



Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*,
2021, **23**, 25200

Coherent internal conversion from high lying electronic states to S_1 in boron-dipyrromethene derivatives†

Changmin Lee,  ‡§ Kiho Seo,  ‡ Munnyon Kim and Taiha Joo  *

Internal conversion is the first step after photoexcitation to high lying electronic states, and plays a central role in many photoinduced processes. In this report, we demonstrate a truly ultrafast internal conversion (IC) in large molecules by time-resolved fluorescence (TF). Following photoexcitation to the S_n ($n \geq 2$) state, TF of the S_1 state was recorded for two boron-dipyrromethene (BODIPY) derivatives in solution. IC to S_1 takes place nearly instantaneously within 20 fs for both molecules. Abundant nuclear wave packet motions in the S_1 state are manifest in the TF signals, which demonstrates that the IC in these BODIPY molecules is coherent with respect to most of the vibrational modes. Theoretical calculations assuming impulsive IC to S_1 account for the wave packet dynamics accurately.

Received 30th July 2021,
Accepted 15th October 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1cp03513d

rscl.li/pccp

1. Introduction

Upon photoexcitation of a molecule from the ground state to higher electronic states S_n ($n \geq 2$), ultrafast internal conversion (IC) to S_1 occurs in much less than 1 ps to result in emission from the S_1 state exclusively, which is known as Kasha's rule.¹ Features of the IC process such as the IC rate, reaction coordinate, association with a conical interaction,^{2,3} and ergodicity^{4,5} are of prime importance towards elucidating and manipulating photophysical properties of molecules.

For an impulsive photoexcitation to S_n , that is, the pulse duration is shorter than the periods of vibrations, coherent nuclear wave packets (NWP) can be generated in S_1 as well as S_n . The NWP in S_1 following an ultrafast IC have been observed by time-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy,^{3,4} pump-probe transient absorption (TA),^{6–8} and time-resolved fluorescence (TF).⁹ Direct observation of such NWP motions in excited states may provide valuable information on the reaction coordinate of the IC and vibronic coupling between excited electronic states.⁷ For a direct photoexcitation to S_1 , the amplitude of a NWP in S_1 depends on the displacement (d) between the potential energy

surfaces (PESs) of the ground (G) and S_1 states along the vibrational mode. For a photoexcitation to S_n , however, the amplitude of a NWP in S_1 is determined by the PESs of G, S_1 , and S_n . The IC rate also affects the amplitudes of NWP. In the limit of IC occurring much faster than a vibrational period, amplitude of the NWP should be the same as that of direct photoexcitation to S_1 because the IC itself acts as an impulsive excitation to S_1 with respect to the nuclear motion.¹⁰

Accurate measurements of the IC rate and the NWP in S_1 following photoexcitation to S_n provide valuable information concerning vibronic coupling and the role of IC in a photochemical reaction. In particular, relative rates of the IC and intramolecular vibrational energy redistribution (IVR) processes may have a profound effect on chemical reactions and their mechanisms.⁵ Although dynamics of the NWP can be observed directly by various time-resolved techniques, TF with a time-resolution higher than the vibrational periods of interest is the most direct and unambiguous way, because TF probes excited-state dynamics of an emitting state exclusively, whereas TA and other methods based on resonant nonlinear spectroscopies may be complicated due to multiple contributions from ground-state bleach, stimulated emission, excited-state absorption, and product absorption. Moreover, the amplitude of NWP oscillation present in a TF signal can be calculated because it is proportional to the Huang–Rhys factor (D) between G and S_1 states (for $D \ll 1$), whose structures and vibrational modes can be calculated generally accurately by quantum chemistry. However, in a TA experiment, where excited state absorption is usually employed for the measurement of the NWP in S_1 , their amplitudes are determined by D between S_1 and higher-lying electronic states that are usually unknown and difficult to calculate.

Department of Chemistry, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, 37673, South Korea. E-mail: thjoo@postech.ac.kr

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: IRF of TF experiment by excitation at 550 nm, area normalized time-resolved fluorescence spectra, first moments of TF spectra vs. time, area of TF spectra vs. time, Raman spectrum of PM597 in benzene, and calculated Huang–Rhys factors and geometries. See DOI: 10.1039/d1cp03513d

‡ These authors contributed equally to the work.

§ Present address: Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA.

In this work, we have investigated the IC and NWP in the S_1 state of boron-dipyrromethene (BODIPY) derivatives following photoexcitation to the S_2 (or S_3) state. Because of their favorable spectroscopic characteristics such as large extinction coefficient, high quantum yield, narrow linewidth, and tunability by structural modification, BODIPY compounds have wide applications in biological imaging,¹¹ laser dyes, luminescent switches and sensors,¹² light harvesting antenna systems¹³ and photovoltaic devices.¹⁴ Because BODIPY dyes are relatively nonpolar in both ground and S_1 states, their spectroscopic properties are not much sensitive to the choice of solvent. BODIPY compounds in general do not form aggregates as well.¹⁵ These attributes make BODIPY dyes an excellent model system for the study of IC and excited state dynamics.

Most of the molecules exhibit IC times less than 100 fs, notable exceptions are Zn-tetraphenylporphyrin¹⁶ and azulene.¹⁷ Some BODIPY dyes that have a cyclic ring in position 8 show slow S_2 – S_1 IC times in the range of 100 fs to a few hundred femtoseconds.^{18,19} A BODIPY derivative, 2,6-diethyl-1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-8-phenyl-4-difluorobora-3a,4a-diaza-(s)-indacene also shows the IC time between 100 and 230 fs depending on solvents.²⁰ In this work, two BODIPY compounds PM597 and PM650, whose structures are shown in Fig. 1, were employed. The lifetime of the S_1 state of PM597 is *ca.* 4 ns, and it is constant in all solvents,¹⁵ whereas that of PM650 shortens from 4 to 2 ns in polar solvents due to intramolecular charge transfer.²¹ By taking advantage of the high time resolution TF apparatus, we observed the fluorescence from S_2 directly and the NWPs in the S_1 state following the photoexcitation to the S_n state to establish the ultrafast IC rates accurately for these compounds and to get insight on coupling between excited electronic states. Note that observation of the S_2 fluorescence by no means implies breakdown of Kasha's rule. During the short ($\ll 100$ fs)

period of time residing in the S_2 state, the molecule emits strongly with an intensity which is proportional to the oscillator strength of the S_2 state. NWPs in S_1 were also obtained by direct photoexcitation to S_1 , and compared with those formed by photoexcitation to S_2 followed by IC.

2. Experiment

The light source and TF apparatus utilizing fluorescence up-conversion by the sum frequency generation (SFG) method have been described in detail elsewhere.^{22,23} A home-built cavity-dumped Ti:sapphire laser was used for the excitation of the S_n ($n \geq 2$) state. Pump pulses at 400 nm (PM597) and 415 nm (PM650) were generated by the second harmonic generation (SHG) in a 100 μ m thick beta-barium borate (BBO) crystal, and the residual fundamental laser pulses were used as gate pulses. SFG of the fluorescence and the gate pulse was carried out by using a 100 μ m thick BBO crystal. The instrument response function (IRF) estimated by the SFG of the scattered pump pulse and the gate was 50 fs FWHM (full width at half-maximum). For the direct photoexcitation of the S_1 state, a home-built cavity-dumped optical parametric oscillator (OPO) employing a periodically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) as a gain medium was used to generate the fundamental pulses centered at 1100 nm.²⁴ The PPLN crystal was pumped by a femtosecond Ti:sapphire laser (Tsunami, Spectra-Physics), which provided 1.8 W at 800 nm at a repetition rate of 81.5 MHz. Because pulse duration of the OPO output at 1100 nm was 70 fs, which was too long to measure NWPs, a pulse compression scheme employing a photonic crystal fiber was used to attain a pulse duration of ~ 25 fs. For the TF experiment, pump pulses at 550 nm were generated by the SHG using a 100 μ m thick BBO crystal. The IRF was estimated to be 35 fs FWHM using the SFG of the scattered pump pulse and the gate (1100 nm). The shape of the IRF was non-Gaussian (Fig. S1, ESI[†]). In actual TF experiments, where fluorescence wavelengths are longer than those of the pump pulses, the time-resolution should be higher because of the smaller group velocity mismatch in the SFG between the fluorescence and the gate. All TF measurements were performed at the magic angle configuration. For TF spectra measurements, the phase matching angle of the BBO crystal for SFG and monochromator were controlled simultaneously.²⁵ Time delay was also controlled at the same time to compensate the group velocity dispersion (GVD) introduced by the sample solution (100 μ m) and the exit window of the flow cell (1.25 mm). Time resolution of the TF spectra measurement was estimated to be 60 fs. Time-zeros in TF signals and TF spectra were determined by a method reported previously.²⁵ We first determined time zero by the cross-correlation between the gate and scattered pump pulses. Time-zeroes at other detection wavelengths were calculated by considering GVD of the materials in the optical path for fluorescence light. The uncertainty of time-zero depends on the fluorescence wavelengths, and is estimated to be ± 5 fs.

High purity PM597 and PM650 dyes were purchased from Exciton Inc., and used without further purification. Because of the small extinction coefficients of the S_2 transitions, the concentration of *ca.* 3×10^{-3} M was used for the TF




Fig. 1 Absorption (black lines, left axis) and fluorescence (red lines, right axis) spectra of (a) PM597 and (b) PM650 in cyclohexane. Molecular structures of PM597 and PM650 are also shown.

experiment, which gives an absorbance of ~ 0.2 at the excitation wavelength. Aggregation was not observed for the concentration used in this work as judged from the absorption and fluorescence spectra. Steady-state fluorescence spectra were recorded with a laser excitation at 400 nm and a CCD detector (Princeton Instruments). All the measurements were carried out at ambient temperature.

To obtain the vibrational reorganization energies for the electronic transitions, quantum chemical calculations were performed in gas phase by using the Gaussian 09 software package.²⁶ Density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) methods were used for the geometry optimizations and vibrational frequencies of the ground and excited states, respectively. Several functionals were tested for consistency, and we employed a functional CAM-B3LYP²⁷ with 6-31+G(d,p) basis for DFT and TDDFT calculations.^{28,29} The Hartree-Fock configuration-interaction singles (HF-CIS) method was used for the calculation of the vibrational reorganization of the S_2 state of PM597 because we were not able to obtain optimized geometries of the S_2 state by using the TDDFT method.

3. Results

Absorption and fluorescence spectra of PM597 and PM650 in cyclohexane are shown in Fig. 1 together with their molecular structures. The spectra of PM597 in polar solvents methanol and acetonitrile are blue-shifted slightly implying larger dipole moment in the ground state (4.2 D) than S_1 (3.7 D),¹⁵ whereas the fluorescence spectrum of PM650 in methanol shows a small red-shift.²¹ The Stokes shifts are small indicating minimal solvation contribution for the two BODIPY dyes in the S_1 state, although dynamic Stokes shift due to solvation was observed for BODIPY dyes in a 2-dimensional electronic spectroscopy process.³⁰ Because of the electron withdrawing property of the cyano group, both the absorption and fluorescence maxima of PM650 are red-shifted compared to PM597.³¹ The absorption bands attributable to S_2 and higher electronic states are apparent at around 390 and 430 nm for PM597 and PM650, respectively. Therefore, wavelengths of the pump pulses were chosen to be 400 and 415 nm for PM597 and PM650, respectively, for the TF experiments. The pump pulses should excite predominantly the S_2 state for both dyes, although minor contribution of the S_3 state cannot be excluded considering the transition frequencies and oscillator strengths of the S_3 state (Table S1, ESI†).

3.1. IC dynamics

Fig. 2 and 3 show the TF signals of PM597 and PM650 in cyclohexane recorded at the emission wavelengths of S_1 and S_2 states following the photoexcitation to S_2 . The pump pulse may lead to the excitation of the high-lying vibrational manifold of the S_1 state. However, Huang-Rhys factors, which represent the average vibrational excitation in the excited state (S_1), are much smaller than 1 for all vibrational modes of both PM597 and




Fig. 2 TF signals of PM597 in cyclohexane detected at (a) 450 nm (S_2), (b) 550 nm (S_1), and (c) 630 nm (S_1). Exponential fits (red lines) are shown together with the residuals at the top for (b) and (c).

PM650 except for a couple of low frequency modes (Table S2, ESI†). In addition, total vibrational reorganization energies are 616 and 512 cm^{-1} for PM597 and PM650, respectively. Therefore, considering that the difference of the excitation pulse energy and the S_1 fluorescence energy is $> 8000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, the probability of exciting the high-lying vibrational manifold of the S_1 state is practically zero, and the pump pulses lead to excitation to the S_n ($n \geq 2$) state. The TF signals of the S_1 state at a longer time range are shown in Fig. 4. We were unable to record the TF of S_2 fluorescence of PM650, possibly because the IC is still too fast to be measured even at 50 fs time resolution. Previous studies on the IC of BODIPY derivatives suggest that the IC times are between 100 fs and a few hundred femtoseconds.^{18–20} Some of the reported IC times are estimations rather than accurate measurements because of the limited time resolution. The TF signals were fitted to a sum of exponentials, and the results are summarized in Table 1.




Fig. 3 TF signals of the S_1 state of PM650 in cyclohexane detected at (a) 590 nm and (b) 652 nm. Exponential fits (red lines) are shown together with the residuals.

The S_2 fluorescence of PM597 at 450 nm decays by a time constant of 51 ± 10 fs. Interestingly however, the S_1 fluorescence at 550 nm rises immediately within the IRF, although the TF of S_1 at 630 nm reveals a rise time of 57 fs. The rise at 630 nm is caused by the dynamic Stokes shift arising from the vibrational relaxation within the manifold of the S_1 PES and to a minor part solvation dynamics as shown by the TF spectra (Fig. 5). Note that nonpolar solvation dynamics in 100 fs to picoseconds time scale is also significant.^{32,33} The inconsistency of the lifetimes, that is, the decay of S_2 is slower than the rise of S_1 , may be interpreted by a distribution of IC rates. Because of the broad spectral width of the femtosecond excitation pulses, the distribution of vibrational states and/or conformations may be created in S_2 . Provided they undergo IC at different rates, nearly instantaneous for the major part and slowly at 51 fs for the minor part, S_2 decay could be slow, whereas the rise of S_1 could be nearly instantaneous. Alternatively, the 51 fs decay of the S_2 state may represent internal conversion from S_2 to the ground state for a small portion of molecules for which the ultrafast IC to S_1 is not feasible. The integrated intensity of S_1 fluorescence does not show the 51 fs rise, suggesting that the latter may be more probable (*vide infra*).

The TFs of PM650 show instrument-limited rise at all detection wavelengths. Absence of the S_2 fluorescence and instrument-limited rise of S_1 fluorescence indicate that the IC




Fig. 4 Time-resolved fluorescence signals of the S_1 state in the longer time range. (a) PM597, 543 nm; (b) PM597, 630 nm; (c) PM650, 586 nm; (d) PM650, 652 nm.

Table 1 Non-linear least-square fits to a sum of exponentials for the time-resolved fluorescence signals. τ_4 was fixed at 4 ns

	λ_{det} (nm)	A_1	τ_1 (fs)	A_2	τ_2 (ps)	A_3	τ_3 (ps)	A_4	τ_4 (ns)
PM597	450	1	51						
	543	0.31	350	0.19	2.2	-0.03	44	0.47	4
	630	-0.44	57	-0.08	0.53	-0.03	15	0.45	4
PM650	586	0.07	2100	-0.20	8.5			0.73	4
	652	-0.14	240	0.20	98			0.66	4

of PM650 proceeds nearly instantaneously. We set the upper-limit to 20 fs for the instantaneous IC pathway for PM597 and PM650 from a numerical simulation of the convolution between the IRF and an exponential decay. Note that we were able to determine decay times less than 20 fs with the current TF apparatus.^{10,34} The TFs of PM650 show an uncharacteristic rise at the blue side and a decay at the red side of the fluorescence spectrum on the picosecond time scale as shown in Fig. 4 (Table 1).

To discriminate the IC dynamics from other processes that cause spectral relaxation such as solvation dynamics, vibrational relaxation, and IVR, TF spectra were recorded over the full spectral region of interest at 60 fs time resolution. Fig. 5




Fig. 5 TF spectra of (a) PM597 and (b) PM650.

shows the TF spectra of PM597 and PM650 in cyclohexane. Area normalized TF spectra (Fig. S2, ESI†) together with the first moments (Fig. S3 and S4, ESI†) and integrated intensities (Fig. S5 and S6, ESI†) of the TF spectra are also shown in the ESI.† TF spectra of both PM597 and PM650 clearly show that the S_1 fluorescence bands rise immediately following photoexcitation to S_n ($n \geq 2$) over the full emission wavelength region, which demonstrates that the IC occurs within 20 fs for both dyes. The IC kinetics of PM597 and PM650 are summarized schematically in Fig. 6.

The TF spectra of PM597 show redshift in femtosecond to picosecond time scales due to the vibrational relaxation in the S_1 manifold and solvation as inferred from the TF signals above. Integrated fluorescence intensities (Fig. S5, ESI†), however, do not exhibit the 51 fs time component observed in the TF of S_2 , which suggests that the 51 fs decay of S_2 may represent the internal conversion directly to the ground state. The TF spectra of PM650 display a blue shift in picosecond time scale along with a slight increase of the integrated fluorescence intensity. Sajadi and Ernsting reported that a molecule with




Fig. 6 Schematic representation of the kinetics following photoexcitation to the S_2 state. The black and red arrows indicate internal conversion and fluorescence, respectively.

large excess vibrational energy undergoes excess dynamic Stokes shift beyond the stationary state followed by a blue-shift on 10 ps time scale.³⁵ This effect was observed only in PM650 because of the larger excess vibrational energy and its narrower emission spectrum. In addition, this effect is smaller in polar solvent methanol (data not shown), which is also consistent with the previous report.³⁵ The origin of the minor increase of the integrated fluorescence intensity, however, is not clear.

3.2. Nuclear wave packets in S_1

The TFs of S_1 states show strong oscillations for both molecules, which must arise from the NWP motions in the S_1 state as we measure the spontaneous fluorescence from S_1 exclusively. Phases of the oscillations measured at the blue and red sides of the fluorescence spectrum are 180° out of phase for both PM597 and PM650. This is a clear indication that the modulation of the TF intensity at a fixed wavelength is caused by the modulation of the fluorescence center frequency in time. When the non-Condon effect is dominant as in the S_1 transition of *cis*-stilbene, where a pronounced non-Condon effect was observed,³⁶ phases at the blue and red sides of the fluorescence spectrum should be in phase, and the amplitude of the NWP should be constant at all wavelengths for the vibrational mode.

In a simple displaced harmonic oscillator model with the Condon approximation, modulation of the TF intensity by a classical NWP is

$$\frac{\Delta I}{I_0} \cong \Delta\omega \left(\frac{dI}{d\omega} \right)_{\omega=HH} = 2\sqrt{2} \ln 2 \frac{D\omega_{\text{vib}}}{\sigma} = \frac{2\sqrt{2} \ln 2}{\sigma\hbar} \lambda_{\text{vib}},$$

where $\Delta\omega$ is the frequency variation of a fluorescence spectrum due to a vibration, HH is the half height, D is the Huang-Rhys factor, ω_{vib} is the vibrational frequency, σ is the standard deviation of the fluorescence spectrum assuming a Gaussian lineshape in the unit of angular frequency s^{-1} , and λ_{vib} is the vibrational reorganization energy.³⁷ Therefore, oscillation amplitude is the highest at the steepest part of the fluorescence spectrum, that is, near the half height at the short wavelength side of the fluorescence spectrum (for a Gaussian lineshape, maxima occur at $\pm\sigma$). Accordingly, detection wavelengths were

near the half height of the fluorescence spectra, and strong oscillations were observed at the short wavelength side for both molecules.

To obtain the vibrational spectrum of the S_1 state (VSS_1) from each TF signal, the residual in Fig. 2 and 3 was analyzed by the linear prediction singular value decomposition (LPSVD) method^{38,39} as well as the Fourier transform, because Fourier power transform can be very misleading when peaks overlap.⁴⁰ The results are shown in Fig. 7 and 8, and the vibrational modes below 800 cm^{-1} in S_1 are listed in Table 2. In contrast to a TA signal, in which an oscillation may originate from NWP motions in both the ground and excited electronic states,⁴¹ the oscillations observed in the TF must arise from the emitting state here S_1 . For the vibrational analyses, we used TFs measured at the half height point of the short wavelength side of the fluorescence spectra, where the oscillation amplitudes are the highest and not mixed with the rise component seen at the long detection wavelengths. The VSS_1 of PM597 and PM650 show peaks up to 600 cm^{-1} . According to the convolution of a Gaussian IRF and a sinusoid, amplitude of the oscillation is attenuated by $\exp(-\omega^2\sigma^2/2)$, where ω is the oscillation frequency and σ is the standard deviation of the Gaussian.³⁷ At 50 fs time resolution, amplitude of a 500 cm^{-1} mode is attenuated by a factor of 7.4.

To fully account for the VSS_1 following the ultrafast IC, a fully quantum chemical nonadiabatic molecular dynamics simulation utilizing PESs of the S_1 and S_2 states as well as the ground state is required. Instead, we calculated Huang–Rhys factors and vibrational reorganization energies between the ground and S_1 to account for the VSS_1 and to explore the vibrational modes that may contribute to the IC process. This approach was quite successful for the description of the NWPs in a product PES following ultrafast proton transfer and charge transfer reactions.^{10,42}

Molecular structures and normal modes of the ground and S_1 states were obtained by DFT and TDDFT methods, respectively, and a difference vector between the geometries of the ground and S_1 states was projected onto the normal modes of S_1 to calculate the Huang–Rhys factors and vibrational reorganization energies.⁴³ Several different functionals were evaluated for the DFT calculations, and CAM-B3LYP was chosen for both PM597 and PM650. An attempt to calculate the Huang–Rhys factors between the ground and S_2 states using the DFT method was unsuccessful. Although we were able to calculate the Huang–Rhys factors by the HF and HF-CIS methods for PM597, the calculated spectrum shown in Fig. 7(d) does not match well with the experiment. The calculated VSS_1 scaled by the attenuation factors are also shown in Fig. 7(c) and 8(c). All the vibrations below 800 cm^{-1} that have large vibrational reorganization energies ($>10\text{ cm}^{-1}$) are listed in Table 2. At this level of theory, the calculated VSS_1 of PM650 shows quantitative match with the experiment, whereas the agreement is moderate for PM597. Assignment of the peaks is mostly straightforward, except the one at 267 cm^{-1} of PM597, by taking advantage of the amplitude information, and they are listed in Table 2 as well. The Raman spectrum of PM597 (Fig. S7, ESI†)




Fig. 7 VSS_1 of PM597 obtained by (a) Fourier transform power spectrum and (b) LPSVD. Vibrational reorganization energies calculated by (c) S_0 – S_1 displacements by DFT and TDDFT methods using CAM-B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) and (d) S_0 – S_2 displacements by HF and CIS methods with 6-31+G(d,p) basis. Frequencies in (d) are scaled by 0.9146.

shows two peaks at 503 and 567 cm^{-1} , which can be assigned to the two strong vibrational modes ν_{47} and ν_{51} , respectively.

To investigate the influence of the IC dynamics, VSS_1 of the two dyes were measured by direct photoexcitation of S_1 states. Fig. 9 and 10 show the TFs of PM597 and PM650, respectively, and their VSS_1 by Fourier power transform and LPSVD. The VSS_1 of PM597 shows strong peaks at 90 and 130 cm^{-1} , and small peaks at 273 and 486 cm^{-1} . The VSS_1 of PM650 shows peaks at 145 , 245 , 292 , 413 , and 543 cm^{-1} . The peak positions match well with those of VSS_1 obtained by photoexcitation of S_2 , as they should be. Peak intensities in the high frequency region, however, are somewhat different. We suspect that the intensity difference arises in part due to the difference of the detection wavelengths and excitation wavelengths. The two VSS_1 obtained by the photoexcitation of S_1 and S_2 states, however, generally show excellent match. This observation is consistent with the ultrafast IC. Because the IC is faster than




Fig. 8 VSS₁ of PM650 obtained by (a) Fourier transform power spectrum and (b) LPSVD. (c) Vibrational reorganization energies calculated by S₀–S₁ displacements by DFT and TDDFT methods using CAM-B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p).

Table 2 Oscillations in the TF of the S₁ state of PM597 and PM650. ω , λ , and T_2 are frequency, vibrational reorganization energy (amplitude) and dephasing time of the oscillation, respectively, obtained from LPSVD analyses. The attenuation factors, $\exp(-\omega^2\sigma^2/2)$, are multiplied to the calculated amplitudes for direct comparison. Values of σ are 880 and 470 cm⁻¹ for PM597 and PM650, respectively

	Mode ^a	Experiment			Calculation	
		ω (cm ⁻¹)	λ_{vib} (cm ⁻¹)	T_2 (fs)	ω (cm ⁻¹)	λ_{vib} (cm ⁻¹)
PM597	ν_7	93	168	300	83	8.6
	ν_{11}	139	154	390	158	59
	ν_{14}	201	11	1050	188	7.3
	ν_{22}	267	12	770	250	6.3
	ν_{30}	306	30	390	320	33
	ν_{47}	489	115	930	504	60
	ν_{51}	540	31	620	565	58
PM650	ν_{13}	152	26	790	153	21
	ν_{18}	243	30	580	247	32
	ν_{22}	292	22	1000	292	20
	ν_{27}	—	—	—	371	12
	ν_{30}	412	93	1400	425	39
	ν_{36}	548	55	1200	554	33

^a Vibrational mode number of the S₁ state.

20 fs, the IC itself acts as an impulsive excitation to S₁ with respect to the vibrational modes below 600 cm⁻¹.




Fig. 9 (a) TF for PM597 excited at 550 nm, and detected at 650 nm (S₁). VSS₁ of PM597 obtained by (b) Fourier transform power spectrum and (c) LPSVD.

4. Discussion

The IC processes in PM597 and PM650 are truly ultrafast. Most of the BODIPY molecules should also exhibit ultrafast IC times, although some BODIPY dyes that have a cyclic ring in position 8 show slower S₂–S₁ IC times in the range of 100 fs to a few hundred femtoseconds.^{18–20} We were unable to resolve the IC time even with the best time resolution ever reported in TF measurements, and we set the upper bound to 20 fs. Thus, the IC is certainly coherent with respect to the molecular vibrations, and it occurs much faster than most of the time scales occurring in a molecule including IVR and vibrational relaxation in an electronic excited state.

The excellent agreement between the experimental VSS₁ following S₂ excitation and the calculated vibrational reorganization energies between the ground and S₁ states implies that the passage through the S₂ state may have a minor role in the NWP in the S₁ state for these two BODIPY dyes. Because the IC is faster than the period of molecular vibrations observed in this work, the IC itself can be treated as an impulsive excitation from the ground to the S₁ state. The similarity of the two VSS₁




Fig. 10 (a) TF for PM650 excited at 550 nm, and detected at 650 nm (S_1). VSS₁ of PM650 obtained by (b) Fourier transform power spectrum and (c) LPSVD.

obtained by the excitation of S_1 and S_2 states corroborates this conclusion.

All the vibrational modes that appear strongly in the VSS₁ of PM650 are in-plane ring skeletal (stretching) vibrations. Quantum chemical calculation indicates that most of the structural change from the ground state to the S_1 state occurs in the C–C and C–N bond lengths within the ring. Dihedral angles within the ring are all 180° in both ground and S_1 states showing that the molecule is planar. Consequently, provided the overall transition from the ground to S_1 state *via* S_2 is fast enough to be impulsive on the vibrations, only the in-plane ring skeletal vibrations acquire large Huang–Rhys factors for the transition, and appear strongly in the VSS₁ of S_1 . Quantitative agreement between the experiment and theory corroborates this conclusion.

The VSS₁ of PM597 is also comprised of in-plane modes except the rather small amplitude ν_{22} , although several out-of-plane and mixed modes such as ν_8 , ν_{11} , and ν_{22} appear strongly in the calculation. In particular, the out-of-plane ν_{11} mode that possesses large vibrational reorganization energy in the calculation is absent in the experimental VSS₁. Quantum chemical

calculation shows that the dihedral angles as well as the C–C and C–N bond lengths within the ring change due to the transition from the ground state to the S_1 state. The average dihedral angles within the ring are 174.8° for both ground and excited states, and they change by 2.4° on average and as much as 7° during the transition, which leads to the strong presence of the out-of-plane modes in the calculated spectrum. The discrepancy may be accounted for in two ways. First, the calculated structures by the DFT method, particularly the excited state, may not be accurate enough. In a recent study for the determination of the tetrahydrofuran cation structure by mass-analyzed threshold ionization (MATI) spectroscopy, experimental and calculated Franck–Condon factors did not match. Minor tuning of the structure of the cation calculated by the DFT method successfully reproduced experimental Franck–Condon factors.⁴⁴ Secondly, the dynamics in the S_2 state may play a role. If this is true, Huang–Rhys factors for the initial photoexcitation from the ground state to the S_2 state are needed to properly account for the VSS₁ of PM597. Unfortunately, however, such information is not available currently because of the lack of an accurate method of calculation of high lying electronic states.

Involvement of the $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ IC to the wave packets in S_1 can be verified by comparing their phases resulting from the excitation of S_2 or direct excitation of S_1 . The phases of a vibrational mode obtained by the two different ways (Table S3, ESI†) are similar, but their equivalence cannot be concluded because of the differences in experimental parameters such as pulse duration and detection wavelength. For this problem of ultrafast internal conversion to S_1 , we believe that a high-level non-adiabatic molecular dynamics (NAMD) simulation is required to reveal in detail the role of the IC including phase changes, which is beyond the scope of the current work.

5. Conclusions

We have established that the $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ IC in two BODIPY molecules is faster than 20 fs by employing time resolved spontaneous fluorescence. Abundant nuclear NWP motions in the S_1 state are also directly observed through modulation of intensities of spontaneous time-resolved fluorescence. It is well known that IC between excited states is ultrafast for molecules in liquids. However, it is critical to determine if it is really ultrafast in terms of IVR and periods of vibrations. Considering that the period of a vibration of 1000 cm^{-1} mode is 33 fs and that IVR usually proceeds in 100 fs time scale, one may argue that a process is ultrafast if it is faster than these time scales. In this sense, the IC process reported in this work is ultrafast. Accordingly, the IC is coherent and impulsive with respect to the nuclear motions. Theoretical model calculations assuming that the overall process from the ground state to the S_1 state *via* S_2 is impulsive on the vibrations were largely successful. However, full account and implications of the NWP motions in the S_1 state following IC from higher

electronic states await accurate information on the S_n ($n \geq 2$) state, which is hardly available at present.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. 2019R1A2C2010445 and 2020R1A5A1019141).

References

- 1 M. Kasha, *Discuss. Faraday. Soc.*, 1950, **9**, 14–19.
- 2 T. Horio, T. Fuji, Y.-I. Suzuki and T. Suzuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 10392–10393.
- 3 Y.-I. Suzuki, T. Fuji, T. Horio and T. Suzuki, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132**, 174302–174308.
- 4 T. S. Kuhlman, T. I. Sølling and K. B. Møller, *ChemPhysChem*, 2012, **13**, 820–827.
- 5 E. W. G. Diau, J. L. Herek, Z. H. Kim and A. H. Zewail, *Science*, 1998, **279**, 847–851.
- 6 T. Fuji, H. J. Ong and T. Kobayashi, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2003, **380**, 135–140.
- 7 J. L. P. Lustres, A. L. Dobryakov, A. Holzwarth and M. Veiga, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 3758–3761.
- 8 M. Liebel, C. Schnedermann and P. Kukura, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2014, **112**, 198302.
- 9 S. Y. Kim and T. Joo, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2015, **6**, 2993–2998.
- 10 C. H. Kim and T. Joo, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 10266–10269.
- 11 T. Kowada, H. Maeda and K. Kikuchi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4953–4972.
- 12 H. Sunahara, Y. Urano, H. Kojima and T. Nagano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 5597–5604.
- 13 F. D'Souza, P. M. Smith, M. E. Zandler, A. L. McCarty, M. Itou, Y. Araki and O. Ito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 7898–7907.
- 14 H. Imahori, H. Norieda, H. Yamada, Y. Nishimura, I. Yamazaki, Y. Sakata and S. Fukuzumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 100–110.
- 15 J. Bañuelos Prieto, F. López Arbeloa, V. Martínez Martínez, T. Arbeloa López and I. López Arbeloa, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2004, **108**, 5503–5508.
- 16 G. G. Gurzadyan, T. H. Tran-Thi and T. Gustavsson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **108**, 385–388.
- 17 S. Murata, C. Iwanaga, T. Toda and H. Kokubun, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1972, **13**, 101–104.
- 18 D. W. Cho, M. Fujitsuka, J. H. Ryu, M. H. Lee, H. K. Kim, T. Majima and C. Im, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 3424–3426.
- 19 G. J. Hedley, A. Ruseckas, A. Harriman and I. D. Samuel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 6634–6637.
- 20 P. Toele, H. Zhang, C. Trieflinger, J. Daub and M. Glasbeek, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2003, **368**, 66–75.
- 21 F. López Arbeloa, J. Bañuelos Prieto, V. Martínez Martínez, T. Arbeloa López and I. López Arbeloa, *ChemPhysChem*, 2004, **5**, 1762–1771.
- 22 H. Rhee and T. Joo, *Opt. Lett.*, 2005, **30**, 96–98.
- 23 W. Heo, N. Uddin, J. W. Park, Y. M. Rhee, C. H. Choi and T. Joo, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 18243–18251.
- 24 C. K. Min and T. Joo, *Opt. Lett.*, 2005, **30**, 1855–1857.
- 25 I. Eom and T. Joo, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **131**, 244507.
- 26 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, Revision B.01*, Gaussian, Inc, Wallingford CT, 2010.
- 27 T. Yanai, D. P. Tew and N. C. Handy, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2004, **393**, 51–57.
- 28 S. Chibani, B. Le Guennic, A. Charaf-Eddin, A. D. Laurent and D. Jacquemin, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 1950–1963.
- 29 M. R. Momeni and A. Brown, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2015, **11**, 2619–2632.
- 30 Y. Lee, S. Das, R. M. Malamakal, S. Meloni, D. M. Chenoweth and J. M. Anna, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 14733–14742.
- 31 I. Esnal, I. Valois-Escamilla, C. F. Gómez-Durán, A. Urías-Benavides, M. L. Betancourt-Mendiola, I. López-Arbeloa, J. Bañuelos, I. García-Moreno, A. Costela and E. Peña-Cabrera, *ChemPhysChem*, 2013, **14**, 4134–4142.
- 32 M. L. Horng, J. A. Gardecki, A. Papazyan and M. Maroncelli, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1995, **99**, 17311–17337.
- 33 B. M. Ladanyi and M. Maroncelli, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **109**, 3204–3221.
- 34 J. Lee, C. H. Kim and T. Joo, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2013, **117**, 1400–1405.
- 35 M. Sajadi and N. P. Ernsting, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2013, **117**, 7675–7684.
- 36 K. Ishii, S. Takeuchi and T. Tahara, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2008, **112**, 2219–2227.
- 37 G. Lee, J. Kim, S. Y. Kim, D. E. Kim and T. Joo, *ChemPhysChem*, 2017, **18**, 670–676.
- 38 H. Barkhuijsen, R. De Beer, W. M. M. J. Bovee and D. Van Ormondt, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1985, **61**, 465–481.

- 39 F. W. Wise, M. J. Rosker, G. L. Millhauser and C. L. Tang, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, 1987, **23**, 1116–1121.
- 40 I. Eom, E. Yoon, S.-H. Baik, Y.-S. Lim and T. Joo, *Opt. Express*, 2014, **22**, 30512–30519.
- 41 I. A. Walmsley, M. Mitsunaga and C. L. Tang, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.*, 1988, **38**, 4681–4689.
- 42 J. Kim, D. E. Kim and T. Joo, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2018, **122**, 1283–1290.
- 43 J. R. Reimers, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2001, **115**, 9103–9109.
- 44 S. M. Park, Y. R. Lee, D. W. Kang, H. L. Kim and C. H. Kwon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 30362–30369.