

Showcasing research progress from Professor Ren Xiang Tan's laboratories at Nanjing University & Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China.

Dalmanol biosyntheses require coupling of two separate polyketide gene clusters

The biosynthesis of immunosuppressants (dalmanol A and acetodalmanol A) is characterized by the coupling of two separate (naphthalene- and chromane-encoding) gene clusters under the catalysis of unspecific enzyme(s) that may distribute widely in the fungal kingdom. The finding highlights an access to the inter-cluster orchestration derived chemodiversity of microbial secondary metabolites that remain to be a reliable source of leading compounds triggering the development of new medicines, agrochemicals, and other tool molecules.

As featured in:



See Ren Xiang Tan *et al.*,
Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 73.

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, 10, 73

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Dalmanol biosyntheses require coupling of two separate polyketide gene clusters†

Zhen Zhen Zhou,^a Hong Jie Zhu,^a Li Ping Lin,^{bc} Xuan Zhang,^a Hui Ming Ge,^{id a} Rui Hua Jiao^a and Ren Xiang Tan^{id *ab}

Polyketide–polyketide hybrids are unique natural products with promising bioactivity, but the hybridization processes remain poorly understood. Herein, we present that the biosynthetic pathways of two immunosuppressants, dalmanol A and acetodalmanol A, result from an unspecific monooxygenase triggered hybridization of two distinct polyketide (naphthalene and chromane) biosynthetic gene clusters. The orchestration of the functional dimorphism of the polyketide synthase (ChrA) ketoreductase (KR) domain (shortened as ChrA KR) with that of the KR partner (ChrB) in the bioassembly line increases the polyketide diversity and allows the fungal generation of plant chromanes (*e.g.*, noreugenin) and phloroglucinols (*e.g.*, 2,4,6-trihydroxyacetophenone). The simultaneous fungal biosynthesis of 1,3,6,8- and 2-acetyl-1,3,6,8-tetrahydroxynaphthalenes was addressed as well. Collectively, the work may symbolize a movement in understanding the multiple-gene-cluster involved natural product biosynthesis, and highlights the possible fungal generations of some chromane- and phloroglucinol-based phytochemicals.

Received 19th August 2018
Accepted 21st November 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8sc03697g

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

All organisms, particularly single-celled microbes, produce arrays of structurally unforeseeable small molecules (or natural products), which are scientifically termed as secondary metabolites (SMs) owing to their indirect involvement in central metabolism.¹ Attention to SMs has led to the discovery of renowned antibiotics (*e.g.*, penicillin and lovastatin), agrochemicals (*e.g.*, beauvericin and gibberellin), and other useful chemicals.^{2,3} However, the hit rate of finding such sorts of valuable SMs from nature declines substantially owing to: (i) the reisolation of known compounds⁴ and (ii) the lack of sufficient amounts of SM samples for an in-depth usability evaluation.⁵ But in theory, the microbial SMs identified so far occupy only a tiny portion of the chemical space that outnumbers 10⁶⁰ predictable molecules with the molecular weight smaller than 500 Da.⁶ The question raised in this regard is how to access and expand the skeletal diversity and productivity of natural products.⁷ Of particular significance is the knowledge about the logic and mechanisms involved in regulating microbial SM biosynthesis at a gene cluster level.^{8,9}

In plants, the genes responsible for the phytochemical biosynthesis are usually scattered in different parts to facilitate the efficient organ-based responses to biotic and abiotic stresses,¹⁰ except for a few gene clusters encoding the specialized metabolic pathways.¹¹ In fungi and bacteria, the genes that govern the assembly of a particular secondary metabolite are usually arranged in a cluster.¹² As an expansion to the “dogma”, the biosynthetic gene cluster of polyketides interacts with those of terpenoids to produce meroterpenoids (*e.g.*, austinol and dehydroaustinol) composed typically of polyketide- and isoprenoid-featured substructures.¹³ Inspired by such hybridized forms of SMs,¹⁴ we were curious about the possible interaction between different polyketide biosynthetic gene clusters, and if existing such inter-cluster couplings may multiply remarkably the skeletal diversity of microbial polyketides.¹⁵

Dalmanol A (**1**) and acetodalmanol A (**2**), sharing a unique 6/6/6/6 ring system, were obtained as immunosuppressive agents from mantis-associated fungus *Daldinia eschscholzii* (Fig. 1A).¹⁶ Our previous¹⁶ and present attention to its SM profile confirms that the fungus produces, in addition to **1** and **2**, two groups of pentaketides with one and two benzene nuclei. The pentaketides with a benzene ring include 1-(2,6-dihydroxyphenyl)butane-1,3-dione (DPB) derived hemiketal (**3**), 1-(2,6-dihydroxyphenyl)but-2-en-1-one (PBEO, **4**), 1-(2,6-dihydroxyphenyl)butan-1-one (**5**), 5-hydroxy-2-methyl-4H-chromen-4-one (**6**), and 2,3-dihydro-5-hydroxy-2-methylchromen-4-one (**7**) (Fig. 1B). The polyketides with two aromatic nuclei are exemplified by 1,3,6,8-tetrahydroxynaphthalene (4HN) and acetyl-1,3,6,8-tetrahydroxynaphthalene

^aState Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Institute of Functional Biomolecules, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China. E-mail: rxtan@nju.edu.cn

^bState Key Laboratory Cultivation Base for TCM Quality and Efficacy, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing 210023, China

^cState Key Laboratory Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8sc03697g






Fig. 1 Representative frameworks of chromane-related polyketides. (A) Dalmanol A (1) and acetodalmanol A (2) signify hybridizations between the chromane and naphthalene biosynthetic pathways. (B) The biosynthetic network of fungal pentaketides 5–7 that are skeletally identical with noreugenin (8) from plant (*Rheum palmatum*).²⁰ DPB, 1-(2,6-dihydroxyphenyl)butane-1,3-dione. PBE0 (4), 1-(2,6-dihydroxyphenyl)but-2-en-1-one.

(A4HN).^{16,17} This observation encouraged us to hypothesize that 1 and 2 could be biosynthesized through the hybridization of chromane-related precursor(s) with 4HN and A4HN, which were also presumed to be involved in the construction of dalmanol B and acetodalmanol B.¹⁶ Since no more polyketide synthase (PKS) gene is included in the gene clusters controlling the assembly of 4HN¹⁷ and A4HN,¹⁸ we hypothesized that 1 and 2 could be among the compounds that may showcase the mechanism underlying the coupling of two separate polyketide biosynthetic gene clusters. Here, the intra- and intercellular couplings between the two distinct (naphthalene- and chromane-encoding) polyketide gene clusters is addressed to play a decisive role in the biosynthesis of 1 and 2 with the cross-cluster hybridization most likely triggered by an unspecific monooxygenase found in fungi. In addition to ascertaining the simultaneous fungal biosynthesis of 4HN and A4HN, the functionally dimorphic orchestration of the ketoreductase (KR) domain and KR partner in the chromane bioassembly line is demonstrated to allow the fungal production of the plant chromones and phloroglucinols.

Results

Identification of individual gene clusters encoding chromane- and naphthalene-based polyketides

The investigation was facilitated by our whole-genome sequencing of the *D. eschscholzii* genome while addressing the biosynthetic pathways of dalesconols A–C.¹⁷ As supposed, co-present in the fungal genome are the genes encoding both partially reducing (PR) and non-reducing (NR) PKSs. In view of the attribution of the NR-PKS to the 4HN generation,¹⁷ the PR-PKS might be simultaneously involved in the production of 1, 2, and 5–7 (Fig. 1), which are abundant enough to be analyzed

by the liquid chromatography hyphenated with high-resolution mass spectrometry (LC-HR/MS).¹⁶ Both type III and type I PKSs (including NR-PKS and PR-PKS) have been found to involve in the aromatic polyketide biosynthesis in fungi and plants.¹⁹ In particular, a type III PKS catalyzes in plants (*e.g.*, *Rheum palmatum*) the biosynthesis of noreugenin (8),²⁰ a 7-hydroxylated derivative of 6 (Fig. 1B). We were prompted to delete first the only type III PKS gene (GME9039) in the *D. eschscholzii* genome. However, such a mutation procedure exerted no effect on the production of 1, 2, and 5–7, as indicated by the LC-HR/MS screening of the EtOAc extract of the mutant culture. Therefore, the type I PKS is required for the assembly of these polyketides. Thus, the gene expression level of all NR- and PR-PKSs (Table S2†) in the fungal genome was assessed using quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). Highly expressed were an NR-PKS (encoded by GME5781) and a PR-PKS (encoded by GME8183) (Fig. S1†). The NR-PKS is *pksTL* which was demonstrated to catalyze the biosynthesis of naphthalene-based dalesconols A–C.¹⁷ The PR-PKS encoded by GME8183, hereafter referred to as *ChrA*, might catalyze the architectural construction of the chromane-based pentaketides such as 5–7. The chromane-encoding gene cluster seems to be widespread in the fungal kingdom since these pentaketides and/or their congeners have been characterized from taxonomically distant fungi such as *Daldinia loculata*,²¹ *Cryptosporiopsis* sp.,²² *Phialophora gregata*,²³ and *Nodulisporium* sp.²⁴ To confirm the postulation, the *ChrA* gene was deleted from the fungal genome to obtain the $\Delta ChrA$ mutant, which was shown to produce none of 1 and 2 as evidenced from the LC-HR/MS screening of the extract derived from its culture (Fig. 2B). Bioinformatic analysis showed that *ChrA* encodes a type I PR-PKS (*ChrA*) with 2089 amino acid residues, containing the domains of β -ketoacyl synthase (KS), acyltransferase (AT), dehydratase (DH), C-methyltransferase (MT), ketoreductase (KR), and acyl carrier protein (ACP) (Table S3†). Since 1 and 2 are non-methylated, the MT domain of *ChrA* was assumed to be deficient in spite of its possession of the residues (such as D/ExGxGxG) required for S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) binding and methylation (Fig. S2†).²⁵ Such a methyltransferase deficiency was noticed earlier in some eukaryotic cells.²⁶ Adjacent to the *ChrA* gene is *ChrB*, which was found to encode a KR partner. Deletion of *ChrB* abolished the production of 1 and 2. As expected, the naphthol trimers, dalesconols A and B, became more abundant in the $\Delta ChrA$ and $\Delta ChrB$ mutant cultures (Fig. S15†). Next, deletion of the *pksTL* gene from the fungal genome as detailed¹⁷ gave the $\Delta pksTL$ mutant which was unable to generate 1 and 2 as well as dalesconols A and B (Fig. 2B and S17†). Similarly, more accumulation of chromane-based pentaketides (5–7, 9, and 10) was observed in the $\Delta pksTL$ mutant (Fig. S16†). Moreover, the formation of 1 was restored by supplementing 4HN in the $\Delta pksTL$ mutant culture (Fig. S22†). Accordingly, the fungal construction of 1 and 2 requires the co-participation of the naphthalene- and chromane-biosynthesizing gene clusters. These two clusters were found to reside in scaffolds 20 and 36 respectively, and are at least 493 kb apart even if the two scaffolds anchored most closely on the same chromosome (Fig. 2A and S4†).






Fig. 2 Polyketide productivity comparison among the wild-type (WT) and mutants of *D. eschscholzii*. (A) The two gene clusters encoding naphthalene and chromane. The WT strain and mutants ($\Delta 4HNR$, $\Delta lacTL$, $\Delta TF1$, $\Delta pksTL$, $\Delta ChrA$, and $\Delta ChrB$) were compared through the LC-HR/MS profiling for the production of: (B) dalmanol A (1) and acetodalmanol A (2) (peak areas integrated (C)) and (D) 5–7, 9 and 10, with authentic samples co-analyzed as references. Data shown as mean \pm SD ($n = 3$). ** indicated $P < 0.01$, by Student's *t* test.

Simultaneous biosynthesis of 1,3,6,8- (4HN) and 2-acetyl-1,3,6,8-tetrahydroxynaphthalenes (A4HN)

The above observation prompted us to hypothesize that the abundance of 1 and 2 could be influenced by the fluctuation in the 4HN and A4HN availability. To address the assumption, the 4HN reductase (4HNR) encoding gene (*4HNR*) was knocked out to give the $\Delta 4HNR$ mutant, which displayed an escalated abundance of 1, but with a negligible change in the production of 2 (Fig. 2B and C). Meanwhile, the chromane-based pentaketides such as 6 and 7 was discerned to be less abundant in the $\Delta 4HNR$ mutant (Fig. S16†). Furthermore, deletion of the laccase encoding gene (*lacTL*) exerted no effect on the abundance of 1 and 2 (Fig. 2B and C). Such mutation results signalled the co-involvement of the *pksTL*, *ChrA*, and *ChrB* genes in the generation of 1 and 2, while confirming the participation of 4HNR in the biosynthesis of melanin¹⁸ and dalesconols A–C (Table S4†).¹⁷ In particular, the *4HNR* deletion from the fungal genome results exclusively in the 4HN boost, which in turn increased the production of 1, but not 2. This observation raised our curiosity about the fungal generation of 4HN and A4HN. Previously, 4HN was reported to result largely from the A4HN deacetylation through different mechanisms.^{18,27} For example, in *Wangiella dermatitidis*, 4HN is produced by deacetylating A4HN under the catalysis of WdYg1p, a serine hydrolase homolog of Ayg1p.¹⁸ In

Colletotrichum lagenarium, the deacetylation of A4HN into 4HN is catalyzed by the bifunctional thioesterase (TE) domain of the PKS, which is simultaneously involved in the cyclization of the enzyme-bound monocyclic hexaketide intermediate.²⁷ The TE domain of *pksTL* in *D. eschscholzii* bears 46% and 81% sequence identity with those of *W. dermatitidis* (WdPKS1)¹⁸ and *C. lagenarium* (PKS1)²⁷ (Fig. S14†).

Moreover, some fungal PKS TE domain could be substrate-promiscuous as evidenced both from the simultaneous production of the skeletally alike penta- and hexaketides,^{16,27} and from the earlier observation that TE domain is not a determinant of the chain-length specificity of polyketides.²⁸ We intuited that there might exist a 'parallel production mechanism' for 4HN and A4HN, namely, the TE domain of *pksTL* in *D. eschscholzii* might be able to catalyze in parallel the constructions of 4HN and A4HN from the penta- and hexaketide precursors, respectively. Herein, this assumption was reinforced by taking the advantage of the involvement of 4HN and A4HN in the biosynthesis of 1 and 2, respectively (Fig. 3). The rationalization seems obvious. The *4HNR* deletion quenches the reduction of 4HN into 1,3,8-trihydroxynaphthalene (3HN)¹⁷ to generate a '4HN boost'. Such a sharp escalation of the 4HN level should lead to a clearly increased A4HN accumulation if 4HN was formed *via* the A4HN deacetylation






Fig. 3 Proposed biosynthetic pathways for dalmanol A (1) and acetodalmanol A (2). The dalmanol carbon skeleton formation requires the naphthalene and chromane biosynthetic gene clusters, which interact intra- and intercellularly with the presumable participation of an unspecific fungal monooxygenase expressible in *D. eschscholzii* and *A. oryzae*. UMO*, unspecific monooxygenase. Dotted and solid red arrows in 17b and 17c indicate the electron transfer in the intramolecular addition and aldol reactions, respectively.

process as reported.^{18,27} However, our deletion of the *4HNR* gene enriched 1 only, without substantial effect on the production of 2, suggesting that the A4HN availability was negligibly dependent, or independent, of the 4HN boost resulting from the *4HNR* deletion (Fig. 2B and C). This observation could be more convincingly reasoned by the parallel generation of 4HN and A4HN in *D. eschscholzii* (Fig. 3), although that the pksTL-catalyzed generation of 4HN as in case of PKS1 in *C. lagenarium*²⁷ (Fig. 3) could not be definitely ignored.

Functional analysis of transcription factors in naphthalene biosynthetic gene cluster

Bioinformatic analysis indicated the presence of two transcription factor genes (*TF1* and *TF2*) in the naphthalene-encoding gene cluster (Fig. 2A and Table S4†). Deletion of *TF1* from the fungal genome significantly reduced the abundance of 1 and completely abolished the production of 2. More abundant chromane-based pentaketides (5–7, 9, and 10) were produced by the $\Delta TF1$ mutant (Fig. S16†). Overexpression of *TF1* (*TF1 OE*) under the control of strong α -amylase (*amyB*) promoter greatly increased the amount of 1, but decreased that of 2 (Fig. S18†). This observation underpinned that *TF1* was an upregulator specific for the naphthalene biosynthetic gene cluster (Fig. 2B and C), and that 4HN and A4HN might have to compete for the same outside-cluster molecular partner to form 1 and 2,

respectively. To ascertain whether other naphthols such as 3HN are involved in the outside-cluster molecule, a large-scale fermentation of the *TF1 OE* strain was carried out to lead to the characterization of a new minor shunt compound 20a, which could be reasoned to be a hybrid of 3HN with the outside-cluster pentaketide intermediate (12). Co-existed with 20a was 20b (a dehydrated product of 1, Fig. 3), reinforcing the proposed competition among naphthols for the intermediate 12 (Fig. S56–S65†). The upregulation of naphthalene-encoding gene cluster by *TF1* was confirmed by the negligible production of other naphthol-condensed biomolecules including melanin and dalesconols A and B^{16,17} by the $\Delta TF1$ strain of *D. eschscholzii* (Fig. S17†). Compared with that of wild type, more abundant chromane-related pentaketides (5–7, 9, and 10) were observed in the $\Delta pksTL$ or $\Delta TF1$ mutants (Fig. S16†). However, the abundance of pentaketides 6 and 7 was reduced upon deleting *4HNR*, because of the increased availability of 4HN in the $\Delta 4HNR$ mutant. Unfortunately, our repeated deletion of *TF2* in the gene cluster was unsuccessful.

Heterologous expression of individual gene clusters in *Aspergillus oryzae*

To better understand the inter-cluster coupling, we were motivated to identify/confirm the individual gene function in the naphthalene- and chromane-encoding gene clusters. However,



pksTL and *ChrA* were unable to be expressed in *Escherichia coli*. The frustration was overcome by using as an expression host *Aspergillus oryzae* NSAR1, a quadruple auxotrophic mutant strain (*niaD*⁻, *sC*⁻, Δ *argB*, *adeA*⁻) as outlined elsewhere.³³ As expected, expression of the *pksTL* gene in *A. oryzae* yielded 4HN as a major product (Fig. S19[†]). Co-expression of *pksTL* and *4HNR* in *A. oryzae* afforded 3HN, thus confirming the 4HNR catalyzed 4HN reduction (Fig. S19[†]). Next, the *ChrA* gene was expressed in *A. oryzae* to produce **9** and **10**, both accumulating in the Δ *ChrB* mutant of *D. eschscholzii* (Fig. 2D and S20[†]). The *ChrB* gene was expressed alone in *A. oryzae*, which was unable to form **5** and **7** upon supplementing **9** and **10**, respectively. Moreover, none of **5**–**7** was detectable in the co-culture of the transformants of *ChrA*-AO and *ChrB*-AO (Fig. S20, S26, and S27[†]). These data confirmed *ChrA* as a pentaketide synthase and *ChrB* as a KR partner capable of directing, at early-stage, the generation of 7-deoxygenated pentaketides **5**–**7** (Fig. 4). With this ascertained, such heterologous expressions were optimized to characterize the nascent intermediates **3** and **4** (Fig. S31–S41[†]). Furthermore, the co-transformant of *ChrA* and *ChrB* (*ChrA/ChrB*-AO) produced **3**–**7**, **9**, and **10**. This observation suggested the production of **9** and **10** via a shunt path which was in parallel or competition with the *ChrB* catalyzed production of **3**–**7** (Fig. 4). Furthermore, the conversion of **3** into **6**, and **4** into **7**, was shown to be non-enzymatic since such processes were accomplishable in sterile ME medium (Fig. 4, S24 and S25[†]). The biosynthesis of **10** is catalyzed by *ChrA*, a type I PKS, which is distinct from the type III PKS involved in the biosynthesis of **8** in the plant *R. palmatum* (Fig. S13[†]).²⁰ However, we were frustrated by the formation of **5** and **9** because no enoyl reductase-encoding gene could be found in the chromane biosynthetic gene cluster. This could only be explained by assuming the presence of an unspecific enoyl reductase outside the cluster,²⁸ since **5** and **9** were detected in the cultures of *D. eschscholzii* and *A. oryzae* (a heterologous expression host used in the study). Such an unspecific enoyl reductase might be present in some, if not all, fungi since **5** was re-isolated from the cultures of other fungi such as *Cryptosporiopsis* sp.,²² *Phialophora gregata*²³ and *Nodulisporium* sp.²⁴ Moreover, the *ChrA* KR domain might function as a bifunctional 'gate-keeper' that allowed the generation of **3** (when inactive) or **4** (if active), in collaboration with *ChrB* as a KR partner (Fig. 4). This set of heterologous (co-)expressions pinpointed the metabolic network about pentaketides **3**–**7** and **9**–**10** (Fig. 4; pathways II, III, and IV).

Heterologous expression of KR domain mutated *ChrA* in *A. oryzae*

The aforementioned heterologous expression of *ChrA* in *A. oryzae* afforded a new pentaketide **13**, which is spontaneously transformed to **8** (Fig. S21[†]), a plant chromone.²⁰ To understand the catalytic feature of the KR domain of *ChrA*, three conserved catalytic residues (Lys, Ser, and Tyr) were sequentially mutated as outlined (Fig. S3[†]).²⁹ Analogously, the catalytic residues (Lys, Ser, Tyr, and Asn) in *ChrA* was identified as described by Yi Tang and co-workers.²⁹ The KR-mutated *ChrA* genes (*ChrA*-M1 for K¹⁸²⁹D, *ChrA*-M2 for S¹⁸⁵³A, and *ChrA*-M3 for Y¹⁸⁶⁶A) were

individually expressed in *A. oryzae* to produce, in addition to **10** and **13**, the fungal tetraketide **14** (*viz.*, 2,4,6-trihydroxyacetophenone).¹⁶ In comparison to **13**, an acetyl unit reduction in **14** was noticed, suggesting that such KR mutations could reduce the extension cycle specificity of *ChrA*. This phenomenon was discerned when addressing terrein biosynthesis in *Aspergillus terreus*.²⁸ This observation was substantiated by the production of **14** upon co-expressions of *ChrB* with original and KR-mutated *ChrA* genes (Fig. 5, traces vi–ix). However, such co-expressions generated four additional peaks, three of which were shown to arise from **3**, **6**, and **7** (Fig. 5, traces vii–ix). The fourth peak was identified to be **15**, which is an adduct of **4** with 3-mercaptolactic acid (a described fungal metabolite) formed via an outlined pathway (Fig. 4, pathway IV).³⁰ The above observations could only be explained by the functional dimorphism (active and silent states) of *ChrA* KR domain that serves as a gate-keeper to diversify the chromane-based polyketides (Fig. 4). It is noteworthy that the KR mutations mitigated, but not abolished as discerned,²⁹ the function of the fungal *ChrA*.

Coupling between chromane- and naphthalene-encoding gene clusters

Scrutiny of the structural feature of **1** and **2** prompted us to hypothesize that the PBEO (**4**) epoxidation might be required for the coupling between the naphthalene and chromane biosynthetic gene clusters. The stability of **4** was examined indicating that it is relatively stable in acetone and unable to be oxidized with air exposure, and tends to form **7** in MeOH, DMSO, and the culture medium (as trapped by xenobiotic indoles³¹) (Fig. S25[†]). With the rationalization, **4** was likely epoxidized into **12** under a monooxygenase catalysis, followed by independent couplings with 4HN and A4HN to give **1** and **2**, respectively (Fig. 3). To address the assumption, *ChrA* and *4HNR* were co-deleted from the *D. eschscholzii* genome to afford the Δ *ChrA*/ Δ *4HNR* mutant, which was reasoned to boost a 4HN accumulation without producing **3** and **4** (see above). As expected, the generation of **1** by the Δ *ChrA*/ Δ *4HNR* mutant was restored by addition of **4**, but unrestorable by re-supplementing **3**, suggesting that **4** and 4HN were precursors of **1** (Fig. S23[†]). The production of **2** failed to be restored by the experiment because A4HN is much less abundant and nearly independent of the 4HN boost (see above). By getting the two on-pathway intermediates in hand, **4** and 4HN were incubated together in ME medium used for culturing *D. eschscholzii* to see if spontaneous coupling could take place. However, **4** rapidly converted to **7** at 5 min, and completely converted to **7** at 30 min after co-incubation, but no coupling product was detected (Fig. S28[†]). These results showed that **4** and 4HN could not spontaneously coupled to generate **1**. Furthermore, no monooxygenase-encoding gene was found within the chromane- and naphthalene-encoding gene clusters. The enzyme catalyzing the PBEO (**4**) epoxidation could be outside the clusters in the light of the alkene epoxidation catalyzed by cytochrome P450 monooxygenase^{32,33} or flavin-containing monooxygenase (FMO).^{34,35} Owing to its analogy to styrene, **4** could be epoxidized under the catalysis of styrene monooxygenase, an FMO found in bacteria.³⁶ However, our






Fig. 4 Metabolic network of polyketides encoded by the chromane gene cluster in *D. eschscholzii*. ChrA is a partially reducing polyketide synthase (PR-PKS) with the domains of β -ketoacyl synthase (KS), acyltransferase (AT), dehydratase (DH), C-methyltransferase (MT⁰, inactive), ketoreductase (KR, active/inactive) and acyl carrier protein (ACP). ChrB is a ketoreductase partner that catalyzes the selective 3-ketone reduction of acyclic pentaketide with acetyl or allyl side-chain terminals. UER*, unspecific enoyl reductase expressible in *D. eschscholzii* and *A. oryzae*.

bioinformatic analysis showed the absence of any bacterial styrene monooxygenase in the fungus (Fig. S29[†]). Previously, a fungal P450 monooxygenase was evidenced to catalyze the styrene metabolism initialized with the epoxidation of vinyl side-chain.³⁷ In the *D. eschscholzii* genome,¹⁷ a total of 119 cytochrome P450s and 79 FMOs have been annotated (Table S10[†]). Since it is too tedious to identify the epoxidation-catalyzing enzyme of the fungus, we alternatively ascertained

the epoxidase type *via* a gene-implied enzyme inhibition strategy.³⁸ Thus, an FMO (methimazole) and two P450 inhibitors (phenylbutazone and proadifen) were supplemented separately in the fungal cultures. As a result, the fungal exposure to the P450 inhibitors substantially reduced the production of **1** and **2** whereas methimazole exerted negligible effect (Fig. S30[†]). Accordingly, the P450, but not FMO, monooxygenase(s) most likely catalyzed the epoxidation of **4** in the fungus.






Fig. 5 Co-expression of KR-mutated *ChrA* and *ChrB* in *A. oryzae* (AO). HPLC traces for metabolites produced by AO ((i), as a blank reference), the transformants [with *ChrA* ((ii), *ChrA*-M1 for $K^{1829}D$ ((iii), *ChrA*-M2 for $S^{1853}A$ (iv), and *ChrA*-M3 for $Y^{1866}A$ (v)], and the co-transformants with *ChrA* and *ChrB* (vi), *ChrA*-M1 and *ChrB* (vii), *ChrA*-M2 and *ChrB* (viii), and *ChrA*-M3 and *ChrB* (ix).

To confirm the assumption above, the two naphthalene and chromane biosynthetic gene clusters were co-expressed in *A. oryzae*. Gratifyingly, **1** and **2** were produced by the co-transformant with the *pksTL*, *ChrA*, and *ChrB* genes (*pksTL/ChrA/ChrB*-AO) (Fig. 6). These results indicated that the mono-oxygenase catalyzing the formation of **12** from **4** could be unspecific since expressible in both *A. oryzae* and *D.*




Fig. 6 Production of **1** and **2** via heterologous co-expression and co-cultivation. Co-expression of *pksTL*, *ChrA*, and *ChrB* in *A. oryzae* gave a co-transformant (*pksTL/ChrA/ChrB*-AO) capable of producing **1** and **2**, which were afforded as well by co-culturing the transformant (*pksTL*-AO) with the co-transformant (*ChrA/ChrB*-AO). However, none of **1** and **2** was generated if culturing separately the *pksTL*-AO transformant and the *ChrA/ChrB*-AO co-transformant.

eschscholzii.²⁸ Accordingly, **1** and **2** result likely from the mono-oxygenase-triggered coupling between the naphthalene and chromane biosynthetic gene clusters. Moreover, the involvement of the unspecific enzyme in the inter-cluster coupling strengthened our curiosity about whether the naphthalene and chromane biosynthetic gene clusters would interact if located in different fungal cells. To address the hypothesis, the *pksTL*-AO transformant was co-cultured with the co-transformant with *ChrA/ChrB*-AO. As expected, **1** and **2** were formed upon the co-cultivation of these (co-)transformants (Fig. 6). This experimentation highlighted that the two polyketide gene clusters can interact intercellularly, too. The finding may be of considerable value in the rational design of the microbial co-culture approach which has been accepted as a promising access to the improved secondary metabolite chemodiversity for drug discovery.³⁹

Discussion

As versatile biosynthetic precursors of natural products^{16,40} and virulence factors such as melanin,¹⁸ 4HN and/or A4HN are widespread polyketides in fungi (this work and many others) with the former producible in bacteria.^{41,42} Chromane-based polyketides have been characterized as the SMs from diverse fungi^{16,21–24} and plants.^{20,43} Also found are the natural hybrids of chromone with piperidine⁴³ and of 4HN with chromane^{16,24} or isoprenoid motifs.⁴⁰ Therefore, the fresh portion of small molecule chemical space could be occupied by SMs biosynthesizable through the ‘collaboration’ of multiple gene clusters. This rationalization has been reinforced herein by the elucidated biosynthesis of dalmanol A (**1**) and acetodalmanol A (**2**), which reveals the intra- and intercellular hetero-coupling between the naphthalene- and chromane-encoding gene clusters. The cross-cluster coupling manner underlying the formation of **1** and **2** is different from the meroterpenoid bioassembly (co-supported by the polyketide and terpenoid biosynthetic gene clusters),¹³ and within-cluster PKS pairings.^{44,45}

The cross-cluster coupling could be either spontaneous or enzymatic. Thus, PBEO (**4**) and 4HN were incubated together in media used for the fungal culture. To our surprise, **4** became **7** rather than reacted with 4HN (Fig. S28†). Thus, such a unique coupling could only be triggered by an unspecific mono-oxygenase found in some (if not all) fungi such as *D. eschscholzii* and *A. oryzae*.²⁸ Such a mono-oxygenase is outside the two polyketide biosynthetic gene clusters and catalyzes the conversion of pentaketide precursor PBEO (**4**) to the epoxide intermediate **12** which is too labile or less abundant to be identified in the work. The difficulty in characterizing **12** might have been intensified by the competitive conversion of **4** to **7** and **5** via the non-enzymatic intramolecular addition and the reduction catalyzed by an unspecific enoyl reductase expressible in *D. eschscholzii* and *A. oryzae* (Fig. 4, pathway IV). Similarly, an unspecific ketoreductase was found to involve in the terrein biosynthesis.²⁸ This rationalization agrees with the oxygenation pattern of **1** and **2** and the mono-oxygenase-catalyzed 2,3-epoxidation of some indole motifs (a mimic of the polarized double bond of **4**, Fig. 3) in the fungal culture.⁴⁶ The inter-cluster



coupling gains reinforcements from the production of **1** and **2** via the heterologous co-expression of *pksTL*, *ChrA* and *ChrB* in *A. oryzae*, and through the co-culture of the two (co-)transformants, *pksTL*-AO and *ChrA/ChrB*-AO. The finding seems to be different from the post-biosynthesis coupling between small-molecule phenols via a non-enzymatic nucleophilic addition reaction⁴⁷ and the phenolic radical couplings catalyzed by laccase,¹⁷ multicopper oxidase,⁴⁸ and the within-cluster P450 enzymes.^{49,50} Interestingly, the addressed coupling between the naphthalene and chromane biosynthetic gene clusters enabled us to identify the simultaneous fungal biosynthesis of 4HN and A4HN. Along with the transformant co-culture protocol, the driving force for the cross-cluster coupling may help to rationally design and efficiently accomplish the generation of new SMs via microbial co-cultivation approaches.

The chromane-based polyketides are found in fungi (this work and others) and plants such as *Aloe arborescens* (family Aloaceae),⁵¹ *Dysoxylum acutangulum* (Meliaceae),⁴³ *Eugenia caryophyllata* (Myrtaceae),⁵² *Crossosoma bigelovii* (Crossosomataceae),⁵³ *Knoxia corymbosa* (Rubiaceae),⁵⁴ and *Schumanniphyton magnificum* (Rubiaceae).⁵⁵ Many chromane derivatives have promising biological activities.⁵⁶ This work highlights the biosynthetic relationship of this family of SMs by genetic manipulation. Interestingly, both *ChrA* ketoreductase domain (*ChrA* KR) and *ChrB* as a KR partner in the same cluster are functionally dimorphic; namely, they exert or lose their catalytic activity during the assembly of chromane polyketides (Fig. 4). Such a KR dimorphism orchestration of *ChrA* KR and *ChrB* allows the generation of diversified chromane polyketides (Fig. 4). Previously, the KR domain dimorphism of polyketide synthases was believed to likely arise from a couple of mechanisms such as the module skipping⁵⁷ and chain-length control.^{58,59} Our mutation confirmed that the KR domain affects some polyketide chain-length. In particular, the replacement of Lys¹⁸²⁹ with Asp residue in *ChrA* KR afforded a remarkably escalated level of 2',4',6'-trihydroxyacetophenone (**14**), an anti-obesity phytochemical⁶⁰ that serves as a (bio) synthetic starter motif for arrays of bioactive phloroglucinols.^{61,62} More interestingly, when *ChrA* KR and *ChrB* both lose the catalytic activity, the fungal chromane biosynthetic pathway constructs **13** that tends to form noreugenin (**8**), a plant chromone used as a common starting material for the synthesis of bioactive chromone natural products such as ptaeroxilin and eranthin.⁶³ These findings suggest that the fungal chassis with such a useful and operable chromane biosynthetic gene cluster could be a proper host for the synthetic biology approaches to chromane- and phloroglucinol-based bioactive phytochemicals and/or precursors thereof.

Conclusions

We have addressed the two-gene-cluster based biosynthetic pathways of dalmanol A (**1**) and acetodalmanol A (**2**). Their unique carbon skeletons form via the monooxygenase triggered cascade reactions between a pair of polyketides constructed by two distinct polyketide (naphthalene and chromane) biosynthetic gene clusters. Such a cross-cluster interaction allowed the

identification of the simultaneous fungal biosynthesis of 4HN and A4HN. A combination of transformant (co-)cultivations with gene deletions and heterologous (co-)expressions disclosed the metabolic network about pentaketides **3–7**, **9**, and **10**, which are produced via the competitive shunt pathways switched likely by the functional dimorphism of *ChrA* KR and/or the nascent intermediate aromatization before the *ChrB* catalyzed cyclization (Fig. 4). In the chassis of *D. eschscholzii* and probably other chromane-synthesizing fungi,^{21–24} the *ChrA* with an inactivated KR domain seems to be of significance in establishing the synthetic biology approaches to the chromane- and phloroglucinol-based phytochemicals. Collectively, the work sheds light on the understanding of the multiple-gene-cluster based natural product biosynthesis, which is valuable for generating skeletally related phytochemicals and other small molecules with new chemical and biological space.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The work was co-financed by the NSFC (81530089, 21672101 and 21702099) and MOST grants (2018ZX09711001-007-004). We thank Professor Katsuhiko Kitamoto (University of Tokyo) for providing fungal heterologous expression host (*A. oryzae* NSAR1) and plasmids (pTAex3, pUSA and pAdeA).

References

- 1 S. W. Drew and A. L. Demain, *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 1977, **31**, 343–356.
- 2 N. P. Keller, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2015, **11**, 671–677.
- 3 T. Hautbergue, E. L. Jamin, L. Debrauwer, O. Puel and I. P. Oswald, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2018, **35**, 147–173.
- 4 J. Hubert, J. M. Nuzillard and J. H. Renault, *Phytochem. Rev.*, 2017, **16**, 55–95.
- 5 M. C. Leal, C. Sheridan, R. Osinga, G. Dionisio, R. J. M. Rocha, B. Silva, R. Rosa and R. Calado, *Mar. Drugs*, 2014, **12**, 3929–3952.
- 6 A. Boufridi and R. J. Quinn, *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol.*, 2018, **58**, 451–470.
- 7 M. J. Smanski, H. Zhou, J. Claesen, B. Shen, M. A. Fischbach and C. A. Voigt, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2016, **14**, 135–149.
- 8 X. N. Liu, W. T. Ding and H. F. Jiang, *Microb. Cell Fact.*, 2017, **16**, 125.
- 9 P. Chettri, P. Y. Dupont and R. E. Bradshaw, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2018, **107**, 508–522.
- 10 J. K. Weng, *New Phytol.*, 2014, **201**, 1141–1149.
- 11 J. K. Weng, R. N. Philippe and J. P. Noel, *Science*, 2012, **336**, 1667–1670.
- 12 Y. F. Li, K. J. S. Tsai, C. J. B. Harvey, J. J. Li, B. E. Ary, E. E. Berlew, B. L. Boehman, D. M. Findley, A. G. Friant, C. A. Gardner, M. P. Gould, J. H. Ha, B. K. Lilley, E. L. McKinstry, S. Nawal, R. C. Parry, K. W. Rothchild, S. D. Silbert, M. D. Tentilucci, A. M. Thurston, R. B. Wai,



- Y. J. Yoon, R. S. Aiyar, M. H. Medema, M. E. Hillenmeyer and L. K. Charkoudian, *Fungal Genet. Biol.*, 2016, **89**, 18–28.
- 13 H. C. Lo, R. Entwistle, C. J. Guo, M. Ahuja, E. Szewczyk, J. H. Hung, Y. M. Chiang, B. R. Oakley and C. C. C. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 4709–4720.
- 14 Y. Matsuda and I. Abe, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2016, **33**, 26–53.
- 15 W. Cai and W. Zhang, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2017, **50**, 32–38.
- 16 Y. L. Zhang, J. Zhang, N. Jiang, Y. H. Lu, L. Wang, S. H. Xu, W. Wang, G. F. Zhang, Q. Xu, H. M. Ge, J. Ma, Y. C. Song and R. X. Tan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 5931–5940.
- 17 W. Fang, S. Ji, N. Jiang, W. Wang, G. Y. Zhao, S. Zhang, H. M. Ge, Q. Xu, A. H. Zhang, Y. L. Zhang, Y. C. Song, J. Zhang and R. X. Tan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, **3**, 1039.
- 18 M. H. Wheeler, D. Abramczyk, L. S. Puckhaber, M. Naruse, Y. Ebizuka, I. Fujii and P. J. Szanislo, *Eukaryotic Cell*, 2008, **7**, 1699–1711.
- 19 C. Hertweck, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 4688–4716.
- 20 I. Abe, Y. Utsumi, S. Oguro, H. Morita, Y. Sano and H. Noguchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 1362–1363.
- 21 A. K. Nadeau and J. L. Sorensen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 1697–1699.
- 22 M. K. Zilla, M. Qadri, A. S. Pathania, G. A. Strobel, Y. Nalli, S. Kumar, S. K. Guru, S. Bhushan, S. K. Singh, R. A. Vishwakarma, S. Riyaz-Ul-Hassan and A. Ali, *Phytochemistry*, 2013, **95**, 291–297.
- 23 L. E. Gray, H. W. Gardner, D. Weisleder and M. Leib, *Phytochemistry*, 1999, **50**, 1337–1340.
- 24 J. Q. Dai, K. Krohn, S. Draeger and B. Schulz, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 1564–1569.
- 25 J. Kennedy, K. Auclair, S. G. Kendrew, C. Park, J. C. Vederas and C. R. Hutchinson, *Science*, 1999, **284**, 1368–1372.
- 26 A. Dong, J. A. Yoder, X. Zhang, L. Zhou, T. H. Bestor and X. Cheng, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2001, **29**, 439–448.
- 27 A. L. Vagstad, E. A. Hill, J. W. Labonte and C. A. Townsend, *Chem. Biol.*, 2012, **19**, 1525–1534.
- 28 C. Zaehle, M. Gressler, E. Shelest, E. Geib, C. Hertweck and M. Brock, *Chem. Biol.*, 2014, **21**, 719–731.
- 29 H. Zhou, Z. Z. Gao, K. J. Qiao, J. J. Wang, J. C. Vederas and Y. Tang, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2012, **8**, 331–333.
- 30 E. Adelin, M. T. Martin, M. F. Bricot, S. Cortial, P. Retailleau and J. Ouazzani, *Phytochemistry*, 2012, **84**, 135–140.
- 31 L. P. Lin, P. Yuan, N. Jiang, Y. N. Mei, W. J. Zhang, H. M. Wu, A. H. Zhang, J. M. Cao, Z. X. Xiong, Y. Lu and R. X. Tan, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 2610–2613.
- 32 M. Schrewe, M. K. Julsing, B. Buhler and A. Schmid, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 6346–6377.
- 33 F. H. Jin, J. Maruyama, P. R. Juvvadi, M. Akioka and K. Kitamoto, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2004, **239**, 79–85.
- 34 A. Minami, M. Shimaya, G. Suzuki, A. Migita, S. S. Shinde, K. Sato, K. Watanabe, T. Tamura, H. Oguri and H. Oikawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 7246–7249.
- 35 K. C. Van de Bittner, M. J. Nicholson, L. Y. Bustamante, S. A. Kessans, A. Ram, C. J. van Dollewerd, B. Scott and E. J. Parker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 582–585.
- 36 T. Heine, A. Scholtissek, A. H. Westphal, W. J. H. van Berkel and D. Tischler, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2017, **1865**, 1770–1780.
- 37 H. H. Cox, B. W. Faber, W. N. Van Heiningen, H. Radhoe, H. J. Doddema and W. Harder, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1996, **62**, 1471–1474.
- 38 W. Yan, H. M. Ge, G. Wang, N. Jiang, Y. N. Mei, R. Jiang, S. J. Li, C. J. Chen, R. H. Jiao, Q. Xu, S. W. Ng and R. X. Tan, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2014, **111**, 18138–18143.
- 39 S. Bertrand, N. Bohni, S. Schnee, O. Schumpp, K. Gindro and J. L. Wolfender, *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 2014, **32**, 1180–1204.
- 40 L. Kaysser, P. Bernhardt, S. J. Nam, S. Loesgen, J. G. Ruby, P. Skewes-Cox, P. R. Jensen, W. Fenical and B. S. Moore, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 11988–11991.
- 41 N. Funa, Y. Ohnishi, I. Fujii, M. Shibuya, Y. Ebizuka and S. Horinouchi, *Nature*, 1999, **400**, 897–899.
- 42 Y. Sone, S. Nakamura, M. Sasaki, F. Hasebe, S. Y. Kim and N. Funa, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2018, **84**, e00258-18.
- 43 P. Klausmeyer, Q. Zhou, D. A. Scudiero, B. Uranchimeg, G. Melillo, J. H. Cardellina, R. H. Shoemaker, C. J. Chang and T. G. McCloud, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2009, **72**, 1879–1883.
- 44 Y. H. Chooi and Y. Tang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 9933–9953.
- 45 M. Sato, J. E. Dander, C. Sato, Y. S. Hung, S. S. Gao, M. C. Tang, L. Hang, J. M. Winter, N. K. Garg, K. Watanabe and Y. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 5317–5320.
- 46 L. P. Lin and R. X. Tan, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2018, **36**, 749–753.
- 47 C. Huang, C. Yang, W. Zhang, L. Zhang, B. C. De, Y. Zhu, X. Jiang, C. Fang, Q. Zhang, C. S. Yuan, H. W. Liu and C. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2088.
- 48 M. Kawaguchi, T. Ohshiro, M. Toyoda, S. Ohte, J. Inokoshi, I. Fujii and H. Tomoda, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 5115–5119.
- 49 S. S. Gao, T. Zhang, M. Garcia-Borras, Y. S. Hung, J. M. Billingsley, K. N. Houk, Y. Hu and Y. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 6991–6997.
- 50 L. S. Mazzaferro, W. Huttel, A. Fries and M. Muller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 12289–12295.
- 51 Y. Mizuuchi, S. P. Shi, K. Wanibuchi, A. Kojima, H. Morita, H. Noguchi and I. Abe, *FEBS J.*, 2009, **276**, 2391–2401.
- 52 Y. W. Zhang and Y. W. Chen, *Phytochemistry*, 1997, **45**, 401–403.
- 53 P. Klausmeyer, Q. Zhou, D. A. Scudiero, B. Uranchimeg, G. Melillo, J. H. Cardellina, R. H. Shoemaker, C. J. Chang and T. G. McCloud, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2009, **72**, 805–812.
- 54 Y. B. Wang, R. Huang, H. B. Zhang and L. Li, *J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.*, 2006, **8**, 663–670.
- 55 P. Tane, J. F. Ayafor, B. L. Sondengam and J. D. Connolly, *Phytochemistry*, 1990, **29**, 1004–1007.
- 56 F. M. Awadallah, T. A. El-Waei, M. M. Hanna, S. E. Abbas, M. Ceruso, B. E. Oz, O. O. Guler and C. T. Supuran, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **96**, 425–435.
- 57 S. C. Wenzel, B. Kunze, G. Hofle, B. Silakowski, M. Scharfe, H. Blocker and R. Muller, *ChemBioChem*, 2005, **6**, 375–385.
- 58 X. L. Chen, Z. F. Guo, P. M. Lai, K. H. Sze and Z. H. Guo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 7926–7928.



- 59 I. Abe, T. Watanabe, W. Lou and H. Noguchi, *FEBS J.*, 2006, **273**, 208–218.
- 60 E. A. Ferreira, E. F. Gris, J. M. Rebello, J. F. G. Correia, L. F. S. de Oliveira, D. Wilhelm and R. C. Pedrosa, *Planta Med.*, 2011, **77**, 1569–1574.
- 61 S. B. Wu, C. Long and E. J. Kennelly, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2014, **31**, 1158–1174.
- 62 C. Blanchard, D. Pouchain, P. Vanderkam, M. C. Perault-Pochat, R. Boussageon and H. Vaillant-Roussel, *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.*, 2018, **74**, 541–548.
- 63 M. Bruder, P. L. Haseler, M. Muscarella, W. Lewis and C. J. Moody, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 353–358.

