molecular-Weight Gelators to Yield Modified Self-Assembling Smart Materials with High-Tech Applications, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2015, **2**(3), 279–293.
- 281 H. Yokoi, T. Kinoshita and S. Zhang, Dynamic Reassembly of Peptide RADA16 Nanofiber Scaffold, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2005, **102**(24), 8414–8419.
- 282 J. D. Tang, C. Mura and K. J. Lampe, Stimuli-Responsive, Pentapeptide, Nanofiber Hydrogel for Tissue Engineering, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**(12), 4886–4899.
- 283 V. M. P. Vieira, A. C. Lima, M. de Jong and D. K. Smith, Commercially Relevant Orthogonal Multi-Component Supramolecular Hydrogels for Programmed Cell Growth, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**(56), 15112–15118.
- 284 R. G. Ellis-Behnke, Y.-X. Liang, S.-W. You, D. K. C. Tay, S. Zhang, K.-F. So and G. E. Schneider, Nano Neuro Knitting: Peptide Nanofiber Scaffold for Brain Repair and Axon Regeneration with Functional Return of Vision, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2006, **103**(13), 5054–5059.
- 285 G. A. Silva, C. Czeisler, K. L. Niece, E. Beniash, D. A. Harrington, J. A. Kessler, S. I. Stupp, G. A. Silva, C. Czeisler, K. L. Niece, *et al.*, Selective Differentiation of Neural Progenitor Cells by High – Epitope Density Nanofibers, *Science*, 2004, **303**, 1352–1355.
- 286 H. Hosseinkhani, M. Hosseinkhani, A. Khademhosseini, H. Kobayashi and Y. Tabata, Enhanced Angiogenesis through Controlled Release of Basic Fibroblast Growth Factor from Peptide Amphiphile for Tissue Regeneration, *Biomaterials*, 2006, **27**(34), 5836–5844.
- 287 E. T. Pashuck, Designing Self-Assembling Biomaterials with Controlled Mechanical and Biological Performance, in *Self-Assembling Biomaterials - Molecular Design, Characterization and Application in Biology and Medicine*, ed. H. S. Azevedo and R. M. P. da Silva, Woodhead Publishing, 2018, pp. 7–26.
- 288 R. Pugliese and F. Gelain, Peptidic Biomaterials: From Self-Assembling to Regenerative Medicine, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2017, **35**(2), 145–158.
- 289 W. L. A. Brooks and B. S. Sumerlin, Synthesis and Applications of Boronic Acid-Containing Polymers: From Materials to Medicine, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**(3), 1375–1397.
- 290 J. P. M. António, R. Russo, C. P. Carvalho, P. M. S. D. Cal and P. M. P. Gois, Boronic Acids as Building Blocks for the Construction of Therapeutically Useful Bioconjugates, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2019, **48**, 3513–3536.
- 291 V. Yesilyurt, M. J. Webber, E. A. Appel, C. Godwin, R. Langer and D. G. Anderson, Injectable Self-Healing Glucose-Responsive Hydrogels with PH-Regulated Mechanical Properties, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**(1), 86–91.
- 292 Y. Chen, D. Diaz-Dussan, D. Wu, W. Wang, Y. Y. Peng, A. B. Asha, D. G. Hall, K. Ishihara and R. Narain, Bioinspired Self-Healing Hydrogel Based on Benzoxaborole-Catechol Dynamic Covalent Chemistry for 3D Cell Encapsulation, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2018, **7**(8), 904–908.
- 293 Y. Chen, W. Wang, D. Wu, M. Nagao, D. G. Hall, T. Thundat and R. Narain, Injectable Self-Healing Zwitterionic Hydrogels Based on Dynamic Benzoxaborole-Sugar Interactions with Tunable Mechanical Properties, *Biomacromolecules*, 2018, **19**(2), 596–605.
- 294 E. A. Appel, F. Biedermann, U. Rauwald, S. T. Jones, J. M. Zayed and O. A. Scherman, Supramolecular Cross-Linked Networks via Host - Guest Complexation with Cucurbit[8]Uril, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**(16), 14251–14260.
- 295 M. J. Rowland, E. A. Appel, R. J. Coulston and O. A. Scherman, Dynamically Crosslinked Materials via Recognition of Amino Acids by Cucurbit[8]Uril, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2013, **1**(23), 2904–2910.
- 296 J. Boekhoven, C. M. Rubert Pérez, S. Sur, A. Worthy and S. I. Stupp, Dynamic Display of Bioactivity through Host-Guest Chemistry, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**(46), 12077–12080.
- 297 M. J. Rowland, C. C. Parkins, J. H. McAbee, A. K. Kolb, R. Hein, X. J. Loh, C. Watts and O. A. Scherman, An Adherent Tissue-Inspired Hydrogel Delivery Vehicle Utilised in Primary Human Glioma Models, *Biomaterials*, 2018, **179**, 199–208.
- 298 E. Bakaic, N. M. B. Smeets, H. Dorrington and T. Hoare, “Off-the-Shelf” Thermoresponsive Hydrogel Design: Tuning Hydrogel Properties by Mixing Precursor Polymers with Different Lower-Critical Solution Temperatures, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**(42), 33364–33376.
- 299 D. Y. Ko, M. Patel, H. J. Lee and B. Jeong, Coordinating Thermogel for Stem Cell Spheroids and Their Cyto-Effectiveness, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**(7), 1706286.
- 300 K. J. De France, K. G. Yager, K. J. W. Chan, B. Corbett, E. D. Cranston and T. Hoare, Injectable Anisotropic Nanocomposite Hydrogels Direct in Situ Growth and Alignment of Myotubes, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**(10), 6487–6495.
- 301 K. J. De France, M. Badv, J. Dorigin, E. Siebers, V. Panchal, M. Babi, J. Moran-Mirabal, M. Lawlor, E. D. Cranston and T. Hoare, Tissue Response and Biodistribution of Injectable Cellulose Nanocrystal Composite Hydrogels, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, **5**(5), 2235–2246.
- 302 E. Bakaic, N. M. B. Smeets, M. Badv, M. Dodd, O. Barrigar, E. Siebers, M. Lawlor, H. Sheardown and T. Hoare, Injectable and Degradable Poly(Oligoethylene Glycol Methacrylate) Hydrogels with Tunable Charge Densities as Adhesive Peptide-Free Cell Scaffolds, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2018, **4**(11), 3713–3725.
- 303 L. Wang and J. P. Stegemann, Thermogelling Chitosan and Collagen Composite Hydrogels Initiated with β -Glycerophosphate for Bone Tissue Engineering, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**(14), 3976–3985.
- 304 C.-Z. Wei, C.-L. Hou, Q.-S. Gu, L.-X. Jiang, B. Zhu and A.-L. Sheng, A Thermosensitive Chitosan-Based Hydrogel Barrier for Post-Operative Adhesions' Prevention, *Biomaterials*, 2009, **30**(29), 5534–5540.

- 305 E. Zhang, J. Li, Y. Zhou, P. Che, B. Ren, Z. Qin, L. Ma, J. Cui, H. Sun and F. Yao, Biodegradable and Injectable Thermoreversible Xyloglucan Based Hydrogel for Prevention of Postoperative Adhesion, *Acta Biomater.*, 2017, **55**, 420–433.
- 306 E. Zhang, Q. Guo, F. Ji, X. Tian, J. Cui, Y. Song, H. Sun, J. Li and F. Yao, Thermoresponsive Polysaccharide-Based Composite Hydrogel with Antibacterial and Healing-Promoting Activities for Preventing Recurrent Adhesion after Adhesiolysis, *Acta Biomater.*, 2018, **74**, 439–453.
- 307 Y. K. Jhon, R. R. Bhat, C. Jeong, O. J. Rojas, I. Szeleifer and J. Genzer, Salt-Induced Depression of Lower Critical Solution Temperature in a Surface-Grafted Neutral Thermoresponsive Polymer, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2006, **27**(9), 697–701.
- 308 S. Ahn, E. C. Monge and S. C. Song, Ion and PH Effect on the Lower Critical Solution Temperature Phase Behavior in Neutral and Acidic Poly(Organophosphazene) Counterparts, *Langmuir*, 2009, **25**(4), 2407–2418.
- 309 R. Y. Tam, L. J. Smith and M. S. Shoichet, Engineering Cellular Microenvironments with Photo- and Enzymatically Responsive Hydrogels: Toward Biomimetic 3D Cell Culture Models, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **50**(4), 703–713.
- 310 M. Lunzer, L. Shi, O. G. Andriotis, P. Gruber, M. Markovic, P. J. Thurner, D. Ossipov, R. Liska and A. Ovsianikov, A Modular Approach to Sensitized Two-Photon Patterning of Photodegradable Hydrogels, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**(46), 15122–15127.
- 311 A. M. Rosales, S. L. Vega, F. W. DelRio, J. A. Burdick and K. S. Anseth, Hydrogels with Reversible Mechanics to Probe Dynamic Cell Microenvironments, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**(40), 12132–12136.
- 312 M. A. Azagarsamy and K. S. Anseth, Wavelength-Controlled Photocleavage for the Orthogonal and Sequential Release of Multiple Proteins, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**(51), 13803–13807.
- 313 H. Y. Yoshikawa, F. F. Rossetti, S. Kaufmann, T. Kaindl, J. Madsen, U. Engel, A. L. Lewis, S. P. Armes and M. Tanaka, Quantitative Evaluation of Mechanosensing of Cells on Dynamically Tunable Hydrogels, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 1367–1374.
- 314 S. J. Bae, J. M. Suh, Y. S. Sohn, Y. H. Bae, S. W. Kim and B. Jeong, Thermogelling Poly(Caprolactone-b-Ethylene Glycol-b-Caprolactone) Aqueous Solutions, *Macromolecules*, 2005, **38**(12), 5260–5265.
- 315 S. U. J. Lee, B. O. R. Han, S. Y. Park, D. K. Han and S. C. Kim, Sol-Gel Transition Behavior of Biodegradable Three-Arm and Four-Arm Star-Shaped PLGA-PEG Block Copolymer Aqueous Solution, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2006, **44**(2), 888–899.
- 316 Z. Li, Z. Zhang, K. L. Liu, X. Ni and J. Li, Biodegradable Hyperbranched Amphiphilic Polyurethane Multiblock Copolymers Consisting of Poly(Propylene Glycol), Poly(Ethylene Glycol), and Polycaprolactone as in Situ Thermogels, *Biomacromolecules*, 2012, **13**(12), 3977–3989.
- 317 Z. Li and J. Li, Control of Hyperbranched Structure of Polycaprolactone/Poly(Ethylene Glycol) Polyurethane Block Copolymers by Glycerol and Their Hydrogels for Potential Cell Delivery, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2013, **117**(47), 14763–14774.
- 318 B. Jeong, K. M. Lee, A. Gutowska and Y. H. An, Thermogelling Biodegradable Copolymer Aqueous Solutions for Injectable Protein Delivery and Tissue Engineering, *Biomacromolecules*, 2002, **3**(4), 865–868.
- 319 A. T. Metters, C. N. Bowman and K. S. Anseth, A Statistical Kinetic Model for the Bulk Degradation of PLA-b-PEG-b-PLA Hydrogel Networks, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2002, **104**(30), 7043–7049.
- 320 A. Metters and J. Hubbell, Network Formation and Degradation Behavior of Hydrogels Formed by Michael-Type Addition Reactions, *Biomacromolecules*, 2005, 290–301.
- 321 J. Wang, F. Zhang, W. P. Tsang, C. Wan and C. Wu, Fabrication of Injectable High Strength Hydrogel Based on 4-Arm Star PEG for Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *Biomaterials*, 2017, **120**, 11–21.
- 322 K. Kawamoto, M. Zhong, R. Wang, B. D. Olsen and J. A. Johnson, Loops versus Branch Functionality in Model Click Hydrogels, *Macromolecules*, 2015, **48**, 8980–8988.
- 323 H. Zhou, E. M. Schön, M. Wang, M. J. Glassman, J. Liu, M. Zhong, D. Díaz Díaz, B. D. Olsen and J. A. Johnson, Crossover Experiments Applied to Network Formation Reactions: Improved Strategies for Counting Elastically Inactive Molecular Defects in PEG Gels and Hyperbranched Polymers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**(26), 9464–9470.
- 324 R. Wang, J. A. Johnson and B. D. Olsen, Odd-Even Effect of Junction Functionality on the Topology and Elasticity of Polymer Networks, *Macromolecules*, 2017, **50**(6), 2556–2564.
- 325 S. H. M. Söntjens, D. L. Nettles, M. A. Carnahan, L. A. Setton and M. W. Grinstaff, Biodendrimer-Based Hydrogel Scaffolds for Cartilage Tissue Repair, *Biomacromolecules*, 2006, **7**(1), 310–316.
- 326 Y. Wang, Q. Zhao, H. Zhang, S. Yang and X. Jia, A Novel Poly(Amido Amine)-Dendrimer-Based Hydrogel as a Mimic for the Extracellular Matrix, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**(24), 4163–4167.
- 327 C. Ghobril, E. K. Rodriguez, A. Nazarian and M. W. Grinstaff, Recent Advances in Dendritic Macromonomers for Hydrogel Formation and Their Medical Applications, *Biomacromolecules*, 2016, **17**(4), 1235–1252.
- 328 J. Wang, H. He, R. C. Cooper and H. Yang, In Situ-Forming Polyamidoamine Dendrimer Hydrogels with Tunable Properties Prepared via Aza-Michael Addition Reaction, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**(12), 10494–10503.
- 329 S. M. Hodgson, S. A. McNelles, L. Abdullahu, I. A. Marozas, K. S. Anseth and A. Adronov, Reproducible Dendronized PEG Hydrogels via SPAAC Cross-Linking, *Biomacromolecules*, 2017, **18**(12), 4054–4059.

- 330 Y. Navon, M. Zhou, J. B. Matson and R. Bitton, Dendritic Elastin-like Peptides: The Effect of Branching on Thermoresponsiveness, *Biomacromolecules*, 2016, **17**(1), 262–270.
- 331 Y. Shmidov, M. Zhou, G. Yosefi, R. Bitton and J. B. Matson, Hydrogels Composed of Hyaluronic Acid and Dendritic ELPS: Hierarchical Structure and Physical Properties, *Soft Matter*, 2019, **15**(5), 917–925.
- 332 S. C. Grindy, R. Learsch, D. Mozhdehi, J. Cheng, D. G. Barrett, Z. Guan, P. B. Messersmith and N. Holten-Andersen, Control of Hierarchical Polymer Mechanics with Bioinspired Metal-Coordination Dynamics, *Nat. Mater.*, 2015, **14**(12), 1210–1216.
- 333 Y. Jiao, Z. Liu, S. Ding, L. Li and C. Zhou, Preparation of Biodegradable Crosslinking Agents and Application in PVP Hydrogel, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2006, **101**(3), 1515–1521.
- 334 E. Jabbari and S. Nozari, Swelling Behavior of Acrylic Acid Hydrogels Prepared by γ -Radiation Crosslinking of Polyacrylic Acid in Aqueous Solution, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2000, **36**(12), 2685–2692.
- 335 S. J. Bryant, C. R. Nuttelman and K. S. Anseth, Cytocompatibility of UV and Visible Light Photoinitiating Systems on Cultured NIH/3T3 Fibroblasts in Vitro, *J. Biomater. Sci., Polym. Ed.*, 2000, **11**(5), 439–457.
- 336 S. Chu, S. C. Skaalure, S. J. Bryant, S. L. Sridhar, U. Akalp and F. J. Vernerey, Understanding the Spatiotemporal Degradation Behavior of Aggrecanase-Sensitive Poly (Ethylene Glycol) Hydrogels for Use in Cartilage Tissue Engineering, *Tissue Eng., Part A*, 2017, **23**(15–16), 795–810.
- 337 C. A. Rice, J. Riehl, K. Broman, J. W. Soukup and W. R. Gengier, Comparing the Degree of Exothermic Polymerization in Commonly Used Acrylic and Provisional Composite Resins for Intraoral Appliances, *J. Vet. Dent.*, 2012, **29**(2), 78–83.
- 338 J. D. McCall and K. S. Anseth, Thiol-Ene Photopolymerizations Provide a Facile Method to Encapsulate Proteins and Maintain Their Bioactivity, *Biomacromolecules*, 2012, **13**(8), 2410–2417.
- 339 E. R. Ruskowitz and C. A. DeForest, Proteome-Side Analysis of Cellular Response to Ultraviolet Light for Biomaterials Synthesis and Modification, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, **5**, 2111–2116.
- 340 C. N. Salinas and K. S. Anseth, Mixed Mode Thiol-Acrylate Photopolymerizations for the Synthesis of PEG-Peptide Hydrogels, *Macromolecules*, 2008, **41**, 6019–6026.
- 341 A. V. Kabanov, E. V. Batrakova and V. Y. Alakhov, Pluronic Block Copolymers as Novel Polymer Therapeutics for Drug and Gene Delivery, *J. Controlled Release*, 2002, **82**, 189–212.
- 342 N. J. Kaiser, R. J. Kant, A. J. Minor and K. L. K. Coulombe, Optimizing Blended Collagen-Fibrin Hydrogels for Cardiac Tissue Engineering with Human iPSC-Derived Cardiomyocytes, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, **5**(2), 887–899.
- 343 J. V. Alegre-Requena, M. Häring, R. P. Herrera and D. Díaz Díaz, Regulatory parameters of self-healing alginate hydrogel networks prepared via mussel-inspired dynamic chemistry, *New. J. Chem.*, 2016, **50**, 8493–8501.
- 344 C. A. DeForest and K. S. Anseth, Cytocompatible click-based hydrogels with dynamically tunable properties through orthogonal photoconjugation and photocleavage reactions, *Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3**(12), 925–931.