


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 36063

Magnetic-propelled Fe_3O_4 –chitosan carriers enhance L-asparaginase catalytic activity: a promising strategy for enzyme immobilization

 Burhan Ates, ^{*a} Ahmet Ulu, ^{*a} Suleyman Köytepe, ^a Samir Abbas Ali Noma, ^a Veli Serkan Kolat^b and Tekin Izgi^b

Magnetic-propelled carriers comprising magnetic Fe_3O_4 –chitosan nanoparticles were immobilized with L-asparaginase (L-ASNase). The enzyme displayed enhanced catalytic activity in a weak magnetic field, and thermal and pH stabilities. The conjugated L-ASNase presented higher thermostability and wider range of pH stability in comparison with those of free L-ASNase. Moreover, the reusability of conjugated L-ASNase significantly improved after immobilization and it retained 60.5% of its initial activity after undergoing 16 cycles. The conjugated L-ASNase maintained more than 50% and 48% initial activity after 4 weeks of storage at 4 °C and room temperature, respectively. Furthermore, we reveal that the activity of conjugated L-ASNase onto magnetic Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles increased by about 3-fold in the weak magnetic field at certain frequencies and flux density compared with that of free L-ASNase. Considering these excellent attributes, the magnetic-propelled mechanism in the transporting and activation of L-ASNase can be used by enhancing the catalytic activity, stability, and efficiency in vital implications for medicinal biotechnology.

 Received 27th July 2018
 Accepted 6th October 2018

 DOI: 10.1039/c8ra06346
rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

L-Asparagine (L-Asn) is an essential amino acid for the growth of tumor cells. Cancer cells must absorb this amino acid from the bloodstream in order to synthesize protein and grow. L-ASNase (asparagine amidohydrolase, EC 3.5.1.1) catalyzes the hydrolysis of L-Asn to aspartic acid and ammonia.¹ At the end of this enzymatic hydrolysis, the cancer cells grow slowly and they die. L-ASNase is one of the most widely used chemotherapeutic agent in the treatment of many cancer types.^{2,3} It is also an important enzyme in the food industry since it reduces the formation of acrylamide, a carcinogenic compound. Since this enzyme is commonly used in two important industries, it is essential to enhance its half-life, reusability and catalytic activity. To date, to the best of our knowledge, a few studies have been reported to evaluate the catalytic efficiency of enzymes after exposure to magnetic fields. For instance, Mizuki *et al.* reported the activity of immobilized α -amylase, lipase and chitinase activity increases in a rotational magnetic field and reaches maximum at a certain frequency.⁴ According to Prando *et al.*,⁵ since the increase in activity due to magnetic treatment

may be related to an increase in the average particle size and a reduction of 25% in α -helix content, the substrate facilitates access to the active site of lysozyme. Based on these results, the activities of some enzymes can be efficiently improved owing to exposure to magnetic field, which may make a great contribution to nanoscience and biotechnology.

In recent years, magnetic nanoparticles have received considerable interest and attention due to their versatile characteristics such as high surface area, superparamagnetism, stability, low toxicity, small and regular shape, inexpensiveness, and ease of synthesis.^{6,7} Therefore, they have been used for a broad range of applications including drug delivery,⁸ biosensors,⁹ catalysis,¹⁰ and magnetic resonance imaging.¹¹ Among them, enzyme immobilization is probably one of the most widely used applications. In particular, the separation of magnetic particles with an external magnet contributes to enhanced reusability of enzyme. However, magnetic nanoparticles tend to aggregate in aqueous medium due to the strong magnetic dipole–dipole attractions between particles. In addition, there are not many functional reactive groups, except a small amount of hydroxyl groups located on its surface, which will react with other molecules such as enzymes and proteins.¹² Perhaps, these drawbacks may hinder the applications of enzymes in industrial areas.^{13,14} To overcome these drawbacks, modification of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles is an efficient strategy using different molecules such as precious metals,¹⁵ carbon,¹⁶ SiO_2 ,¹⁷ MCM-41,¹⁸ and polymers.¹⁹ At this point, biocompatible and biodegradable polymers are generally preferred because

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science & Arts, Inonu University, Malatya, 44280, Turkey. E-mail: burhan.ates@inonu.edu.tr; Fax: +90-422 341 0037; Tel: +90-422 377 3888

^bDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Science & Arts, Inonu University, Malatya, 44280, Turkey. E-mail: ahmet.ulu@inonu.edu.tr; Fax: +90-422 341 0037; Tel: +90-422 3774






Fig. 1 Immobilization of L-ASNase onto the magnetic Fe_3O_4 -chitosan core–shell particles.

they diminish unwanted toxicity of nanoparticles and offer active groups.¹⁴ Chitosan, a natural polymer derived from chitin, is found in the membrane of many organisms including lobsters, crabs, and shrimp. It is widely used as a biomaterial due to its features such as inexpensiveness, stability, biocompatibility, hydrophilicity, biodegradability, flexibility, versatility, and environment friendliness.^{20–22} Additionally, the amino groups in chitosan are very useful for the covalent attachment of enzyme onto the surface of chitosan.¹⁴ Therefore, chitosan can be considered as a promising support because of the aforementioned properties. Thus far, there are many reports about immobilization of enzyme on Fe_3O_4 -chitosan particles.^{23–27}

The main novelty of this study is that for the first time, we determined the effect of magnetic excitation caused by a weak magnetic field on the catalytic activity of the conjugated L-ASNase. Therefore, L-ASNase activity improved with magnetic-propelled mechanism in a weak magnetic field, and may be used with improved efficiency in treatment of leukemia. In addition, for the first time, L-ASNase was immobilized on Fe_3O_4 -chitosan particles *via* covalent attachment and the optimum parameters of the conjugated enzyme were investigated.

For this purpose, magnetic Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles were synthesized *via* co-precipitation technique. The Fe_3O_4 core was coated with chitosan to obtain core–shell structure magnetic nanoparticles. The thermal behavior, morphology and chemical composition of Fe_3O_4 -chitosan magnetic nanoparticles were characterized by FTIR, TGA, DTA, DSC, SEM and EDX analysis. In addition, the hydrodynamic particle size, surface charge,

structure and magnetic property of Fe_3O_4 -chitosan nanoparticles were characterized by DLS, Zetasizer Nano ZS, XRD and VSM, respectively. L-ASNase was covalently immobilized onto the Fe_3O_4 -chitosan magnetic particles using glutaraldehyde as an activating reagent (Fig. 1). The characterization of conjugated L-ASNase was performed through several technics including FTIR, SEM and XRD. In addition, the optimum pH and temperature, pH and thermal stability, kinetic parameters, reusability and storage capability of the conjugated enzyme were investigated and were compared with those of free enzyme. Moreover, we examined and discussed the activity of conjugated L-ASNase exposed to an external weak magnetic field using different frequency or flux density.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Iron(II) chloride tetrahydrate ($\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and iron(III) chloride hexahydrate ($\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). L-Asn, chitosan (medium molecular weight, deacetylation: 75–85%), acetic acid, glutaraldehyde (GDA, 25%, v/v), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and ammonium hydroxide (NH_4OH , 26 wt%) were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). L-ASNase from *Escherichia coli* was purchased from ProSpec-Tany TechnoGene Ltd. Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was obtained from Riedel de Haen (Deelze, Germany). Unless otherwise noted, all reagents and chemicals were of analytical or biological grade. Ultra-pure water (Milli Q, Millipore) was used to prepare all aqueous solutions used in this study.

2.2 Preparation of Fe_3O_4 and Fe_3O_4 -chitosan carriers

Magnetic Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles were synthesized by a co-precipitation method using ferric and ferrous salts.²⁴ $\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1.99 g) and $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (5.4 g) were dissolved in 50 mL of deionized water. The pH of this mixture was adjusted by adding ammonia solution (26 wt%). The reaction was allowed to continue for 2 h at 80 °C under the protection of nitrogen. The obtained Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles were separated by using an external magnet and washed with distilled water to remove excess ammonia. Finally, the precipitate was dried at 60 °C for 6 h. In order to prepare Fe_3O_4 -chitosan carrier, chitosan (0.3 g) was completely dissolved in 2% (v/v) acetic acid solution. Then, dry Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles (0.15 g) were added to chitosan solution. The solution was dispersed homogeneously by ultrasonication for 20 min. Subsequently, 50 mL of 1 M NaOH solution was added to the mixture and the Fe_3O_4 -chitosan particles were obtained. The obtained particles were washed with deionized water until neutral pH was obtained. Finally, the particles were dried again in the vacuum oven at 50 °C for 12 h and stored at 4 °C for immobilization.

2.3 Characterization of magnetic Fe_3O_4 -chitosan carriers

The structural characterization of magnetic Fe_3O_4 -chitosan particles was performed by FTIR spectroscopy (Perkin Elmer). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) studies were performed using a Shimadzu Thermal Analyzer at room temperature to 700 °C a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in air atmosphere. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) was performed on a Shimadzu DSC-60 at a heating rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ from 0 to 450 °C. Crystalline phases of the samples were verified using powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku RadB X-ray diffractometer). Morphology of the as-synthesized nanomaterial was determined using by scanning electron microscope (SEM, LEO Evo-40 VPX). Magnetization of the as-prepared nanoparticles was measured on a VSM (PPMS) at room temperature. EDX analysis was performed using a Rontek Xflash detector analyzer equipped with Leo-Evo 40xVP. The average hydrodynamic particle size was measured using dynamic light scattering (DLS). Zeta potential




Fig. 2 Schematic of magnetic field experiments(VSM).

measurements were performed using Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS. L-ASNase activity was observed by an ELISA plate reader (BioTek) at 480 nm.

2.4 Immobilization of L-ASNase onto Fe_3O_4 -chitosan carriers

L-ASNase was covalently immobilized onto Fe_3O_4 -chitosan carriers by the following procedure. First, 1.0 g support was reacted with 30 mL of 2.5% (v/v) GDA solution with stirring at 25 °C for 2 h to activate the support by offering aldehyde groups.²⁴ Then, the modified support was rinsed with deionized water three times to remove excess unreacted GDA. L-ASNase was dissolved in Tris-HCl buffer and mixed with an appropriate amount of Fe_3O_4 -chitosan particles. The mixture was shaken in Eppendorf tube for immobilization by chemical bonding at 4 °C for 24 h. Subsequently, the particles were collected by an external magnet and then washed with 2 times Tris-HCl buffer to remove unconjugated L-ASNase. The solid particles were dried at room temperature and stored in the refrigerator at 4 °C until further use. In addition, the supernatant and washing solutions were stored at 4 °C to calculate immobilization




Fig. 3 FTIR spectra of (a) Fe_3O_4 , (b) chitosan, (c) Fe_3O_4 -chitosan particles, (d) Fe_3O_4 -chitosan-GDA, and (e) Fe_3O_4 -chitosan-L-ASNase.

efficiency (IE). The protein concentration of the reaction mixture was measured by the Bradford method using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard.²⁸ The following formula was used to determine immobilization efficiency:

$$IE = \left[\frac{(A_0 - B_1 - B_2)}{A_0} \right] \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where A_0 is the total protein content of the free enzyme preparation and B_1 and B_2 are the protein content of supernatant and wash solution after immobilization, respectively.

2.5 Assay of L-ASNase activity

The assay of free and conjugated enzyme activity was determined by the Nesslerization method, as previously reported.²⁹ The method is based on colorimetric measurement of the amount of ammonia released during the hydrolysis of L-Asn. The reaction mixture contains 1.0 mL of 0.01 M L-Asn (prepared in 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer at pH 8.6) and free (20 μ L) or conjugated enzyme (5 mg). The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 15 minutes. The enzymatic reaction was terminated by adding 0.1 mL of 1.5 M TCA. The conjugated enzyme was removed by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant (100 μ L) was added into the well containing Nessler's reagent (100 μ L). The well-plate was incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The observed yellow color was read using an ELISA microplate reader (Bitek, EON) at 480 nm. One unit (U) of L-ASNase is defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzed the formation of 1 μ mol of ammonia from L-Asn per minute under the standard assay conditions.³⁰ All the experiments were repeated three or more times. The highest L-ASNase activity was considered 100% while calculating the relative activities.

2.6 Characterization of the conjugated L-ASNase

2.6.1 Effect of pH and temperature. The effect of pH on the activities of free and conjugated L-ASNase was investigated by performing the enzyme assay in the pH range of 4–10 at 37 °C. The buffers used were citrate (0.05 M, pH 4–6), phosphate (0.05 M, pH 7–8), and Tris-HCl (0.05 M, pH, 8.5–10).

The influence of the temperature on the activities of free and conjugated L-ASNase was studied from 25 to 70 °C with interval of 5 °C at pH 8.0 and 8.5.

2.6.2 pH and thermal stability. In order to evaluate the pH stability of the free and conjugated L-ASNase, the relevant enzymes were incubated at acidic (pH: 5.0) and alkaline (pH: 9.0) pH values, and the activity measurements were performed for 180 min. After every 30 min, the residual activity of free and conjugated L-ASNase was measured. The relative activity was calculated from the ratio of residual activity to the initial activity of each sample.

For thermal stability experiments, free and conjugated enzymes were incubated in a water bath at 55 °C for different durations ranging from 30 to 180 min. After incubation, the residual activities were determined using the abovementioned assay.

2.6.3 Kinetic experiments. The kinetic parameters (K_m and V_{max}) of the free and conjugated L-ASNase were calculated from the Lineweaver-Burk plot at the substrate concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 50 mM.

2.6.4 Reusability and storage stability. To investigate the reusability, the conjugated L-ASNase was reused 16 times at optimal assay conditions. After each activity assay, the support was removed from the reaction system by using an external magnet and washed thoroughly with deionized water. Then, fresh substrate solution was added to the conjugated enzyme and the residual activity was calculated according to the standard L-ASNase assay.




Fig. 4 TGA curves of (a) Fe_3O_4 , (b) chitosan, and (c) Fe_3O_4 -chitosan particles.






Fig. 5 DTA curves of (a) Fe_3O_4 , (b) chitosan, and (c) Fe_3O_4 -chitosan particles.

Storage stability was measured by determining the activity of free and conjugated L-ASNase every two days for a period of 30 days at 4 °C and 25 °C. The activity at the first day was taken to be 100%.

2.6.5 Magnetic-propelled experiments. To determine the effect of magnetic field on enzyme activity, the system shown in Fig. 2 was designed and used. The as-synthesized magnetic Fe_3O_4 -chitosan-L-ASNase particles (5 mg) were transferred to test tubes. The test tubes were placed at the center of the

magnetic field at 1, 3, 5, 10 and 30 Hz, respectively. The flux density of the magnetic field was set at 10 mT. Then, 1000 μL of substrate solution was immediately added and the enzymatic reaction was started at 25 °C for 10 minutes. The reaction was terminated by adding 100 μL of 1.5 M TCA, and activity measurements were assayed according to the description in the section “Assay of L-ASNase”. Control assays were performed keeping the free enzyme at same conditions in absence of magnetic field.




Fig. 6 DSC curves of (a) Fe_3O_4 , (b) chitosan, and (c) Fe_3O_4 -chitosan particles.






Fig. 7 XRD patterns of the Fe_3O_4 , Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles, and Fe_3O_4 –chitosan–L-ASNase.

In addition, the influence of magnetic flux density on enzyme activity was examined at different flux densities (10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 100 mT) with constant frequency (3 Hz). For this experiment, we repeated the above enzyme assay.

3. Result and discussion

3.1 Characterization of magnetic Fe_3O_4 –chitosan carriers

In order to characterize the functional groups on the surface of the samples, FTIR analyses were performed, as shown in Fig. 3. The characteristic peak of pristine Fe_3O_4 , ascribed to the stretching vibration of Fe–O bonds, appeared at 560 cm^{-1} (Fig. 3a).³¹ The other peaks at around 3400 cm^{-1} and 1630 cm^{-1} correspond to the adsorbed water in the sample.³² For chitosan (Fig. 3b), the FTIR spectra shows bands arising from stretching of –NH and –OH groups (3400 cm^{-1}), C–H (2871 and 2929 cm^{-1}) stretching vibrations, and from amide II bending (1569 cm^{-1}).³³ In addition, the bands at 1060, 1368 and 1400 cm^{-1} were assigned to the stretching vibrations of –C–O–C, –C–O and –COO groups, respectively.^{34–36} As expected, the FTIR spectrum of Fe_3O_4 –chitosan showed characteristic bands of both Fe_3O_4 and chitosan. However, in the spectrum of Fe_3O_4 –chitosan

particles (Fig. 3c), the peak of Fe–O vibration at 560 cm^{-1} shifted to 440 cm^{-1} due to the interaction between Fe_3O_4 and chitosan compared with that observed in the spectrum of pristine Fe_3O_4 .^{37,38} These results indicated that the Fe_3O_4 magnetic nanoparticles were coated by the chitosan. For Fe_3O_4 –chitosan–GDA, the new absorption peaks at 1645 cm^{-1} (C=N, imine bond) and 1587 cm^{-1} (C=C bond) confirmed that cross-linking occurred between chitosan and GDA (Fig. 3d). Similar absorption peaks were observed in some reports of chitosan cross-linked with GDA.^{39,40} The FTIR spectrum of Fe_3O_4 –chitosan–L-ASNase is presented in Fig. 3e. In this spectrum, intense OH stretching band in the range of 3400 – 3600 cm^{-1} is attributed to the presence of enzyme.⁴¹ In addition, the increase in the intensity of two peaks at 1654 cm^{-1} (amide I bending) and 1553 cm^{-1} (amide II bending) is further evidence of the successful immobilization of L-ASNase.⁴²

TGA analysis of pure Fe_3O_4 , chitosan and magnetic Fe_3O_4 –chitosan carriers were performed between $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and $700\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for quantitative analysis. These thermograms are given in Fig. 4. In the thermogram of pure Fe_3O_4 , weight loss is not observed up to $700\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. Three weight losses are observed in the TGA thermogram of pure chitosan. The first thermal degradation of chitosan structure resulted from the loss of moisture from 60 to $110\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The second thermal decomposition was observed between 220 and $310\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ due to the decomposition of polymer with low molecular weight. The third thermal decomposition presented 40% weight loss between $310\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and $590\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. This weight loss stems from the decomposition of cyclic moiety (saccharide rings). The magnetic Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles show a similar thermal decomposition profile to that of chitosan *i.e.*, there are three different weight losses in the TGA thermogram of the magnetic Fe_3O_4 –chitosan carriers. These weight losses are due to the loss of physiosorbed water between 50 – $110\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, the degradation of the chitosan polymeric structure between $200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and $320\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and the saccharide ring breaking peak $320\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and $550\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. However, the weight loss of Fe_3O_4 –chitosan is very different from that of chitosan. Fe_3O_4 and chitosan were connected together through the chelation between Fe^{3+} and chitosan in the course of the reaction. The conformational changes of chitosan and the additional bridging between Fe_3O_4 and chitosan enhanced the thermal stability of chitosan in Fe_3O_4 –chitosan. Therefore, the final decomposition temperature of Fe_3O_4 –chitosan was higher than that for pure chitosan. Similar




Fig. 8 (a) Hydrodynamic particle size distribution and (b) zeta potential values of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles and Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles.






Fig. 9 SEM analysis of magnetic (a and c) Fe_3O_4 , (b and d) Fe_3O_4 -chitosan particles and (e and f) Fe_3O_4 -chitosan-L-ASNase at different magnifications.

TGA thermograms have also been reported previously.^{43,44} The TGA thermogram results of Fe_3O_4 -chitosan structure indicate that Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles are successfully functionalized with chitosan groups. In addition, these thermal analysis results were confirmed by DTA and DSC techniques.

DTA thermograms of Fe_3O_4 , chitosan and magnetic Fe_3O_4 -chitosan carriers are shown in Fig. 5; the thermogram of the magnetic Fe_3O_4 -chitosan carriers shows three exothermic peaks. The first exothermic peak at about $100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ is due to loss of

water and second peak at about $320\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ is related to the decomposition of polymeric structure of chitosan structure. The last exothermic peak at about $400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ is due to the degradation of saccharide ring groups. Moreover, DSC thermograms of the Fe_3O_4 , chitosan and magnetic Fe_3O_4 -chitosan carriers are shown in Fig. 6. No significant peaks were detected in the DSC thermogram of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles. However, the T_g was observed at $30\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in the DSC thermogram of chitosan.⁴⁵ In addition, this DSC thermogram shows that the decomposition




Fig. 10 EDX result of (a) Fe_3O_4 , and (b) Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles.

of chitosan started at 220 °C.^{46,47} In the DSC thermogram of Fe_3O_4 –chitosan, the exothermic peaks between 220 °C and 380 °C corresponds to the oxidative decomposition of organic group onto the Fe_3O_4 nanoparticle surface.

To verify the presence of crystalline Fe_3O_4 and Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles, the structure of the magnetic nanoparticles was characterized by XRD and the diffractogram is shown in Fig. 7. In both samples, six characteristic peaks were observed ((220),

(311), (400), (422), (511), and (440)).⁴⁸ These peaks are consistent with the database in JCPDS file (PDF No. 65-3107) and reveal that the resultant nanoparticles are pure Fe_3O_4 with a spinel structure.²⁵ The weaker diffraction lines of magnetic Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles confirm that the Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles were coated by amorphous chitosan polymer.⁴⁹ In addition, chitosan did not result in phase change of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles. The conjugation of L-ASNase leads to a decrease in the intensity of

all the characteristic peaks, which may be due to the interaction of the Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles with enzyme. XRD measurements further confirmed the abovementioned FTIR results.

The average hydrodynamic particle sizes of Fe_3O_4 and Fe_3O_4 –chitosan are 100 nm and >200 nm, respectively (Fig. 8a). The increase in the hydrodynamic size of functionalized Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles is due to the interaction between amino groups of chitosan with hydroxyl groups onto the surface of the Fe_3O_4 . It has also been reported in previous studies that the particle size increases after nanoparticles are coated with chitosan.^{35,36,50}

It is well known that zeta potential measurements might provide some additional insights into the magnitude of the repulsion or attraction between particles.⁵¹ Therefore, the successful coating of chitosan was confirmed by the zeta potential analysis of the resulting composite. The zeta potential results are shown in Fig. 8b. The zeta potential of unmodified magnetic Fe_3O_4 is 5.74 ± 0.22 mV. However, as shown in Fig. 8b, after coating of chitosan molecule, the zeta potential value of the Fe_3O_4 –chitosan composite increased to 26.8 ± 0.87 mV. Chitosan can adsorb onto Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles easily by electrostatic attraction, and the amino groups generate positive charge on the Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles surface, which is similar to that reported previously.^{52,53}

The surface morphology of Fe_3O_4 , Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles and Fe_3O_4 –chitosan–L-ASNase were confirmed by SEM (Fig. 9). The Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles were rough, nearly spherical in shape and aggregated (Fig. 9a–c). After the coating with chitosan, the surface morphology of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles evidently changed. In Fig. 9(b–d), the SEM images confirm the coating process of Fe_3O_4 with increasing of the particles size. The results of this experiment were similar to those in previously published papers.⁵⁴ Fig. 9(e and f) represents the image of Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles after bioconjugation. The SEM images revealed that there are differences in the surface micromorphology of Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles. As expected, the size of particles increased after the immobilization procedure.

The surface composition of unmodified Fe_3O_4 , and Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles was investigated by EDX, as shown in Fig. 10. The EDX map of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles is mainly composed of only iron (Fe) and oxygen (O) elements (Fig. 10a). In addition, no peaks related to other elements were detected, which revealed the high purity of the sample. However, the new signals corresponding to carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) appeared for Fe_3O_4 –chitosan (Fig. 10b). Therefore, it can be assumed that chitosan is coated onto the surface of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles.

The magnetic properties of the Fe_3O_4 and Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles were investigated by VSM analysis at room temperature. As shown in Fig. 11, there is no hysteresis in the magnetization for the two nanoparticles. The saturation magnetization of the bare Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles was about 67 emu g^{-1} , while that for Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles was about 37 emu g^{-1} in this experiment. The existence of chitosan on the surface of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles gave rise to the decrease in the uniformity due to quenching of surface moments, resulting in the reduction of magnetic moment in the nanoparticles.⁵⁵ A similar decrease in saturation magnetization values were reported by other researchers. In addition, neither coercivity nor




Fig. 11 VSM measurements of the obtained Fe_3O_4 and Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles. The photographic image of Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles (a) without magnet and (b) with magnet.

remanence was observed, implying that the nanoparticles are almost superparamagnetic. The inset of Fig. 11 shows the photographs of an aqueous solution of Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles in the absence of magnetic field and in the presence of externally applied magnetic field.

3.2 Optimization of conditions on the conjugated L-ASNase

The efficiency of L-ASNase immobilized on Fe_3O_4 –chitosan was calculated as 73.2%. This high immobilization efficiency revealed that Fe_3O_4 –chitosan particles can be a prominent carrier matrix for immobilization of L-ASNase. Moreover, the specific activity of free L-ASNase was 289 ± 8.4 U mg^{-1} protein, while the specific activity of conjugated L-ASNase was 179 ± 4.6 U mg^{-1} protein. This decrease in the specific activity after immobilization may be due to diffusion limitation. Additionally, immobilization of the enzyme by covalent binding would lead to a decrease in the flexibility of the enzyme molecule, which is commonly reflected by a decrease in catalytic activity.⁵⁶

3.2.1 Effect of pH and temperature. The effect of pH on L-ASNase immobilization was studied between pH values of 4.0 and 10.0. As depicted in Fig. 12a, the maximum enzyme activity of free L-ASNase is observed at pH 8.0. However, the conjugated L-ASNase displayed maximum enzyme activity at pH 8.5. Moreover, conjugated enzyme exhibited better pH tolerance than the free enzyme in acidic or alkaline medium. For instance, the residual activities at pH 4.0 and 10.0 were 43.3% and 76.14% for conjugated enzyme, respectively, which were higher than that of free L-ASNase (12.3% and 40.6%, respectively) at the same pH values. In short, the conjugated L-ASNase demonstrated good adaptability to acidic or alkaline environment owing to the Fe_3O_4 –chitosan carrier surrounding it.

The effect of temperature on the enzyme activity is shown in Fig. 12b. While the optimum temperature for free enzyme was 45 °C, the conjugated enzyme showed maximum activity at 60 °C. The activity of the free enzyme decreased more sharply than that of the conjugated enzyme at higher temperature. Although the free enzyme was readily inactivated at 60 °C, the conjugated L-ASNase maintains more than 60% of the initial



activity even at 70 °C. This occurs probably because the immobilization of L-ASNase on the support limited the conformational mobility of the enzyme molecules at high temperature, preventing it from inactivation.⁵⁷ Similar results of improved pH and temperature adaptability could be observed in other immobilization studies of L-ASNase.^{58,59}




Fig. 12 Relative activity of free and conjugated L-ASNase: (a) at different pH values and (b) at different temperature.

3.2.2 pH and thermal stability. The pH stability of free and conjugated L-ASNase was investigated at acidic (5.0) and alkaline (9.0) pH values for 180 min with 30 min intervals. As shown in Fig. 13a, the conjugated L-ASNase could retain a high degree of activity (more than 62%) after 180 min incubation at pH 5.0. Furthermore, it still displayed promising enzymatic activity of about 83% after 180 min incubation at pH 9.0. However, free L-ASNase exhibited 53.2% and 73.8% its initial activity after 180 min incubation at pH 5.0 and pH 9.0, respectively. These results revealed that L-ASNase exhibits high activity at alkali medium. The conjugated L-ASNase possessed remarkably high stability towards alkali pH, which was beneficial for improving the reaction efficiency.

Thermal stability of the conjugated enzyme is one of the most important criteria with respect to applications. Fig. 13b plots residual activity for the free and conjugated L-ASNase during 180 min test at 55 °C. The relative activity of conjugated L-ASNase remained higher than that of free L-ASNase at each time interval. The conjugated L-ASNase still retained 84% of its initial activity after 120 min incubation, whereas free L-ASNase retained 64% activity at the same conditions. When the incubation time is 180 min, conjugated enzyme holds approximately 54% of initial activity, while free enzyme lost almost all of its activity. Thus, the thermal stability of L-ASNase significantly improved after conjugation. Considering the results described above, the enzymatic activity of the conjugated L-ASNase was improved significantly, and it was more stable than the free L-ASNase, particularly under harsh conditions, as described above.

3.2.3 Kinetic studies. In the present study, the kinetic parameters were measured by using Lineweaver–Burk equation for free and conjugated L-ASNase and reported in Table 1. The K_m value gives an idea about the affinity of an enzyme to its substrate; a decrease in K_m indicates the increase in affinity and

Table 1 Kinetic parameters of the free and conjugated L-ASNase

	K_m (mM)	V_{max} (U mg protein ⁻¹)
Free L-ASNase	0.65 ± 0.078	578.3 ± 38.0
Conjugated L-ASNase	0.14 ± 0.007	284.7 ± 12.5




Fig. 13 (a) pH and (b) thermal stability of the free and conjugated enzyme at different time intervals (30–180 min).






Fig. 14 (a) Profile of reusability test for conjugated enzyme and (b) storage stability of free and conjugated L-ASNase on Fe_3O_4 -chitosan at 4 °C and r.t. in dried state.

consequently the enzyme activity.⁶⁰ The calculated K_m values of free and conjugated L-ASNase were 0.65 and 0.14 for L-Asn, respectively. The observed decrease in K_m after immobilization implies that the affinity of the conjugate enzyme to the substrate increased 4.64-fold compared with that of the free enzyme. The values of V_{max} for free and conjugated L-ASNase were found to be 578.3 and 284.7 U mg^{-1} protein, respectively. The cause of the decline in V_{max} may be the restricted diffusion of the substrate and uniform orientation of the enzyme.^{58,61}

3.2.4 Reusability and storage stability. To the best of our knowledge, while the free enzymes cannot be easily removed from the reaction medium without being denatured, immobilized enzymes can be easily separated and used as repeatedly.

Therefore, the reusability of the immobilized enzyme is a very important advantage in bio-based applications and plays a key role in its economic significance.⁵⁷ In this study, the conjugated enzyme is highly reusable since the magnetic nanoparticles are easily removed from the reaction medium *via* an external magnet. The reusability of the conjugated L-ASNase was evaluated through repetitive experiments. As shown in Fig. 14a, the conjugated enzyme retained 100% of its original enzymatic activity after first 5 cycles and it maintained 60.5% of its initial activity even after 16 consecutive cycles of reuse. This high reusability of conjugated enzyme indicated that the immobilization method based on functionalized magnetic nanoparticles is successful and will guide future applications.

Similar to reusability, long-time storage of L-ASNase is also a significant parameter to reduce the cost of the enzyme in the industrial applications since free enzyme denatures after a certain period of time. To test the long-term storage stability of enzymes, both the free and conjugated L-ASNase were preserved at 4 °C and room temperature for 4 weeks. The residual activities were measured as described in the assay section. As exhibited in Fig. 14b, the storage stability of conjugated L-ASNase was much higher than that of free L-ASNase at 4 °C and r.t. It could be observed that free L-ASNase retained 39.2% of the initial activity after 4 weeks, while the conjugated L-ASNase still maintained more than half (53.3%) of the initial activity after the same period. Moreover, it was found that the conjugated L-ASNase retained 48.4% of its initial activity at r.t. However, the free enzyme only retained 16% of the initial activity after 4 weeks at the same storage condition. These outcomes illustrated that the storage stability of L-ASNase fairly improved after immobilization with Fe_3O_4 -chitosan magnetic particles due to increased stabilization of its active conformation by formation of a covalent bond between Fe_3O_4 -chitosan and L-ASNase molecule.

3.2.5 The effect of magnetic-propelled on conjugated L-ASNase. The effect of frequency on conjugated enzyme's activity is shown in Fig. 15a. Results showed that the activity of conjugated L-ASNase increased with the increase in the frequency of the applied magnetic field. At 3 Hz, the activity of conjugated L-ASNase reached maximum (175%) when compared with that obtained in control experiments without magnetic field. Moreover, application of the magnetic field at high magnetic flux density (30 mT) resulted in an increase of more than 300% in the enzymatic activity of L-ASNase when compared with that if




Fig. 15 The effect of frequency at 10 mT (a) and (b) magnetic flux density at 3 Hz on the enzymes activity conjugated on magnetic particles.

the control sample that was not exposed to the magnetic field (Fig. 15b). The activity of the free L-ASNase was also determined under the same conditions, but the results are not shown because there were no any changes observed.

It has been reported that a relative increase in the interaction between enzymes and substrate molecules is associated with an increase in activity. In our study, we think that there are two important reasons for the increase in enzyme activity at certain frequency and flux density in the weak magnetic field. First is the effective prevention of the aggregation of L-ASNase-immobilized particles during the reaction with weak magnetic field. Second, magnetic-propelled enzymes more likely show enzyme–substrate interaction. We also believe that the enzymes are more stable in the covalent modification on the magnetic particle during these processes and this promotes the increase in activity of the immobilized L-ASNase.

4. Conclusions

L-ASNase is a unique enzyme for the enzymatic hydrolysis of L-Asn in medicine and food industry. Nevertheless, its instability, toxicity and poor reusability significantly limit the long-term applications. In order to overcome these disadvantages, for the first time, in this study, chitosan-modified magnetic particles were synthesized and employed for covalent immobilization of L-ASNase to improve its stability and reusability performance. Additionally, we developed a magnetic field platform and successfully studied the effect of magnetic field on conjugated L-ASNase activity. To demonstrate the superiority of the conjugated L-ASNase, we also compared the immobilization parameters when free enzyme was used as a control. The conjugated L-ASNase prepared in this study displayed excellent long-term storage and incubation stability, thermal stability, and reusability as compared with those of the free enzyme. More importantly, the magnetic field experiment results indicated that there was a considerable effect of magnetic exposure on the conjugated L-ASNase. Taking the abovementioned results into consideration, magnetic Fe_3O_4 -chitosan particles formed with the combination of the inorganic material and natural polymer material could be used as an ideal carrier matrix for L-ASNase immobilization. Moreover, the application of a static magnetic field can be a promising strategy to increase the enzyme activity, particularly the activity of industrially important enzymes and their broad applications.

Conflicts of interest

The authors report no declarations of interest.

Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to the Inonu University (FDK-2017-751).

Notes and references

1 A. Ulu and B. Ates, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2017, **28**, 1598–1610.

- 2 U. K. Narta, S. S. Kanwar and W. Azmi, *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.*, 2007, **61**, 208–221.
- 3 R. Pieters, S. P. Hunger, J. Boos, C. Rizzari, L. Silverman, A. Baruchel, N. Goekbuget, M. Schrappe and C. H. Pui, *Cancer*, 2011, **117**, 238–249.
- 4 T. Mizuki, N. Watanabe, Y. Nagaoka, T. Fukushima, H. Morimoto, R. Usami and T. Maekawa, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2010, **393**, 779–782.
- 5 L. T. Prando, P. R. De Lima, K. Rezzadori, J. V. De Oliveira and M. Di Luccio, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **56**, 9065–9071.
- 6 A. Ali, H. Zafar, M. Zia, I. ul Haq, A. R. Phull, J. S. Ali and A. Hussain, *Nanotechnol. Sci. Appl.*, 2016, **9**, 49–67.
- 7 S. Sabale, P. Kandesar, V. Jadhav, R. Komorek, R. K. Motkuri and X. Y. Yu, *Biomater. Sci.*, 2017, **5**, 2212–2225.
- 8 C. Yang, W. Guo, L. Cui, N. An, T. Zhang, H. Lin and F. Qu, *Langmuir*, 2014, **30**, 9819–9827.
- 9 Y. Wang, J. Dostalek and W. Knoll, *Anal. Chem.*, 2011, **83**, 6202–6207.
- 10 M. An, J. Cui and L. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 3062–3068.
- 11 Y. K. Peng, C. N. P. Lui, Y. W. Chen, S. W. Chou, E. Raine, P. T. Chou, K. K. L. Yung and S. C. E. Tsang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 4411–4417.
- 12 X. M. Ling, X. Y. Wang, P. Ma, Y. Yang, J. M. Qin, X. J. Zhang and Y. W. Zhang, *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2016, **26**, 829–836.
- 13 Y. Liu, S. Jia, Q. Wu, J. Ran, W. Zhang and S. Wu, *Catal. Commun.*, 2011, **12**, 717–720.
- 14 X. Y. Wang, X. P. Jiang, Y. Li, S. Zeng and Y. W. Zhang, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2015, **75**, 44–50.
- 15 C. H. Jun, Y. J. Park, Y. R. Yeon, J. Choi, W. Lee, S. Ko and J. Cheon, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, **0**, 1619–1621.
- 16 Z. Zhang, H. Duan, S. Li and Y. Lin, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 6676–6680.
- 17 S. A. Adams, J. L. Hauser, A. C. Allen, K. P. Lindquist, A. P. Ramirez, S. Oliver and J. Z. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2018, **1**, 1406–1412.
- 18 A. Ulu, I. Ozcan, S. Koytepe and B. Ates, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2018, **115**, 1122–1130.
- 19 K. Manna and S. K. Srivastava, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2017, **5**, 10710–10721.
- 20 Y. Lin, X. Liu, Z. Xing, Y. Geng, J. Wilson, D. Wu and H. Kong, *Cellulose*, 2017, **24**, 5541–5550.
- 21 A. Mohseni-Bandpi, B. Kakavandi, R. R. Kalantary, A. Azari and A. Keramati, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 73279–73289.
- 22 A. Ulu, S. A. A. Noma, C. Gurses and S. Koytepe, *Starch - Stärke*, 2018, DOI: 10.1002/star.201700303.
- 23 J. Guo, Z. Zheng, C. Chen, X. Lu, Y. Zhang and B. Zheng, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2017, **65**, 7934–7943.
- 24 L. Zang, J. Qiu, X. Wu, W. Zhang, E. Sakai and Y. Wei, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **53**, 3448–3454.
- 25 X. Liu, X. Chen, Y. Li, X. Wang, X. Peng and W. Zhu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 5169–5178.
- 26 J. Long, Z. Wu, X. Li, E. Xu, X. Xu, Z. Jin and A. Jiao, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2015, **63**, 3534–3542.
- 27 H. Suo, L. Xu, C. Xu, H. Chen, D. Yu, Z. Gao, H. Huang and Y. Hu, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2018, **119**, 624–632.
- 28 M. M. Bradford, *Anal. Biochem.*, 1976, **72**, 248–254.



29 L. T. Mashburn and J. C. Wriston, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 1964, **105**, 450–452.

30 N. E. A. El-Naggar, H. Moawad, N. M. El-Shweihy and S. M. El-Ewasy, *BioMed Res. Int.*, 2015, **2015**, 627031.

31 Y. Haldorai, A. Rengaraj, T. Ryu, J. Shin, Y. S. Huh and Y. K. Han, *J. Mater. Sci. Eng. B*, 2015, **195**, 20–29.

32 J. Qu, G. Liu, Y. Wang and R. Hong, *Adv. Powder Technol.*, 2010, **21**, 461–467.

33 H. Qin, C. M. Wang, Q. Q. Dong, L. Zhang, X. Zhang, Z. Y. Ma and Q. R. Han, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2015, **381**, 120–126.

34 C. Yuwei and W. Jianlong, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2011, **168**, 286–292.

35 L. Y. Zhang, X. J. Zhu, H. W. Sun, G. R. Chi, J. X. Xu and Y. L. Sun, *Curr. Appl. Phys.*, 2010, **10**, 828–833.

36 G. Y. Li, Y. R. Jiang, K. L. Huang, P. Ding and J. Chen, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2008, **466**, 451–456.

37 N. F. M. Rodrigues, S. Y. Neto, R. de C. S. Luz, F. S. Damos and H. Yamanaka, *Biosensors*, 2018, **8**(1), 16.

38 C. Pan, B. Hu, W. Li, Y. Sun, H. Ye and X. Zeng, *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.*, 2009, **61**, 208–215.

39 P. G. Ingole, N. R. Thakare, K. Kim, H. C. Bajaj, K. Singh and H. Lee, *New J. Chem.*, 2013, **37**, 4018–4024.

40 Ö. Aybastier, S. Şahin, E. Işık and C. Demir, *Anal. Methods*, 2011, **3**, 2289–2297.

41 S. Ghosh, S. R. Chaganti and R. S. Prakasham, *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.*, 2012, **74**, 132–137.

42 E. Bahreini, K. Aghaiypour, R. Abbasalipourkabir and A. R. Mokarram, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2014, **9**, 340.

43 C. Cao, L. Xiao, C. Chen, X. Shi, Q. Cao and L. Gao, *Powder Technol.*, 2014, **260**, 90–97.

44 W. Li, L. Xiao and C. Qin, *J. Macromol. Sci., Part A: Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2011, **48**, 57–64.

45 J. A. Ratto, T. Hatakeyama and R. B. Blumstein, *Polymer*, 1995, **36**, 2915–2919.

46 D. de Britto and S. P. Campana-Filho, *Thermochim. Acta*, 2007, **465**, 73–82.

47 M. Mucha and A. Pawlak, *Polimery*, 2002, **47**, 509–516.

48 W. Jiang, W. Wang, B. Pan, Q. Zhang, W. Zhang and L. Lv, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 3421–3426.

49 J. Safari and L. Javadian, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, 2015, **22**, 341–348.

50 S. F. Shi, J. F. Jia, X. K. Guo, Y. P. Zhao, D. S. Chen, Y. Y. Guo, T. Cheng and X. L. Zhang, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2012, **7**, 5593–5602.

51 Y. H. Chen, H. E. Tu and J. Leu, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2012, **162**, 181–188.

52 G. Cheng and S. Y. Zheng, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 6947.

53 A. Zhu, L. Yuan and T. Liao, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2008, **350**, 361–368.

54 Y. Liu, S. Jia, Q. Wu, J. Ran, W. Zhang and S. Wu, *Catal. Commun.*, 2011, **12**, 717–720.

55 S. Nasirimoghaddam, S. Zeinali and S. Sabbaghi, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 2015, **27**, 79–87.

56 M. A. Abdel-Naby, A. A. Sherif, A. B. El-Tanash and A. T. Mankarios, *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 1999, **87**, 108–114.

57 J. Song, T. Lei, Y. Yang, N. Wu, P. Su and Y. Yang, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 8458–8468.

58 A. Ulu, S. Koytepe and B. Ates, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2016, **133**, 19.

59 A. Ulu, S. A. A. Noma, S. Koytepe and B. Ates, *Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol.*, 2018, **0**, 1–11.

60 S. A. Ahmed, F. A. Mostafa and M. A. Ouis, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2018, **112**, 371–382.

61 A. Ulu, S. Koytepe and B. Ates, *Polym. Bull.*, 2016, **73**, 1891–1907.

